Lunatic Fringe Deficiency Cooperates with the Met/Caveolin Gene Amplicon to Induce Basal-like Breast Cancer
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SUMMARY

Basal-like breast cancers (BLBC) express a luminal progenitor gene signature. Notch receptor signaling promotes luminal cell fate specification in the mammary gland, while suppressing stem cell self-renewal. Here we show that deletion of Ling, a sugar transferase that prevents Notch activation by Jagged ligands, enhances stem/progenitor cell proliferation. Mammary-specific
deletion of Lfng induces basal-like and claudin-low tumors with accumulation of Notch intracellular domain fragments, increased expression of proliferation-associated Notch targets, amplification of the Met/Caveolin locus, and elevated Met and Igf-1R signaling. Human BL breast tumors, commonly associated with JAGGED expression, elevated MET signaling, and CAVEOLIN accumulation, express low levels of LFNG. Thus, reduced LFNG expression facilitates JAG/NOTCH luminal progenitor signaling and cooperates with MET/CAVEOLIN basal-type signaling to promote BLBC.

INTRODUCTION

Patients with BLBC show reduced survival compared to those with more common luminal tumors, and this disease frequently occurs in young patients, as well as in women with African ancestry. Basal-like tumors express markers of myoepithelium, but show a gene expression signature related to that of luminal progenitor cells (Cheang et al., 2008; Lim et al., 2009; Perou and Børresen-Dale, 2011; Prat et al., 2010). Indeed, luminal progenitors may be the cell-of-origin for most BLBC (Molyneux et al., 2010). In the mouse system, activated Notch1 can induce commitment of mammary stem cells (MaSC) into luminal progenitors, and promote proliferation of luminal progenitor cells in vitro and in vivo (Bouras et al., 2008). Similarly in humans, increased NOTCH3 expression and function can promote luminal progenitor cell fate specification, at least in vitro (Raouf et al., 2008).

On the basis of studies with the Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus (MMTV), which can induce mammary tumor formation through insertional activation of Notch genes, a role for Notch signaling in human breast cancer was anticipated (Callahan and Smith, 2000). Most human breast tumors express Notch ligands and receptors (Parr et al., 2004; Pece et al., 2004; Reedijk et al., 2005; Stylianou et al., 2006). High-level expression of the JAGGED1 ligand, as well as NOTCH1 and/or NOTCH3, is associated with poor overall survival (Reedijk et al., 2005). Recent studies reveal that signaling through multiple Notch receptors activates proliferation and/or survival of breast cancer cells (Harrison et al., 2010; Haughian et al., 2012; Osipo et al., 2008; Sansone et al., 2007; Yamaguchi et al., 2008). Interestingly, JAGGED-dependent Notch pathway activation has been associated with triple negative (ERα−, PR− and HER2−) tumors, and specifically with basal-like tumors and cell lines (Cohen et al., 2010; Dong et al., 2010; Haughian et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2008a, 2008b; Leong et al., 2007; Reedijk et al., 2008; Sansone et al., 2007).

Met, a cell surface tyrosine kinase receptor involved in epithelial-mesenchymal transition, is frequently expressed at high levels in BLBC (Elsheikh et al., 2008; Gastaldi et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2008; Ponzo and Park, 2010; Ravid et al., 2005; Salani et al., 2008; Savage et al., 2007), and many basal-like tumors show elevated Met signaling (Hochgräfe et al., 2010). In addition, Caveolin1 and 2, which facilitate Igf-1R signaling, are also overexpressed (Elsheikh et al., 2008; Gastaldi et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2008; Ponzo and Park, 2010; Ravid et al., 2005; Salani et al., 2008; Savage et al., 2007). Interestingly, the genes coding for MET, CAV1, and CAV2 are located in the same region of Chromosome 7q31 and this locus is overexpressed in many BLBC (Elsheikh et al., 2008; Gastaldi et al., 2010; Ponzo and Park, 2010; Savage et al., 2007).

Fringe proteins are N-acetylglucosamine transferases that modify Notch receptors to control ligand-mediated activation (Haines and Irvine, 2003). These proteins enhance Notch activation by Delta-family ligands, while inhibiting Notch activation by Serrate/Jagged ligands (Haines and Irvine, 2003). Lfng, one of three Fringe genes in mammals, controls Notch signaling in many developing tissues (Cohen et al., 1997; Johnston et al., 1997). Interestingly, LFNG is expressed at high levels in MaSC and/or bipotent progenitor cells of
the human breast (Raouf et al., 2008). However, its role in the regulation of Notch signaling of the developing or adult mammary gland remains unknown, as is its potential for restricting Notch-dependent oncogenic signaling in this context. In this study, we used conditional mutant mice to define the function of \( \text{Lfng} \) in mammary epithelium. In addition, we tested for its expression and potential role in human breast cancer.

**RESULTS**

**A Lfng Expression Boundary in Mammary Development**

To define where and when Notch is activated in the developing mammary gland, we used LacZ knock-in mice for various Notch pathway genes. Typically, boundaries between Fringe-expressing cells and nonexpressing cells are sites of consequential Notch signaling (Irvine, 1999). Therefore, we examined \( \text{Lfng} \) expression by performing X-gal staining on mammary glands from six-week-old \( \text{Lfng}^{\text{LacZ/}} \) virgin females (Zhang and Gridley, 1998). Interestingly, \( \text{Lfng} \) expression was restricted to basal cells, in particular to cap cells of terminal end buds (TEBs) (Figure 1A), which have MaSC activity (Bai and Rohrschneider, 2010). This result is consistent with studies on cells purified from the human mammary gland, which show that \( \text{LFNG} \) expression is > 20-fold enriched in stem and/or bipotent progenitor cells as compared with luminal restricted progenitors (Raouf et al., 2008). Two Notch ligands, \( \text{Dll1} \) and \( \text{Jagged1} \), show distinct expression at this stage. Weak X-gal staining from a \( \text{Dll1}^{\text{lacZ/}} \) allele (Hrabě de Angelis et al., 1997) was observed in cap cells of the mammary TEB. In contrast, their basally located myoepithelial descendants showed intense staining. X-gal staining in pubescent \( \text{Jagged1}^{\beta-\text{Geo/}} \) mice was strongest in stromal cells surrounding each TEB (Figure 1A).

In mature adults, after TEB regression, \( \text{Lfng}^{\text{lacZ}} \) expression was barely detected, while \( \text{Dll1}^{\text{lacZ}} \) expression remained strong in myoepithelial cells. Interestingly, \( \text{Jagged1} \) expression switched from stroma to myoepithelium in the mature gland (Figure 1A). \( \text{JAGGED1} \) expression is also high in basal cells of the mature human gland (Reedijk et al., 2005). Next, we used antibodies to stain for Notch1 and Notch4, which were expressed at low levels in luminal and basal cells, respectively (Figure S1A available online). Using \( \beta-\text{Geo/} \) knock-in mice (Xu et al., 2010), \( \text{Notch3} \) expression was found to be high in body cells of the TEB, as well as in luminal epithelial cells of mature ducts, whereas \( \text{Notch2} \) expression was strong in stroma and weak in epithelium (Figure S1B). These data are consistent with recent Notch ligand and receptor expression analysis by rtPCR and immunohistochemistry (Bouras et al., 2008; Raafat et al., 2011; Raouf et al., 2008).

**Lfng Controls Notch Activation and Suppresses Mammary Epithelial Proliferation**

Fringe controls Notch activation at compartment boundaries in the developing fruit fly (Irvine, 1999). Our expression analysis in the mammary gland reveals a similar boundary at the TEB-ducal junction, where \( \text{Lfng} \) may differentially regulate Notch activation induced by \( \text{Dll1} \) and/or \( \text{Jagged1} \). To define Lfng function in this context, we analyzed mammary development in \( \text{Lfng} \) mutant mice. Whole-mount analysis showed evidence of epithelial hyperplasia in virgin mammary glands from \( \text{Lfng} \) mutants (Figure 1B). Sections from mutant and control glands were stained with antibodies against luminal and basally restricted cytokeratins, keratin 8 (K8) and 14 (K14), respectively. Most mutant glands showed decreased K8 expression in body cells of the TEB. Also, multiple layers of K14+ basal cells were observed in some locations. Cells did not co-express K8 and K14 in wild-type or mutant glands during puberty (Figure 1C). We next tested for altered cell proliferation by staining for Ki67. Indeed, \( \text{Lfng} \) mutant glands showed increased cell proliferation in mature ducts (Figure 1D).
Fringe proteins control Notch signaling by enhancing Delta-while inhibiting Serrate/Jagged-mediated activation (Haines and Irvine, 2003). Based on high-level Lfng expression in cap cells of the TEB, and the known cell autonomous function of Fringe proteins (Panin et al., 1997), Lfng likely facilitates Dll-mediated Notch signaling or blocks Jagged1-mediated Notch activation in MaSC and/or bipotential progenitors of the cap layer. The Transgenic Notch Reporter (TNR) mouse has an artificial Notch-responsive promoter with multiple RBPJκ-binding sites regulating expression of eGFP (Duncan et al., 2005). This mouse has been used successfully to study Notch/p63 interaction in the developing mammary gland (Yalcin-Ozuysal et al., 2010). Therefore, to test for alterations in Notch signaling associated with Lfng deletion, we crossed TNR reporter mice with Lfng mutants. To follow Notch signaling at multiple levels within the developmental hierarchy, lineage-depleted mammary epithelial cells were stained for surface markers CD24, CD49f, CD61, and Sca1. Remarkably, Lfng null glands showed a more than 2-fold (2.37 ± 0.07, p < 0.05) enrichment of CD24+CD49fhi cells, a population known to contain mammary stem/early progenitor cells (Figure 1E). We gated on this population and found that most cells were CD61+Sca1−, characteristic of MaSC (Visvader, 2009) (Figure 1E). In some cases this was associated with decreased numbers of CD24hiC49fhi CD61+ luminal progenitor cells (Figure 1E). Thus, our flow cytometry analysis indicated that deletion of Lfng caused accumulation of stem/bipotent progenitor cells, likely at the expense of luminal progenitor cells in the developing mammary gland. Interestingly, Lfng−/−; TNR mutants showed 40% decrease in GFP+ lineage-depleted mammary epithelial cells as compared to Lfng+/+ or +/-; TNR controls (Figure S1C). Specifically, in mutant mice, fewer cells with active Notch signaling were observed in MaSC/early progenitor-containing (CD24+CD49fhi) and luminal progenitor-containing (CD24hi CD49fhi) compartments (Figure S1D) (Shackleton et al., 2006; Stingl et al., 2006). Thus, deletion of Lfng leads to decreased Notch signaling in MaSC and progenitors in puberty (likely as a result of reduced Dll1-mediated Notch activation at this stage), and is associated with expansion of the immature cell compartment. These data are consistent with those of Bouras et al., who showed that shRNA-mediated knockdown of RBPJκ, and thus disruption of canonical Notch signaling, caused expansion of the CD24+CD29hi compartment (note: this is the same as the CD24+CD49fhi compartment), and promotion of MaSC self-renewal (Bouras et al., 2008).

**Lfng Is a Suppressor of Basal-like Tumor Formation in the Mouse Mammary Gland**

Next, we tested for Lfng function by generating a Cre-conditional Lfng mutant mouse (Xu et al., 2010). This mouse was crossed to MMTV-Cre line A, which shows robust expression in mammary epithelium (Wagner et al., 1997). Many Lfngflox/flox; MMTV-Cre mutant mice developed mammary tumors starting at 10 months of age (Figure 2A). Histological analysis revealed three types of Lfng mutant tumors: approximately 60% showed glandular differentiation (type I); one-third mainly consisted of mesenchymal/spindle-shaped cells (type II); and about 5% had areas containing multinucleated giant cells (type III) (Figure 2B). All three histological types were triple-negative (ERα-, PR-, Her2/Neu-negative) (Figure 2C and data not shown), and highly proliferative (Figure 2D). Interestingly, tumors of all three types had cells co-expressing luminal (K8) and basal markers (K14). Notably, type I tumors contained a higher percentage (75.3% versus 16.8% in type II) of cells expressing one or both lineage-specific keratin (Figure 2E).

Next, we used transcriptional profiling to define molecular subtype for 11 Lfng mutant tumors (Herschkowitz et al., 2007). Interestingly, in unsupervised cluster analysis, these tumors grouped with basal-like and claudin-low models but were otherwise quite unique. Specifically, six type I tumors clustered together with Brcα/p53 tumors, DMBA-induced tumors and Wap-SV40T121-induced tumors, all of which are related to BLBC, whereas five spindle/EMT or type II Lfng mutant mammary tumors clustered nearby, together with...
DMBA-induced spindle tumors as well as with Brca1/p53 tumors, which have previously been identified as claudin-low (Herschkowitz et al., 2007) (Figures 3A and 3B). The claudin-low signature is related to that observed in MaSCs (Hennessy et al., 2009). Interestingly, many mouse mammary tumors that were induced by activated Met cluster with similar basal-like mouse models (Ponzo et al., 2009). We also performed enrichment map analysis to identify differentially expressed gene sets in Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre mammary tumors as compared to those expressed in other mouse breast cancer models. Interestingly, gene sets implicated in leukocyte activation and proliferation, inflammation, cytokine and chemokine signaling, as well as extracellular matrix remodeling were overrepresented in Lfng mutant tumors (Figure 3C and Table S1).

We next analyzed tumors by flow cytometry. Lfng mutant tumors were composed of cells with marker profiles that were distinct from profiles seen in the normal mammary gland. As discussed previously, the characteristic mammary epithelial profile includes CD24<sup>+</sup>CD49f<sup>hi</sup> cells enriched for MaSC/bipotent progenitors, CD24<sup>hi</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup> cells that are enriched for luminal progenitors, with a third compartment of CD24<sup>+</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup> cells. In contrast, type I Lfng mutant tumors had an increased fraction of CD49f<sup>+</sup> cells, approximately half of which expressed CD24 (Figure 4A). Most of the CD49f<sup>+</sup> cells also expressed CD61, with lower expression of Sca1 noted. Finally, there was an increased number of CD24<sup>+</sup>CD61<sup>+</sup> cells and most of these were CD49f<sup>+</sup>Sca1<sup>+</sup>, suggesting that a luminal progenitor-like compartment is expanded in these tumors (Figures 4B and S2A) (Visvader, 2009; Visvader and Smith, 2011). Next, fluorescence-activated cell sorting was used to fractionate tumor cells and to test for tumor initiating activity. Specifically, we separated type I tumors into three distinct populations (CD24<sup>+</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup>, CD24<sup>+</sup>CD49f<sup>−</sup>, and CD24<sup>−</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup>) and injected matrigel suspensions of each into mammary fat pads of FVB recipient mice. Most tumor initiating activity was found within the CD24<sup>+</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup> luminal progenitor-like population (Figure 4C).

Immunohistochemical analysis showed type I tumors also expressed high levels of CD61, contained Aldh1<sup>+</sup> cells, and expressed low levels of Gata3 (Figures 4D and S2B) (Ginestier et al., 2007; Visvader, 2009; Visvader and Smith, 2011). Type II tumors show fewer CD61<sup>+</sup> cells, and were almost completely negative for Aldh1. However, Vimentin and Twist, two markers associated with EMT, were broadly expressed in type II, but not type I, tumors (Figure 4D). Phospho-Akt, a marker of PI3K signaling, was evident in type II tumors and at a somewhat lower level in type I tumors (Figure 4D). Thus, based on histology, transcriptional profiling, flow cytometry and marker analysis, type I lesions were basal-like tumors with features of luminal progenitors (Cheang et al., 2008; Perou and Børresen-Dale, 2011), and type II were similar to claudin-low tumors with EMT-like features, a phenotype associated with MaSC-like cells (Cardiff, 2010; Taube et al., 2010).

Because Lfng regulates Notch signaling in mammary epithelium as shown previously, we tested for altered expression of known Notch target genes in these tumors. Indeed, all 11 Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre mammary tumors showed reduced expression of Hey1, Hey2, and Notch3, but elevated expression of Dll4 and the Notch target genes uPA, c-Myc, and Cyclin D1 (Cohen et al., 2010; Klinakis et al., 2006; Shimizu et al., 2011) (Figure 5A). As for other models, high-level expression of Hey1, Hey2, Notch3, c-Myc, Cyclin D1, and Notch4 (Int3), as well as low-level expression of p63 and Dll1 was observed in tumors induced by activated Notch4 (Wap-Int-3). In contrast, low-level expression of Hey1, Notch1, c-Myc (endogenous), Cyclin D1, p63, and Dll1 was observed in MMTV-Myc tumors. Finally, Hey1 was highly expressed in Her2/Neu and Polyoma Middle T-induced tumors (Figure 5A).
To directly test for activation of Notch signaling in Lfng<sup>lox/lox;MMTV-Cre</sup> mammary tumors, we performed western blot analysis using antibodies that recognize N-terminal sequences of cleavage-generated intracellular domain (ICD) fragments from Notch1 and 2. Indeed, higher levels of Notch1<sub>ICD</sub> and Notch2<sub>ICD</sub> were present in Lfng mutant tumors as compared to control non–tumor-bearing glands from the same animal (Figure 5B). Specific antibodies to detect murine Notch3<sub>ICD</sub> and Notch4<sub>ICD</sub> are currently unavailable; however, expression and processing of both proteins were changed in Lfng mutant tumors (Figure S3). For Notch4, increased accumulation of a C-terminal fragment consistent with Notch<sub>4ICD</sub> was observed (Figure S3). Finally, Jagged1 protein was expressed in Lfng mutant tumors, as were the protein products of Notch target genes, Cyclin D1 and c-Myc (Figure 5C). Thus, Lfng gene deletion results in Notch activation in these tumors, and is consistent with induction of Jagged/Notch target genes, CyclinD1 and c-Myc.

Met/Caveolin Gene Amplification and Signaling in Lfng Mutant Tumors

Next, to identify cooperative events in Lfng mutant tumors, we performed aCGH (array comparative genomic hybridization) on DNA isolated from 5 Lfng conditional mutant tumors as compared to non-tumor DNA isolated from control tissue. While copy number gains and losses were noted in many regions across the genome, the only common abnormality involved amplification of a small locus at chromosome 6A2 (Figures S4A and S4B). This was observed in 4 out of 5 tumor samples, the exception being a Lfng<sup>lox/+</sup>;MMTV-Cre mammary tumor. The overlapping region with copy number gains in each of the four tumors contained 13 genes including the tyrosine kinase Met, and neighboring Cav1 and 2 genes (Figure 6A). This locus is amplified and/or overexpressed in BLBC in humans and in mammary tumors from Brca1<sup>611/co</sup>, p53<sup>−/−</sup>; MMTV-Cre mice (Savage et al., 2007; Smolen et al., 2006). To determine which, if any, of these genes were overexpressed in Lfng mutant tumors, we screened our transcriptional profiling data for expression of genes within this region. Indeed, expression of several, including Met, Cav1 and 2, was significantly elevated in Lfng mutant tumors (Figure 6B). Interestingly, high-level MET expression has been noted in aggressive human breast cancer, particularly in breast tumors with EMT features (Gastaldi et al., 2010; Ponzo and Park, 2010), and expression of oncogenic Met can induce basal-like mammary tumors in transgenic mice (Graveel et al., 2009; Ponzo et al., 2009). We next used western analysis to test for elevated Met accumulation and activation in Lfng mutant tumors. As shown in Figure 6C, Lfng mutant tumors showed dramatically increased Met expression and activation. Overexpressed Met could still depend on HGF ligand for activation. Indeed, Hgf<sub>α</sub> was identified as overrepresented in gene expression signatures from Lfng mutant tumors in comparison to other mouse models of breast cancer analyzed (Table S1), and HGFα expression was detected by western analysis of Lfng mutant tumors (data not shown). Next, we analyzed Caveolin 1/2 expression in Lfng tumors. In the normal mammary gland, Caveolin 1 is very highly expressed in endothelial cells, adipocytes, and basally localized myoepithelium. High-level expression was also seen in type I and II tumor cells (Figure 6D). We analyzed Caveolin 2 expression by western blot, and in each case, it was elevated in tumor cells in comparison to nontumorous mammary tissue from the same animal (Figure 6E). Caveolin expression has been linked to enhanced Insulin and Igf-1R signaling (Lu et al., 2008; Ravid et al., 2005; Salani et al., 2008), and elevated Igf-1R signaling can induce mammary tumors in mice (Jones et al., 2007). We therefore analyzed InsR and Igf-1R signaling at the level of Irs1/2 tyrosine phosphorylation. Indeed, Irs tyrosine phosphorylation was elevated in Lfng mutant mammary tumors (Figure 6E). Thus, Lfng mutant tumors have selected for activation of receptors that are more highly expressed or active in basal cells (Hvid et al., 2011; Niranjan et al., 1995).
Low-Level LFNG Expression Is a Hallmark of Basal-like Breast Cancer in Humans

Because deletion of Lfng caused basal-like and claudin-low mammary tumors in mice, we analyzed LFNG expression in human breast cancer. First, we screened publically available gene expression data from 676 human breast cancers with linked clinical-pathological information. Interestingly, reduced LFNG expression was associated with high tumor grade, with ERα/PR/HER2 triple-negative status, and most significantly, with the basal-like molecular subtype (Figures S5A and S5B). To the contrary, elevated LFNG expression was noted in ER+ tumors and HER2+ tumors (Figures S5A and S5B). Nevins and colleagues have recently identified gene expression signatures for activation of signaling pathways in breast cancer (Gatza et al., 2010). We therefore tested for activation of these pathways in relation to LFNG gene expression. Several oncogenic pathways including those associated with a high rate of proliferation (Myc and E2F1), as well as stem cell signaling (β-catenin), show increased activity in tumors with low LFNG expression, whereas the p53 pathway activity is significantly depressed in LFNGlow tumors (Figure S5B). As Met was amplified and overexpressed in our Lfng mutant mammary tumors, we also tested for MET expression. As previously noted, basal-like and triple negative breast tumors expressed elevated levels of MET (Figure S5B and S5C) (Graveel et al., 2009; Ponzo et al., 2009). Perhaps not surprisingly, low LFNG expression was correlated with elevated MET levels (Figure S5C).

Finally, a number of studies have highlighted a potential role for Notch receptor signaling in human breast cancer. Therefore, we performed cluster analysis on the UNC publicly available microarray data set (UNC337, GSE18229), and evaluated expression of NOTCH pathway genes in each subtype (Prat et al., 2010). Once again, low-level LFNG expression was noted in basal-like tumors from this cohort, and also in a group of claudin-low tumors (Figures 7A and 7B). HES1, and to a lesser extent HEY1, showed reduced expression in a subset of basal tumors (Figure 7A). In contrast, c-Myc and NOTCH1 expression were elevated in BLBC (Figures 7A and 7B). Thus, LFNG expression is consistently low in human basal-like and a subset of claudin-low breast cancers. These data help explain how Jagged-mediated Notch activation stimulates proliferation (Cohen et al., 2010) as well as invasion (Shimizu et al., 2011) of BLBC and other triple-negative breast tumors.

DISCUSSION

With the discovery of Notch4 as a target in MMTV-induced mammary tumor formation, it became clear that elevated Notch signaling was oncogenic in mammary epithelium (Callahan and Smith, 2000). Indeed, translocations that activate NOTCH1 or 2 have been identified in some triple-negative breast cancers and cell lines (Robinson et al., 2011). In this study we have identified a role for Lfng deletion (mouse) or downregulation (human) in BLBC, associated with activation of NotchICD accumulation and induction of oncogenic Notch target gene expression. Multiple Notch receptors are expressed in the mammary gland and several of these are believed to function in breast cancer (Harrison et al., 2010; Haughian et al., 2012; Osipo et al., 2008; Sansone et al., 2007; Yamaguchi et al., 2008). Based on accumulation of luminal progenitor-like cells in Lfng mutant basal-like tumors, our data reinforce the finding that elevated Notch signaling enhances proliferation of this compartment (Bouras et al., 2008). Interestingly, a low level of LFNG is observed in the vast majority of BLBCs (Figure 7). It will be important to see how these tumors compare in phenotype to the small fraction of triple-negative tumors with Notch-activating translocations (Robinson et al., 2011).

Deletion of Lfng in our conditional mutant mice results in reduced expression of the Notch reporter gene during puberty. Based on the known function of Fringe proteins to enhance Delta ligand–mediated signaling, these data suggest that Dll1, expressed in myoepithelial
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cells, functions to activate Notch in Lfng-expressing MaSCs or bipotent progenitors. In adults, Jagged1 expression is enhanced within the epithelial compartment (Figure 1) (Reedijk et al., 2005). Loss of Lfng in this setting would be expected to increase Jagged/Notch signaling and to induce luminal cell fate commitment as well as proliferation of luminal progenitors (Bouras et al., 2008). It is this effect, in older animals, that is likely responsible for establishing both lineage bias and progenitor compartment expansion to set the stage for transformation.

Human BLBCs contain dozens of mutations that presumably cooperate to transform mammary progenitor cells (Ding et al., 2010). Recent data indicate that cooperative interactions occur between mutations in a number of tumor suppressors, including RB1, TP53, BRCA1, PTEN, and PTPN12 (Carey et al., 2010; Foulkes et al., 2010; Herschkowitz et al., 2008; Holstege et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2010, 2011; Kobayashi, 2008; Rakha et al., 2008; Saal et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2011). Despite this, it has not been clear how oncogenic pathways interact to control lineage in basal tumors. As a first step to define cooperative pathways in Lfng mutant tumors, we performed aCGH analysis and found Met/Cav gene amplification and enhanced signaling to represent a common event in this context. Indeed, low-level LFN G expression and elevated MET signaling are both associated with BLBC in humans (Gastaldi et al., 2010; Ponzo and Park, 2010). Interestingly, this same amplicon is selected for in brain tumors that occur in Pten/p53 conditional mutant mice, but not in brain tumors from mice with conditional deletion of Pten, p53, and Rb (Chow et al., 2011), suggesting that Met/Cav gene amplification/overexpression may perform similar oncogenic functions as Rb gene deletion, an event also associated with BLBC in humans and mice (Herschkowitz et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2010). The consistent selection for amplification of the Met/Cav locus in Lfng mutant tumors is striking, and speaks to an emerging concept in cancer whereby genes that function synergistically to enhance signaling through a specific oncogenic signaling pathway will frequently be co-selected during tumor formation or progression. For example, the chromosome 17q amplicon in HER2+ breast tumors encodes the HER2 receptor and also the Grb7 adaptor protein, which binds to HER2 protein to facilitate signal transduction (Andrechek et al., 2003; Stein et al., 1994). In addition, the 9p24 amplicon associated with mediastinal B cell lymphoma contains several genes that interact synergistically to enhance IL-13 signaling (Rui et al., 2010). The consistent selection for amplification of the Met/Cav gene locus in Lfng mutant tumors is striking, and speaks to an emerging concept in cancer whereby genes that function synergistically to enhance signaling through a specific oncogenic signaling pathway will frequently be co-selected during tumor formation or progression. For example, the chromosome 17q amplicon in HER2+ breast tumors encodes the HER2 receptor and also the Grb7 adaptor protein, which binds to HER2 protein to facilitate signal transduction (Andrechek et al., 2003; Stein et al., 1994). In addition, the 9p24 amplicon associated with mediastinal B cell lymphoma contains several genes that interact synergistically to enhance IL-13 signaling (Rui et al., 2010). The consistent selection for amplification of the Met/Cav gene locus in Lfng mutant tumors is striking, and speaks to an emerging concept in cancer whereby genes that function synergistically to enhance signaling through a specific oncogenic signaling pathway will frequently be co-selected during tumor formation or progression. For example, the chromosome 17q amplicon in HER2+ breast tumors encodes the HER2 receptor and also the Grb7 adaptor protein, which binds to HER2 protein to facilitate signal transduction (Andrechek et al., 2003; Stein et al., 1994). In addition, the 9p24 amplicon associated with mediastinal B cell lymphoma contains several genes that interact synergistically to enhance IL-13 signaling (Rui et al., 2010).
luminal/basal signaling network may prove effective for treatment of BLBC with low LFNG gene expression plus overexpression of the MET/CAV locus on chromosome 7q31.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Mice**

Mice were housed under standard condition and protocols approved by animal care committees at the Hospital for Sick Children and Toronto Center for Phenogenomics. The specific mice used for this study are described elsewhere (Duncan et al., 2005; Hrabé de Angelis et al., 1997; Xu et al., 2010; Zhang and Gridley, 1998). Note, the Lfng$^{-}$ null allele was generated through expression of Cre in the germline of female Lfng$^{flox/+}$; MMTV-Cre mice. Lfng$^{flox/flox}$; MMTV-Cre experimental animals were generated by crossing Lfng$^{flox/flox}$ females with Lfng$^{flox/flox}$; MMTV-Cre males, which did not result in Cre-mediated deletion in the germline.

**Mammary Gland Whole-Mounts, X-Gal Staining, Immunohistochemistry, and Western Analysis**

These techniques were performed as per standard protocols and are described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Flow Cytometry and Tumor Cell Transplantation**

Mouse mammary tissues were dissociated in Epicult-B medium plus collagenase/hyaluronidase (StemCell Technologies), and single cell suspensions were generated according to manufacturer’s protocols. Lineage-depleted (Lin$^{-}$) mammary epithelial cells were prepared using a Mouse Mammary Stem Cell Enrichment Kit (StemCell Technologies). Lin$^{-}$ single cells were suspended in HBSS with calf serum and HEPES, and stained with saturating concentrations of fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies as listed in Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Fluorescence was recorded using BD LSR-II flow cytometer and analyzed with FlowJo 9.1 (Treestar). Dead cells were excluded based on propidium iodide staining. Distinct populations of tumor cells were serially diluted, suspended in matrigel, and then injected into the mammary fat pad of 4-week-old FVB mice (Liu et al., 2007).

**Array Comparative Genomic Hybridization**

Genomic DNAs were purified using DNAeasy kits (QIAGEN) combined with phenol-chloroform extraction. Array CGH was conducted at the Center for Applied Genomics, Hospital for Sick Children. Briefly, 2.0 mg genomic DNA was labeled using a BioPrime kit (Invitrogen), hybridized to Agilent mouse 1 × 1M CGH arrays, and scanned. Genomic DNA from non-tumor mammary tissue of the same animal was used as reference for tumor samples.

**Microarray Gene Expression Analysis**

Mouse mammary tumor RNA was purified using an RNeasy mini Kit (QIAGEN). Microarray hybridizations were performed as described in Herschkowitz et al. except that samples were hybridized to custom 180K Agilent microarrays (BARCODE25503) and were scanned using an Agilent Microarray Scanner with Feature Extraction software (Herschkowitz et al., 2007). Analysis of the North Carolina breast cancer data set has been described (Prat et al., 2010). See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for gene expression analysis of mouse mammary tumors and analysis of LFNG expression in 676 human breast cancers.
Statistics

All data are presented as mean ± standard error (SE). For two group comparisons, two-tailed Student’s t test was used. For fold changes compared to 1, one-tailed one sample t test was used. A p value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant. Mouse tumor-free survival was analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared by a nonparametric log-rank test. Frequency of tumor-initiating cells was calculated using L-Calc software (StemCell Technologies).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Nishikawa A, et al. NOTCH3 signaling pathway plays crucial roles in the proliferation of ErbB2-
Significance

Here we report that LFNG, which suppresses Jagged/Notch signaling in vivo, is consistently expressed at a low level in basal-like tumors and deletion of this gene in the mouse mammary gland enhances accumulation of activated Notch intracellular domain polypeptides, increases proliferation, and induces basal-like mammary tumors in cooperation with amplification of the Met/Caveolin gene locus. These mutations interact to promote a specific BLBC signaling network with increased Notch pathway activation, as well as elevated Met and Igf-1R signaling. Patients with MET/CAV-overexpressing BLBC may therefore benefit from combination therapy targeting Notch, MET, and IGF1R.
Figure 1. \textit{Lfng} Is Expressed in Mammary Basal Cells and Its Deletion Causes Increased Proliferation and Expansion of the CD24+CD49f\textsuperscript{hi}CD61\textsuperscript{hi}Sca1\textsuperscript{−} Population in the Pubescent Mammary Gland

(A) Expression of \textit{Lfng}, \textit{Dll1}, and \textit{Jagged1} in the mouse mammary gland. Shown are representative photomicrographs of whole-mount (left column) and sectioned X-Gal staining of mammary glands from \textit{Lfng}\textsuperscript{LacZ/+}, \textit{Dll1}\textsuperscript{LacZ/+}, and \textit{Jagged1\textsuperscript{βGeo/+}} virgin mice at 6 weeks and 5 months of age. Sections for the TEBs are shown in the second column from left.

(B) Mammary hyperplasia in the \textit{Lfng}\textsuperscript{−/−} mutant. Shown are representative photomicrographs of whole-mount mammary glands from 6- and 12-week-old virgins.

(C) Representative photomicrographs of anti-K8, −K14 immunofluorescence staining in mammary sections from 6-week-old virgins of \textit{Lfng}\textsuperscript{+/+} and \textit{Lfng}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. TEBs and ducts are shown in upper and lower panels, respectively.

(D) Ductal elongation at 6 weeks old, measured as the distance between the lymph node and the ductal front line normalized to the distance between the lymph node and the end of the fat pad, are presented as mean values ± standard error. *p < 0.05. Note, the \textit{Lfng} null allele was generated through expression of Cre in the germline of female \textit{Lfng}\textsuperscript{flox/+}; MMTV-Cre mice. Increased mammary epithelial proliferation in \textit{Lfng}\textsuperscript{−/−} compared with control \textit{Lfng}\textsuperscript{+/+} littermates. Shown are representative photomicrographs of anti-Ki67 immunostaining in mammary sections same as (C). Numbers of Ki67\textsuperscript{+} cells are normalized to the total number of epithelial cells in TEBs or in ductal areas, presented as mean values ± standard error. **p < 0.005.

(E) Representative flow cytometry analyses of lineage-depleted mammary cells from \textit{Lfng}\textsuperscript{−/−}; TNR mutants compared with \textit{Lfng}\textsuperscript{+/+}; TNR littermates at 6 weeks old. Shown are CD24/CD49f plots and Sca-1/CD61 plots on populations I, II, III gated as in CD24/CD49f plots.

See also Figure S1.
Figure 2. Deletion of Lfng Induced Mammary Tumors in Mice

(A) Kaplan-Meier mammary tumor-free survival curve for control Lfng\textsuperscript{flox/flox} and Lfng\textsuperscript{flox/flox}; MMTV-Cre conditional mutant mice.

(B) Representative photomicrographs of H&E stained sections showing three histological types of Lfng\textsuperscript{flox/flox}; MMTV-Cre mammary tumors.

(C) Anti-ER\textalpha immunostaining on two main types of Lfng\textsuperscript{flox/flox}; MMTV-Cre mammary tumors. n: normal tissue; t: tumor. Note positive ER\textalpha staining in normal tissue adjacent to the tumor.

(D) Representative photomicrographs and quantification of anti-Ki67 immunostaining on two main types of Lfng\textsuperscript{flox/flox}; MMTV-Cre mammary tumors. Data are derived from two sections in each of three Type I and Type II tumors presented as mean values ± standard error.

(E) Representative photomicrographs of anti-K8, −K14 immunofluorescence staining on three types of Lfng\textsuperscript{flox/flox}; MMTV-Cre mammary tumors. Arrows point to cells showing co-expression of K8 and K14.

Scale bars correspond to 100 μm in (B), 50 μm in (C), (D) and (E).
Figure 3. *Lfng*^flox/flox^; *MMTV-Cre* Tumors Cluster with Basal-like and Claudin-Low Mouse Mammary Tumor Models

(A) Overview of expression of reference genes in tumors from various mouse models of breast cancer, including 11 tumors from *Lfng*^flox/flox^; *MMTV-Cre* mice. Colored bars at left correspond to regions shown in (B).

(B) Expression of selected genes representing the Claudin gene cluster, luminal gene cluster, proliferation-associated gene cluster, CK14 basal-like gene cluster, CK5 basal-like gene cluster, and EMT gene cluster. Expression data from type I and type II *Lfng*^flox/flox^; *MMTV-Cre* tumors are contained within yellow boxes. Clusters of tumor models are highlighted at the bottom. DMBA, 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]-anthracene.

(C) Enrichment map for gene sets over-represented in list of genes that are differentially expressed comparing *Lfng*^flox/flox^; *MMTV-Cre* mouse mammary tumors to other mouse models of breast cancer.

See also Table S1.
Figure 4. Flow Cytometry and Immunohistological Analysis of Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre Tumors

(A) Representative flow cytometry contour plots for lineage-depleted mammary tumor cells compared with non-tumor lineage-depleted mammary cells from a Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre mouse. Shown are CD24/CD49f, CD61/CD49f, and Sca1/CD49f plots from a Type I tumor.

(B) Additional analysis of the flow cytometry data as shown in (A): CD24/CD61 plots and Sca1/CD49f plots on the CD24<sup>+</sup>CD61<sup>+</sup> populations as gated in the upper panels.

(C) Limiting dilution transplantation assay on CD24<sup>+</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup>, CD24<sup>−</sup>CD49f<sup>−</sup> and CD24<sup>−</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup> tumor cells as gated in a CD24/CD49f plot. Data are derived from two independent experiments using two donors of type I tumor. TIC: Tumor-Initiating Cells. *p = 0.0307 (CD24<sup>+</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup> versus CD24<sup>−</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup>), **p = 0.0155 (CD24<sup>+</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup> versus CD24<sup>−</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup>)

(D) Representative photomicrographs of anti-Aldh1, anti-CD61, anti-Vimentin, anti-Twist and anti-Phospho-Akt immunostaining in two main types of Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre mammary tumors. Scale bars correspond to 50 μm.

See also Figure S2.
Figure 5. Dysregulation of Notch Signaling Pathway and Downstream Target Genes in Lfng$^{lox/lox}$; MMTV-Cre Tumors

(A) Expression of selected Notch signaling pathway and downstream target genes in tumors from various mouse models of breast cancer, including 11 tumors from Lfng$^{lox/lox}$; MMTV-Cre mice. Expression data from type I and type II Lfng$^{lox/lox}$; MMTV-Cre tumors are contained within yellow boxes. Genes with lower expression in Lfng$^{lox/lox}$; MMTV-Cre tumors are highlighted in green, with higher expression in red. Clusters of tumor models are highlighted at the bottom. DMBA, 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]-anthracene.

(B) Western blot analysis of cleaved Notch1 and cleaved Noch2 in mammary tumors (t) and non-tumor mammary tissue (n) from Lfng$^{lox/lox}$; MMTV-Cre mice (#1981, #1982, #8802), as well as in non-tumor mammary tissues from 18-month old Lfng$^{lox/lox}$; MMTV-Cre littermate mice. β-actin served as loading control.

(C) Representative photomicrographs of anti-Jagged1, anti-Cyclin D1 and anti-C-Myc, immunostaining in two main types of Lfng$^{lox/lox}$; MMTV-Cre mammary tumors. Scale bars correspond to 50 μm.

See also Figure S3.
Figure 6. Met/Caveolin Amplicon and Signaling of Met and IgfR in Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre Mammary Tumors

(A) aCGH analysis of DNA isolated from four Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre mouse tumors and one Lfng<sup>flox/+</sup>; MMTV-Cre tumor showing a commonly amplified locus among all four Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre tumors on chromosome 6. Red bar corresponds to the overlapping region containing 13 genes.

(B) Expression of the commonly amplified genes as shown in (A) in 11 Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre tumors in comparison with expression of these genes in other mouse models of breast cancer. Expression data from type I and type II Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre tumors are contained within yellow boxes.

(C) Western blot analysis of Met and phospho-Met in mammary tumors (t) and non-tumor mammary tissue (n) from Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre mice (#1981, #1982, #8802), as well as in mammary tissue from 18-month old Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup> and Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre littermate mice. β-actin served as loading control.

(D) Representative photomicrographs of anti-Caveolin-1 immunostaining in two main types of Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre mammary tumors. Red arrows indicate positive staining in blood vessels. Black arrow points to a mammary duct adjacent to the tumor. Note, non-tumor mammary tissues show strong staining in adipocytes and weak staining in myoepithelial cells. Scale bars correspond to 50 μm.

(E) Western blot analysis of Caveolin-2 and Phospho-IRS-1/2 in mammary tumors (t) and non-tumor mammary tissue (n) from Lfng<sup>flox/flox</sup>; MMTV-Cre mice.

See also Figure S4.
Figure 7. Human Basal-like and A Subset of Claudin-Low Breast Cancers Exhibit Low Levels of LFNG, HES1, and HEY1 Gene Expression, but High Levels of NOTCH1 and MYC Gene Expression
(A) Expression of selected Notch pathway genes and proliferation-associated Notch target genes in 320 breast tumors and 17 normal breast samples from the North Carolina data set. 5 subtypes of human breast cancers are highlighted. Expression of LFNG, HES1, HEY1, MYC and NOTCH1 in basal-like breast cancers are boxed in white and shown in enlarged view (bottom of panel A). Expression levels for these five genes in a subset of Claudin-low breast cancers (with lower LFNG levels) are boxed in yellow. Green bars mark individual tumors showing decreased expression of all three genes (LFNG, HES1, HEY1). Red bars mark tumors with increased expression of both NOTCH1 and MYC.
(B) Mean expression values for LFNG and NOTCH1 from the North Carolina data set. BL, basal-like; CL, Claudin-low; H2, HER2-positive; LA, luminal A; LB, luminal B; NBL, normal breast-like. p values were calculated by comparing expression means across all subtypes.
See also Figure S5.
Lfng modifies Notch receptor to inhibit its activation by Jagged ligand and enhance its activation by Dll ligand. Loss of Lfng results in increased Jagged1-mediated Notch activation and upregulation of c-Myc, Cyclin D1, Igf1R and uPA, leading to increased proliferation. Loss of Lfng may decrease Dll1-mediated Notch activation in bipotent mammary progenitor cells, causing expansion of basal cells. Decreased expression of Hes/Hey Notch target genes may de-repress the Met promoter. Selected as cooperative event in Lfng deficiency induced mammary tumorigenesis, the Met/caveolin amplicon increases abundance of Met and Caveolin1/2, the latter proteins are predicted to enhance signaling through Igf-1R and IRS. Signaling through Met and Igf-1R stimulate proliferation. TGN, trans Golgi network.