RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Cyclic AMP signaling restricts activation and promotes maturation and antioxidant defenses in astrocytes

Sonia Paco^{1,6}, Manuela Hummel^{2,7}, Virginia Plá^{1,3}, Lauro Sumoy^{4,5} and Fernando Aguado^{1,3*}

Abstract

Background: cAMP signaling produces dramatic changes in astrocyte morphology and physiology. However, its involvement in phenotype acquisition and the transcriptionally mediated mechanisms of action are largely unknown.

Results: Here we analyzed the global transcriptome of cultured astroglial cells incubated with activators of cAMP pathways. A bulk of astroglial transcripts, 6221 annotated genes, were differentially regulated by cAMP signaling. cAMP analogs strongly upregulated genes involved in typical functions of mature astrocytes, such as homeostatic control, metabolic and structural support to neurons, antioxidant defense and communication, whereas they downregulated a considerable number of proliferating and immaturity-related transcripts. Moreover, numerous genes typically activated in reactive cells, such as scar components and immunological mediators, were repressed by cAMP. GSEA analysis contrasting gene expression profiles with transcriptome signatures of acutely isolated astrocytes and *in situ* evaluation of protein levels in these cells showed that cAMP signaling conferred mature and in vivo–like transcriptional features to cultured astrocytes.

Conclusions: These results indicate that cAMP signaling is a key pathway promoting astrocyte maturation and restricting their developmental and activation features. Therefore, a positive modulation of cAMP signaling may promote the normal state of differentiated astrocytes and favor the protection and function of neuronal networks.

Keywords: Antioxidant defense, Astrocytes, cAMP, Differentiation, Reactive glia, Transcriptomic, Brain, NR2C

Background

Mature astrocytes ensheath synapses and fine blood vessels, within the neuro-glio-vascular units, to shape the functional micro-architecture of the central nervous system (CNS). Through an array of transporters, ion channels and released and adhesion molecules, astrocytes play key roles in the regulation of extracellular fluid homeostasis, integrity of the blood–brain barrier and assurance of metabolic demand and antioxidant defense of neurons. Astrocytes also make a crucial contribution to communication, operating within glial networks through gap junctions and hemichannels and bidirectionally with neurons and endothelial cells via



In contrast to neurons, little is known about the intracellular signaling of astrocytes, and the pathways controlling their differentiation and activation have not been elucidated. By means of STAT transcription factors, cytokines of the interleukin-6 family are key cues in the specification and differentiation of astroglial cells. Moreover, Smad transcription factors activated by bone morphogenetic proteins also promote astrogliogenesis, through their interaction with STAT3 [5]. Strikingly, analysis of glial activation in pro-inflammatory cytokineadministered and genetically-modified mice has shown that JAK-STAT3 signaling is also a key pathway through which astrocytes become reactive [6]. In addition to



© 2016 Paco et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: faguado@ub.eduAQ2

¹Department of Cell Biology, University of Barcelona, Av. Diagonal 645, Barcelona E-08028, Spain

³Institute of Neurosciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona E-08035, Spain Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

activating kinase-dependent receptors, G-protein coupled receptors can trigger astroglial genesis and maturation via cAMP [7-11]. Changes in cell morphology and protein expression induced by cAMP have long been considered a feature of astrocyte activation [12-16]. However, the precise significance of the cAMP pathway in the maturation and activation of these cells remains elusive. Here we studied the net impact of the cAMP signaling pathway on astrocytes by analyzing the global transcriptome of cultured cells incubated with permeable cAMP analogs. Moreover, we perform Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of cAMP-regulated genes and contrasted our findings with recent microarray reports and the in vivo expression patterns of astrocytes. Our findings show that the overall impact of the cAMP signaling pathway in astrocytes is to promote maturation and restrict developmental and activation features.

Results and discussion

Genome-wide transcriptional regulation in astrocytes by cAMP signaling

To activate cAMP signaling we augmented intracellular levels by exposing cultured astrocytes to the permeable cAMP analog 8Br-cAMP. Before and after treatment cell cultures were essentially formed by astrocytes (>95 % GFAP⁺ cells). Sustained elevated intracellular cAMP levels altered the number and morphology of astrocytes. Ouantitative analysis showed almost 25 % less GFAP⁺ astrocytes after treatment compared with controls $(54.21 \pm 0.78 \text{ cell/mm}^2 \text{ and } 72.08 \pm 1.24 \text{ cell/mm}^2, \text{ re-}$ spectively, p = 0.006). In agreement with previous studies [7, 17], control flat polygonal-shaped astroglial cells were converted into process-bearing stellate astrocytes (Fig. 1). Microarray analysis showed that 6221 of 16,594 annotated genes with assigned gene symbol were differentially expressed by a factor of 1.2 or greater (and FDR q-value <0.05) in 8Br-cAMP-treated astrocytes. The full list of differentially expressed genes is shown in Additional file 1: Table S1. Approximately, one-third of the regulated elements on the array corresponded to ESTs and genes of unknown function. Of the 6221 genes with significant regulation 42.1 % were upregulated by cAMP while the rest were downregulated.

We validated the present array results by analyzing astrocyte expression of the mRNA and protein of 10 representative genes after cAMP augmentation (Figs. 1 and 2). qPCR analysis was performed on the cell cycle regulator cyclin D1 (*Ccnd1*), the peptidase inhibitor cystatin C (*Cst3*), the calcium-dependent exocytotic SNARE *Vamp2*, the NMDA receptor subunit NR2C (*Grin2c*), the adhesion component claudin 10 (*Cldn10*) and the thyroid hormone transporter *Slco1c1*. A correlation was found between RT-qPCR and array results for each gene (Fig. 2a). cAMP-induced changes of cyclin D1, cystatin C, Vamp2 and the apoptotic regulator Bid, as well as their time dependence, were further corroborate at protein level by immunoblotting (Fig. 2b). No changes on the array were found for nonregulated genes, as shown for the ubiquitous exocytotic protein Snap23 (Fig. 2b). Immunofluorescence for the proliferation marker Mki67, the polyamine enzyme Ssat (*Sat1*) and the NR2C receptor demonstrated that cAMPdependent gene regulation occurred in GFAP-identified astrocytes (Fig. 1). Furthermore, previous studies showing that cAMP regulates the expression of intermediate filaments (GFAP and Nes), glutamate transporters (Slc1a2 and Slc1a3), deiodinases (Dio2), and secretogranins (Scg2 and Scg3) in astrocytes [8, 9, 18, 19] and Additional file 1: Table S1.

cAMP-induced changes in functional expression profiles of astrocytes

The functional roles of up- and downregulated cAMPresponsive genes were categorized by Gene Ontology enrichment analysis using GSEA. GO categories with GSEA q-value <2 % were considered relevant. We calculated the relative proportion of genes in the core enrichment of each GO category and grouped them in general categories (Fig. 3). All the GO categories enriched with differentially regulated genes are shown in the bar plot of Additional file 2: Figure S1.

More than half (55.2 %) the upregulated genes in enriched GO categories corresponded to cell metabolism and transporters. Thus, genes involved in the uptake and degradation of neurotransmitters such as glutamate, glycine and catecholamines were overexpressed after treatment (Slc1a2, Glul, Gldc and Maob). Ion channels, pumps and transporters with key homeostatic and metabolic functions were also preferentially upregulated by cAMP. For instance, genes participating in the membrane transport of K^+ (Kcnn2), Cl^- (Clcn2), Ca^{2+} (Atp2a2 and Atp2b2), water (Aqp4), glucose (Slc2a1), thyroid hormones (Slco1c1 and Slc16a2), and oligopeptides (Slc15a2) were activated by cAMP signaling. Moreover, an increase of cAMP levels induced expression of genes coding for a wide variety of astrocytic metabolic enzymes, such as most aldehyde dehydrogenases, tRNA ribonucleases and GPI transamidases. Interestingly, the third functional category of genes upregulated by cAMP was associated with antioxidant activities (Table 1). Thus, genes encoding for antioxidant enzymes and molecules, such as catalase, superoxide dismutases, metallothioneins, heme oxygenases and NADPH-quinone oxidoreductases were found to be through microarray analysis. Enhanced cAMP also upregulated numerous genes related to the glutathione system and transport of antioxidant vitamins. These results support previous studies showing an antioxidant involvement of the cAMP pathway in the CNS and suggest that cAMP





signaling promotes glial differentiation and antioxidant protection [1, 20].

GO categories enriched with downregulated genes were associated mainly with proliferating and immaturityrelated features of astrocytes (Table 2). Thus, 71.5 % of the genes were involved in the general categories cell cycle and apoptosis, cell signaling, cytoskeleton, cell shape and motility, and cell development. Expression of genes typically involved in mitotic processes was dramatically decreased, such as those encoding for the DNA polymerases and the proliferation antigen Mki67. Transcripts of proliferation and cell death regulators, such as mitogens and morphogens (IGF-1, PDGF and BMP4), cyclins, caspases and proapoptotic Bcl2 family members (e.i., Casp8, Bid and Bax) were reduced after exposure to cAMP. Moreover, numerous genes involved in cytoskeletal organization, such as Rho GTPases, myosins, actins, tropomyosins, kinesins and septins, were notably repressed. Among downregulated genes, those GO categories associated with reactive astrocytes such as components of the extracellular matrix and mediators of the immune response were predominantly enriched. Thus, mRNA expression of tenascin C, nidogens and many collagens and chondroitin and heparan sulfate proteoglycans was reduced. Interestingly, the enzymes responsible for the major postranscriptional modifications of proteoglycans and collagens were widely downregulated (sulfotransferases and lysyl oxidases). Finally, prolonged cAMP signaling stimulation in astrocytes induced a downregulation of numerous mediators of





the immune response, such as cytokines, chemokines and components of the major histocompatibility complex and phagocytototic and adhesion processes (e.g., *Tnf, Ccl2, Tgfb1, Cxcl10, Csf1r, H2-D1, B2m, Gulp1* and *Icam1*). We conclude that cAMP contributes to the restriction of developmental and activation features of astrocytes.

Elevated cAMP restricts the activation of astrocytes and promotes their differentiation

To substantiate the net phenotype induction of cAMP signaling on astroglial cells, we applied GSEA analysis to contrast the present gene profiles with previous microarray studies describing the transcriptome signatures of

Table 1 Antioxidant-associated genes upregulated by cAMP in astrocytes (fold-change)

, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Catalase	Cat (2.2)
Superoxidase dismutases	Sod2 (1.4), Sod3 (5.3)
Peroxiredoxins and sulfiredoxins	Prdx1 (1.8), Prdx2 (1.5), Prdx5 (1.5), Srxn1 (4.1)
Cystine/glutamate transporter	Slc7a11 (9.6)
Glutamate-cysteine ligases	Gclc (5.3), Gclm (3.1)
Gluthatione synthetase and transferases	Gss (1.5), Gsta1 (15.7), Gsta2 (6.9), Gsta3 (6.6), Gsta4 (2.3), Gstm5 (1.6), Mgst1 (4.4), Mgst2 (7.7)
Glutathione peroxidases and reductases	Gpx4 (1.6), Gsr (1.5)
NADPH-quinone oxidoreductase and heme oxygenase	Nqo1 (4.4)
Thioredoxins and thioredoxin reductases	Txn2 (1.6), Txnrd1 (3.3)
Metallothioneins and selenoproteins	Mt1 (1.6), Mt2 (1.5), Sepp1 (1.3)
Ascorbate and glucose/ dehydroascorbate transporters	Slc23a2 (4.5), Slc2a1 (3.9), Slc2a4 (1.4), Slc2a8 (1.7), Slc2a13 (2.2)
α -tocopherol transfer protein	Ttpa (2.2)

in vivo astrocytes acutely isolated in a range of states. First, we compared our genome profiling results with gene sets of developing and mature brain astrocytes reported by Cahoy et al. [21]. We found that cAMPinduced upregulated genes were significantly enriched for the mature profile of astrocytes (Fig. 4). The 166 common transcripts included metabolic (Agxt2l1), antioxidant (Sod3) and signaling (Gjb2). Conversely, 258 genes downregulated by cAMP, such as Pold1, Ccna2 and Npy, were enriched for the developmental gene set of astrocytes (Fig. 4). The full lists are shown in Additional file 3: Table S2 and S3. We conclude that cAMP signaling causes the global transcriptome of astrocytes to become more differentiated. Previous electrophysiological and biochemical studies demonstrating that cAMP elevation induces the acquisition of mature astrocyte signatures, such as proliferation arrest, membrane conductances, neurotransmitter uptake and cytoskeletal construction further substantiate a relevant contribution of this pathway to astrocyte maturation [7–10]. In addition to supporting roles to neurons, astrocytes are dynamic regulatory elements implicated in the physiology of neural circuits [1-3]. The observed regulation of connexins and pannexins provides evidence for the crucial contribution of intracellular cAMP levels to glial crosstalk. Interestingly, cAMP augmentation also influences astroglial components of the so-called "tripartite synapse". Here we show that the NMDA receptor subunit, NR2C, and the SNARE proposed to mediate astrocyte-toneuron communication, VAMP-2 [22], were found to be under control of cAMP concentrations. These results together previous observations on regulated exocytosis implicate cAMP as a second messenger involved in neural communication [2, 17, 23].

Next, we compare our profiles of cAMP-regulated genes with genes overexpressed in activated astrocytes. First, we compared cAMP-regulated gene sets with

Table 2 Selected categories and genes down-regulated by cAMP elevation in astrocytes

General category						
GO category	NES	FDR q-value	Gene	ID	Protein name	FC
Cell cycle and apoptosis						
Mitosis	-1,71	0.018	Ccna2	12428	cyclin A2	- 4,2
DNA directed DNA polymerase activity	-1,76	0.012	Pold1	18971	polymerase (DNA directed), delta 1, catalytic subunit	-2,3
Cytokinesis	-1,69	0.02	Myh10	77579	myosin, heavy polypeptide 10, non-muscle	-3,7
Cell signalling						
Ras protein signal transduction	-1,77	0.012	lgf1	16000	insulin-like growth factor 1	-6.1
Neuropeptide hormone activity	-1,29	0.183	Npy	109648	neuropeptide Y	- 3,5
Cytoskeleton, cell shape and motility						
Collagen	-1,75	0.013	Col5a2	12832	collagen type V a2	- 6,7
Structural constituent of cytoskeleton	-1,67	0.023	Tpm1	22003	tropomyosin 1, alpha	-3.1
Cell development						
Cell development	-1,85	0.008	lgfbp3	16009	insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3	- 4,1
Cellular metabolism						
Proteoglycan metabolic process	-1,76	0.012	Chst7	60322	chondroitin 6-sulfotransferase 7	- 2,9
Immune response						
Inflammatory response	-1,82	0.01	Ccl2	20296	chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2	- 2,1
			Cxcl10	15945	chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 10	-3,7
Others						
Lipid raft	-2,01	0.0088	Cav1	12389	caveolin 1	- 4,0

previous studies performed on cultured astrocytes stimulated with pro-inflammatory cytokines [24, 25]. It has been established that in vitro administration of TNF- α , IL-1 β and IFN- γ recapitulate many aspects of reactive astrogliosis, mainly those related to immunity processes [26]. Genes downregulated by cAMP were significantly enriched in upregulated gene sets of astrocytes treated with IFN- γ alone (n = 313 genes) or with a mix of TNF- α , IL-1 β and IFN- γ (*n* = 183 genes) (Fig. 4a, c). Remarkably, more than a quarter of the genes upregulated by each inflammatory treatment were downregulated by cAMP. Gene sets downregulated by cAMP and upregulated by IFN-y alone and by the cytokine mix shared 17 genes in common, 11 related to immune response (i.e. Ccl2, Icam1) and 4 to proteasomal and lysosomal components (i.e., Ctsc). The full gene list is in Additional file 3: Table S4. Consistently, similar opposite gene regulation was found comparing changes induced by cAMP with those produced by the inflammatory mediators TGF- β 1, lipopolyssacaride (LPS) and IFN- γ on cultured astrocytes reported by Hamby et al. [27].

We further evaluated the activation state of astrocytes exposed to 8Br-cAMP comparing our results whit previous genomic analyses performed on reactive astrocytes acutely isolated from ischemic and LPS-exposed mouse brains [28]. As occurs in models of astrogliosis in vitro, genes repressed by cAMP were significantly enriched in activated gene sets of in vivo reactive astrocytes (Fig. 4a, c). Among the genes showing an opposite regulation in 8Br-cAMP-treated cells and brain isolated reactive astrocytes were those involved in cell cycle (Ccnb1, Ccnd1, Gas1, Ki67), immune response (B2m, Ccl2, H2-K1), extracellular matrix (Anxa1, Anxa2, Col6a1) and cell signaling and metabolism (Cav1, Ch25h, Galntl2, Igfbp3, Klf6, Tnfrsf12a). Stroke and neuroinflammation induce overlapping but distinct sets of activated genes in reactive astrocytes [28], thus around a third and quarter of the genes upregulated in vivo by ischemia and LPS, respectively, were significantly enriched for the profile of repressed by cAMP signaling (Fig. 4c, Additional file 3: Tables S5 and S6). Moreover, 7 out of the 18 genes downregulated by cAMP and activated by the two in vivo injury models were associated to immune response (Cd14, Cxcl10, Gbp3, Icam1, Iigp1, Oasl2 and Spp1). Taken together, these data indicate that cAMP signaling restrict reactive astrogliosis.

Our findings demonstrate a wide cAMP-induced downregulation of genes related to the main hallmarks of astroglial activation, such as hyperplasia, cytoskeletal rearrangement, triggering of immunological reactions and production of scar components. Many genes associated with proliferation and cytoskeletal components upregulated during activation were downregulated by cAMP (as shown for *Mki67* and *Nes*). This is expected



because developing and reactive astrocytes share some cellular features. Moreover, cAMP elevation also represses a number of genes encoding for immunological mediators and scar components which are overexpressed in reactive astroglia (cytokines, chemokines, collagens, sulfated proteoglycans and adhesion molecules), including the new marker for reactive astrogliosis, podoplanin [29]. Although some transcripts implicated in glial activation were upregulated in cultured cells treated with 8Br-cAMP (i.e. *C3* and *Il-6*), our results suggest that

cAMP signaling essentially represses astroglial activation. This conclusion may diverge from the assumption by some authors that cAMP analogs in cultured astrocytes promote activation [12–16]. However, this consideration was taken on the basis of changes in shape stellation and GFAP expression, which are also typical attributes of maturing astrocytes [8, 9, 30]. Noteworthy, our results showing an opposite transcriptome regulation by cAMP and glial-activating cytokines are consistent with previous studies demonstrating that elevated cAMP in

astrocytes antagonizes the cytokine-induced expression of adhesion molecules involved in neuroinflammation [31]. A repressive role for cAMP in glial activation is also consistent with the observations that cAMP pathways are involved in the reduction and promotion of the glial activation triggered by anti-inflammatory and pro-inflamatory extracellular agents, respectively [32–34]. Moreover, the observation of cAMP-induced upregulation of repressors of the glial inflammatory response, such as *Socs1* (2.3 fold) and *Nr4a2* (10.5 fold) [35, 36], further support the notion that cAMP signaling restrict glial activation.

cAMP signaling confers a in vivo-like transcriptional profile to cultured astrocytes

In contrast to other neural cell types, such as neurons and oligodendrocytes, astroglial cells grown in culture do not accurately reflect their attributes in vivo. For instance, cultured astrocytes display a high proliferative rate and deficient glutamate clearance, and they lack cell processes and membrane K⁺ and Cl⁻ conductances [7, 8]. It has been revealed that in vitro astrocytes show an immature, somewhat reactive, transcriptional profile [21, 37] (see also overlap between developing and in vitro sets in Fig. 4c). Therefore by comparing our results with gene sets of Cahoy et al. [21], we also examined whether cAMP-induced changes make the features of cultured astrocytes more like those observed in vivo. Surprisingly, 334 out of 1147 genes upregulated by cAMP were significantly enriched in the mRNA signature of brain-purified astrocytes (in vivo set), whereas a 32,5 % of cAMPdownregulated genes were common with the upregulated set of cultured astrocytes (in vitro set) (Fig. 4a-c). For instance, the connexin *Gja1*, the immunosuppressor Nr4a2, the antioxidant Cat and the Notch pathway effector Hes5 were overexpressed by cAMP, whereas a strong downregulation was found for many annexins, caveolins and IGFBPs. The full gene lists are shown in Additional file 3: Table S7 and S8. Moreover, around two-thirds of the overlapped genes in the cAMP-upregulated/mature and cAMP-downregulated/ developing groups were shared with in vivo (i.e. Adra2a, Grin2c, and Kcnn2) and in vitro (i.e. collagens, nidogens and kinesins) enriched gene sets, respectively. Our observation that the transcriptome of cultured astrocytes acquires a greater resemblance to that of in vivo cells when these cells are exposed to 8Br-cAMP may indicate that cAMP signaling confers astrocytes an in vivo-like phenotype by repressing activation and promoting differentiation. Because astrocytes cultured in serum-free conditions and in a bioactive3D system more closely resemble astrocytes in vivo [38, 39], an involvement of cAMP signaling in the environment-dependent acquisition of a in vivo phenotype of cultured astrocytes could be suggested.

A transcriptional database of cAMP-regulated genes involved in astrocyte maturation

We have contrasted our gene profiles with previous studies describing the transcriptome signatures of acutely isolated astrocytes [21, 28]. Next, we evaluate expression of representative genes regulated by cAMP in situ in cortical astrocytes in different states. Mki67 and Nes genes were downregulated by cAMP in our cultures (-5 and -4.2 fold), but upregulated in acute-purified developing and reactive astrocytes [21, 28]. Conversely, mRNA expression of the NMDA receptor subunit NR2C was upregulated by cAMP (3.9 fold) as well as in isolated matured astrocytes [21]. In agreement with the proliferative state of cells, many nuclei of GFAP- and Nestin-positive astrocytes were immunolabeled for Mki67 in histological sections of postnatal and injured adult cortex, but not in the intact adult brain (Fig. 5). Although recent studies have shown that NR2C mRNA increases with age in cortical astrocytes in situ [40, 41], the location of NMDA receptor protein in glial cells in vivo is unknown. Here we show the protein expression of NR2C subunit in many astrocytes in the adult cerebral cortex (Fig. 5). In conclusion, the present GSEA results may provide a comprehensive database of transcripts involved in the acquisition of a mature astrocyte phenotype regulated by the cAMP pathway.

The induction of target genes and cellular programs regulated by the cAMP pathway is highly dependent on cellular contexts [42]. For instance, elevated cAMP results in cell-cycle arrest in astrocytes but induces proliferation of neurons [9, 43]. We postulate that the potent gene regulation by cAMP in astrocytes shown here is conveyed through PKA and the subsequent activation of the CREB transcription factors. Moreover, the recruitment of specific CREB regulatory partners, the activation of PKA-independent pathways and a second-ary gene regulation may also collaborate to shape the individual astrocyte transcriptome elicited by cAMP signaling [43, 44].

Conclusions

We here show that the net effect of cAMP signaling is to restrict developmental and activation features of astrocytes and promote their maturation. Therefore, we propose that controlled positive modulation of cAMP signaling could be used to repress the mechanisms of activation driven by pathological situations and to promote the physiologically normal state of differentiated astrocytes to support and protect neuronal populations.

Methods

Animals

OF1 mice were provided by Charles River Laboratories, Inc. (Lyon, France), kept under controlled temperature $(22 \pm 2 \text{ °C})$, humidity (40–60 %), and light (12-h cycles)



and treated in accordance with the European Community Council Directive (86/609/EEC) on animal welfare. Under ketamine/xylazine anesthesia, stab wound lesions were made in the parietal cortex with a scalpel blade as described previously [19].

Astrocyte cultures

Cultures were prepared from the cerebral cortex of 2day-old mice [17]. Most cell culture reagents were obtained from GIBCO (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK). Briefly, the cerebral cortex was isolated and the meninges were carefully dissected out. Cortical tissues were then minced and incubated in 0.25 % trypsin and 0.01 % DNase. Dissociated cells were seeded in flasks and grown in high-glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium and F-12 (1:1) containing 10 % FBS, 10 mM HEPES and penicillin/streptomycin at 37 ° C in a 5 % CO_2 incubator. At confluence (days 10–12), the flasks were shaken overnight and the cells were rinsed, detached and subcultured onto poly-L-lysine-coated plastic culture dishes and coverslips. Treatment with 1 mM 8Br-cAMP (Biolog, Life Science Institute, Bremen, Germany) was administered for 8 days to induce the robust morphological and physiological changes described elsewhere [7, 8].

RNA Extraction and Reverse Transcription

RNA was isolated from triplicate cultures from the four individual experiments. Extractions were performed using using Trizol[®] Reagent (Invitrogen[™]) following the manufacturer's instructions. The quantity and quality of isolated RNAs were determined with a NanoDrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) and a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany). The RNA integrity numbers (RIN) in all cases ranged from 8.7 to 10, thereby indicating minimal RNA degradation [45]. First-strand DNA was synthesized using the Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase kit (Invitrogen). 1 μ g of total RNA was added to kit components and nuclease-free

water to 20 μ l. Reactions containing nuclease-free water instead of enzyme served as a negative control. Reactions were incubated at 25 °C for 10 min, 50 °C for 30 min, 85 °C for 5 min. They were then chilled on ice. *E.coli* RNAse H was added and incubated at 37 °C for 20 min. The samples were then cooled to 4 °C and finally stored at -20 °C.

Microarray Analysis

RNA (500 ng) was labeled using Agilent's Low Input RNA Labeling Kit, which involves reverse transcribing the mRNA in the presence of T7-oligo-dT primer to produce cDNA and then in vitro transcribing with T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of Cy3-CTP or Cy5-CTP to produce labeled cRNA. The labeled cRNA was hybridized to the Agilent Mouse 4x44K 60-mer oligo microarray according to the manufacturer's protocol. The arrays were washed, dried by centrifugation and scanned on an Agilent G2565BA microarray scanner at 100 % PMT and 5 μ m resolution. Data were extracted using Genepix 6.0 (Molecular Devices) software using the irregular spot finding feature.

Microarray Statistical Processing

Log2ratio values were computed for all pairs of control and cAMP-stimulated samples. Per-probe log2ratios were aggregated to per-gene values by taking median values. Analysis for differential expression on a geneby-gene basis was performed by limma [46] while distinguishing biological from technical replicates (dyeswap hybridizations). Correction for multiple testing was done using the False Discovery Rate (FDR) method. Additional file 1: Table S1 with heat map was generated with Array File Maker 4.0 tool [47]. The lists of regulated genes were brought into biological context by Gene Ontology scoring using GSEA [48]. Also, for cross-study comparisons GSEA, was applied. This approach was used to test gene sets of interest (defined as lists of differentially expressed gene symbols derived from other studies) for significant enrichment among

differentially expressed genes within our study. Only studies performed on mouse from which astrocyte cultures were obtained and maintained under similar conditions to those in the present study were considered. To compare the gene sets by Venn diagrams, we fixed the same fold change cut-off at 1.5.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR Analysis

RT-qPCR was carried out using Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) on an Applied 7700 machine, using the primers indicated in Additional file 4: Table S9, and following the manufacturer's protocol. A dilution series $(10^{0}-10^{-3})$ of total cDNA was prepared to determine the standard curve (relative quantification). The samples (in triplicates) were amplified according to the following protocol: 10 min at 95 °C, 42 cycles: 15 s at 95 °C, 15 s annealing temperature (60 °C), 30 s at 72 °C. To control the specificity of the reaction, melting-curve analysis was performed after amplification. In addition, PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis to confirm the size of the amplified targets. Levels of Tbp were used as normalization controls and relative mRNA levels were calculated as indicated by Pfaffl et al. [49] and expressed as mean ± standard error.

Western blotting

Cultured cells were lysed in ice-cold 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 1 % Triton-X 100 and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics). Samples were electrophoresed in 10 % SDS-PAGE (BioRad) and then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Whatman[®] Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH). The membranes were blocked in a solution containing 5 % non-fat milk powder in TBST (140 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4 and 0.1 % Tween 20) for 1 h at room temperature and then incubated with primary antibodies (Additional file 5: Table S10) in blocking buffer for 2 h at room temperature. After several washes in blocking solution, the membranes were incubated for 1 h with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark). Bound antibodies were visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence reagents PIERCE° ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA).

Immunocytochemistry

Cells grown on glass coverslips were fixed with 4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min. Adult and postnatal (P5) animals were perfused transcardially under deep anesthesia with the same fixative. Brains were removed from the skulls, postfixed for 4 h and cryoprotected overnight at 4 $^{\circ}$ C by immersion in a 30 % sucrose solution. Forty-micrometer-thick frozen sections were obtained

with a cryostat and collected in PBS. To suppress nonspecific binding, cell cultures and brain sections were incubated in PBS containing 10 % FBS, 0.1 % Triton X-100, 0.2 % glycine, and 0.2 % gelatin for 1 h at room temperature. Incubations with the primary antibodies (Additional file 5: Table S10) were carried out overnight at 4 °C in PBS containing 1 % FBS, 0.1 % Triton X-100, and 0.2 % gelatin. Cell cultures and brain sections were processed for immunofluorescence using secondary fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies (Alexa Fluor 488 and Alexa Fluor 568, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Cell nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Molecular Probes). Cell-containing coverslips and histological sections were mounted with Mowiol. Double immunofluorescences were performed by using primary antibodies raised in different species. Images were obtained with a Leica TCS SPE scanning confocal microscope. The specificity of the immunostaining was tested by omitting the primary antibodies or by replacing them with an equivalent concentration of nonspecific IgG. No immunostaining was observed in these conditions.

Ethics statement

Animal experimental procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universitat de Barcelona, in compliance with Catalan and European legislation All efforts were made to minimize the number used and animal suffering.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Table S1. Full list of significantly regulated genes in cAMP-treated cells. Differential expression analysis on a gene-by-gene basis was done using linear models (limma). The table contains all genes with FDR q-values <5 % and absolute fold changes > 1.2 and is sorted on the basis of fold changes in decreasing order. The first eight columns show a color representation of the log2ratios for each two-color hybridization of a cAMP-treated together with a control sample. The sign of dye-swap hybridization log2ratios (indicated by 'DS' in the column name) was flipped, such that values have the same "direction" as in the direct hybridizations, i.e. red (green) indicates up- (down-) regulation in cAMP-treated as compared to Control. The 9th column is the average log2ratio between cAMP-treated and Control samples. In addition to gene symbol and gene description, the remaining three columns show (symmetric) fold changes, limma p-values and FDR q-values. (XLS 4198 kb)

Additional file 2: Figure S1. Barplot of enriched Gene Ontology categories. The barplot shows negative log10 q-values of Gene Ontology categories significantly enriched (q-value <0.02) with regulated genes according to GSEA analysis. The greater the bar height, the more significant the enrichment of the respective GO category. The vertical line indicates a q-value of 0.02. Enrichment analysis was done separately for downregulated genes (green bars) and upregulated genes (red bars). Categories are grouped into the three ontologies, as indicated within the bar labels (MF: Molecular Function, CC: Cellular Component, BP: Biological Process). The solid-colored part of the bars indicates the fraction of genes within the "core enrichment" of the respective category, as defined by GSEA analysis. (PDF 49 kb)

Additional file 3: Tables S2–S8. Lists of genes regulated in cAMPtreated cells and present in published astrocyte signatures. Genes that are regulated in cAMP-treated cells (genes within the "core enrichment" as defined by GSEA analysis), and that are reported to be regulated in previously published astrocyte signatures: developing (Table S2), mature (Table S3), cytokine-treated (Table S4), LPS (Table S5), MCAO (Table S6), in vivo (Table S7) and in vitro (Table S4), LPS (Table S5), MCAO (Table S6), in vivo (Table S7) and in vitro (Table S8) astrocytes. The lists represent the respective intersections in the Venn diagrams of Figure 4C. In GSEA analysis, genes were ranked on the basis of signal-to-noise ratio within the microarray data, starting with strongly upregulated and ending with strongly downregulated genes. The third column of the tables indicate the rank of the respective gene, i.e. low rank values indicate strong upregulation and high ones close to the maximal value of 21,492 indicate strong downregulated. (XLS 108 kb)

Additional file 4: Table S9. Primers used in this study. (XLSX 11 kb) Additional file 5: Table S10. Antibodies used in this study. (XLSX 11 kb)

Abbreviations

FDR: false discovery rate; GFAP: glial fibrillary acidic protein; GSEA: gene set enrichment analysis; NES: normalized enrichment score; NR2C: NMDA receptor subunit 2C..

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SP, LS and FA conceived and designed the study. SP, VP and FA conducted and analyzed cell culture and in vivo experiments, and MH and LS contributed to microarray data. FA drafted the manuscript and all authors revised and completed the paper. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (BFU2010-22132 and BFU2013-48822-R) and from Catalonian Government (2014SGR-01178) to F.A. We are grateful to Robin Rycroft for editorial assistance.

Author details

¹Department of Cell Biology, University of Barcelona, Av. Diagonal 645, Barcelona E-08028, Spain. ²Centre for Genomic Regulation, Barcelona E-0803, Spain. ³Institute of Neurosciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona E-08035, Spain. ⁴Institute for Predictive and Personalized Medicine of Cancer, Badalona E-08916, Spain. ⁵Germans Trias i Pujol Health Sciences Research Institute, E-08916 Badalona, Spain. ⁶Present address: Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu Barcelona, Esplugues de Llobregat E-08950, Spain. ⁷Present address: Division of Biostatistics, German Cancer Research Center, Im Neuenheimer Feld 581, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany.

Received: 18 December 2015 Accepted: 16 April 2016 Published online: 23 April 2016

References

- Kimelberg HK. Functions of mature mammalian astrocytes: a current view. Neuroscientist. 2010;16:79–106.
- Perea G, Sur M, Araque A. Neuron-glia networks: integral gear of brain function. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:378.
- Cheung G, Chever O, Rouach N. Connexons and pannexons: newcomers in neurophysiology. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:348.
- Sofroniew MV, Vinters HV. Astrocytes: biology and pathology. Acta Neuropathol. 2010;119:7–35.
- Sloan SA, Barres BA. Mechanisms of astrocyte development and their contributions to neurodevelopmental disorders. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2014;27:75–81.
- Kang W, Hébert JM. Signaling pathways in reactive astrocytes, a genetic perspective. Mol Neurobiol. 2011;43:147–54.
- Ferroni S, Marchini C, Schubert P, Rapisarda C. Two distinct inwardly rectifying conductances are expressed in long term dibutyryl-cyclic-AMP treated rat cultured cortical astrocytes. FEBS Lett. 1995;367:319–25.

- Schlag BD, Vondrasek JR, Munir M, Kalandadze A, Zelenaia OA, Rothstein JD, et al. Regulation of the glial Na + –dependent glutamate transporters by cyclic AMP analogs and neurons. Mol Pharmacol. 1998;53:355–69.
- McManus MF, Chen LC, Vallejo I, Vallejo M. Astroglial differentiation of cortical precursor cells triggered by activation of the cAMP-dependent signaling pathway. J Neurosci. 1999;19:9004–15.
- Cebolla B, Fernández-Pérez A, Perea G, Araque A, Vallejo M. DREAM mediates cAMP-dependent, Ca2 + –induced stimulation of GFAP gene expression and regulates cortical astrogliogenesis. J Neurosci. 2008;28: 6703–13.
- Nakamachi T, Farkas J, Watanabe J, Ohtaki H, Dohi K, Arata S, et al. Role of PACAP in neural stem/progenitor cell and astrocyte–from neural development to neural repair. Curr Pharm Des. 2011;17:973–84.
- Fedoroff S, McAuley WA, Houle JD, Devon RM. Astrocyte cell lineage. V. Similarity of astrocytes that form in the presence of dBcAMP in cultures to reactive astrocytes in vivo. J Neurosci Res. 1984;12:14–27.
- Miller C, Tsatas O, David S. Dibutyryl cAMP, interleukin-1 beta, and macrophage conditioned medium enhance the ability of astrocytes to promote neurite growth. J Neurosci Res. 1994;38:56–63.
- Bochelen D, Langley K, Adamczyk M, Kupferberg A, Hor F, Vincendon G, et al. M. 7beta-hydroxysterol is cytotoxic to neonatal rat astrocytes in primary culture when cAMP levels are increased. J Neurosci Res. 2000;62:99–111.
- Daginakatte GC, Gadzinski A, Emnett RJ, Stark JL, Gonzales ER, Yan P, et al. Expression profiling identifies a molecular signature of reactive astrocytes stimulated by cyclic AMP or proinflammatory cytokines. Exp Neurol. 2008; 210:261–7.
- Tiryaki VM, Ayres VM, Ahmed I, Shreiber DI. Differentiation of reactive-like astrocytes cultured on nanofibrillar and comparative culture surfaces. Nanomedicine (Lond). 2015;10:529–45.
- Paco S, Margelí MA, Olkkonen VM, Imai A, Blasi J, Fischer-Colbrie R, et al. Regulation of exocytotic protein expression and Ca2 + –dependent peptide secretion in astrocytes. J Neurochem. 2009;110:143–56.
- Lamirand A, Pallud-Mothré S, Ramaugé M, Pierre M, Courtin F. Oxidative stress regulates type 3 deiodinase and type 2 deiodinase in cultured rat astrocytes. Endocrinology. 2008;149:3713–21.
- Paco S, Pozas E, Aguado F. Secretogranin III is an astrocyte granin that is overexpressed in reactive glia. Cereb Cortex. 2010;20:1386–97.
- Lee B, Cao R, Choi YS, Cho HY, Rhee AD, Hah CK, et al. The CREB/CRE transcriptional pathway: protection against oxidative stress-mediated neuronal cell death. J Neurochem. 2009;108:1251–65.
- 21. Cahoy JD, Emery B, Kaushal A, Foo LC, Zamanian JL, Christopherson KS, et al. A transcriptome database for astrocytes, neurons, and oligodendrocytes: a new resource for understanding brain development and function. J Neurosci. 2008;28:264–78.
- 22. Perea G, Araque A. Astrocytes potentiate transmitter release at single hippocampal synapses. Science. 2007;317:1083–6.
- Shiga H, Murakami J, Nagao T, Tanaka M, Kawahara K, Matsuoka I, et al. Glutamate release from astrocytes is stimulated via the appearance of exocytosis during cyclic AMP-induced morphologic changes. J Neurosci Res. 2006;84:338–47.
- Falsig J, Pörzgen P, Lund S, Schrattenholz A, Leist M. The inflammatory transcriptome of reactive murine astrocytes and implications for their innate immune function. J Neurochem. 2006;96:893–907.
- Halonen SK, Woods T, McInnerney K, Weiss LM. Microarray analysis of IFN-gamma response genes in astrocytes. J Neuroimmunol. 2006;175:19–30.
- John GR, Lee SC, Brosnan CF. Cytokines: powerful regulators of glial cell activation. Neuroscientist. 2003;9:10–22.
- Hamby ME, Coppola G, Ao Y, Geschwind DH, Khakh BS, Sofroniew MV. Inflammatory mediators alter the astrocyte transcriptome and calcium signaling elicited by multiple G-protein-coupled receptors. J Neurosci. 2012;32:14489–510.
- Zamanian JL, Xu L, Foo LC, Nouri N, Zhou L, Giffard RG, et al. Genomic analysis of reactive astrogliosis. J Neurosci. 2012;32:6391–410.
- Kolar K, Freitas-Andrade M, Bechberger JF, Krishnan H, Goldberg GS, Naus CC, et al. Podoplanin: a marker for reactive gliosis in gliomas and brain injury. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2015;74:64–74.
- Wandosell F, Bovolenta P, Nieto-Sampedro M. Differences between reactive astrocytes and cultured astrocytes treated with di-butyryl-cyclic AMP. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 1993;52:205–15.
- Ballestas ME, Benveniste EN. Elevation of cyclic AMP levels in astrocytes antagonizes cytokine-induced adhesion molecule expression. J Neurochem. 1997;69:1438–48.

- Zhang Y, Luo Y, Zhai Q, Ma L, Dorf ME. Negative role of cAMP-dependent protein kinase A in RANTES-mediated transcription of proinflammatory mediators through Raf. FASEB J. 2003;17:734–6.
- Delgado M. Inhibition of interferon (IFN) gamma-induced Jak-STAT1 activation in microglia by vasoactive intestinal peptide: inhibitory effect on CD40, IFN-induced protein-10, and inducible nitric-oxide synthase expression. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:27620–9.
- Lee H, Suk K. Selective modulation of microglial signal transduction by PACAP. Neuroreport. 2004;15:1469–74.
- Qin H, Niyongere SA, Lee SJ, Baker BJ, Benveniste EN. Expression and functional significance of SOCS-1 and SOCS-3 in astrocytes. J Immunol. 2008;181:3167–76.
- Saijo K, Winner B, Carson CT, Collier JG, Boyer L, Rosenfeld MG, et al. A Nurr1/CoREST pathway in microglia and astrocytes protects dopaminergic neurons from inflammation-induced death. Cell. 2009;137:47–59.
- Ståhlberg A, Andersson D, Aurelius J, Faiz M, Pekna M, Kubista M, et al. Defining cell populations with single-cell gene expression profiling: correlations and identification of astrocyte subpopulations. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39:e24.
- Foo LC, Allen NJ, Bushong EA, Ventura PB, Chung WS, Zhou L, et al. Development of a method for the purification and culture of rodent astrocytes. Neuron. 2011;71:799–811.
- Puschmann TB, Zandén C, De Pablo Y, Kirchhoff F, Pekna M, Liu J, et al. Bioactive 3D cell culture system minimizes cellular stress and maintains the in vivo-like morphological complexity of astroglial cells. Glia. 2013;61:432–40.
- Karavanova I, Vasudevan K, Cheng J, Buonanno A. Novel regional and developmental NMDA receptor expression patterns uncovered in NR2C subunit-beta-galactosidase knock-in mice. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2007;34:468–80.
- Lein ES, Hawrylycz MJ, Ao N, Ayres M, Bensinger A, Bernard A, et al. Genome-wide atlas of gene expression in the adult mouse brain. Nature. 2007;445:168–76.
- Zhang X, Odom DT, Koo SH, Conkright MD, Canettieri G, Best J, et al. Genome-wide analysis of cAMP-response element binding protein occupancy, phosphorylation, and target gene activation in human tissues. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102:4459–64.
- Dugan LL, Kim JS, Zhang Y, Bart RD, Sun Y, Holtzman DM, et al. Differential effects of cAMP in neurons and astrocytes. Role of B-raf J Biol Chem. 1999; 274:25842–8.
- Lastres-Becker I, Fernández-Pérez A, Cebolla B, Vallejo M. Pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide stimulates glial fibrillary acidic protein gene expression in cortical precursor cells by activating Ras and Rap1. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2008;39:291–301.
- Schroeder A, Mueller O, Stocker S, Salowsky R, Leiber M, Gassmann M, et al. The RIN: an RNA integrity number for assigning integrity values to RNA measurements. BMC Mol Biol. 2006;7:3.
- Smyth GK. Linear models and empirical Bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol. 2004;3:Article 3.
- 47. Breitkreutz BJ, Jorgensen P, Breitkreutz A, Tyers M. AFM 4.0: a toolbox for DNA microarray analysis. Genome Biol. 2001;2:software0001.1–3.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102:15545–50.
- 49. Pfaffl MW. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001;29:e45.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

