Hysteresis in a system driven by either generalized force or displacement variables:
Martensitic phase transition in single-crystalline Cu-Zn-Al
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We report on experiments aimed at comparing the hysteretic response of a Cu-Zn-Al single crystal undergoing a martensitic transition under strain-driven and stress-driven conditions. Strain-driven experiments were performed using a conventional tensile machine while a special device was designed to perform stress-driven experiments. Significant differences in the hysteresis loops were found. The strain-driven curves show reentrant behavior (yield point) which is not observed in the stress-driven case. The dissipated energy in the stress-driven curves is larger than in the strain-driven ones. Results from recently proposed models qualitatively agree with experiments.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Hysteresis is ubiquitous in many areas of physics. It is a signature of nonequilibrium effects and has attracted the attention of researchers for a long time and is still one of the most challenging subjects of research.1 Typically, the phenomenon of hysteresis results in closed loops when plotting the external driving force (or field) vs the generalized displacement (or vice versa). These two variables (external force and generalized displacement) are conjugate variables in the first principle of thermodynamics and depending upon the specific system, they can have different tensorial character. Nevertheless, in all cases their product renders the work performed on the studied system and the area enclosed within a closed loop gives the energy loss.

Among hysteretic systems, particular attention has been devoted to athermal cases.2 In these cases, hysteresis is not due to competition between the fast driving rate and slow thermal relaxation, but rather it originates from the existence of very high energy barriers that can only be overcome when the system reaches local marginal stability limits.3,4 The continuous change in the driving force results in discontinuous changes in the conjugate displacement, giving rise to avalanche dynamics. Such dynamics has been observed in a wide variety of materials such as ferromagnetic,5 ferroelectric,6 and martensitic8 materials; field-driven vortex motion in type-II superconductors,7 and pressure-driven condensation of 4He on mesoporous solids,9 among others. Since most of these systems show common hysteretic behavior they are cataloged under the name of ferroic materials.10 They display first order phase transitions with an order parameter (exhibiting discontinuous behavior), which corresponds to the generalized displacement. A common feature in these systems is the existence of long range effects arising from compatibility conditions.

From an experimental point of view, it is usually easier to control the force while the conjugate displacement is measured. For instance, in magnetic systems, the magnetic field is readily controlled and magnetic flux is measured. Although more difficult, it has also been possible to control the magnetic flux,11 which is suitable for studying materials displaying a rapid increase of magnetization. Moreover, the control of the amount of gas (generalized displacement) instead of the chemical potential has been shown to be the most convenient procedure in a number of gas adsorption experiments.12

For a macroscopic system in equilibrium, thermodynamic trajectories do not depend on which is the control variable (generalized force or displacement) because the two cases are related by a Legendre transformation.13 However, there is no reason to expect that out of equilibrium hysteresis loops obtained when controlling the force will be similar to those obtained when the control parameter is the generalized displacement. Such a situation has been very recently theoretically studied14,15 by making use of the random field Ising model (RFIM),16 which is a prototype model for athermal hysteresis. Results predict significant differences in the hysteresis loops obtained in the force-driven case (external field \( H \)) to those obtained by driving the generalized displacement (magnetization \( M \)). It is the aim of the present paper to address this issue from an experimental point of view.

Martensitic transitions (MTs) offer a unique scenario to undertake such a task. A MT is a displacive first-order transition which involves a change in symmetry.17 In these ferroic systems, the transition can be induced by cooling but also by application of a mechanical stress. In this latter case, the driving force is the applied load, while the conjugate displacement is the elongation (or strain). Typical experiments are carried out using commercial tensile testing machines in which the control variable is the elongation.18 We have developed an experimental device which enables fine control of the applied force while the strain is monitored. In this paper we present experiments performed on the same specimen under both force and displacement control conditions. Results will enable a meaningful comparison of the hysteresis loops obtained in the two cases. In addition, comparison with the predictions of recent theoretical approaches14,15 will also be presented.
A Cu-Zn-Al single crystal was grown by the Bridgman technique. The nominal composition was chosen so that the (athermal) MT on cooling without stress takes place from a cubic ($L_2_1$) to a monoclinic (18R) structure slightly below room temperature ($T_M=234$ K). The actual composition, obtained from electron dispersion analysis is Cu$_{68.13}$Zn$_{15.74}$Al$_{16.13}$. A sample was mechanically machined from the ingot with cylindrical heads. The body of the sample has flat faces 35 mm long, 1.4 mm thick, and 3.95 mm wide. The axis of the sample is close to the [001] crystallographic direction of the cubic phase. The sample was mechanically polished and then annealed for 20 min at 1073 K, cooled in air down to room temperature, and aged for 2 h in boiling water. This heat treatment ensures that the sample is in the ordered state, free from internal stresses and that the vacancy concentration is minimum at room temperature. Special grips, which adapt to the heads of the specimen, can be used in both experimental devices. In the first device [Fig. 1(a)], a INSTRON 4302 tensile machine (from now on strain-driven), the control parameter is the elongation while the load is continuously monitored. A second device (from now on stress-driven) was specially designed which enables control of the load applied to the sample while the elongation is continuously monitored [see Fig. 1(b)]. The device was adapted from that previously used by Carrillo et al.\textsuperscript{19}. The upper grip is attached to a load cell hanging from the ceiling. Upper and lower ball-and-socket joints ensure good alignment. The lower grip holds a container that plays the role of a dead load. The load can be increased or decreased at a well-controlled rate by supplying or removing water by means of a pump. The strain is measured by a strain gauge attached to the sample. Since the stress needed to induce the MT is very sensitive to temperature, it is important to make sure that equivalent experiments are carried out at the same temperature. For this reason we use a cryofurnace (which enables temperature control to an accuracy of 0.1 K) that can be adapted to both devices. In addition, in order to be able to compare the hysteresis loops obtained with the two devices, the same strain gauge was used in all experiments and the load cell for each setup was calibrated using standard weights. For selected experiments at room temperature (without the cryofurnace), an optical microscope was incorporated into both devices, which enables in situ observations of microstructural changes.

II. EXPERIMENT

Figure 2 shows typical results for the stress-strain curves obtained with the two devices. Upon increasing load, the parent cubic phase persists until it becomes unstable at a given value of the load, and the MT starts. Different hysteresis loops are observed in the two cases. Almost the entire stress-driven loop is enclosed within the stress-driven loop, which has a much larger area. In the stress-driven case, the stress slightly increases during the MT with an average slope that decreases as the driving rate is reduced. Extrapolation to zero rate still gives a finite (small) slope which can be caused by a weak concentration gradient along the axis of the sample. Different behavior is observed in the strain-driven experiment. In this case, once the transition starts, the stress relaxes to a lower value (yield point effect) and then weakly oscillates around an almost constant value as the MT progresses. For both driving modes, when the transition ends, a new reversible elastic regime in the martensitic phase is reached. Upon unloading, the behavior parallels that observed in the loading branch, but with hysteresis. In particular for the strain-driven experiment, before the end of the reverse transition, there is an increase in the stress which reproduces the yield stress effect.

Optical observations have revealed significant differences in the early stages of the MT as shown in Fig. 3. The inset in Fig. 2 presents an enlarged view of the upper branch of the hysteresis loops on the low-strain region. The yield point in the strain-driven experiment is a consequence of reentrant behavior within the parent phase, associated with shrinkage and eventually the disappearance of previously formed martensitic plates. Such reentrant behavior is also observed on unloading, which causes the yield point of the lower branch of the hysteresis loop. The evolution of the microstructure...
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Fig. 3. Micrographs showing the microstructure at different stages of the MT: (a) 0.45%, (b) 0.48%, and (c) 0.57% strain. They illustrate the reentrant behavior in the strain-driven experiment. (d) 101.6 MPa corresponds to the stress-driven case and illustrates nucleation of thin martensitic plates.

FIG. 3. Micrographs showing the microstructure at different stages of the MT: (a) 0.45%, (b) 0.48%, and (c) 0.57% strain. They illustrate the reentrant behavior in the strain-driven experiment. (d) 101.6 MPa corresponds to the stress-driven case and illustrates nucleation of thin martensitic plates.

associated with this reentrant behavior is illustrated by the micrographs in Figs. 3(a)–3(c). The existence of a yield point in conventional strain-driven experiments has also been reported for other martensitic alloys. Moreover, computer simulations of the mechanical response of a strain-driven martensitic system also show a yield point.

For the stress-driven experiment there is a deviation of the pure elastic behavior well below the yield stress. This effect is due to the nucleation of a set of very thin martensitic plates all over the sample, as illustrated by the micrograph in Fig. 3(d). Interestingly, this is the only region where the strain-driven loop is outside the stress-driven one. Actually, when driving the load, nucleation can occur at lower values since the system can more freely adapt to shape changes. For both driving mechanisms, steady state growth following the early stages is macroscopically similar, with parallel interfaces growing towards both ends of the specimen.

It is worth mentioning that the behavior found in the present experiments for MT seems to be rather common in other systems. For instance, magnetization-driven magnetic hysteresis loops also show reentrant behavior while no reentrancy is present in magnetic field-driven loops. Furthermore, recent theoretical approaches and computer simulation studies for athermal plastic deformation provide curves with yield points when the control variable is the strain. Interestingly, wiggly trajectories and reentrance have even been reported to occur in displacement driven nanoscale systems such as deformation of gold nanowires and RNA unfolding.

A relevant quantity in hysteretic systems is dissipated energy which is given by the area enclosed within the hysteresis loops. A noteworthy feature (see Fig. 2) is that the dissipated energy is much larger for the stress-driven case than for the strain-driven one. The dissipated energy is expected to depend on the driving rate of the control parameter. We have performed a series of experiments at different rates and the results of the area of the loops are plotted in Fig. 4 as a function of the rate. The dissipated energy increases with increasing rate in both cases. Extrapolation to zero rate with least-squares fits (lines) indicates nonvanishing hysteresis. Similar behavior has also been reported for magnetic systems. It is also important to note that even in the zero-rate limit, the dissipated energy for the stress-driven experiment is always larger than for the strain-driven case.

We now discuss the experimental results presented here in relation to two recent approaches (in magnetic terminology) aimed at analyzing H-driven and M-driven trajectories in the three-dimensional RFIM. Both models predict the existence of a yield point in the M-driven loop in agreement with experiments. In the H-driven case the force cannot relax and therefore the system can only reach the closer metastable states by a discontinuity in the generalized displacement. In contrast, for the M-driven case the generalized displacement is constrained but the force is able to relax to lower values in such a way that the system is able to follow a trajectory that approaches equilibrium, thus resulting in a lower dissipation. The mechanism to relax the force is expected to depend on the details of the specific dynamic features of each system. The present experiments show that in MT the relaxation is achieved by retransformation. This is also the mechanism in the model in Ref. 15. The wiggly trajectories (see Fig. 2) can be interpreted within the framework of the models as being due to the specific distribution of disorder in the system. One of the models uses T=0 adiabatic (infinitely slow) dynamics for the M-driven case which is the analog of standard adiabatic dynamics introduced by Sethna et al. in the H-driven case. The definition of the measured (output) field assumes that the external force instantaneously equals the highest value of the internal forces. Consequently, the M-driven loops have vanishing area. The strain-driven experiments presented here indicate that although the area is quite small compared with the force-driven situation, after extrapolation to zero driving rate, a certain amount of dissipated energy still remains.

We have extended the T=0 RFIM to incorporate the effect of a finite driving rate. For the H-driven case such an extension was proposed by assuming an intrinsic average time for the avalanches τav to relax. In this case the finite-rate H-driven loops can be constructed from the adiabatic
in such a way that the corresponding strain-driven trajectories approach the zero-rate stress-driven one. This is in agreement with numerical simulation predictions. However, it must be taken into account that release (or absorption) of latent heat (which needs to be driven away) associated with the first-order nature of the transition also contributes to dissipation as evidenced by the increasing slope with increasing rate in the strain-driven curves (see Fig. 5). Actually, this extra effect cannot be reproduced by the model which does not take latent heat into account.

III. CONCLUSION

We have measured the stress-strain curves during the martensitic transition of a Cu-Zn-Al single crystal. Experiments have been conducted under well-controlled force and displacement conditions by means of specifically adapted experimental setups. This enables a comparison of the hysteresis loops obtained under different control variables. It has been shown that metastable trajectories strongly depend on the driving mechanism. Interestingly, the displacement driven loops exhibit yield point and lower dissipation. In situ optical microscopy has shown that the mechanism for reentrance is the retransformation of part of the material. Results essentially conform to recent theoretical predictions for athermal systems. In spite of the fact that the microscopic mechanism determining the features of the hysteresis loops can be specific to each particular system, it is expected that the main results reported in the present study will be common to many ferroic hysteretic systems undergoing athermal first-order phase transitions.
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18 There are tensile machines which enable the load to be controlled instead of the displacement by making use of a feedback procedure. However, since the MT occurs very rapidly (typically at the speed of sound) the feedback does not ensure a constant load rate at all times.