Cooling and heating by adiabatic magnetization in the Ni$_{50}$Mn$_{34}$In$_{16}$ magnetic shape-memory alloy
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We report on measurements of the adiabatic temperature change in the inverse magnetocaloric Ni$_{50}$Mn$_{34}$In$_{16}$ alloy. It is shown that this alloy heats up with the application of a magnetic field around the Curie point due to the conventional magnetocaloric effect. In contrast, the inverse magnetocaloric effect associated with the martensitic transition results in the unusual decrease of temperature by adiabatic magnetization. We also provide magnetization and specific heat data which enable to compare the measured temperature changes to the values indirectly computed from thermodynamic relationships. Good agreement is obtained for the conventional effect at the second-order paramagnetic-ferromagnetic phase transition. However, at the first-order structural transition the measured values at high fields are lower than the computed ones. Irreversible thermodynamics arguments are given to show that such a discrepancy is due to the irreversibility of the first-order martensitic transition.
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I. INTRODUCTION

When the magnetization of any magnetic material is changed isothermally under the application of a magnetic field, heat is exchanged with the surroundings. If the change is performed adiabatically, the temperature changes. This is the magnetocaloric effect (MCE), which provides the basis of the adiabatic demagnetization cooling technique. This technique was developed to reach mK temperatures soon after the pioneering work by Debye and Giauque, who independently suggested such a possibility. The discovery in the 1990s of the giant magnetocaloric effect associated with first-order magnetostructural transitions in a number of intermetallic alloy families opened up the possibility of using this technique in room temperature refrigeration applications and, thus, yielded renewed interest in the subject.

It has been known for a long time that the isothermal reduction of a magnetic field gives rise to a decrease in entropy in some antiferromagnetic and ferrimagnetic systems. This inverse magnetocaloric phenomenon was supposed to produce small effects and has been largely ignored. Recently, however, it has been shown that in some ferrimagnetic and metamagnetic systems, inverse MCE can have an amplitude comparable to the conventional effect detected in giant magnetocaloric intermetallic materials. The inverse effect is related to the existence of regions in phase space where $\xi = (\partial M / \partial T)_H$ is positive. In a paramagnetic system, $\xi$ is always negative, and thus, the origin of a positive $\xi$ must be ascribed to coupling between magnetic moments. The inverse MCE can occur in the vicinity of magnetostructural and metamagnetic phase transitions due to changes in the magnetic coupling driven by the interplay between magnetic and structural degrees of freedom.

In the present paper, we study the MCE in a Ni$_{50}$Mn$_{34}$In$_{16}$ alloy. This is a magnetic shape-memory alloy which undergoes a martensitic transition from a cubic ($L_2_1$) to a monoclinic (10$M$) structure below its Curie temperature. Interestingly, the sample shows both inverse and conventional MCE in rather close temperature intervals. While the conventional effect arises from the continuous transition from paramagnetic to ferromagnetic states, the inverse effect is associated with the martensitic transition at which the magnetic moment of the system decreases. This decrease originates from the tendency of the excess of Mn atoms (with respect to 2-1-1 stoichiometry) to introduce antiferromagnetic coupling. The antiferromagnetic coupling is caused by the change in the Mn-Mn distance as the martensitic phase of lower symmetry gains stability.

While most of the reported data on giant MCE materials refer to the isothermal entropy change, the most relevant parameter for actual applications of this effect is the adiabatic temperature change. This value is usually computed from entropy data by means of equilibrium thermodynamic relationships. However, irreversible effects are expected to take place at first-order phase transitions which can yield discrepancies between the computed temperature change and the directly measured one. Actually, direct measurements of the temperature change in giant MCE compounds are scarce, and the reported values in many cases do not seem to be consistent with those indirectly computed. Here, we report on adiabatic temperature measurements, which provide direct evidence of cooling by adiabatic magnetization in an inverse magnetocaloric material. It is also shown that heating is achieved at the paramagnetic-ferromagnetic phase transition. We focus on moderate magnetic fields which are readily available for applications of giant MCE materials. Furthermore, data obtained from magnetization and heat capacity experiments have enabled us to compare the measured temperature change with that computed from entropy data. Irreversible thermodynamics arguments are provided to account
for the discrepancies observed at the first-order structural phase transition.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A polycrystalline Ni$_{50}$Mn$_{34}$In$_{16}$ ingot was prepared by arc melting the pure metals under argon atmosphere in a water-cooled Cu crucible and subsequently remelted in order to ensure homogeneity. The ingot was sealed under argon in a quartz recipient and annealed at 1073 K for 2 hours. Finally, it was quenched in ice water. The composition of the alloy was determined by energy dispersive x-ray photoluminescence analysis. For calorimetric and magnetization measurements, a small sample (61.5 mg) was cut using a low-speed diamond saw. The remaining button (13 mm in diameter, 6 mm thickness, and 4.6 g) was used for the adiabatic temperature change measurements.

Magnetization was measured by means of a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer, and differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) measurements were conducted using a high-sensitivity calorimeter. Specific heat measurements were performed using a modulated differential scanning calorimeter, and data were taken with the constant temperature method$^{17}$ starting from the lowest temperature (190 K).

Adiabatic temperature changes were measured at atmospheric pressure using a specially designed setup. A thin (0.75 mm diameter) Ni-Cr/Ni-Al thermocouple was used to measure the temperature. The output of this thermocouple was continuously monitored by means of a multimeter that also electronically compensates for the reference junction. Measurements without any specimen confirmed that the recorded values were not affected by magnetic fields up to 1.3 T. The thermocouple was embedded within the sample and good thermal contact between the sample and the thermocouple was ensured by Ariston conductive paste. The sample is situated inside a copper container (sample holder), which is placed on the top face of a Peltier element. The bottom surface sits on a copper cylinder, which acts as a heat sink. The bottom end of the cylinder is in contact with a nitrogen bath. By controlling the current input into the Peltier element, it is possible to achieve fine tuning of the temperature in the 200–320 K interval. Temperature oscillations were less than 0.05 K. Thermal insulation (adiabaticity) between the sample and sample holder was ensured by a polystyrene layer. The sample holder was placed in between the poles of an electromagnet (28 mm gap), which enabled fields up to 1.3 T to be applied. A major advantage of using an electromagnet is the short rising time in the application of the field (the field rises from 0 to 1 T in about 0.5 s). Such a field risetime is several orders of magnitude shorter than the thermal relaxation time of the sample-holder system (≈100 s), thus ensuring the adiabaticity of the process.

In order to check the reliability of the device, we measured the MCE of commercial pure (99.9 wt %) Gd. The measured temperature changes obtained around the Curie point for a magnetic field of 1 T agree with those reported in the literature.$^{18}$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For the present study we selected a composition with the para-ferromagnetic and martensitic transition temperatures close to each other. This is illustrated in Fig. 1, which shows the Curie and martensitic transition start temperatures as a function of In content for Ni$_{50}$Mn$_{34}$In$_{16}$ alloys. Continuous lines are polynomial fits to the data given in Ref. 11. The arrows indicate the composition of the studied sample. The inset presents DSC curves for heating and cooling runs for the x=16 sample.
MCE materials. However, a feature for Ni$_{50}$Mn$_{34}$In$_{16}$ is that these relatively large temperature changes can be either positive or negative.

In order to correlate the measured temperature changes with those indirectly computed from entropy data, we measured the magnetization of the sample as a function of temperature and magnetic field. Results at selected fields are shown in Fig. 3(a). In the temperature range 245–320 K, $\zeta$ is negative, while a positive $\zeta$ is obtained in the range 200–245 K. From these data, we computed the magnetic field-induced entropy change by using the Maxwell relation $\Delta S = \mu_0 J_\ell \zeta dH$. Results are shown in Fig. 2(b). Excellent qualitative agreement is observed between the two quantities ($\Delta T$ and $\Delta S$) characterizing giant MCE. Conventional MCE is observed within the 245–320 K temperature range, i.e., a negative entropy change with the associated positive temperature change, while in the 200–245 K interval, the sample exhibits inverse MCE: an increase in entropy with the associated negative temperature change.

It is customary to compute the adiabatic temperature change from isothermal entropy data by means of the following relationship:

$$\Delta T_{\text{rev}} = -\frac{T}{C} \Delta S,$$

which is expected to be valid in equilibrium. $C$ is the specific heat at constant magnetic field and is assumed to be independent of the magnetic field. In order to check the validity of this approach, we measured the specific heat of our Ni$_{50}$Mn$_{34}$In$_{16}$ sample. Results are shown in Fig. 3(b). The large lambda-type peak at 302 K corresponds to the second-order para-ferromagnetic phase transition. In the temperature range 216–257 K a small bump is observed, which coincides with the reverse martensitic transition. No latent heat contributions are expected for the isothermal-modulated method we have used.

In Fig. 4, we compare the measured adiabatic temperature changes with those computed from the entropy [Fig. 2(b)] and specific heat data [Fig. 3(b)] for different values of the applied field. Good agreement between measured and computed values over the complete temperature range is obtained at low magnetic fields. As the magnetic field is increased, there is still good agreement between the data corresponding to conventional MCE, but the absolute value of the measured temperature change becomes smaller than the computed one in the inverse MCE region. Such a difference is due to the irreversibility associated with the first-order phase transition.

In order to consider the effect of dissipation, we start from the Clausius inequality $\frac{\delta q}{T} \leq 0$, which can be expressed as $\frac{\delta q}{T} = dS - \delta S_i$, where $dS$ is a reversible differential change of entropy and $\delta S_i$ is the entropy production ($\delta S_i \geq 0$). When the magnetic field is adiabatically changed, $\delta q = 0$, and under the assumption of a quasistatic, continuous process with hysteresis, the adiabatic temperature change is expressed as

$$\Delta T = \frac{T}{C} (-\Delta S + S_i) = \Delta T_{\text{rev}} + \frac{T S_i}{C},$$

where $TS_i$ is the dissipated energy ($E_{\text{diss}}$). For an inverse magnetocaloric effect, there is an increase of entropy by the application of the field, i.e., $\Delta S > 0$. On the other hand, $S_i$ is always positive. Hence, for an out-of-equilibrium process, the two terms within the parentheses in Eq. (2) will partially cancel each other when the field is swept from zero to a given value, and therefore, the measured temperature change will always be less than the value computed using equilibrium thermodynamics [see Eq. (1)]. Such a difference is ex-
In giant magnetocaloric materials for which the MCE is associated with a first-order transition, the giant effect relies on the possibility of inducing the phase transition by application of a magnetic field. The martensitic transition is driven by phonon instabilities in the transverse $TA_2$ phonon branch ([110] propagation and [110] polarization). Recent \textit{ab initio} calculations for cubic Ni$_5$MnIn have shown that increasing the magnetization due to an external field favours the cubic structure and leads to a gradual vanishing of the phonon instability due to the coupling between vibrational and magnetic degrees of freedom. This effect results in a marked decrease of the martensitic transition temperature with increasing field that enables to induce the transition by the application of a field at a temperature close to the zero field transition temperature. Hence, the microscopic origin of the inverse MCE in Ni$_{50}$Mn$_{34}$In$_{16}$ must be ascribed to such magnetoelastic coupling responsible for the change in the relative stability of the martensitic and cubic phases.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

By directly measuring the adiabatic temperature change in the Ni$_{50}$Mn$_{34}$In$_{16}$ alloy, we provide experimental evidences of both cooling and heating in a giant inverse magnetocaloric compound. It has been shown that the irreversibility associated with the first-order structural transition gives rise to measured temperature changes which are lower than those indirectly computed using equilibrium thermodynamics. The existence of a temperature region where the magnetocaloric effect reverses sign under weakly applied magnetic fields opens up the possibility of new applications of this fascinating property.
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**FIG. 4.** (Color online) Adiabatic temperature change, as a function of temperature, for different magnetic fields. Circles stand for measured data and triangles correspond to data indirectly computed using equilibrium thermodynamics relationships.
19 The shape of the thermograms shown in Fig. 1 differs from those reported in Ref. 11 because they correspond to different specimens. Unavoidable small composition inhomogeneities, impurities, etc., are known to affect the actual transition path. Therefore, different thermograms can be obtained in different specimens with the same nominal composition.
20 The small discrepancies in the data around the Curie point arise from the fact that the specific heat has been considered to be independent of the magnetic field. For ferromagnetic materials the effect of magnetic field is to smooth out the peak in the specific heat.