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ABSTRACT

In mammals, the influence of gestation and lactation on the tissue stable-isotope ratios of females, fetuses, and milk remains poorly understood. Here we investigate the incidence of these events on δ13C and δ15N values in fin whales sampled off northwestern Spain between 1983 and 1985. The effect of gestation on tissue stable-isotope ratios was examined in the muscle of pregnant females (n = 13) and their fetuses (n = 10) and that of lactation in the muscle of nursing females (n = 21) and their milk (n = 25). Results suggest that fetuses are enriched compared to their mothers in both δ15N (Δ15N = 1.5‰) and 13C (Δ13C = 1.1‰), while, compared to muscle, milk is enriched in 15N (Δ15N = 0.3‰) but depleted in 13C (Δ13C = −0.62‰).

This pattern is consistent with that previously observed for other species that, like the fin whale, rely on endogenous energy during reproduction, and it substantiates a general difference in the physiological processing of nitrogen and carbon balances between income and capital breeders. These findings are relevant to the understanding of the energetic balance of mammals during gestation and lactation and are central when inferences on trophic ecology are drawn from isotopic values of reproductive females.

Keywords: nutritive condition, reproductive state, fin whale, stable isotopes, fetus, milk, δ15N, δ13C.

Introduction

The stable-isotope ratios of carbon (δ13C) and nitrogen (δ15N) have been widely used to study diet, trophic interactions, migratory patterns, and habitat use in mammals and other vertebrates (e.g., Kelly 2000; Koch 2007; Ben-David and Flaherty 2012; Borrell et al. 2013; Giménez et al. 2013). However, the mechanisms determining incorporation rates of stable isotopes in tissues and their discrimination factors still remain poorly understood. Potential elements affecting discrimination include taxonomy, growth rate, type of tissue, nutritional or reproductive status, reproductive strategy, differences in digestive physiology, the means of voiding nitrogenous waste, the macromolecular composition of sources (i.e., proportions of protein, carbohydrate, and lipid), and the extent and type of metabolic routing that dietary macromolecules undergo before integrating into tissues (i.e., from dietary protein to body protein or from dietary fat to body fat; Caut et al. 2009; Borrell et al. 2012; Kurle et al. 2014). In birds, the isotopic discrimination occurring during reproduction has received attention, and differences have been observed between capital breeders, which rely on endogenous reserves, and income breeders, which rely on recently acquired nutrients (e.g., Klaassen et al. 2001; Gauthier et al. 2003; Hobson 2006; Langin et al. 2006; Bond et al. 2007).

In mammals, nutritional stress and reproduction have been identified as significant events affecting the isotopic signal and thus interfering with interpretation of ecological traits (Koch 2007). A focus of interest has been the isotopic discrimination between the reproducing female and her nursing young, and results commonly show increased δ15N values in the latter because they obtain nourishment from their mothers, thus establishing a parallelism with a trophic relation (e.g., Fogel et al. 1989; Hobson et al. 1997, 2000; Hobson and Sease 1998; Cherel et al. 2015). In contrast, variation in δ13C values does not show a clear-cut pattern, and nursing young show very variable values, depending on the composition of the milk they ingest.

This is likely due to the variability of carbon sources that can be used to build protein in the nursing young. If it originates only from the protein fraction of the milk, then the δ13C values are unlikely to be changed. However, if it originates from the lipid fraction, δ13C values of protein in the nursing young will be lower than those in the mother, because lipids are 13C depleted relative to proteins (DeNiro and Epstein 1977). The latter possibility appears to be substantiated by the findings of Jenkins et al. (2001), who found that δ13C values in bulk milk and in nursing young plasma were correlated. Milk lipids can be used both for energy metabolism and for protein synthesis, and
Therefore the lipid content of the milk may be critical in determining the use of the lipid carbon structure. When milk lipid content is high, a larger relative fraction of those lipids may be used to build protein and δ13C values would tend to be lower, but the opposite will happen when milk lipid content is low.

Some studies have focused on the estimation of the time required after weaning for reducing such discrimination value (Jenkins et al. 2001; Newsome et al. 2006; Dalernur et al. 2007). However, the overall pattern of variation of stable-isotope ratios in the tissues of mammalian females when going through reproduction still remains to be properly understood (Koch 2007; Habran et al. 2010).

Cetaceans are an animal group in which the use of stable isotopes has received particular attention because these animals are difficult to observe in the wild, live in inaccessible oceanic habitats, are extremely mobile, and undergo long migrations. In this scenario, stable isotopes are often among the only means to obtain critical information on ecology or behavior (Newsome et al. 2010). However, these traits of the group, added to the insurmountable logistical difficulties of keeping most species in captivity, have hindered the experimental studies necessary to master the sources of intrapopulation variation and to understand the physiological processes that influence stable-isotope values of individuals. This is particularly true in mysticetes, a group in which studies relating stable-isotope values to reproductive biology appear to be nonexistent, despite the impact of reproduction on the body energy stores, and the associated mobilization of lipids and proteins is particularly significant because they are capital breeders (Festa-Bianchet et al. 1998). In certain tissues, the physiological dynamism implies an agile turnover that is likely to affect stable-isotope values and in this way complicate the use of these tracers in ecological assessment. Thus, in terrestrial mammals, nutritional stress often increases δ15N values when nitrogen balance falls into negative values (Fuller et al. 2004; Voigt and Matt 2004), while gestation decreases this ratio when pregnant females attain a positive nitrogen balance (Kurle 2002; Fuller et al. 2004). Conversely, the limitation of excretion in fasting marine mammals without access to fresh water appears to interfere with some of these patterns and to limit the rise in δ15N values (Polischuk et al. 2001; Aguilar et al. 2014; Vales et al. 2015), thus highlighting the need to fully understand the impact of these physiological processes on stable-isotope profiles to permit informed interpretation within the context of feeding ecology.

Fin whales (Balaenoptera physalus) are a representative model of the mysticete taxon. Like most other baleen whales, they are migratory animals that spend the summer in feeding grounds located at high latitudes, where food is abundant, and move in the winter to lower latitudes, where food is scarce. As a consequence of these movements, they experience a remarkable feeding seasonality that forces periodical reliance on accumulated body reserves (Aguilar 2009). Superimposed on this regime, reproduction adjusts to a 2-yr cycle. In the middle of the first winter females become pregnant, and soon afterward they start the journey to the feeding grounds. After a period of intensive feeding that lasts the complete summer, they return to the wintering grounds to give birth. Lactation lasts about 7 mo and begins while the female is still at low latitudes, it continues during the poleward migration, and finishes soon after the mother and the calf have reached the feeding ground early in the following summer. This cycle implies that lactating females have to defray the energetic taxation of lactation and migration mostly during the period of low food consumption (Lockyer 1981, 1984; Aguilar 2009). Because this animal is a capital breeder, the energy and protein requirements to cover that expenditure are supplied by body reserves stored during the previous feeding season; thus, it is estimated that the cost of milk production alone represents 25% of the proteins and 30% of the lipids that constitute the maternal body (Oftedal 2000). To this it should be added that the fin whale is particularly suitable as a model for testing hypotheses on physiological processing of stable isotopes because most of its populations base their diet on a single resource, the planktonic euphausiids known as krill, a fact that avoids the incidence of potentially confounding changes in diet (Borrell et al. 2012).

Here we investigate the effect of reproduction on δ13C and δ15N values in fin whales, taking advantage of a comprehensive tissue sample collection available from the northwestern coast of Spain, a feeding area for one of the stocks of the eastern North Atlantic population of the species. The specific objectives of this study were to assess (1) the isotopic discrimination between mothers and their fetuses and milk, (2) the isotopic differences between pregnant and lactating females, and (3) the potential differences between the patterns observed in this species and those in other species previously studied, to gain an insight into the effect of different breeding strategies on stable-isotope discrimination.

Material and Methods

The tissue samples of fin whales studied here were obtained from the routine sampling that was conducted at the Canelilias whaling station during the whaling seasons of 1983–1985 with the objective of general monitoring of the population exploited. The factory was situated on the northwestern coast of Spain, and whales brought and flesned there had been taken in the whaling grounds located at 40°–45°N and 8°–11°W (Sanpera and Aguilar 1992).

The tissues analyzed were muscle and milk. The set of individuals sampled included 13 pregnant females and their fetuses (although a fetus sample was unavailable from 3 females) and 25 lactating females (although the muscle was unavailable from 4 of them). Gestation was established by the presence of a fetus in the uterus and lactation by the presence of milk in the mammary glands. The muscle samples were collected from the region posterior to the dorsal fin, wrapped in aluminum foil, and preserved inside a labeled plastic bag. The milk samples were collected from the contents of the lactiferous ducts after sectioning of the gland and were preserved in labeled glass containers. All samples were preserved at −20°C until analysis.

Before analysis, the muscle tissue (1 g) was dried over 3 d at 70°C and ground to powder with mortar and pestle. Because lipids
confound the analyses by decreasing the δ13C value (DeNiro and Epstein 1977), they were removed from the samples by rinsing the ground tissue several times with a 2:1 chloroform:methanol mixture, following the Folch method (Folch et al. 1957). In the case of milk, proteins and lipids were separated and processed independently with procedures similar to those for muscle.

Weighed subsamples (approx. 0.5 mg) of the powdered sample were placed in tin buckets and crimped for combustion. Isotope analyses were carried out by means of elemental analysis–isotope ratio mass spectrometry with a Thermo Finnigan Flash 1112 elemental analyzer (CE Elantech, Lakewood, NJ) coupled to a Delta C isotope ratio mass spectrometer via a ConFlo III interface (Thermo Finnigan MAT, Bremen, Germany).

The results were presented according to the δ notation, where the relative variation from predefined standards of stable-isotope ratios is expressed in parts per thousand. This variation is calculated as

\[ \delta R = \left( \frac{R_S}{R_R} - 1 \right) \times 1,000, \]

where R is the ratio of the heavy isotope to the light isotope in the sample and RR is the ratio of the heavy isotope to the light isotope in the reference.

The R standards for 13C and 15N are the Vienna PeeDee Bel- emmite (V-PDB) standard and atmospheric nitrogen (air), respectively. The international isotope secondary standards of known 13C/12C ratios in relation to V-PDB, namely, polyethylene (IAEA-CH4, δ13C = -31.8‰), graphite (USGS24, δ13C = -16.1‰), and sucrose (IAEA-CH12O6, δ13C = -10.4‰), were used for the calibration of δ13C to a precision of 0.2‰. For nitrogen, the international isotope secondary standards of known 15N/14N ratios in relation to air, namely, ammonium sulphate (IAEA-N-1, δ15N = +0.4‰), and IAEA-N-2, δ15N = +20.3‰) and potassium nitrate (IAEA-NO3, δ15N = +4.7‰), were used for the calibration of δ15N to a precision of 0.3‰.

The reference materials used for the analysis are distributed by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). The analyses were carried out in the Centre Científics i Tecnològics of the University of Barcelona (CCiT-UB).

The normality and homoscedasticity of the data were tested with Lilliefors’s and Levene’s tests, respectively. Pairwise Student’s t-tests were performed to compare δ15N and δ13C between mothers and their respective fetuses (n = 10) and between lactating females and their respective milk samples (proteins and lipids; n = 17). Differences between δ15N and δ13C in lactating and pregnant females were analyzed with Student’s t-tests. All statistical analyses were conducted with the SPSS 15 software package.

**Results**

A summary of the δ15N and δ13C data for the muscle of 34 females, split by their reproductive states, and for 10 fetus and 25 milk samples (proteins and lipids split), is shown in table 1 and figure 1. Milk contained 60.1% ± 10% (mean ± SD, n = 6) lipids.

### Table 1: δ13C and δ15N values for each sample group and the significance of the comparison tests carried out between them

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>δ13C</th>
<th>δ15N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fetuses</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-17.45 ± .53</td>
<td>11.46 ± .38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant females</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-18.64 ± .45</td>
<td>9.82 ± .59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactating females</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-18.06 ± .22</td>
<td>9.46 ± .55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk proteins</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-18.69 ± .85</td>
<td>9.79 ± .37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk lipids</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-24.92 ± .91</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pair comparisons (P):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetuses–pregnant females</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk proteins–lactating females</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk lipids–lactating females</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant-females–lactating females</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from pairwise Student’s t-tests between fetuses and their mothers showed a highly significant difference (n = 10; δ15N: t = -6.465, P < 0.001; δ13C: t = -2.364, P < 0.001). Fetuses showed 1.5% ± 0.44‰ higher δ15N values and 1.12‰ ± 0.66‰ higher δ13C values, as compared to their mothers (fig. 2).

Results from pairwise Student’s t-tests showed higher δ15N (0.3% ± 0.49‰) and lower δ13C (0.62‰ ± 0.93‰) values in the milk proteins than in the muscle of the respective lactating females (n = 17; P(δ15N) = 0.023, P(δ13C) = 0.013; fig. 3). Milk lipids showed lower δ13C (6.7‰ ± 1.1‰) values than did the muscle of the respective lactating females (n = 17; P(δ15N and δ13C) < 0.001; fig. 3).

Results from the Student’s t-tests between the muscle of pregnant (n = 13) and lactating (n = 21) females showed a significant difference in δ13C (t = -4.2, P < 0.001) and no difference in δ15N (t = 1.82, P = 0.08). In the latter case, however, the P value was on the verge of significance (P < 0.1), suggesting that δ15N values in the muscle of lactating females might indeed be lower than those in pregnant females.

**Discussion**

Isotopic Discrimination between Mother and Fetus

Few previous studies on stable isotopes in mammals include fetal tissues, and even fewer include concomitantly the tissues of their mothers to allow mother-fetus comparison. When this comparison is made, the results are very variable between species. A fact that has led researchers to attribute the observed differences to naturally existing species-specific variability that occurs without a generalized pattern (e.g., Jenkins et al. 2001). However, when the collective results of these studies are examined in detail (table 2), they do show a pattern apparently driven by the strategy of use of body stores during breeding, that is, a difference between income breeders—species that sustain...
reproduction with ingested nutrients—and capital breeders—
species that sustain reproduction with previously stored body reserves because ingestion of food during reproduction is insufficient to ensure offspring development (Stephens et al. 2009).

Thus, with regard to δ15N discrimination (hereafter Δ15N) between maternal and fetal tissues, in income breeders it is usually nonexistent. Such results were found by Fogel et al. (1989) in humans through the comparison of fingernails grown during gestation in neonates and their mothers. Similar results were found by Jenkins et al. (2001) in nine income-breeder mammals (moose Alces alces, black-tailed deer Odocoileus hemionus, coyote Canis latrans, domestic rabbit Oryctolagus cuniculus, rat Rattus norvegicus, cow Bos taurus, sheep Ovis aries, pig Sus scrofa, and cat Felis catus) through the comparison of values in red blood cells (RBCs) of mothers and their neonates sampled 12–14 d postpartum. The latter are considered to still reflect gestational values because the half-life of RBCs is estimated to be at least several weeks (e.g., in bears it is 28 d; Hilderbrand et al. 1996).

Contrary to this, in capital breeders Δ15N values between maternal and fetal tissues showed positive figures in all cases. Thus, Jenkins et al. (2001), studying the grizzly bear (Ursus arctos), and Barboza and Parker (2008), studying the reindeer (Rangifer tarandus), found, respectively, Δ15N neonatal/maternal values of 1.7% and 1.2% in the RBCs; and Habran et al. (2010), studying the northern elephant seal (Mirounga angustirostris), found Δ15N of 0.6% in RBCs from 5-d pups, as compared to RBCs of their mothers. Also, Nelson et al. (1998) studied collagen from fossil bones of the European cave bear (Ursus spelaeus) and found that Δ15N between neonates or fetuses and adults was 5%, although these results should be examined with caution because the material analyzed was about 48,000 yr old and the samples from neonates or fetuses and those from adults were not paired. Our results, the first of this nature to be obtained in a cetacean, are consistent with this pattern by showing a fetal-maternal Δ15N in muscle of 1.5‰.

The observed differences in fetal-maternal Δ15N between income and capital breeders are likely related to the way each group manages their reserves of energy and protein. As opposed to income breeders, which directly use ingested nutrients to build the tissues of their fetuses, female capital breeders rely on the nutrients stored in their own bodies to ensure the growth of the fetuses, because they do not ingest sufficient food during the gestation period (Stephens et al. 2009). Thus, bears have a long hibernation, during which they are not able to feed, and this overlaps their main period of gestation (Harlow et al. 2002). Reindeer have to cope with a highly variable Arctic climate that limits the availability of food, so the maternal protein stored by the mother in early winter typically contributes up to 96% of the fetal growth (Barboza and Parker 2008). Fin whales do not hibernate but instead carry out long migrations and commute between warm but low-productivity breeding areas and cool but highly productive feeding areas; this cycle implies that gestation takes place during periods of fasting or scarce feeding (Aguilar 2009). As a consequence, in these capital-breeder species the protein incorporated into the embryo would tend to originate from the mother’s protein. This would lead to a trophic enrichment of 15N, the intensity of which will likely be related to the degree of allocation of protein from maternal tissues, a fact that nonetheless does not exclude the potential influence of species-specific variability on Δ15N values (table 2), as Jenkins et al. (2001) suggested.

In contrast with the above scenario, the protein obtained through foraging by an income breeder during reproduction

![Figure 1. δ13C and δ15N values (mean ± 1 SD) found in the muscle of North Atlantic fin whale females in various reproductive conditions, as well as in the milk protein of lactating females. A color version of this figure is available online.](image1)

![Figure 2. Pairwise comparison of muscle δ13C and δ15N values between pregnant North Atlantic fin whale females and their respective fetuses. Numbers in the X-axis are the codes of the pregnant females. A color version of this figure is available online.](image2)
would be directly incorporated into the embryonic tissues instead of into the female tissues (Stephens et al. 2009), thus avoiding trophic enrichment of $^{15}$N. As a consequence, $\Delta^{15}$N between maternal and fetal tissues is nonexistent, as has been suggested by Dalerum et al. (2007). The northern elephant seal deserves a special mention. This pinniped has been proposed to adopt an intermediate breeding strategy: during gestation, it would behave as an income breeder, that is, it would actively forage, while during its ~26 d of lactation it would shift to perform as a capital breeder, that is, it would fast from food and water (Costa et al. 1986; Stephens et al. 2009). However, the fact that neonates of this species show some discrimination in $d^{15}$N (Habran et al. 2010) may suggest that pregnant females, in addition to directly allocating ingested resources to build fetal tissues, may partly rely on their own protein to complement embryonic development.

With regard to $\delta^{13}$C discrimination (hereafter $\Delta^{13}$C), results from previous studies are fewer and less consistent. Among the income breeders, neither Fogel et al. (1989), in humans, nor Jenkins et al. (2001), in nine income-breeder mammal species, found significant discrimination between the fetal or neonatal tissues and the maternal tissues. In capital breeders, results were either inconclusive or inconsistent. Thus, while the results of our study conducted on muscle of fin whales showed a fetal-maternal $\Delta^{13}$C of 1.13‰, those of Nelson et al. (1998) on collagen from fossil bones of the European cave bear showed a $\Delta^{13}$C of $-2.3$‰, although, for the reasons mentioned above, the latter results should be considered with caution. In the northern elephant seal, which, as mentioned above, is an intermediate income/capital breeder, Habran et al. (2010) did not find significant $\Delta^{13}$C. The absence of a clear trend likely has to do with the fact that the effect of trophic level on the $\delta^{13}$C value is not as strong as it is in the case of the $\delta^{15}$N value. In mammals, the mean $\Delta^{15}$N$_{tissue-diet}$ is 3‰ ($n = 89$), while the $\Delta^{13}$C$_{tissue-diet}$ is only 0.5‰ ($n = 95$; Caut et al. 2009), values that have a much milder capacity to trigger differences between mothers and their fetuses. Moreover, the $\delta^{13}$C value is highly influenced by the lipid and carbohydrate content of the tissue (Post et al. 2007), a factor that likely contributes to masking or diluting any potential effect of differences in protein allocation or recycling.

Whatever the case, it should be noted that this interpretation, although widespread in comparable previous studies (i.e., Jenkins et al. 2001; Gauthier et al. 2003; Habran et al. 2010), considers only variation in bulk proteins and lipids and that this may be an oversimplification of the actual biochemical changes taking place (King 2000). As mentioned above, there is no doubt that the actual processes are more complex, because many factors, particularly the different biochemical composition of tissues, affect discrimination when the macromolecules are routed from the diet to the reproducing female and her fetus and milk and/or from the reproducing female to her fetus and milk.

### Isotopic Discrimination between Muscle and Milk in Lactating Females

Few previous studies in lactating females have examined the isotope discrimination between body tissues and milk. Those
Table 2: Differences between $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values of maternal tissues and those of fetal/neonatal tissues, as well as those between tissues of lactating females and their milk, expressed as discrimination factors ($\Delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta^{13}C$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Breeding strategy</th>
<th>$\Delta^{15}N$ Maternal tissue</th>
<th>$\Delta^{13}C$ Maternal tissue</th>
<th>$\Delta^{15}N$ Fetal/neonatal tissue</th>
<th>$\Delta^{13}C$ Fetal/neonatal tissue</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human (Homo sapiens)</td>
<td>Fingernails</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Fogel et al. 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus)</td>
<td>Whole blood</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>-.3</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Miller et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 mammals (see text)</td>
<td>RBCs, 14 d</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Jenkins et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 mammals (see text)</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Jenkins et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polar bear (Ursus maritimus)</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>Polischuk et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic fur seal (Arctocephalus gazelk)</td>
<td>Whole blood</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>-4.85</td>
<td>Cherel et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subantarctic fur seal (Arctocephalus tropicalis)</td>
<td>Whole blood</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>-6.12</td>
<td>Cherel et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern elephant seal (Mirounga angustirostris)</td>
<td>RBCs, 5 d</td>
<td>Income/capital</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Habran et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reindeer (Rangifer tarandus)</td>
<td>RBCs, 0 d</td>
<td>90% capital</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Barboza and Parker 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grizzly bear (Ursus arctos)</td>
<td>RBCs, 14 d</td>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Jenkins et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European cave bear (Ursus spelacuus)</td>
<td>Collagen</td>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Nelson et al. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polar bear (Ursus maritimus)</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5.04</td>
<td>Polischuk et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus)</td>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. RBCs = red blood cells.

For RBCs, the number of days is the number of days postpartum for sampling.
available differ from the approach followed in this study in at least two main elements. The first is that, in previous studies, stable isotopes in milk were analyzed without a previous separation of proteins and lipids, while here we did analyze the lipid-free tissue and the lipids separately. This is relevant because lipids are $^{13}$C depleted during biochemical discrimination (DeNiro and Epstein 1977), and, since milk is very rich in lipids, the previous studies consequently showed overall very low $\delta^{13}$C values in whole milk (i.e., Jenkins et al. 2001; Habran et al. 2010). This effect was indeed confirmed by Stegall et al. (2008), who, studying Steller sea lions, found that $\delta^{13}$C values were 5.8‰ ± 1‰ higher in milk from which lipids had been extracted (i.e., in milk proteins) than in nonextracted (whole) milk. The constituent separation is irrelevant for $\delta^{15}$N values, as lipids have very low nitrogen content (<0.5%) and therefore $\delta^{15}$N values correspond almost completely to the protein fraction of the milk.

The second differential element in our research was that the maternal tissue analyzed and compared to the milk was muscle, while in previous studies it was whole blood or blood components such as plasma or RBCs (i.e., Jenkins et al. 2001; Polischuk et al. 2001; Habran et al. 2010; Miller et al. 2011; Cherel et al. 2015). This provides different grounds for comparison because the turnover rate of muscle and whole blood is lower than that of plasma, a tissue that reflects the nitrogen status of only the most recent days or weeks (Phillips and Eldridge 2006; Caut et al. 2011), and also because tissue discrimination differs between tissues following the trend plasma ~ whole blood > muscle > RBCs (Caut et al. 2009).

Despite these differences, when the collective results from these studies on milk $\Delta^{15}$N and $\Delta^{13}$C are examined in detail (table 2), they provide evidence for a pattern governed by the strategy followed in the use of energy during reproduction. With regard to nitrogen, lactating females of income breeders show negative $\Delta^{15}$N between their tissues and their milk.

Thus, Jenkins et al. (2001) found in nine income-breeder species a $-1.0\%$ ± 0.5‰ $\Delta^{15}$N between whole milk and plasma; Miller et al. (2011) found in the deer mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus) a $-0.3\%$o $\Delta^{15}$N between whole milk and whole blood; and Cherel et al. (2015) found between the same tissues in two species of fur seals (Arctocephalus gazelle and Arctocephalus tropicalis) a $\Delta^{15}$N of $-1.61\%$ and $-1.48\%$, respectively. These negative discrimination values likely reflect the fact that these species derive the nutrients for milk directly from their diet (Miller et al. 2011) thus sparing much metabolic processing.

Contrary to this, capital breeders did show positive $\Delta^{15}$N values between the tissues of lactating females and their milk. Thus, Habran et al. (2010), studying lactating northern elephant seal females, found $\Delta^{15}$N of 2‰ in whole milk, as compared to RBCs. This is consistent with the fact that elephant seal females nurse their pups while they fast from food and water (Le Boeuf et al. 1972) and consequently their milk has to be synthesized from body reserves. Polischuk et al. (2001) studied polar bears (Ursus maritimus) and similarly found a 1‰ $\Delta^{15}$N in milk proteins, as compared to plasma, in lactating mothers during the periods when they were fasting, but they observed that such discrimination approached 0 when the bears had access to seals for feeding (table 2; Polischuk et al. 2001). Our results, the first of this nature to be obtained in a cetacean, confirm this consistent difference by showing a 0.3‰ $\Delta^{15}$N between muscle and milk protein in lactating fin whales. Unfortunately, the results of Jenkins et al. (2001) on grizzly bears, another capital breeder, could not be examined in this respect because the data of that species were pooled with those from other species that performed as income breeders. The observed positive $\Delta^{15}$N in capital-breeding mammals is likely due to the initial assimilation of nutrients into the females’ lean body mass and posterior allocation of proteins to milk (Jönsson 1997), which leads to a preferential incorporation into the milk protein of the $^{15}$N isotope.

However, it is worth noting that the capital breeders’ $\Delta^{15}$N values (between 0.3‰ and 2‰; table 2) were overall lower than the commonly accepted trophic shift of 3‰–5‰ (Caut et al. 2009). The difference may be due to the metabolic pathways followed for milk production from females’ lean body mass, which are different from those used for the building up of other tissues from food. Moreover, in the case of the fin whales studied here, the low $\Delta^{15}$N value (0.3‰) is also likely to reflect the fact that the lactating females had been sampled after completing their migration and having reached the feeding grounds and were consequently already ingesting food. As a consequence, the $\Delta^{15}$N in these animals was probably low because milk proteins were likely derived in part from maternal reserves and in part from ingested food, as is typical in income-breeder mammals (Stearns 1992). Moreover, previous studies on this species and population have shown that $\delta^{15}$N values in muscle tend to increase during the feeding season (Agúal et al. 2014), a fact that would also interfere with the tissue values observed.

In results from previous studies in which milk proteins had not been separated from lipids, $\Delta^{13}$C was in all cases negative ($-0.5‰$ to $-6.5‰$). The same happened when $\Delta^{13}$C was calculated in the lipid fraction of the milk ($-4.8‰$ to $-6.7‰$; table 2). This study on fin whales and those of Polischuk et al. (2001) on polar bears and Cherel et al. (2015) on fur seals are the only ones in which isotope profiles in milk proteins were calculated. Surprisingly, the results are inconsistent. In fin whales, we found a $-0.69\%$ $\Delta^{13}$C between female milk proteins and muscle, while Polischuk et al. (2001) found a 1‰–1.5‰ $\Delta^{13}$C between female milk proteins and plasma in polar bears, and Cherel et al. (2015) found a 0.22‰–1.16‰ $\Delta^{13}$C between female milk proteins and whole blood. The reasons for this apparent discrepancy are unclear, and this is certainly a field that deserves further studies on other species allowing simultaneous sampling of maternal tissues and milk.

Comparison of Isotope Profiles between Pregnant and Lactating Females

Studies on the dynamics of stable isotopes in the maternal body tissues during gestation and lactation are equally scant, as compared to those of the issues discussed above. With regard to nitrogen, Fuller et al. (2004) reported a $\delta^{15}$N decrease in human maternal hair during gestation (decrease in $\delta^{15}$N of 0.6‰; $P <$...
0.001) and pointed out that the magnitude of the drop correlated to the baby’s birth weight. They associated this decrease with the physiological changes occurring during pregnancy, in particular a progressive reduction in the loss of 15N-depleted urinary nitrogen as pregnant females achieve positive nitrogen balance. In wild horses, Koch (1997) also found a decline that resulted in lower δ15N values in lactating females as compared to nonlactating females or males; however, in this case the author attributed the δ15N decrease to nitrogen-balance perturbations associated with lactation. Consistent with these findings, a study on blood components in northern fur seals Callorhinus ursinus (Kurle 2002) reported ~1% higher δ15N values in pregnant females than in lactating females, and one on plasma in polar bears found ~1.9% higher δ15N values in pregnant females than in lactating females with cubs of the year (Polischuk et al. 2001). Our results were unclear, and the comparison tests failed to find significant differences in δ15N between pregnant and lactating fin whales. However, the dissimilitude was marginally nonsignificant (P < 0.1), a result that probably is more a consequence of the low statistical power of the small sample size than of an actual homogeneity in signatures (Hoem 2008). Thus, pregnant females appeared to have somewhat higher values of δ15N than lactating females, suggesting a slight modification in maternal muscle proteins during gestation or, perhaps, during lactation. It is thus likely that maternal tissues would decrease their δ15N during pregnancy on the same path by which the fetus progressively enriches in 15N, a process that results in a balance in the tissues of the mother-fetus pair.

With regard to carbon, stable-isotope studies are not conclusive. In humans, no significant effect of pregnancy on δ13C was observed (Fuller et al. 2004), but Polischuk et al. (2001) reported in polar bear and Kurle (2002) in northern fur seals that δ13C values for blood components were higher in pregnant females than in lactating females by 0.65‰ and 1.1‰, respectively. Conversely, in our study on fin whales, we found that δ13C values were significantly lower in pregnant than in lactating females (P < 0.001; fig. 1), although the difference was very small (δ13Creg − δ13Cfetal = −0.58‰). A possible explanation for the apparent discrepancy is the high fat content (≈60%) of the whale milk, which would prompt females to employ large part of their own lipid reserves to produce it. As lipids are known to be 13C depleted relative to proteins (DeNiro and Epstein 1977), such a process could account for a relative increase in 13C in muscle proteins of lactating females.

Conclusions
This study shows that the fetuses of fin whales are enriched compared to their mothers in both 15N and 13C, while, compared to muscle, milk is enriched in 15N but depleted in 13C. Because this pattern is similar to that found in other capital breeders but different from that found in income breeders, the isotopic contrast of bulk tissues in marine mammals and their offspring proves a useful tool to investigate the physiological consequences of breeding strategies. However, final clarification of this issue will be possible only with the application of isotopic analysis of individual amino acids or specific carbon or nitrogen atoms at sites on individual amino acids.
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