

Dielectric Formulation for Many-Particle Systems with General Interactions*

PEDRO PASCUAL AND L. M. FALICOV

Institute for the Study of Metals, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

(Received 26 December 1962)

An extension of the self-consistent field approach formulation by Cohen in the preceding paper is proposed in order to include the most general kind of two-body interactions, i.e., interactions depending on position, momenta, spin, isotopic spin, etc. The dielectric function is replaced by a dielectric matrix. The evaluation of the energies involves the computation of a matrix inversion and trace.

IN this note we propose a further extension of the self-consistent field (SCF) approach formulated by Cohen,¹ in order to include the most general kind of two-body interactions. We formulate the problem in the second-quantization formalism and derive a formula for the energy similar to (III.21) of reference 1 in which the dielectric function is replaced by a dielectric matrix. The fundamental parameter ξ has here the same meaning and properties.

The system to be considered is that of N particles of one species; the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(g) = & \int \psi^\dagger(x) \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + U(x) \right] \psi(x) dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int \int \psi^\dagger(x) \psi^\dagger(x') v(g; x, x', \nabla_x, \nabla_{x'}) \\ & \times \psi(x') \psi(x) dx dx', \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where g is the strength of the interaction and x denotes the spatial coordinates as well as spin, isotopic spin, etc. In general, v depends on g in an arbitrary fashion and is a function of coordinates and momenta. Suitable limiting procedures depending on g allow the treatment of highly singular interactions. By introducing a complete set of functions φ_n in the x space and the corresponding creation and destruction operators a_n^\dagger and a_n ,

$$\psi(x) = \sum_n \varphi_n(x) a_n, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{H}(g) = \sum_{nm} K_{nm} a_n^\dagger a_m + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nmrs} v_{ns,rm} a_n^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_r a_s, \quad (3)$$

where

$$K_{nm} = \int \varphi_n^\dagger(x) \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + U(x) \right] \varphi_m(x) dx, \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{ns,rm} = & \int \int \varphi_n^\dagger(x) \varphi_m^\dagger(x') \\ & \times v(g; x, x', \nabla_x, \nabla_{x'}) \varphi_r(x') \varphi_s(x) dx dx'. \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

The energy of the ground state is obtained by minimizing with respect to $|0, g, 1\rangle$ the expression

$$E_0(g, 1) = \langle 0, g, 1 | \mathcal{H}(g) | 0, g, 1 \rangle. \quad (6)$$

The SCF formulation, in zeroth order, is obtained when the definition of the energy $E_0(g, 1)$ is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(g, 0) = & \sum_{nm} K_{nm} \langle 0, g, 0 | a_n^\dagger a_m | 0, g, 0 \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nmrs} v_{ns,rm} \langle 0, g, 0 | a_n^\dagger a_s | 0, g, 0 \rangle \\ & \times \langle 0, g, 0 | a_m^\dagger a_r | 0, g, 0 \rangle. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

This immediately yields

$$\mathcal{H}(g, 0) | 0, g, 0 \rangle = \mathcal{E}_{00} | 0, g, 0 \rangle, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(g, 0) = \sum_{mr} [K_{mr} + V_{mr}(0)] a_m^\dagger a_r, \quad (9)$$

$$V_{mr}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ns} (v_{ns,rm} + v_{mr,sn}) \langle 0, g, 0 | a_n^\dagger a_s | 0, g, 0 \rangle, \quad (10)$$

$$E_0(g, 0) = \mathcal{E}_{00} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mr} V_{mr}(0) \langle 0, g, 0 | a_m^\dagger a_r | 0, g, 0 \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Following reference (1) we now introduce the parameter ξ to obtain the generalized SCF formulation,

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(g, \xi) = & \sum_{mr} K_{mr} \langle 0, g, \xi | a_m^\dagger a_r | 0, g, \xi \rangle \\ & + \frac{1-\xi}{2} \sum_{mnr s} v_{ns,rm} \langle 0, g, \xi | a_n^\dagger a_s | 0, g, \xi \rangle \\ & \times \langle 0, g, \xi | a_m^\dagger a_r | 0, g, \xi \rangle \\ & + \frac{\xi}{2} \sum_{mnr s} v_{ns,rm} \langle 0, g, \xi | a_n^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_r a_s | 0, g, \xi \rangle, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

such that the energy reduces to (7) at $\xi=0$ and to the exact case (6) at $\xi=1$.

Variation of (12) with respect to the state vector gives

$$\mathcal{H}(g, \xi) | 0, g, \xi \rangle = \mathcal{E}_{0\xi} | 0, g, \xi \rangle, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(g, \xi) = & \sum_{mr} [K_{mr} + (1-\xi)V_{mr}(\xi)] a_m^\dagger a_r \\ & + \frac{\xi}{2} \sum_{mnr s} v_{ns,rm} a_n^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_r a_s, \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

where $V_{mr}(\xi)$ is given by (10) with the state vector $|0, g, \xi\rangle$ instead of $|0, g, 0\rangle$ and

$$E_0(g, \xi) = \mathcal{E}_{0\xi} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mr} V_{mr}(\xi) \langle 0, g, \xi | a_m^\dagger a_r | 0, g, \xi \rangle. \quad (15)$$

* Supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.
¹ M. H. Cohen, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1301 (1963).

From now on it is possible to follow step by step the derivation of reference 1 with parallel results. So by computing $dE_0/d\xi$ the energy $E_0(g,\xi)$ can be expressed

$$E_0(g,\xi) = E_0(g,0) + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\xi d\xi \sum'_{\alpha} \sum_{nmrs} [v_{ns,rm} + v_{mr,sn}] \times \langle 0, g, \xi | a_n^\dagger a_s | \alpha, g, \xi \rangle \langle \alpha, g, \xi | a_m^\dagger a_r | 0, g, \xi \rangle, \quad (16)$$

where $|\alpha, g, \xi\rangle$ is a general eigenstate of (14) and the sum excludes the term with $\alpha=0$.

Similarly, by extending the formulation to time-dependent problems, we get a Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar |\dot{x}\rangle = \mathcal{H}C(x) |x\rangle, \quad (17)$$

where $\mathcal{H}C(x)$ is given by (14) but with $V_{mr}(\xi)$ replaced by

$$V_{mr}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ns} [v_{ns,rm} + v_{mr,sn}] \langle x | a_n^\dagger a_s | x \rangle. \quad (18)$$

The introduction of a time-dependent perturbation

$$\mathcal{H}C_1 = \sum_{rs} A_{rs} a_r^\dagger a_s, \quad (19)$$

$$A_{rs} \propto e^{i\omega t} e^{\delta t}, \quad \delta \rightarrow 0^+,$$

produces a response in the system characterized by a dielectric matrix, i.e., the effective perturbation felt by a test particle is given by

$$A_{rs}' = \sum_{mn} (\epsilon^{-1})_{rs,mn} A_{mn}, \quad (20)$$

where ϵ^{-1} is the inverse dielectric matrix. The dielectric matrix is defined as

$$\epsilon = \mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathfrak{D}) [\mathbf{I} + \xi \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathfrak{D}]^{-1}, \quad (21)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the unit matrix of elements

$$I_{mn,rs} = \delta_{mr} \delta_{ns}. \quad (22)$$

\mathbf{v} is the interaction matrix whose elements are given by (5), and

$$\mathfrak{D}_{mn,rs}(\omega, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha} \left[\frac{\langle 0, g, \xi | a_n^\dagger a_m | \alpha, g, \xi \rangle \langle \alpha, g, \xi | a_r^\dagger a_s | 0, g, \xi \rangle}{-\hbar\omega - \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\xi} + \mathcal{E}_{0\xi} + i\delta} + \frac{\langle 0, g, \xi | a_r^\dagger a_s | \alpha, g, \xi \rangle \langle \alpha, g, \xi | a_n^\dagger a_m | 0, g, \xi \rangle}{\hbar\omega - \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\xi} + \mathcal{E}_{0\xi} - i\delta} \right]. \quad (23)$$

From (21), (23), and (16) we find

$$E_0(g,1) = E_0(g,0) - \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^\infty d\omega \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \operatorname{Tr} \left[\frac{\epsilon - \mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{I} + \xi(\epsilon - \mathbf{I})} \right] \right\}. \quad (24)$$

It is easily shown that for the uniform spinless case in the momentum representation

$$\mathfrak{D}_{mn,rs} = \delta_{n+r, m+s} \mathfrak{D}_{mn,rs}, \quad (25)$$

$$v_{rs,mn} = v(|r-s|) \delta_{n+r, m+s}.$$

Using (21), we have

$$\operatorname{Im} \left\{ \operatorname{Tr} \left[\frac{\epsilon - \mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{I} + \xi(\epsilon - \mathbf{I})} \right] \right\} = - \sum_q v(q) \operatorname{Im} \mathfrak{D}_q(\omega), \quad (26)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{D}_q(\omega) = \sum_{mr} \mathfrak{D}_{m+q, r-q}. \quad (27)$$

If (26) is substituted in (24), formula (II.47) of reference (1) is obtained.

For general systems the evaluation of (24) involves the calculation of a very complicated matrix inversion

and a trace, and the matrix is in general of infinite order. For uniform cases the infinite trace can be reduced to a finite one, i.e., to the order of the dimensions of the combined space of spin and isotopic spin, and integration over momenta.

Finally for hard-core interactions, a suitable limiting procedure on the g 's may give reasonable results if enough care is exercised in varying the two parameters g and ξ . This may result in velocity-dependent potentials when the hard-core regions are excluded. An application of these techniques may give interesting results in the case of nuclear matter and liquid helium.

We are very grateful to Professor M. H. Cohen for communicating his results at early stages and for many illuminating discussions. One of us (P.P.) would like to acknowledge a grant from the International Atomic Energy Agency as partial support in this investigation.