Pharmacogenetics of Efficacy and Safety of HCV Treatment in HCV-HIV Coinfected Patients: Significant Associations with *IL28B* and *SOCS3* Gene Variants

Francesc Vidal^{1*9}, Miguel López-Dupla¹⁹, Montserrat Laguno², Sergi Veloso¹, Josep Mallolas², Javier Murillas³, Carmen Cifuentes⁴, Lluis Gallart¹, Teresa Auguet¹, Gloria Sampériz³, Antoni Payeras⁴, Pilar Hernandez¹, Mireia Arnedo², Josep Ma Gatell², Cristóbal Richart¹

1 Hospital Universitari de Tarragona Joan XXIII, IISPV, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona, Spain, 2 Hospital Clinic, IDIBAPS, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 3 Hospital Son Espases, Palma de Mallorca, Spain, 4 Hospital Son Llàtzer, Palma de Mallorca, Spain

Abstract

Background and Aims: This was a safety and efficacy pharmacogenetic study of a previously performed randomized trial which compared the effectiveness of treatment of hepatitis C virus infection with pegylated interferon alpha (pegIFN α) 2a vs. 2b, both with ribavirin, for 48 weeks, in HCV-HIV coinfected patients.

Methods: The study groups were made of 99 patients (efficacy pharmacogenetic substudy) and of 114 patients (safety pharmacogenetic substudy). Polymorphisms in the following candidate genes *IL28B*, *IL6*, *IL10*, *TNFα*, *IFN* γ , *CCL5*, *MxA*, *OAS1*, *SOCS3*, *CTLA4* and *ITPA* were assessed. Genotyping was carried out using Sequenom iPLEX-Gold, a single-base extension polymerase chain reaction. Efficacy end-points assessed were: rapid, early and sustained virological response (RVR, EVR and SVR, respectively). Safety end-points assessed were: anemia, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, flu-like syndrome, gastrointestinal disturbances and depression. Chi square test, Student's T test, Mann-Whitney U test and logistic regression were used for statistic analyses.

Results: As efficacy is concerned, *IL28B* and *CTLA4* gene polymorphisms were associated with RVR (p<0.05 for both comparisons). Nevertheless, only polymorphism in the *IL28B* gene was associated with SVR (p=0.004). In the multivariate analysis, the only gene independently associated with SVR was *IL28B* (OR 2.61, 95%CI 1.2–5.6, p=0.01). With respect to safety, there were no significant associations between flu-like syndrome or depression and the genetic variants studied. Gastrointestinal disturbances were associated with *ITPA* gene polymorphism (p=0.04). Anemia was associated with *OAS1* and *CTLA4* gene polymorphisms (p=0.049 and p=0.045, respectively), neutropenia and thromobocytopenia were associated with *SOCS3* gene polymorphism (p=0.02 and p=0.002, respectively). In the multivariate analysis, the associations of the *SOCS3* gene polymorphism with neutropenia (OR 0.26, 95%CI 0.09–0.75, p=0.01) and thrombocytopenia (OR 0.07, 95%CI 0.008–0.57, p=0.01) remained significant.

Conclusions: In HCV-HIV coinfected patients treated with PegIFN_α and ribavirin, SVR is associated with *IL28B rs8099917* polymorphism. HCV treatment-induced neutropenia and thrombocytopenia are associated with *SOCS3 rs4969170* polymorphism.

Citation: Vidal F, López-Dupla M, Laguno M, Veloso S, Mallolas J, et al. (2012) Pharmacogenetics of Efficacy and Safety of HCV Treatment in HCV-HIV Coinfected Patients: Significant Associations with *IL28B* and *SOCS3* Gene Variants. PLoS ONE 7(11): e47725. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047725

Editor: Weijing He, University of Texas Health Science Center, United States of America

Received May 29, 2012; Accepted September 14, 2012; Published November 2, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Vidal et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was partially financed by a grant from the Red de Investigación de Sida (RIS, RD06/0006/0000, RD06/0006/1004, RD06/0006/1017); Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII); Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria (PI09/01778, PI09/1778 and PI10/2635); Ministerio de Economia y Conocimiento (SAF 2008 22870 and SAF2012-35198; Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad (EC11-293); Programa de Suport als Grups de Recerca AGAUR (L'Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca) (2009SGR1061 and 2009SGR1159). Montserrat Laguno is funded by a grant from the Spanish Ministry of Health (FIS 2007). Francesc Vidal is funded by the Programa de Intensificación de la Actividad Investigadora (ISCIII, I3SNS, INT11/240). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: fvidalmarsal.hj23.ics@gencat.cat

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

Patients who are co-infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV) within human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are currently treated on a 48-week regimen of pegylated interferon alpha (pegIFN α) and ribavirin [1]. Although new antiviral agents that are active

against HCV are now available [2], they are just becoming to be used for treating HCV-HIV co-infected patients. Response to the treatment regimen varies greatly between individuals, as it does between HCV-mono-infected individuals. Studies elsewhere have identified several factors that can independently predict treatment response: age, duration of the infection, HCV genotype, baseline plasma HCV viral load and the degree of liver fibrosis, among others [3–5]. These factors, however, do not fully explain the variability in the response to treatment, hence other factors, such as host genetic background, have been sought [6,7].

Pharmacogenetics is the science that studies interindividual variations in the response to and toxicity of drugs due to variations in the genetic composition of individuals, in other words, how a person's genetic background influences the favourable or adverse outcome of a certain treatment. Sufficient advances have been made in this discipline to allow this fertile field of research to move out of the research laboratory into the scenario of potential clinical applications, including the treatment of HCV. In 2009, various independent research teams provided evidence that the interleukin 28B (IL28B) rs12979860 and rs8099917 polymorphisms were associated with spontaneous clearance of HCV [8] and with sustained virological response to treatment with pegIFN α and ribavirin [9-11] in HCV mono-infected patients. Further studies have consistently confirmed these associations [12,13]. Similar findings have also been reported in HCV-HIV co-infected patients [14-17].

Recently, we carried out a randomised trial to compare the efficacy and safety of the two available forms of pegIFN α plus ribavirin in HCV-HIV co-infected patients [18]. No significant differences in either efficacy or safety were found between the two treatment arms. The present report is a pharmacogenetic substudy of that study. Here we assess the possible relationship between the efficacy and safety of pegIFN α plus ribavirin and polymorphisms in the genes that encode for several proteins involved in the metabolism of interferon α and ribavirin and in the defence against viral infections.

Methods

Study design and patients

This was a pharmacogenetic substudy of the PegIFNa 2a vs. PegIFNa 2b, both plus ribavirin, study (Clinical Trial Registry Number: ISRCTN81765620. Registration Number in AEMPS: 03-0198), which was a prospective, multicentred, randomised, open-label trial. Details of the study design and characteristics have been reported elsewhere [18]. From the 182 patients included in that trial, 123 had stored DNA available and constitute the basis of the current pharmacogenetic study. Of these patients, 10 had discontinued the study (2 voluntary and 8 protocol violation) and 14 had not completed the scheduled 48week treatment regimen because of discontinuation due to severe adverse effects. Hence, 99 patients completed the study protocol (or stopped it according to standart early virological stopping rules) and had DNA available. The pharmacogenetic substudy of efficacy was performed in these 99 individuals. For the pharmacogenetic substudy of safety we assessed these 99 patients plus the 14 who had discontinued treatment because of toxicity (n = 113). Patients were evaluated before beginning treatment, 2 weeks after initiation and every 4 weeks thereafter until cessation of therapy. One last evaluation of the sustained viral response (SVR) was made 24 weeks after cessation of therapy. A complete cell count and routine biochemical tests including lactate were conducted at every medical visit, together with a medical interview in order to monitor possible secondary effects associated with treatment.

Ethics

Participants provided written informed consent before taking part in the study. The institutional ethics committees of the participating centres specifically approved this study. The full names of the institutional review boards and committees are: Comitè Ètic d'Investigació Clínica de l'Hospital Clinic (for the Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain), Comitè Ètic d'Investigació Clínica de les Illes Balears (for the Hospital Son Llàtzer and the Hospital Son Espases, from Palma de Mallorca, Spain) and Comitè Ètic d'Investigació Clinica de l'Hospital Universitari de Tarragona Joan XXIII (for the Hospital Joan XXIII, Tarragona Spain). The study protocol was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki of good clinical practice guidelines.

Assessment of efficacy

The primary measure of efficacy was SVR, which was defined as undetectable plasma HCV-RNA at the end of the 24 weekperiod of follow-up after cessation of the scheduled 48-week treatment. Patients with detectable HCV-RNA after 24 weeks of therapy were considered failures, and therapy was discontinued. Secondary parameters of efficacy were: 1) early virological response (EVR), defined as negative HCV-RNA or a \geq 2 log reduction of HCV-RNA from baseline at week 12 of treatment; 2) rapid virological response (RVR), defined as negative HCV-RNA at week 4 of treatment and, 3) relapses, defined as patients with EVR but not SVR.

Assessment of safety

Adverse events were graded as mild, moderate, severe, or potentially life-threatening according to a modification of the World Health Organisation scale [19]. Therapy was permanently discontinued in the face of life-threatening events. In cases of haematological toxicity, the ribavirin or PegIFNa dose was lowered according to the drug label recommendations and full doses were restarted when the haematological data returned to the normal level for that patient. The use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor and erythropoietin were permitted in this study and used at the discretion of the physician responsible for each patient, as was the use of antidepressant drugs. Anemia was defined as haemoglobin level of <10.5 g/dL. Neutropenia was defined as a neutrophile count of less than 2.5×10^9 cells/L. Thrombocytopenia was considered when the platelet count fell below 125×10^9 platelets/L. Patients suspected of suffering depression were evaluated by a psychiatrist, and the presence/ absence of depression was assessed by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV axis 1 Disorders (SCID) [20]. Adverse gastrointestinal effects were considered if nausea, vomiting and/or abdominal pain were present. Flu-like symptoms considered were fatigue, fever, myalgia and headache.

Laboratory methods

Samples. After an overnight fast, 20 mL of blood was collected from an antecubital vein into a VacutainerTM with ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA). Five mL of whole blood was used to determine CD4+ T-cell count. Five-hundred μ L was used for DNA isolation by a MagNa Pure LC Instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). Plasma and serum were obtained by centrifugation at 3500 g for 15 min at 4°C.

Measurements. HCV infection was assessed by detection of a positive anti-HCV antibody test in serum, through indirect qualitative immunoassay (sandwich twice washed) (Advia Centaur, Bayer Health Care, Tarrytown, NY). Plasma HCV viral load was determined by a quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay (Versant HCV-NA 3.0 {bDNA}, Siemens Medical Soluctions Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY). HCV genotyping was carried out as previously described [21]. HIV-1 infection was diagnosed by a positive enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay and confirmed by a positive Western blot test. Plasma HIV-1 viral load was determined by the Cobas amplicor HIV-1 Monitor Test v 1.5 using the Cobas Amplicor system (Roche Diagnostics, City, State/ Country). CD4 T-cell count was assessed in a flow cytometer FAC Scan (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA). Data acquired was analysed using the Multiset program.

Genetic analyses. The nomenclature and details of the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) assessed are shown in Table 1. We selected to assess SNPs in genes encoding for: a) several cytokines (IL28B, IL6, IL10, TNF α and IFN γ) given that they are involved in the host immune respose to HCV; b) the chemokine CCL5, because of its expression is enhanced by HCV; c) the proteins MxA, OAS1 and SOCS3, which regulate the potent antiviral effect of interferon α ; d) the cytotoxic lymphocyte antigen CTLA4, that modulate the response of HCV to interferon α , and; e) ITPA, since it has been associated with anemia in patients treated with purine analogues. Genetic analyses were carried out in the Centro Nacional de Genotipado (CeGen), Spain (www.cegen.org). The methodology applied in the genotyping was the single-base extension polymerase chain reaction Sequenom iPLEX-Gold.

Statistical analysis

A descriptive analysis of the baseline variables was conducted. Before statistical analysis, normality distribution and homogeneity of the variables were tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm SD or median (interquartile range), depending on its distribution, and discrete variables were expressed as percentage. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was assessed by the χ^2 goodness-of-fit test. Linkage

Table 1. Genes and polymorphisms assessed.

Gene	rs	Chromosome
IL28B	rs8099917	19
IL6	rs1800795	7
IL10	rs1800872	1
IL10	rs1800896	1
TNFα	rs361525	6
IFNγ	rs2430561	12
CCL5	rs1800825	17
CCL5	rs2107538	17
CCL5	rs2280788	17
CCL5	rs2280789	17
MxA	rs462903	21
OAS1	rs2660	12
SOCS3	rs12952093	17
SOCS3	rs4969168	17
SOCS3	rs4969170	17
CTLA4	rs231775	2
CTLA4	rs5742909	2
ITPA	rs7270101	20
ITPA	rs1127354	20

Nomenclature (acronyms in parentheses): interleukin 28B (IL28B), interleukin 6 (IL6), interleukin 10 (IL10), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF α), interferon gamma (IFN γ), chemokine C ligand 5 (CCL5*), myxovirus resistance protein A (MxA), oligoadenyl synthase 1 (OAS1), suppressor of cytokine signalling 3 (SOCS3), cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4), inosine triphosphatase (ITPA). *Formerly known as: regulated upon activation normally expressed and secreted (RANTES).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047725.t001

disequilibrium and haplotype analysis, after its reconstruction, were made with the Haploview program [22]. The reconstruction of haplotypes from genotype data of IL10, CTL4, IFN-y, OAS1, CCL5 and ITPA genes was performed with the PHASE v 2.1 program [23,24]. Student's T test was used to compare normally distributed continuous variables with every type of virological response (RVR, EVR and SVR) and with every category of adverse effects. The Mann-Whitney U test was performed to compare continuous variables that were not normally distributed. Comparisons of qualitative variables, including genotype, allele frequencies, clinical, analytical and therapy variables, with the different types of virological response and with toxicity were analyzed by the Chi-square test, and Fisher's exact test when necessary. Odds ratios and confidence intervals were calculated using Woolf approximation. Logistic regression test was performed to study predictive factors of sustained viral response and toxicity, and only the variables with p < 0.05 at univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. The variables included in the multivariate models were selected by means of a forwardbackward stepwise procedure. All analyses were performed using the SPSS/PC+ statistical package (V. 17.01 for Windows; Chicago, IL). A p value of less than 0.05 was considered significant, and all statistical tests were two tailed.

Results

Patients

Table 2 shows the main demographic and clinical characteristics of the 123 patients that had DNA available, of the 99 patients selected for the pharmacogenetic study of efficacy and of the 113 patients assessed for the pharmacogenetic study of safety. Demographic and clinical characteristics of these 123 subjects did not differ significantly from those of individuals who had not stored DNA available (n = 59). Of note, there was a population admixture regarding HCV genotypes (Table 2).

Efficacy analyses

Table 3 shows the association between the genotypes and alleles assessed and each type of virological response. RVR was associated with *IL28B rs8099917* SNP, carriers of *T* allele had the greater association with response, and with *CTLA4 rs231775* SNP, carriers of the *A* allele were associated with better response. No associations were observed with EVR. SVR was otherwise associated with the *IL28B rs8099917* SNP, carriers of the *T* allele being associated with better outcome. After analysing the linkage disequilibrium among the polymorphisms studied, only an association between *CCL5 rs2280789* and *rs2107538* SNPs was found (D' = 1; 95%IC = 0.87–1). The reconstructed haplotypes of these polymorphisms were not associated with SVR (p = 0.14).

Univariate analysis of SVR, which included several clinical, virological and therapy variables, indicated that HCV genotypes 2+3, RVR and EVR, beaides of carriage of the *IL28B rs8099917 T* allele, were significantly associated with SVR (Table 4). To determine whether the *IL28B rs8099917* SNP was independently associated with SVR, we constructed a multivariate regression model which included the variables reported to be significantly associated with SVR in the univariate analysis. After adjustment for other covariates, the *IL28B rs8099917 T* allele remained significantly associated with SVR, compared with the *G* allele (Table 5).

Safety analyses

Table 6 shows the association between the genotypes and alleles assessed and the different categories of adverse effects defined.

Table 2. Main demographic and clinical characteristics of the groups studied.

		All patients (n = 123)	Efficacy study (n = 99)	Safety study(n = 113)
Male gender (%)		74.8	74.7	74.3
Age (years)*		41 (38–43)	40 (38–43)	40 (38–43)
Baseline weight (Kg)**		69.3±12	69.5±12	69.3±12
Baseline height (cm)**		170.7±8.2	170.9±8.4	170.7±8.3
HCV/HIV acquiring risk factor (%)	IDU	74	72.7	72.6
	Heterosexual	17.1	18.2	17.7
	Male homosexual	5.7	6.1	6.2
	Haemophiliac	0.8	1	0.9
	Other	2.4	2	2.7
AIDS (%)		42.2	40.4	42.5
HCV genotype (%)	1	43.9	45.4	44.2
	2	3.3	3	3.5
	3	34.1	32.3	32.7
	4	18.7	19.2	19.4
Baseline HCV-RNA>400000 IU/mL (%)	78.3	77.1	77.3
Baseline HCV-RNA>600000 IU/mL (%)	60	58.3	60
Baseline HCV-RNA>800000 IU/mL (%)	57.5	55.2	57.3
Fibrosis score, (%)	0–1	34.9	35.7	35.1
	2–4	65.1	64.3	64.9
Steatosis (%)		34	28.6	34.1
Baseline CD4 cell count (cells/mL)*		551 (430–733)	562 (434–760)	562 (415–787)
Baseline CD4 cell count \leq 350 cells/	'mL (%)	14.8	15.3	16.2
Baseline HIV viral load≤200 copies/	′mL (%)	78.7	75.5	77.7
HAART (%)		84.5	82.8	84.1
RVR (%)		38.4	35.4	36.3
EVR (%)		75.4	73.9	74.7
SVR (%)		49.6	51.5	50
Discontinuation for toxicity (%)		11.4	0	12.8
Toxicity (%)		92.7	90.9	92
Anemia (%)		28.5	28.3	26.5
Neutropenia (%)		39.8	40.4	40.7
Thrombocytopenia (%)		39	38.4	39.8
Flu-like syndrome (%)		69.1	69.7	68.1
Headache (%)		15.4	16.2	15.9
Depression (%)		32.5	32.3	33.6
Gastrointestinal symptoms (%)		24.4	26.3	24.8
Lactic acidosis (%)		4.1	2	3.5

*Median (25–75% interquartile range).

**Mean±standard deviation.

HAART: Highly active antiretroviral therapy. EVR: Early virological response. RVR: Rapid virological response. SVR: Sustained virological response.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047725.t002

There were no significant associations between flu-like syndrome or depression and any of the genetic variants studied. Adverse gastrointestinal disturbances were associated with the *ITPA rs1127354* polymorphism. Anemia was associated with the *OAS1 rs2*660 and the *CTL4 rs231775* polymorphisms, and neutropenia and thrombocytopenia were associated with the polymorphism *rs4969170* in the *SOCS3* gene.

We performed an univariate analysis of the association of the six categories of adverse effects defined and the clinical, virological and therapy variables that were assessed in the safety substudy (the variables used are detailed in Table 4). Among continuous variables, the significant associations observed were: flu-like syndrome with higher weight (71.1 \pm 12.7 vs. 65.2 \pm 9 k.; p = 0.02); depression with higher HIV plasma viral load (median 199 copies/mL, 25–75% IQR 49–298 vs. median 49 copies/mL, 25–75% IQR 39–199; p = 0.045), neutropenia with lower CD4 T-cell count (median 508 cells/mL, 25–75% IQR 340–769 vs. median 591 cells/mL, 25–75% IQR 497–800; p = 0.03) and thrombocytopenia with lower CD4 T-cell count (median 511 cells/mL, 25–75% IQR 383–704 vs. non neutropenic: median

Table 3. Association between the different types of virological response and the genetic variants assessed.

Genotype/allele		RVR (n = 35)		EVR (n = 73)		SVR (n = 51)	
		OR (95%CI)	р	OR (95%CI)	р	OR (95%CI)	р
IL28B rs8099917	TT	1		1		1	
	GT	0.41 (0.14–1.23)	0.1	0.42 (0.15–1.21)	0.25	0.35 (0.14–0.87)	0.01
	GG	0		0.44 (0.04–5.39)		0	
	Т	2.8 (1.1–7.58)	0.03	1.9 (0.84–4.46)	0.12	3.1 (1.41–6.79)	0.004
CTLA4 rs231775	AA	1		1		1	
	AG	0.29 (0.09–1)	0.03	0.78 (0.27-2.21)	0.46	0.36 (0.08–1.72)	0.23
	GG	0.13 (0.01–1.11)		3 (0.33–26.79)		0.74 (0.16–3.35)	
	А	3.6 (1.44–9)	0.004	0.8 (0.37-1.76)	0.6	1.16 (0.6–2.27)	0.66

RVR: Rapid virological response. EVR: Early virological response. SVR: Sustained virological response. OR: Odds ratio. CI: confidence interval. Odds ratio in the different genotypes are referred to the genotype with OR = 1. The value "0" means that there were no subjects carrying this genotype. Note: the remaining genetic variants assessed showed no significant associations with RVR, EVR and SVR (p>0.05 for all comparisons). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047725.t003

611 cells/mL, 25–75% IQR 473–816; p = 0.049). Among categoric variables, the only significant associations observed were: neutropenia with pegIFN α 2a vs. 2b (OR 2.6, 95CI 1.2–5.7, p = 0.01), and with female vs. male gender (OR 2.7, 95%CI 1.1–6.4, p = 0.02); and thrombocytopenia with pegIFN α 2a vs. 2b (OR 2.9, 95%CI 1.3–6.3, p = 0.008).

For multivariate analyses, we constructed a logistic regression model which included the adverse effects categories that were associated with more than one clinical, analytical, virological or

Table 4. Association of clinical, biochemical, and therapeutic factors with SVR in patients who completed the scheduled 48-week treatment regimen with pegIFN α and ribavirin.

Variables	Odds ratio	95% CI	р
Male vs. female	2.33	0.91-5.96	0.07
Age \leq 40 vs. $>$ 40 years	2.17	0.97-4.85	0.06
Weight<75 vs. ≥75 Kg	0.48	0.19–1.18	0.1
CDC stage B vs. A	0.66	0.2–2.17	0.49
CDC stage C vs. A	0.42	0.14-1.26	0.12
HIV viral load<200 vs. \geq 200 copies/mL	1.39	0.55-3.5	0.48
HAART (yes vs. no)	0.9	0.33-2.66	0.9
ABC (yes vs. no)	1.46	0.5-4.17	0.48
HCV RNA>800000 vs. ≤800000 IU/mL	0.9	0.4–2.02	0.8
HCV RNA>600000 vs. ≤600000 IU/mL	0.69	0.3–1.56	0.37
HCV RNA>400000 vs. ≤400000 IU/mL	0.54	0.2–1.44	0.22
HCV genotype 2+3 vs. 1+4	4.65	1.85–11.6	0.001
Fibrosis 2–4 vs. 0–1	1.35	0.56-3.3	0.5
Steatosis (yes vs. no)	1.39	0.31-6.14	0.7
CD4≤350 cells/mL	2.96	0.87–10	0.07
EVR (yes vs. no)	>999.999		< 0.001
RVR (yes vs. no)	31.2	6.56-148.37	< 0.001
pegIFNa 2a vs pegIFNa 2b	1.4	0.65-3.17	0.37

 $\mathsf{pegIFN}\alpha$: $\mathsf{pegylated}$ interferon alpha. CI: confidence interval. CDC: Centers for Disease Control.

HAART: highly active antiretroviral therapy. ABC: abacavir. EVR: early virological response. RVR: rapid virological response.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047725.t004

genetic variable in the univariate analysis. The only adverse effects that fulfilled these criteria were neutropenia and thrombocytopenia. With respect to neutropenia we constructed a multivariate regression model which included the following variables: gender, type of pegIFN α prescribed, CD4 cell count stratified in two categories (\leq 350 and >350 cells/mL) and *SOCS3 rs4969170* genotype. The use of pegIFN α 2a and CD4 cell count \leq 350 cells/mL was associated with greater risk of neutropenia while carriers of the *SOCS3 rs4969170 AG* genotype had significantly lower risk of neutropenia. Regarding thrombocytopenia, the model included the type of pegIFN α prescribed, stratified CD4 cell count, and *SOCS3 rs4969170* genotype. Patients treated with pegIFN α 2a had significantly higher risk of thrombocytopenia, and carriers of the *SOCS3 rs4969170 AA* genotype had significantly lower risk of thrombocytopenia.

Discussion

Given that HCV treatment is of long duration, has potentially serious adverse effects and is expensive, several attempts have been made to identify the factors that predict a successful outcome [3– 5]. Our previous study identified that factors associated with treatment outcome are: age, HCV viral load and HCV genotype [18]. This pharmacogenetic substudy shows that the *IL28B rs8099917* polymorphism is associated with SVR to hepatitis C therapy in HCV-HIV co-infected patients and therefore confirms the results that have been reported in many other studies performed in HCV mono-infected [9–13] and in HCV-HIV coinfected patients [14–17]. A new finding from our study is the association between HCV treatment-induced neutropenia and

Table 5. Independent predictors of SVR in patients who completed the scheduled 48-week treatment regimen with $pegIFN\alpha$ and ribavirin.

Variables	Odds ratio	95% CI	р
IL28B T vs. G allele	2.61	1.2–5.6	0.01
HCV Genotype 2+3 vs. 1+4	3.61	1.98–6.58	<0.001

SVR: sustained virological response. peglFN $\!\alpha\!:$ pegylated interferon alpha. Cl: confidence interval.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047725.t005

8	
ŝ	
ų,	
SS	
a	
S	
Ē	
<u>a</u> .	
ar	
>	
. <u>u</u>	
E.	
Ĕ	
ē	
0	
ē	
누	
$\overline{0}$	
Ĕ	
a	
S	
Ū	
ц,	
Ъ,	
Š	
P	
≥	
20	
÷	
Ö	
S	
ĕ	
ype	
type	
nt type	
ent type	
erent type	
ifferent type	
different type	
e different type	
the different type	
the different type	
en the different type	•
een the different type	
ween the different type	•
etween the different type	
between the different type	
n between the different type	-
on between the different type	•
ition between the different type	-
ciation between the different type	-
ociation between the different type	-
ssociation between the different type	
Association between the different type	
. Association between the different type	
6. Association between the different type	
e 6. Association between the different type	
ble 6. Association between the different type	
able 6. Association between the different type	

CTA 553175 G6 1 1 1 1 1 1 AC 35 (04-32.4) 0.48 233 (04-11.23) 0.31 0.35 (005-148) 0.35 0.39 (014-2.44) 0.76 0.29 (005-13) 0.12 AA 277 (032-3413) 0.48 233 (04-1123) 0.31 0.35 (005-148) 0.35 0.39 (014-2.44) 0.76 0.29 (005-13) 0.12 AA 277 (032-3413) 0.59 (018-341) 0.53 (053-128) 0.53 (053-128) 0.51 0.23 (005-102) 0.07 AA 18 (056-25) 0.66 0.68 (033-139) 0.29 (114-1265) 0.65 (031-123) 0.21 0.23 (052-130) 0.70 AC 4.14 (125-1372) 0.04 27 (055-129) 0.23 10.41-1265) 0.61 0.101 (020-135) 0.61 0.701 (013) 0.12 0.12 0.12 AC 4.14 (125-1372) 0.04 1.28 (039-104) 0.78 0.44 (032-156) 0.61 0.71 (021-239) 0.71 0.71 (023-236) 0.71 (023-236) 0.71 (023-232) 0.71 (026-237) 0.71 (02	Genotypes and a	lleles	Gastrointestinal (n = 28)	٩	Flu-like syndrome (n = 77)	٩	Depression (n = 38) p	Anemia (n=30)	٩	Neutropenia (n = 46)	٩	Thrombocytopenia (n=45)	٩
46 $36(0.4-3.2.4)$ 0.48 $237(0.46-11.23)$ 0.31 $0.32(0.06-1.3)$ 0.29 $0.24(0.06-1.3)$ 0.21 A $277(0.32-24.13)$ 0.86 $0.33-1.33)$ 0.29 $0.66(0.17-2.52)$ $0.25(0.06-1.03)$ 0.7 $0.23(0.06-1.02)$ 0.23 $0.23(0.06-1.03)$ 0.21 $0.23(0.06-1.03)$ 0.21 $0.23(0.05-1.02)$ </th <th>CTL4 rs231775</th> <th>66</th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th></th>	CTL4 rs231775	66	-		-		1	-		-		1	
44 277 (0.32-24.13) 0.79 (0.18-3.41) 0.65 (0.17-2.52) 0.65 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.06-1.03) 0.23 (0.05-1.28) 0.03 0.25 (0.29-1.04) 0.07 47 118 (0.56-2.51) 0.04 2.7 (0.57-1.298) 0.13 3.79 (1.14-1.265) 0.03 0.03 (0.26-2.87) 0.03 46 414 (1.25-13.72) 0.04 2.7 (0.57-1298) 0.12 0.17 (0.02-1.35) 0.02 0.021 -2.203) 0.03 46 126 (0.95-8) 0.04 2.7 (0.57-1298) 0.12 0.21 (0.21-233) 0.71 0.21 0.22 (0.24-3.33) 0.71 47 126 (0.95-3.13) 0.71 0.71 (0.21-13) 0.71 0.23 (0.21-2.20) 0.21 (0.21-2.20) 0.21 (0.21-2.23) 0.21 0.21 (0.21-2.23) 0.21 0.21 (0.21-2.23) 0.21 47 <		АG	3.6 (0.4–32.24)	0.48	2.33 (0.48–11.23)	0.31	0.35 (0.08–1.48) 0.26	0.17 (0.04–0.76)	0.045	0.59 (0.14–2.44)	0.76	0.29 (0.06–1.3)	0.12
i $i.i.6(0.56-2.5)$ 0.66 $0.68(0.33-1.30)$ 0.29 $106(0.55-1.20)$ 0.87 $0.55(0.59-1.10)$ 0.83 $0.52(0.29-1.0)$ 0.07 <i>HTATIZ334</i> C $1.1.8(0.56-2.5)$ 0.66 $0.68(0.33-1.30)$ 0.29 $1.1.8(0.56-2.8)$ $0.83(0.29-1.04)$ 0.93 <i>HTATIZ334</i> C $1.1.8(0.56-2.1)2$ 0.41 $2.7(0.57-1.2.99)$ 0.1 $0.93(0.25-2.7)$ 0.66 $0.87(0.26-2.87)$ 0.84 <i>A</i> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 $0.66(0.22-1.65)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.65)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.52)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.52)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.52)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.52)$ 0.76 $0.86(0.22-1.52)$ 0.76 $0.86(0.22-1.52)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.52)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.52)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.52)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.72)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.27)$ 0.76 $0.76(0.22-1.72)$ <th></th> <td>АА</td> <td>2.77 (0.32–24.13)</td> <td></td> <td>0.79 (0.18–3.41)</td> <td></td> <td>0.65 (0.17–2.52)</td> <td>0.25 (0.06–1.03)</td> <td></td> <td>0.7 (0.18–2.73)</td> <td></td> <td>0.23 (0.05-1.02)</td> <td></td>		АА	2.77 (0.32–24.13)		0.79 (0.18–3.41)		0.65 (0.17–2.52)	0.25 (0.06–1.03)		0.7 (0.18–2.73)		0.23 (0.05-1.02)	
The still11111111AC1111111111AC414(125-1372)0.0427(057-1299)0153.95(114-12.65)0.06019(002-151)0.180.25-2.77)0.660.87(026-287)0.48A000000000000.17(022-135)0.04128(039-419)0.78A1276(055-8)0.054128(039-419)0.7824(0.85-706)0.090.17(002-135)0.07000Ad11000.054128(039-419)0.7824(0.85-706)0.090.17(002-135)0.0700Ad1205(0.95-81)0.180.18(0.02-142)0.231.19(049-288)0.880.35(012-1)0.09128(043-257)0.680.14(06-327)0.78Ad205(0.98-432)0.061.77(095-3.310.070.35(0.46-1.56)0.591.06(0.55-2.03)0.811.4(06-327)0.78Ad205(0.98-432)0.061.77(095-3.310.070.35(0.46-1.56)0.591.66(0.55-2.03)0.811.4(0.6-327)0.78Ad205(0.98-432)0.061.77(095-3.330.070.35(0.46-1.56)0.591.66(0.55-2.03)0.811.4(0.52-1.52)0.860.39(0.49-1.62)0.71Ad205(0.98-432)0.061.77(095-3.34)0.071.66(0.55-2.03)0.81 <td< td=""><th></th><td>A</td><td>1.18 (0.56–2.5)</td><td>0.66</td><td>0.68 (0.33–1.39)</td><td>0.29</td><td>1.06 (0.55–2.03) 0.87</td><td>0.65 (0.53–1.28)</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.93 (0.49–1.76)</td><td>0.83</td><td>0.55 (0.29–1.04)</td><td>0.07</td></td<>		A	1.18 (0.56–2.5)	0.66	0.68 (0.33–1.39)	0.29	1.06 (0.55–2.03) 0.87	0.65 (0.53–1.28)	0.21	0.93 (0.49–1.76)	0.83	0.55 (0.29–1.04)	0.07
AC 414 (1.25-13.7) 0.04 $2.7 (0.57-1298)$ 0.15 $3.79 (1.14-12.65)$ 0.66 $0.87 (0.25-237)$ 0.66 $0.87 (0.25-237)$ 0.64 AA 0	ITPA rs1127354	ყ	1		1		-	-		1		1	
A4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 A5 176 (0.95-8) 0.054 1.28 (0.39-4.19) 0.78 24 (0.85-7.05) 0.07 0.68 (0.22-2.05) 0.9 123 (0.43-3.53) 0.7 A5 176 (0.95-8) 0.054 1.28 (0.39-4.19) 0.78 24 (0.85-7.05) 0.09 1.12 (0.22-2.05) 0.49 1.23 (0.43-3.53) 0.7 A6 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		AC	4.14 (1.25–13.72)	0.04	2.7 (0.57–12.98)	0.15	3.79 (1.14–12.65) 0.06	0.19 (0.02–1.51)	0.18	0.83 (0.25–2.7)	0.66	0.87 (0.26–2.87)	0.48
A 2.76 (0.95-8) 0.054 1.28 (0.39-4.19) 0.78 2.4 (0.85-706) 0.09 0.17 (0.02-1.35) 0.07 0.68 (0.22-2.05) 0.49 1.23 (0.43-3.53) 0.7 OAST \$\$ \$2660 A 1		АА	0		0		0	0		0		0	
OAST rs2660 AA 1 1 1 1 1 1 OAST rs2660 AA 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.63 227 1 1 0.049 1		A	2.76 (0.95–8)	0.054	1.28 (0.39–4.19)	0.78	2.4 (0.85–7.06) 0.09	0.17 (0.02–1.35)	0.07	0.68 (0.22–2.05)	0.49	1.23 (0.43–3.53)	0.7
AG 0.64 (0.25-1.65) 0.18 0.56 (0.22-1.42) 0.23 1.19 (0.49-2.88) 0.88 0.35 (0.12-1) 0.049 1.29 (0.55-3) 0.81 1.4 (0.6-3.27) 0.72 GG 0.18 (0.02-1.48) 0.37 (0.1-1.31) 1.33 (0.37-4.71) 1.66 (0.48-5.74) 0.94 (0.27-3.28) 1.02 (0.29-3.58) 0.71 A 2.05 (0.98-4.32) 0.06 1.77 (0.95-3.3) 0.07 0.88 (0.46-1.56) 0.59 1.06 (0.55-2.03) 0.87 0.29 (0.29-1.52) 0.71 SOC33 rs4969170 GG 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.71 SOC33 rs4969170 GG 1 0.05 0.57-3.53 0.59 1.06 (0.55-2.03) 0.87 0.29 (0.49-1.62) 0.71 AG 1 1 0.42 1 1 0.45 (0.55-1.72) 0.86 0.89 (0.49-1.62) 0.71 AG 1 1 0.42 2.50 0.59 1.06 (0.55-2.172) 0.86 0.89 (0.49-1.62) 0.71 AG 1 1 1	OAS1 rs2660	АА	-		-		-	1		1		1	
G6 0.18 (0.02-1.48) 0.37 (0.1-1.31) 1.33 (0.37-4.71) 1.66 (0.48-5.74) 0.94 (0.27-3.28) 1.02 (0.29-3.58) A 2.05 (0.98-4.32) 0.06 1.77 (0.95-3.3) 0.07 0.85 (0.46-1.56) 0.59 1.06 (0.55-2.03) 0.87 0.94 (0.27-3.28) 1.02 (0.29-3.58) SOC53 r54969170 G6 1 2.05 (0.98-4.32) 0.06 1.77 (0.95-3.3) 0.07 0.85 (0.46-1.56) 0.59 1.06 (0.52-1.72) 0.86 0.89 (0.49-1.62) 0.71 SOC53 r54969170 G6 1 1 1 0<		ЯG	0.64 (0.25–1.65)	0.18	0.56 (0.22–1.42)	0.23	1.19 (0.49–2.88) 0.88	0.35 (0.12–1)	0.049	1.29 (0.55–3)	0.81	1.4 (0.6–3.27)	0.72
A 2.05 (0.98-4.32) 0.06 1.77 (0.95-3.3) 0.07 0.85 (0.46-1.56) 0.59 1.06 (0.55-2.03) 0.87 0.95 (0.52-1.72) 0.86 0.89 (0.49-1.62) 0.71 SOC33 754969170 GG 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.55 0.52-1.72) 0.86 0.89 (0.49-1.62) 0.71 71 71 71		GG	0.18 (0.02–1.48)		0.37 (0.1–1.31)		1.33 (0.37–4.71)	1.66 (0.48–5.74)		0.94 (0.27–3.28)		1.02 (0.29–3.58)	
Socs3 rs4969770 GG 1 1 1 1 1 1 AG 1.1 (0.42-2.89) 0.97 1.45 (0.56-3.74) 0.09 1.51 (0.62-3.64) 0.41 0.67 (0.25-1.79) 0.51 0.28 (0.11-0.7) 0.02 0.71 (0.31-1.67) 0.005 AA 0.95 (0.26-3.53) 0.4 (0.13-1.26) 0.68 (0.19-2.47) 1.34 (0.41-4.39) 0.71 (0.23-2.18) 0.06 (0.007-0.49) A 1 (0.52-1.192) 1 0.69 (0.38-1.26) 0.23 0.95 (0.52-1.73) 0.86 1.01 (0.56-2) 0.86 (0.36-0.715) 0.006		A	2.05 (0.98–4.32)	0.06	1.77 (0.95–3.3)	0.07	0.85 (0.46–1.56) 0.59	1.06 (0.55–2.03)	0.87	0.95 (0.52–1.72)	0.86	0.89 (0.49–1.62)	0.71
AG 1.1 (0.42-2.89) 0.97 1.45 (0.56-3.74) 0.09 1.51 (0.62-3.64) 0.41 0.67 (0.25-1.79) 0.51 0.28 (0.11-0.7) 0.02 0.71 (0.31-1.67) 0.005 AA 0.95 (0.26-3.53) 0.4 (0.13-1.26) 0.68 (0.19-2.47) 1.34 (0.41-4.39) 0.71 (0.23-2.18) 0.06 (0.007-0.49) 0.005 A 1 (0.52-1.92) 1 0.05 (0.38-1.26) 0.23 (0.55 (0.52-1.73) 0.86 1.01 (0.56-2) 0.86 (0.36-0.77) 0.002	SOCS3 rs4969170	GG	1		1		-	-		1		1	
AA 0.95 (0.26-3.53) 0.4 (0.13-1.26) 0.68 (0.19-2.47) 1.34 (0.41-4.39) 0.71 (0.23-2.18) 0.06 (0.007-0.49) A 1 (0.52-1.92) 1 0.69 (0.38-1.26) 0.23 0.95 (0.52-1.73) 0.86 1.01 (0.56-2) 0.86 0.64 (0.36-1.15) 0.13 0.38 (02-0.7) 0.002		AG	1.1 (0.42–2.89)	0.97	1.45 (0.56–3.74)	0.09	1.51 (0.62–3.64) 0.41	0.67 (0.25–1.79)	0.51	0.28 (0.11–0.7)	0.02	0.71 (0.31–1.67)	0.005
A 1 (0.52–1.92) 1 0.69 (0.38–1.26) 0.23 0.95 (0.52–1.73) 0.86 1.01 (0.56–2) 0.86 0.64 (0.36–1.15) 0.13 0.38 (0.2–0.7) 0.002		АА	0.95 (0.26–3.53)		0.4 (0.13–1.26)		0.68 (0.19–2.47)	1.34 (0.41–4.39)		0.71 (0.23–2.18)		0.06 (0.007–0.49)	
		A	1 (0.52–1.92)	-	0.69 (0.38–1.26)	0.23	0.95 (0.52–1.73) 0.86	1.01 (0.56–2)	0.86	0.64 (0.36–1.15)	0.13	0.38 (0.2–0.7)	0.002

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047725.t006

Table 7. Independent predicitive factors of adverse effects.

		Neutropenia		Thrombocytopenia	
		OR (95%CI)	р	OR (95%CI)	р
PegIFNα 2a vs. 2b		4.23 (1.59–11.23)	0.04	2.62 (1.91–6.29)	0.03
Female vs. male		2.51 (0.94–6.74)	0.07	*	*
CD4 cell count \leq 350 vs. >	>350 cells/mL	4.69 (1.29–17.24)	0.02	1.94 (0.58–6.49)	0.28
SOCS3 rs4969170	GG	1		1	
	AG	0.26 (0.09–0.75)	0.01	0.75 (0.31–1.83)	0.53
	AA	1.1 (0.28–3.9)	0.94	0.07 (0.008–0.57)	0.01

OR: odds-ratio. CI: Confidence interval. pegIFNa: pegylated interferon alpha.

*Gender was not included in multivariate analysis of thrombocytopenia, because in the univariate analysis this variable did not fulfill criteria for inclusion in the multivariate analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047725.t007

thrombocytopenia and the SOCS3 rs4969170 polymorphism.

As far as cytokines are concerned and besides IL28B, we have assessed the effect of polymorphism in genes encoding for diverse cytokines, such as IL6, IL10, $TNF\alpha$ and $IFN\gamma$, given that they are involved in the immunological response to HCV [6,7,25,26]. The genes that encode for these cytokines are polymorphic and genetic variants may have functional significance at the protein level. Despite this, our data do not show any significant associations between IL6, IL10, TNFa and IFNy polymorphisms and virological response to treatment with pegIFN α and ribavirin. Our results agree, therefore, with the lack of association found between polymorphism in these cytokine-encoding genes and virological response to HCV treatment [27-29]. Nevertheless, the data from the present study do not confirm the positive association between virological response and IL6 [7] and IL10 [6,30] polymorphisms. The reasons for this discrepancy may be due to the low number of patients assessed in some investigations [6,7,30] as well as in the current study, which means unstable and, often, non-replicable data. Differences in the type of population assessed (HCV monoinfected vs. HCV-HIV co-infected) and in the type of HCV treatment used (interferon monotherapy vs. pegIFN α plus ribavirin) may offer additional explanation.

With respect to chemokines, we have assessed CCL5. The expression of CCL5 is enhanced in liver and in blood by HCV and successful HCV treatment supressess this upregulation [31]. Previous studies in HCV monoinfected patients have shown that CCL5 rs2107538 SNP and some CCL5 haplotypes are associated with HCV treatment response [32,33] although data are inconsistent [34]. Of note, several patients in these studies were treated with standard interferon α rather than with pegIFN α . The current study is the first one performed in HCV-HIV coinfected subjects and our data suggest no relationship between CCL5 gene polymorphisms and SVR. Haplotyping confirmed this lack of association. Differences between our results and those provided by other investigations [32,33] may be searched in the population assessed (HCV monoinfected vs. HCV-HIV coinfected) and/or in the type of interferon used (standart interferon α vs. pegIFN α). Furthermore, no association was observed between CCL5 gene polymorphisms and the different types of adverse effects assessed.

We also assessed the role of polymorphisms in the interferon pathway given that IFN α increases the expression of several genes involved in the immunological response to HCV [35]. IFN α has a potent antiviral action that is exerted indirectly through a complex mechanism [36] in which the myxovirus resistance protein A (MxA), the oligoadenylate synthase 1 (OAS1) and the suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3) are involved [37]. The genes that encode for MxA, OAS1 and SOCS3 are polymorphic and it has been assessed whether polymorphism in these genes modulate the response to interferon in HCV monoinfected subjects [38,39]. The SOCS3 -487A allele increases SOCS3 expression and was associated with pegIFNa and ribavirin HCV treatment failure [38]. Furthermore, carriage of the MxA - 88G > T allele, was associated with a better response of HCV to interferon and polymorphism located in OAS1 gene was shown to be associated with spontaneous HCV clearance [39]. Our data in the current study in HCV-HIV coinfected subjects indicates that MxA, OAS1 and SOCS3 SNPs are not associated with HCV treatment efficacy and therefore confirm the results of previous investigations [40]. A new finding from our study was the association of the SOCS3 rs4969170 polymorphism with HCV treatment-induced neutropenia and thrombocytopenia. Plausible biological explanation can be searched in the fact that in studies in knockout mice, SOCS3 has been shown to be implicated in both granulopoiesis [41] and thrombopoiesis [42].

CTLA4 is a polypeptide involved in the processing of antigens by T-cell lymphocytes and influences the response of HCV to interferon. Three studies have assessed the relationship between the polymorphisms rs231776 (+49A>G) and rs5247909(-318C>A) in the CTLA4 gene and HCV treatment response, either in HCV monoinfected patients [43,44] and in HCV/HIV co-infected individuals [45]. Despite some discrepancies regarding the effect of gender or the type of interferon α used, data from these studies were consistent with an association between the two polymorphsms assessed and SVR. This association was particularly robust in carriers of the +49 GG genotype [45]. Our data do not replicate this findings since we found no significant associations between polymorphism in the CTLA4 gene and SVR. Reasons for discrepancy may be seek in the lower number of patients in our study compared with that of other investigations, which suggest underpower. Genuine population differences may offer an additional explanation.

Polymorphism in the *ITPA* gene has been related with a benign erythrocyte enzymopathy, which is characterized by the accumulation of ITP in red cells. The affected patients may develop anemia when they are treated with purine analogues. Ribavirin is a purine analogue and previous studies have shown that *ITPA* genetic variants leading to ITPA deficiency are associated with ribavirin-induced anemia in HCV-treated patients [46]. This association has been replicated in studies performed in HCVmonoinfected [47–50] and in HCV-HIV co-infected [51] patients. In our Spanish cohort, however, we were unable to reproduce these findings, since no significant associations were observed between the two *ITPA* gene variants assessed and ribavirininduced anemia and/or the need of ribavirin dose reduction. Additionally, we failed to find any significant association between *ITPA* SNPs and neutropenia. Also, we did not find associations between *ITPA* polymorphisms and thrombocytopenia, a finding that has been reported in two independent cohorts [52,53]. As virological response is concerned, our data do not suggest any association between *ITPA* genetic variants and virological response. Our data therefore agrees with that reported by Chayama et al. [54], but differs markedly from that provided by Ochi [47] and Kurosaki [55]. It is unlikely that population differences could explain this discrepancy, since these three studies have been performed in Japanese.

We acknowledge that our work has some limitations that should be taken into account when interpreting the data. The number of patients assessed is low for a genetic association analysis and this may render our study underpowered for finding some significant associations. We believe, however, that studies performed with a phenotypically well-defined population such as ours may provide useful material for performing meta-analyses which could overcome issues of small sample size. Additionally, our cohort had a mixture of HCV genotypes. Since HCV genotype is a strong

References

- Torriani FJ, Rodriguez-Torres M, Rockstroh JK, Lissen E, Gonzalez-García J, et al. (2004) Peginterferon Alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected patients. N Engl J Med 351: 438–450.
- Butt AA, Kanwal F (2012) Boceprevir and telaprevir in the management of hepatitis C virus-infected patients. Clin Infect Dis 54: 96–104.
- Pérez-Olmeda M, Núñez M, Romero M, González J, Castro A, et al. (2003) Pegylated IFN-alpha2b plus ribavirin as therapy for chronic hepatitis C in HIVinfected patients. AIDS 17: 1023–1028.
- Laguno M, Larrousse M, Murillas J, Blanco JL, León A, et al. (2007) Predictive value of early virologic response in HIV/hepatitis C virus-coinfected patients treated with an interferon-based regimen plus ribavirin. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 44: 174–178.
- Núñez M, Mariño A, Miralles C, Berdún MA, Sola J, et al. (2007) Baseline serum hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA level and response at week 4 are the best predictors of relapse after treatment with pegylated interferon plus ribavirin in HIV/HCV-coinfected patients. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 45: 439–444.
- Yee LJ, Tang J, Gibson AW, Kimberly R, Van Leeuwen DJ, et al. (2001) Interleukin 10 polymorphisms as predictors of sustained response in antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis C infection. Hepatology 33: 708–712.
- Nattermann J, Vogel M, Berg T, Danta M, Axel B, et al. (2007) Effect of the interleukin-6 C174G gene polymorphism on treatment of acute and chronic hepatitis C in human immunodeficiency virus coinfected patients. Hepatology 46: 1016–1025.
- Thomas DL, Thio CL, Martin MP, Qi Y, Ge D, et al. (2009) Genetic variation in IL28B and spontaneous clearance of hepatitis C virus. Nature 461: 798–801.
- Ge D, Fellay J, Thompson AJ, Simon JS, Shianna KV, et al. (2009) Genetic variation in IL28B predicts hepatitis C treatment-induced viral clearance. Nature 461: 399–401.
- Tanaka Y, Nishida N, Sugiyama M, Kurosaki M, Matsuura K, et al. (2009) Genome-wide association of IL28B with response to pegylated interferon-alpha and ribavirin therapy for chronic hepatitis C. Nat Genet 41: 1105–1109.
- Suppiah V, Moldovan M, Ahlenstiel G, Berg T, Weltman M, et al. (2009) IL28B is associated with response to chronic hepatitis C interferon-alpha and ribavirin therapy. Nat Genet 41: 1100–1104.
- van den Berg CHBS, Grady BPX, Schinkel J, van de Laar T, Molenkamp R, et al. (2011) Female sex and IL28B, a synergism for spontaneous viral clearance in hepatitis C virus (HCV) seroconverters from a community-based cohort. PLoS ONE 6: e27555.
- Lin CY, Chen JY, Lin TN, Jeng WJ, Huang CH, et al. (2011) IL28B rs12979860 is a critical predictor for on-treatment and sustained virologic response in patients with hepatitis C genotype-1 infection. PLoS ONE 6: e18322.
- Rauch A, Kutalik Z, Descombes P, Cai T, Di Iulio J, et al. (2010) Genetic variation in IL28B is associated with chronic hepatitis C and treatment failure: a genome-wide association study. Gastroenterology 138: 1338–1345.
- 15. Aparicio E, Parera M, Franco S, Pérez-Alvarez N, Tural C, et al. (2010) IL28B SNP rs8099917 is strongly associated with pegylated interferon- α and ribavirin therapy treatment failure in HCV/HIV-1 coinfected patients. PLoS ONE 5: e13771.
- Medrano J, Neukam K, Rallón N, Rivero A, Resino S, et al. (2010) Modeling the probability of sustained virological response to therapy with pegylated interferon plus ribavirin in patients coinfected with hepatitis C virus and HIV. Clin Infect Dis 51: 1209–1216.

determinant of HCV treatment response, this could be a bias in our study. Despite these limitations, this is the first pharmacogenetic study arising from a randomised clinical trial performed in HCV-HIV co-infected patients and we believe that this design gives additional value to our findings.

In summary, in HCV-HIV co-infected patients treated with PegIFNα and ribavirin, SVR is associated with *IL28B rs8099917* polymorphism. Neutropenia and thrombocytopenia are associated with *SOCS3 r4969170* polymorphism.

Acknowledgments

Mr. Phil Hoddy kindly improved the English text. The comments and criticisms of the anonymous reviewers helped us to improve the manuscript and are greatly appreciated.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: FV MLD ML J. Mallolas J. Murillas CC JMG CR. Performed the experiments: SV LG TA GS MA AP. Analyzed the data: FV MLD ML SV J. Mallolas PH JMG CR. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: LG GS MA AP. Wrote the paper: FV MLD ML J. Mallolas J. Murillas CC PH JMG CR.

- Rallón N, Naggie S, Benito JM, Medrano J, Restrepo C, et al. (2010) Association of a single nucleotide polymorphism near the interleukin-28B gene with response to hepatitis C therapy in HIV/hepatitis C virus-coinfected patients. AIDS 24: F23–F29.
- Laguno M, Cifuentes C, Murillas J, Veloso S, Larrousse M, et al. (2009) Randomized trial comparing pegylated interferon alpha-2b versus pegylated interferon alpha-2a, both plus ribavirin, to treat chronic hepatitis C in human immunodeficiency virus patients. Hepatology 49: 22–31.
- International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 1989 revision (1992) Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organisation.
- American Psychiatric Association (1994) Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-IV), 4th Ed (1994). Washington DC, USA.
- Germer JJ, Ryys PN, Thorvilson JN, Persing DH (1999) Determination of hepatitis C virus genotype by direct sequence analysis of products generated with the Amplicor HCV test. J Clin Microbiol 37: 2625–2630.
- Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ (2005) Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. Bioinformatics 21: 263–265.
- Stephens M, Smith NJ, Donnelly P (2001) A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. Am J Human Genet 68: 978–989.
- Stephens M, Donnelly P (2003) A comparison of Bayesian methods for haplotype reconstruction from population genotype data. Am J Human Genet 73: 1162–1169.
- Nelson DR, Lim HL, Marousis CG, Fang JW, Davis GL, et al. (1997) Activation of tumor necrosis factor-alpha system in chronic hepatitis C virus infection. Dig Dis Sci 42: 2487–2494.
- Takaoka A, Yanai H (2006) Interferon signalling network in innate defence. Cell Microb 8: 907–922.
- Abbas Z, Moatter T, Hussainy A, Jafri W (2005) Effect of cytokine gene polymorphism on histological activity index, viral load and response to treatment in patients with chronic hepatitis C genotype 3. World J Gastroenterol 11: 6656– 6661.
- Morgan TR, Lambretch RW, Bonkowsky HL, Chung RT, Naishadam D, et al. (2008) DNA polymorphisms and response to treatment in patients with chronic hepatitis C: results from the HALT-C trial. J Hepatol 29: 548–556.
- Chuang JY, Yang SS, Lu YT, Hsieh YY, Chan CY, et al. (2009) IL-10 promoter gene polymorphisms and sustained response to combination therapy in Taiwanese chronic hepatitis C patients. Dig Liver Dis 41: 424–430.
- Edwards-Smith CJ, Johnsson JR, Purdie DM, Bansal A, Shorthouse C, et al. (1999) Interleukin-10 promoter polymorphism predicts initial response of chronic hepatitis C to interferon alfa. Hepatology 30: 526–530.
- Katsounas A, Schlaak JF, Lempicki RA. (2011) CCL5: a double-edged sword in host defence against the hepatitis C virus. Int Rev Immunol 30: 366–378.
- Hellier S, Frodsham AJ, Henning BJW, Klenerman P, Knapp S, et al. (2003). Association of genetic variants of the chemokine receptor CCR5 and its ligands, RANTES and MCP-2, with outcome of HCV infection. Hepatology 38: 1468– 1476.
- 33. Wasmuth HE, Werth A, Mueller T, Berg T, Dietrich CG, et al. (2004) Haplotype-tagging RANTES gene variants influence response to antiviral therapy in chronic hepatitis C. Hepatology 40: 327–334. Erratum in: Hepatology 40: 1028.

- Konishi I, Horiike N, Hiasa Y, Michikata K, Onji M. (2004) CCR5 promoter polymorphism influences interferon response of patients with chronic hepatitis C in Japan. Intervirology 47:114–120.
- Feld JJ, Hoofnagle JH (2005) Mechanism of action of interferon and ribavirin in treatment of hepatitis C. Nature 436: 967–972.
- Darnell JE Jr, Kerr IM, Stark GR (1994) Jak-STAT pathways and transcriptional activation in response to IFNs and other extracellular signaling proteins. Science 264: 1415–1421.
- Ye L, Wang X, Metzger DS, Riedel E, Montaner IJ, et al. (2010) Upregulation of SOCS-3 and PIAS-3 impairs IL-12-mediated interferon-gamma response in CD56⁺ T cells in HCV-infected heroin users. PLoS ONE 5: e9602.
- Persico M, Capasso M, Russo R, Persico E, Crocè L, et al. (2008) Elevated expression and polymorphisms of SOCS3 influence patient response to antiviral therapy in chronic hepatitis C. Gut 57: 507–515.
- Knapp S, Yee LJ, Frodsham AJ, Hennig BJ, Hellier S, et al. (2003) Polymorphisms in interferon-induced genes and the outcome of hepatitis C virus infection: roles of MxA, OAS-1 and PKR. Genes Immun 4: 411–419.
- 40. Welzel TM, Morgan TR, Bonkowsky HL, Naishadham D, Pfeiffer RM, et al. (2009) Variants in interferon-alpha pathway genes and response to pegylated interferon-alpha2a plus ribavirin for treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus infection in the hepatitis C antiviral long-term treatment against cirrhosis trial. Hepatology 49: 1847–1858.
- Kimura A, Kinjyo I, Matsumura Y, Mori H, Mashima R, et al. (2004). SOCS3 is a physiological negative regulador of granulopoiesis and granulocyte colonystimulating factor receptor signalling. J Biol Chem 279; 6905–6910.
- Masters SL, Palmer KR, Stevenson WS, Metcalf D, Viney EM (2005) Genetic deletion of murine SPRY domain-containing SOCS box protein 2 (SSB-2) results in very mild thrombocytopenia. Mol Cell Biol 25: 5639–5647.
- Yee IJ, Perez KA, Tang J, van Leeuwen DJ, Kaslow RA (2003) Association of CTLA4 polymorphisms with sustained response to interferon and ribavirin therapy for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. J Infect Dis 187: 1264–1271.
 Schott E, Witt H, Hinrichsen H, Weich V, Bergk A, et al. (2007) Gender-
- Schott E, Witt H, Hinrichsen H, Weich V, Bergk A, et al. (2007) Genderdependent association of CTLA4 polymorphisms with resolution of hepatitis C virus infection. J Hepatol 46: 372–380.
- 45. Nischalke HD, Vogel M, Mauss S, Baumgarten A, Lutz T, et al. (2010). The cytotoxic lymphocyte antigen 4 polymorphisms affect response to hepatitis C virus-specific therapy in HIV(+) patients with acute and chronic hepatitis C virus co-infection. AIDS 24: 2001–2007.

Pharmacogenetics of HCV Treatment in HIV+ Patients

- Fellay J, Thompson AJ, Ge D, Gumbs CE, Urban TJ, et al. (2010). ITPA gene variants protect against anaemia in patients treated for chronic hepatitis C. Nature 464: 405–408.
- Ochi H, Maekawa T, Abe H, Hayashida Y, Nakano R, et al. (2010) ITPA polymorphism affects ribavirin-induced anemia and outcomes of therapy–a genome-wide study of Japanese HCV virus patients. Gastroenterology 139: 1190–1197.
- Thompson AJ, Fellay J, Patel K, Tillmann HL, Naggie S, et al. (2010) Variants in the ITPA gene protect against ribavirin-induced hemolytic anemia and decrease the need for ribavirin dose reduction. Gastroenterology 139: 1181– 1189.
- Thompson AJ, Santoro R, Piazzolla V, Clark PJ, Naggie S, et al. (2011) Inosine triphosphatase genetic variants are protective against anemia during antiviral therapy for HCV2/3 but do not decrease dose reductions of RBV or increase SVR. Hepatology 53: 389–395.
- Suzuki F, Suzuki Y, Akuta N, Sezaki H, Hirakawa M, et al. (2011) Influence of ITPA polymorphisms on decreases of hemoglobin during treatment with pegylated interferon, ribavirin, and telaprevir. Hepatology 53: 415–421.
- Rallón NI, Morello J, Labarga P, Benito JM, Rodríguez-Nóvoa S, et al. (2011) Impact of Inosine Triphosphatase Gene Variants on the Risk of Anemia in HIV/Hepatitis C Virus-Coinfected Patients Treated for Chronic Hepatitis C. Clin Infect Dis 53: 1291–1295.
- Tanaka Y, Kurosaki M, Nishida N, Sugiyama M, Matsuura K, et al. (2011) Genome-wide association study identified ITPA/DDRGK1 variants reflecting thrombocytopenia in pegylated interferon and ribavirin therapy for chronic hepatitis C. Hum Mol Genet 20: 3507–3516.
- Thompson AJ, Clark PJ, Singh A, Ge D, Fellay J, et al. (2012) Genome-wide association study of interferon-related cytopenia in chronic hepatitis C patients. J Hepatol 56: 313–319.
- 54. Chayama K, Hayes CN, Abe H, Miki D, Ochi H, et al. (2011) IL28B but not ITPA polymorphism is predictive of response to pegylated interferon, ribavirin, and telaprevir triple therapy in patients with genotype 1 hepatitis C. J Infect Dis 204: 84–93.
- Kurosaki M, Tanaka Y, Tanaka K, Suzuki Y, Hoshioka Y, et al. (2011) Relationship between polymorphisms of the inosine triphosphatase gene and anaemia or outcome after treatment with pegylated interferon and ribavirin. Antivir Ther 16: 685–694.