SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 20 January 2016 Accepted: 15 March 2016 Published: 01 April 2016

OPEN Exchange Interactions on the **Highest-Spin Reported Molecule:** the Mixed-Valence Fe₄₂ Complex

Daniel Aravena^{1,*}, Diego Venegas-Yazigi^{1,2,*} & Eliseo Ruiz³

The finding of high-spin molecules that could behave as conventional magnets has been one of the main challenges in Molecular Magnetism. Here, the exchange interactions, present in the highestspin molecule published in the literature, Fe₄₂, have been analysed using theoretical methods based on Density Functional Theory. The system with a total spin value S = 45 is formed by 42 iron centres containing 18 high-spin Fe^{III} ferromagnetically coupled and 24 diamagnetic low-spin Fe^{III} ions. The bridging ligands between the two paramagnetic centres are two cyanide ligands coordinated to the diamagnetic Fe^{II} cations. Calculations were performed using either small Fe₄ or Fe₃ models or the whole Fe42 complex, showing the presence of two different ferromagnetic couplings between the paramagnetic Fe^{III} centres. Finally, Quantum Monte Carlo simulations for the whole system were carried out in order to compare the experimental and simulated magnetic susceptibility curves from the calculated exchange coupling constants with the experimental one. This comparison allows for the evaluation of the accuracy of different exchange-correlation functionals to reproduce such magnetic properties.

A major goal in the field of Molecular Magnetism is the synthesis of molecules that can play a similar role to conventional magnet (metals or alloys). These molecular systems can provide with new functionalities, such as solubility, photochemical properties and lighter storage units among others^{1,2}. The discovery in 1992 of the single-molecule magnet (SMM) behaviour of the Mn₁₂ molecule, which behaves as a magnet at very low temperatures, directed the search towards high-spin molecules^{3,4}. The energy barrier that fixes the orientation of the spin providing the behaviour as a magnet is equal to $|D| S^2$; being D the the zero-field splitting parameter and S the total spin value⁵. Over the years, the quest to increase the blocking temperature of only 2 K for the Mn_{12} (S = 10)⁶ has lead towards the syntheses of a large variety of polynuclear complexes with ferromagnetic or ferrimagnetic interactions, aiming to achieve larger values of the total spin^{7,8}. Thus, reaching high-spin molecules has been one of the main challenges in this research field. Few years after the discovery of the SMM behaviour, in 1995, a Fe₁₉ complex was characterized with S = 33/2 being the spin record during the following five years^{9,10}. This value was surpassed in 2000 by some heteronuclear $Mn_{9}M_{6}$ (M = Mo and W) complexes with a total spin of 39/2, respectively^{11,12}. Initially, a spin of 51/2 was assigned to one of them, the Mn₉W₆ system, although lately it was corroborated the ferromagnetic nature of the interactions by using theoretical methods with a resulting value of 39/2 for the total spin as well as its analogous with Mo¹³. Few years later, in 2004, the value of S = 51/2 was reached by a Mn_{25} complex containing one Mn^{IV} , eighteen Mn^{II} and six Mn^{II} centres¹⁴. It is worth noting that in such system, the maximum expected S value for a parallel alignment of all the spins would give an S = 105/2. Thus, ferrimagnetic or antiferromagnetic interactions were assumed within the complex. Lately in 2007, the same research group reported another Mn_{25} complex with a total spin of 61/2 by replacing the azido ligands of the aforementioned Mn₂₅ complex by N,O-chelating groups¹⁵. However, all the above S values were significantly surpassed in 2006 by a Mn_{19} complex, reaching the maximum spin value of 83/2, consistent with a ferromagnetic coupling between twelve Mn^{II} and seven Mn^{II} centres^{16,17}. This Mn_{19} system prevailed during years as the highest-spin reported molecule until the publication in 2015 by Kang and coworkers of an Fe₄₂ complex with twenty-four diamagnetic Fe^{II} cations and eighteen Fe^{III} centres ferromagnetically coupled, resulting in a S value of 45 (90/2)¹⁸. It is worth

¹Universidad de Santiago de Chile (USACH), Departamento de Química de los Materiales, Facultad de Química y Biología, Santiago de Chile, Chile. ²CEDENNA, Santiago, Chile. ³Universitat de Barcelona, Departament de Química Inorgànicai Orgànica and Institut de Recerca en Química Teòrica i Computacional, Barcelona, 08028, Spain. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.R. (email: eliseo.ruiz@qi.ub.es)



Figure 1. Structure of the Fe₄₂ complex [{Fe(Tp)(CN)₃}₂₄{Fe(H₂O)₂}₆{Fe(*dpp*)(H₂O)}₁₂]⁶⁺ employed in the calculations (see Methods section). There are two types of S = 5/2 Fe^{III} cations represented by orange and violet spheres, respectively while the diamagnetic Fe^{II} centres are indicated as green spheres. Boron, carbon, nitrogen and oxygen atoms are represented by brow, gray, blue and red cylinders and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

to mention that all the complexes exposed so far, despite the large S values, did not present exceptional SMM properties, cancelled out by the presence of small magnetic anisotropy values in all of them^{19,20}. For instance, the Mn_{19} complex did not exhibit SMM behaviour²¹ and the SMM with the highest reported spin, since 2009, is a ferromagnetically-coupled manganese complex (Mn_{17}) with eleven Mn^{III} and six Mn^{II} centres resulting in an overall S = 37 value^{22,23}. Concerning the Fe₄₂, magnetic characterization of the recently-synthesized Fe₄₂ complex did not include AC measurements to determine the SMM behaviour¹⁸, probably unexpected due to the isotropic nature of the Fe^{III} centres.

The Fe₄₂ complex, [{Fe(Tp)(CN)₃}₂₄{Fe(H₂O)₂}₆{Fe(dpp)(H₂O)₁₂·6CF₃SO₃]·18H₂O (where dpp = 1,3-di (4-pyridyl)propane, and Tp = hydrotris(pyrazolyl)borate), with the reported value of S = 45 has a singular structure (see Fig. 1)¹⁸, where the cyanide bridging ligands have Fe^{II}-CN-Fe^{III} coordination and all the Fe^{II} cations coordinated to the bridging ligand through the C atom, meanwhile the nitrogen atom is always attached to the Fe^{III} centres. Thus, such coordination mode does not follow hard-soft criterion. The usual coordination, Fe^{III}-CN-Fe^{III}, was also obtained by the same authors in a previous system that exhibited single-chain magnetic behaviour and light-induced spin crossover properties, due to the coordination of the Fe^{II} cations with a total of six nitrogen atoms²⁴. Back to Fe₄₂, the coordination of the Fe^{III} centre, thus allowing the high-spin state for the molecule, equivalent to that found in the well-known Prussian blue structures Fe^{III}₄[Fe^{II}(CN)₆]₃·xH₂O²⁵.

Some theoretical studies were performed within the original paper¹⁸, but our main goal here is to carry out a complete study of the exchange interactions in the Fe_{42} complex, which has not been performed up to date. Both small models and the whole molecule have been employed to analyse the exchange interactions using DFT calculations. The big challenges here are to proceed with the calculations for the whole molecule, with a total of 1230 atoms (represented in Fig. 1), together with the difficulties of performing open-shell calculations with several paramagnetic centres. Furthermore, we considered all the exchange interactions present in the system together with the use of Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations²⁶ (the large number of paramagnetic centres present in the system avoid the use of exact diagonalization approach) to compare the magnetic susceptibility extracted from theoretical methods with the experimental one. Our findings indicated that the two-types of exchange interactions present in the system are ferromagnetic and such values theoretically corroborate the S = 45 total spin experimentally reported. This agreement is particularly relevant because of the difficult task of correctly asses magnetic characterizations of high spin molecules with theoretical methods have been a crucial tool to support experimental results.

Results

The magneto-structural analysis of the Fe₄₂ reveals the presence of two different first-neighbour exchange interaction pathways between the Fe^{III} cations (see Fig. 2). Hence, there are two types of Fe^{III} centres: one Fe^{III} cation is equatorially coordinated by four nitrogen atoms of cyanide groups, one H₂O molecule and one *dpp* ligand both in axial positions (type 1, orange spheres in Fig. 1); and a second class of Fe^{III} centres similar to type 1 but containing two water molecules in the axial positions (type 2, violet spheres in Fig. 1). The first exchange interaction J₁ (left in Fig. 2) corresponds to the interaction between the two-types of Fe^{III} cations (Fe^{III}...Fe^{III} distance of 6.74 Å)



Figure 2. Description of the two exchange interaction pathways between the Fe^{III} cations in the Fe₄₂ complex (left, J_1 and right J_2). Only the atoms involved in the pathway are plotted with the ball-cylinder representation while the rest of the molecule is represented as a wireframe. Fe^{III} cations represented by orange and violet colours and diamagnetic Fe^{III} centres as green. Boron, carbon, nitrogen and oxygen atoms are represented by brown, gray, blue and red colours and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

			Model			Full		
	d (Fe…Fe)	Bridging ligand	J _{PBE}	J _{B3LYP}	J _{HSE06}	J _{PBE}	J _{b3lyp}	J _{HSE06}
J_1	6.74	double NC-Fe ^{II} -CN	+13.7	+2.8	+0.37	+13.1	+5.7	+0.90
J ₂	7.84	single NC-Fe ^{II} -CN	+20.6	+6.2	+0.33	+7.2	+3.1	+0.66

Table 1. Calculated exchange coupling constants in cm⁻¹ for the Fe₄₂ complex and the Fe₃ and Fe₄ model structures using PBE, B3LYP and HSE06 functionals with the corresponding bridging ligands and Fe--Fe distances in Å also indicated. The two employed model systems (see Fig. 2) for the J₁ interaction is a Fe₄ model (Fe^{III}₂ Fe^{II}₂) while for the J₂ case is a Fe₃ model (Fe^{III}₂ Fe^{II}) and the full structure corresponds to the cation represented in Fig. 1.

through a double NC-Fe^{II}-CN bridging ligand (Fe^{III}...Fe^{II}...Fe^{III} angles of 85.7 and 86.1°, respectively). The second exchange pathway, J₂, was defined between the two type 1 Fe^{III} centres (Fe^{III}...Fe^{III} distance of 7.84 Å) (Fig. 2, right) and is mediated by a single NC-Fe^{II}-CN bridging ligand (Fe^{III}...Fe^{III}...Fe^{III} angle of 102.9°). The second-neighbour interactions between Fe^{III} cations have distances longer than 11 Å and therefore was not considered here. In summary, twelve type 1 Fe^{III} cations surrounded each one by two type 2 Fe^{III} cations results in a total of 24 J₁ interactions and 24 J₂ interactions for the whole Fe₄₂ complex (see Supplementary information for the detailed spin Hamiltonian). Thus, a total of 48 exchange interactions were used in the Fe₄₂ complex but due to the cubic symmetry of the crystal structure (space group Pn-3n) many interactions are equivalent, thus leading to only two different exchange coupling constants.

DFT calculations were performed using the FHI-aims code (see details in Methods section) for the whole structure represented in Fig. 1 and for two models, Fe_4 and Fe_3 , corresponding to the two exchange pathways highlighted in Fig. 2 adding the terminal ligands of the iron centres. The calculated J values are collected in Table 1. From these results, we can extract the following conclusions: (i) all the calculated coupling constants are ferromagnetic, thus, they will provide a S = 45 ground state. (ii) The PBE functional provides with relatively stronger ferromagnetic interactions than the hybrid functionals. Clearly, the increase in the Hartree-Fock type exchange contribution results in a decrease of the calculated ferromagnetic J values (0% contribution for PBE, 20% for B3LYP and 50% for the HSE06). (iii) The exchange interaction J₁ through the double NC-Fe^{II}-CN bridging ligands seems to be more ferromagnetic than J₂, which is described as a single bridge, when the full Fe_{42} structure is used in the calculations. (iv) In the reduced models, the presence of only one or two single bridging ligand causes a substantial spin delocalization towards such bridging ligand (NC-Fe^{II}-CN), resulting in an overestimation of the exchange coupling constants with the PBE functional (see Table 1). Hence, the Fe₃ and Fe₄ reduced models must be employed with caution because they can provide significant differences in the calculated J values compared to those obtained with the whole Fe₄₂ system.

The spin density of the S = 45 ground state for the whole Fe_{42} complex calculated with the HSE06 functional is represented in Fig. 3. The Fe^{III} cations have almost spherical densities due to the high-spin $t_{2g}^{3}e_{g}^{2}$ orbital





occupation. The presence of two unpaired electrons in the antibonding eg orbitals produces a predominant delocalization mechanism of the spin density (on the coordinated nitrogen atoms, see inset in Fig. 3) over the spin polarization one, being the latest induced by the t_{2g} orbitals^{27,28}. Hence, first coordination sphere atoms have their spin densities with the same sign than the metallic centre. It is worth noting that the relatively small spin population on the Fe^{II} centres (see inset in Fig. 3, around 0.03 e- with the HSE06 functional) is close to the proposed value for the analogous Prussian blue structure obtained using polarized neutron diffraction²⁹. The significant decrease in the spin population found on the Fe^{III} cations (around 4.4 e⁻) in comparison with the formal expected value of five unpaired electrons is due to the spin delocalization within the ligands. The spin population values can also be employed to quantify the above mentioned problem about the use of structural models. It is well-known that GGA functionals, for instance PBE, usually overestimate the spin delocalisation³⁰. The truncation of the full structure to obtain a small model induces an unrealistic large spin densities on the few (one or two) bridging Fe^{II} centres considered in such models (mainly with the PBE functional, see Fig. S2 showing a linear correlation between all DFT calculated J constants for the Fe₃ and Fe₄ models and the Fe^{II} spin population values). Only the HSE06 functional results in a similar Fe^{II} spin population values independently of the structural model. In addition, for mixed-valence systems, hybrid B3LYP functional produces a high electron (and spin) transfer on the diamagnetic Fe^{II} centres. Hence, these two factors, small structural models and the choice of functional, yields to an overestimation of the calculated J values for the models, being the worst studied case the one involving the PBE functional and Fe₃ model, due to a large spin delocalization on the Fe^{II} centres (see Fig. S2).

Discussion

In this section, the main goal is to determine the accuracy of the calculated J values by comparison with the experimental data. Thus, we performed Quantum Monte Carlo simulations (see details in Method section) using the DFT J values, aiming to achieve a magnetic susceptibility curve that can be directly compared with the experimental curve (see Fig. 4). The comparison shows a large overestimation for the calculated ferromagnetic coupling when the PBE and the hybrid B3LYP functionals are used with whole system; however, the screened hybrid HSE06 functional is in excellent agreement with the experimental data. Despite that there are many examples in the literature showing that B3LYP functional gives excellent results for the calculation of exchange coupling constants in non mixed-valence systems³¹⁻³⁴. The failure of the B3LYP functional in our study is due to the extremely large spin delocalization on the Fe^{II} centres, which causes an unrealistic electronic structure, resulting in an overestimation of the calculated J values. Hence, this drawback of some functionals to describe the electron (or spin) delocalization should be especially important in mixed-valence systems despite that they can provide accurate J values, as B3LYP functional, in non mixed-valence complexes. This fact was also previously noticed in





some mixed-valence systems showing a too small electron-transfer matrix elements calculated with the B3LYP functional³⁵.

It is also worth mentioning that the theoretical analysis using B3LYP^{*} functional³⁶ and the Fe₄ model calculations (neglecting the J₂ interaction) performed in the original paper¹⁸ resulted in a very large ferromagnetic J₁ value (+35.5 cm⁻¹ with the spin projected approach). The authors considered the energy difference between the high-spin S = 5 state for the Fe₄ model with a "broken-symmetry" S = 0 solution but with a low-spin S = 1/2 for each of the two Fe^{III} centres, instead of just the inversion of one 5/2 local spin.

Despite the improvement obtained using the screened HSE06 hybrid functional, the ferromagnetic exchange constants were slightly larger than the experimental data. As an alternative to estimate the J value from the experimental data, we used the approximate mean-field expression derived from Langevin, Weiss and Néel^{37,38}:

$$T_{c} = \frac{\sqrt{Z_{M} Z_{M'}} |J| \sqrt{S_{M} (S_{M} + 1) S_{M'} (S_{M'} + 1)}}{3k_{B}}$$
(1)

which provides a bridge between the experimentally determined Curie Temperature T_C (6.6 K for the Fe₄₂ complex, similar to that of the Prussian blue Fe^{III}₄[Fe^{II}(CN)₆]₃·xH₂O system²⁵ of 6 K) and the computable exchange coupling constant between nearest M and M' neighbours, J. Here, S_M and S_M are the local spins (S = 5/2 for Fe^{III} cations), and Z_M and Z_M the number of nearest neighbours of each type of metal atom (2 and 4, respectively). This approach neglects the J₂ interaction, thus providing a J₁ value of +0.57 cm⁻¹. The QMC simulations performed with such J₁ value are in very good agreement with the experimental data. It is worth noting that the mean field approach employs the experimental T_C value to calculate J₁ value while DFT methods are an *ab initio* strategy, there are neither experimental parameters nor scaling factors. Kang and coworkers also performed a fit of the experimental data using a very crude estimation of the state energies for the Fe₄₂ system as function of the J value¹⁸. This procedure provides with a very small J value of +0.04 cm⁻¹ that logically results in a magnetic susceptibility curve that is far away from the experimental results (Fig. 4).

Methods

DFT calculations were performed with the all-electron FHI-aims computer code using numerical local orbital basis set³⁹. This approach allows for a full-potential calculations at a low computational cost without using any a priori approximations for the potential, such as pseudopotentials or frozen cores. The calculations of the whole Fe_{42} complex and Fe_3 and Fe_4 models were performed using the generalized-gradient approximation PBE functional⁴⁰ as well as the hybrid B3LYP⁴¹ and screened hybrid HSE06 functionals^{42,43}. For the HSE06 functional, we have selected the positive screening parameter $\omega = 0.25$ with mixing parameter (Hartree-Fock type exchange) of 0.5 for the short-range exchange⁴⁴. In the FHI-aims code, there are three levels of accuracy in the choice of the basis set ("light," "tight" and "really tight"). Due to the lack of reported studies of the exchange interaction using FHI-aims, we performed test calculations with the Fe_4 model and PBE functional. The calculated J₁ value of +13.7 cm⁻¹ at "light" level changed only in +0.1 cm⁻¹ when the "tight" and "really tight" basis sets were employed. Thus, the numerical "light" basis set was employed in the all calculations presented in the paper. The

SCF parameters to reach a good convergence in the calculations were a Gaussian occupation type with a parameter of 0.01, a Pulay mixer with 15 cycles and a mixing parameter of 0.04.

The experimental geometry obtained a 100 K (there is a second structure at room temperature) was employed for all DFT calculations. Disordered atomic positions in the *ddp* ligand and hydrogen atoms of the water molecules were optimised using a molecular mechanics approach with the universal force field⁴⁵. It is important to stress that force-field optimisations are not associated with any change to the metal centres and their coordination sphere from the experimental structure. The J values were calculated for the Fe₃ and Fe₄ models that contain two paramagnetic Fe^{III} centres as the energy difference between the high-spin S = 5 and the "broken-symmetry" S = 0solution divided by a factor 15 (non-spin projected approach). In order to extract the two J values for the whole structure, we performed five calculations the high spin S = 45 state, one S = 15 solution with the spin inversion of the six $\{13-18\}$ type 2 Fe^{III} centres and three S = 35 solutions with the spin inversion of $\{1, 4\}, \{13, 16\}$ and {1, 6} centres (see atom labels and spin Hamiltonian in Supplementary information). A detailed description of the mathematical procedure to determine the exchange coupling constants for dinuclear and polynuclear metal complexes can be found in previous works³¹⁻³⁴. The two J values were calculated by a least-square fitting of the four equations resulting of the energy differences between the five employed spin distributions.

The usual procedure to check the accuracy of the calculated J values is the generation of the χ T curves for comparison with the experimental data. The best procedure for obtaining such curves is to perform exact diagonalisation of the Hamiltonian. However, this approach presents a quick scaling in terms of computational resources, with a practical limit of ten S = 5/2 paramagnetic centres in our infrastructure. Thus, it is necessary to use approximate methods in order to perform a comparison with the experimental data. Quantum Monte Carlo methods represent an excellent alternative. Quantum Monte Carlo simulations based on the directed loop algorithm method developed by Sandvik et al.⁴⁶ were performed using the ALPS 2.0 library²⁶. The initial 10% of steps were employed for thermalisation of the system in all calculations. A total of 10⁸ steps were employed in order to reach the convergence of the simulations using the theoretically calculated J values.

References

- 1. Kahn, O. Molecular Magnetism (VCH Publishers 1993).
- 2. Verdaguer, M. et al. Molecules to build solids: high T-c molecule-based magnets by design and recent revival of cyano complexes chemistry. Coord. Chem. Rev. 192, 1023-1047 (1999).
- 3. Sessoli, R., Gatteschi, D., Caneschi, A. & Novak, M. A. Magnetic Bistability in a Metal-Ion Cluster. Nature 365, 141-143 (1993).
- 4. Sessoli, R. et al. High-spin molecules Mn₁₂O₁₂(O₂CR)₁₆(H₂O)₄. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 1804–1816 (1993)
- 5. Gatteschi, D. & Sessoli, R. Quantum tunneling of magnetization and related phenomena in molecular materials. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 42, 268-297 (2003)
- 6. Bagai, R. & Christou, G. The Drosophila of single-molecule magnetism: [Mn₁₂O₁₂(O₂CR)₁₆(H₂O)₄]. Chem. Soc. Rev. 38, 1011–1026 (2009).
- 7. Aromí, G. & Brechin, E. K. Synthesis of 3d metallic single-molecule magnets. Struct. Bond. 122, 1-67 (2006).
- 8. Aromí, G., McInnes, E. J. L. & Winpenny, R. E. P. In Molecular Cluster Magnets (ed R. Winpenny) (World Scientific 2012).
- 9. Powell, A. K. et al. Synthesis, structures, and magnetic-properties of Fe2, Fe17, and Fe19 oxo-bridged iron clusters the stabilization of high ground-state spins by cluster aggregates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 2491-2502 (1995)
- Ruiz, E., Rodríguez-Fortea, A., Cano, J. & Alvarez, S. Theoretical study of exchange coupling constants in an Fe19 complex. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 65, 799-803 (2004).
- 11. Zhong, Z. J. et al. A High-Spin Cyanide-Bridged Mn_9W_6 Cluster (S = 39/2) with a Full-Capped Cubane Structure. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 2952-2953 (2000).
- 12. Larionova, J. et al. High-spin molecules: A novel cyano-bridged ($Mn^{II}_{0}Mo_{6}^{V}$) molecular cluster with a S = 51/2 ground state and ferromagnetic intercluster ordering at low temperatures. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **39**, 1605–1606 (2000). 13. Ruiz, E. *et al.* Symmetry and topology determine the Mo^V-CN-Mn^{II} exchange interactions in high-spin molecules. *Angew. Chem.*
- Int. Ed. 44, 2711-2715 (2005).
- 14. Murugesu, M., Habrych, M., Wernsdorfer, W., Abboud, K. A. & Christou, G. Single-molecule magnets: A Mn₂₅ complex with a record S = 51/2 spin for a molecular species. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 4766-4767 (2004).
- Stamatatos, T. C., Abboud, K. A., Wernsdorfer, W. & Christou, G. "Spin tweaking" of a high-spin molecule: An Mn₂₅ single-molecule 15. magnet with an S = 61/2 ground state. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 46, 884-888 (2007).
- 16. Ako, A. M. et al. A ferromagnetically coupled Mn_{19} aggregate with a record S = 83/2 ground spin state. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45, 4926-4929 (2006).
- 17. Ruiz, E. et al. Magnetic structure of the large-spin Mn₁₀ and Mn₁₉ complexes: A theoretical complement to an experimental milestone. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 7420-7426 (2008)
- Kang, S. et al. A ferromagnetically coupled Fe42 cyanide-bridged nanocage. Nat. Commun. 6, 5955; doi: 10.1038/ncomms6955 18. (2015).
- 19. Waldmann, O. A criterion for the anisotropy barrier in single-molecule magnets. Inorg. Chem. 46, 10035-10037 (2007).
- 20. Ruiz, E. et al. Can large magnetic anisotropy and high spin really coexist? Chem. Commun. 52-54 (2008).
- 21. Waldmann, O., Ako, A. M., Guedel, H. U. & Powell, A. K. Assessment of the anisotropy in the molecule Mn19 with a high-spin ground state S = 83/2 by 35 GHz electron paramagnetic resonance. *Inorg. Chem.* 47, 3486–3488 (2008).
- 22. Moushi, E. E. et al. A Mn₁₇ Octahedron with a Giant Ground-State Spin: Occurrence in Discrete Form and as Multidimensional Coordination Polymers. Inorg. Chem. 48, 5049-5051 (2009)
- 23. Cremades, E. & Ruiz, E. Magnetic Properties of Largest-Spin Single Molecule Magnets: Mn₁₇ Complexes-A Density Functional Theory Approach. Inorg. Chem. 49, 9641-9648 (2010).
- 24. Liu, T. et al. A light-induced spin crossover actuated single-chain magnet. Nat. Commun. 4, 2826; doi: 10.1038/ncomms3826 (2013). 25. Herren, F., Fischer, P., Ludi, A. & Halg, W. Neutron-diffraction study of Prussian blue, $Fe_4[Fe(CN)_6]_3$. xH_2O - location of water-
- molecules and long-range magnetic order. Inorg. Chem. 19, 956-959 (1980). 26. Bauer, B. et al. The ALPS project release 2.0: open source software for strongly correlated systems. J. Stat. Mech. Theor. Exp. 2011, P05001; doi: 10.1088/1742-5468/2011/05/P05001 (2011).
- 27. Cano, J., Ruiz, E., Alvarez, S. & Verdaguer, M. Spin density distribution in transition metal complexes: Some thoughts and hints. Comments Inorg. Chem. 20, 27-56 (1998).
- 28. Ruiz, E., Cirera, J. & Alvarez, S. Spin density distribution in transition metal complexes. Coord. Chem. Rev. 249, 2649–2660 (2005).
- 29. Day, P. et al. Valence delocalization in prussian blue Fe₄[Fe(CN)₆]₃.xH₂O, by polarized neutron-diffraction. Helv. Chim. Acta 63, 148-153 (1980).

- Ruiz, E., Rodríguez-Fortea, A., Tercero, J., Cauchy, T. & Massobrio, C. Exchange coupling in transition-metal complexes via densityfunctional theory: Comparison and reliability of different basis set approaches. J. Chem. Phys. 123, 074102 (2005).
- 31. Ruiz, E. Theoretical study of the exchange coupling in large polynuclear transition metal complexes using DFT methods. *Struct. Bond.* **113**, 71–102 (2004).
- 32. Ruiz, E., Alvarez, S., Cano, J. & Polo, V. About the calculation of exchange coupling constants using density-functional theory: The role of the self-interaction error. J. Chem. Phys. 123, 164110 (2005).
- Ruiz, E., Cano, J., Alvarez, S. & Alemany, P. Broken symmetry approach to calculation of exchange coupling constants for homobinuclear and heterobinuclear transition metal complexes. J. Comp. Chem. 20, 1391–1400 (1999).
- Ruiz, E., Rodríguez-Fortea, A., Cano, J., Alvarez, S. & Alemany, P. About the calculation of exchange coupling constants in polynuclear transition metal complexes. J. Comp. Chem. 24, 982–989 (2003).
- Sanz, J. F., Calzado, C. J. & Márquez, A. DFT versus CI determination of the electron-transfer matrix element in some case examples. Int. J. Quant. Chem. 76, 458–463 (2000).
- Reiher, M., Salomon, O. & Hess, B. A. Reparameterization of hybrid functionals based on energy differences of states of different multiplicity. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 107, 48–55 (2001).
- 37. Néel, L. Proprietés magnétiques des ferrites Ferrimagnetisme et antiferromagnetisme. Ann. Phys. 3, 137-198 (1948).
- 38. Herpin, A. Théorie du Magnétisme. (I. N. S. T. N. 1968).
- 39. Blum, V. et al. Ab initio molecular simulations with numeric atom-centered orbitals. Comp. Phys. Commun. 180, 2175-2196 (2009).
- 40. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865-3868 (1996).
- 41. Becke, A. D. Density-functional thermochemistry .3. the role of exact exchange. J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648-5652 (1993)
- 42. Heyd, J., Scuseria, G. E. & Ernzerhof, M. Hybrid functionals based on a screened Coulomb potential. J. Chem. Phys. 118, 8207–8215 (2003).
- Krukau, A. V., Vydrov, O. A., Izmaylov, A. F. & Scuseria, G. E. Influence of the exchange screening parameter on the performance of screened hybrid functionals. J. Chem. Phys. 125, 224106 (2006).
- Vydrov, O. A., Heyd, J., Krukau, A. V. & Scuseria, G. E. Importance of short-range versus long-range Hartree-Fock exchange for the performance of hybrid density functionals. J. Chem. Phys. 125, 074106 (2006).
- Rappe, A. K., Casewit, C. J., Colwell, K. S., Goddard, W. A. & Skiff, W. M. UFF, a full periodic-table force-field for molecular mechanics and molecular-dynamics simulations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 10024–10035 (1992).
- 46. Sandvik, A. W. Stochastic series expansion method with operator-loop update. Phys. Rev. B 59, 14157-14160 (1999).

Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge Proyectos Basales y Vicerrectoría de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación. D.A. thanks CONICYT + PAI "Concurso nacional de apoyo al retorno de investigadores/as desde el extranjero, convocatoria 2014 82140014" for financial support. E.R. thanks Generalitat de Catalunya for an ICREA Academia grant. We thank BSC (Barcelona Supercomputer Center) for computational resources. Powered@NLHPC: This research was partially supported by the supercomputing infrastructure of the NLHPC (ECM-02). D.V-Y is a member of CEDENNA Center (FB0807).

Author Contributions

E.R. conceived the experiment, D.A. and E.R. performed the calculations, D.A., D.V.-Y. and E.R. analyzed the results. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Aravena, D. *et al.* Exchange Interactions on the Highest-Spin Reported Molecule: the Mixed-Valence Fe₄₂ Complex. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 23847; doi: 10.1038/srep23847 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/