

Pyogenic arthritis of native joints due to *Bacteroides fragilis*

Case report and review of the literature

Joan M. Nolla (MD, PhD)^{a,*}, Oscar Murillo (MD, PhD)^b, Javier Narvaez (MD, PhD)^a, Carmen Gómez Vaquero (MD, PhD)^a, Jaime Lora-Tamayo (MD, PhD)^b, Salvador Pedrero (MD)^c, Javier Cabo (MD, PhD)^c, Javier Ariza (MD, PhD)^b

Abstract

Pyogenic arthritis of native joints due to *Bacteroides fragilis* seems to be an infrequent disease. We analyzed the cases diagnosed in a tertiary hospital during a 22-year period and reviewed the literature to summarize the experience with this infectious entity. In our institution, of 308 patients with pyogenic arthritis of native joints, *B fragilis* was the causative organism in 2 (0.6%) cases. A

MEDLINE search (1981–2015) identified 19 additional cases.

Of the 21 patients available for review (13 men and 8 women, with a mean age, of 54.4 ± 17 years), 19 (90%) presented a systemic predisposing factor for infection; the most common associated illness was rheumatoid arthritis (8 patients). Bacteremia was documented in 65% (13/20) of cases. In 5 patients (24%), 1 or more concomitant infectious process was found. Metronidazole was the most frequently used antibiotic. Surgical drainage was performed in 11 cases (52%). The overall mortality rate was 5%.

Pyogenic arthritis of native joints due to *B fragilis* is an infrequent disease that mainly affects elderly patients with underlying medical illnesses and in whom bacteremia and the presence of a concomitant infectious process are frequent conditions.

Abbreviations: ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate, WBC = white blood cell count.

Keywords: anaerobe, Bacteroides fragilis, infectious arthritis, pyogenic arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis

1. Introduction

Pyogenic arthritis presents 2 different scenarios^[1] depending on whether the infection compromises prosthetic or native joints. The 2 processes have important distinctive clinical and microbiological features and require different approaches.

Pyogenic arthritis of native joints^[2] is a potentially lifethreatening disease that can lead to rapid joint destruction and irreversible loss of function. It remains a serious medical emergency with high morbidity and mortality. A large number of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria have been identified as causative agents.^[3,4] Overall, *Stahylococcus aureus* has been the most commonly isolated microorganism, accounting for more than 50% of reported cases; streptococci cause almost 25% of cases and gram-negative bacilli around 10% to 15%. Anaerobic

^a Rheumatology Department, IDIBELL-Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain, ^b Infectious Diseases Department, IDIBELL-Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain, ^c Orthopedic Surgery Department, IDIBELL-Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain.

^{*} Correspondence: Joan M. Nolla, Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, Feixa LLarga s/n, 08907 L'Hospitalet de LLobregat, Barcelona, Spain (e-mail: jmnolla@ub.edu).

Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2016) 95:25(e3962)

Received: 10 December 2015 / Received in final form: 17 May 2016 / Accepted: 21 May 2016

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000003962

etiological agents are extremely rare; in a recent review^[3] of 3-decade trends in the distribution of organisms causing septic arthritis in native joints, anaerobic bacteria caused only 2 of the 374 cases reported.

Bacteroides fragilis is an obligate anaerobe, a gram-negative rod that forms a part of the normal flora of the oral cavity, the skin, and the genitourinary and gastrointestinal tract. Like other microorganisms of the genre *Fusobacterium*, *Porphyromonas*, *Prevotella*, and *Peptostreptococcus* it is a nonspore forming bacteria.

B fragilis can become an opportunistic pathogen. In fact, it is the most commonly isolated organism in anaerobic infections and responsible for a wide spectrum of clinical manifestations. The commonest entities^[5] are primary bacteremia, periodontal disease, skin and soft tissue infections, and intra-abdominal abscesses. Other relevant but less frequent conditions are respiratory tract infections,^[6] endocarditis,^[7] pericarditis,^[8] meningitis,^[9] and osteoarticular infections.^[10-12]

The prevalence of *B fragilis* arthritis of native joints has not been established, but it seems to be an extremely rare disease. At the modern era, Ziment et al^[13] performed the first detailed description of a case in 1969. Additionally, 4 new cases^[14–17] were reported in the following decade, demonstrating the capacity of this organism to cause pyogenic arthritis. In 1990, Rosenkranz et al^[18] presented their experience, reviewed the literature, and established the state of the art about this topic.

In the current study, we present the cases of pyogenic arthritis of native joints due to *B fragilis* observed at our institution over 2 decades, and review the available literature to summarize the experience with this infectious entity and to clarify, in the light of the current knowledge, certain clinical, and therapeutic issues of the disease.

Editor: Worawit Louthrenoo.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

2. Patients and methods

We searched the database of our hospital (a 700-bed tertiary care teaching institution in Barcelona that does not treat pediatric, obstetric, or burn patients) for subjects with infectious arthritis admitted from January 1992 to December 2013.

For the present study, we excluded: patients with prosthetic joint infection, patients with postoperative arthritis (patients who had undergone joint surgery or arthroscopy in the year before diagnosis), patients with arthritis secondary to traumatic or spontaneous skin or soft tissue ulcers (e.g., diabetic foot), and patients with mycobacterial, brucellar, or fungal arthritis.

Three hundred eight patients [203 male (66%), 105 (34%) female; mean age: 57.7 ± 16.3 years] with pyogenic arthritis of native joints were identified. All cases were microbiologically proven. According to our protocol, joint fluid samples are sent directly to the laboratory, processed in liquid (thioglicolate) and solid media (5% sheep blood, chocolate, and MacConkey agar), and incubated for at least 7 days. Blood samples are cultured following standard recommendations in bottles of BacT (Bactec NR-860-system, Johnson Laboratories, Towson, MD) with both aerobic and anaerobic media. Microorganisms and their antibiotic susceptibility are identified using the MicroScan system (Dad Behring, West Sacramento, CA).

Two patients with *B fragilis* arthritis were observed at our center during the assessment period.

Previously published cases of *B fragilis* pyogenic arthritis of native joints were identified using a computerized search of the MEDLINE (National Library of Medicine, Bethseda, MD) database from January 1981 to December 2015. The key words used were "*Bacteroides fragilis*" and "arthritis." Only reports in English, French, and Spanish were considered; the references studies obtained were then examined to identify additional reports. Only cases that were sufficiently detailed to be analyzed individually were included.

We excluded: patients under the age of 18, cases of prosthetic joint infection^[18–21]; and cases of postoperative arthritis. A case^[22] of polymicrobial arthritis involving *B fragilis* was not included.

In accordance with the guidelines of our institutional ethics committee, formal approval for this study was not required. Informed consent was not obtained from the patients, but their clinical records and information were anonymized before analysis.

3. Results

Nineteen cases of pyogenic arthritis of native joints due to *B fragilis* were identified in the literature.^[18,23-38] Thus, including the 2 patients observed at our center during the study period, 21 cases were available for review (Table 1).

Of these 21 patients, 13 (62 %) were men and 8 (38 %) women, with ages ranging from 19 to 78 years (54.4 ± 17 years). Nine patients (43%) were over 60 years of age.

Nineteen patients (90%) presented a systemic predisposing factor for infection. The most common associated illness was rheumatoid arthritis (8 patients). Other relevant but more infrequent conditions were inflammatory diseases (systemic lupus erythematosus, mixed connective tissue disease, and psoriatic arthritis, 1 case each) and cancer (1 case of gastric adenocarcinoma with bone metastases and 1 case of non-Hodgkin lymphoma).

Fifteen percent (3/20) of patients did not present with fever before diagnosis. Fifteen patients (71%) presented monoarthritis.

The knee was the most frequently compromised joint (12 patients).

The erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was above 50 mm/h in all cases in whom this datum was reported. Mean ESR was 99.8 \pm 30.7 (range: 52–128). In 72% of patients (10/14) the white blood cell count (WBC) was above 11×10^{9} /L; the mean WBC was $13.2 \pm 5.1 \times 10^{9}$ /L (range, 5.5–24.6). Synovial fluid cultures gave positive results in all cases in whom they were performed (20/21). Blood cultures gave positive results in the 65% of cases (13/20).

Five patients (24%) presented 1 or more concomitant infectious processes due to the same microorganism. The most frequent was pyomiositis (3 patients); other entities were uterine infection, abscess after tracheostomy, and surgical wound infection.

Initial empirical therapy varied among patients, whereas metronidazole was the most used antibiotic after bacterial identification. As part of the standard management, some patients underwent daily percutaneous articular drainage. Surgical debridement was performed in 11 (52%) patients.

One patient (5%) presented relapse and 1 (5%) died.

4. Discussion

Although Pasteur in one of his earliest publications described obligatory anaerobic bacteria, anaerobic infection other than that caused by certain species of clostridia received relatively little attention over the following 100 years. In 1974, Gorbach and Bartlett^[39–41] reviewed the available literature on pyogenic processes associated with anaerobic organisms of the normal flora and provided your expertise. These authors stated that anaerobic bacteria are rarely encountered in pyogenic arthritis.

It is established that anaerobic arthritis mainly affects patients undergoing surgical treatment for traumatic injuries or elective musculoskeletal surgery (arthroplasty or other orthopedic devices); when it occurs, *B fragilis* is the principal causative microorganism.^[11] However, information on anaerobic arthritis of native joints is very scarce.

In the present study, we reviewed all cases of *B fragilis* pyogenic arthritis of native joints published in the literature since 1981 and included 2 patients attended at our hospital. The summarized experience, comprising 21 cases, is, by far, the largest series on this infectious disease.

Data from our institution confirm that *B* fragilis is an exceptional causative agent of pyogenic arthritis of native joints, representing only 0.6% of all diagnosed cases. The figure obtained for overall anaerobic infection is similar to than observed in the series of adult patients with infectious arthritis published in the literature over the last decade, $^{[3,42-47]}$ with the exception of the series of Lim et al $^{[42]}$ who reported an high frequency of patients with prosthetic joint infection (21%). Nevertheless, it is possible that the failure to culture under anaerobic conditions will underestimate the real importance of *B* fragilis as a causative agent.

Our review demonstrates that *B fragilis* arthritis mainly appears in elderly patients. A predominance among males was also noted as also occurs in the general series of pyogenic arthritis.^[3,42–47]

The presence of concomitant chronic debilitating disease in the vast majority of patients (90%) indicates the opportunistic nature of the microorganism. Ischemic heart disease, sickle cell disease, malignancy, and above all rheumatoid arthritis (40%) were the main underlying processes.

		Age/	Underlying		Affected	Concomitant infectious	ESR	WBC			Antibiotic			Vital
Patient	Reference	sex (y)	condition	Fever	joint	process	(mm/h)	(×10 ⁹ /L)	SFC	BC	treatment	Surgery	Relapse	outcome
	Present report	33/F	Systemic lupus erythematosus	+	Knee	No	128	6.7	+	I	Initially: Clindamycin	Yes	No	Survived
											Aztreonam Later: Metronidazole i.v. Finally:			
	Present report	54/M	Gastric adenocarcinoma with bone metastases	+	Shoulder	No	NA	6.2	+	I	Metronidazole i.v. Initially:	Yes	No	Survived
											Amoxiciliin/clavulanic acid Later. Metronidazole i.v. Finally:			
e	23	74/M	No	+	Hip	Pyomiositis	86	12.6	NA	+	ora meroniuazore Initially: Metronidazole i.v. (×5 wk) Later:	Yes	No	Survived
4	24	67/M	Chronic renal insufficiency Gout	+	Knee	N	NA	17.3	+	+	Oral metronidazole (×5 wk) Initially: Piperacillin/tazobactam Clindamycin Later:	No	No	Survived
Ð	25	53/F	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma Mixed connective	+	Ankle Shoulder	N	NA	NA	+	+	Meropenem Initially: Ampycillin/sulbactam	No	No	Survived
			IISSOE UISEASE								Clindamycin Later: Metronidazole i.v. (×3 wk) Finally: Oral metronidazole + Oral ciprofioxacin (×5 wk)			
Q	26	19/M	Sickle cell disease Avascular necrosis of the humeral head	+	Shoulder	N	N	13.8	+	+	(≺⊃) Initially: Ceftriaxone Ticarcillin/clavulanate Later: Cefotetan Metronidazole (×2 wk) Finally:	8	0 N	Survived
	27 28	71/F 69/M	Rheumatoid arthritis Rheumatoid arthritis. Congestive heart failure	1 1	Shoulder Knee	ON ON	126 52	24.6 10.3	+ +	- MA	Oral metronidazole (×2 wk) NA Clindamycin (×4 wk)	Yes No	No No	Survived Survived

Table 1

Table 1 (continued).	e 1 ued).													
		Age/	Underlying		Aff	Affected	Concomitant infectious	ESR	WBC					Vital
Patient	Reference	sex (y)	condition Pilonidal sinus resection	Fever		joint	process	(mm/h)	(×10°/L)	SFC BC		Surgery	Relapse	outcome
6	29	21/F	Cesarean section	+	Hip		Uterine infection	141	Normal	 +	Initially:	Yes	No	Survived
											Ticarcillin/clavulanic acid Later: Oral metronidazole			
10	30	78.M	No	+	Knee		Pyomiositis	68	NA	+		Yes	0 N	Survived
											Peniciun I.V. Metronidazole i.v. Finally: Oral metronidazole (> 16 wk)			
=	31	53/M	Alcoholism	+	Hip		No	120	15.0	+ +	(× 10 wy) Intially: Amoxicillin/ clavulanic (1 wk) Later	Yes	No	Survived
											Imico: Metronidazole i.v. (×8 wk) Finally: Oral clindamycin Oral metronidazole			
12	32	33/M	Sickle cell disease	+	Knees		N	110	18.3	+ +	Initially: Ampicillin Amikacin Later:	No	N	Survived
											Chloramphenicol Cotrimoxazole Ciprofloxacin Finally: Chloramphenicol Metronidazole			
13	33	55/F	Multiple sclerosis Neurogenic bladder Postlithiasis pyelonephritis	+	Knee		N	NA	NA	+ +	Initially: Amikacin i.v. (1 wk) Imipenem (×4 wk)	No	ON	Survived
											Metronidazole (×4 WK) Later: Oral clindamycin (×12 WK) Oral metronidazole (×12 WK)			

Nolla et al. Medicine (2016) 95:25

Medicine

Survived	Survived	Died	Survived	Survived	Survived	Survived	Survived
°N N	Kes	0 N	No	No	No	No	N
Yes (Right ankle)	Yes	Yes (knee and elbow)	No	No	Q	No	Yes
Initially: Gentamicin Clindamycin (×5 days) Later: Chloramhenicol	Totroumproting Initially: Gentamicin, (×3 wk) Gentamicin, Clindamycin, Chloramphenicol, Tobramycin (×5 wk) Later: Clindamycin (×19 days)	Finally: Doxyccycline (x-2 wk) Cindamycin Chloramphenicol (x-2 wk)	Ampicillin+ Fluctoxacillin (×2 wk) Oral metronidazole	(×6 wk) Metronidazole (×6 wk)	Initially: Metronidazole i.v. (×5 days) Later: Oral metronidazole	(× r∪ days) Metronidazole (×3 wk)	Initially: Clindamycin Gentamycin (×2 wk) Later: Clindamycin (×6 wk)
+	I	+	+	Ι	+	+	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ເ	14.6	7.0	15.8	12.5	NA	15.6	15.6
NA	54	N	100	113	AN	NA	NA
Abscess at tracheostomy site	Infection of surgical wound Pyomiositis	No	No	No	°2	No	°2
Knees, elbows, hands, ankles	Knee	Knee Elbow	Shoulders Elbows	Knee	Wrist	Shoulder, hip, wrists,	elows, nices, airues Knee
+	+	+	+	Ι	+	NR	+
Psoriatic arthritis Tracheostorny 2 months previously	Diabetes mellitus Appendicectomy for appendicular abscess 6 weeks previously (culture + for <i>B fragilis</i>)	Rheumatoid arthritis Ischemic and congestive heart disease Chronic obstructive	pullinentary unsease Nondiagnosed colonic mass Rheumatoid arthritis	Rheumatoid arthritis	Rheumatoid arthritis	Rheumatoid arthritis	Rheumatoid arthritis
46.M	44.M	71.M	59.M	W/69	62/F	48/F	65/F
6	ξ	8	34	35	36	37	8 N
1	15	16	17	18	19	20	21

The association between *B* fragilis pyogenic arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis has been previously reported^[18] and should be particularly emphasized according to the data included in the present revision.

Overall, patients with rheumatoid arthritis are particularly susceptible to pyogenic arthritis. The estimated incidence of this complication ranges from 0.3% to 3%. [48] S aureus is the main etiological agent, causing more than 70% of cases. The frequency of anaerobic arthritis is low, though it seems higher than observed in the general population. In the classical review of Gardner and Weisman,^[49] anaerobes accounted for 3% of cases (6/213) observed between 1946 and 1987. In our experience, [4,27,50] anaerobic bacteria were the causative agents of 6% of cases (2/ 35) attended between 1981 and 2013. The mechanisms responsible for the increased vulnerability to joint infection have not been precisely identified, but skin defects, previous articular damage, poor clearance of bacteria from the joint, and acquired phagocytic defects secondary to drugs or disease have been proposed.^[48,49] In the particular case of *B fragilis* additionally is important to consider the relevant role of its capsula as a virulent factor,^[51] promoting abscess formation by inhibiting opsonophagocytosis. Thus, the damaged joint along with the systemic immunologic impairment may be the key factors in the development of arthritis by *B fragilis* in patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

The percentage of patients who remained afebrile before diagnosis was low, but noticeable. These cases stress the importance of maintaining a high index of suspicion of infection when 1 joint (or more) suddenly becomes inflamed, especially in immunocompromised hosts. The infection involved more than 1 joint in almost 30% of cases, whereas the classically reported frequency of polyarthritis is 15%.^[52] As in the general series of pyogenic arthritis,^[3,4,42–47] the knee was the most commonly affected joint.

The high percentage of cases with positive blood cultures should also be noted; bacteremia was detected in 65% of the cases in whom blood culture results were available. Most cases of *B fragilis* arthritis have been attributed to hematogenous spread from a distant infected focus, usually intra-abdominal. However, on occasions this focus has not been identified despite a search strategy that includes colonoscopy and computerized tomography of the abdomen.^[24]

In our review, a quarter of patients present a concomitant infectious process, mainly pyomiositis as a result of contiguous spread of the infection. This circumstance must be borne in mind since the symptoms and signs of pyogenic arthritis and pyomiositis are similar and one of them may be missed or incompletely treated.^[23]

Anaerobic and aerobic arthritis require the same treatment,^[53] comprising temporary immobilization, drainage of the joint, and adequate antibiotic therapy. Some authors^[31] favor hyperbaric oxygen therapy as an adjunctive treatment in the management of the patients if the preservation or restoration of the blood supply in the area involved is not possible.

Prompt complete removal of infected synovial fluid is necessary to preserve good joint function and to control the infection. This aids bacterial clearance from synovial fluid and tissues, rapidly decreases the intra-articular pressure, reduces cartilage damage, and increases the efficacy of antimicrobial therapy. No large prospective comparative studies are available, and the choice of drainage (repeated closed needle aspiration or surgical drainage) remains controversial.^[54] Few data are available on the antibiotic therapy of arthritis due to *B* fragilis and no recommendations have been clearly established. For treatment of anaerobic bacterial infections, clindamycin has classically considered the gold standard. However, *B* fragilis antibiotic resistance to clindamycin has increased over the years, reaching 41.8% in Mediterranean countries^[55] and now, empirical therapy by this antibiotic should be discouraged.^[12]

Data from this review show that initial empirical therapy varied among patients, while metronidazole was the most used antibiotic after bacterial identification. While β -lactam antibiotics resistant to β -lactamases may be considered good alternative therapy if an appropriate articular drainage is warranted, metronidazole provides a better theoretical profile because its reported bactericidal activity in the purulent milieu of the arthritis.^[56,57]

Relapse seems to be rare in *B fragilis* arthritis, being observed in only 1 of the 21 cases analyzed. The mortality rate (5%) was consistent with recently published reports^[3,4] of native pyogenic arthritis. Underlying medical processes and bacteremia are the main determinants of death in patients with infectious arthritis.^[4] The difficulty of modifying these variables means that it is hard to reduce mortality rates despite advances in the medical and surgical management of these patients.

In summary, *B fragilis* is an infrequent causative agent of pyogenic arthritis of native joints. Joint disease due to this microorganism mainly affects aged patients with underlying medical illnesses, in whom bacteremia and the presence of a concomitant infectious process are frequent conditions. Relapse seems to be uncommon and the mortality rates are consistent with those reported in native pyogenic arthritis.

References

- Garcia-Arias M, Balsa A, Mola EM. Septic arthritis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2011;25:407–21.
- [2] Mathews CJ, Weston VC, Jones A, et al. Bacterial septic arthritis in adults. Lancet 2010;375:846–55.
- [3] Dubost JJ, Couderc M, Tatar Z, et al. Three-decade trends in the distribution of organisms causing septic arthritis in native joints: singlecenter study of 374 cases. Joint Bone Spine 2014;81:438–40.
- [4] Nolla JM, Lora-Tamayo J, Gómez Vaquero C, et al. Pyogenic arthritis of native joints in non intravenous drug users: a detailed analysis of 268 cases attended in a tertiary hospital over a 22 year period. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2015;45:94–102.
- [5] Papaparaskevas J, Katsandri A, Pantazatou A, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of infections caused by Bacteroides, Prevotella and Fusobacterium species: a prospective observational study. Anaerobe 2011;17:113–7.
- [6] Bartlett JG. Anaerobic bacterial infections of the lung and pleural space. Clin Infect Dis 1993;16(suppl 4):S248–55.
- [7] Brook I. Infective endocarditis caused by anaerobic bacteria. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2008;101:665–76.
- [8] Brook I. Pericarditis caused by anaerobic bacteria. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2009;33:297–300.
- [9] Feder HMJr. Bacteroides fragilis meningitis. Rev Infect Dis 1987;9: 783-6.
- [10] Fitzgerald RHJr, Rosenblatt JE, Tenney JH, Bourgault AM. Anaerobic septic arthritis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1982;164:141–8.
- [11] Brook I, Frazier EH. Anaerobic osteomyelitis and arthritis in a military hospital: a 10-year experience. Am J Med 1993;94:21–8.
- [12] Walter G, Vernier M, Pinelli PO, et al. Bone and joint infections due to anaerobic bacteria: an analysis of 61 cases and review of the literature. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2014;33:1355–64.
- [13] Ziment I, Davis A, Finegold SM. Joint infection by anaerobic bacteria: a case report and review of the literature. Arthritis Rheum 1969;12: 627–35.

- [15] Bayer AS, Chow AW, Louie JS, Guze LB. Sternoarticular pyoarthrosis due to gram-negative bacilli. Report of eight cases. Arch Intern Med 1997;137:1036–40.
- [16] Ryeén AC, Schean A, Agell BO. A case of septic arthritis in multiple joints due to *Bacteroides fragilis* in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis. Acta Orthop Scand 1978;49:98–101.
- [17] Childers JC. Pyogenic arthritis due to bacteroides fragilis infection. Orthopedics 1980;3:319–20.
- [18] Rosenkranz P, Lederman MM, Gopalakrishna KV, Ellner JJ. Septic arthritis caused by Bacteroides fragilis. Rev Infect Dis 1990;12:20–30.
- [19] Sanders KL, Kaplowitz LG. Late infection with Bacteroides fragilis in a prosthetic joint. Scand J Infect Dis 1980;12:235.
- [20] Hickey MM, Davies UM, Dave J, et al. Metronidazole resistant Bacteroides fragilis infection of a prosthetic hip joint. J Infect 1990;20: 129–33.
- [21] Yang SH, Yang RS, Tsai CL. Septic arthritis of the hip joint in cervical cancer patients after radiotherapy: three case reports. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 2001;9:41–5.
- [22] Mikolich PJ, Schlaeffer F, Ryter RJ. Polymicrobial polyarticular arthritis. J Rheumatol 1994;21:971–2.
- [23] Papanikolaou A, Tzavara V, Chini M, Papatheodorou A. Pyomyositis and septic hip arthritis due to bacteroides fragilis. A case report. Hip Int 2011;21:498–501.
- [24] Martin T, Aziz H. Bacteroides fragilis: a case study of bacteremia and septic arthritis. Clin Lab Sci 2009;22:131–5.
- [25] Luis Cousseau M, Gentile J, Pérez R. Septic arthritis due to Bacteroides fragilis in a patient with non-Hodgkin lymphoma and mixed connective tissue disease. Reumatol Clin 2007;3:237–40.
- [26] Nehra A, Mehta RN, Encarnacion C. Bacteroides fragilis arthritis in a patient with sickle cell disease. Am J Med 2001;111:161.
- [27] Nolla JM, Gomez Vaquero C, Fiter J, et al. Pyarthrosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. A detailed analysis of 10 cases and literature review. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2000;30:121–6.
- [28] Borer A, Weber G, Riesenberg K, et al. Septic arthritis due to bacteroides fragilis after pilonidal sinus resection in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol 1997;16:632–4.
- [29] Failla DM, Dalovisio JR. Bacteroides fragilis arthritis following cesarean section. Clin Infect Dis 1995;21:1341–2.
- [30] Calvo-Alén J, Pinillos V, del Val N, Rodríguez Valverde V. Pyomyositis and septic arthritis due to Bacteroides fragilis in an immunocompetent patient. Clin Infect Dis 1995;21:1344.
- [31] Merle-Melet M, Mainard D, Regent D, et al. An unusual case of hip septic arthritis due to Bacteroides fragilis in an alcoholic patient. Infection 1994;22:353.
- [32] Konstantopoulos K, Avlami A, Demarongona K, et al. Bacteroides fragilis arthritis in a sickle cell-thalassaemia patient. Scand J Infect Dis 1994;26:495–7.
- [33] Chomarat M, Cahen R, Durieu I, et al. Septic arthritis caused by Bacteroides fragilis in the setting of postlithiasis pyelonephritis. Clin Infect Dis 1992;15:569–70.
- [34] Dawes PT, Hothersall TE. Septic polyarthritis due to bacteroides fragilis in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol 1984;3:381.
- [35] Dodd MJ, Griffiths ID, Freeman R. Pyogenic arthritis due to bacteroides complicating rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1982;41:248–9.

- [36] Hart CA, Godfrey VM, Woodrow JC, Percival A. Septic arthritis due to Bacteroides fragilis in a wrist affected by rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1982;41:623–4.
- [37] Schorn D, Pretorius JA. Septic polyarthritis due to Bacteroides fragilis in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis. A case report. S Afr Med J 1982;62:213–4.
- [38] Martínez-Luengas Oribe F, Montejo Baranda M, Aguirre Errasti C, et al. Septic arthritis caused by Bacteroides fragilis in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis. Med Clin (Barc) 1982;78:65–6.
- [39] Gorbach SL, Bartlett JG. Anaerobic infections. N Engl J Med 1974; 290:1177–84.
- [40] Gorbach SL, Bartlett JG. Anaerobic infections (second of three parts). N Engl J Med 1974;290:1237–45.
- [41] Gorbach SL, Bartlett JG. Anaerobic infections (third of three parts). N Engl J Med 1974;290:1289–4.
- [42] Lim SY, Pannikath D, Nugent K. A retrospective study of septic arthritis in a tertiary hospital in West Texas with high rates of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection. Rheumatol Int 2015;35:1251–6.
- [43] Maneiro JR, Souto A, Cervantes EC, et al. Predictors of treatment failure and mortality in native septic arthritis. Clin Rheumtol 2015;34:1961–7.
- [44] Madruga Dias J, Costa MM, Pereira da Silva JA, Viana de Queiroz M. Septic arthritis: patients with or without isolated infectious agents have similar characteristics. Infection 2014;42:385–91.
- [45] Muñoz-Egea MC, Blanco A, Fernandez Roblas R, et al. Clinical and microbiological characteristics of patients with septic arthritis: a hospital-based study. J Orthopaedics 2014;11:87–90.
- [46] Khan FY, Abu-Khattab M, Baagar K, et al. Characteristics of patients with definite septic arthritis at Hamad General Hospital, Qatar: a hospitalbased study from 2006 to 2011. Clin Rheumatol 2013;32:969–73.
- [47] Clerc O, Prod'hom G, Greub G, et al. Adult native septic arthritis: a review of 10 years of experience and lessons for empirical antibiotic therapy. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011;6:1168–73.
- [48] Goldenberg DL. Infectious arthritis complicating rheumatoid arthritis and other chronic rheumatic disorders. Arthritis Rheum 1989;32:496–502.
- [49] Gardner GC, Weisman MH. Pyarthrosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a report of 13 cases and a review of the literature from the past 40 years. Am J Med 1990;88:503–11.
- [50] Mateo Soria L, Nolla Solé JM, Rozadilla Sacanell A, et al. Infectious arthritis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1992;51:402–3.
- [51] Onderdonk AB, Kasper DL, Cisneros RL, Bartlett JG. The capsular polysaccharide of Bacteroides fragilis as a virulence factor: comparison of the pathogenic potential of encapsulated and unencapsulated strains. J Infect Dis 1977;136:82–9.
- [52] Dubost JJ, Fis I, Denis P, et al. Polyarticular septic arthritis. Medicine (Baltimore) 1993;72:296–310.
- [53] Brook I. Microbiology and management of joint and bone infections due to anaerobic bacteria. J Orthop Sci 2008;13:160–9.
- [54] Mathews CJ, Kingsley G, Field M, et al. Management of septic arthritis: a systematic review. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:440–5.
- [55] Nagy E, Urbán E, Nord CE. ESCMID Study Group on Antimicrobial Resistance in Anaerobic BacteriaAntimicrobial susceptibility of Bacteroides fragilis Group isolates in Europe: 20 years of experience. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011;17:371–9.
- [56] Tally FP. Factors affecting antimicrobial agents in an anaerobic abscess. J Antimicrob Chemother 1978;4:299–302.
- [57] Freeman CD, Klutman NE, Lamp KC. Metronidazole. A therapeutic review and update. Drugs 1997;54:679–708.