Title: Longitudinal association of telomere length and obesity indices in an intervention study with a Mediterranean diet: The PREDIMED-NAVARRA trial.

Authors: Sonia García-Calzón, MSc ¹, Alfredo Gea, MSc ², Cristina Razquin, BSc, PhD ³, Dolores Corella, MD, PhD ^{4,5}, Rosa M Lamuela-Raventós, PharmD, PhD ^{4,6}, J Alfredo Martínez, MD, PhD^{1,4}, Miguel A Martínez-González, MD, PhD ^{2,4}, Guillermo Zalba, BSc, PhD ⁷, Amelia Marti, PharmD, PhD ^{1,4}.

Corresponding author and reprint requests: Dr. Amelia Marti (amarti@unav.es). Department of Nutrition, Food Sciences and Physiology. University of Navarra. C/Irunlarrea 1 CP31008 Pamplona, Navarra, Spain. Telephone: 948 425600; Fax: 948 425619.

Running title: Association of telomere length and obesity.

Fundings: Research relating to this work was funded by grants from Línea Especial, Nutrición, Obesidad y Salud of the University of Navarra (LE/97), the Spanish Government (FIS-ISCIII: PI050976, PI070240, PI081943, PI1002293, RTIC 06/0045, CIBERobn, CNIC/06, SAF-2010-20367) and the Government of Navarra (PI41/2005, PI79/2006, PI36/2008, PI54/2009). The FPU fellowships to Sonia García-Calzón and to Alfredo Gea from the Spanish Ministry of Education, Culture and Sport are fully acknowledged.

¹ Department of Nutrition, Food Science and Physiology, University of Navarra, Spain.

² Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Navarra, Spain.

³ Neurogenetics Laboratory, Division of Neurosciences, Centre for Applied Medical Research, University of Navarra, Spain.

⁴ CIBER Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBERobn), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain.

⁵ Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Valencia, Spain.

⁶ Department of Nutrition and Bromatology, University of Barcelona, Spain.

⁷ Department of Biochemistry and Genetic, University of Navarra, Spain.

ABSTRACT

Background: Telomeres are nucleoprotein structures that protect the ends of eukaryote chromosomes. Shorter telomere length (TL) is associated with some age-related human disorders, but its relationship with obesity or adiposity parameters remains unclear.

Objective: The aim of this study was to assess the relationship between TL and changes in adiposity indices after a 5-year nutritional intervention.

Design and subjects: TL was measured by quantitative real-time PCR in 521 subjects (55-80 years, 55% women). Participants were randomly selected from the PREDIMED-NAVARRA centre after they completed a 5-year intervention programme.

Anthropometric parameters were directly measured by trained personnel at baseline and on a yearly basis thereafter. TL at baseline and changes in TL after 5-year intervention were assessed.

Results: Higher baseline TL significantly predicted a greater decrease in body weight (B=-1.09 kg, 95%CI: -2.01 to -0.16), BMI (B=-0.47 kg/m², 95%CI: -0.83 to -0.11), waist circumference (B=-1.15 cm, 95%CI: -2.28 to -0.01), and waist to height ratio (B=-0.008, 95%CI: -0.010 to -0.001) in multiple-adjusted models. In addition, changes in TL during the 5-year intervention were inversely associated with changes in the four anthropometric variables. The reduction in adiposity indices during the intervention, associated with increasing TL, was even higher among subjects with the longest telomeres at baseline. Logistic regression analysis showed that the risk of remaining obese after 5 years was lower in those participants who initially had the longest telomeres and increased their TL after intervention (OR=0.27, 95%CI: 0.03 to 2.03).

3

Conclusions: Our research suggests that TL is inversely associated with changes in

obesity parameters. The assessment of TL can provide further insights for biological

pathways leading to adiposity. We show for the first time an improvement of obesity

indices when an increase in TL is observed after a 5-year Mediterranean diet

intervention.

Key words: Telomere length, adiposity, aging, nutritional intervention, obesity.

INTRODUCTION

Telomeres are nucleoprotein structures that cap and protect the ends of eukaryote chromosomes maintaining genome stability. Because telomeres shorten during mitosis, they are thought to reflect biological age. Thus, a slow and gradual loss of telomere length (TL) with increasing age in human peripheral blood cells has been reported. However, it is also well known that telomere attrition is likely to be a modifiable factor as there is substantial variability in the rate of telomere shortening that is independent of chronological age. Therefore, short telomeres in peripheral blood cells have been described in patients with type 2 diabetes, cancer, metabolic syndrome and in individuals with increased cardiovascular disease risk factors, such as obesity.

Obesity is a common disease characterized by increased oxidative stress and a low-grade systemic inflammation. ^{8,9} These underlying mechanisms have been suggested to be the link for the association between shorter telomeres and obesity. ^{10,11} Lee *et al.* ¹² showed that high general and abdominal adiposity are directly related to lower TL, suggesting obesity may hasten the aging process. They found an inverse cross-sectional association between TL and body mass index (BMI), waist to hip ratio, total body fat and waist circumference (WC), independent of some metabolic risk factors. Other cross-sectional studies found that TL, measured in blood cells, is associated with an obesity phenotype but only among women. ^{10,13,14}

Only a small number of studies have investigated the relationship between TL and changes in adiposity or anthropometric variables after an intervention. One prospective study reported that weight loss led to an increase in TL in rectal mucosa of obese men.¹⁵ In the Bogalusa Heart Study, it was observed that weight gain and obesity accelerated

telomere attrition regardless of age. 16 Similar findings were recently reported for Chinese women. 7 Moreover, the single study conducted in the elderly found that shorter TL may be a risk factor for increased adiposity and weight. 17

To our knowledge, no prospective studies have assessed the association between changes in TL and changes in adiposity indices following a long-term dietary intervention. Thus, the aim of our study was to assess the relationship between TL and changes in anthropometric parameters after 5 years of a nutritional intervention, in the frame of a dietary trial: The PREDIMED-NAVARRA study. Our hypothesized expected change was an improvement in adiposity indices in the subjects who had higher TL at baseline or increased their TL during the 5-year period of dietary intervention.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study design

The PREDIMED study is a large, parallel-group, multicentre, randomized, controlled, clinical trial designed to assess the effects of the Mediterranean diet on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. The design and methods of this trial have been reported in a specific publication. Further details are also available at www.predimed.es.

The study population consisted of women (60 to 80 years) or men (55 to 80 years) with no previously documented history of cardiovascular disease, but at high cardiovascular risk. They had either type-2 diabetes mellitus or at least three of the following major cardiovascular risk factors: current smoking, hypertension, elevated low-density

lipoprotein cholesterol, low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, overweight/obesity or family history of premature coronary heart disease.

The present analysis deals with a subsample from one of the eleven recruitment centres (PREDIMED-NAVARRA). The PREDIMED-NAVARRA recruitment centre included 1055 of the 7447 subjects participating in the trial, being the first of the eleven centres to complete the enrolment of participants. Recruitment in the PREDIMED-NAVARRA centre took place between June 2003-May 2005, while in the rest of the centres it took place between October 2003- March 2009. Participants were randomly allocated to one of three arms: Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra virgin olive oil, Mediterranean diet supplemented with mixed nuts or a control group (low-fat diet). They were interviewed annually by a dietician, obtaining information about lifestyle, diet and incident diseases. Further aspects of the methods and design of the PREDIMED trial have been reported in detail elsewhere. ^{18,19}

All subjects provided informed consent and the protocol was approved by the institutional review boards according to the Principles of Helsinki Declaration. This trial is registered at http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN 35739639.

The present study assessed TL in 521 participants at baseline and after 5 years of recruitment, because the intervention was stopped in 2011 due to a decision of the Data Safety and Monitoring Board to stop the trial. The subjects were randomly selected, within those who had already completed 5 years in the intervention programme, from the three arms of the trial: Mediterranean Diet supplemented with Olive oil (n=211), Mediterranean Diet supplemented with mixed nuts (n=170) and a Control group which consisted in a low-fat diet (n=140).

Telomere length assessment

TL was measured in genomic DNA extracted from human peripheral blood samples with a real-time quantitative PCR approach.²⁰ This method uses Ribosomal Protein Large PO (RPLPO) single-copy gene as a reference for each sample.

QuantiTect Syber Green PCR kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) was used as master mix. The total reaction volume was 10 µL containing 10 ng of genomic DNA. PCRs for telomere (T) and single copy gene (S) expression were performed on white 384-well plates on an ABI-Applied Biosystems 7900 HT thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). The final telomere primer concentrations were as follows: for telomere amplification tel1, 675 nmol/L and for tel2, 1350 nmol/L; and for the amplification of the single copy gene RPLPO: hRPLPO1, 800 nmol/L; hRPLPO2, 800 nmol/L. The primer sequences were tel1 (5'-

GGTTTTTGAGGGTGAGGGTGAGGGTGAGGGT-3'), tel2 (5'TCCCGACTATCCCTATCCCTATCCCTATCCCTA-3'), hRPLPO1 (5'CCCATTCTATCATCAACGGGTACAA -3') and hRPLPO2 (5'-

CAGCAAGTGGGAAGGTGTAATCC -3'). All primers were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, St.Louis, MO, USA. This method expresses TL as a T/S ratio calculated as $2^{-\Delta CT}$. The work of Cawthon²⁰ confirms that the T/S ratio is approximately $[2^{CT(\text{telomeres})}/2^{CT(\text{single copy gene})}] = 2^{-\Delta CT}$, since the amount of the PCR product approximately doubles in each cycle of the PCR.

As a standard, a calibration curve of the same DNA sample of reference (64-0.25 ng in 2-fold dilutions) was included for each measurement to control the day-to-day variations. Standard curve with linearity $R^2 > 0.98$ was accepted. For quality control, all

samples were run in duplicate and checked for concordance between duplicate values. For obtaining stronger consistency, samples showing a high variation (more than 10%) were rerun and reanalyzed. The lower variation coefficient in the samples (2.68%), as compared with the change in telomere length in all the participants after 5 years of the nutritional intervention, supports the strength of the present methodology.

Anthropometric variables assessment

Anthropometric data were obtained by a trained nurse using standardized methods¹⁹ and they were measured in each of the yearly follow-up visits. The anthropometric variables assessed were weight, BMI, WC and waist to height ratio (WHtR). For this analysis, the change in adiposity indices was calculated as the variable after 5 years of intervention minus the variable at baseline.

Confounders assessment

The baseline interview included the assessment of cardiovascular risk factors and physician diagnoses of hypertension, diabetes and hypercholesterolemia. We also obtained the information about medical, socio-demographic, anthropometric, and lifestyle variables. Physical activity was assessed through a validated physical activity questionnaire 21,22 and dietary habits were evaluated using a semi-quantitative 137-item Food frequency Questionnaire previously validated in Spain. 23

Statistical analysis

We compared baseline characteristics of participants according to tertiles of TL. We calculated means and standard deviations (SD) or percentages for each variable across

the TL tertiles and assessed the statistical significance of the differences among them with one-way ANOVA and chi-squared tests, respectively.

Pearson correlations analyses were performed to calculate the association between changes in obesity parameters and baseline and follow-up TL. Moreover, we fitted multivariable linear regression models to assess changes in four anthropometric variables (body weight, BMI, WC and WHtR) according to tertiles of TL at baseline. We also assessed differences in each of the four variables for losing or gaining TL after 5 years of follow-up, according to tertiles of baseline TL. B coefficients and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) were calculated, using those who had the lowest baseline TL as the reference group. For the multiple-adjusted model, the following potential confounders (all of them measured at baseline) were considered: age, sex, BMI (kg/m²), WC (cm), smoking habit (current smoker, former smoker or never smoker), diabetes status, hypertensive status, dyslipidaemia status, physical activity (METS-min/day), total energy intake (Kcal/day) and group of intervention (Olive oil, nuts and control group). We additionally mutually adjusted for each basal anthropometric variable, depending on the analysis, and multiple testing correction (Benjamini-Hochberg) analysis were performed. Finally, we also fitted multivariable logistic regression models to estimate the association between losing or gaining TL and the odds of remaining obese after 5 years of the intervention, according to tertiles of baseline TL, calculating odds ratios (OR) and 95% CI. A p value<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using STATA version 12.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

This PREDIMED-NAVARRA subsample assessed in our study included a total of 521 participants (45% males). Baseline characteristics of these participants according to tertiles of TL are presented in Table 1. The three groups were well balanced but small though significant differences were found for age, body weight and total energy intake. Regarding average age, as expected, the greater the age, the lower the TL (p=0.047). Although baseline body weight was significantly different between groups, when analysing by sex no differences were found within either men or women. Energy intake was also different among categories of TL, but no differences were observed according to the macronutrient distribution.

Significant inverse Pearson correlations of changes in body weight and BMI with both baseline and follow-up TL were found (Table 2). In addition, we fitted a multiple regression model to predict changes in adiposity indices at year 5 according to tertiles of baseline TL (Table 3). Higher baseline TL significantly predicted a greater reduction in body weight (B = -1.11 kg, 95% CI:-2.03 to -0.19 kg for third *vs.* first tertile), BMI (B = -0.49 kg/m², 95% CI:-0.85 to -0.13), WC (B = -1.24 cm, 95% CI: -2.39 to -0.09) and WHtR (B= -0.009, 95% CI: -0.020 to -0.001), when adjusted for age, sex and the corresponding anthropometric variable at baseline. These associations remained statistically significant in the multiple-adjusted model.

We also found an association between changes in TL during the intervention period and the anthropometric variables after 5 years of the nutritional intervention, according to tertiles of baseline TL (Table 4). In fully adjusted analyses, participants who increased TL reduced more their weight (*p* trend=0.008), BMI (*p* trend=0.009), WC (*p*

trend=0.044) and WHtR (p trend=0.051) than those who decreased TL after the intervention. Furthermore, we found that changes in TL after 5 years of the nutritional intervention were influenced by the initial TL. In fact, the observed reduction in adiposity markers, associated with increasing TL, was even greater in those who had longer telomeres at baseline.

Interestingly, at the beginning 38% of the volunteers were obese. Nineteen percent of them successfully decreased their BMI under the threshold of 30 kg/m² after 5 years of nutritional intervention, whereas 81% remained obese. The OR for remaining obese after 5 years, for those who initially had the longest TL, was 0.27 (95% CI: 0.03 to 2.03) among those who increased TL, and 0.43 (95% CI: 0.10 to 1.89) among those who decreased TL during the follow-up (Table 5). Although these results did not reach statistical significance, a tendency was observed: the higher the baseline TL and the greater the increase of TL, the lower the risk of remaining obese.

DISCUSSION

In this study enrolling 521 elderly individuals, we found a significant inverse relationship between TL and adiposity indices using both initial and follow-up data. TL measured at baseline and changes in TL during follow-up were significantly inversely associated with changes in adiposity indices including body weight, BMI, WC and WHtR after 5 years of a nutritional intervention. In addition, the risk of remaining obese after the intervention was lower in those participants who had the highest baseline TL and subsequently increased their TL during the intervention 5-year period. Tertiles of baseline TL were calculated in order to clarify whether presenting larger or shorter telomeres could be an indicator for adiposity after a 5-year intervention. We also

suggest that telomere attrition rate was influenced by the initial TL, as other studies have already reported.^{24,25}

To the best of our knowledge this is the first study analysing the effect of TL changes and baseline TL on changes in adiposity indices in the context of a long-term nutritional intervention. Mostly all of the literature considered obesity as a risk factor for telomere shortening. Recently, just one report proposed the opposite, as they suggested that shorter TL may be a risk factor for increased adiposity in the elderly. Therefore, we suggest that the assessment of TL could be a useful biomarker for a better understanding of the biological pathways implicated in obesity progression.

Although the mean age of our population was 67 years, a significant correlation supporting the well-established age-related telomere loss was observed, regardless of accumulated diseases that could decrease the association between TL and age.

Interestingly we detected an increase in TL in about 40% of the participants over the 5-year follow-up period. Increased TL after a period of time has been observed recently in observational or interventional studies. Hence, TL is a dynamic factor and it can vary to both directions during a lifetime. Hence, TL is a dynamic factor and it can

There are a few reports on the association between TL and obesity-related parameters, in which obesity has been linked to accelerated telomere attrition. In order to evaluate the role of obesity and abdominal adiposity in TL, several studies have used indirect anthropometric measures. The association between BMI and TL is controversial, in some studies no relation was observed in either elderly^{27,28} or middle-aged individuals^{29,30} but in other studies an inverse association was found.^{7,10,12,14} In the Bogalusa Heart Study, Gardner *et al.*¹⁶ found that a decrease in BMI was strongly

associated with an increase in TL (r=-0.423, p<0.001) in a middle-aged population. This is in agreement with our findings since we found a greater significant decrease in BMI in those subjects who increased their TL during the intervention period and had also higher baseline TL.

There is just one study regarding the effect of weight loss on TL in midrectal biopsies of obese men.¹⁵ They suggested that losing weight may contribute to the prevention of telomere shortening and DNA damage, which is in accordance with our findings. On the other hand, other investigations^{7,31} reported that weight gain and obesity might accelerate aging since telomere shortening was greater.

A significant inverse association with WC was observed, suggesting that reduced TL may contribute to abdominal obesity. Our finding agrees with the works of Nordfjall *et al.*¹⁴ in similar aged individuals, who showed that a higher WC is linked to shorter telomeres (r =-0.099; p=0.032) after adjusting for age. Several studies also reported a similar negative association between WC and TL, ^{7,32,33} whereas others did not find a correlation with this parameter. ^{27,29}

Another contribution of the present work is the use of a novel index for central obesity (WHtR) which is equally fair for short and tall persons, comparing to just measuring WC. Several studies have found that this is a more valid measurement than BMI³⁴ because it is a better discriminator for cardiovascular risk factors. The recently reported study by Cui *et al.*⁷ showed a significant inverse association between relative TL and WHtR (*P* trend=0.004) in Chinese women. Our trial showed for the first time an association between WHtR and TL including men and women, demonstrating the

higher the increase in TL the greater the decrease in WHtR after the nutritional intervention.

Njajou *et al.*¹⁷ recently reported that shorter telomeres may be a risk factor for elevated levels of adiposity in elderly subjects (mean age 73 years). Specifically, this study showed TL to be associated with positive change in BMI and % body fat after 7 years of follow-up. These results are not in line with ours. Nevertheless, due to these discrepancies, it is unclear whether telomere gain is a cause or a consequence of changes in adiposity. Therefore, more studies need to be conducted.

There are several strengths in our research. The prospective nature of our study with a long follow-up period enabled us to measure initial TL and TL after 5 years of the nutritional intervention. Our study is novel in the sense that it is the first time that TL was measured in a large number of subjects, in white blood cells and after a long period of time in the context of a Mediterranean diet intervention. There is just one study in the literature showing that a 4-week intervention with a Mediterranean diet prevents telomere shortening of endothelial cells in 20 elderly subjects. Moreover, we fitted multiple-adjusted models and multiple testing correction to minimize small differences among individuals and potential confounders. In the regression analysis, we controlled a substantial number of potential confounders, which is much more informative to suggest causal associations than simply obtaining correlation coefficients as most previous studies have reported. On the other hand, one limitation of the present study could be that measurements in elderly subjects at high cardiovascular risk may possibly be affected by existing chronic diseases. In fact, several studies did find that shorter telomeres were significantly correlated with smoking 10,13 or other chronic diseases such

as dyslipidaemia, hypertension or diabetes.^{4,36} But this limitation was partly solved by doing multiple adjustments.

In conclusion, our study shows an association between TL and obesity related parameters. We suggest that initial TL could predict changes in obesity anthropometric variables, proposing the assessment of TL as a biomarker of adiposity. In addition, we show for the first time that a decrease in obesity risk is linked to higher TL after a 5-year Mediterranean diet intervention. Nevertheless, further research is warranted to confirm these findings and to better understand the possible biological mechanisms explaining these associations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research relating to this work was funded by grants from Línea Especial, Nutrición,
Obesidad y Salud of the University of Navarra (LE/97), the Spanish Government (FIS-ISCIII: PI050976, PI070240, PI081943, PI1002293, RTIC 06/0045, CIBERobn,
CNIC/06, SAF-2010-20367) and the Government of Navarra (PI41/2005, PI79/2006,
PI36/2008, PI54/2009). The FPU fellowships to Sonia García-Calzón and to Alfredo
Gea from the Spanish Ministry of Education, Culture and Sport are fully acknowledged.

We thank all the participants in the trial for their enthusiastic and maintained collaboration, the personnel of the primary care centres from Navarra, and other investigators of the PREDIMED group: Salas-Salvadó J, Estruch R, Covas MI, Fiol M, Ros E, Aros F, Gomez-Gracia E, Ruiz-Gutierrez V, Warnberg J, Saez G, Lapetra J, Serra-Majem L, Pinto L, Tur JA, Mitjavila MT, Portillo MP, Basora J, Fernandez-Crehuet J, Muñoz MA, Tello S. <u>University of Navarra, Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Pamplona, Spain</u>: Toledo E, Sánchez-Tainta A, Sanjulián, Goñi E, Marques M, García-Arellano A, Zazpe I, Basterra-Gortari J, Martínez-Lapiscina EH, Buil-Cosiales P. <u>University of Navarra, Primary Care Centres, Pamplona, Spain</u>: Serrano-Martínez M, Díez-Espino J, Ortuño N, Berrade N, Extremera-Urabayen V, Arroyo-Azpa C, García-Pérez L, Villanueva Tellería J, Cortés Ugalde F, Sagredo Arce T, García de la Noceda Montoy Mª D, Vigata López Mª D, Arceiz Campo Mª T, Urtasun Samper A, Gueto Rubio Mª V, and Churio Beraza B. We gratefully acknowledge technical assistance by Idoia Rodríguez and Mikel Alguacil.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Blackburn EH. Structure and function of telomeres. *Nature* 1991; **350**: 569-573.
- 2. Njajou OT, Cawthon RM, Damcott CM, Wu SH, Ott S, Garant MJ *et al.*Telomere length is paternally inherited and is associated with parental lifespan. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007; **104**: 12135-12139.
- 3. Aviv A, Chen W, Gardner JP, Kimura M, Brimacombe M, Cao X *et al*.

 Leukocyte telomere dynamics: longitudinal findings among young adults in the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009; **169**: 323-329.
- 4. Shen Q, Zhao X, Yu L, Zhang Z, Zhou D, Kan M *et al.* Association of leukocyte telomere length with type 2 diabetes in mainland Chinese populations. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012; **97**: 1371-1374.
- 5. Risques RA, Vaughan TL, Li X, Odze RD, Blount PL, Ayub K *et al.* Leukocyte telomere length predicts cancer risk in Barrett's esophagus. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007; **16**: 2649-2655.
- 6. Tzanetakou IP, Katsilambros NL, Benetos A, Mikhailidis DP, Perrea DN. "Is obesity linked to aging?": adipose tissue and the role of telomeres. *Ageing Res Rev* 2012; **11**: 220-229.
- 7. Cui Y, Gao YT, Cai Q, Qu S, Cai H, Li HL *et al.* Associations of leukocyte telomere length with body anthropometric indices and weight change in Chinese women. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2013.

- 8. Rankin JW, Andreae MC, Oliver Chen CY, O'Keefe SF. Effect of raisin consumption on oxidative stress and inflammation in obesity. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2008; **10**: 1086-1096.
- 9. Suzuki K, Ito Y, Ochiai J, Kusuhara Y, Hashimoto S, Tokudome S *et al.*Relationship between obesity and serum markers of oxidative stress and inflammation in Japanese. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2003; **4**: 259-266.
- Valdes AM, Andrew T, Gardner JP, Kimura M, Oelsner E, Cherkas LF *et al.* Obesity, cigarette smoking, and telomere length in women. *Lancet* 2005; 366: 662-664.
- 11. Buxton JL, Walters RG, Visvikis-Siest S, Meyre D, Froguel P, Blakemore AI. Childhood obesity is associated with shorter leukocyte telomere length. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011; **96**: 1500-1505.
- 12. Lee M, Martin H, Firpo MA, Demerath EW. Inverse association between adiposity and telomere length: The Fels Longitudinal Study. *Am J Hum Biol* 2011; **23**: 100-106.
- 13. Cherkas LF, Aviv A, Valdes AM, Hunkin JL, Gardner JP, Surdulescu GL *et al*. The effects of social status on biological aging as measured by white-blood-cell telomere length. *Aging Cell* 2006; **5**: 361-365.
- 14. Nordfjall K, Eliasson M, Stegmayr B, Melander O, Nilsson P, Roos G.

 Telomere length is associated with obesity parameters but with a gender difference. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2008; **16**: 2682-2689.

- 15. O'Callaghan NJ, Clifton PM, Noakes M, Fenech M. Weight loss in obese men is associated with increased telomere length and decreased abasic sites in rectal mucosa. *Rejuvenation Res* 2009; **12**: 169-176.
- 16. Gardner JP, Li S, Srinivasan SR, Chen W, Kimura M, Lu X *et al.* Rise in insulin resistance is associated with escalated telomere attrition. *Circulation* 2005; **111**: 2171-2177.
- 17. Njajou OT, Cawthon RM, Blackburn EH, Harris TB, Li R, Sanders JL *et al.*Shorter telomeres are associated with obesity and weight gain in the elderly. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2012; **36**: 1176-1179.
- 18. Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Corella D, Salas-Salvado J, Ros E, Covas MI, Fiol M *et al.* Cohort profile: design and methods of the PREDIMED study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2012; **41**: 377-385.
- 19. Estruch R, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Corella D, Salas-Salvado J, Ruiz-Gutierrez V, Covas MI *et al.* Effects of a Mediterranean-style diet on cardiovascular risk factors: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2006; **145**: 1-11.
- 20. Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002; **30**: e47.
- 21. Elosua R, Marrugat J, Molina L, Pons S, Pujol E. Validation of the Minnesota Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire in Spanish men. The MARATHOM Investigators. *Am J Epidemiol* 1994; **139**: 1197-1209.

- 22. Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Lopez-Fontana C, Varo JJ, Sanchez-Villegas A, Martinez JA. Validation of the Spanish version of the physical activity questionnaire used in the Nurses' Health Study and the Health Professionals' Follow-up Study. *Public Health Nutr* 2005; 8: 920-927.
- 23. Martin-Moreno JM, Boyle P, Gorgojo L, Maisonneuve P, Fernandez-Rodriguez JC, Salvini S *et al.* Development and validation of a food frequency questionnaire in Spain. *Int J Epidemiol* 1993; **22**: 512-519.
- 24. Hovatta I, de Mello VD, Kananen L, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, Ilanne-Parikka P *et al.* Leukocyte telomere length in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e34948.
- 25. Svenson U, Nordfjall K, Baird D, Roger L, Osterman P, Hellenius ML *et al.*Blood cell telomere length is a dynamic feature. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e21485.
- Nordfjall K, Svenson U, Norrback KF, Adolfsson R, Lenner P, Roos G. The individual blood cell telomere attrition rate is telomere length dependent. *PLoS Genet* 2009; 5: e1000375.
- 27. Fitzpatrick AL, Kronmal RA, Gardner JP, Psaty BM, Jenny NS, Tracy RP *et al.*Leukocyte telomere length and cardiovascular disease in the cardiovascular health study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007; **165**: 14-21.
- 28. Bischoff C, Petersen HC, Graakjaer J, Andersen-Ranberg K, Vaupel JW, Bohr VA *et al.* No association between telomere length and survival among the elderly and oldest old. *Epidemiology* 2006; **17**: 190-194.

- 29. Bekaert S, De Meyer T, Rietzschel ER, De Buyzere ML, De Bacquer D, Langlois M et al. Telomere length and cardiovascular risk factors in a middleaged population free of overt cardiovascular disease. Aging Cell 2007; 6: 639-647.
- 30. Diaz VA, Mainous AG, Player MS, Everett CJ. Telomere length and adiposity in a racially diverse sample. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2010; **34**: 261-265.
- 31. Kim S, Parks CG, DeRoo LA, Chen H, Taylor JA, Cawthon RM *et al.* Obesity and weight gain in adulthood and telomere length. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009; **18**: 816-820.
- 32. Farzaneh-Far R, Lin J, Epel E, Lapham K, Blackburn E, Whooley MA.

 Telomere length trajectory and its determinants in persons with coronary artery disease: longitudinal findings from the heart and soul study. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**: e8612.
- 33. Al-Attas OS, Al-Daghri N, Bamakhramah A, Shaun Sabico S, McTernan P, Huang TT. Telomere length in relation to insulin resistance, inflammation and obesity among Arab youth. *Acta Paediatr* 2010; **99**: 896-899.
- 34. Lee CM, Huxley RR, Wildman RP, Woodward M. Indices of abdominal obesity are better discriminators of cardiovascular risk factors than BMI: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2008; **61**: 646-653.
- 35. Marin C, Delgado-Lista J, Ramirez R, Carracedo J, Caballero J, Perez-Martinez P *et al.* Mediterranean diet reduces senescence-associated stress in endothelial cells. *Age (Dordr)* 2012; **34**: 1309-1316.

Balasubramanyam M, Adaikalakoteswari A, Monickaraj SF, Mohan V.
 Telomere shortening & metabolic/vascular diseases. *Indian J Med Res* 2007;
 125: 441-450.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics according to tertiles of telomere length. The PREDIMED-NAVARRA study.

n 175 174 172 Age 67.8 (5.6) 67.0 (6.1) 66.2 (6.1) 0.047 Sex (% males) 39 49 48 0.157 Intervention Groups 0.098 MeDiet + Olive oil (%) 32 28 61 MeDiet + Nuts (%) 38 34 26 26 Control (%) 30 38 13 Weight (kg) 73.0 (11.5) 76.0 (10.8) 75.4 (11.0) 0.029 BMI¹ (kg/cm²) 29.0 (3.4) 29.4 (2.9) 29.1 (3.4) 0.488 WC² (cm) 94.1 (10.1) 95.7 (9.8) 95.6 (9.4) 0.243 WHtR³ 0.59 (0.06) 0.59 (0.05) 0.59 (0.05) 0.945 Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823	Telomere length	T1	T2	Т3	P
Sex (% males) 39 49 48 0.157 Intervention Groups 0.098 McDiet + Olive oil (%) 32 28 61 McDiet + Nuts (%) 38 34 26 Control (%) 30 38 13 Weight (kg) 73.0 (11.5) 76.0 (10.8) 75.4 (11.0) 0.029 BMI¹ (kg/cm²) 29.0 (3.4) 29.4 (2.9) 29.1 (3.4) 0.488 WC² (cm) 94.1 (10.1) 95.7 (9.8) 95.6 (9.4) 0.243 WHtR³ 0.59 (0.06) 0.59 (0.05) 0.59 (0.05) 0.945 Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.823 Proteins (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090	n	175	174	172	
Intervention Groups 0.098 McDiet + Olive oil (%) 32 28 61 McDiet + Nuts (%) 38 34 26 Control (%) 30 38 13 Weight (kg) 73.0 (11.5) 76.0 (10.8) 75.4 (11.0) 0.029 BMI¹ (kg/cm²) 29.0 (3.4) 29.4 (2.9) 29.1 (3.4) 0.488 WC² (cm) 94.1 (10.1) 95.7 (9.8) 95.6 (9.4) 0.243 WHtR³ 0.59 (0.06) 0.59 (0.05) 0.59 (0.05) 0.99 (0.05) 0.945 Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 10 19 16 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 <t< td=""><td>Age</td><td>67.8 (5.6)</td><td>67.0 (6.1)</td><td>66.2 (6.1)</td><td>0.047</td></t<>	Age	67.8 (5.6)	67.0 (6.1)	66.2 (6.1)	0.047
MeDiet + Olive oil (%) 32 28 61 MeDiet + Nuts (%) 38 34 26 Control (%) 30 38 13 Weight (kg) 73.0 (11.5) 76.0 (10.8) 75.4 (11.0) 0.029 BMI¹ (kg/cm²) 29.0 (3.4) 29.4 (2.9) 29.1 (3.4) 0.488 WC² (cm) 94.1 (10.1) 95.7 (9.8) 95.6 (9.4) 0.243 WHtR³ 0.59 (0.06) 0.59 (0.05) 0.59 (0.05) 0.945 Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 22222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 16 16 16 0.142 Lipids (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 <td>Sex (% males)</td> <td>39</td> <td>49</td> <td>48</td> <td>0.157</td>	Sex (% males)	39	49	48	0.157
MeDiet + Nuts (%) 38 34 26 Control (%) 30 38 13 Weight (kg) 73.0 (11.5) 76.0 (10.8) 75.4 (11.0) 0.029 BMI¹ (kg/cm²) 29.0 (3.4) 29.4 (2.9) 29.1 (3.4) 0.488 WC² (cm) 94.1 (10.1) 95.7 (9.8) 95.6 (9.4) 0.243 WHtR³ 0.59 (0.06) 0.59 (0.05) 0.59 (0.05) 0.945 Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 16 16 16 16 0.142 Lipids (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 <t< td=""><td>Intervention Groups</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.098</td></t<>	Intervention Groups				0.098
Control (%) 30 38 13 Weight (kg) 73.0 (11.5) 76.0 (10.8) 75.4 (11.0) 0.029 BMI¹ (kg/cm²) 29.0 (3.4) 29.4 (2.9) 29.1 (3.4) 0.488 WC² (cm) 94.1 (10.1) 95.7 (9.8) 95.6 (9.4) 0.243 WHtR³ 0.59 (0.06) 0.59 (0.05) 0.59 (0.05) 0.945 Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	MeDiet + Olive oil (%)	32	28	61	
Weight (kg) 73.0 (11.5) 76.0 (10.8) 75.4 (11.0) 0.029 BMI¹ (kg/cm²) 29.0 (3.4) 29.4 (2.9) 29.1 (3.4) 0.488 WC² (cm) 94.1 (10.1) 95.7 (9.8) 95.6 (9.4) 0.243 WHtR³ 0.59 (0.06) 0.59 (0.05) 0.59 (0.05) 0.945 Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	MeDiet + Nuts (%)	38	34	26	
BMI¹ (kg/cm²) 29.0 (3.4) 29.4 (2.9) 29.1 (3.4) 0.488 WC² (cm) 94.1 (10.1) 95.7 (9.8) 95.6 (9.4) 0.243 WHtR³ 0.59 (0.06) 0.59 (0.05) 0.59 (0.05) 0.945 Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 16 16 16 0.142 Lipids (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	Control (%)	30	38	13	
WC² (cm) 94.1 (10.1) 95.7 (9.8) 95.6 (9.4) 0.243 WHtR³ 0.59 (0.06) 0.59 (0.05) 0.59 (0.05) 0.945 Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 16 16 16 0.142 Lipids (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	Weight (kg)	73.0 (11.5)	76.0 (10.8)	75.4 (11.0)	0.029
WHtR³ 0.59 (0.06) 0.59 (0.05) 0.59 (0.05) 0.945 Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 16 16 16 0.142 Lipids (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	BMI ¹ (kg/cm ²)	29.0 (3.4)	29.4 (2.9)	29.1 (3.4)	0.488
Physical activity (METS-min/d) 260 (188) 273 (201) 298 (198) 0.186 Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 16 16 16 0.142 Lipids (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	WC^{2} (cm)	94.1 (10.1)	95.7 (9.8)	95.6 (9.4)	0.243
Total energy intake (Kcal/d) 2184 (529) 2222 (515) 2355 (526) 0.006 Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 16 16 16 0.142 Lipids (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	WHtR ³	0.59 (0.06)	0.59 (0.05)	0.59 (0.05)	0.945
Carbohydrates (% Kcal) 40 41 40 0.358 Proteins (% Kcal) 16 16 16 0.142 Lipids (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	Physical activity (METS-min/d)	260 (188)	273 (201)	298 (198)	0.186
Proteins (% Kcal) 16 16 16 0.142 Lipids (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	Total energy intake (Kcal/d)	2184 (529)	2222 (515)	2355 (526)	0.006
Lipids (% Kcal) 41 40 40 0.823 Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	Carbohydrates (% Kcal)	40	41	40	0.358
Smoking 0.090 Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	Proteins (% Kcal)	16	16	16	0.142
Current smokers (%) 10 19 16 Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	Lipids (% Kcal)	41	40	40	0.823
Former smokers (%) 21 26 20 Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	Smoking				0.090
Dyslipidaemia (%) 64 67 67 0.749 Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	Current smokers (%)	10	19	16	
Hypertension (%) 87 80 83 0.264	Former smokers (%)	21	26	20	
	Dyslipidaemia (%)	64	67	67	0.749
Diabetes (%) 35 34 40 0.510	Hypertension (%)	87	80	83	0.264
	Diabetes (%)	35	34	40	0.510

The table shows mean (standard deviation), or %. 1 BMI: body mass index, 2 WC: waist circumference, 3 WHtR: waist to height ratio. T1 = < 78.25; T2 = 78.25 to 198.08; T3 = > 198.08.

Table 2. Pearson correlations coefficients of baseline and follow-up TL with changes in adiposity parameters after 5 years of the nutritional intervention. The PREDIMED-NAVARRA study.

	Baseline TL ¹	ΔTL^2
ΔBody Weight (kg)	r= -0.110 (-0.194 to -0.024)	r= -0.088 (-0.172 to -0.002)
$\Delta BMI (kg/m^2)$	r= -0.119 (-0.205 to -0.034)	r= -0.089 (-0.174 to -0.003)
ΔWC (cm)	r= -0.141 (-0.224 to -0.055)	r= -0.052 (-0.138 to 0.035)
ΔWHtR	r= -0.144 (-0.227 to -0.058)	r= -0.053 (-0.138 to 0.034)

TL: telomere length, WC: waist circumference, WHtR: waist to height ratio.

¹ Adjusted for age.

² Adjusted for age and baseline TL.

Table 3. Association between baseline telomere length and changes in anthropometric variables after 5 years of a nutritional intervention. The PREDIMED-NAVARRA study.

Tertiles of baseline TL				
	T1	T2	Т3	P for trend
Weight change (kg)				
Absolute change	0.26	-0.14	-0.82	
Relative Change				
Age, sex and basal weight	0 (Ref.)	-0.31 (-1.23 to 0.60)	-1.11 (-2.03 to -0.19)	0.015*
adjusted				
Multiple-adjusted model ¹	0 (Ref.)	-0.22 (-1.14 to 0.69)	-1.09 (-2.01 to -0.16)	0.016*
BMI change (kg/m ²)				
Absolute change	0.12	-0.05	-0.33	
Relative Change				
Age, sex and basal BMI adjusted	0 (Ref.)	-0.16 (-0.51 to 0.20)	-0.49 (-0.85 to -0.13)	0.007
Multiple-adjusted model ¹	0 (Ref.)	-0.13 (-0.49 to 0.23)	-0.47 (-0.83 to -0.11)	0.009*
Waist circumference change (cm)				
Absolute change	1.53	1.71	0.22	
Relative Change				
Age, sex and basal WC	0 (Ref.)	0.34 (-0.80 to 1.47)	-1.24 (-2.39 to -0.09)	0.008*
adjusted				
Multiple-adjusted model ¹	0 (Ref.)	0.21 (-0.91 to 1.32)	-1.15 (-2.28 to -0.01)	0.017*
Waist to height ratio change				
Absolute change	0.009	0.011	0.001	
Relative Change				
Age, sex and basal WHtR ratio adjusted	0 (Ref.)	0.001 (-0.006 to 0.008)	-0.009 (-0.020 to -0.001)	0.005
Multiple-adjusted model ¹	0 (Ref.)	0.001 (-0.006 to 0.008)	-0.008 (-0.010 to -0.001)	0.014*

The table shows B coefficients (95%CI). BMI: body mass index, WC: waist circumference, WHtR: waist to height ratio. ¹Adjusted for age, sex, basal BMI, basal WC, basal weight or WHtR, smoking (3 categories), diabetes status (dichotomous), hypertensive status (dichotomous), dyslipidaemia status (dichotomous), physical activity (METS-m/d), total energy intake (Kcal/d) and group of intervention.

^{*} p value < 0.05 after correcting for Benjamini-Hochberg multiple comparisons.

Table 4. Association between telomere length changes during follow-up and changes in anthropometric variables after 5 years of a nutritional intervention, according to tertiles of baseline TL. The PREDIMED-NAVARRA study.

	Tertiles of baseline TL				
	T1	T2	Т3	P for trend	
Weight change (kg)					
Change in TL during follow-	up				
TL ¹ decreased (n=314)	0 (Ref.)	0.10 (-1.19 to 1.39)	-0.71 (-1.96 to 0.53)	0.197	
TL increased (n=207)	0.29 (-1.03 to 1.61)	-0.34 (-1.80 to 1.13)	-1.58 (-3.21 to 0.06)	0.008	
BMI change (kg/m²)					
Change in TL during follow-	ир				
TL decreased (n=314)	0 (Ref.)	-0.06 (-0.57 to 0.44)	-0.39 (-0.87 to 0.10)	0.111	
TL increased (n=207)	0.01 (-0.51 to 0.52)	-0.24 (-0.81 to 0.33)	-0.71 (-1.35 to -0.08)	0.009	
Waist circumference change	Waist circumference change (cm)				
Change in TL during follow-	Change in TL during follow-up				
TL decreased (n=314)	0 (Ref.)	0.68 (-0.89 to 2.25)	-0.88 (-2.41 to 0.64)	0.083	
TL increased (n=207)	-0.01 (-1.62 to 1.61)	-0.62 (-2.40 to 1.16)	-1.95 (-3.94 to 0.04)	0.044	
Waist to height ratio change					
Change in TL during follow-up					
TL decreased (n=314)	0 (Ref.)	0.003 (-0.007 to 0.013)	-0.007 (-0.016 to 0.003)	0.053	
TL increased (n=207)	-0.001 (-0.011 to 0.009)	-0.005 (-0.016 to 0.006)	-0.013 (-0.025 to 0.001)	0.051	

The table shows B coefficients (95%CI).

¹TL: telomere length.

Adjusted for age, sex, basal BMI, basal WC, basal weight or WH ratio, smoking (3 categories), diabetes status (dichotomous), hypertensive status (dichotomous), dyslipidaemia status (dichotomous), physical activity (METS-m/d), total energy intake (Kcal/d) and group of intervention.

T1 = < 78.25; T2 = 78.25 to 198.08; T3 = > 198.08.

Table 5. Odds Ratio and 95% CI of remaining obese (BMI≥30 kg/m²) after 5 years of a nutritional intervention, according to tertiles of baseline TL and change in TL during follow-up. The PREDIMED-NAVARRA study.

	Tertiles of baseline TL		
	T1	T2	Т3
Change in TL during follow-	ир		
TL ¹ decreased (n=119)	1 (Ref.)	0.50 (0.12 to 2.15)	0.43 (0.10 to 1.89)
TL increased (n=77)	0.91 (0.19 to 4.42)	0.48 (0.10 to 2.31)	0.27 (0.03 to 2.03)

¹TL: telomere length.

Adjusted for age, sex, basal BMI, basal WC, smoking (3 categories), diabetes status (dichotomous), hypertensive status (dichotomous), dyslipidaemia status (dichotomous), physical activity (METS-m/d), total energy intake (Kcal/d) and group of intervention.

T1 = < 78.25; T2 = 78.25 to 198.08; T3 = > 198.08.