Precise determination of the $I = 2 \pi\pi$ scattering length from mixed-action lattice QCD
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The $I = 2 \pi\pi$ scattering length is calculated in fully dynamical lattice QCD with domain-wall valence quarks on the asqtad-improved coarse MILC configurations (with fourth-rooted staggered sea quarks) at four light-quark masses. Two- and three-flavor mixed-action chiral perturbation theory at next-to-leading order is used to perform the chiral and continuum extrapolations. At the physical charged pion mass, we find $m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -0.0430 \pm 0.00042$, where the error bar combines the statistical and systematic uncertainties in quadrature.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Pion-pion ($\pi\pi$) scattering at low energies is the simplest and best-understood hadron-hadron scattering process. Its simplicity and tractability follow from the fact that the pions are identified as the pseudo-Goldstone bosons associated with the spontaneous breaking of the approximate chiral symmetry of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). For this reason, the low-momentum interactions of pions are strongly constrained by the approximate chiral symmetries, more so than other hadrons. The scattering lengths for $\pi\pi$ scattering in the $s$-wave are uniquely predicted at leading order (LO) in chiral perturbation theory ($\chi$-PT) [1]:

$$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=0} = 0.1588; \quad m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -0.04537,$$

at the charged pion mass. Subleading orders in the chiral expansion of the $\pi\pi$ amplitude give rise to perturbatively small deviations from the tree level, and contain both calculable nonanalytic contributions and analytic terms with new coefficients that are not determined by chiral symmetry alone [2–4]. In order to have predictive power at subleading orders, these coefficients must be obtained from experiment or computed with lattice QCD.

Recent experimental efforts have been made to compute the $s$-wave $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths, $a_{\pi\pi}^{I=0}$ ($I = 0$) and $a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}$ ($I = 2$): E865 [5,6] ($K_{e4}$ decays), CERN DIRAC [7] (pionium lifetime) and CERN NA48/2 [8] ($K^\pm \to \pi^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0$). Unfortunately, these experiments do not provide stringent constraints on $a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}$. However, a theoretical determination of $s$-wave $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths which makes use of experimental data has reached a remarkable level of precision [9,10]:

$$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=0} = 0.220 \pm 0.005;$$

$$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -0.0444 \pm 0.0010.$$

These values result from the Roy equations [11–13], which use dispersion theory to relate scattering data at high energies to the scattering amplitude near threshold. In a striking recent result, this technology has allowed a model-independent determination of the mass and width of the resonance with vacuum quantum numbers (the $\sigma$ meson) that appears in the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude [14]. Several low-energy-constants of one-loop $\chi$-PT are critical inputs to the Roy equation analysis. One can take the values of these low-energy constants computed with lattice QCD by the MILC collaboration [15,16] as inputs to the Roy equations, and obtain results for the scattering lengths consistent with the analysis of Ref. [9].

A direct lattice QCD determination of threshold $\pi\pi$ scattering is problematic in two respects. First, the occurrence of disconnected diagrams in the $I = 0$ $s$-wave channel renders a determination of that amplitude very costly in terms of computer time, given the current state of lattice algorithms, and is thus beyond our current capabilities. As a result, lattice QCD efforts have focused on the $I = 2$ channel. The second difficulty is due to the fact that lattice QCD calculations are performed on a Euclidean lattice. The Maiani-Testa theorem demonstrates that $S$-matrix elements cannot be determined from lattice calculations of $n$-point Green’s functions at infinite volume, except at kinematic thresholds [17]. This difficulty was overcome
by Lüscher, who showed that by computing the energy levels of two-particle states in the finite-volume lattice, the $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering amplitude can be recovered [18–22]. The energy levels of the two interacting particles are found to deviate from those of two noninteracting particles by an amount that depends on the scattering amplitude and varies inversely with the lattice spatial volume.

The first lattice calculations of $\pi\pi$ scattering were performed in quenched QCD [23–42], and the first full-QCD calculation of $\pi\pi$ scattering (the scattering length and phase shift) was carried through by the CP-PACS collaboration, who exploited the finite-volume strategy to study $I = 2$, $s$-wave scattering with two flavors ($n_f = 2$) of improved Wilson fermions [43], with pion masses in the range $m_\pi \approx$ 0.5–1.1 GeV. The first fully dynamical calculation of the $I = 2 \pi\pi$ scattering length with three flavors ($n_f = 2 + 1$) of light quarks was performed by some of the present authors using domain-wall valence quarks on asqtad-improved staggered sea quarks at four pion masses in the range $m_\pi \approx$ 0.3–0.5 GeV at a single lattice spacing, $b \sim 0.125$ fm [44]. That work quoted a value of the scattering length extrapolated to the physical point of

$$ m_\pi a_{\pi\pi}^{l-2} = -0.0426 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.0003 \pm 0.0018, $$

where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second is a systematic due to fitting, and the third uncertainty is due to truncation of the chiral expansion.

In this paper we update our fully dynamical mixed-action calculation of the $I = 2 \pi\pi$ scattering length. Two recent developments motivate an update: (i) we have vastly increased statistics at the three light-quark masses studied in the original publication; (ii) $\pi\pi$ scattering has been computed with mixed-action $\chi$-PT (MA$\chi$-PT) at next-to-leading order (NLO) [45,46] both for two and three flavors of light quarks. Our updated result is

$$ m_\pi a_{\pi\pi}^{l-2} = -0.04330 \pm 0.00042, $$

where the statistical and systematic uncertainties have been combined in quadrature. This result is consistent with all previous determinations within uncertainties.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II details of our mixed-action lattice QCD calculation are presented. We refer the reader interested in a more comprehensive treatment and discussion to our earlier papers. Discussion of the relevant correlation functions and an outline of the methodology and fitting procedures can also be found in this section. The results of the lattice calculation and the analysis with two- and three-flavor MA$\chi$-PT are presented in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, the various sources of systematic uncertainty are identified and quantified. In Sec. V we conclude.

II. METHODOLOGY AND DETAILS OF THE LATTICE CALCULATION

The computation in this paper uses the mixed-action lattice QCD scheme developed by LHPC [47,48]. Domain-wall fermion propagators were generated from a smeared source on $n_f = 2 + 1$ asqtad-improved [49,50] coarse configurations generated with rooted staggered sea quarks [51]. Hypercubic-smeared (HYP-smeared) [52–55] gauge links were used in the domain-wall fermion action to improve chiral symmetry (further details about the mixed-action scheme can be found in Refs. [56,57]). The mixed-action calculations we have performed involved computing the valence-quark propagators using the domain-wall formulation of lattice fermions, on each gauge-field configuration of an ensemble of the coarse MILC lattices that are generated using the staggered formulation of lattice fermions [58–62] and taking the fourth root of the fermion determinant, i.e. domain-wall valence quarks on a rooted staggered sea. In the continuum limit the $n_f = 2$ staggered action has an $SU(8)_L \otimes SU(8)_R \otimes U(1)_Y$ chiral symmetry due to the four-fold taste degeneracy of each flavor, and each pion has 15 degenerate additional partners. At finite lattice spacing this symmetry is broken and the taste multiplets are no longer degenerate, but have splittings that are $O(a^2 b^3)$. While there is no proof, there are arguments to suggest that taking the fourth root of the fermion determinant recovers the contribution from a single Dirac fermion.1 The results of this paper assume that the fourth-root trick recovers the correct continuum limit of QCD.

When determining the mass of the valence quarks there is an ambiguity due to the nondegeneracy of the 16 staggered bosons associated with each pion. One could choose to match to the taste-singlet meson or to any of the mesons that become degenerate in the continuum limit. Given that the effective field theory exists to describe such calculations at finite lattice spacing, the effects of matching can be described, and removed, by effective field theory calculations appropriate to the choice of matching.

A summary of the lattice parameters and resources used in this work is given in Table I. In order to generate large statistics on the existing MILC configurations, multiple propagators from sources displaced both temporally and spatially on the lattice were computed. The correlators were blocked so that one average correlator per configuration was used in the subsequent Jackknife statistical analysis (that will be described later).

The $\pi$ correlation function, $C_\pi(t)$, and the $\pi\pi$ correlation function $C_{\pi\pi}(p, t)$ were computed, where the number of time slices between the hadronic sink and the hadronic source is denoted by $t$, and $p$ denotes the magnitude of the

---

1For a nice introduction to staggered fermions and the fourth-root trick, see Ref. [63]. For the most recent discussions regarding the continuum limit of staggered fermions with the fourth-root trick, see Refs. [64–71].
and the arrow denotes the large-time behavior of \( j \). In the absence of interactions between the particles, the configuration. In

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ensemble</th>
<th>( b m_1 )</th>
<th>( b m_s )</th>
<th>( b m_{d w f}^{\text{sw}} )</th>
<th>( b m_{s d w f}^{\text{sw}} )</th>
<th>( 10^3 \times b m_{\text{res}} )</th>
<th># of propagators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>206421b676m007m050</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>1.604 ± 0.038</td>
<td>468 × 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206421b676m010m050</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>1.552 ± 0.027</td>
<td>658 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206421b679m020m050</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.0313</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>1.239 ± 0.028</td>
<td>486 × 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206421b681m030m050</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.0478</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.982 ± 0.030</td>
<td>564 × 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Computed by the LHP Collaboration.

The energy eigenvalue \( \Delta E_n \) and its deviation from the sum of the rest masses of the particle, \( \Delta E_n \), are related to the center-of-mass momentum \( p_n \) by

\[
\Delta E_n = E_n - 2m_\pi = 2\sqrt{p_n^2 + m_\pi^2} - 2m_\pi. \tag{8}
\]

In the absence of interactions between the particles, \( |p \cot \delta| = \infty \), and the energy levels occur at momenta \( p = 2\pi j/L \), corresponding to single-particle modes in a cubic volume. In the interacting theory, once the energy shift has been computed, the real part of the inverse scattering amplitude is determined via the Lüscher formula [18–21]. To obtain \( p \cot \delta(p) \), where \( \delta(p) \) is the phase shift, the magnitude of the center-of-mass momentum, \( p \), is extracted from the energy shift, given in Eq. (8), and inserted into [18–22]:

\[
p \cot \delta(p) = \frac{1}{\pi L} S(p L), \tag{9}
\]

which is valid below the inelastic threshold. The regulated three-dimensional sum is [22]

\[
S(\eta) = \sum_{|j| \leq \Lambda} \frac{1}{|j|^2 - \eta^2 - 4\pi \Lambda}, \tag{10}
\]

where the summation is over all triplets of integers \( j \) such that \( |j| \leq \Lambda \) and the limit \( \Lambda \to \infty \) is implicit. The approximate formula [18–21] that can be used for \( L \gg |a| \) is

\[
\Delta E_0 = -\frac{4\pi a}{m_\pi L^3} \left[ 1 + c_1 \frac{a}{L} + c_2 \left( \frac{a}{L} \right)^2 \right] + O\left( \frac{1}{L^4} \right), \tag{11}
\]

which relates the ground-state energy shift to the phase shift, with

\[
c_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{|j| \leq \Lambda} \frac{1}{|j|^2 - 4\Lambda} = -2.837 \, 297, \tag{12}
\]

\[
c_2 = c_1^2 - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{|j| \leq \Lambda} \frac{1}{|j|^4} = 6.375 \, 183, \tag{13}
\]

and \( a \) is the scattering length, defined by

\[
a = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\tan \delta(p)}{\rho}. \tag{13}
\]

For the \( I = 2 \) \( \pi \pi \) scattering length that we compute here, the difference between the exact solution and the approximate solution in Eq. (11) is \( \approx 1\% \). For the volumes we consider (with \( L \approx 2.5 \text{ fm} \)), the center-of-mass momentum is obviously nonzero and therefore one should keep in mind the effective-range expansion:

\[
p \cot \delta(p) = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2} rp^2 + O(p^4), \tag{14}
\]
where $r$ is the effective range, which appears at $O(1/L^2)$ in Eq. (11), and include the truncation of Eq. (14) as a source of systematic uncertainty.

III. DATA ANALYSIS AND CHIRAL AND CONTINUUM EXTRAPOLATION

A. Results of the lattice calculation

It is convenient to present the results of our lattice calculation in “effective scattering length” plots, simple variants of effective-mass plots. The effective energy splitting is formed from the ratio of correlation functions

$$\Delta E_{\pi^+\pi^-}(t) = \log\left(\frac{G_{\pi^+\pi^+}(0, t)}{G_{\pi^+\pi^+}(0, t + 1)}\right),$$

(15)

which in the limit of an infinite number of gauge configurations would become a constant at large times that is equal to the lowest energy of the interacting $\pi^+$'s in the volume. At each time-slice, $\Delta E_{\pi^+\pi^-}(t)$ is inserted into Eq. (9) [or Eq. (11)], to give a scattering length at each time slice, $a_{\pi^+\pi^-}(t)$. It is customary to consider the dimensionless quantity given by the pion mass times the scattering length, $m_\pi a_{\pi^+\pi^-}$, where $m_\pi(t)$ is the pion effective mass, in order to remove scale-setting uncertainties. For each of the MILC ensembles that we analyze, the effective scattering lengths are shown in Fig. 1. The statistical uncertainty at each time slice has been generated with the jackknife procedure. The values of the pion masses, decay constants and $\pi\pi$ energy-shifts that we have calculated are shown in Table II.

B. Two-flavor mixed-action $\chi$-PT at one loop

The mixed-action corrections for the $I = 2, \pi\pi$ scattering length have been determined in Ref. [45]. It was demonstrated that when the extrapolation formulas for this system are expressed in terms of the lattice-physical parameters as computed on the lattice, $m_\pi$, and $f_\pi$, there are no lattice-spacing-dependent counterterms at $O(b^2)$, $O(b^4)$, or $O(m_\pi^2 b^2) \sim O(b^4)$. This was explained to be a general feature of the two-meson systems at this order, including the nonzero momentum states [46]. There are additional lattice-spacing corrections due to the hairpin interactions present in mixed-action theories, but for our scheme of domain-wall valence propagators calculated in the background of the asqtad-improved MILC gauge configurations, these contributions are completely calculable without additional counterterms at NLO, as they depend only upon valence meson masses and the staggered taste-identity meson mass splitting [45,46] which has been computed [15]. This allows us to precisely determine the predicted mixed-action corrections for the scattering lengths at the various pion masses used in this work. In two-flavor MA$\chi$-PT (i.e. including finite lattice-spacing corrections) the chiral expansion of the scattering length at NLO takes the form [46]

$$m_\pi a_{\pi^+\pi^-}^2(b \neq 0) = -\frac{m_\pi^2}{8\pi f_\pi^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{m_\pi^2}{16\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left\{ 3 \log \left( \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu^2} \right) - 1 - \frac{m_\pi^2}{6m_\pi^4} \right\} \right],$$

(16)

where it is understood that $m_\pi$ and $f_\pi$ are the lattice-physical parameters [46] and

$$\hat{\Delta}^2_{ju} \equiv \hat{m}_{jj}^2 - \hat{m}_{uu}^2 = 2B_0(j_m - m_u) + b^2 \Delta_l + \ldots,$$

(17)

where $u$ denotes a valence quark and $j$ denotes a sea-quark, and we are using isospin-symmetric sea and valence quarks. $\hat{m}_{jj}$ ($m_u$) is the mass of a meson composed of two sea (valence) quarks of mass $m_j$ ($m_u$) and the dots denote higher-order corrections to the meson masses.

\*\*We denote quantities that are computed directly from the correlation functions, such as $m_\pi$, as lattice-physical quantities. These are not extrapolated to the continuum, to infinite volume or to the physical point.
CLEARLY EQUATION (16), which contains all $O(m_b b^2)$ and $O(b^4)$ lattice artifacts, reduces to the continuum expression for the scattering length [2] in the QCD limit where $\Delta_{\mu \nu} \rightarrow 0$.\footnote{The counterterm $l^{\pi\pi/2}_{\pi \pi}(\mu)$ is, of course, the same counterterm that appears in continuum $\chi$-PT.} It is worth noting that Eq. (16), and the subsequent expression for the three-flavor theory, become the partially quenched formulas in the continuum limit. Therefore, they are the correct extrapolation formulas to use in the case of nondegenerate valence- and sea-quark masses, as is implied by Eqs. (16) and (17). This modification of the partially quenched formulas can be understood on more general grounds, as mixed-action theories with chirally symmetric valence fermions exhibit many universal features [72].

With domain-wall fermion masses tuned to match the staggered Goldstone pion [47,48], one finds (in lattice units) $\Delta_{\mu \nu} = b^2 \Delta_{\mu \nu} = 0.0769(2)$ [15] on the coarse MILC lattices with $b \sim 0.125$ fm and $L \sim 2.5$ fm. The various fit parameters relevant to the two-flavor extrapolation are presented in Table II. For each ensemble we determine $m_{\pi}a^{l^{\pi\pi}_{\pi \pi}}$, and then use the chiral extrapolation formula to extract a value of the counterterm $l^{\pi\pi}_{\pi \pi}(\mu = f_\pi)$, with a statistical uncertainty determined with the jackknife procedure. The systematic uncertainties are propagated through in quadrature. The results of the two-flavor extrapolation to the continuum are shown in Table III.

Fitting to lattice data at the lightest accessible values of the quark masses will optimize the convergence of the chiral expansion. While we only have four different quark masses in our data set, with pion masses, $m_{\pi} \sim 290$ MeV, 350 MeV, 490 MeV, and 590 MeV, fitting all four data sets and then “pruning” the heaviest data set and refitting provides a useful measure of the convergence of the chiral expansion. Hence, in “fit A”, we fit the $l^{\pi\pi}_{\pi \pi}(\mu = f_\pi)$’s extracted from all four lattice ensembles (m007, m010, m020, and m030) to a constant, while in “fit B”, we fit the $l^{\pi\pi}_{\pi \pi}(\mu = f_\pi)$’s from the lightest three lattice ensembles (m007 and m010). Results are given in Table IV.

Taking the range of parameters spanned by fits A–C one finds

$$l^{\pi\pi}_{\pi \pi}(\mu = f_\pi) = 5.4 \pm 1.4$$

$$m_{\pi}a^{l^{\pi\pi}_{\pi \pi}} = -0.043 \pm 0.0046.$$
TABLE IV. Results of the fits in two-flavor mixed-action \( \chi \)-PT. The values of \( m_\pi a^{L_\pi^2} \) correspond to the extrapolated values at the physical point. The first uncertainty is statistical and the second is a comprehensive systematic uncertainty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit</th>
<th>( t_\pi^2 (\mu = f_\pi) )</th>
<th>( m_\pi a^{L_\pi^2} ) (extrapolated)</th>
<th>( \chi^2/\text{dof} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6.43 ( \pm ) 0.23 ( \pm ) 0.26</td>
<td>(-0.04368 \pm 0.000076 \pm 0.000085)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.97 ( \pm ) 0.29 ( \pm ) 0.42</td>
<td>(-0.043218 \pm 0.00009 \pm 0.000014)</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4.89 ( \pm ) 0.64 ( \pm ) 0.68</td>
<td>(-0.04357 \pm 0.00021 \pm 0.000022)</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Fig. 2 we show the results of our calculation, along with the lowest mass \( n_f = 2 \) point from CP-PACS (not included in our fit). We also show the tree-level prediction and the results of our two-flavor fit described in this section. The experimental point shown in Fig. 2 is not included in the fit and extrapolation. It is interesting that the lattice data indicates little deviation from the tree level \( \chi \)-PT curve. The significant deviation of the extrapolated scattering length from the tree-level result is entirely a consequence of fitting to \( \text{MA} \chi \)-PT at the one-loop level.

C. Three-flavor mixed-action \( \chi \)-PT at one loop

An important check of the systematic uncertainties involved in the chiral extrapolation is to perform the same analysis using three-flavor \( \text{MA} \chi \)-PT [45,46] as both the real world and our lattice calculation have three active light flavors. In addition to the computations presented in Table II, it is necessary to determine masses and decay constants for the kaon and the \( \eta \). We use the Gell-Mann-Okubo mass relation among the mesons to determine the \( \eta \) mass, which we do not compute in this lattice calculation due to the enormous computer resources (beyond what is available to us) required to compute the disconnected contributions. This procedure is consistent to the order in the chiral expansion to which we are working.

The chiral expansion of the \( \pi^+ \pi^- \) scattering length in three-flavor mixed-action \( \chi \)-PT takes the form [46]:

\[
m_\pi a^{L_\pi^2}(b \neq 0) = -\frac{m_\pi^2}{8\pi f_\pi^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{m_\pi^2}{16\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left[ 3\log\left(\frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu^2}\right) - 32(4\pi)^2 L_\pi^2(\mu) \right] + \frac{8}{9} - \frac{\bar{\Delta}_{I \mu}}{6m_\pi^4} + \sum_{n=1}^{4} \frac{\bar{\Delta}_{I \mu}^2}{m_\pi^2} \mathcal{F}_n \left( \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_X^2} \right) \right],
\]

(19)

where \( \bar{m}_X = m_\pi^2 + b^2 \Delta_I \), and

\[
\mathcal{F}_1(y) = -\frac{2y}{9(1-y)^2}[5(1-y) + (3 + 2y)\ln(y)],
\]

\[
\mathcal{F}_2(y) = \frac{2y}{3(1-y)^2}[(1-y)(1+3y) + y(3 + 2y)\ln(y)],
\]

\[
\mathcal{F}_3(y) = \frac{y}{9(1-y)^2}[(1-y)(1 - 7y - 12y^2)
- 2y^2(7 + 2y)\ln(y)],
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{F}_4(y) = -\frac{4y^2}{54(1-y)^5}[(1-y)(1 - 8y - 17y^2)
- 6y^2(3 + 2y)\ln(y)].
\]

In addition, it is useful to define the quantities:

\[
\Gamma = -\frac{2\pi m_\pi^4}{(4\pi f_\pi^2)^4} \left[ \frac{-\bar{\Delta}_{I \mu}^4}{6m_\pi^4} + \sum_{n=1}^{4} \frac{\bar{\Delta}_{I \mu}^2}{m_\pi^2} \mathcal{F}_n \left( \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_X^2} \right) \right]
\]

(21)

and

\[
\Sigma = -\frac{m_\pi^2}{8\pi f_\pi^2} \frac{m_X^2}{16\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \frac{1}{9} \log \left( \frac{\bar{m}_X}{f_\pi} \right),
\]

(22)

whose numerical values for the various ensembles are given in Table V.

In addition, to the experimental data in the two-flavor analysis, giving the results shown in Table VI. Taking the range of
parameters spanned by fits D–F one finds
\[ m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2} = -0.0433 \pm 0.00042. \]  

**IV. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES**

This section describes the sources of systematic uncertainty that need to be quantified.

A. Higher-order effects in mixed-action \( \chi \)-PT

We rely on the power counting associated with the chiral expansion of the mixed-action \( \chi \)PT to estimate the size of the lattice-spacing artifacts arising at \( \mathcal{O}(m_\pi^4 b^2) \). To be conservative, we have estimated these corrections to be of the general size

\[ \mathcal{O}(m_\pi^4 b^2) \sim \frac{2m_\pi^4}{(4\pi f_\pi)^4} (4\pi f_\pi)^2 \Delta_{\text{MA}}. \]

We treat these estimates as uncertainties in the predicted NLO MA \( \chi \)PT corrections which can be determined from Eqs. (16) and (19). We provide these predicted corrections and their uncertainties in the form

\[ \Delta_{\text{MA}}(m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2}) = m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2}|_{\text{MA}} - m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2}|_{\chi \text{PT}}. \]

The values of these corrections are shown in Tables VII and VIII. The first uncertainty in these corrections is statistical and is associated with the meson masses, decay constants,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE VII.</th>
<th>Corrections and uncertainties in ( m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2} ) for ( n_f = 2 ).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>( m_1 = 0.007 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta_{\text{MA}}(m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2}) )</td>
<td>0.0033(0.002)(0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta_{\text{SV}}(m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2}) )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.0055 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta_{\text{m}}(m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2}) )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.0032 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE VIII.</th>
<th>Corrections and uncertainties in ( m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2} ) for ( n_f = 2 + 1 ).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>( m_1 = 0.007 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta_{\text{MA}}(m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2}) )</td>
<td>0.0012(0.01)(0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta_{\text{SV}}(m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2}) )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.0024 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta_{\text{m}}(m_\pi a_f^{\ell-2}) )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.0032 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and the taste-identity mass splitting, $b^2 \Delta_l$. The second uncertainty is the power counting estimate of the higher-order corrections of $O(m_\pi^4 b^2)$ as estimated in Eq. (24). The calculable corrections to $m_{\pi} a_{\pi \pi}^{l=2}$ at $O(m_\pi^2 b^4)$ are 2.3%, 1.5%, 0.65%, and 0.39% effects for the 007, 010, 020, and 030 ensembles, respectively, from which we conclude that the $O(m_\pi^4 b^2)$ contributions are significantly less than $\sim 1\%$.

**B. Finite-volume effects in mixed-action $\chi$-PT**

The universal relation between the two-particle energy levels in a finite volume and their infinite-volume scattering parameters receives nonuniversal corrections which are exponentially suppressed by the lattice size and dominated by the lightest particle in the spectrum. These scale generically as $e^{-m_\pi L}$ [73,74]. In Ref. [75], the leading exponential volume corrections to $p \cot \delta(p)$ were determined in the $I = 2 \pi \pi$ scattering channel in $\chi$PT. However, in order to determine the leading finite-volume corrections to this mixed-action calculation, hairpin diagrams present in the mixed-action theory must also be included. For the $I = 2 \pi \pi$ system, there are additional hairpin diagrams present in the $t$ and $u$ channel scattering diagrams [45]. The finite-volume corrections from these diagrams are larger than those in continuum $\chi$PT, but are opposite in sign and therefore the overall magnitude of the correction is similar to that given in Ref. [75]. We note that as these contributions vanish in the continuum limit, they are actually finite-volume finite-lattice-spacing corrections, and not just finite-volume corrections, and hence scale as $b^2 \exp(-m_\pi L)$ at small lattice spacing.

As with the mixed-action lattice-spacing corrections, we denote these finite-volume modifications as

$$\Delta_{\text{FV}}(m_\pi a_{\pi \pi}^{l=2}) = m_\pi a_{\pi \pi}^{l=2}|_{\text{FV}} - m_\pi a_{\pi \pi}^{l=2}|_{\text{I\&V}},$$

and they are shown in Tables VII and VIII. However, one should take note that the effective-range contribution to $p \cot \delta(p)$, which behaves as a power law in the lattice size (and therefore is parametrically enhanced over the exponential corrections) is not included in the extraction of the scattering lengths. While the exponential modifications are numerically larger than our estimate of the effective-range contributions at the light pion masses (see below), the values of $\Delta_{\text{FV}}(m_\pi a_{\pi \pi}^{l=2})$ shown in Tables VII and VIII are used as estimates of the uncertainties due to higher-order finite-volume effects.

**C. Residual chiral symmetry breaking**

The mixed-action formulas describing $\pi \pi$ scattering determined in Refs. [45,46] have assumed that the valence fermions have exact chiral symmetry, up to the quark-mass corrections. The domain-wall propagators used in this work have a finite fifth-dimensional extent and therefore residual chiral symmetry breaking arising from the overlap of the left- and right-handed quark fields bound to the opposite domain walls. Because of the nature of this residual chiral symmetry breaking in the domain-wall action, the leading contributions can be parameterized as an additive shift to the valence-quark masses [60,62],

$$m_\pi^{\text{bdf}} \to m_\pi^{\text{bdf}} + m_{\text{res}}.$$  

A full treatment of these effects involves three new spurion fields in the effective field theory [76] but this is not necessary for estimating the size of these contributions to the $\pi \pi$ scattering lengths. By expressing the calculated scattering lengths and extrapolation formulas in terms of the lattice-physical meson masses and decay constants, the dominant contributions from residual chiral symmetry breaking are included, leaving corrections at higher orders in the chiral expansion. There will be new operators similar to the Gasser-Leutwyler operators [77] in the chiral Lagrangian, for example

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}} = 2B_0 L_4 \str(\partial_\mu \bar{\Sigma} \partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger) \str(m_{\text{res}} \Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma m_{\text{res}}^\dagger)
+ \cdots$$  

(28)

Naive dimensional analysis [78] can be used to estimate the size of the corrections due to these new operators, which in the case of the $I = 2 \pi \pi$ system are given by

$$\Delta_{\text{mres}}(m_\pi a_{\pi \pi}^{l=2}) = \frac{8\pi m_\pi^4}{4\pi f_\pi^4} \frac{m_{\text{res}}}{m_\pi}.$$  

(29)

There will be additional operators with two insertions of $m_{\text{res}}$ in the place of $m_\pi$, but these are $\lesssim 20\%$ of the uncertainty already estimated for the residual chiral symmetry breaking. These uncertainties are denoted by

$$\Delta_{\text{mres}}(m_\pi a_{\pi \pi}^{l=2}) = m_\pi a_{\pi \pi}^{l=2}|_{m_{\text{res}}} - m_\pi a_{\pi \pi}^{l=2}|_{m_{\text{res}}=0},$$  

(30)

and are shown in Tables VII and VIII.

**D. Two loops effects**

The two-loop expression for the scattering length [4,9] is given, in the continuum limit of QCD, by

$$m_\pi a_{\pi \pi}^{l=2} = \left[1 + \frac{m_\pi^2}{16\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left(3 \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu^2} - 1 - \ell_{\pi \pi}^{(2)}(\mu)\right)\right]
+ \frac{m_\pi^4}{64\pi^4 f_\pi^4} \left[\frac{31}{6} \left(\log \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu^2}\right)^2 + \ell_{\pi \pi}^{(2)}(\mu) \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu^2}\right]
+ \ell_{\pi \pi}^{(3)}(\mu),$$  

(31)

where $\ell_{\pi \pi}^{(2)}$ and $\ell_{\pi \pi}^{(3)}$ are linear combinations of undetermined constants that appear in the $O(p^4)$ and $O(p^6)$ chiral Lagrangians [2,4]. Fitting all four data points allows for an extraction of the three counterterms with $\chi^2/\text{dof} = 0.26$. From the 68% confidence-interval error ellipsoid we find an extrapolated value of
While it is gratifying to have a determination of the scattering length at two-loop level that is consistent with the one-loop result, there are several caveats: (i) the two-loop expression in MAχ-PT does not yet exist and therefore the determination in Eq. (31) contains lattice-spacing artifacts at lower orders in the chiral expansion than in the one-loop result; (ii) This value is clearly strongly dependent on the heaviest quark mass, which is, at best, at the boundary of the range of validity of the chiral expansion. A reliable two-loop determination will have to await further lattice data at quark masses closer to the chiral limit than we currently possess.

V. DISCUSSION

We have presented results of a lattice QCD calculation of the $I = 2\pi\pi$ scattering length performed with domain-wall valence quarks on asqtad-improved MILC configurations with $2 + 1$ dynamical staggered quarks. The calculations were performed at a single lattice spacing of $b \sim 0.125$ fm and at a single lattice spatial size of $L \sim 2.5$ fm with four values of the light-quark masses, corresponding to pion masses of $m_\pi \sim 290, 350, 490,$ and 590 MeV. High statistics were generated by computing up to 24 propagators per MILC configuration at spatially and temporally displaced sources. We used one-loop MAχ-PT with two and three flavors of light quarks to perform the chiral and continuum extrapolations. Our prediction for the physical value of the $I = 2\pi\pi$ scattering length is $m_\pi a_{\pi\pi}^{-2} = -0.0430 \pm 0.0004$, which agrees within uncertainties with the (nonlattice) determination of CGL [9], but we emphasize once again that our result rests on the assumption that the fourth-root trick recovers the correct continuum limit of QCD. In Table IX and Fig. 3 we offer a comparison of our prediction with other determinations. What has enabled such an improvement in precision over our previous result on the coarse MILC lattices is the recent understanding of the lattice-spacing artifacts accomplished with mixed-action chiral perturbation theory.

TABLE IX. A compilation of the various calculations and predictions for the $I = 2\pi\pi$ scattering length. The prediction made in this paper is labeled NPLQCD (2007). Also included are the experimental value from Ref. [6] [E 865 (2003)], the previous determination by NPLQCD [44] [NPLQCD (2005)], and two indirect lattice results from MILC [15,16] (the stars on the MILC results indicate that these are not lattice calculations of the $I = 2\pi\pi$ scattering length but rather a hybrid prediction which uses MILC’s determination of various low-energy constants together with the Roy equations), and the Roy equation determination of Ref. [9] [CGL (2001)].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$m_\pi a_{\pi\pi}^{-2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT (tree level)</td>
<td>$-0.04438$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPLQCD (2007)</td>
<td>$-0.0430 \pm 0.0004$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 865 (2003)</td>
<td>$-0.0454 \pm 0.0031 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0008$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPLQCD (2005)</td>
<td>$-0.0426 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.0003 \pm 0.0018$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILC (2006)*</td>
<td>$-0.0432 \pm 0.0006$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILC (2004)*</td>
<td>$-0.0433 \pm 0.0009$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGL (2001)*</td>
<td>$-0.0444 \pm 0.0010$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It will be quite useful to have results at another lattice spacing and at another lattice volume in order to test the systematic error analysis presented in this paper. One somewhat surprising result of our analysis is that one of the dominant sources of systematic uncertainty in our calculation is due to residual chiral symmetry breaking in the domain-wall valence quarks for the lattice parameters we have chosen. Clearly this systematic can be reduced by improving our choice of domain-wall parameters.

Lattice QCD is currently in a precision age insofar as single-particle properties are concerned. The precise prediction for the intrinsic two-particle property presented here is a remarkable demonstration of the power of combining a lattice QCD calculation with the model-independent constraints of chiral perturbation theory.
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**FIG. 3 (color online).** Bar chart of the various determinations of the $I = 2$ $\pi \pi$ scattering length tabulated in Table IX. We reiterate that the stars on the MILC results indicate that these are not lattice calculations of the $I = 2$ $\pi \pi$ scattering length but rather a hybrid prediction which uses MILC’s determination of various low-energy constants together with the Roy equations.
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