Self-Similarity Properties of Natural Images Resemble Those of Turbulent Flows
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We show that the statistics of an edge type variable in natural images exhibits self-similarity properties which resemble those of local energy dissipation in turbulent flows. Our results show that self-similarity and extended self-similarity hold remarkably for the statistics of the local edge variance, and that the very same models can be used to predict all of the associated exponents. These results suggest using natural images as a laboratory for testing more elaborate scaling models of interest for the statistical description of turbulent flows. The properties we have exhibited are relevant for the modeling of the early visual system: They should be included in models designed for the prediction of receptive fields.
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The existence of self-similarity (SS) is well known in both natural images \cite{1} and fully developed turbulence \cite{2}. Quite recently, there has been an increase of interest in both fields. In turbulent flows, the notion of “extended self-similarity” (ESS) \cite{3–5} has been introduced, and several models proposed predicting correctly the relevant SS exponents from only one or two parameters \cite{6,7}. Our main motivation for studying the statistics of natural images is its relevance for the modeling of the early visual system. In particular, the epigenetic development could lead to the adaptation of visual processing to the statistical regularities in the visual scenes \cite{8–13}. Most of these predictions on the development of receptive fields have been obtained using a Gaussian description of the environment contrast statistics. However, non-Gaussian properties such as the ones found by \cite{14,15} could be important. To gain further insight into non-Gaussian aspects of natural scenes we investigate whether they exhibit the rich structure found in turbulent flows.

Scaling properties of natural images have been studied by several authors. They have found \cite{1,16,17} that the power spectrum of luminosity contrast follows a power law of the form $S(f) \sim \frac{1}{f^{1+\eta}}$, although the value of $\eta$ can have rather large fluctuations \cite{18}. The magnitude of these fluctuations depends on the diversity of the images in the data set. A more detailed—although different—analysis of the scaling properties of image contrast was done by \cite{14,15} who also noted analogies with the statistics of turbulent flows. Additional luminosity analysis was also done by Ruderman \cite{19}, providing some evidence of multiscaling behavior. There is, however, no model to explain the intriguing scaling behavior observed.

However, in turbulent fluids the unpredictable character of signals has led to a large amount of effort in order to develop statistical models (see, e.g., Ref. \cite{20}). Qualitative and quantitative theories of the statistical properties of fully developed turbulence elaborate on the original argument of Kolmogorov \cite{2}. The cascade of energy from one scale to another is described in terms of local energy dissipation per unit mass within a box of linear size $r$. This quantity, $\varepsilon_r$, is given by

$$\varepsilon_r(x) \propto \int_{|x-x'|<r} d\mathbf{x}' \sum_{ij} \left[ \delta_i v_j(x') + \delta_j v_i(x') \right]^2,$$

where $v_i(x)$ is the $i$th component of the velocity at point $x$. Self-similarity will hold if, for some range of scales $r$, one finds the scaling relation,

$$\langle \varepsilon_r^p \rangle \approx r^{\tau_p},$$

where $\langle \varepsilon_r^p \rangle$ denotes the $p$th moment of the energy dissipation that is the average of $[\varepsilon_r(x)]^p$ over all possible values of $x$. In fluid dynamics this property holds in the so-called “inertial range” \cite{20}. A more general scaling relation, called extended self-similarity, has been found to be valid in a much larger scale domain, even if the inertial range does not exist \cite{3,4}. This scaling can be defined by

$$\langle \varepsilon_r^p \rangle \approx \langle \varepsilon_r^q \rangle^{\rho(p,q)},$$

where $\rho(p,q)$ is the ESS exponent of the $p$th moment with respect to the $q$th moment. Notice that if SS holds then $\tau_p = \tau_q \rho(p,q)$. In the following we will refer all the moments to $\langle \varepsilon_r^1 \rangle$.

The basic field in turbulence is the velocity from which one defines the local energy dissipation. The largest contributions to $\varepsilon_r$ come from abrupt changes in velocities. For images, the basic field is the contrast $c(x)$ that we define as the difference between the luminosity and its average. A natural candidate for a variable analogous to the local energy dissipation is a quantity which takes its largest contributions from the places where large changes in contrast occur. This is precisely a measure of the existence of edges below the scale under consideration. Edges are indeed well known to be very important in...
characterizing images [21]. A recent numerical analysis suggests that natural images are composed of statistically independent edges [22].

We choose to study two variables, defined at position $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and at scale $r$. The variable $e_{h,r}(x)$ takes contributions from edges transverse to a horizontal segment of size $r$,

$$e_{h,r}(x) = \frac{1}{r} \int_{x_1}^{x_1+r} \left( \frac{\partial c(x')}{\partial y} \right)^2 \bigg|_{x'=[y,x_2]} dy. \quad (4)$$

A vertical variable $e_{v,r}(x)$ is defined similarly from an integration over the vertical direction. From here we see that $e_{h,r}(x) (l = h,v)$ is the local linear edge variance along the direction $l$ at scale $r$.

We have analyzed the scaling properties of the local linear edge variances in a set of 45 images taken in the wood of $256 \times 256$ pixels each (see [15] for technical details concerning these images). With these data, one can explore scales up to $r \sim 64$ pixels.

First, we show that SS holds in a range of scales $r$ with exponents $\tau_{h,p}$ and $\tau_{v,p}$. This is illustrated in Fig. 1, where the logarithm of the moments of the vertical and horizontal edge variances [as defined in Eq. (4) for the horizontal case] is plotted as a function of $\ln r$. Next, we test ESS. The results are shown in Fig. 2, where a linear behavior of $\ln(e_{h,r}^p)$ vs $\ln(e_{v,r}^p)$ is observed in both the horizontal ($l = h$) and the vertical ($l = v$) directions. One can see that ESS is valid in a wider range than SS. This is similar to what is found in turbulence, where this property has been used to obtain a more accurate estimation of the exponents of the structure functions (see, e.g., [23]). The horizontal and vertical exponents $\rho_h(p,2)$ and $\rho_v(p,2)$, estimated with a least squares regression, are shown in Fig. 3 as a function of $p$. From Figs. 1–3, one sees that the horizontal and vertical directions have similar statistical properties, which was not expected (e.g., trees tend to increase luminosity correlations in the vertical direction). The SS exponents differ, as can be seen in Fig. 1. What is even more surprising is that ESS not only holds for the statistics in both directions, but it does it with the same ESS exponents, i.e., $\rho_h(p,2) \sim \rho_v(p,2)$, within our numerical accuracy.

Let us now consider scaling models to predict the $p$ dependence of the ESS exponents $\rho_l(p,2)$. Since ESS holds, the SS exponents $\tau_{l,p}$ can be obtained from the $\rho_l(p,2)$’s by measuring $\tau_{l,2}$. The simplest scaling hypothesis is that, for a random variable $e_r(x)$ observed at the scale $r$ [such as $e_{h,r}(x)$], its probability distribution $P_r[e_r(x)] = \varepsilon$ can be obtained from any other scale $L$ by

$$P_r(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\alpha(r,L)} P_L\left(\varepsilon/\alpha(r,L)\right). \quad (5)$$

From this, one derives that $\alpha(r,L) = (\langle \varepsilon^p \rangle)^{1/p}$ for any $p$, and that $\rho(p,2) \propto p$. If SS holds, then $\tau_{p} \propto p$: For turbulent flows this corresponds to the Kolmogorov predic-

![FIG. 1. Test of SS. We plot $\ln(e_{h,r}^p)$ vs $\ln r$ for $p = 2, 3$, and 5, and for $r$ from 8 to 64 pixels. (a) Horizontal direction, $l = h$; (b) vertical direction, $l = v$. The relative error is uniform and about 8%. The value of the SS exponents $\tau_r$ extracted from the large $r$ behavior are $\tau_{h,2} = -0.20 \pm 0.01$, $\tau_{h,3} = -0.51 \pm 0.02$, and $\tau_{h,5} = -1.19 \pm 0.06$ for the horizontal direction, and $\tau_{v,2} = -0.26 \pm 0.04$, $\tau_{v,3} = -0.62 \pm 0.03$, and $\tau_{v,5} = -1.47 \pm 0.06$ for the vertical direction. The solid lines are the slope given by these exponents. This linear behavior does not hold at small $r$. A numerical analysis indicates that it is a finite resolution effect although it could be masking a different, small $r$ regime. There is also an upper bound that has prevented us from going beyond $r \sim 64$.](image-url)

This scaling relation has been first introduced in the context of turbulent flows [6,7,26,27]. One can see that Eq. (6) is an integral representation of ESS with general (not necessarily linear) exponents. Once a kernel $G_{sL}$ is chosen the $\rho(p,2)$’s can be predicted.
for pixels. The effect of finite size effects can again be observed given by the calculated exponents $r_b p$. The solid line represents the fit with the SL model.

Specific choices of $G_{\delta}$ define different models of ESS. The She-Leveque (SL) [6] model corresponds to a simple process such that $\alpha$ is 1 with some probability $1 - s$ and is a constant $\beta$ with probability $s$. One can see that $s = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \sin(f_L)^{\beta}$ and that this stochastic process yields a log-Poisson distribution for $\alpha$ [30]. It also gives ESS with exponents $\rho(p, q)$ that can be expressed in terms of a single parameter ($\beta$) as follows [6]:

$$\rho(p, q) = \frac{1 - \beta^p - (1 - \beta)p}{1 - \beta^q - (1 - \beta)q}.$$  

We have tested the model with the ESS exponents obtained with the image data set. The resulting fit for the SL model is shown in Fig. 3. Both the vertical and horizontal ESS exponents can be fitted with $\beta = 0.50 \pm 0.03$. More complex processes other than log-Poisson

\[ E = \sum_p |\rho(p, q)\exp(-|\rho(p, q)\beta|)|^2, \]

is minimized. We have checked that a Gaussian data set of images does exhibit ESS although it cannot be explained by the SL model.
distributions, involving more than one parameter, have also been studied. We have also tested the model proposed in [7]. For our data, the best fit appears to be with the SL model, which is the simplest nontrivial model.

The integral representation of ESS [Eq. (6)], can also be tested directly on the probability distributions $P_r(f)$ and $P_L(f)$ evaluated from the data. In Fig. 4 we show the prediction for the distribution at the scale $r$ obtained from the distribution at the scale $L$. No new parameter is needed for this.

The parameter $\beta$ has allowed us to obtain all the ESS exponents $\rho(p,2)$. In order to obtain the SS exponents $\tau_\rho$ we need another parameter, e.g., $\tau_2$. Notice first that, for large $r$, $e_r^\rho \propto r^{\tau_\rho/1-\beta} \equiv r^{-\Delta}$. From the definition of $e_r^\rho$, one sees that it is controlled by the tail of the distribution $P_r(e)$. This implies that the most singular structure is the set of points where $e_r = e_r^\rho$. Now a standard argument on multifractal scaling (see, e.g., [20,31]) will relate the exponent $\Delta$ to the dimension $D_\Delta$ of this most singular structure. One finds $D_\Delta = d - \frac{3}{1-\beta}$, where $d = 2$ is the dimensionality of the problem. Since $\tau_\rho = \tau_2 \rho(p,2)$, a fit of $\tau_\rho$ determines $\Delta$. This was done for both the vertical and horizontal variables, obtaining $D_\Delta = 0.4 \pm 0.2$ and $D_\Delta = 0.5 \pm 0.2$ and leading to $D_{\Delta,h} = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ and $D_{\Delta,v} = 1.1 \pm 0.3$. The quoted errors are purely statistical, but other sources of errors (e.g., the onset of the SS behavior) reduce the accuracy. As a result, we can say that $D_{\Delta,v} \sim D_{\Delta,h} \sim 1$: The most singular structures are almost one dimensional; this reflects the fact that the most singular manifold consists of sharp edges.

In conclusion, we insist on the main result of this work, which is the existence of nontrivial scaling properties for the local edge variances. This property appears very similar to the one observed in turbulence for the local energy dissipation. In fact, we have seen that the SL model predicts all of the relevant exponents and that, in particular, it describes the scaling behavior of the sharpest edges in the image ensemble. A similar analysis could be performed taking into account color or motion (analyzing video sequences). It would also be interesting to have a simple generative model of images which—apart from having the correct power spectrum as in [32]—would reproduce the self-similar properties found in this paper.
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