# 

# A HIGH-FAT HIGH-SUCROSE DIET AFFECTS THE LONG-TERM METABOLIC FATE OF GRAPE PROANTHOCYANIDINS IN RATS

3 Eunice Molinar-Toribio<sup>1‡</sup>, Elisabet Fuguet<sup>2</sup>, Sara Ramos-Romero<sup>1</sup>, Núria Taltavull<sup>3</sup>,
4 Lucía Méndez<sup>4</sup>, M. Rosa Nogués<sup>3</sup>, Isabel Medina<sup>4</sup>, Josep Lluís Torres<sup>1</sup>, Jara Pérez5 Jiménez<sup>1\*</sup>

7	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Advanced Chemistry of Catalonia (IQAC-CSIC), Barcelona, Spain								
8	<sup>2</sup> Departament de Química Analítica, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain/ Serra-								
9	Húnter Programme. Generalitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain								
10 11	<sup>3</sup> Unidad de Farmacología, Facultad de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Rovira i Virgili, Reus, Spain								
12	<sup>4</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas (IIM-CSIC), Vigo, Spain								
13	‡ Present address: Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Natural, Exact Sciences and								
14	Technology, University of Panama, Panama City, Panama								
15									
16	* Corresponding author: Jara Pérez-Jiménez. Present address: Department of								
17	Metabolism and Nutrition, Institute of Food Science, Technology and Nutrition								
18	(ICTAN-CSIC), Jose Antonio Novais 10, 28040, Madrid, Spain. E-mail:								
19	jara.perez@ictan.csic.es								
20									

24	List of abbreviations	used
<u> </u>		uscu

- 25 EC: (epi)catechin
- 26 EGC: (epi)gallocatechin
- 27 Gluc: glucuronyl group
- 28 GSE: grape seed extract
- 29 HFHS: high-fat high-sucrose diet
- 30 Me: methyl group
- 31 MetS: metabolic syndrome
- 32 MRM: multiple reaction monitoring
- 33 MS: mass spectrometry
- 34 PA: proanthocyanidin
- 35 STD: standard
- 36 Sulf: sulfate group
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42

#### 43 Abstract

*Purpose.* Polyphenol metabolites are key mediators of the biological activities of
polyphenols. This study aimed to evaluate the long-term effects of a high-fat highsucrose (HFHS) diet on the metabolism of proanthocyanidins (PAs) from grape seed
extract (GSE).

*Methods.* Adult female Wistar Kyoto rats were fed a standard (STD) or HFHS diet
supplemented or not with GSE for 16 weeks. PA metabolites were determined by
targeted HPLC-MS/MS analysis.

*Results.* A lower concentration of total microbial-derived PA metabolites was present in
urine and the aqueous fraction of faeces in the HFHS + GSE group than in the STD +
GSE group. In contrast, a tendency towards the formation of conjugated (epi)catechin
metabolites in the HFHS + GSE group was observed.

55 *Conclusions*. These results show that a HFHS diet significantly modifies PA 56 metabolism, probably via: i) a shift in microbial communities not counteracted by the 57 polyphenols themselves; and ii) an up-regulation of hepatic enzymes.

58 Keywords: Polyphenols, proanthocyanidins, high-fat high-sucrose diet, bioavailability,
59 metabolites

60

- 61
- 62

63

66 Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of risk factors (abdominal obesity, hypertension, hyperglycaemia, hypertriglyceridaemia) that increases the risk of 67 68 developing type 2 diabetes or cardiovascular diseases [1]. MetS is increasingly 69 becoming a public health problem, affecting some 20%-30% of the population in 70 developed countries [2]. MetS has been shown to result from factors that are common in 71 current Western lifestyles: sedentariness and unhealthy dietary patterns including an 72 excess of fat and simple carbohydrates, i.e., high-fat high-sucrose (HFHS) diets. The 73 metabolic alterations caused by a HFHS dietary pattern have been thoroughly studied in 74 animal models, showing that it triggers insulin resistance, hyperinsulinaemia, 75 hyperlipidaemia, elevated blood pressure, hepatic steatosis and both endothelial-76 dependent and endothelial-independent arterial dysfunction, among other effects [3-5]. 77 HFHS diets have been used in several animal models to evaluate the potential role of 78 different bioactive food compounds in the modulation of MetS; for instance, a HFHS 79 diet has been supplemented with  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acids of marine origin [6], 80 the iminosugar D-fagomine [7] or with polyphenols [8].

81 Proanthocyanidins (PAs) constitute a class of polyphenols; a broad group of dietary 82 phytochemicals. The members of this class range from dimers to high-molecular-weight 83 polymers of different constituent flavanol units and are notably present in certain 84 foodstuffs such as cocoa, grapes and nuts [9]. In recent years, several studies in animal 85 models have shown that PAs may play a beneficial role in modulating MetS through a 86 combination of mechanisms, i.e., direct inhibition of enzymes involved in the 87 metabolism of carbohydrates, improvement in insulin sensitivity, repression of intestinal 88 lipid absorption, activation of endogenous antioxidant systems and reduction of the 89 overexpression of certain cytokines [10-14]. A key factor in the health effects of

90 polyphenols is their metabolic fate since, once ingested, they are extensively 91 transformed by phase I and phase II enzymes, as well as by the gut microbiota; PA-92 derived metabolites may ultimately be responsible for the biological effects of PAs [15-93 16]. Other components present in the diet, e.g., milk or oil, may affect the 94 bioavailability of polyphenols [17-18]. Other physiological aspects, such as age, do not 95 seem to play a relevant role in the metabolism of PAs [19]. Therefore, to properly 96 ascertain the role of supplemented polyphenols, the effect of the overall diet on the 97 profile and amount of potentially active circulating metabolites must be evaluated.

98 Several studies in animal models have supplemented HFHS diets with different 99 polyphenols in order to determine how they modulate MetS [20-22]. However, the 100 levels of polyphenol-derived metabolites after a HFHS diet were not assessed. Also, the 101 effect of combined supplementation with polyphenols and a probiotic on circulating 102 phenolic metabolites was evaluated in animals fed a HFHS diet [23], but no comparison 103 was provided of the effects of this supplementation on animals fed a standard (STD) 104 diet.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to compare the levels of metabolites derived from grape PAs in rats fed a HFHS diet with those in rats fed a STD diet; which may provide useful information for understanding the reported effects of the addition of polyphenols to HFHS diets.

## 109 **2. Materials and methods**

## 110 2.1 Chemicals and reagents

The STD diet, Global 2014, and HFHS diet, TD 08811, were from Teklad Global 2014
(Harlan Teklad Inc., Indianapolis, IN, USA). Fine Grajfnol<sup>®</sup> powder 98% (grape seed
extract; GSE) from grape seed was from JF-Natural Product (Tianjin, China).

114 According to the manufacturer, this extract contained 95% PAs (UV) of which 60% was

B2 procyanidin dimer (HPLC), with a mean degree of polymerization of 2. So the extract contained mainly dimmers, with some amounts of monomers and trimers. Ash content was  $\leq 1.5\%$  and loss on drying was  $\leq 5.0\%$ . Porcine gelatin type A 240/260 was from Juncà (Girona, Spain) and soybean lecithin Topcithin 50 from Cargill (Barcelona, Spain). Organic unrefined soybean oil (first cold pressing) was from Clearspring Ltd. (London, UK).

121 Ketamine chlorhydrate was purchased from Merial Laboratorios (Barcelona, Spain) and 122 xylacine from Química Farmacéutica (Barcelona, Spain). Standards of (-)-(epi)catechin 123 (EC) ( $\geq$  98%), (–)-(epi)gallocatechin (EGC) ( $\geq$  95%), 3-hydroxyphenylacetic acid ( $\geq$ 124 99%), 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid ( $\geq$  98%), 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid ( $\geq$  98%), 3-125 hydroxybenzoic acid ( $\geq$  99%), 4-hydroxybenzoic acid ( $\geq$  99%), homovanillic acid ( $\geq$ 126 98%), vanillic acid ( $\geq$  97%), caffeic acid ( $\geq$  98%), 3,4-dihydroxyphenylpropionic acid 127  $(\geq 98\%)$ , 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid ( $\geq 98\%$ ), 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid ( $\geq$ 128 97%), benzoic acid ( $\geq$  99%), hippuric acid ( $\geq$  98%), ferulic acid ( $\geq$  99%), isoferulic acid 129  $(\geq 97\%)$ , p-coumaric acid  $(\geq 98\%)$ , m-coumaric acid  $(\geq 98\%)$ , gallic acid  $(\geq 97\%)$ , 130 enterodiol ( $\geq$  95%), phenylacetic acid ( $\geq$  99%), taxifolin ( $\geq$  85%), and tert-131 butylhydroquinone and formic acid (analytical grade) were obtained from Sigma 132 Chemical (St Louis, MO, USA). Methanol (analytical grade) and hydrochloric acid (≥ 133 85%) were from Panreac (Castellar del Vallès, Spain). Acetonitrile (HPLC grade) was 134 obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Water for the assay solutions was obtained 135 using a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA).

#### 136 2.2 Animals

137 A total of twenty female Wistar Kyoto (WKY) rats (Janvier, Le Genest-St-Isle, France),

aged 8-9 weeks were housed in cages (n = 2-3/cage) with a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle, at

139  $22^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$  and a relative humidity of  $50\% \pm 10\%$ . All the procedures adhered strictly to 140 the European Union guidelines for the care and management of laboratory animals, and 141 were approved by the CSIC Bioethical Issues Subcommittee (ref. AGL2009-12 374-142 C03-03). Thus they were performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down 143 in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

## 144 2.3 Experimental design

The rats were randomly divided into four groups, each (n = 5) fed a different diet: control (STD diet); HFHS diet; STD diet supplemented with GSE (STD + GSE); HFHS diet supplemented with GSE (HFHS + GSE). The animals were given access to feed and water *ad libitum*. The composition of each diet is provided in **Table 1**.

The diets were prepared in-house and pelletized by lyophilization. To prevent oxidation and contamination by fungi, the dry pellets were vacuum packed and stored at 4°C until used. To guarantee the proper mixture of the different components and an adequate consistency of the final pellet, soybean lecithin and porcine gelatin were added. *tert*-Butylhydroxiguinone was added as an antioxidant.

The animals received water and the pelleted feed for 18 weeks after being randomly assigned to the four dietary groups. Between weeks 14 and 16 of the experiment, the rats were placed in metabolic cages for urine and faeces collection, and deprived of food for 24 h.

## 158 2.4 Sample processing

The biological samples were prepared according to previously described procedures for the extraction of phenolic metabolites [24-26]. On collection, urine samples were acidified with HCl (1 mM, 5  $\mu$ L) then urine and faeces were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. For analysis, the whole urine samples were freeze dried and re163 suspended in 1 mL of acid water (water acidified to pH 3 with formic acid). Then, 164 taxifolin (100 µL of a 50 ppm solution) was added to each sample as an internal 165 standard, to obtain a final concentration of 5 ppm. The samples were then subjected to 166 solid-phase extraction in Oasis HLB (60 mg) cartridges from Waters Corporation 167 (Mildford, MA, USA). The cartridges were activated with methanol (1 mL) and acid 168 water (2 mL) and the samples loaded. To remove interfering components, the samples 169 were washed with acid water (9 mL) and then the phenolic compounds were eluted with 170 methanol (1 mL). The eluate was evaporated under nitrogen and the residue 171 reconstituted with 500 µL of the initial HPLC mobile phase ([A], see below). The 172 temperature of evaporation was kept under 37°C to avoid deterioration of the phenolic 173 compounds. The samples were filtered through a polytetrafluoroethylene 0.45 µm 174 membrane from Waters Corp. into amber vials for HPLC-MS/MS analysis.

Faeces samples were re-suspended in acid water (1:1 w/w) and homogenized using a vortex. Then, after adding the internal standard (taxifolin, 5 ppm) the mixtures were centrifuged (10000 g, 10 min at 4°C), and the supernatant was freeze dried and resuspended in 1 mL of acid water and homogenized using a vortex, and later subjected to SPE and the workup process as described for the urine samples.

## 180 2.5 HPLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis of polyphenol metabolites

An Applied Biosystems (PE Sciex, Concord, Ontario, Canada) API 3000 triple
quadrupole mass spectrometer with a TurboIon spray source was used in negative mode
to obtain MS and MS/MS data, according to procedures described previously [24-26].
Liquid chromatography separations were performed using an Agilent 1100 series liquid
chromatograph system (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with a Phenomenex
(Torrance, CA, USA) Luna C18 (50 x 2.0 mm i.d.) 3.0 µm particle size column and a

187 Phenomenex Securityguard C18 (4 x 2.0 mm i.d.) column. Gradient elution was 188 performed with a binary system consisting of: [A] 0.1% aqueous formic acid and [B] 189 0.1% formic acid in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. An increasing linear gradient (v/v) of [B] was used, 190 [t(min), % B]: 0.8; 10.23; 15.50; 20.50; 21,100; followed by a re-equilibration step. 191 Each metabolite in the urine samples was first identified by multiple reaction 192 monitoring (MRM) of the transitions of the putative metabolites using a dwell time of 193 100 ms and then confirmed either by comparison with a standard when available, repeat 194 MRM with a second characteristic transition and posterior comparison of the results 195 with the retention time obtained in the first MRM, or neutral-loss and product ion scan 196 experiments. The cycle time used was 2 s. The list of metabolites to be searched for was 197 compiled from the literature on the bioavailability of grape polyphenols [24-27]. 198 Analyst 1.4.2 software from AB Sciex was used for data acquisition and processing. 199 Calibration curves for each metabolite were plotted using between 4 and 11 standards at 200 different concentrations (ranging from 0.001 to 60 ppm). The concentrations obtained 201 from the calibration curves were further corrected by the internal standard. When no 202 commercial standard was available, the metabolites were quantified using a structurally 203 related compound. The standard may still show a different response from that of the 204 metabolite, so this method cannot be considered to provide proper quantification and 205 should therefore be used mainly for comparative purposes. Details of the MRM 206 transitions used, the conditions of the MS experiments, the standards used and the 207 strategy employed for the identification of each metabolite (comparison with 208 commercial standard or analysis of MS/MS fragmentation pattern) are provided in 209 Table S1.

210 **2.6** Statistics

211 Results are expressed as mean concentrations  $(\mu M)$  with standard error of the mean 212 (SEM). Also, to facilitate comparisons between groups, the values corresponding to the 213 HFHS, STD + GSE and HFHS + GSE groups were divided by those of the STD group, 214 to obtain the relative incremental factor or x-fold increase. The non-parametric Kruskal-215 Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests were applied to analyse significant differences (P < P216 0.05) between groups. The Kruskal-Wallis test was applied to determine any significant 217 difference between the treatments and, if any were detected, the Mann-Whitney U test 218 was used to compare all the different pairs of the treatments. The SPSS IBM 19 package 219 for Windows was used throughout.

## 220 **3. Results**

# 221 3.1 Microbial-derived metabolites in urine

Forty-eight transitions, corresponding to microbial metabolites reported to be formed during the intestinal fermentation of PAs (25) were searched for in the samples. **Table 2** shows the concentration data for the metabolites detected, as well as the x-fold incremental factors compared to the STD group.

226 As expected, in most cases the metabolite concentrations in the STD + GSE group were 227 significantly higher than in the STD control and HFHS groups. Meanwhile, the 228 concentrations of PA metabolites when the high-dense-energy diet was supplemented 229 with GSE (HFHS + GSE group) were significantly lower than those recorded for the 230 STD + GSE group. This was observed for metabolites belonging to all the steps along 231 the PA fermentation pathways (valerolactones, lignans, phenylvaleric acids, 232 phenylpropionic acids, phenylacetic acids, benzoic acids, cinnamic acids and 233 glyccinated benzoic acids), and was particularly marked for phenylvaleric acids and 234 phenylpropionic acids. The total concentrations of metabolites belonging to these two

classes in the HFHS + GSE group were seven-fold and nearly twenty-fold lower,
respectively, than in the STD + GSE group.

## 237 3.2 Microbial-derived metabolites in faeces

238 Ten microbial-derived metabolites were identified in faeces; Table 3 shows the 239 concentration data of the metabolites and the x-fold incremental factors compared to the 240 STD diet. The same tendencies as observed for microbial-derived metabolites in urine 241 were found in faeces: a) there was a significant increase in the overall concentration of 242 these compounds in the STD + GSE group, compared to the non-supplemented groups; 243 b) the formation of microbial-derived metabolites was lower in the HFHS + GSE group. 244 Thus, for most of the compounds detected, the concentrations in the HFHS + GSE 245 group were significantly lower than in the STD + GSE group.

### 246 3.3 Conjugated metabolites of (epi)catechin and (epi)gallocatechin in urine

A total of 39 transitions were searched for in urine, corresponding to monoconjugated, diconjugated and triconjugated (derived from the combination of methylated or Me, sulfated or Sulf and glucuronidated or Gluc forms) metabolites of EC and EGC. Among them, 15 metabolites were detected in the samples: 5 monoconjugated (EC glucuronidated in different positions), 7 diconjugated (five of EC and two of EGC) and three triconjugated (two of EC and one of EGC) (**Table 4**). They were identified using further MRM or their MS/MS fragmentation patterns (**Table S1**).

Five EC monoglucuronides were detected; one exhibited its highest concentrations in the STD + GSE group, while three others were detected at their highest concentrations in the HFHS + GSE group. With regards to the diconjugated EC metabolites, a tendency towards significantly higher concentrations in the HFHS + GSE group than in the STD + GSE group was observed. No significant difference was observed between the groups for either EC triconjugated metabolites or EGC conjugated metabolites. Total excretion of conjugated metabolites in urine was significantly higher in the HFHS + GSE group
than in the other three groups.

#### 262 **4. Discussion**

In this study, we explored the effects of a HFHS diet on the metabolic fate of 263 264 supplemented grape PAs, compared to a STD diet with or without this supplementation. 265 Much effort has been devoted to properly characterizing the transformation of PAs after 266 their intake, based on animal studies, in which they were fed a STD diet, or in human 267 studies, involving either acute PA intake or a controlled and balanced diet [27]. 268 However, since Western diets typically present an excess of fat and simple 269 carbohydrates with respect to health recommendations, and it is known that other 270 dietary components may affect the bioavailability of polyphenols, the potential effect of this dietary pattern on the transformation of polyphenols needs to be evaluated. 271 272 Specifically, we determined here the levels of PA-derived metabolites after 273 supplementing rats on a HFHS diet for a period of 16 weeks; representative of long-274 term adherence to a high-energy-dense diet.

275 Overall, the profiles of metabolites detected were similar to those previously reported in 276 urine and faeces after supplementation with grape PAs [24-25], and they were within 277 the same ranges as those reported in studies with similar supplementation over shorter 278 periods [28]. Regarding the apparently paradoxical detection of valerolactones in the 279 HFHS group which was fed a synthetic diet that did not contain polyphenols, it should 280 be remarked that several studies in humans have found basal concentrations of these 281 compounds after as long as 72 h of a polyphenol-free diet [26,29], despite the fact that 282 their renal excretion takes place 8-24 h after intake [30-31]. This seems to indicate that, 283 although PAs are the main precursors of valerolactones [26], a minor fraction of these 284 metabolites may be originated from other precursors, as proposed in the Human

## 285 Metabolome Database [32].

286 The most remarkable effect we observed was that many microbial metabolites were significantly decreased in the HFHS-GSE group, as compared to the STD-GSE group, 287 in both urine and the aqueous fraction of faeces- representative of those in contact with 288 289 the intestinal epithelium [33]. Therefore, the high-energy-dense diet reduces the amount 290 of polyphenol metabolites bioavailable and bioaccessible in the gut. Overall, this is 291 probably due more to a decrease in their formation more than in their absorption, since 292 the same tendency was observed in urine (post-absorption) that in faeces (not absorbed). 293 Nevertheless, for some specific compounds, such as 4-hydroxyphenylpropionic acid, a decrease in their absorption should not be discarded, since the HFHS-GSE group 294 295 showed the highest concentration values in the faeces. The present results may have 296 implications for the potential beneficial effects of GSE supplementation when following 297 a HFHS diet, since increasing evidence shows that the microbial metabolites of 298 polyphenols play a key role in their health-related effects [16]. Along these lines, it was 299 recently reported that 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (one of the compounds whose 300 circulation was found to be reduced when following the HFHS diet in this study) has the 301 capacity to activate components within the insulin signalling pathway [34]. Similarly, 302 the circulating levels of urolithin A glucuronide, a microbial metabolite of ellagitannins, 303 another class of polyphenols, were inversely associated with impaired glycaemic control 304 [35].

305 Another important implication of the effects we observed in the microbial 306 transformation of PAs when following a HFHS diet are the modifications to the 307 microbiota responsible for that very transformation. We previously reported that a 308 HFHS diet induces a shift in bacterial species towards a higher prevalence of 309 Enterobacteriales, including *Escherichia coli*, probably related to weight gain in rats 310 [36]. Moreover, in both rodents and humans, a shift towards lower values of the ratio 311 Bacteroidetes/Firmicutes, with a loss of diversity in rodents, has been related with a fat 312 phenotype [37-38]; although this has not been convincingly confirmed and some 313 authors report contradictory results in humans [39]. Information on the bacterial species 314 involved in the transformation of polyphenols is still limited [40], as is overall 315 knowledge of the two-way interaction polyphenols-gut microbiota [41]. However, it 316 seems plausible that the modifications to the microbiota caused by a HFHS diet 317 selectively affect species capable of transforming polyphenols. At the same time, 318 polyphenols may be capable of modifying the composition of gut microbiota, as 319 suggested by the increase in the population of Bifidobacterium and decrease in 320 Enterobacteriales after the supplementation of healthy humans with PA-rich GSE [42]. 321 Opposite effects on the same bacterial types have also been attributed to high-energy-322 dense diets and obesity in rodents [43] and humans [44]. GSE might counteract a 323 putative decrease in PA-processing bacteria caused by a HFHS diet, but our results 324 suggest that this is not the case as the concentration of microbial-derived metabolites 325 was lower in the HFHS + GSE group than in the STD + GSE group- nevertheless, it 326 kept higher than in the HFHS group. Similarly, supplementation of a HFHS diet with 327 resveratrol did not counteract the dysbiosis triggered by a HFHS diet; while, in contrast, 328 quercetin supplementation did compensate for the diet-induced changes. These results 329 suggest differential effects depending on the type of polyphenol [22]. Interestingly, 330 when animals fed a HFHS diet received a combined supplementation with cranberry 331 polyphenols and a probiotic, the latter increased the circulation of phenolic metabolites 332 [23].

Additionally, a tendency towards increased formation of conjugated EC metabolites in
 the HFHS + GSE group was observed. This suggests higher activity of the detoxifying

enzymes in enterocytes and liver. It has been reported that the activity of the liver 335 336 cytochrome P450 2E1 is increased during non-alcoholic steatohepatitis [45]; a 337 pathology linked to high-fat diets. It has also been reported that the hepatic expression 338 of uridine 5'-diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (the enzyme responsible for the 339 glucuronidation of polyphenols) is up-regulated in male rats fed a HFHS diet, which is 340 related, among other things, to increased expression of the proliferator-activated 341 receptor  $\alpha$  (PPAR $\alpha$ ), which appears when consuming such a diet [46]. Although those 342 authors did not find the same effects in female rats, our results indirectly seem to 343 indicate a similar up-regulation of this enzyme or those involved in the sulfation or 344 methylation of EC after long-term exposure to a HFHS diet. Another possible 345 explanation for the increase in EC conjugates would be delayed exposure to phase II 346 enzymes associated with longer digestion times in animals fed a HFHS diet.

347 The dose used in this study (30 mg PA/kg body weight of rat) would be equivalent to a 348 daily dose of 4.9 mg/kg body weight in humans [47], i.e., 340 mg/d for a 70 kg adult. 349 Since median daily polyphenol intake in humans is spread over a wide range, from 350 about 150 to nearly 500 mg/p/day [48], significantly large subpopulations consume 351 more polyphenols than the amount equivalent to the dose used in the present study. As 352 no adverse effects have ever been reported, this dose could certainly be considered safe. 353 Indeed, toxicological studies in rats report no adverse effect at doses much higher than 354 that used in this study [49]. Similar doses of GSE have been shown to have beneficial 355 effects on variables related to metabolic syndrome, such as lipidaemia-in rats and 356 humans—or insulin metabolism—in rats [10,12].

This study has some limitations. First, a higher number of animals would have strengthened the statistical significance of the differences detected in some metabolites. However, we consider that the resulting lack of significance in some of the observations

does not invalidate our overall conclusions. Second, due to the limited number of commercial standards of PA metabolites that are currently available, the results had to be expressed as equivalents of other metabolites; thus, the values provided here should only be used for comparative purposes.

364 In summary, a HFHS diet significantly decreased the production of microbial-derived 365 PA metabolites in GSE-supplemented rats, with respect to PA metabolism in animals 366 fed the STD diet. At the same time, an increase in conjugated EC metabolites was 367 observed in the HFHS group; probably due to up-regulation of hepatic enzymes. Our 368 results seem to indicate a shift in the microbial populations triggered by a HFHS diet 369 that is not reversed by the polyphenols in GSE. This effect should be further studied-370 nevertheless, the concentrations of microbial-derived PA metabolites kept higher in the 371 HFHS + GSE group than in the HFHS group. Since microbial metabolites seem to be 372 key mediators of the biological activities of polyphenols, a decrease in their formation 373 when following a HFHS diet would presumably affect the health-related properties of 374 polyphenols.

# 375 Acknowledgements

376 Language revision by Christopher Evans is appreciated.

377 This research was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (grants: 378 AGL2009-12374-C03-01, -02 and -03; and AGL2013-49079-C2-1, 2 and -R, and 379 through a doctoral fellowship to L.M.). The Panamanian Government 380 (SENACYT/IFARHU) awarded a graduate fellowship to E.M.-T. The ISCIII is 381 acknowledged for a "Sara Borrell" postdoctoral contract to J.P.-J. (CD09/00068). The 382 funding sources did not have any role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and 383 interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the 384 article for publication.

## 385 **Conflict of interest**

386 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### 387 **References**

388 [1] Alberti KGMM, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA,

Fruchart JC, James PT, Loria CM, Smith SC (2009) Harmonizing the metabolic
syndrome: A joint interim statement of the international diabetes federation task
force on epidemiology and prevention; National heart, lung, and blood institute;
American heart association; World heart federation; International atherosclerosis
society; and international association for the study of obesity. Circul 120: 1640-45.

- 394 [2] Grundy SM. (2008) Metabolic syndrome pandemic. Arterioscl Thromb Vascular
  395 Biol 28: 629-36.
- 396 [3] Bourgoin F, Bachelard H, Badeau M, Melançon S, Pitre M, Larivière R, Nadeau A
  397 (2008) Endothelial and vascular dysfunctions and insulin resistance in rats fed a
  398 high-fat, high-sucrose diet. Am J Physiol Heart Circul Physiol 295: 1044-55.
- 399 [4] Ishimoto T, Lanspa MA, Rivard CJ, Roncal-Jiménez CA, Orlicky DJ, Cicerchi C,
- 400 McMahan RH, Abdelmalek MF, Rosen HR, Jackman MR et al. (2013) High-fat and
- 401 high-sucrose (western) diet induces steatohepatitis that is dependent on fructokinase.

402 Hepatol 58: 1632-43.

2504.

409

- 403 [5] Naderali EK, Williams G (2003) Prolonged endothelial-dependent and -independent
  404 arterial dysfunction induced in the rat by short-term feeding with a high-fat, high405 sucrose diet. Atheroscl166: 253-59.
- 406 [6] Sato A, Kawano H, Notsu T, Ohta M, Nakakuki M, Mizuguchi K, Itoh M, Suganami
- 407 T, Ogawa Y (2010) Antiobesity effect of eicosapentaenoic acid in high-fat/high408 sucrose diet-induced obesity: Importance of hepatic lipogenesis. Diabetes 59: 2495-

18

- 411 Nogués MR, Adeva A, Jáuregui O, Joglar J, Clapés P et al. (2015) D-fagomine
- 412 attenuates metabolic alterations induced by a high-energy-dense diet in rats. Food413 Function 6: 2614-19.
- 414 [8] Mattison JA, Wang M, Bernier M, Zhang J, Park SS, Maudsley S, Ann SS,
  415 Santhanam L, Martin B, Faulkners S et al. (2014) Resveratrol prevents high
  416 fat/sucrose diet-induced central arterial wall inflammation and stiffening in
  417 nonhuman primates. Cell Metabolism 20: 183-90.
- 418 [9] Serrano J, Puupponen-Pimia R, Dauer A, Aura AM, Saura-Calixto F (2009)
- 419 Tannins: Current knowledge of food sources, intake, bioavailability and biological
  420 effects. Molec Nutr Food Res 53: 310-29.
- 421 [10] Bladé C, Arola L, Salvadó MJ (2010) Hypolipidemic effects of proanthocyanidins
  422 and their underlying biochemical and molecular mechanisms. Molec Nutr Food Res
  423 54: 37-59.
- 424 [11] Barrett A, Ndou T, Hughey CA, Straut C, Howell A, Dai Z, Zaletunc G (2013)
- 425 Inhibition of α-amylase and glucoamylase by tannins extracted from cocoa,
  426 pomegranates, cranberries, and grapes. J Agric Food Chem 61: 1477-86.
- 427 [12] Castell-Auví A, Cedó L, Pallarès V, Blay MT, Pinent M, Motilva MJ, García-
- Vallés S, Pujadas G, Maechler P, Ardévol A (2012) Procyanidins modify insulinemia
  by affecting insulin production and degradation. J Nutr Biochem 23: 1565-72.
- 430 [13] Fraga CG, Galleano M, Verstraeten SV, Oteiza PI (2010) Basic biochemical
- 431 mechanisms behind the health benefits of polyphenols. Molec Aspects Medicine 31:432 435-45.
- 433 [14] Pan MH, Lai CS, Ho CT (2010) Anti-inflammatory activities of natural flavonoids.
- 434 Food Function 1: 15-31.

- 435 [15] Rodríguez-Mateos A, Vauzour D, Krueger CG, Shanmuganayagam D, Reed J,
  436 Calani L (2014) Bioavailability, bioactivity and impact on health of dietary
  437 flavonoids and related compounds: an update. Archives Toxicol 88: 1803-53.
- 438 [16] Williamson G, Clifford MN (2010) Colonic metabolites of berry polyphenols: the
  439 missing link to their biological activity? Br J Nutr 104: 48-66.
- 440 [17] Urpí-Sardá M, Llorach R, Khan N, Monagas M, Rotches-Ribalta M, Lamuela441 Raventós RM, Estruch R, Tinahones FJ, Andrés-Lacueva C (2010) Effect of milk
  442 on the urinary excretion of microbial phenolic acids after cocoa powder
  443 consumption in humans. J Agric Food Chem 58: 4706-11.
- 444 [18] Tulipani S, Martínez-Huélamo M, Rotchés M, Estruch R, Escribano E, Andrés445 Lacueva C, Illán M, Lamuela-Raventós RM (2012) Oil matrix effects on plasma
  446 exposure and urinary excretion of phenolic compounds from tomato sauces:
  447 Evidence from a human pilot study. Food Chem 30: 581-90.
- 448 [19] Rodríguez-Mateos A, Cifuentes-Gómez T, González-Salvador I, Ottaviani JI,
  449 Schroeter H, Kelm M, Heiss C, Spencer JPE (2015) Influence of age on the
  450 absorption, metabolism, and excretion of cocoa flavanols in healthy subjects. Molec
  451 Nutr Food Res 59: 1504-12.
- 452 [20] Aoun M, Michel F, Fouret G, Jullien M, Wrutniak-Cabello C, Ramos J, Cristol JP,
- 453 Coudray C, Carbonneau MA, Feillet-Coudray C (2010) A polyphenol extract
- 454 modifies quantity but not quality of liver fatty acid content in high-fat-high-sucrose
- 455 diet-fed rats: Possible implication of the sirtuin pathway. Br J Nutr 104: 1760-70.
- 456 [21] Heber D, Zhang Y, Yang J, Ma JE, Henning SM, Li Z (2014) Green tea, black tea,
- 457 and oolong tea polyphenols reduce visceral fat and inflammation in mice fed high-
- 458 fat, high-sucrose obesogenic diets. J Nutr 144: 1385-93.

- 459 [22] Etxebarría L, Arias N, Boqué N, Macarulla MT, Portillo MP, Martínez JA, Milagro
  460 FI (2015) Reshaping faecal gut microbiota composition by the intake of trans461 resveratrol and quercetin in high-fat sucrose diet-fed rats. J Nutr Biochem 26: 651462 60.
- 463 [23] Dudonné S, Varin TV, Anhê FF, Dubé P, Roy D, Pilon G, Marette A, Levy E,
  464 Jacquot C, Urdaci M et al. (2015) Modulatory effects of a cranberry extract co465 supplementation with Bacillus subtilis CU1 probiotic on phenolic compounds
  466 bioavailability and gut microbiota composition in high-fat diet-fed mice.
  467 PharmaNutrition 3: 89-100.
- 468 [24] Touriño S, Fuguet E, Vinardell MP, Cascante M, Torres JL (2009) Phenolic
  469 metabolites of grape antioxidant dietary fiber in rat urine. J Agric Food Chem 57:
  470 11418–11426.
- 471 [25] Touriño S, Pérez-Jiménez J, Mateos-Martín ML, Fuguet E, Vinardell MP,
  472 Cascante M, Torres JL (2011) Metabolites in contact with the rat digestive tract
  473 after ingestion of a phenolic-rich dietary fiber matrix. J Agric Food Chem 59:
  474 5955–5963.
- 475 [26] Urpí-Sardá M, Garrido I, Monagas M, Gómez-Cordovés C, Medina-Remón A,
- Andrés-Lacueva C (2009) Profile of plasma and urine metabolites after the intake
  of almond [Prunus dulcis (Mill.) D.A. Webb] polyphenols in humans. J Agric Food
  Chem 57: 10134–10142.
- 479 [27] Monagas M, Urpí-Sardá M, Sánchez-Patán F, Llorach R, Garrido I, Gómez-
- 480 Cordovés C, Andrés-Lacueva C, Bartolomé B (2010) Insights into the metabolism
- 481 and microbial transformation of dietary flavan-3ols and the bioactivity of their482 metabolites. Food Function1: 233-53.
- 483 [28] Choy YY, Quifer-Rada P, Holstege DM, Frese SA, Calvert CA, Mills DA,

- 484 Lamuela-Raventós RM, Waterhouse AL (2014) Phenolic metabolites and
  485 substancial microbiome changes in pig feces by ingesting grape seed
  486 proanthocyanidins. Food Function 5: 2298-308.
- 487 [29] Vitaglione p, Barone Lumaga R, Ferracane R, Sellitto S, Morelló JR, Requnat
- 488 Miranda J, Shimoni E, Fogliano V (2012) Human bioavailability of flavanols and
- 489 phenolic acids from cocoa-nut creams enriched with free or microencapsulated
  490 cocoa polyphenols. Br J Nutr 28: 1832-43.
- 491 [30] Li C, Lee MJ, Sheng S, Meng X, Prabhu S, Winnik B, Huang B, Chung JY, Yan S,
- 492 Ho CT et al. (2000) Structural identification of two metabolites of catechins and
- 493 their kinetics in human urine and blood after tea ingestion. Chem Res Toxicol 13:
  494 177-84.
- 495 [31] Meng X, Sang S, Zhu N, Lu H, Sheng S, Lee MJ, Ho CT, Yang CS (2002)
- 496 Identification and characterization of methylated and ring-fission metabolites of
- 497 tea catechins formed in humans, mice, and rats. Chem Res Toxicol 15: 1042-50.
- 498 [32] Wishart D, Tzur D, Knox C, Eisner R, Guo AC, Young N, Cheng D, Jewell K,
- 499 Arndt D, Sawhney S et al. (2007) HMDB: The Human Metabolome Database.
  500 Nucleic Acid Res 35: 521-26.
- 501 [33] Gill CIR, McDougall CJ, Glidewell S, Stewart D, Shen Q, Tuohy K, Dobbin A,
- Boyd D, Brown D, Haldar S et al. (2010) Profiling of phenols in human fecal water
  after raspberry supplementation. J Agric Food Chem 58: 10389-95.
- 504 [34] Scazzocchio B, Vari R, Filesi C, Del Gaudio I, D'Archivio M, Santangelo C,
  505 Iacovelli A, Galvano F, Pluchinotta FR, Gioannini C et al. (2015) Protocatechuic

- acid activates key component of insulin signalling pathway mimicking insulinactivity. Molec Nutr Food Res 59: 1472-81.
- 508 [35] Mora-Cubillos X, Tulipani S, García-Aloy M, Bulló M, Tinahones FJ, Andrés509 Lacueva C (2015) Plasma metabolomics biomarkers of mixed nuts exposure
  510 inversely correlate with severity of metabolic syndrome. Molec Nutr Food Res 59:
  511 2480-90.
- 512 [36] Ramos-Romero S, Molinar-Toribio E, Gómez L, Pérez-Jiménez J, Casado M,
  513 Clapés P, Piña B, Torres JL (2014) Effect of d-fagomine on excreted enterobacteria
  514 and weight gain in rats fed a high-fat high-sucrose diet. Obesity 2: 976-79.
- 515 [37] Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MS, Magrini V, Mardis ER, Gordon JI (2006)
- 516 An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest.517 Nature 444: 1027-1131.
- 518 [38] Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T, Cantarel BL, Duncan A, Ley RE, Sogin,
- 519 ML, Jones WJ, Roe BA Affourtit JP et al. (2009) A core gut microbiome in obese520 and lean twins. Nature 457: 480-84.
- 521 [39] Schwiertz A, Taras D, Schafer K, Beijer S, Bos NA, Donus C (2010) Microbiota
- and SCFA in lean and overweight healthy subjects. Obesity 18: 190-95
- 523 [40] Selma MV, Espín CJ, Tomás-Barberán FA (2009) Interaction between phenoliccs
  524 and gut microbiota: role in human health. J Agric Food Chem 57: 6485-501.
- 525 [41] Dueñas M, Cueva C, Muñoz-González I, Jiménez-Girón A, Sánchez-Patán F,
- 526 Santos-Buelga C, Moreno-Arribas C, Bartolomé B (2015) Studies on modulation of
- 527 gut microbiota by wine polyphenols: from isolated cultures to omic approaches.
- 528 Antioxidants 4: 1-21.

- [42] Yamakoshi J, Tokutake S, Kikuchi M, Kubota Y, Konishi Y, Mitsuoka T (2001)
  Effect of proanthocyanidin-rich extract from grape seeds on human fecal flora and
  fecal odor. Microbiol Ecol Health Dis13: 25-31.
- [43] De La Serre CB, Ellis CL, Lee J, Hartman AL, Rutledge JC, Raybould HE (2010)
  Propensity to high-fat diet-induced obesity in rats is associated with changes in
  the gut microbiota and gut inflammation. Am J Physiol Gastrointestinal Liver
  Physiol 299: 440-448.
- 536 [44] Brinkworth GD, Noakes M, Clifton PM, Bird AR (2009) Comparative effects of
  537 very low-carbohydrate, high-fat and high-carbohydrate, low-fat weight-loss diets on
  538 bowel habit and faecal short-chain fatty acids and bacterial populations. Br J Nutr
  539 101: 1493-502.
- 540 [45] Weltman MD, Farrell GC, Hall P, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Liddle C (1998) Hepatic
  541 cytochrome p450 2E1 is increased in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis.
  542 Hepatol 27: 128-33.
- 543 [46] Osabe M, Sugatani J, Fukuyama T, Ikushiro S, Ikari A, Miwa M (2008) Expression
  544 of hepatic UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 and 1A6 correlated with increased
  545 expression of the nuclear constitutive androstane receptor and peroxisome
  546 proliferator-activated receptor alpha in male rats fed a high-fat and high-sucrose
  547 diet. Drug Metabolism Disposition 36: 294-302.
- 548 [47] Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. (2008) Dose translation from animal to
  549 human studies revisited. Faseb J 22:259-61.
- 550 [48] Knaze V, Zamora-Ros R, Luján-Barroso L, Romieu I, Scalbert A, Slimani N, Riboli
- 551 E, Van Rossum CTM, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Trichopulou A. et al. (2012) Intake 552 estimation of total and individual flavan-3-ols, proanthocyanidins and theaflavins,

- their food sources and determinants in the European Prospective Investigation into
- 554 Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. Br J Nutr 28: 1095-108.
- 555 [49] Yamakoshi J, Saito M, Kataoka S, Kikuchi M. (2012) Safety evaluation of
- 556 proanthocyanidin-rich extract from grape seeds. Food Chem Toxicol 40: 599-607.

#### TABLES

	Diet					
	STD	HFHS	STD-GSE	HFHS-GSE		
Ingredients (g)						
Flour	$1000.0^{1}$	$1000.0^{2}$	$1000.0^{1}$	$1000.0^2$		
TBHQ	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08		
Porcine gelatin	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0		
Soybean lecithin	6.0	22.0	6.0	22.0		
Soybean oil	17.4	22.0	17.4	22.0		
Grajfnol <sup>®3</sup>	-	-	0.88	1.09		
Macronutrients <sup>4</sup>						
Protein (% by weight)	16.0	20.9	16.0	20.9		
Carbohydrate (% by weight)	66.8	47.4	66.8	47.4		
Fat (% by weight)	6.0	25.6	6.0	25.6		
Energy from protein (%)	16.5	16.5	16.5	16.5		
Energy from carbohydrate (%)	69.4	37.7	69.4	37.7		
Energy from fat (%)	14.1	45.8	14.1	45.8		
Total energy density $(\text{Kcal/g})^7$	3.9	5.1	3.9	5.1		

 Table 1. Composition of experimental diets

<sup>1</sup> Standard flour (Teklad Global 2014), containing wheat middlings, ground wheat, ground corn, corn gluten meal, calcium carbonate, soybean oil, dicalcium phosphate, iodized salt, L-lysine, vitamin E acetate, DL-methionine, magnesium oxide, choline chloride, manganous oxide, ferrous sulfate, menadione sodium bisulfite complex (source of vitamin K activity), zinc oxide, copper sulfate, niacin, calcium pantothenate, calcium iodate, pyridoxine hydrochloride, riboflavin, thiamin mononitrate, vitamin A acetate, vitamin B12 supplement, folic acid, cobalt carbonate, biotin and vitamin D3 supplement.

<sup>2</sup> High-fat high-sucrose diet (Tekland TD 08811), containing sucrose, anhydrous milkfat, casein, maltodextrin, corn starch, cellulose, mineral mix AIN-93G-MX, soybean oil, vitamin mix AIN-93G-VX, L-cystine, choline, bitartrate, green food colour, tert-butylhydroquinone

<sup>3</sup> Grajfnol<sup>®</sup> dose was adjusteded to provide a daily proanthocyanidin dose of 30 mg/kg body weight (body weight was higher in rats following a HFHS diet).

<sup>4</sup> Energy density is estimated as *metabolizable energy* based on the Atwater factors, assigning 4kcal/g to protein, 9kcal/g to fat, and 4kcal/g to carbohydrate, including dietary fiber.

STD, standard; HFHS, high-fat high-sucrose diet; GSE, grape seed extract; n.s., not specified

**Table 2.** Microbial-derived proanthocyanidin metabolites in urine from rats fed a standard (STD) diet or a high-fat high-sucrose (HFHS) diet without or with grape seed extract (GSE). Results expressed as  $\mu$ M, after quantification with structurally similar commercial standards (see **Table** 

**S1**).

Metabolite	STD HFHS		STD+ GSE		HFHS + GSE		
	mean <u>+</u> s.e.m.	mean <u>+</u> s.e.m.	x-fold <sup>1</sup>	mean <u>+</u> s.e.m.	x-fold <sup>1</sup>	mean <u>+</u> s.e.m.	x-fold <sup>1</sup>
Valerolactones							
3- or 4-Hydroxyphenylvalerolactone	1.43 <u>+</u> 0.43	2.34 <u>+</u> 0.54	1.6	21.39 <u>+</u> 7.31 <sup>**&amp;&amp;</sup>	15.0	$0.94 \pm 0.52^{\$\$}$	0.6
3,4-Dihydroxyphenylvalerolactone	0.35 <u>+</u> 0.24	n.d.	-	$12.38 \pm 4.14^{**\&\&}$	35.4	n.d. <sup>\$\$</sup>	-
Gluc-3,4-dihydroxyphenylvalerolactone	3.05 <u>+</u> 0.93	14.97 <u>+</u> 5.56	4.9	9.3 <u>+</u> 1.66 <sup>*</sup>	3.0	8.72 <u>+</u> 3.04	2.9
Sulf-3,4-dihydroxyphenylvalerolactone	0.94 <u>+</u> 0.58	n.d.	-	77.49 <u>+</u> 6.63 <sup>**&amp;&amp;</sup>	82.4	51.41 <u>+</u> 26.57 <sup>*\$\$</sup>	54.5
3-Hydroxyphenylmethylvalerolactone	1.43 <u>+</u> 0.43	7.99 <u>+</u> 2.12	5.6	5.26 <u>+</u> 1.49 <sup>**</sup>	3.7	$5.00 \pm 2.48$	3.5
4-Hydroxyphenylmethylvalerolactone	12.75 <u>+</u> 4.36	46.49 <u>+</u> 1.81	3.6	35.43 <u>+</u> 9.84	2.8	35.07 <u>+</u> 14.14	2.7
Gluc-3-hydroxymethylphenylvalerolactone	6.68 <u>+</u> 2.52	17.25 <u>+</u> 6.14	2.6	9.66 <u>+</u> 1.60	1.4	5.66 <u>+</u> 1.82	0.8
Sulf-3- ó 4-hydroxymethylphenylvalerolactone	4.12 <u>+</u> 1.37	21.11 <u>+</u> 9.35	5.1	5.17 <u>+</u> 0.93	1.3	$18.55 \pm 4.61^{*}$	4.5
Total	30.66 <u>+</u> 6.43	116.12 <u>+</u> 34.87	3.6	175.93 <u>+</u> 25.19 <sup>**</sup>	5.7	126.43 <u>+</u> 46.58	4.2
Lignans							
Enterolactone <sup>2</sup>	> 60	> 60		> 60		> 60	
Phenylvaleric acids							
3-Hydroxyphenylvaleric acid	$1.84 \pm 0.80$	n.d. <sup>**</sup>	-	$5.76 \pm 0.87^{*\&\&}$	3.1	3.06 <u>+</u> 1.22 <sup>\$\$</sup>	1.7
4-Hydroxyphenylvaleric acid	0.27 <u>+</u> 0.10	$0.40 \pm 0.10$	1.5	$2.67 \pm 0.90^{**\&\&}$	10.0	$2.06 \pm 0.69^{*\&}$	7.5
3,4-Dihydroxyphenylvaleric acid	$0.52 \pm 0.15$	6.14 <u>+</u> 1.83	11.8	$\frac{2.66 \pm 0.69^{*}}{59.43 +}$	5.1	4.18 <u>+</u> 1.30	8.1
Sulf-3,4-dihydroxyphenylvaleric acid	1.66 <u>+</u> 0.67	2.52 <u>+</u> 0.87	1.5	$12.46^{**\overline{\&\&}}$ 70.51 +	35.8	$1.01 \pm 0.50^{\$\$}$	0.6
Total	$4.30 \pm 1.37$	$9.06 \pm 1.87$	2.1	$12.63^{**\frac{1}{2}}$	16.4	$10.30 + 3.44^{\$\$}$	2.4
Phenylpropionic acids	····· <u>-</u> ···· ·	···· <u>·</u> ····				<u>-</u>	
				801.14 +			
3-Hydroxyphenylpropionic acid	393.66 + 162.88	$13.36 + 7.15^*$	0.03	523.07 <sup>&amp;&amp;</sup>	2.0	$24.13 + 8.71^{\$\$}$	0.06
Gluc-3- or- 4hydroxyphenylpropionic acid Dihydrocaffeic acid (3,4-	$1.27 \pm 0.77$	24.31 <u>+</u> 9.33	19.2	$0.96 \pm 0.12$	0.8	$15.31 \pm 6.51^{*$	12.1
Dihydroxyphenylpropionic acid)	$0.22 \pm 0.10$	0.67 <u>+</u> 0.24	3.1	$3.21 \pm 2.59^{*}$	14.8	$0.32 \pm 0.17$	1.5
Sulf-3,4-dihydrocaffeic acid	$2.19 \pm 0.91$	2.91 + 0.97	1.3	6.80 <u>+</u> 4.34	3.1	2.87 + 0.96	1.3

				815.12 +			
Total	397.34 + 163.18	41.25 + 8.72	0.1	530.01 <sup>&amp;&amp;</sup>	2.1	$42.63 + 15.65^{\$\$}$	0.1
Phenylacetic acids	—	—				—	
3-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid	3.74 + 1.40	4.71 + 1.57	1.3	$24.10 + 3.79^{**\&\&}$	6.4	$7.23 + 3.11^{\$}$	1.9
	—	—		$10\overline{2.44} +$		—	
4-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid	3.62 + 1.40	80.49 + 20.14	22.3	17.61 <sup>**&amp;&amp;</sup>	28.3	$37.99 + 17.26^{\$}$	10.5
3,4-Dihydroxyphenylacetic acid	0.05 + 0.02	0.56 + 0.22	10.9	$0.58 \pm 0.28^{**}$	11.6	0.35 + 0.16	6.8
Sulf-3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid	0.46 + 0.26	0.76 + 0.30	1.6	0.47 + 0.11	1.0	0.53 + 0.18	1.1
Total	7.87 + 2.74	$86.51 + 21.92^{**}$	11.0	$127.6 + 16.2^{**}$	16.2	$46.09 + 20.49^{\$}$	5.8
Benzoic acids	—	—		—		—	
4-hydroxybenzoic acid	0.82 + 0.33	4.40 + 1.13	5.3	$3.60 + 1.13^{*}$	4.4	2.06 + 0.57	2.5
3.4-Dihydroxybenzoic acid	0.02 + 0.01	0.10 + 0.03	4.7	$1.20 + 0.55^{**\&\&}$	56.9	$0.04 + 0.02^{\$\$}$	2.0
Gluc-3-hydroxybenzoic acid	0.01 + 0.01	0.005 + 0.002	0.4	$0.15 + 0.07^{**\&\&}$	10.6	$0.003 + 0.002^{\$\$}$	0.3
Gluc-4-hydroxybenzoic acid	n.d.	$0.04 + 0.02^{**}$	> 31	$0.02 + 0.005^{*}$	>7	0.01 + 0.01	> 10
Sulf-3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid	$0.39 \pm 0.12$	$2.43 \pm 0.68$	5.0	$3.90 \pm 2.12^{**}$	5.1	$1.82 \pm 0.72$	3.8
Sulf-vanillic-acid	19.43 <u>+</u> 3.83	$12.04 \pm 3.92$	0.6	$25.92 \pm 4.41$	1.3	$9.98 \pm 3.60^{\$}$	0.5
Total	20.68 + 3.57	$19.01 \pm 5.17$	0.9	$34.79 \pm 1.70$	1.7	$13.92 \pm 4.53$	0.7
Cinnamic acids	_	_		_		_	
Caffeic acid	0.06 <u>+</u> 0.03	n.d. <sup>*</sup>	-	$0.17 \pm 0.10^{\&\&}$	3.0	n.d. <sup>*\$\$</sup>	-
<i>m</i> -coumaric acid	6.92 <u>+</u> 3.26	$0.37 \pm 0.12^{*}$	0.05	13.96 <u>+</u> 1.74 <sup>&amp;&amp;</sup>	2.0	3.73 <u>+</u> 1.11 <sup>&amp;&amp;\$\$</sup>	0.5
<i>p</i> -coumaric acid	1.43 <u>+</u> 0.53	0.16 <u>+</u> 0.04	0.1	$1.86 \pm 0.53^{\&\&}$	1.3	$0.10 \pm 0.04^{\$\$}$	0.07
Sulf-coumaric acid-1	n.d.	$0.38 \pm 0.16^{**}$	> 38	$0.79 \pm 0.27^{**}$	> 790	$0.14 \pm 0.13^{*}$	> 14
Sulf-coumaric acid-2	$0.002 \pm 0.001$	$0.35 \pm 0.15^{**}$	169.3	$0.75 \pm 0.24^{**}$	375.0	$0.08 \pm 0.08^{\$}$	38.0
Ferulic acid	0.91 <u>+</u> 0.37	n.d.**	-	$1.14 \pm 0.43^{\&\&}$	1.2	n.d. <sup>**\$\$</sup>	-
Total	9.31 <u>+</u> 3.90	1.26 <u>+</u> 0.33	0.1	$18.68 \pm 2.00^{\&\&}$	2.0	$4.05 \pm 1.27^{\&\$\$}$	0.4
Glycinated benzoic acids							
Hippuric acid	2.85 <u>+</u> 1.05	167.85 <u>+</u> 73.30 <sup>**</sup>	58.9	125.18 <u>+</u> 73.97	43.9	105.48 <u>+</u> 75.36	37.0
Hydroxyhippuric acid	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	$0.22 \pm 0.07^{*}$	12.7	$0.96 \pm 0.20^{**\&\&}$	48.0	$0.12 \pm 0.06^{\$\$}$	7.2
Me-hippuric acid-1	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.33 \pm 0.13^{**}$	37.3	$5.32 \pm 2.02^{**}$	532.0	0.13 <u>+</u> 0.12	14.3
Me-hippuric acid-2	$0.17 \pm 0.07$	n.d.**	-	$0.79 \pm 0.42^{\&\&}$	4.6	n.d. ** <sup>\$\$</sup>	-
Total	3.05 <u>+</u> 1.10	168.40 <u>+</u> 73.41 <sup>**</sup>	55.3	$132.25 \pm 74.10^{*}$	43.4	105.73 <u>+</u> 75.51	34.7
Total of microbial-derived metabolites <sup>3</sup>	473.21 <u>+</u> 174.23	441.61 <u>+</u> 123.04	0.9	1375.03 <u>+</u> 534.83	2.9	355.49 <u>+</u> 164.69	0.7

n.d., non-detected; Gluc, glucuronide; Me, methyl; Sulf, sulfated

<sup>1</sup> Values generated by dividing metabolite concentration by the concentration of the same metabolite in the STD group. When the compound was n.d. in the STD group, the limit of detection was used to calculate x-fold value.

<sup>2</sup> Enterolactone occurred in all groups at concentrations above the highest point in the calibration curve.. <sup>3</sup> Enterolactone was not included in the calculation of the total microbial metabolites because its actual concentration could not be determined. <sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05 vs STD group. <sup>\*\*</sup>P< 0.01 vs STD group. <sup>&</sup> P < 0.05 vs HFHS group. <sup>&</sup> P < 0.01 vs HFHS group. <sup>\$</sup> P < 0.05 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. <sup>\$\$</sup> P < 0.0

**Table 3.** Microbial-derived proanthocyanidin metabolites in faeces from rats fed a standard (STD) diet or a high-fat high-sucrose (HFHS) diet without or with grape seed extract (GSE). Results expressed as  $\mu$ mol/g dried faeces, after quantification with structurally similar commercial standards (see **Table S1**).

Metabolite	STD	HFHS		STD + GSE	STD + GSE		HFHS + GSE	
	mean <u>+</u> s.e.m.	mean <u>+</u> s.e.m.	x-fold <sup>1</sup>	$mean \pm s.e.m.$	x-fold <sup>1</sup>	mean <u>+</u> s.e.m.	x-fold <sup>1</sup>	
Phenylvaleric acids								
3-Hydroxyphenylvaleric acid	0.19 <u>+</u> 0.09	n.d. <sup>**</sup>	-	55.59 <u>+</u> 49.19 <sup>*&amp;&amp;</sup>	292.6	27.44 <u>+</u> 22.72 <sup>*&amp;&amp;</sup>	147.4	
Phenylpropionic acids								
3-Hydroxyphenylpropionic acid	2.67 <u>+</u> 2.30	n.d. <sup>**</sup>	-	$5.75 \pm 5.25^{\&\&}$	2.2	$0.35 \pm 0.07^{\&\&}$	0.13	
4-Hydroxyphenylpropionic acid	0.65 <u>+</u> 0.16	$0.20 \pm 0.11$	0.3	2.32 <u>+</u> 1.82	3.6	39.63 <u>+</u> 33.96 <sup>&amp;&amp;</sup>	61.3	
Total	3.32 <u>+</u> 2.47	$0.20 \pm 0.11^{*}$	0.3	$8.07 \pm 5.10^{\&\&}$	2.4	39.99 <u>+</u> 34.00 <sup>&amp;</sup>	12.0	
Benzoic acids								
4-hydroxybenzoic acid	n.d.	n.d.*	-	$0.06 \pm 0.04^{*\&}$	> 615	n.d. <sup>*\$</sup>	-	
3,4-Dihydroxybenzoic acid	n.d.	n.d.		$0.01 \pm 0.01^{**\&\&}$	>9	n.d. <sup>\$\$</sup>	-	
Total	n.d.	n.d.	-	$0.07 \pm 0.05^{**\&\&}$	>48	n.d. <sup>\$\$</sup>	-	
Cinnamic acids								
Caffeic acid	0.001 <u>+</u> 0.0004	n.d.	-	$0.03 \pm 0.02^{**\&\&}$	30.0	n.d. <sup>** \$\$</sup>	-	
<i>p</i> -coumaric acid	$0.002 \pm 0.001$	$0.001 \pm 0.0002$	0.5	$0.15 \pm 0.12^{*\&}$	75.0	0.005 <u>+</u> 0.003	2.2	
Total	0.003 <u>+</u> 0.0020	$0.001 \pm 0.0002$	0.3	$0.18 \pm 0.14^{*\&\&}$	60.0	$0.005 \pm 0.003^{\$}$	2.2	
Glycinated benzoic acids								
Hippuric acid	$0.003 \pm 0.002$	n.d.	-	0.003 <u>+</u> 0.002	1.0	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	5.3	
Me-hippuric acid-1	0.39 <u>+</u> 0.31	n.d.**	-	629.57 <u>+</u> 646.52 <sup>&amp;&amp;</sup>	1614.3	n.d. <sup>**&amp;&amp;\$\$</sup>	-	
Me-hippuric acid-2	n.d.	n.d. <sup>**</sup>	-	$354.38 \pm 324.50^{**\&\&}$	> 1,000	n.d. <sup>\$\$</sup>	-	
Total	0.39 <u>+</u> 0.31	n.d.	-	1046.95 <u>+</u> 970.73 <sup>**&amp;&amp;</sup>	2664.0	$0.02 \pm 0.02^{*\$\$}$	0.04	
Total of microbial-derived metabolites	3.90 <u>+</u> 2.49	$0.20 \pm 0.11^{*}$	0.03	1110.86 <u>+</u> 1018.35 <sup>**&amp;&amp;</sup>	284.8	67.46 <u>+</u> 56.73 <sup>&amp;&amp;</sup>	17.3	

n.d., non-detected; Gluc, glucuronide; Me, methyl; Sulf, sulphated

<sup>1</sup> Values generated by dividing metabolite concentration by the concentration of the same metabolite in the STD group. When the compound was n.d. in the STD group, the limit of detection was used to calculate the x-fold value.

\* P < 0.05 vs STD group. \*\* P < 0.01 vs STD group. \* P < 0.05 vs HFHS group. \* P < 0.01 vs HFHS group. \* P < 0.05 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \* P < 0.01 vs STD

**Table 4.** (Epi)catechin and (epi)gallocatechin conjugated metabolites in urine from rats fed a standard (STD) diet or a high-fat high-sucrose (HFHS) diet without or with grape seed extract (GSE). Results expressed as  $\mu$ M, after quantification with structurally similar commercial standard (see**Table S1**).

Metabolite	STD	HFHS		STD + GSE		HFHS+ GSE	
	$mean \pm s.e.m.$	mean <u>+</u> s.e.m.	x-fold <sup>1</sup>	mean <u>+</u> s.e.m.	x-fold <sup>1</sup>	mean <u>+</u> s.e.m.	x-fold <sup>1</sup>
EC monoconjugated							
Gluc-EC-1	n.d.	n.d.	-	$0.32 \pm 0.16^{**\&\&}$	> 62	$0.26 \pm 0.11^{**\&\&}$	> 52
Gluc-EC-2	n.d.	n.d.	-	$0.22 \pm 0.11^{*\&}$	>43	$0.32 \pm 0.17^{*\&}$	> 64
Gluc-EC-3	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.20 \pm 0.06$	5.4	0.17 <u>+</u> 0.06	4.3	$0.24 \pm 0.13^{*}$	6.4
Gluc-EC-4	$0.02 \pm 0.001$	0.11 <u>+</u> 0.03	5.6	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	2.9	$0.05 \pm 0.01^{**}$	2.8
Gluc-EC-5	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.03	0.69 <u>+</u> 0.29	13.2	0.11 <u>+</u> 0.06	2.2	$0.55 \pm 0.26^{**}$	10.6
Total	0.11 <u>+</u> 0.04	1.00 <u>+</u> 0.38	9.2	$0.88 \pm 0.35^{*}$	8.1	$1.44 \pm 0.64^{**}$	19.8
EC diconjugated							
Gluc-Sulf-EC	$0.07 \pm 0.02$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	0.3	0.19 <u>+</u> 0.09	2.8	$0.03 \pm 0.01^{\&\$\$}$	0.4
Me-Gluc-EC-1	n.d.	n.d.	-	$0.05 \pm 0.02^{**\&\&}$	> 10	$0.05 \pm 0.01^{**\&\&}$	> 10
Me-Gluc-EC-2	n.d.	n.d.	-	$0.83 \pm 0.27^{**\&\&}$	>167	$1.67 \pm 0.52^{**\&\&}$	> 333
Me-Gluc-EC-3	n.d.	n.d.	-	$0.30 \pm 0.10^{**\&\&}$	> 59	$0.96 \pm 0.34^{**\&\&}$	> 190
Me-Sulf-EC	0.15 <u>+</u> 0.05	0.15 <u>+</u> 0.02	1.0	0.16 <u>+</u> 0.03	1.1	0.15 <u>+</u> 0.04	1.0
Total	$0.20 \pm 0.07$	0.17 <u>+</u> 0.07	0.8	$1.55 \pm 0.46^{**\&\&}$	7.8	$2.85 \pm 0.86^{**\&\&}$	14.2
EC triconjugated							
3Me-EC	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	0.09 <u>+</u> 0.03	1.4	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	1.0	0.06 <u>+</u> 0.01	1.0
2Me-Gluc-EC	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	1.6	0.09 <u>+</u> 0.02	1.8	0.03 <u>+</u> 0.01	0.6
Total	0.10 <u>+</u> 0.03	0.15 <u>+</u> 0.05	1.5	0.15 <u>+</u> 0.03	1.5	0.10 <u>+</u> 0.02	1.0
EGC diconjugated							
2Sulf-EGC	0.84 <u>+</u> 0.17	1.54 <u>+</u> 0.46	1.8	1.22 <u>+</u> 0.24	1.1	0.89 <u>+</u> 0.25	1.1
EGC triconjugated							
Me-Gluc-Sulf-EGC	0.23 + 0.07	0.13 + 0.13	0.5	0.46 + 0.06	2.0	0.26 + 0.10	1.1
Total of conjugated metabolites	$1.49 \pm 0.33$	2.99 <u>+</u> 1.06	2.3	$4.25 \pm 0.94$	2.9	$8.62 \pm 2.46^{**\&\&}$	5.8

n.d., non-detected; Gluc, glucuronide; Me, methyl; Sulf, sulphated

<sup>1</sup> Values generated by dividing metabolite concentration by the concentration of the same metabolite in the STD group. When the compound was n.d. in the STD group, the limit of detection was used to calculate the x-fold value.

\* P < 0.05 vs STD group. \*\* P < 0.01 vs STD group. \* P < 0.05 vs HFHS group. \*\* P < 0.05 vs HFHS group. \* P < 0.05 vs STD + GSE group. \*\* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. \*\* P < 0.01 vs STD + GSE group. Comparisons were performed using the Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests