Probing the variability in oxidation states of magnetite nanoparticles by single-particle spectroscopy
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We have studied the electronic and chemical properties of a variety of ensembles of size- and shape-selected FeO₄ nanoparticles with single-particle sensitivity by means of synchrotron-based X-ray photoemission electron microscopy. The local X-ray absorption spectra reveal that the oxidation states and the amount and type of cations within the individual nanoparticles can show a striking local variability even when the average structural and magnetic parameters of the monodisperse ensembles appear to be compatible with those of conventional homogeneous magnetite nanoparticles. Our results show the key role played by oleic acid concentration in the reaction mixture on the formation and compositional homogeneity within individual nanoparticles. When the concentration of oleic acid is high enough, the nanoparticles are composed of a FeO₄ core surrounded by a thin γ-Fe₂O₃ shell. However, at a low concentration of the fatty acid, the FeO₄ nanoparticles are likely inhomogeneous with small inclusions of FeO and Fe phases, as a result of an uncontrolled reduction of Fe³⁺ cations. All the foregoing underlines the importance of combining both advanced synthesis techniques and complementary single-particle investigations performed on a statistically significant number of particles so as to improve the understanding and control over electronic and magnetic phenomena at the nanoscale.

Introduction

Magnetic nanoparticles (NP) are extremely versatile building blocks with applications ranging from biomedicine¹–⁴ to energy, magnetic data storage, catalysis, and spin-filter devices.⁵–⁸ In addition, they are excellent model systems to study unique magnetic phenomena taking place at the nanoscale, such as single domain states, superparamagnetism (SPM), as well as the occurrence of enhanced values of magnetic moments and anisotropy energies.⁹ In particular, magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃) are among the most commonly studied systems due to their good magnetic performance, ease of production and functionalization by chemical routes, and low toxicity. However, for optimal performance, good control over the magnetic and structural properties as well as the surface chemistry is critical, and great efforts have been made for developing methods to obtain magnetic NP with high crystal quality, low size dispersion and enhanced magnetic response.¹¹,¹³ Moreover, interpreting and controlling the magnetic and electronic properties of iron oxide NP, especially at these very small sizes, still remain a challenge because of their crucial dependence on composition, structure, surface chemistry, and interparticle interactions. All of these contributions are difficult to disentangle, thus limiting the ability to quantitatively assess their actual effects on the functional response of the NP. For example, a detailed knowledge of the specific surface modifications of iron-oxide NP is relevant in their functionalization using biomolecular interactions, or in the manipulation of interfacial cross-coupling between magnetic and electrical properties in multiferroic nanostructures.¹⁵ Furthermore, good control over the magnetic anisotropy in such systems could be of potential interest for ultra-high density storage media and for magnetic tags in biological assays.¹⁶,¹⁷ At a more fundamental level, several questions still remain open about FeO₄, including the half-metallic character⁸ or understanding what hinders the experimental realization of the theoretically predicted large spin polarization.¹⁹

Meeting the above challenges requires both advanced synthesis methods enabling obtaining size-selected, chemically homogeneous FeO₄ NP with good magnetic properties and a precise and systematic characterization of the NP system. Regarding the first issue, chemical methods based on the high-temperature
decomposition of iron organometallic precursors in organic media with accurate control of the reaction temperature and the surfactant concentration have proven successful to synthesize Fe₃O₄ NP with high crystal quality, well-controlled shapes and sizes in the range from a few nm to about 50 nm, and reduced magnetic disorder. However, even for highly monodisperse particles, a strong correlation has been found between their macroscopic magnetic behavior and the structural and compositional features of the individual NP. This calls for the use of single-particle characterization techniques with elemental selectivity and high sensitivity to magnetic and electronic properties. For example, a 3D visualization of the iron oxidation state in FeO/Fe₂O₃ core–shell nanocubes has recently been achieved using electron energy loss tomography. Such advanced transmission electron microscopy (TEM)-based methods have the main advantage of combining an excellent spatial resolution (Å) with a fair energy resolution (about 0.5 eV). However, both the measurements and analyses are laborious, and thus the studies are typically restricted to a very limited number of particles.

In the present work, we investigate the electronic structure and distribution of Fe oxide phases within individual Fe₃O₄ NP by means of X-ray photoelectron microscopy (X-PEEM) in a large number of particles. This is a non-invasive, element-specific technique providing quantitative information about the chemical composition, electronic structure, and magnetism of individual NP, both static and time-resolved. Using this unique approach, we find that NP that appear homogeneous in crystal quality and macroscopic magnetic response in a monodisperse ensemble can exhibit a striking size-independent coexistence of NP with distinct Fe oxide phases as a result of the uncontrolled reduction of Fe³⁺ during the reaction process.

Experimental section
Synthesis of Fe₃O₄ NP
Two samples of nominal Fe₃O₄ NP with mean diameters of 15 and 24 nm, – in the following referred as S1 and S2, respectively – were synthesized by slightly modifying a previously reported procedure by using iron(III) acetylacetonate (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%) as an organometallic precursor and oleic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, 90%) and benzyl ether (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%) as a surfactant and organic solvent with a high boiling point, respectively. All the reagents were used in the synthesis without further purification.

Sample S1
15 nm NP were obtained by mixing 0.71 g of Fe(acac)₃ and 2.5 g of oleic acid (8 mmol) in 20 ml of benzyl ether. The reaction mixture was degassed at 60 °C for 30 minutes, and it was then heated up to 200 °C and kept at this temperature for 2 hours under a nitrogen atmosphere and vigorous stirring. Thereafter, the solution was heated up to reflux at 6.7 °C min⁻¹ for 1 hour. Finally, the solution was cooled down to room temperature and transferred to a 50 ml centrifuge tube together with a 20 ml mixture of hexane and acetone with a volume ratio of 1:3. After three times centrifugation at 9500 rpm for 15 minutes a black powder was obtained, which was then re-dispersed in hexane.

Sample S2
Bigger NP were obtained using the same experimental procedure than the above but reducing the amount of oleic acid in the reaction mixture to 2.1 g (6.7 mmol).

Table 1 Summary of the structural and magnetic parameters of samples S1 and S2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>DTEM (nm)</th>
<th>σTEM (nm)</th>
<th>DXRD (nm)</th>
<th>Mₛ at 5 K (emu g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Hₛ at 5 K (kOe)</th>
<th>Mₛ at 300 K (emu g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Hₛ at 300 K (kOe)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>91(1)</td>
<td>0.32(0.02)</td>
<td>82(1)</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>25(0.5)</td>
<td>95(2)</td>
<td>0.40(0.01)</td>
<td>87(2)</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The hysteresis loops before and after FC for S1. Hysteresis loops were also reported in highly crystalline samples, above the Verwey temperature, well defined magneto-crystalline axes are expected to favor spins pointing along the [111] directions, as shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b) and previously reported in highly crystalline samples synthesized by thermal decomposition methods.

The coercive field \( (H_c) \) was obtained as \( H_c = (|H_c^+| + |H_c^-|)/2 \), where \( H_c^+ \) and \( H_c^- \) were the intercepts of the hysteresis loop with the positive and negative sides of the \( H \)-axis, respectively. The \( H_c \) values at 5 K (Table 1) were in agreement with those published elsewhere for NP of similar sizes. Hysteresis loops were also recorded at 5 K after cooling the sample under 10 kOe (FC) from room temperature (Fig. S5, ESI†) in order to elucidate the existence of any changes in the internal magnetic configuration of the particles due to the presence of distinct coexisting magnetic phases. The hysteresis loops before and after FC for S1 look similar enough so as to discard the presence of inhomogeneous particles containing ferrimagnetic, antiferromagnetic (FeO in wustite phase) and/or ferromagnetic phases in close contact with each other. However, the FC hysteresis loop for S2 shows significant narrowing at low fields which may be attributed to the weak coupling among magnetic phases within a nanoparticle with a different magnetic anisotropy. The NP in both samples are magnetically blocked at room temperature (see e.g. the ZFC–FC magnetization curves for sample S2 in Fig. S6, ESI†).

The above spatially averaged characterizations on ensembles with a large number of particles would lead us to conclude that the structural and magnetic features for the different samples are rather uniform, regardless of the tuning of the synthesis parameters. However, as will be shown in the following, distributions of the actual chemical composition of the NP are present, even for such highly monodisperse (both in size and shape) ensembles of NP with consistently good crystallinity. Such heterogeneous physicochemical properties can only be disentangled through the local information obtained from single-particle experiments.

**X-ray imaging of single NP**

For the PEEM experiments, NP monolayers of the samples were prepared by either drop casting or spin coating under a \( \text{N}_2 \) atmosphere of highly diluted NP suspensions onto bare \( \text{SiO}_2 \) substrates. The typical particle density on the substrates for all cases was limited to a few particles per \( \mu \text{m}^2 \). The reason for this was twofold. First, single-particle detection by X-ray PEEM with a lateral resolution of about 50 nm is warranted; and second, it was aimed to avoid interparticle interactions in order to...
distinguish the intrinsic properties of the individual NP from the complex magnetic behavior of dense particle ensembles.\textsuperscript{27,39} The stochastic nature of the deposition process leads to random lateral distributions and random orientations of the crystallographic axes of the NP with respect to the substrate. After deposition, the samples were transferred to the PEEM chamber (LEEM III, Elmitec GmbH) of the Surface/Interface: Microscopy (SIM) beamline of the Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland.\textsuperscript{28} The base pressure of the microscope was $5 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar for the present experiments. All experiments were carried out at room temperature. The PEEM technique provides a full-field magnified image of the emitted secondary photoelectrons\textsuperscript{28} with a probing depth of a few nm at the $L_{2,3}$ edges of Fe,\textsuperscript{40} thus allowing a spatial map of the absorption of the particles.

To univocally determine the presence and position of the iron oxide NP, X-ray PEEM elemental contrast maps of the iron oxide nanoparticles were first obtained by a pixel-wise division of two PEEM images successively recorded at the resonant Fe $L_3$ absorption edge ($\sim 709$ eV) and at the pre-edge region ($\sim 705$ eV). Representative data are shown in Fig. 3(b). Such a procedure ensures that the observed contrast truly originates from the iron oxide NP and reduces topographic contrast. In addition, it reduces illumination inhomogeneity, thus significantly decreasing the systematic errors in X-ray absorption, which is of crucial importance to obtain reliable data. Considering that the particles are randomly distributed with a typical density of 1–2 particles per $\mu$m$^2$, each bright spot should ideally correspond to an individual iron oxide NP, such as particle A in Fig. 3(b). However, dimers or close-lying particles (particles B and C, respectively, in Fig. 3(b)) are also present in our samples. Consequently, only truly individual and well separated particles were considered in the analysis to avoid misinterpretations. The random disposition of the particles on the substrates reflects the stochastic nature of the deposition process and the limited mobility of the particles on the surface.

### AFM characterization of single NP

While X-ray PEEM yields information about the electronic properties of well-separated individual NP, its limited lateral resolution prevents a detailed morphological characterization. Thus, after the PEEM experiments were carried out, the samples were further studied by ex situ atomic force microscopy (AFM) (Fig. 3(a)) to accurately determine the size (height) of the very same individual NP investigated with PEEM (Fig. 3(b)). The identification of the same areas of the samples in the two microscopes was achieved using suitable marks on the substrates (Cr/Au lithographic markers in Fig. 3(a)).

### X-ray absorption spectroscopy of single NP

Isotropic local X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) images were obtained by recording sequences of X-ray PEEM images around the Fe $L_{2,3}$ edges using linear, $s$-polarized light and analyzing the isotropic (non-magnetic) intensity as a function of the photon energy.\textsuperscript{41} The field of view was 20 $\mu$m. The images in each energy scan were first aligned to correct for sample drifts during acquisition. Subsequently, the spectra of single NP were obtained by extracting the image intensity from square pixel areas centered on the bright spots in the PEEM images and normalized to the pixel area. The spectra were then normalized by dividing the signal from the single NP by a background signal from neighboring areas without particles. All of the particles within the field of view were probed simultaneously, thus, systematic errors arising from either the measurements (e.g. due to an inhomogeneous illumination across the PEEM images) or the analysis procedure (e.g. caused by image drift correction) were comparable for all the analyzed particles. Although the particles are smaller than the lateral resolution, individual spectra can be obtained when the particles are separated from their nearest neighbors by a sufficiently large distance.\textsuperscript{27,41} In our case this is on average between 0.5 and 1 $\mu$m (see Fig. 3(a)). Note that for smaller interparticle distances (see e.g. particle C in Fig. 3(a) and (c)), the absorption intensity profiles of the close-lying particles overlap and thus the resulting spectral features do not represent the actual single-particle characteristics, but instead, some weighted average of the spectral features from different close-lying particles. Data was collected from different areas of the samples to ensure sufficient data statistics on single particles. The spectra of the individual particles were rescaled between zero at the pre-edge (705 eV, no absorption) and one at the post-edge (730 eV, finite non-resonant absorption). This normalization procedure makes the XAS from different particles and samples directly comparable. Nevertheless, it should be noted that a quantitative interpretation of X-ray PEEM spectra is not straightforward. In particular, the analysis procedures employed to obtain the local spectra can significantly alter...
the final result.\textsuperscript{41} Therefore, careful data processing was performed to ensure that consistent, reproducible spectra were computed, as discussed elsewhere.\textsuperscript{41} XMCD spectra of individual iron oxide NP can also be obtained by collecting successive stacks of images for right (\(\sigma^+\)) and left (\(\sigma^-\)) circularly polarized X-rays around the Fe L\(_{2,3}\) edges.\textsuperscript{41} However, the low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of our XMCD spectra (not shown) hinders any attempts to get rough estimates of the spin and orbital moments from the individual NP. Therefore, in this work, we focus only on the study of the electronic and chemical structure from the isotropic XAS spectra.

Analysis of the local XAS spectra

In Fig. 4, illustrative examples of the Fe L-edge XAS spectra of single NP for samples S1(a) and S2(b–e) are shown. For each sample, the analysis was performed on a number of NP of similar size: 24 NP of 15 ± 1 nm for sample S1 and 33 NP of 24 ± 1 nm for sample S2. Iron forms several oxide compounds, such as FeO, Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\), \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), and \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) where FeO and \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) are antiferromagnetic while Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) and \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) are ferrimagnetic. The Fe L-edge XAS fine structure is a signature of the structural differences between the oxide phases and can thus be used to identify them. There are two main peaks corresponding to the L\(_1\) (\(\sim 709\ eV\)) and L\(_2\) edges (\(\sim 722\ eV\)), respectively, and several shoulder peaks (indicated by thin vertical lines in Fig. 4) with different energy positions and relative peak intensities depending on the iron oxide phase. To quantify the amount of these oxides present in selected individual particles within the samples, the measured local isotropic XAS spectra were fitted to a weighted linear combination of the reference bulk spectra of different iron oxide species taken from ref. 40: Fe, FeO, Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\), and \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). The assignment of the oxide phases shown in Fig. 4 has been chosen to achieve the best compromise between the most relevant criteria, as discussed in the literature.\textsuperscript{42} These are namely the shapes of the spectral features (thin vertical lines in Fig. 4), the branching L\(_1\) : L\(_2\) ratio, the shift between the first and main peak at the L\(_2\) edge, the occurrence of a L\(_3\) single peak, and the disappearance of the L\(_3\) edge splitting. Note that no single criterion is able to discriminate one oxide species over another due to the large variability of experimental data and peak-fitting methods in the literature.\textsuperscript{43} These difficulties together with the low SNR of the measured single-particle XAS spectra limit the average accuracy in the assignment of the oxide phases to about 8% in our case.

In the case of the 15 nm S1 NP, data show that all particles have a similar composition. For all the analyzed particles, the best spectral fits were compatible with a XAS signal arising from the weighted sum of 80% Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) and 20% \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (Fig. 4). In Fig. 4(a), we show a representative example of a fit to the measured XAS for a single NP, showing good agreement.

In contrast, in the case of 24 nm S2 NP, we found that particles of the same size and high crystal quality (see Fig. 1(b) and (d)) were composed of different Fe oxide species (Fig. 4(b)–(e)). The XAS spectra for about 40% of the NP were compatible with a composition of 80% Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) + 20% \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (Fig. 4(e)); about 40% with 50% Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) + 50% FeO (Fig. 4(c)); about 10% of the NP with 15% Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) + 85% Fe (Fig. 4(b)); and about 10% with 50% Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) + 30% FeO + 20% \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (Fig. 4(d)). Note that the occurrence of a single L\(_3\) peak and the symmetric shape of the L\(_2\) edge are features that are characteristic of over-reduced phases such as FeO and Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\),\textsuperscript{40,44} and are consistent with our spectra in Fig. 4(b) and (e). In contrast, the presence of multiplet features and the splitting of both the L\(_1\) and L\(_2\) peaks indicate a predominance of the Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) and \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) phases\textsuperscript{39,44} in agreement with our spectra in Fig. 4(d) and (e).

An accurate volume determination of each oxide phase is difficult. First, due to the intrinsic limitations of the experimental probe arising from the fact that the local intensity of the incident X-rays on the particles does not simply decay exponentially with the penetration depth, but is further modulated by the varying absorption cross sections of the different layers at a given photon energy, so the emitted electrons also experience a material dependent attenuation when leaving the sample.\textsuperscript{40} In addition, for NP of the sizes that we are investigating here...
(>12 nm) deposited on substrates, a large fraction of the volume of the NP is hardly probed.\(^{45}\) Second, if the volume of the parasitic phases is sufficiently small, it is difficult to discern whether they stabilize in layered-like structures or in the form of small inclusions of the Fe phases embedded within the Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) NP, as will be further discussed later (Fig. 5). We have estimated the diameters of the Fe and FeO inclusions to be very small (<1 nm), based on the bulk densities of the different Fe phases (5.2 g cm\(^{-3}\), Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\); 4.9 cm\(^3\), \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\); 5.74 g cm\(^{-3}\), FeO; 7.84 g cm\(^{-3}\), Fe)\(^{36}\) and the \(M_s\) values at 5 K (Fig. 2(b)). Therefore, neither XRD (Fig. 2(a)) nor high-resolution TEM data (Fig. 1(b)) enable discriminating between Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), although signatures from these phases as well as from over-reduced Fe phases have been confirmed through high resolution STEM and EELS characterization (Fig. S7, ESI\(^*\)).

In the case of the 15 nm S1 NP, since in \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), iron is in a higher oxidation state than in Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), it is reasonable to assume that the particles stabilize in the form of a Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) (core)/\(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) shell structure, as often reported in the literature for magnetite NP prepared by similar methods (see e.g. ref. 25 and 47). The same argument applies for the 24 nm S2(e) particles. In these two cases, we can estimate the relative oxide layer thickness corresponding to the topmost part of the particle that is locally probed by XAS using the electron escape depths from the literature ~ 35 Å for \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), and 50 Å for Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)\(^{40}\) - and a well-established procedure introduced by Regan et al.\(^{40}\) The thickness of the \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) shell for S1 and S2(e) NP was estimated to be about 0.7 nm. It should be pointed out that the thin \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) shell at the surface of the S1 and S2(e) particles may coexist with the oxygen contribution from the carboxylic groups of the oleic acid bonded to the surface Fe ions.\(^{35}\)

In contrast to the homogeneous Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) (core)/\(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) shell structure of the S1 and S2(e) particles, for S2(b), S2(c), and S2(d) particles, iron can also be found in low oxidation states such as FeO or metallic Fe. It is thus reasonable to assume that Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) stabilizes around the more reduced phases in the three cases, e.g., in the form of inhomogeneous Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NP throughout which small core inclusions of FeO or Fe are present (Fig. 5). This scenario would still be compatible with the XAS signal percent distributions in Fig. 4 and with the STEM and EELS data (Fig. S7, ESI\(^*\)). The over-reduced Fe phases hinder the formation of the over-oxidized \(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) phase at the surface of the S2(b) and (c) particles.

The relatively low SNR of the experimental single-particle spectra in Fig. 4 limits a quantitative, accurate determination of the cation site occupancies by fitting them to a linear combination of ligand field multiplet simulated spectra.\(^{44}\) This in turn prevents a reliable comparison with the data available in the literature for bulk or nanostructured Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\).\(^{39,40,48}\) Furthermore, it should be noted that neither finite-size nor surface effects are responsible for the large variation in phase homogeneity between 15 nm S1 particles (homogeneous) and 24 nm S2 particles (highly heterogeneous), since both sizes are well above those at which these effects become prevalent (around 5–6 nm).\(^{34}\) Besides, the NP in both cases show high crystallinity up to the surface, and comparable values and shapes of the magnetic parameters (Table 1) and hysteresis loops (Fig. 2(b)), respectively. These facts rule out the occurrence of any effects associated with either the finite size or the surface of the NP.

**Role of the oleic acid concentration on the type of Fe oxide phases**

Our single-particle spectra suggest that the concentration of the oleic acid in the reaction mixture has a remarkable effect on the nature and distribution of the various Fe oxide phases within individual NP. Typically, the role of the oleic acid on the synthesis of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NP is threefold. At the beginning of the reaction, oleic acid reacts with iron acetylacetonato(\(\mu\)) to form an intermediate [Fe(oleate)]\(^{3+}\)\(\mu\) complex.\(^{39}\) Then, the temperature of the reaction mixture is increased to reflux temperature where the [Fe(oleate)]\(^{3+}\)\(\mu\) decomposes\(^{39}\) yielding Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nuclei that are partially coated by unreacted oleic acid. This coating has a key role in self-regulating the subsequently growth of the nuclei to form the final particles.\(^{35}\) Finally, the oleic acid layer binds to the particle surface by adhesion or covalent bonding both enhancing surface magnetization and preventing further oxidation.\(^{25}\)

In addition, one can obtain good control of the particle growth by only varying the concentration of the oleic acid in the reaction mixture, thus obtaining a large variation of the structural properties of the particles. In particular, at low concentrations of the oleic acid with respect to the iron acetylacetonato(\(\mu\)) ([Fe(acac)] \(\mu\) > 4[oleic acid]),\(^{12}\) NP are obtained by the formation and further decomposition of various intermediate iron complexes. Thus, not only the aforementioned formation of [Fe(oleate)]\(^{3+}\)\(\mu\) - which further decomposes giving rise to homogeneous Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) structures - takes place, but also secondary reactions between the Fe(acac) and the benzyl-ether - that is not actually a non-inert solvent - yield other intermediate compounds\(^{39}\) that produce inhomogeneous oxide NP with poor control of the iron oxidation state.\(^{50}\)
As a result, inhomogeneous particles composed of different Fe species are obtained as a function of the concentration of oleic acid in the reaction mixture. When the concentration of oleic acid is high enough, the NP are composed of a nucleus of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} with an oxidized shell of γ-Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}, as commonly found.\textsuperscript{25} However, at low concentrations of the fatty acid, the Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NP are likely inhomogeneous with small inclusions of FeO\textsuperscript{3+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} (Fig. 5), as a result of the uncontrolled reduction of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ions.

Conclusions

We have studied the electronic and chemical properties of ensembles of size- and shape-selected Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NP by means of synchrotron-based X-ray spectroscopy using PEEM with single-particle sensitivity. The local XAS spectra reveal that the oxidation states and cation distribution of the individual nanoparticles may show a striking local variability even when the average structural and magnetic parameters of monodisperse ensembles appear homogeneous and size-and sample-independent. Our results show the key role of oleic acid concentration during the synthesis reaction on the formation and distribution of the Fe phases within the individual NP. When the concentration of oleic acid is high enough, the NP are composed of a Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} core surrounded by a thin oxidized shell of γ-Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}. In contrast, at low concentration of the fatty acid, the Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NP are likely inhomogeneous with small inclusions of FeO\textsuperscript{3+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} phases, as a result of the uncontrolled reduction of Fe\textsuperscript{3+}. Our work demonstrates the relevance of single-particle spectroscopies performed on a statistically significant number of particles to bring about a paradigm shift in the understanding of NP with heterogeneous physicochemical properties. Finally, our results have broad implications for applications focusing on the modification and functionalization of magnetic nanoparticles. They also highlight that a deeper knowledge of the formation pathways, phase coexistence and surface chemistry of magnetic nanoparticles is still required.\textsuperscript{51}
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