Laning, Thinning and Thickening of Sheared Colloids in a Twodimensional Taylor-Couette Geometry.[†]

Antonio Ortiz-Ambriz,^a Sascha Gerloff,^b Sabine H. L. Klapp,^b Jordi Ortín,^{ac} and Pietro Tierno^{acd}

Received Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

That published on the veb Xh XXXXXXX 200X
 DDT: 10.1039/b000005
 We investigate the dynamics and theological properties of a circular colloidal cluster that is continuously sheared by magnetic and optical torques in a two-dimensional (2D) Taylor-Couete geometry. By varying the two driving fields, we obtain the system flow diagram and report the velocity profiles along the colloidal structure. We then use the inner magnetic trimer as a old field. Experimental data are supported by Brownian dynamics simulations. Our approach gives a unique microscopic view on the structure of strongly confined colloidal matter weakens or strengthens upon shear, envisioning the engineering of reological devices at the microscales.
 1 Introduction Understanding the dynamics of confined particulate system in derivative driving methods, such as magnetic fields ^{19,0,20} or optical tweezers. ^{1,2,2} may help creating optical type systems including processes. ¹ A classical yet versatile applied drive. Recent experiments with optically confined migraviand at the these cylinders has made this geometry a powerful tool tirve systems remain unexplored. In addition, the interplay between shear add confinement gives rise to a host of mexployer investigate the emergence of centrifygal instabilities, and how systems, including bockling instabilities, shan bow for myerity along the systems remain unexplored. In addition, the interplay between shear and confinement gives rise to a host of mexployer optical twee systems remain unexployed. In addition, the interplay between shear and confinement gives rise to a host of mexployer optical twee systems including buckling instabilities, transport via density avays. Here, we use optical twee sets of a system structure optical twee systems and confinement gives rise to a host of mexployer optical twee sets of the system in the obsence of the system. In this 2D TC geometry, we observe to a system systema in the dynamics of to applices (triv

fixing the outer layer, we use the inner paramagnetic trimer as a microrheometer and thereby provide a realization of the original Couette experiment³⁵ on the colloidal length scale. Further, we observe that for a large magnetic torque, the fast spinning of the inner trimer generates a strong hydrodynamic flow and exerts a radial pressure pushing the third layer against the outer one. The corresponding change in the local densities

showing the dynamics of the colloidal clusters under combined magnetic and optical torques. See DOI: 10.1039/b00000x/

Departament de Física de la Matèria Condensada, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain E-mail: ptierno@ub.edu

^b Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

^c Universitat de Barcelona Institute of Complex Systems (UBICS), Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^d Institut de Nanociència i Nanotecnologia, Universitat de Barcelona, 08028, Barcelona, Spain.

of the layers manifests as a simultaneous shear thinning of the second layer and thickening of the third one.

2 Experimental details

The experiments are performed using optical tweezers with an Acousto Optic Device (AOD) and a rotating magnetic field. An infrared laser beam (ManLight ML5-CW-P/TKS-OTS, 5W maximum power, operated at 3W, $\lambda = 1064$ nm) is deflected by the AOD (AA Optoelectronics DTSXY-400-1064, driven by a RF generator DDSPA2X-D431b-34) and then focused from above by a microscope objective (Nikon 40x CFI APO) into a closed chamber of $\sim 100 \mu m$ thickness filled with the colloidal suspension. The bottom of the chamber is observed from the other side by a second microscope objective (Nikon 40x Plan Fluor) which projects an image onto a CMOS Camera (Ximea MO003MG-CM). The deflection angle of the AOD is thus mapped to the position of the optical tweezers in the observation plane. The colloidal particles are trapped in the transverse direction by the gradient force of the beam and in the axial direction by the balance between the scattering force and the electrostatic repulsion with the glass substrate.

We generate a sequence of 21 optical traps equally spaced on a circle with a radius of 14.1 μ m. Since the AOD system is capable of deflecting the laser beam every 20 μ s, each trap is visited once every 420 μ s. The optical potential thus created can be considered as quasi-static, since the beam scanning is much faster than the typical self-diffusion time of the particles, $\tau_D \sim 40$ s. We then use custom made software to simultaneously rotate all the traps at different rates ranging from 0.1 to 0.6 rad s⁻¹.

We use a bidisperse solution of paramagnetic microspheres (Dynabeads M-450 Epoxy, 4.5μ m diameter) and polystyrene particles (Invitrogen, 4μ m diameter). One milliliter of the stock solution of the paramagnetic colloids is first dispersed in 100ml of water with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) solution (~ 0.9 of the CMC) and the resulting mixture is stirred for two hours. We then mix 100 μ l of this solution with 1ml of a mixture of sodium hydroxide and water (10mg per liter) to neutralize the SDS, and 15 μ l of polystyrene particles. A drop of the final solution is placed on a capillary chamber that was previously made by sandwiching a layer of parafilm between two coverglasses and pressing while it is heated. The chamber is then sealed with a two component epoxy glue.

The density mismatch between water and the colloidal particles makes them sediment toward the chamber bottom. We then use a single laser trap to get three magnetic colloids together. Afterwards, we use the tweezers to build the system from inside out, until we finally have 3 magnetic colloids in the center, 9 in the second layer, 15 in the third layer and 21 in the last layer. The iron oxide doping of the paramagnetic parti-



Layer 1 2 3 4

Fig. 1 (a) Scheme of the experimental system where a cluster of 48 microspheres is confined by 21 time-shared optical traps. The three inner particles are paramagnetic colloids that are subjected to an in-plane rotating magnetic field of amplitude B_0 . Red (blue) arrow indicates the rotation direction induced by the optical (magnetic) field. (b) Microscope image of one colloidal cluster. Scale bar is $5 \mu m$, see also VideoS1 in the Supporting Information.

cles makes them slightly darker under brightfield microscopy, which allows us to distinguish them from the other particles when assembling the cluster. Finally, only the 21 particles in the outer layer are trapped.

We apply a rotating magnetic field using two pairs of coils whose axes are perpendicular to each other and to the vector normal to the surface of the coverglass. The coils are powered by two amplifiers (KEPCO BOP 20-10M) connected to a digital-to-analogue card (NI 9263). The applied in-plane rotating field of amplitude B_0 and driving frequency Ω . During all experiments, we keep fixed the angular frequency to $\Omega = 125.7 \text{ rad s}^{-1}$, and vary B_0 in order to control the rotational speed of the inner triplet.

3 The colloidal Taylor-Couette Geometry

The system geometry is shown schematically in Fig.1(a) for the counter-rotating case, see also VideoS1 in the Supporting Infomation. We assemble a circular cluster composed of 48 microspheres and radius $R = 14.1 \mu$ m, by trapping the outer 21 particles with the infrared laser. since the AOD scanning is much faster than the typical self-diffusion time of the particles, $\tau_D = 40$ s, the optical traps can then be considered as 21 independent harmonic potentials placed along the circle. The outer colloidal layer is either rotated with a constant angular velocity $\omega_4 \in \pm [0.1, 0.6]$ rads⁻¹ or Péclet number Pe $\in [1.7, 10.1]$ when used in the TC geometry, or kept fixed ($\omega_4 = 0$ rads⁻¹) when using the inner trimer as a microrheometer. Here we define Pe = τ_D/τ_{ω} where τ_{ω} is the time required by a driven particle to travel its diameter (here $\tau_{\omega} \sim 1/\omega$ for the trimer and $\tau_{\omega} \sim 0.28/\omega$ for the outer shell). The internal trimer



Fig. 2 Left and right columns: azimuthal velocity v_{φ} of the different layers (top) and first derivative v'_{φ} (bottom) versus distance *r* from the center of the cluster. Central column, top: microscope images of the colloidal cluster with the flow field superimposed as yellow arrows, see videos in the Supporting Information. Scale bar is 10µm for all images. Bottom: flow diagram in the (ω_4, B_0) plane illustrating the dynamic regimes observed, with smooth velocity profile (blue), a localized break at $r = 6\mu m$ (II particle layer, red) or at $r = 10\mu m$ (III particle layer green). Here B_0 denotes the amplitude of the rotating field that applies a torque to the inner trimer, and ω_4 the angular velocity of the optically-driven outer layer.

is rotated by subjecting the paramagnetic colloids to a rotating magnetic field with amplitude B_0 and angular velocity Ω , $\boldsymbol{B}(t) \equiv B_0[\cos{(\Omega t)}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \sin{(\Omega t)}\hat{\mathbf{y}}]$. The applied modulation induces the assembly of the paramagnetic particles due to time-averaged attractive magnetic interactions,³⁶ and also induces a finite torque $T_m \sim B_0^2$ that forces the trimer to rotate at an angular velocity ω_1 . This torque results from the internal relaxation of the particle magnetization^{37,38} and can be calculated for the whole trimer as $T_m = V_c \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{B} =$ $V_c B_0^2 \chi_{eff}^{"}(\Omega) \hat{\mathbf{z}}/\mu_0$, where $\mu_0 = 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} H m^{-1}$, V_c is the volume of the trimer, and $\chi''_{eff} = 0.18$ is the effective dynamic magnetic susceptibility. Thus, at a constant driving frequency of $\Omega = 20\pi \text{rads}^{-1}$, $T_m \sim B_0^2$, the amplitude of the rotating magnetic field is used to vary the rotational motion of the inner trimer. Using video-microscopy, we measure the polar coordinates (r_i, φ_i) of each particle *i* with respect to the center of the cluster. We then obtain the average angular velocity per layer *n* as $\omega_n = \left\langle N_n^{-1} \sum_i^{N_n} \omega(\mathbf{r}_i) \right\rangle$, where the summation counts only the N_n particles within the respective layer, Fig.1(b). From these data, we calculate the azimuthal flow velocity, $v_{\varphi}(r) = \langle \omega(r) \rangle r$ and the first derivative, $dv_{\varphi}/dr = v'_{\varphi}$ in order to visualize the flow discontinuities.

In the central panel of Fig. 2 we show the complete flow diagram of our system obtained by varying the angular velocity ω_4 of the outer shell and the amplitude B_0 of the applied magnetic field. We classify the different dynamical phases in terms of the velocity profiles and corresponding first derivatives, as these quantities vary along the radial direction. Due

to the strong confinement of our colloidal cluster, we never observed particle exchange between the layers. Thus, our system does not display swirls and large scale rearrangements as observed in other sheared granular systems ^{6,39–41}. In contrast to such works, our confined system allows investigating commensurability effects between different layers. These layers generate periodic corrugations that slide past each other during their relative motion, and the strong confinement favors the fitting of particles of one layer in the interstices generated by the colloids of the neighboring layers. Further, size difference between the magnetic and non magnetic particles and the circular confinement frustrates ordering. Thus, depending on the directions and amplitudes of the shearing torques, the system may show layers that slip and others that temporary lock to each other. In order to characterize the different dynamical regimes, we plot the time-averaged azimuthal velocity per layer and the corresponding jump in the first derivative. Some examples are shown in the left and right panels of Fig.2. In particular, we classify as "smooth" (blue regions in Fig.2) velocity profiles that lead to a jump in the derivative of the azimuthal velocity smaller than a given threshold, in this case $|(v'_{\varphi}(r_n) - v'_{\varphi}(r_{n+1}))/(\min_{v'_{\varphi}} - \max_{v'_{\varphi}})| \le 0.15$. On the contrary, in the red and orange regions the system displays velocity profiles with a strong discontinuity, leading to a pronounced jump (> 0.15) in v'_{φ} . This shear-induced breakage is usually observed when there is no dominant driving mechanism, as opposed to when either the driving of the inner or outer layer is much stronger than the other. The breakage can be localized either between the first and the second layer



Fig. 3 (a,b) Time averaged angular velocities ω_n for different layers *n* versus squared amplitude of the applied field B_0^2 obtained from experiments (a) and Brownian dynamics (BD) simulations (b). Here the magnetic torque $T_m \sim B_0^2$. In both cases, the inset illustrates enlargements of the main graphs. (c) The three components of the system-averaged stress tensor, $\tilde{\sigma}_{r\varphi} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\varphi r}$ (orange), $\tilde{\sigma}_{rr}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{\varphi \varphi}$ (purple) versus B_0^2 from BD simulations, the inset shows a zoom on $\tilde{\sigma}_{\varphi \varphi}$. (d) Viscosity η_n of the different layers *n* [see Eq. (2)] versus B_0^2 from BD simulations. The dashed black line indicates the threshold fields B_c^2 .

 $(r = 6\mu m)$ or the second and third layer $(r = 10\mu m)$. The first case occurs in the counter-rotating situation, when the inner trimer is able to drag the second layer of particles in opposite direction than the outer layer, and the cluster inevitably breaks in pairs of counter-propagating colloidal domains (VideoS1 in the Supporting Information). In the co-rotating case, the breakage rather localizes close to the outer layer, as this colloidal shell has a stronger capability to drag nearest layers. The third layer is mainly driven by the fourth one, while the second has a reduced angular speed as it also tries to follow the rotating magnetic triplet. When the first and fourth layer have the same angular velocity ($\omega_1 = \omega_4$), the system displays a Poiseuille-like flow profile. For $\omega_4 = 0$ we observe a reentrant behavior by increasing B_0 . For $B_0 < 0.75$ mT the trimer cannot drag the second particle layer, and the velocity profile is smooth. For $0.7 \text{mT} < B_0 < 1.3 \text{mT}$, the trimer drags only the second layer and there is a jump in v'_{φ} , while for $B_0 > 1.3$ mT also the third layer is mobilized and the velocity profile becomes smooth again.

4 The colloidal micro-rheometer

We will now focus on the central region of the flow diagram in Fig.2, where we keep the outer layer fixed ($\omega_4 = 0$) and continuously rotate only the inner trimer within the range $\omega_1 \in$ [0.05, 36.4]rads⁻¹ or Pe \in [0.4, 2184]. In Fig.3(a) we show experimental measurements of the average angular velocities of the four colloidal layers versus the square of the magnetic field up to $B_0^2 = 20$ mT². Above a depinning threshold $B_0 =$ 0.6mT, below which all particles are at rest, the trimer starts rotating and shows an angular velocity that increases linearly with B_0^2 , up to a threshold field strength $B_c = 3.3 \,\mathrm{mT}$. At B_c we can see a sharp jump in the angular velocity of the trimer, where the mobility, i.e. slope of ω_1 , remains constant. Further, we observe that the slope of ω_3 reveals an abrupt decrease as the magnetic torque applied to the trimer increases, inset in Fig.3(a). As we will show later, this behavior is related to a transition from "thinning" to "thickening" at B_c . Above B_c the fast spinning of the trimer generates a strong hydrodynamic flow that lubricates the region between the first and the second layer, see also VideoS5 in the Supporting Information. Thus, we find an overall thinning of the trimer viscosity for $B_0 > B_c$. This flow also pushes the third layer of particles towards the outer one, increasing the local packing density and reducing the effective mobility of this layer. This leads to an abrupt transition to thickening as seen in the third layer at a critical field strength B_c .

In order to access the viscosity of all the layers and the system shear stresses, we perform 2D Brownian dynamics simulations of a binary mixture of charged colloids partially confined by harmonic potentials and paramagnetic colloids, see Appendix A for more details. Taking into account hydrodynamic effects on the Rotne-Prager level, the overdamped equation of motion for the position \mathbf{r}_i of each colloid i is given by

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}_{i}}{dt} = \sum_{j} \left(\underbrace{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{ij}^{\text{TT}}}_{j} \mathbf{F}_{j} + \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{ij}^{\text{TR}}}_{j} \mathbf{T}_{j} \right) + \Gamma_{i}(t), \quad (1)$$

where $\underline{\mu}_{=ij}^{TT}$ and $\underline{\mu}_{=ij}^{TR}$ are the mobility matrices due to

translation-translation (TT) and translation-rotation (TR) couplings. The total force on the particle *j* is given by $\mathbf{F}_j = \sum_{k \neq j} \mathbf{F}_{pp}(\mathbf{r}_{kj}) + \mathbf{F}_T(\mathbf{r}_j, t)$, and is due to the particle-particle interaction (\mathbf{F}_{pp}) and the optical traps (\mathbf{F}_T), see Appendix A for more details. The torque acting on the paramagnetic particles is given by \mathbf{T}_j , Γ_i is a random force, stemming from random displacements with zero mean and variance $2D_0\delta t$, and $D_0 \approx 0.4\mu \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ is the experimentally measured diffusion constant. The simulation time scale is set to the selfdiffusion time $\tau_D = d^2/D_0 \approx 40\text{s}$, while the discrete time step is $\delta t = 10^{-6}\tau_D$.

The results of our theoretical model are shown in Fig.3(b), and placed on the same axis as the experimental data in Fig.3(a). We find that the model allows to qualitatively capture the dynamic features observed in the experiments. From the simulation results, the threshold field strength is observed earlier at $B_c = 1.96$ mT, which is of the same order of magnitude as in the experiment. Moreover, the simulations allow us to access all the rheological quantities of interest such as the components of the system averaged stress tensor, $\tilde{\sigma}_{rr}$, $\tilde{\sigma}_{\omega\omega}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{\sigma r} = \tilde{\sigma}_{r\sigma}$, as well as the shear viscosity of each layer, η_n . The former are calculated by using the virial expression for the stress tensor in polar coordinates [see Eq. (15) in the Appendix]. Given the system-averaged shear stress $\tilde{\sigma}_{r\varphi}$, we can approximate the *local* shear stress by $\sigma_{r\varphi}(r) \propto \tilde{\sigma}_{r\varphi}/r^2$. This relation follows from the fact that the divergence of the stress tensor in a steady state vanishes and accounts for the spatial dependence of the volume elements in polar coordinates. Note that this relation is exact for an incompressible Newtonian fluid. We then approximate the local shear viscosity by the ratio

$$\eta_n = \sigma_{r\varphi} \left(r_n \right) / \Delta \omega_n, \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta \omega_n = \omega_n - \omega_4$ is the angular velocity difference between the *n*th and the fourth layer, which is kept static, i.e. $\omega_4 = 0$. For details see Appendix A.

The shear viscosities of each inner colloidal layer are plotted as a function of B_0^2 in Fig.3(d), and illustrate the continuous thinning of the first three layers as well as the thickening of the third one above B_c . We note that, being fixed by the optical tweezers ($\omega_4 = 0$), the viscosity, as defined above, of the outer layer diverges and thus it is not reported in Fig.3(d). The same holds for the inner layers for $B_0 \rightarrow 0$, being pinned to the outer one. The transition at B_c is also reflected in all components of the stress tensors shown in Fig.3(c). In particular, the shear stress ($\tilde{\sigma}_{r\varphi}$) displays an increase in the slope at B_c^2 , and subsequent decrease at high magnetic field strengths, corresponding to the shear thinning and thickening respectively. The two diagonal components of the stress tensor decrease as the field increases, corresponding to an increase of the radial $P_r = -\tilde{\sigma}_{rr}$ and azimuthal pressure $P_{\varphi} = -\tilde{\sigma}_{\varphi\varphi}$. The shear thickening at B_c is accompanied by a steep increase of P_r , which is resolved subsequently. Further, we have confirmed this general behav-



Fig. 4 Amplitude of the radial deformation u_p versus square of the magnetic field B_0^2 for a fixed outer layer of particles ($\omega_4 = 0$). Left inset: power spectrum of the elastic deformations measured for $B_0^2 = 1.1 \text{ mT}^2$. Right inset: corresponding polar plot in the reference frame of the trimer of the particle trajectories showing the threefold tidal wave.

ior by performing simulations on a larger cluster with N = 76 particles. This system displays the same type of local thinning and thickening in the layer closest to the fixed outer layer, even though the associated field is larger.

5 Radial deformations

While the dynamic transition at B_c appears to be sharp in terms of the different rheological quantities, we find in the experiments that the cluster shows a continuous decrease in the amplitude of the radial distortions induced by the rotating trimer. Given the non-circular shape of the trimer, the particles from the second layer are forced to periodically enter and exit its interstitial regions. The induced deformation thus appears in form of a threefold tidal wave as shown in the small polar plot in Fig.4. To characterize these elastic deformations, we measure their amplitude as a function of the magnetic field strength in the Fourier space and in the reference frame of the trimer as, $u_r(\Omega') =$ $N_2^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} T^{-1} \int_0^T \exp[3i(\Omega' t - \varphi_j)] r(\varphi_j, t) dt$, where $r(\varphi_j, t)$ is the distance of the N_2 particles composing the second layer and located at an angle φ_i in the reference frame of the trimer. In Fig.4 we plot the measured peak of $u_r(\Omega')$, namely $u_p = \max[u_r(\Omega'), \Omega' \in \mathbb{R}]$, as a function of B_0^2 and in the upper left inset the corresponding $u_r(\Omega')$ for one exemplary B_0 . For small field strengths $(B_0^2 < B_c^2)$, the radial path of the particles in the second layer is deformed by the rotating trimer. After a transient regime, the amplitude of the deformation stabilizes

to a stationary value where the relative speed between the N_2 composing particles approaches zero. We further note that, being in the Stokes regime, the decoupling of the dynamics along the radial and azimuthal directions is effectively possible, and this explains the emergence of two different types of dynamic transitions (of sharp and continuous character, respectively) along the two directions.

6 Conclusions

We have realized a colloidal microrheometer based on the combined action of magnetic and optical torques. The present approach may be used as an effective microrheological tool to explore the viscoelastic properties of complex fluids. This could potentially include biological media confined between the magnetic trimer and the optically trapped colloidal ring. Further, by replacing the internal trimer with a single ferromagnetic particle, one could simplify the system geometry, decoupling the information measured along the shear and radial direction. In addition, the optical tweezers may be programmed to periodically shear the outer layer of particles and thus to explore the frequency-dependent properties of complex fluids, which represents an exciting future avenue.

Appendix

A Model and simulation details

Here, we describe the microscopic model underlying our Brownian dynamics simulations. We consider a dense binary mixture of charged colloids and paramagnetic colloids confined to a 2D disk-like area by an outer layer of particles trapped by harmonic potentials. All colloids interact via a repulsive Yukawa potential

$$U_{pp}(r_{ij}) = V_0 \exp[\kappa (d_{ij} - d)] \frac{\exp(-\kappa r_{ij})}{\kappa r_{ij}}, \qquad (3)$$

with V_0 being the particle-particle interaction strength, κ the inverse Debye screening length, $d_{ij} = (d_i + d_j)/2$ the mean particle diameter, and $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$ the distance between particle *i* and *j*. To model the repulsive particle interactions corresponding to the experimental system, we set $\kappa = 70d^{-1}$ and $V_0 = 1.69037 \cdot 10^{33} k_B T$.

The paramagnetic particles interact, in addition to the repulsion, via the time-averaged dipole-dipole (DD) interaction 42,43

$$U_{\rm DD}(r_{ij}) = -\frac{(V\chi B_{0,\rm DD})^2}{8\pi\mu_0 r_{ij}^3},\tag{4}$$

with $\mu_0 = 4\pi 10^{-7} Hm^{-1}$ being the magnetic permittivity, $V = (4\pi/3)d_i^3$ the particle volume, $\chi = 1.4$ the effective volume

susceptibility, and $B_{0,DD}$ the amplitude of the magnetic field used for the DD interactions We note that in the experiments $B_{0,DD}$ and B_0 are expected to be equal. However, in our simulations this leads to an unintentional compression of the inner layer, due to the finite softness of the particle interactions. To counteract this artifact, the amplitude of the rotating magnetic field determining the strength of the DD interactions is fixed to a constant value (specifically, here we set $B_{0,DD} = 700 \text{ Am}^{-1}$).

The particles forming the outer colloidal layer are trapped by harmonic potentials

$$U_R(\mathbf{r}_{i,t}) = \frac{k}{2} |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{i,0}(t)|^2 \qquad i \in \text{outer layer}, \quad (5)$$

where *i* is from the N_4 particles of the outer layer, *k* is the strength of the harmonic potential, and $\mathbf{r}_{i,0}$ is the position of the center of the trap corresponding to the particle *i*. The constant angular velocity of the outer layer is applied by translating the center of the harmonic traps on a ring, where the corresponding trajectories are given by

$$\mathbf{r}_{j,0}(t) = R\left[\cos\left(\Phi_{j}(t)\right)\mathbf{e}_{x} + \sin\left(\Phi_{j}(t)\right)\mathbf{e}_{y}\right]$$
(6)

$$\Phi_j(t) = 2\pi \left(\frac{j}{N_4} + \omega_4 t\right),\tag{7}$$

with *R* being the radius of the outer layer, and ω_4 its angular velocity.

Following earlier simulation studies of driven colloids, ^{44,45} we model the hydrodynamic interactions on the Rotne-Prager level. Furthermore, to account for the hydrodynamic effect of the planar substrate, i.e a liquid-solid interface, we apply the Blake's solutions.^{44–46} The resulting hydrodynamic couplings are described by translation-translation (TT) and translation-rotation (TR) mobility matrices entering the equations of motion [see Eq. (1) in the main text]. Specifically, the TT mobility matrix is given by:

$$\underline{\underline{\mu}}_{ij}^{TT} = \frac{D_0}{k_B T} \underline{\underline{I}} + \underline{\underline{G}}^{RP}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) - \underline{\underline{G}}^{RP}(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) + \delta \underline{\underline{G}}(\mathbf{R}_{ij}), \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$ is the vector between particle *i* and *j*, $\mathbf{R}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}'_j$ is the vector between particle *i* and the image of particle *j*, $\mathbf{r}'_j = (x_j, y_j, -z_j)^T$ is the position of the image of particle *j* for an interface which is located at z = 0 and is infinitely extended in *x* and *y* direction. The first term of Eq. (8) corresponds to the self mobility of particle *i*. The second and third term are the Rotne-Prager tensors for the TT coupling of particle *i* to particle *j* and its image respectively, given by

$$\underline{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}^{RP}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) = \frac{1}{3\pi\eta d_i} \left[\frac{3}{8} \frac{d_j}{r_{ij}} \left(\underline{\underline{\mathbf{I}}} + \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right) + \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{d_j}{r_{ij}} \right)^3 \left(\underline{\underline{\mathbf{I}}} - 3\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right) \right],$$

where $\eta = k_B T / 3\pi d_j D_0$ is the effective viscosity of particle *j* given by the Stokes-Einstein relation. The last term in Eq. (8)

contains the correction terms according to the Blake solution (on the Rotne-Prager level)

$$\delta G_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) = \frac{1}{3\pi\eta d_i} \left[\frac{3}{16} d_j R_z^2 \left(\frac{1}{R_{ij}^3} - 3\frac{R_\alpha^2}{R_{ij}^5} \right) - \frac{d_j^5}{64} \frac{1}{R_{ij}^9} \left(4R_\alpha^4 - \frac{9}{16} \right) \right]$$

$$\delta G_{\alpha\beta}\left(\mathbf{R}_{ij}\right) = \frac{1}{3\pi\eta d_i} \left[\frac{9}{4} d_j R_z^2 \frac{R_\alpha^2}{R_{ij}^5} - \frac{d_j^5}{64} \left(R_\alpha^2 + R_\beta^2 \right) \frac{R_\alpha R_\beta}{R_{ij}^9} \right],\tag{10}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \{x, y\}$ and R_{α}, R_{β} are the α and β components of **R**_{*ij*}, respectively.

The paramagnetic particles, forming the inner layer n = 1, are driven by the rotating magnetic field which exerts a constant torque onto these particles,

$$\mathbf{T}_{j} = \frac{V \chi \tilde{B}_{0}^{2} t_{\text{rel}} \omega_{m}}{\mu_{0} (1 + t_{\text{rel}}^{2} \omega_{m}^{2})} \hat{\mathbf{z}}, \qquad (11)$$

where \tilde{B}_0 is the magnetic field strength employed in the simulations *, $t_{rel} = 10^{-4}$ s is the relaxation time and $\omega_m = 2\pi \text{ rad s}^{-1}$ is the angular velocity of the magnetic field. This torque is transferred to the motion of particles via the TR mobility matrix

$$\underline{\mu}_{ij}^{TR} = \frac{1}{8\pi\eta} \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}^3} - \frac{\mathbf{R}_{ij}}{R_{ij}^3} \right) \underline{\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}},\tag{12}$$

where $\hat{\underline{\varepsilon}}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol.

The inner particles of the colloidal cluster are then driven by a flow field $\mathbf{u}_i^{TR} = \sum_j \underline{\mu}_{=ij}^{TR} \mathbf{T}_j$ exerted from the individually rotating paramagnetic colloids. In the absence of all other particles, the cluster of the three paramagnetic particles performs a regular circular motion on a ring, with an angular velocity proportional to B_0^2 .

Combining the hydrodynamic mobility matrices with the equation of motion of conventional (overdamped) Brownian dynamics simulations, we finally arrive at Eq.(1) in the manuscript. Following previous studies,⁴⁷ the interaction potentials were truncated and shifted accordingly at $r_{c,pp} = 1.169d$ for the Yukawa interaction [see Eq. (3)] and at $r_{c,DD} = 10.775d$ for the DD interaction [see Eq. (4)], with $d \approx 4 \,\mu\text{m}$ being the diameter of the non-paramagnetic particles. The diameter of the paramagnetic particles is set to $d_{inner} = 1.125d$.

From the particle positions, we calculate the mean angular velocity per layer as

$$\omega_n = \left\langle \frac{1}{2\pi N_n} \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} \frac{\varphi_i(t+dt) - \varphi_i(t)}{dt} \right\rangle , \qquad (13)$$

* The magnetic field strength in the simulations is set to $\tilde{B}_0 = \beta B_0 \sqrt{\tau_D}$, where the factor of proportionality $\beta \approx 862$ is determined by fitting the linear response of the free trimer, data not shown here.

with N_n the number of particles in the layer n, dt the time interval between the measurements, and φ_i the angular component in polar coordinates of particle i, which is defined as

 $R_{\beta}^4 + 3R_{\beta}^2$

$$\mathbf{r}_{i} = r_{i} [\cos\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \mathbf{\hat{x}} + \sin\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \mathbf{\hat{y}}].$$
(14)

Further, we calculate the elements of the *system-averaged* stress tensor in polar coordinates as

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{nm} = \left\langle -\frac{1}{V} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \frac{\partial U_{pp}(r_{ij})}{\partial r_{ij}} \frac{[\mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i})][\mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i})]}{r_{ij}} \right\rangle,$$
(15)

where $V = \pi R^2$ is the volume of the system, *N* is the number of particles and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$, $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$ are the orthogonal basis vectors in polar coordinates. In the steady state of an incompressible fluid, this system-averaged stress fully determines the local stresses. This is due to the fact that the stress density needs to be constant, i.e. the divergence of the stress tensor vanishes. In polar coordinates the local shear stress is $\sigma_{r\varphi}(r) = \alpha/r^2$, where α is an integration constant. The latter can be determined by integrating the local stress over the whole system

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{r\varphi} := \frac{1}{\pi \left(r_4^2 - r_1^2\right)} \int_{r_1}^{r_4} \int_0^{2\pi} \sigma_{r\varphi}\left(r\right) r \, d\varphi \, dr = \frac{2\log\left(\frac{r_4}{r_1}\right)}{r_4^2 - r_1^2} \, \alpha, \tag{16}$$

where $r_4 = R$ is the radius of the outer ring and r_1 is the radius of the paramagnetic ring. From Eq. (16) it follows that the local shear stress is given by

$$\sigma_{r\varphi}(r) = \frac{R^2 - r_1^2}{2\log\left(\frac{R}{r_1}\right)} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{r\varphi}}{r^2}.$$
(17)

Using this expression we approximate the shear viscosity per layer as the ratio between the local shear stress and the angular velocity difference

$$\eta_n = \frac{\sigma_{\rho\phi}(r_n)}{\Delta\omega_n}, \qquad (18)$$

where $\Delta \omega_n = \omega_n - \omega_4$ is the angular velocity of layer *n* relative to the outer ring ω_4 . In writing Eq. (18) we have assumed $\Delta \omega_n$ to be proportional to the true local shear rate. In other words, for a solid-body rotation ($\omega_n = \omega \forall n$) the shear rate would vanish, as one would expect.

B Acknowledgments

We thank Thomas M. Fischer, Benjamin Dollet and Hartmut Löwen for many stimulating discussions. This research was funded by the ERC starting Grant "DynaMO" (No. 335040). A.O.A. acknowledges support from the "Juan de la Cierva" program (FJCI-2015-25787). J.O. and P.T. acknowledge support from MINECO (FIS2016-78507-C2) and

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

DURSI (2017SGR1061). S.G. and S. H. L. K. acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through SFB 910 (project B2).

References

- 1 C. W. Macosko, *Rheology Principles, Measurements, and Applications*, Wiley - VCH, New York, 1994.
- 2 G. I. Taylor, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A, 1923, 223, 289-343.
- 3 M. A. Fardin, C. Perge and N. Taberlet, *Soft Matter*, 2014, **10**, 3523–3535.
- 4 G. Debrégeas, H. Tabuteau and J.-M. di Meglio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2001, **87**, 178305.
- 5 J. Lauridsen, G. Chanan and M. Dennin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, **93**, 018303.
- 6 D. Howell, R. P. Behringer and C. Veje, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1999, 82, 5241– 5244.
- 7 W. Losert, L. Bocquet, T. C. Lubensky and J. P. Gollub, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2000, **85**, 1428–1431.
- 8 K. A. Reddy, Y. Forterre and O. Pouliquen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2011, **106**, 108301.
- 9 M. R. López-González, W. M. Holmes, P. T. Callaghan and P. J. Photinos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, 93, 268302.
- 10 A. Groisman and V. Steinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 1480-1483.
- 11 J. M. White and S. J. Muller, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2000, 84, 5130–5133.
- 12 K. Kim, R. J. Adrian, S. Balachandar and R. Sureshkumar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **100**, 134504.
- 13 R. Besseling, L. Isa, E. R. Weeks and W. C. K. Poon, Adv. Coll. Inter. Sci., 2009, 146, 1–17.
- 14 X. Cheng, J. H. McCoy, J. N. Israelachvili and I. Cohen, *Science*, 2011, 333, 1276.
- 15 N. Y. C. Lin, B. M. Guy, M. Hermes, C. Ness, J. Sun, W. C. K. Poon and I. Cohen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2015, **115**, 228304.
- 16 D. Derks, H. Wisman, A. van Blaaderen and A. Imhof, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 2004, 16, S3917.
- 17 I. Cohen, B. Davidovitch, A. B. Schofield, M. P. Brenner and D. A. Weitz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, **97**, 215502.
- 18 R. Besseling, E. R. Weeks, A. B. Schofield and W. C. K. Poon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **99**, 028301.
- 19 B. B. Yellen, O. Hovorka and G. Friedman, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 2005, 102, 8860–8864.
- 20 P. Tierno, R. Muruganathan and T. M. Fischer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, 98, 028301.
- 21 T. Bohlein, J. Mikhael and C. Bechinger, Nat. Materials, 2012, 11, 126.
- 22 Z. Yan, S. K. Gray and N. F. Scherer, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 3751.
- 23 I. Williams, E. C. Ogguz, P. Bartlett, H. Löwen and C. P. Royall, *Nat. Comm.*, 2013, 4, 2555.
- 24 I. Williams, E. C. Ogguz, T. Speck, P. Bartlett, H. Löwen and C. P. Royall, *Nat. Phys.*, 2016, **12**, 98103.
- 25 A. Vanossi, N. Manini and E. Tosatti, Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A., 2012, 109, 16429–16433.
- 26 S. Gerloff and S. H. L. Klapp, Phys. Rev. E, 2016, 94, 062605.
- 27 W. Fornari, L. Brandt, P. Chaudhuri, C. U. Lopez, D. Mitra and F. Picano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2016, **116**, 018301.
- 28 E. J. Stancik, M. J. O. Widenbrant, A. T. Laschitsch, J. Vermant and G. G. Fuller, *Langmuir*, 2002, 18, 4372.
- 29 S. Q. Choi, S. Steltenkamp, J. A. Zasadzinski and T. M. Squires, *Nature Comm*, 2011, 2, 312.
- 30 I. Buttinoni, Z. A. Zell, T. M. Squires and L. Isa, *Soft Matter*, 2015, 11, 8313.
- 31 I. Cohen, T. G. Mason and D. A. Weitz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, **93**, 046001.

- 32 Y. L. Wua, D. Derks, A. van Blaaderena and A. Imhof, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 2009, 106, 10564.
- 33 M. Ramaswamy, N. Y. C. Lin, B. D. Leahy, C. Ness, A. M. Fiore, J. W. Swan and I. Cohen, *Phys. Rev. X*, 2017, 7, 041005.
- 34 S. H. L. Klapp, Y. Zeng, D. Qu and R. von Klitzing, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **100**, 118303.
- 35 M. M. Couette, Ann. Chim. Phys., 1890, 6, 433-510.
- 36 The magnetic dipolar interaction energy between a pair of particles (i, j) with moments m_i, m_j and located at a distance $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i \mathbf{r}_j|$ is given by, $U_d = (\mu_0/4\pi)[(\mathbf{m}_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_j)/r_{ij}^3 - 3(\mathbf{m}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij})(\mathbf{m}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij})/r_{ij}^5]$. This potential is attractive or repulsive when these moments are parallel or perpendicular to the separation distance \mathbf{r}_{ij} . Performing a time average of the dipolar energy between two equal colloids for a magnetic field rotating in the (x, y) plane, gives an attractive potential in this plane $\langle U_d \rangle = -\frac{\mu_0 m^2}{8\pi (x+y)^3}$, leading to clustering, as previoulsy reported²⁰.
- 37 X. J. A. Janssen, A. J. Schellekens, K. van Ommering, L. J. van Ijzendoorn and M. J. Prins, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2009, 24, 1937.
- 38 A. Cebers and H. Kalis, Eur. Phys. J. E, 2011, 34, 1292.
- 39 D. M. Mueth, G. F. Debregeas, G. S. Karczmar, P. J. Eng, S. R. Nagel and H. M. Jaeger, *Nature*, 2000, **406**, 385.
- 40 D. Fenistein, J. W. van de Meent and M. van Hecke, *Phus. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, **92**, 094301.
- 41 R. P. Behringer, D. Bi, B. Chakraborty, S. Henkes and R. R. Hartley, *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 2008, **101**, 268301.
- 42 S. Jäger, H. Stark and S. H. L. Klapp, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 2013, 25, 195104.
- 43 F. Martinez-Pedrero, A. Ortiz-Ambriz, I. Pagonabarraga and P. Tierno, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2015, 115, 138301.
- 44 E. M. Gauger, M. T. Downton and H. Stark, *Eur. Phys. J. E*, 2009, **28**, 231.
- 45 Y. von Hansen, M. Hinczewski and R. R. Netz, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2011, **134**, 235102.
- 46 J. R. Blake, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 1971, 70, 303.
- 47 D. Frenkel and B. Smit, Understanding Molecular Simulation, Academic Press, Inc. Orlando, FL, USA, 2001.

8 | Journal Name, 2010, [vol],1-8