

Urtica dioica L. (Urticaceae) have been shown to protect the body from the harmful effects by acting against free radicals (Kan *et al.*, 2009). *Nigella sativa* L. (Ranunculaceae) plant has antioxidant (Worthen *et al.*, 1998) and anti-tumor (Burits and Bucar, 2000) properties. In a study conducted by Uysal *et al.* (2015), the methanol extract of *Echium amoenum* (Fisch. and Mey) (Boraginaceae) decreased the genotoxic effects of EMS.

Consequently, profenofos insecticide has shown toxic effects on both female and male of *D. melanogaster*. The extracts of rosehip plant have reduced the toxic effects of profenofos. In a result of this study, it is thought that *Rosa canina* has healing effects and could be used in alternative medicine.

References: Abdullah, A.R., A. Kumar, and J.C. Chapman 1994, Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 13: 1861–1866; Anter, J., M. Romero-Jimenez, Z. Fernandez-Bedmar, M. Villatoro, M. Analla, and A. Alonso-Moraga 2011, J. Med. Food 14: 276–283; Burits, M., and F. Bucar 2000, Phytother. Res. 14: 323–328; Cakir, A., S. Kordali, H. Kilic, and E. Kaya 2005, Bosse Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 33: 245–256; Cakir, S., and R. Sarikaya 2005, Food Chem. Toxicol. 43: 443–450; Daels-Rakotoarison, D.A., B. Gressier, F. Trotin, C. Brunet, M. Luyckx, T. Dine, F. Bailleul, M. Cazin, and J.C. Cazin 2002, Phytother. Res. 16: 157–161; Darko, G., and O. Akoto 2008, Food Chem. Toxicol. 46: 3703–3706; Das, G.P., A.P. Shaik, and K. Jamil 2006, Drug Chem. Toxicol. 29: 313–322; El-Hoda, N., and A. Zidan 2009, Int. J. Pharm. 5: 51–57; Gao, X., L. Björk, V. Trajkovski, and M. Uggla 2000, J. Sci. Food. Agr. 80: 2021–2027; Habiba, R.A., H.M. Ali, and S.M. Ismail 1992, J. Agric. Food Chem. 40: 1852–1855; Halici, M., F. Odabasoglu, H. Suleyman, A. Cakir, A. Aslan, and Y. Bayir 2005, Phytomedicine 12: 656–662; Hammam, F.M., and E.M. Abd el Mottaleb 2007, EJHM 29: 685–706; He, J., M. Fan, and X. Liu 2010, Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 84: 771–774; Hvattum, E., 2002, Rapid Commun. Mass. Spectrom. 16: 655–662; Ismail, M., R. Ali, T. Ali, U. Waheed, and Q. Mahmood Khan 2009, Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 82: 569–573; Kan, Y., I. Erdogan Orhan, U. Koca, and S. Kusmenoglu 2009, Turk. J. Pharm. Sci. 6: 21–30; Kavitha, P., and J.V. Rao 2009, Ecotox. Environ. Safe. 72: 1727–1733; Kaya, B., 2003, Turk. J. Biol. 27: 241–246; Khadem, S., and R.J. Marles 2010, Molecules 15: 7985–8005; Kılıçgün, H., and A. Dehen 2009, Pharmacognosy Res. 1: 417–420; Kizilet, H., C. Kasimoglu, and H. Uysal 2013, Pol. J. Environ. Stud. 22: 1263–1267; Lee, S.C., Y.S. Kwon, K.H. Son, H.P. Kim, and M.Y. Heo 2005, Arch. Pharm. Res. 28: 775–783; Li, X., S. Li, S. Liu, and G. Zhu 2010, Arch. Environ. Con. Tox. 59: 478–483; Mansour, M.K., A.A.I. El-Kashoury, M.A. Rashed, and K.M. Koretem 2009, Nat. Sci. 7: 1–15; Montazeri, N., E. Baher, F. Mirzajani, Z. Barami, and S. Yousefian 2011, J. Med. Plants Res. 5: 4584–4589; Moustafa, G.G., Z.S. Ibrahim, Y. Hashimoto, M.A. Alkelch, K.Q. Sakamoto, M. Ishizuka, and S. Fujita 2007, Arch. Toxicol. 81: 875–881; Orhan, N., M. Aslan, S. Hosbas, and O.D. Deliorman 2009, Phcog. Mag. 5: 309–315; Ozcan, M., 2000, Acta Aliment. Hung. 29: 377–384; Perry, N.S.L., C. Bollen, E.K. Perry, and C. Bolland 2003, Pharmacol. Biochem. Be. 75: 651–658; Rao, J.V., D. Shilpanjali, P. Kavitha, and S.S. Madhavendra 2003, Arch. Toxicol. 77: 227–232; Serteser, A., M. Kargioglu, V. Gok, Y. Bagci, M.M. Ozcan, and D. Arslan 2008, Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 59: 643–651; Tumbas, V.T., J.M. Canadanovic-Brunet, D.D. Cetojevic-Simin, G.S. Cetkovic, S.M. Dilas, and L. Gille 2012, J. Sci. Food Agr. 92: 1273–1281; Uysal, H., H. Kizilet, A. Ayar, and A. Taheri 2015, Toxicol. Ind. Health 31: 44–51; Uysal, H., T. Şişman, and H. Aşkin 2006, Atatürk Üniversitesi, Fen-Edebiyat fakültesi Offset Tesisleri, Erzurum, Turkey; Willich, S.N., K. Rossnagel, S. Roll, A. Wagner, O. Mune, J. Erlendson, A. Kharazmi, H. Sörensen, and K. Winther 2010, Phytomedicine 17: 87–93; Worthen, D.R., O.A. Ghosheh, and P.A. Crooks 1998, Anticancer Res. 18: 1527–1532.



Autumnal fauna of drosophilids at Font Groga site (Barcelona, Spain).

Rojo, E.¹, M. Sellés¹, C.E. Arboleda-Bustos², and F. Mestres^{1*}. ¹Dept. Genètica, Microbiologia i Estadística, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona (Spain); ²Instituto de Genética, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá (Colombia). *Corresponding author:

f mestres@ub.edu

On 16th October 2018 we trapped flies at the Font Groga site (Tibidabo mountain), on the city limits of Barcelona (Araúz *et al.*, 2009). The vegetation is typically Mediterranean, dominated by pines (*Pinus pinea*)

and ilexes (*Quercus ilex*). The flora of the undergrowth is composed, among other plants, by *Arbutus*, *Ruscus*, *Erica*, *Hedera*, *Rubus*, and *Smilax*. Ten fermented banana baits were left along a trail separated each other approximately by 10 meters. Flies were netted from 4 to 6:30 p.m., in intervals of 15-30 minutes. The climatic variables, recorded from the Observatori Fabra, which is located only 2 km from the trapping place, were: Maximum temperature: 22.4°C, Minimum temperature: 14.8°C. That afternoon there was a fine intermittent drizzle. Flies were classified next day in the laboratory and results are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Classification of the flies according to species and sex (Font Grogua site, Barcelona).

Species	Number	Percentage
<i>D. subobscura</i> (♂)	192	23.67
<i>D. subobscura</i> (♀)	229	28.24
<i>D. simulans</i> (♂)	130	16.03
<i>D. melanogaster</i> (♂)	4	0.49
<i>D. melanolsimulans</i> (♀)	207	25.52
<i>D. suzukii</i> (♂)	4	0.49
<i>D. suzukii</i> (♀)	13	1.60
<i>D. immigrans</i> (♀)	2	0.25
<i>D. phalerata</i> (♂)	11	1.36
<i>D. phalerata</i> (♀)	16	1.97
<i>D. hydei</i> (♂)	1	0.12
<i>D. hydei</i> (♀)	1	0.12
<i>D. kuntzei</i> (♂)	1	0.12
Total	811	100

As expected, the most abundant species was *D. subobscura*, because it presents a peak of expansion in autumn (Krimbas, 1993; Argemí *et al.*, 1999). *D. simulans* was at a fairly high frequency, due to its autumnal expansion period in Mediterranean populations (Argemí *et al.*, 1999, 2003). The invasive species *D. suzukii* was also found, and it seems that it has established a rather stable way in this place, because it has been reported in different collections (Canals *et al.*, 2013; Pineda *et al.*, 2014; Esteve and Mestres, 2015; Rosselló *et al.*, 2016; Madrenas *et al.*, 2017; Lagares and Mestres, 2018). The remaining species are also regularly observed at Font Grogua site. With this composition of drosophilids, the values of H' (Shannon diversity index) and J (Shannon uniformity index) were 0.929 and 0.477, respectively. They are similar to those estimated in 2013 (Pineda *et al.*, 2014) and 2014 (Esteve and Mestres, 2015).

References: Araúz, P.A., F. Mestres, C. Pegueroles, C. Arenas, G. Tzannidakis, C.B. Krimbas, and L. Serra 2009, J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 47: 25-34; Argemí, M., M. Monclús, F. Mestres, and L. Serra 1999, J. Zool. Syst. Evol.

Res. 37: 203-210; Argemí, M., F. Mestres, A. Prevosti, and L. Serra 2003, J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 41: 57-63; Canals, J., J. Balanyà, and F. Mestres 2013, Dros. Inf. Serv. 96: 185-186; Esteve, C., and F. Mestres 2015, Dros. Inf. Serv. 98: 20; Krimbas, C.B., 1993, *Drosophila subobscura*: Biology, Genetics and Chromosomal polymorphism, Verlag Dr. Kovac, Hamburg (Germany); Lagares, C., and F. Mestres 2018 Dros. Inf. Serv. 101: 1; Madrenas, R., C. Lagares, and F. Mestres 2017, Dros. Inf. Serv. 100: 48-49; Pineda, L., C. Esteve, M. Pascual, and F. Mestres 2014, Dros. Inf. Serv. 97: 37; Rosselló, M., R. Madrenas, V. Ojeda, and F. Mestres 2016, Dros. Inf. Serv. 99: 18-19.



Egg density effect on individual reaction norm of genotype in *Drosophila pseudoobscura* population from Strawberry Canyon, California.¹

Gupta, Anand P., and A.A. Felton. Johnson C. Smith University, Department of Science and Mathematics, Charlotte, NC 28216. Email: agupta@jcsu.edu

¹Dedicated to the memory of Drs. Stephen Jay Gould (Harvard University) and Joseph Fail, Jr. (JCSU).

Numerous genetic studies on the effect of different external environmental conditions for the development of a phenotype from a genotype (*reaction norm*) in *Drosophila* are a well-known phenomenon. Analogous genetic studies are also accessible at the molecular level. Both types of studies, however, are pertinent to the gene expression and have led to understanding the regulation of development for a phenotypic trait. The present study is designed to compare the same genotype at two egg densities under three different external environments. This was done for parental strains and their hybrids (Gupta, 1978).