

CAIXA 31.41

UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA

FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES

A GENERALIZATION OF WRIGHT'S INEQUALITY

by

J.-L. GARCIA ROIG

BIBLIOTECA DE LA UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA



0701570650

PRE-PRINT N.º 33

ENERO 1986

A GENERALIZATION OF WRIGHT'S INEQUALITY

J.-L. García Roig

Let R be a commutative ring with identity, E an R -module and $x_1, \dots, x_r \in R$ a multiplicity system on E (see [1], p.295). Then the length $\ell_R(E/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})E)$ is finite for any positive integers n_1, \dots, n_r , and Wright's inequality (see [1] p.296) says

$$\ell_R(E/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r})E) \leq n_1 \dots n_r \cdot \ell_R(E/(x_1, \dots, x_r)E),$$

for arbitrary n_1, \dots, n_r .

This inequality can be written as

$$\ell_{R^H O} K(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E) \leq n_1 \dots n_r \cdot \ell_{R^H O} K(x_1, \dots, x_r | E),$$

where $K(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E)$ denotes the Koszul complex defined by E and the elements $x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r}$.

In this paper we establish that for a Noetherian module similar inequalities hold for the higher Koszul homology modules, i.e., for $i \geq 0$, $\ell_{H_i} K(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E) \leq n_1 \dots n_r \cdot \ell_{H_i} K(x_1, \dots, x_r | E)$. Moreover, the same is true for the higher Euler-Poincaré characteristics of the Koszul complexes $K(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E)$, i.e., for $i \geq 0$, we have $\chi_i(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E) \leq n_1 \dots n_r \cdot \chi_i(x_1, \dots, x_r | E)$, where, by definition,

$$\chi_i(x_1, \dots, x_r | E) = \sum_{j \geq i} (-1)^{j-i} \ell_{H_j} K(x_1, \dots, x_r | E).$$

Actually the inequality for χ_i is an equality if $i=0$ (see [1] p.311).



We also prove that the functions $\ell_{H_1 K}(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E)$ and $\ell_{x_1}(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E)$ increase with the exponents n_1, \dots, n_r .

In what follows R denotes a commutative ring with identity, E is a Noetherian module over R and $x_1, \dots, x_r \in R$ is a system of multiplicity on E . This will ensure that all the lengths which appear are indeed finite, though some of the results would also hold without assuming the lengths to be finite. We denote the length by ℓ or ℓ_R .

Lemma 1. Let E be an R -module and x_1, \dots, x_r, y be elements of R . Then, for any $i \geq 0$,

$$\ell_R H_i K(x_1, \dots, x_r | E) \leq \ell_R H_i K(x_1 y, x_2, \dots, x_r | E).$$

Proof. The inequality follows from the exact sequence (cf. [2] p.IV-2)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \frac{H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)}{x_1 H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)} &\rightarrow H_1 K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r | E) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow (0 : x_1)_{H_{i-1} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding one for $H_1 K(x_1 y, x_2, \dots, x_r | E)$, by observing that both $(0 : x_1)_{H_{i-1} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)} \subseteq (0 : x_1 y)_{H_{i-1} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)}$

and $x_1 H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E) \supseteq x_1 y H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)$. #

Bearing in mind that the Koszul homology modules do not depend on the order of the elements defining it, we get the following

Proposition 2. For any $i \geq 0$, the mapping from \mathbb{N}^r to \mathbb{N} defined by

$$(n_1, \dots, n_r) \longmapsto \mathfrak{L}_{H_1 K}(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E)$$

is increasing, i.e., $n_1 \leq m_1, \dots, n_r \leq m_r$ imply

$$\mathfrak{L}_{H_1 K}(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E) \leq \mathfrak{L}_{H_1 K}(x_1^{m_1}, \dots, x_r^{m_r} | E). \quad \#$$

Lemma 3. If $a \in R$, then we have:

$$i) \quad \mathfrak{L}_E(0: a^n) \leq n \cdot \mathfrak{L}_E(0: a), \text{ and}$$

$$ii) \quad \mathfrak{L}_E(E/a^n) \leq n \cdot \mathfrak{L}_E(E/a).$$

Proof. By induction on n . From the exact sequence

$$R/aR \xrightarrow{\cdot a^{n-1}} R/a^n R \longrightarrow R/a^{n-1} R \longrightarrow 0,$$

if we apply $\text{Hom}_R(\cdot, E)$, we get i), for $\text{Hom}_R(R/a^n R, E) \cong 0: a^n$,
 E

and if we apply $\otimes E$, we get ii), for $(R/a^n R) \otimes E \cong E/a^n E$. #
 R

Proposition 4. The following inequality holds for all $i \geq 0$,

$$\mathfrak{L}_{H_1 K}(x_1^n, x_2, \dots, x_r | E) \leq n \cdot \mathfrak{L}_{H_1 K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r | E).$$

Proof. From the exact sequences (see [2] p.IV-2)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow H_0 K(a | H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)) \longrightarrow H_1 K(a, x_2, \dots, x_r | E) \\ &\longrightarrow H_1 K(a | H_{i-1} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $a = x_1$ or x_1^n , we get

$$\mathfrak{L}_{H_1 K}(x_1^n, x_2, \dots, x_r | E) = \mathfrak{L}\left(\frac{H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)}{x_1^n H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)}\right) + \mathfrak{L}(0: x_1^n)_{H_{i-1}(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)}$$



$$\leq n \cdot l\left(\frac{H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)}{x_1 H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)}\right) + n \cdot l(0; x_1)_{H_{i-1} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)} =$$

$$= n \cdot l H_1 K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r | E),$$

the inequalities being by virtue of lemma 3. #

Again by the independence of the Koszul homology modules with respect to the order of the elements, Proposition 4 yields the following theorem which generalizes Wright's inequality.

Theorem 5. For any $i \geq 0$, and any $n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0$, we have

$$l H_i K(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E) \leq n_1 \dots n_r \cdot l H_i K(x_1, \dots, x_r | E). \quad \#$$

Let us consider now the higher Euler-Poincaré characteristics. Observe first that $x_0(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E) = n_1 \dots n_r \cdot x_0(x_1, \dots, x_r | E)$ and that $x_0(x_1, \dots, x_r | E) \geq 0$ (cf. [1] p.311). For the higher characteristics we have

Proposition 6. For all $i \geq 0$, the mapping

$$(n_1, \dots, n_r) \longmapsto x_i(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E)$$

from N^r to N is increasing, i.e., $n_1 \leq m_1, \dots, n_r \leq m_r$, imply

$$x_i(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E) \leq x_i(x_1^{m_1}, \dots, x_r^{m_r} | E).$$

Proof. By [2] p.IV-56, we have

$$x_1(a, x_2, \dots, x_r | E) = l H_1 K(a | H_{i-1} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)) +$$

$$+ x_0(a | x_1(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)).$$

Setting $a = x_1$ or $x_1 y$ and using the multiplicativity of x_0
(see [1] p.311 Cor.1), we deduce

$$x_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r | E) \leq x_1(x_1 y, x_2, \dots, x_r | E).$$

From this we get, for $n \leq m$, that

$$x_1(x_1^n, x_2, \dots, x_r | E) \leq x_1(x_1^m, x_2, \dots, x_r | E).$$

Proceeding equally with the other variables (x_1 does not
depend on the order of the elements), we get the result. #

We finish with a theorem on higher Euler-Poincaré
characteristics similar to theorem 5.

Theorem 7. For any $i \geq 0$, and any $n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0$, we have

$$x_1(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_r^{n_r} | E) \leq n_1 \dots n_r \cdot x_1(x_1, \dots, x_r | E).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove

$$x_1(x_1^n, x_2, \dots, x_r | E) \leq n \cdot x_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r | E),$$

and this can be done by considering the formula used in the
proof of the preceding proposition with $a = x_1$ or x_1^n . We get

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1(x_1^n, x_2, \dots, x_r | E) = \\ & = i(0; x_1^n)_{H_{i-1}} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E) + x_0(x_1^n | x_1(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)) \\ & \leq n \cdot i(0; x_1)_{H_{i-1}} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | E) + n \cdot x_0(x_1 | x_1(x_2, \dots, x_r | E)) = \end{aligned}$$



$$= n \cdot x_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r | E),$$

the inequality being justified by lemma 3. #

References

- [1] Northcott, D.G. Lessons on Rings, Modules and Multipli-
cities, Cambridge U.P.(1968).
- [2] Serre, J-P. Algèbre locale: Multiplicités, Lecture
Notes in Math. No.11, Springer, Berlin(1975).

Dipòsit Legal B.: 2.099-1986
BARCELONA-1986