Factors affecting engagement of primary health care professionals and their patients in facilitated access to online alcohol screening and brief intervention

Hugo López-Pelayo¹, Paul Wallace³, Laia Miquel², Lidia Segura⁴, Begoña Baena¹, Pablo Barrio¹, Joan Colom³, Antoni Gual² on behalf of EFAR group.

Author affiliations

¹ GRAC. Addictions Unit. Department of Psychiatry, Clinical Institute of Neuroscience, Hospital Clinic. Fundació Clinic Recerca Biomèdica (FCRB), RETICS (Red de Trastornos adictivos), University of Barcelona. Villarroel 170, 08026, Barcelona, Spain. hlopez@clinic.cat; pbarrio@clinic.cat

² GRAC. Addictions Unit. Department of Psychiatry, Clinical Institute of Neuroscience, Hospital Clinic. Institut d’Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), RETICS (Red de Trastornos Adictivos), University of Barcelona. Villarroel 170, 08026, Barcelona, Spain. miquel@clinic.cat; tgual@clinic.cat

³ Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, Rowland Hill Street, London NW3 2PF London, UK. paul.wallace@nhr.ac.uk

⁴ Program on Substance Abuse, Public Health Agency of Catalonia, Department of Health, Government of Catalonia, Roc Boronat 81-95, 08005, Barcelona, Spain. lidia.segura@gencat.cat; joan.colom@gencat.cat

List of members of EFAR group: Iris Alarcón, Judit Alsina, Almudena Álvarez, Carme Anglada, Juan Arenas, Josep Aubà, Francisco Ávila, Marta Beltran, Andrea Carolina Berengué, Mireia Bernat, Olga Bohera, Esther Boix, Cristina Bonaventura, Esther Bracero, Clara Calvó, Elena Campanera, Xavier Cantano, Gemma Capdevila, Elena Casajuana, Eva Casajuana, Claudia Cardoner, Gemma Comas, Judit Castillo, Carme Codorniu, Mª de la Serra Comas, Carme Comino, Francisco Cortés, Silvia Crivillé, Carme Danta, José Doz, Eulàlia Duran, Montserrat Delgado, Silvia Duran,Montserrat Espuga, Beatriz Fernández, Maite Fernández, Fernando Ferrer, Meritxell Ferrer, Rosaaura Figueras, Pilar Flores, Rosa Freixedas, Teresa Font, M. Carmen Gómez, Milagros González, Àngel Garcia, Carmen Garcia, Elisenda Garcia, Núria García, Josep Maria Gifre, Alicia Gómez, Rosa Maria González, Marta Gamiz, Mònica Ibañez, Joana Hernández, Antonia Leiva, Cristina Ligero, Josep Ramón López, Mª Isabel López, Remedios López, Elena Mañes, Mª Carmen Martí, Sonia Martínez, Mª Isabel Matilla, Montserrat

Do not disseminate or use without explicit permission from the authors
Hugo López-Pelayo, Paul Wallace, Lidia Segura, Begoña Baena, Pablo Barrio, Joan Colom, Antoni Gual on behalf of EFAR group.

Melé, Cleofé Mellado, Montse Méndez, Juan Mendive, Mònica Mestres, Remei Miralles, Pau Montoya, Ana Morillo, Rosa Blanca Muñoz, Marta Mas, Iria Muiño, Elena Navarro, Olga Navarro, Montserrat Navarro, Clara Oliveras, Silvia Olasololo, Mª Carmen Parareda, Rosa Pla, Jaume Poch, Núria Plana, Marta Poch, Elvira Pou, Vanesa Robledo, Josefine Rosanas, Breda Riesgo, María Ángeles Sebastian, Cristina Serra, Eva Ramírez, Glòria Ribas, Mercè Ribot, Natàlia Sabat, Mª Carmen Sánchez, María del Mar Sánchez, Anna Santeugini, Teresa Sayrol, Marta Solé, Pere Sors, Susana Sostres, Rosa Torres, Araceli Torrubia, Roser Urpinas, Davinia Vázquez, Núria Vidal, Angels Vicente, Manel Vila, Renée Vink, Maria de las Nieves Vizcay, Nora Yanovzky, Dolors Ylla

Corresponding author: Hugo López-Pelayo. Address: Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Carrer Villarroel 170, escalera 9 planta 6, 08026, Barcelona. Telephone number: +34932275400 ext 4210 Fax number: +34932271750. E-mail: hlopez@clinic.cat

Do not disseminate or use without explicit permission from the authors
Abstract

Objective: Understanding the impact of Level of Information and Communication Technology Use, computer self-efficacy and perceived product usability of healthcare professionals regarding an alcohol consumption reduction website on facilitated access defined as referring patients to the webpage.

Methods: 52 nurses and 41 general practitioners were assessed before patient recruitment started, using a questionnaire designed to assess socio-demographic characteristics, professional engagement to the website, Level of Information and Communication Technology Use, Computer self-efficacy (“the judgment of one’s capability to use a computer”) and Perceived product usability (“the extent to which a product can be used by specified users to achieve specified goals with effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction in a specified context of use”). Dependent variable was the self-report of number of brochures distributed to patients.

Results: Professionals’ engagement with facilitated access measured by brochures handed out was not predicted by Perceived product usability, Level of Information and Communication Technology Use or Computer self-efficacy. Professionals who had actively engaged with the website (customization) provided significantly more brochures compared with those who had not (Coefficient B 15.7 CI95% 3.5 to 27.8). Professional’s socio-demographic characteristics did not predict engagement in facilitated access.

Conclusion: Professionals’ Perceived product usability, Level of Information and Communication Technology Use and Computer self-efficacy were not associated to facilitated access. Active early engagement of health professionals with the website (customization) is a key predictor of subsequent engagement with facilitated access.
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Practice implications: Computer Self-Efficacy, Level of Information and Communication Technology Use and Perceived Product Usability are irrelevant for facilitated access and efforts should be focused on taking time to collaborate with providers and convincing them about the usefulness of the intervention (including customization). Website customization by health care professionals is a promising predictor of engagement.

Keywords: alcohol, computer, hazardous, computer self-efficacy, usability, customization
1. Introduction

Alcohol consumption is a risk factor for more than 200 health conditions [1]. Alcohol related harm increases in a dose-dependent manner, and thus reduction of alcohol intake is beneficial for health [2]. Although alcohol screening and brief intervention (SBI) has been demonstrated to be an effective and cost-effective strategy to reduce risky alcohol use in primary health care[3–5], implementation rates are low (<10%)[5]. Main barriers to implementing SBI in primary health care are lack of time, lack of incentives and risk of upsetting patients[6]. Digital brief interventions (E-BI) have the potential to reduce time in medical consultations and to allow more confidentiality, thus addressing two of these barriers[7]. Primary Healthcare Professionals (PHCPs) in their regular consultation have access to patients who do not spontaneously seek treatment for alcohol related problems. Several meta-analyses support the effectiveness of digital brief interventions for risky drinkers in college students and in general population[8,9]. In the majority of these trials, participants accessed the online treatment independently of their health care professionals, and are likely to represent an already motivated population to reduce drinking. In order to broaden access to e-BI, it has been proposed that primary healthcare professionals actively encourage website engagement of their patients in a process termed facilitated access [10]. There is growing experience of this approach in both primary health care and mental health settings and evidence that it is effective at 6-month follow-up [11,12]). To date, only one study has specifically explored the impact of provision of facilitated access to e-BI on implementation of brief intervention relative to other strategies (e.g. training and support, financial incentives)[7] and found that facilitated access to website was not effective in comparison with the other two strategies [13,14]. Two studies (EFAR-FVG and EFAR-Spain) investigating whether facilitated access to e-BI is inferior to face-to-face BI have demonstrated substantial variation among professional and patient
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engagement with the process, raising questions [15] about which professional characteristics are likely to be responsible for this.

The question is relevant because population predisposition in Catalonia for digital interventions is appropriate. According to the last data available in Catalonia, 44.7% of Catalans would change some face-to-face appointments in primary health care by digital interventions, specially men, young people, and those who live in big cities[16].

However, the first attempt to assess the implementation of digital brief interventions for risky drinkers was unsuccessful (see results ODHIN project regarding Catalan jurisdiction )[13]. Catalan health care professionals were offered the option of referring patients to digital brief interventions, but only 15% of cases did so. And this numbers refer to motivated professionals, since they volunteered to participate in the research project[13].

In this context, we hypothesize that professional-related factors might play a relevant role in the implementation of digital interventions and that an exploratory study is needed in order to generate new perspectives in this field. In addition to demographic variables, we hypothesize that factors relating specifically to the professional’s experience of digital technology might play a role. Several factors have been shown to be associated with the acceptance of digital therapy[17–19]. The most relevant ones are the Level of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Use that professionals have (LICTU), Computer Self-efficacy and Perception of Perceived product usability [20–22]. Acceptance of digital interventions by PHCPs may play a role in the engagement of PHCPs in facilitated access to those interventions because PHCPs acts as an advisor being unlikely that professionals recommend an intervention which they do not accept

Computer Self-efficacy is defined as “the judgment of one’s capability to use a computer” and the term “usability” has been defined as: “the extent to which a product can be used by specified users
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2. Methods

2.1 Design

The study was nested in the framework of EFAR-Spain (Catalonia), a randomized controlled non-inferiority trial of primary care-based facilitated access to an alcohol reduction website [37], a Spanish adaptation of the UK “Down your Drink (DyD)” website [38]. A total of 101 primary health care professionals (PHCPs) in 34 primary health care centres in Catalonia were recruited to provide facilitated access to an alcohol reduction website by actively distributing brochures with a unique and personal log-on code among their patients. Patients logging on to the website were initially screened for risky alcohol use using the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test short version (AUDIT-C) [39] and those who screened positive (> 4 for men and > 3 for women) were invited to take part in the study and were subsequently asked to complete socio-demographic information and the AUDIT and Quality of Life (EQ5D5) questionnaires [40,41] before undergoing randomization to face-to-face brief intervention or online brief intervention. AUDIT-C is a 3-item questionnaire based on frequency and quantity of alcohol intake; its main objective is to identify risky drinkers. The scores range from 0 to 12 being sensitivity 0.86, specificity 0.89 for men, and sensitivity 0.73, specificity 0.91 for women [42]. On the other hand, AUDIT is a 10-item questionnaire, which includes items related to abuse and dependence. The scores range from 0 to 40 (8-15 risky use; 16-19 abuse; ≥ 20 dependence). EQ5D5 is a standardized measure of health status developed by EuroQol Group, with five domains (mobility, self-care, pain/discomfort, usual activities and depression/anxiety) and a visual analogue scale. It has been validated in Spanish population [40].

Professionals were recruited from the XaROH network (Network of Alcohol Referents in Primary Health Care) by advertisements in the network management platform to attend a 3-hour training workshop on new technologies in primary care. All PHCPs underwent a 3-hour training session before the launch of the project in which they were given opportunities to familiarise themselves.
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with all aspects of the alcohol reduction website and to learn how to customise the Download your Doctor module. They were also taught about the theoretical principles and practice of alcohol screening and brief intervention and e-BI. The PHCPs were encouraged both to familiarise themselves with the website and to make full use of the “Download your Doctor” module, designed to enable simulation of the professional’s presence online[43]. The module enabled the PHCPs to personally customise selected messages and visual elements of the user interface to be presented to their patients following log-on to the website. Each practitioner was additionally able to upload a photograph and a signature to insert to their messages on the website, and each message could be customised by inserting links and images shown in a “speech bubble” next to text and photo. Finally, they were also given the option to upload video recordings in order to simulate online communication with their patients even more directly[44].

The Download your doctor module was designed to enhance therapeutic alliance and patients’ access to the website. Nurses and general practitioners could customise messages (e.g. welcome, feedback) and visual elements, and upload a personal photo, signature, and video recordings. If their doctor or nurse did not individualise the module, predetermined messages, visual elements and photos designed by the research team were showed to the patients. For more details see Lygidakis et al 2016[36].

2.2 Participants

101 primary health care professionals (nurses and general practitioners) from 34 centres were recruited and trained. Professionals were recruited from the XaROH network (Network of Alcohol Referents in Primary Health Care). There were no exclusion criteria.

Of note, professionals didn’t have to screen patients before handing out the brochures. The website screened patients for risky alcohol use itself using AUDIT-C without previous screening by the professional. Nurses and General Practitioners might hand out brochures to all their patients.
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Professionals received a financial incentive of €20 per patient recruited during the EFAR clinical trial (analyses not included in this publication).

2.3 Assessment

A self-administered questionnaire was used to obtain socio-demographic information including gender, profession (nurse or general practitioner) and age. Level of Information and Communication Technology Use was assessed on the basis of self-reported hours per day of digital use and years of computer use. Age of the professional together with the age of the first contact with computers allowed calculating years of computer use for each professional (years of computer use= age of the professional – age of first contact with computer). Computer Self-Efficacy was measured using the Computer Self-Efficacy Scale (CSES) [45], which consists of a 12-item scale (e.g. “I am able to use the internet to search for information and resources” or “I am able to use Presentation Software (e.g., Microsoft PowerPoint) for classroom delivery”), with each item scoring from 1 to 7 and a total score range of 12 to 84. The reliability based on Cronbach’s alpha is 0.68-0.83 (Basic Computer Skills factor 0.71, Media-Related Skills factor 0.83 and Web-Based Skills factor 0.68) [45]. In absence of previous literature, the median of the total sample of this variable was used as a cut-off point to define high computer self-efficacy (51 points or higher). The System Usability Scale (SUS) was chosen to assess the usability of the alcohol reduction website [29,46]. The SUS was designed for rapid assessment of all perceived product usability related aspects[47]. The SUS is a 10-item scale (e.g. “I thought the system was easy to use” or “I think that I would like to use this system frequently”), with each item scoring from 0 to 4 and the total score range from 0 to 100 (because the result is multiplied by conversion factor of 2.5 according to the authors’ recommendation). The reliability based on Cronbach’s alpha is 0.91[46]. Higher scores indicate a better rating of perceived product usability. A score of 69 points or higher is considered to indicate good usability[47].
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Prior to the start of the training session, the PHCPs were invited to fill out the demographic questionnaire and the questionnaire about Level of Information and Communication Technology Use and the CSES. Subsequent to the training session, they were asked to rate the alcohol reduction website using the SUS.

A member of the research team (HLP) assessed the level of website customisation for each PHCP 3 months after the start of the trial. Customisation was rated as positive if the participating PHCP had customised at least one element of the Download your Doctor module.

We rated each PHCP’s level of engagement in facilitated access on the basis of the number of brochures distributed to their patients in the first 6 months of the trial. This was assessed on self-report and subsequent check by telephone interview. Professionals could update in their professional (private) section of the website the number of brochures that they handed out as frequently as they wanted to. However, professionals were contacted through a telephone interview once in the month 6 in order to have homogenous and updated data.

Main independent variables were as follows:

- LICTU defined by the following continuous variables: 1) Years of Computer Use ; 2) Hours of Computer or other Digital Technologies Use per day
- Perceived product usability as continuous variable
- Computer self-efficacy as continuous variable

Main outcome (dependent variable) was engagement of professionals in facilitated access defined by the number of brochures distributed per professional.

Professionals’ early engagement to the website was assessed by customisation of the module “Download your Doctor” (at least customisation of one section versus no customisation) of the
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website. Customisation and socio-demographic characteristics were studied in order to explore confounding factors.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Descriptive data are presented in percentages and means. To investigate variables associated with facilitated access, multivariate linear regression (enter method) analyses was performed using, as dependent variables, engagement of professionals in facilitated access (number of brochures handed-out by the professional during the first 6 months of the trial). Independent variables were Perceived product usability, Level of Information and Communication Technology Use and Computer self-efficacy (see above). Co-variables as potential confounders were age, sex, profession and customization of the website. The result of the multivariate linear regression analysis (unstandardised Coefficients B) was considered to be the main outcome, as it determined those variables independently. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS statistical package version 20.0 and differences with a ‘p’ value less than 5% were considered statistically significant associated with the outcome.

2.5 Ethical aspects

The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hospital Clinic (2013/8561) according to the Helsinki Declaration and the national regulations.
3. Results

93 of the 101 professionals completed the pre-training assessment (93%), and 79 (79%) subsequently completed the SUS. Eighty-three (89.2%) were females and fifty-two (56.5%) were nurses. Mean age of the professionals was 45.6 (SD 8.9). Fifty-eight professionals (68.2%) –nurses and general practitioners- had customised at least one element of the module. Table 1 shows more details.

3.1 Level of Information and Communication Technology Use (LITCU), Computer Self-efficacy and Perceived product usability Perception

Mean age of the first contact with computers was at 23.2 years old (SD 11.4), which means that they had been using computers for 22.6 years (SD 6.2). They spent an average of 8.5 hours per day (SD 2.9) using digital devices. Perceived product usability was high for forty-three professionals (54.4%) and the Computer self-efficacy was high for forty-seven (50.5%). Mean computer self-efficacy was 52.3 (SD 13.8) and mean perceived product usability was 68.0 (SD 15.8).

3.2 Facilitated access

Professional engagement in facilitated access was measured by distributed brochures (mean 21.0, SD 21.2). Seventy-five professionals handed out at least one brochure, and 1781 patients received a brochure (Supplementary table 1). One professional handed out 99 brochures (maximum) and ten professionals did not hand out any brochure (minimum).

3.3. Impact of LICTU, Computer self-efficacy and Perceived product usability on facilitated access

Linear regression showed no association between LICTU, Computer self-efficacy or Perceived product usability and facilitated access outcome. Customization of website by healthcare professionals was associated with professional engagement in facilitated access (Unstandardised
Coefficient B 15.7 CI95% 3.5 to 27.8). Then if customisation of the website is present, the professional engagement in facilitated access variable will increase by 15.7 units. See table 2 for more details. Those professionals who individualised the “Doctor module” increased the distribution of brochures between 3.5 and 27.8% compared with those who did not.
4. Discussion and Conclusion

We have carried out an exploratory study to investigate the factors affecting engagement of health care professionals and their patients in facilitated access to a screening and alcohol reduction website in the context of a randomised trial of two modes of brief interventions. Engagement in facilitated access was not predicted by professionals’ assessment of Perceived product usability, Computer self-efficacy, or LICTU. While there was no relationship between socio-demographic characteristics, we found that customisation of website is associated to subsequent engagement in facilitated access—increasing 15.7 of professional engagement with facilitated access if customisation is present. While Perceived product usability, Computer self-efficacy and LICTU are relevant for final users[17–22], these three concepts have a marginal role when a facilitator of e-BI – e.g. general practitioner - is on the focus and in the context of Catalan Health System. This finding suggests that future researches should focus on the role of customisation and previous motivation of health care professionals for implementation.

4.1. Perceived product usability, computer self-efficacy and Level of Information and Communication Technology Use

Neither Perceived product usability nor computer self-efficacy were associated with professional’s engagement in facilitated access nor professional’s success in facilitated access. Perceived product usability ratings by the PHCPs were similar to other implemented technologies such as emergency records software [48]. In interpreting this finding, it is important to consider that Perceived product usability is just one part of the wider concept of usefulness. The other key component is utility, which refers to whether the product’s functionality can do what is needed.[49]. The SUS only assessed usability and we were therefore unable to assess the impact of utility on engagement. In
addition, Perceived product usability was assessed immediately after training and PHCPs perceptions might have changed following the customisation process.

Engagement with facilitated access was not related to professionals’ LICTU. Researchers have identified three variables as predictors of telemedicine use in Spanish physicians: computer experience in personal life, perceived ease-of-use of information and communication technology and propensity to innovation[20]. Our results did not replicate these findings, perhaps because we used a different instrument for assessing LICTU, and had a smaller sample size (n=510 versus n=101). The domain used by Saigí-Rubió et al. was the degree to which the physician uses ICTs outside work and was assessed as a dichotomous variable. We used an instrument measuring years of computer use and hours per day of digital use but we did not differentiate type of use (inside/outside the work). This negative result is relevant because it has implications for training in digital interventions.

4.2. Socio-demographic characteristics and website customisation

Engagement with facilitated access was not related to professionals’ socio-demographic characteristics. A previous study showed that gender and age are related with e-health use by general practitioners [50]. Another study, which investigated the relationship between socio-demographic factors and referral to e-health interventions, failed to demonstrate any association[51]. In addition, in another study no relationship was found between socio-demographic characteristics of health care professionals and the use of telemedicine [52].

Website customisation was associated with greater levels of subsequent engagement by professionals in facilitated access. One previous study also found that those health professionals who referred more patients to e-BI tended to familiarise themselves with the content of the webpage more frequently than those who did not (40% versus 16%)[13]. This relationship could be due to customization by professionals leading to more subsequent engagement in
facilitated access, or it could simply indicate that those who were more motivated tended to customize the website more frequently. Further studies including both customisation and motivation should be performed to further investigate the association with engagement.

4.3. Limitations and strengths

Our study has several limitations. First, the brochures estimates were obtained through self-report—mostly via the website and alternatively by telephone interview—and might therefore be inaccurate. Second, usability information was provided after professionals had logged on to the website but before they were able to undertake customisation. Thus, professionals who had customised the website might have afterwards improved their perceptions of usability. Third, only perceptions of Perceived product usability, Computer self-efficacy and LICTU were measured and we were not able to obtain objective data from tests using technology platforms or other computer skills. So, the subjective self-assessment of health care professionals regarding their knowledge and skills in digital technologies was explored but it was lacking external and objective validation of their competencies in this field being possible that professionals underestimated or overestimated their ability with digital technologies. Fourth, just one component of usefulness (usability) was assessed. Fifth, primary outcome for engagement in facilitated access (brochures) was an absolute number. It did not provide information about the potential number of patients who might have received brochures. The workload was similar for all professionals in Catalonia (1,500 assigned patients for professional with slight differences across the country) [53–55] and the timeframe is large enough to minimise workload fluctuations. However, we could not control health care professionals’ characteristics, such as full-time versus part-time contract, or patient profile in charge (e.g. prevalence of risky drinking) or practice (e.g. socio-economic status). Further studies should take the total number of visited patients into account. In summary, recruiting participants may depend on several other factors which are not measured in this study such as lack of time, number of patients, etc. Another
limitation was that accurate data about handed-out brochures was collected but not data about non-acceptance participants (refused brochures). However, the engagement with the facilitated access to the website from professionals’ perspective including the ability to get the authorization of the patient was analysed. The study has a number of strengths. To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the relationship between LICTU, Computer self-efficacy, Perceived product usability and facilitated access. Furthermore, participation in the survey was high (93%) and so the findings are likely to be representative.

4.4. Conclusion

Facilitated access is a novel technology and implementation is required. Therefore it presents significant challenges to health care professionals. This exploratory study shows that ratings of Computer self-efficacy, LICTU and Perceived product usability by the health professionals appear to have no impact on subsequent engagement in facilitated access by health professionals in the context of Catalonia Primary Health Care System and this kind of facilitated e-intervention access. However, we found that despite the challenges, engagement by professionals with customising the website using the Download your Doctor module might be relevant to their subsequent engagement in facilitated access. Given the potential importance of facilitated access in radically extending the scope of health care, the issues of implementation raised by this exploratory study need to be explored further in subsequent research.

4.5. Practice Implications

There is no evidence that Computer self-efficacy, LICTU and Perceived product usability have an impact on facilitated access to an e-BI. Efforts should be put on to find what can improve facilitated access. In that sense customisation of the website by healthcare professionals is a promising indicator to predict implementation of e-BI.
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