ABSTRACT: Here, we report the development of monodisperse Zn-doped iron oxide nanoparticles (NPs) with different amounts of Zn (Zn$_x$Fe$_{3-x}$O$_4$, 0 < x < 0.43) by thermal decomposition of a mixture of zinc and iron oleates. The as-synthesized NPs show a considerable fraction of wüstite (FeO) which is transformed to spinel upon 2 h oxidation of the NP reaction solutions. At any Zn doping amounts, we observed the enrichment of the NP surface with Zn$^{2+}$ ions, which is enhanced at higher Zn loadings. Such a distribution of Zn$^{2+}$ ions is attributed to the different thermal decomposition profiles of Zn and Fe oleates, with Fe oleate decomposing at much lower temperature than that of Zn oleate. The decomposition of Zn oleate is, in turn, catalyzed by a forming iron oxide phase. The magnetic properties were found to be strongly dependent on the Zn doping amounts, showing the saturation magnetization to decrease by 9 and 20% for x = 0.05 and 0.1, respectively. On the other hand, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy near the Fermi level demonstrates that the Zn$_{0.05}$Fe$_{2.95}$O$_4$ sample displays a more metallic character (a higher charge carrier density) than undoped iron oxide NPs, supporting its use as a spintronic material.

INTRODUCTION

Magnetic nanoparticles (NPs), in particular doped or undoped superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs (IONPs), receive considerable attention because of exciting biomedical applications. IONPs are commonly recommended for medical applications because they are easily metabolized or degraded in vivo$^{12}$ and FDA-approved,$^1$ opening up numerous possibilities for their utilization in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), magnetic particle imaging, hyperthermia, magnetically controlled drug delivery, and so forth.$^{4-19}$ In all of these cases, the higher the magnetic moment/saturation magnetization of the material, the stronger the magnetic response and its effects on related properties. One of the ways to increase the saturation magnetization is to increase the IONP size, while keeping it below the size at which the blocking temperature ($T_B$) exceeds room temperature. $T_B$ is the temperature below which hysteresis can be observed. This is the consequence of magnetite or maghemite NPs displaying ferrimagnetic properties,$^{20}$ making NPs prone to aggregation. This critical size is strongly dependent on the shape and arrangement of the NPs.$^{19-24}$

Because the magnetic properties of IONPs are size-dependent, particle monodispersity is of crucial importance. Monodisperse IONPs are commonly prepared by thermal decomposition of iron acetylacetonates$^{25-27}$ or carboxylates$^{28-31}$ in high-boiling solvents in the presence of fatty.
acids and/or oleylamine as surfactants. Comparatively large IONPs (15−30 nm) can be prepared by direct, one-pot thermal decomposition of iron oleates.28,29,32 Another viable approach to increase magnetization is doping of iron oxide with such metal ions as Zn2+, Co2+, Mn2+, Cu2+, and so forth.33−36 Considering that IONPs should preserve biocompatibility for medical applications, the doped IONPs should also be benign. Recent studies indicated that Zn-doped magnetite NPs remain nearly nontoxic,37,38 while for other doped IONPs, toxicology studies are scarce. The majority of Zn-doped IONPs is synthesized by thermal decomposition of iron and zinc acetylacetonates,6 Fe(acac)3 and ZnCl2,6,34 by a cationic exchange with Zn2+ ions in IONPs,33 or by coprecipitation of corresponding metal salts.34 This allows formation of Zn-doped IONPs in the size range 8−19 nm. Maiti et al. reported formation of Zn ferrite NPs by thermal decomposition of Zn and Fe oleates, but the resultant NPs were polydisperse in size and shape.39

In addition to biomedical applications, magnetite and doped IONPs and thin films hold promise as spintronic materials with tunable electrical and magnetic properties.40−45 This is due to the fact that these oxides demonstrate half metal properties.46 While for biomedical applications of magnetic NPs, the whole focus is on enhanced magnetization, for spintronics, a balance of electrical conduction and magnetism is needed which, in turn, is determined by the density and hopping amplitude of the itinerant charge carriers in the octahedral sites of the spinel structure.41

In this work, we studied the formation, structure, and properties of monodisperse Zn-doped IONPs of different compositions, whose sizes are in the range of 16−25 nm depending on the reaction temperature (determined by solvent choice) and whose magnetization strongly depends on the amount of the doping metal. We demonstrate that the distribution of Zn2+ ions in the spinel structure of NPs determines both their magnetic and charge carrier properties. At the lowest Zn loading, the moderate accumulation of Zn2+ ions on the IONP surface increases the metallic character of these NPs while the saturation magnetization is largely preserved, making them promising as spintronic materials.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Synthesis of Zn-Doped IONPs and Their Oxidation.

Zn-doped IONPs have been synthesized by thermal decomposition of a mixture of iron (FeOl) and zinc (ZnOl) oleates at a boiling temperature of a saturated hydrocarbon (eicosane or docosane). The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the as-synthesized sample prepared at \( x = 0.35 \) (Table 1).

### Table 1. Synthesis Conditions for Zn-Doped Iron Oxide Samples, Zn\(_x\)Fe\(_{3-x}\)O\(_4\), at Various Doping Concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample notation</th>
<th>“x” value in Zn(<em>x)Fe(</em>{3-x})O(_4)</th>
<th>FeOl, g</th>
<th>ZnOl, g</th>
<th>eicosane, g</th>
<th>oleic acid, mL</th>
<th>NP diameter of oxidized NPs, nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IONPs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>16.6 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(<em>{0.05})Fe(</em>{2.95})O(_4)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.305</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>5.154</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>17.2 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(<em>{0.1})Fe(</em>{2.9})O(_4)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.498</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>5.987</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(<em>{0.19})Fe(</em>{2.81})O(_4)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.613</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>6.593</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>17.2 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(<em>{0.35})Fe(</em>{2.65})O(_4)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.240</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5.310</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>17.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(<em>{0.43})Fe(</em>{2.57})O(_4)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.371</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>5.976</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>19.2 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*By loading.*

Figure 1. TEM images (a,c) and XRD patterns (b,d) of as-synthesized (a,b) and oxidized Zn\(_{0.35}\)Fe\(_{2.65}\)O\(_4\) (c,d).
1) shows spherical monodisperse NPs with a mean diameter of 17.1 nm (Figure 1a). The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) pattern of the same sample (Figure 1b) reveals that the NPs consist of mainly wüstite (FeO) with a small fraction of magnetite (Fe₃O₄), similar to undoped NPs prepared solely from iron oleate. It was demonstrated that FeO or FeO/Fe₃O₄ NPs can be successfully oxidized to Fe₃O₄ or a mixture of Fe₃O₄ and γ-Fe₂O₃ by simple heating of the reaction solution at 200 °C for 2 h. This results in a minor increase of the diameter (to 17.3 nm, Table 1) and the formation of the cubic spinel structure (JCPDS 77-1545) as can be seen from the XRD pattern of the oxidized sample (Figure 1d). The crystallite size determined using the Scherrer equation is 14.3 nm, indicating that the NPs are not single crystals. When eicosane was replaced with docosane (set reaction temperature was 380 °C), the NP size increased to 25.5 nm with a NP size distribution of 5.4% (Figure S1, the Supporting Information). For the sake of comparison, we synthesized all other samples in eicosane at otherwise identical conditions to obtain similar particle sizes (Table 1). In the following sections, only oxidized Zn-doped IONPs will be discussed.

**Structure of Zn-Doped IONPs.** The absence of an additional ZnO phase for Zn-doped IONPs suggests that the Zn²⁺ ions are incorporated in the spinel phase. A closer look at the (311) reflection in the XRD patterns of undoped and Zn-doped IONPs with x = 0.1 and 0.19 (Table 1) shows that it is...
Various Doping Concentrations

samples, octahedral sites (B sublattice) of spinel ZnFe$_2$O$_4$, while Zn$^{2+}$ curves at 300 K and zero-field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) curves permit obtaining the blocking temperature, $T_B$, the point where the two curves merge. For both samples, $T_B$ is about 270 K (Table 2), which is representative of superparamagnetic IONPs of a similar size. This agrees well with the zero coercive field at 300 K, compared to the value of a several hundred Oe observed at 5 K, as shown by zoomed in isothermal magnetization curves at 5 and 300 K (Figure S4, Supporting Information).

**Magnetic Properties.** Magnetic measurements were performed to evaluate the magnetic behavior of these Zn-doped IONPs. Figure 3 shows the isothermal magnetization curves at 300 K and zero-field cooling (ZFC) and FC magnetization curves for undoped IONPs and Zn$_{0.03}$Fe$_{2.97}$O$_4$ NPs. ZFC–FC curves permit obtaining the blocking temperature, $T_B$, the point where the two curves merge. For both samples, $T_B$ is about 270 K (Table 2), which is representative of superparamagnetic IONPs of a similar size. This agrees well with the zero coercive field at 300 K, compared to the value of a several hundred Oe observed at 5 K, as shown by zoomed in isothermal magnetization curves at 5 and 300 K (Figure S4, Supporting Information).

The data presented in Table 2 reveal that with the increase of the Zn loading first the saturation magnetization slightly increases at $x = 0.05$ and then significantly decreases when $x > 0.1$. However, a closer look at the Table 2 data reveals that the NP sizes are slightly different. To account for the differences in the NP sizes, we normalized saturation magnetization values by obtaining a magnetization per nm of the NP diameter and then multiplying this value by 17 to obtain a size normalized value for 17 nm NPs. These data show that even at $x = 0.05$, the saturation magnetization drops compared to that of undoped IONPs.

Szczerba et al. reported an increase in the saturation magnetization upon Zn doping up to $x = 0.5$; however, the NP synthesis (co-precipitation) resulted in polydispersity, partially aggregated NPs, creating too many variables for unambiguous conclusions. Jang et al. observed an increase of the saturation magnetization up to $x = 0.4$, and a further decrease for $x = 0.8$, explaining it by the dominant antiferromagnetic coupling between Fe$^{3+}$ ions at the higher Zn$^{2+}$ doping. At low Zn doping amounts, two Fe$^{3+}$ ions may be located in the octahedral sites (B sublattice) of spinel ZnFe$_2$O$_4$, while Zn$^{2+}$ ions could occupy the tetrahedral sites (A sublattice), thus preventing antiferromagnetic coupling between two Fe$^{3+}$ ions. However, for higher Zn$^{2+}$ contents, Zn$^{2+}$ ions occupy the octahedral sites and then the magnetization of the samples decreases. In the other work on Zn-doped IONP clusters, the magnetization enhancement was only noticed for $x = 0.1$ and $x = 0.2$, while for $x = 0.3$, the saturation magnetization was nearly the same as that for undoped IONP clusters. Similarly, the highest magnetization was observed at $x = 0.16$ for Zn-doped IONPs prepared via biosynthesis or at $x = 0.11$ for Zn-doped maghemite NPs.

On the other hand, epitaxial Zn$_{0.43}$Fe$_{2.57}$O$_4$ thin films grown in pure Ar atmospheres demonstrated a decrease in saturation magnetization with increasing “x” even at the smallest Zn contents. The authors believe that in this case, Zn$^{2+}$ ions also substitute Fe$^{3+}$ ions in tetrahedral sites, disrupting antiferromagnetic coupling in the A sublattice which was expected to increase magnetization. However, the simultaneous decrease of antiferromagnetic superexchange interactions between the Fe$^{3+}$ ions in tetrahedral and octahedral sites via oxygen ions makes the ferromagnetic double exchange weaker, competing with the antiferromagnetic superexchange interaction in the octahedral sites. Considering that in our case, normalization has been performed and we decoupled the possible NP size influence from the influence of the Zn-doped IONP structure and composition, and the decrease of the saturation magnetization with increasing “x” is validated and can be explained by the reasoning suggested in ref 41.

**Ion Distribution by XPS.** To assess the oxidation state of metals and the distribution of metal species, XPS data for these samples have been recorded. The survey XPS spectrum of the Zn-doped iron oxide with the Zn fraction $x = 0.35$ is presented in Figure S5 Supporting Information. Similar survey spectra were obtained for the other samples studied. The survey spectra demonstrate the presence of Zn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, O$_{2-}$ (both from the surfactant and adventitious). No other elements were recorded, indicating purity of the sample. HR XPS of Zn 2p and Fe 2p regions are presented in Figure 4. In all samples, the HR Zn 2p and Fe 2p XPS spectra display a peak with a binding energy (BE) of 1021.9 eV, which is representative of Zn$^{2+}$ (Figure 4b). The HR Fe 2p XPS spectra are also alike and display a peak with the BE of 711.4 eV, which is characteristic of iron oxides (Figure 4a). A satellite normally observed for Fe$^{3+}$ ions at the 8–9 eV BE greater than the main peak is not showing. This satellite indicates the Fe$^{3+}$ ions in excess of the Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ = 2:1 ratio of magnetite. The absence of this satellite is indicative of Fe$_3$O$_4$. There is a weak satellite feature in the Fe 2p regions grown in pure Ar atmospheres, indicating Fe$^{3+}$ ions at a BE of 711.4 eV. This observation reveals the presence of maghemite on the NP surface. When Zn$^{2+}$ ions are incorporated, they apparently replace the extra Fe$^{3+}$ ions.

Table 2. Data of Magnetic Measurements and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) for Zn-Doped IONPs, Zn$_{x}$Fe$_{3−x}$O$_4$, at Various Doping Concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample notation</th>
<th>“x” value in Zn$<em>{x}$Fe$</em>{3−x}$O$_4$</th>
<th>NP diameter, nm</th>
<th>$M_s$ emu/g$^b$</th>
<th>$T_B$</th>
<th>$M_s$ emu/g</th>
<th>Fe 2p, at. %</th>
<th>Zn 2p, at. %</th>
<th>Fe 3p, at. %</th>
<th>Zn 3p, at. %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IONPs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$<em>{0.03}$Fe$</em>{2.97}$O$_4$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$<em>{0.01}$Fe$</em>{2.99}$O$_4$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$<em>{0.11}$Fe$</em>{2.89}$O$_4$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$<em>{0.15}$Fe$</em>{2.85}$O$_4$</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$<em>{0.35}$Fe$</em>{2.65}$O$_4$</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$By loading. $^b$Saturation magnetization at 300 K at the magnetic field strength of 10 kOe. "Normalized saturation magnetization adjusted for 17 nm NPs."
FeOl decomposition is noticeable, becoming more pronounced at reaction temperature in eicosane), ZnOl decomposition is FeOl, ZnOl, and the mixture, respectively. At the same time at the beginning of the reaction, it is possible that iron oleate (FeOl) decomposes at a lower temperature than zinc oleate (ZnOl), leading to the surface enrichment with Zn.

**Thermal Properties of Oleates.** To test this hypothesis, we carried out thermal gravimetric analyses (TGAs) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of zinc and iron oleates at 3 °C/min (similar to the reaction conditions) as well as their mixture. The DSC of ZnOl decomposition shows a sharp endothermic transition at 92 °C, which is assigned to melting of the hydrophobic tail ordering in ZnOl. The endothermic transition assigned to the ZnOl decomposition is hardly noticeable at 390 °C. It is noteworthy that the ZnOl decomposition carried out in the absence of iron oxide. Moreover, in the case of the ZnOl + FeOl mixture, the weight loss begins at the lowest temperature (Figure 5a) and the endothermic peaks assigned to the monomer formation (at about 230 °C) and its decomposition (at about 320 °C) (Figure 5b) are shifted to lower temperatures than those for iron oleate, indicating that the presence of Zn2+ ions accelerates transformations of FeOl, while the formation of IONPs catalyzes the ZnOl decomposition, creating a gradient in the Zn2+ ion distribution.

**Charge Carrier Transport and Zn2+ Ion Distribution.** Table 2 data show that the normalized magnetization decreases by ~9% for x = 0.05, ~20% for x = 0.1, and then plummets by more than half starting from x = 0.19. It is expected that a change (increase/decrease) of magnetization should correlate with the carrier density and subsequently with the increase of conductivity. It has been shown that thermally activated itinerant t2g electrons facilitate (through the hopping mechanism between Fe2+/Fe3+ ions of the B sublattice) electrical conductivity and influence the magnetic properties of Zn2+ ions accelerates transformations of FeOl, while the formation of IONPs catalyzes the ZnOl decomposition, creating a gradient in the Zn2+ ion distribution.

The elemental composition of the Zn-doped IONPs has been evaluated for 2p and 3p electrons. It is well-established that the kinetic energy of 3p electrons is much greater than that of 2p electrons, allowing probing the NP surface with 2p electrons and subsurface layers with higher energy 3p electrons. The XPS data presented in Table 2 show that the NP surface of all samples is enriched with Zn, compared to the subsurface layers. For the Zn0.19Fe2.81O4 sample, the bulk values of the Zn and Fe contents obtained from inductively coupled plasma (ICP) are 3.6 and 52.01 wt %, respectively, producing the Fe/Zn weight ratio of 14.45. For 2p and 3p electrons (from XPS), this ratio is 3.22 and 5.34, respectively. The DSC trace of ZnOl shows a sharp endothermic transition at ~340 °C, which is assigned to melting of the hydrophobic tail ordering in ZnOl. The endothermic transition assigned to the ZnOl decomposition is hardly noticeable at 390 °C. It is noteworthy that the ZnOl decomposition carried out in the reaction conditions (~340 °C) produces no ZnO NPs (see Figure S6, Supporting Information). At the same time, Zn-doped IONPs contain considerable amounts of Zn, revealing that IONPs formed early in the decomposition process catalyze the ZnOl decomposition. A similar catalyzing effect in the presence of magnetite NPs was observed for Cr acetylacetonate, whose decomposition does not occur at the reaction temperature in the absence of iron oxide. Moreover, in the case of the ZnOl + FeOl mixture, the weight loss begins at the lowest temperature (Figure 5a) and the endothermic peaks assigned to the monomer formation (at about 230 °C) and its decomposition (at about 320 °C) (Figure 5b) are shifted to lower temperatures than those for iron oleate, indicating that the presence of Zn2+ ions accelerates transformations of FeOl, while the formation of IONPs catalyzes the ZnOl decomposition, creating a gradient in the Zn2+ ion distribution.

**Thermal Properties of Oleates.** To test this hypothesis, we carried out thermal gravimetric analyses (TGAs) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of zinc and iron oleates at 3 °C/min (similar to the reaction conditions) as well as their mixture. The DSC of ZnOl decomposition shows a sharp endothermic transition at 92 °C, which is assigned to melting of the hydrophobic tail ordering in ZnOl. The endothermic transition assigned to the ZnOl decomposition is hardly noticeable at 390 °C. It is noteworthy that the ZnOl decomposition carried out in the reaction conditions (~340 °C) produces no ZnO NPs (see Figure S6, Supporting Information). At the same time, Zn-doped IONPs contain considerable amounts of Zn, revealing that IONPs formed early in the decomposition process catalyze the ZnOl decomposition. A similar catalyzing effect in the presence of magnetite NPs was observed for Cr acetylacetonate, whose decomposition does not occur at the reaction temperature in the absence of iron oxide. Moreover, in the case of the ZnOl + FeOl mixture, the weight loss begins at the lowest temperature (Figure 5a) and the endothermic peaks assigned to the monomer formation (at about 230 °C) and its decomposition (at about 320 °C) (Figure 5b) are shifted to lower temperatures than those for iron oleate, indicating that the presence of Zn2+ ions accelerates transformations of FeOl, while the formation of IONPs catalyzes the ZnOl decomposition, creating a gradient in the Zn2+ ion distribution.

**Charge Carrier Transport and Zn2+ Ion Distribution.** Table 2 data show that the normalized magnetization decreases by ~9% for x = 0.05, ~20% for x = 0.1, and then plummets by more than half starting from x = 0.19. It is expected that a change (increase/decrease) of magnetization should correlate with the carrier density and subsequently with the increase of conductivity. It has been shown that thermally activated itinerant t2g electrons facilitate (through the hopping mechanism between Fe2+/Fe3+ ions of the B sublattice) electrical conductivity and influence the magnetic properties of Zn2+ ions accelerates transformations of FeOl, while the formation of IONPs catalyzes the ZnOl decomposition, creating a gradient in the Zn2+ ion distribution.

**Thermal Properties of Oleates.** To test this hypothesis, we carried out thermal gravimetric analyses (TGAs) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of zinc and iron oleates at 3 °C/min (similar to the reaction conditions) as well as their mixture. The DSC of ZnOl decomposition shows a sharp endothermic transition at 92 °C, which is assigned to melting of the hydrophobic tail ordering in ZnOl. The endothermic transition assigned to the ZnOl decomposition is hardly noticeable at 390 °C. It is noteworthy that the ZnOl decomposition carried out in the reaction conditions (~340 °C) produces no ZnO NPs (see Figure S6, Supporting Information). At the same time, Zn-doped IONPs contain considerable amounts of Zn, revealing that IONPs formed early in the decomposition process catalyze the ZnOl decomposition. A similar catalyzing effect in the presence of magnetite NPs was observed for Cr acetylacetonate, whose decomposition does not occur at the reaction temperature in the absence of iron oxide. Moreover, in the case of the ZnOl + FeOl mixture, the weight loss begins at the lowest temperature (Figure 5a) and the endothermic peaks assigned to the monomer formation (at about 230 °C) and its decomposition (at about 320 °C) (Figure 5b) are shifted to lower temperatures than those for iron oleate, indicating that the presence of Zn2+ ions accelerates transformations of FeOl, while the formation of IONPs catalyzes the ZnOl decomposition, creating a gradient in the Zn2+ ion distribution.

**Charge Carrier Transport and Zn2+ Ion Distribution.** Table 2 data show that the normalized magnetization decreases by ~9% for x = 0.05, ~20% for x = 0.1, and then plummets by more than half starting from x = 0.19. It is expected that a change (increase/decrease) of magnetization should correlate with the carrier density and subsequently with the increase of conductivity. It has been shown that thermally activated itinerant t2g electrons facilitate (through the hopping mechanism between Fe2+/Fe3+ ions of the B sublattice) electrical conductivity and influence the magnetic properties of Zn2+ ions accelerates transformations of FeOl, while the formation of IONPs catalyzes the ZnOl decomposition, creating a gradient in the Zn2+ ion distribution.
reported a similar behavior at very low doping level and attributed it to Zn superscript 2+ ions initially occupying the A site. However, when the A site is saturated, the Zn superscript 2+ ions start occupying the high spin B site. A further increase of the doping level (x = 0.35) does not significantly affect the density of the electron population at the Fermi level (Figure S8, Supporting Information), keeping it at the level of undoped IONPs. This observation is consistent with the photoemission data obtained for Zn[subscript x]Fe[subscript 1−x]O[subscript 4] films, where the lowest doping level was x = 0.5. A comparison of our data with standard iron oxides (Figure S9 and the text underneath, Supporting Information) shows similar DOS values.

These data demonstrate that for Zn-doped IONPs studied here, the normalized saturation magnetization values do not correlate with the initial DOS trend. For x = 0.05, the DOS is the highest while the saturation magnetization is only slightly lower than that for undoped IONPs. For the high doping level (x = 0.35), there is a correlation between the low magnetization and DOS, but for undoped IONPs, the DOS is as low as that for x = 0.35, but the magnetization is the highest. To better understand such behavior, we further analyzed the Zn and Fe contents on the NP surface and subsurface. The Fe 2p/Zn 2p ratio is 28.4 for x = 0.00, 0.05, and 0.1 at hν = 1486.6 eV.

![VB XPS spectra of Zn[subscript x]Fe[subscript 1−x]O[subscript 4] NPs at x = 0.00, 0.05, and 0.1 at hν = 1486.6 eV.](image)

**Figure 6.** VB XPS spectra of Zn[subscript x]Fe[subscript 1−x]O[subscript 4] NPs at x = 0.00, 0.05, and 0.1 at hν = 1486.6 eV.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We synthesized monodisperse Zn-doped IONPs of different compositions and studied their structure and properties. The IONP sizes were in the range of 16–25 nm depending on the reaction conditions, with IONP size distributions not exceeding 6%. Using XPS and EDS, we discovered a radial Zn superscript 2+ ion distribution, with significant Zn superscript 2+ ion enrichment on the IONP surface. Such species distribution was attributed to the different decomposition patterns of Zn and Fe oleates, as shown by TGA and DSC. It was demonstrated that Zn oleate does not decompose at the reaction temperature (~340 °C), while the mixture of Zn and Fe oleates decomposes at even lower temperature than Fe oleate, revealing a mutual influence of Zn superscript 2+ and forming IONPs on the decomposition process. The XPS data at the Fermi level demonstrated that at the low Zn loading, the moderate accumulation of Zn superscript 2+ ions on the IONP surface increases the DOS, that is, the metallic character of these NPs with a largely preserved saturation magnetization, making them promising for spintronics applications.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials.** Iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, 97%), zinc chloride (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%), hexane (VWR analytical), sodium oleate (TCI, >97%), ethanol (Pharmco-Aaper, 200 proof), eicosane (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%), and docosane (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%) were used as received.

**Synthesis of Oleate Precursors.** FeOl was synthesized according to a published procedure. For ZnOl synthesis, zinc chloride (2.17 g, 0.016 mol) and sodium oleate (9.67 g, 0.032 mol) were added to a mixture of ethanol (16 mL), hexane (28 mL), and water (37 mL) and left to react for 4 h at 70 °C under stirring. Upon cooling, a white powder formed which was separated by centrifugation and washed with 15 mL ethanol five times following centrifugation and supernatant removal each time. Subsequently, the product was dried under vacuum for 24 h at room temperature. Elemental analysis data (from X-ray fluorescence and combustion) (wt %) found: C, 68.95; O, 9.85; H, 10.56; Zn, 10.64. Calcld (ZnC[subscript 36]H[subscript 66]O[subscript 4]): C, 68.97; O, 10.22; H, 10.53; Zn, 10.44.

**Synthesis of Undoped and Zn-Doped IONPs.** IONPs were prepared via the thermal decomposition of FeOl in eicosane or docosane. In a typical experiment, a three-neck round-bottom flask furnished with a reflux condenser, a magnetic stir bar, and two septa was loaded with 1.66 g of FeOl, 1.69 mL of oleic acid (3 mmol), and 6.48 g of eicosane. One septum contained a temperature probe inserted in a glass
The reaction conditions for all the as-synthesized NPs was performed following the procedure were kept as solid reaction solutions until needed. Oxidation of the precipitate from supernatant. Finally, the hexane/acetone = 1:3 (v/v) mixture using centrifugation to separate the precipitate from supernatant. The reaction conditions for all the samples are summarized in Table 1.

Zn-doped IONPs were synthesized similarly by adding required amounts of ZnO (Table 1) to the reaction mixture. The rest of the procedure was the same as for IONP above.

Characterization. TEM was utilized for imaging of all samples. To prepare an electron-transparent NP sample, a drop of a chloroform solution was placed on a carbon-coated Cu grid. Images were obtained on a JEOL JEM 1010 transmission electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. HRTEM and STEM EDS images and maps were attained on a JEOL 3200FS transmission electron microscope with attached an Oxford Instruments INCA EDS system at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV.

XRD patterns were acquired using an Empyrean diffractometer (PANalytical). X-rays were produced from a copper target with a wavelength Cu Kα = 1.54187 Å. Soller, anticrater, and divergence slits as well as a nickel filter were in the beam path. The sample was spinning with a revolution time of 4 s during the measurement in reflection mode. The measurements were carried out with several step-sizes and counting rates.

Elemental analysis of Fe and Zn oleates was carried out with an X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (Zeiss Jena VRA-30) furnished with a Mo anode, a LiF crystal analyzer, and an SZ detector. The Fe Kα and Zn Kα lines were used for analyses. Standards have been prepared by mixing 1 g of polystyrene with 10–20 mg of standard compounds. The time of data acquisition was constant at 10 s. Carbon and hydrogen contents were determined by a combustion analysis.

The Zn and Fe contents in Zn0.19Fe2.81O4 were measured using ICP–optical emission spectroscopy (NexION 300Q, PerkinElmer).

XPS experiments were carried out on the PHI VersaProbe II instrument equipped with a monochromatic Al Kα source. The X-ray power of 25 W at 15 kV was utilized for a 200 μm beam size. The calibration of the instrument work function was performed to produce a BE of 84.0 eV for the Au 4f7/2 line for metallic gold and the spectrometer dispersion was tuned to produce BEs of 284.8 eV, 932.7 eV and of 368.3 eV for C 1s line of adventitious (aliphatic) carbon present on the non-sputtered samples, Cu 2p3/2 and Ag 3d3/2 photoemission lines, respectively. The PHI dual charge compensation system was utilized on all samples. The final VersaProbe II instrumental resolution was found to be better than 0.125 eV using the Fermi edge of the VB for metallic silver. XPS spectra with the energy step of 0.1 eV were recorded with SmartSoft-XPS v2.0 and processed using PHI MultiPack v9.0 software at pass energies of 46.95 and 23.5 eV for Fe 2p, 93.5 eV for Zn 2p, 23.5 eV for C 1s, VB and Fe 3p. Peaks were fitted utilizing a combination of Gaussians and Lorentzians with 10–50% of Lorentzian contents. Curve-fitting was carried out using a Shirley background. The samples for XPS measurements were prepared by drop casting of the NP solution onto the native surface of a Si(111) wafer. The standards Fe2O3, Fe3O4 and FeO powders were commercially available from Sigma-Aldrich.

Thermal properties of the oleate precursors to Zn-doped IONPs were evaluated by TGA and DSC. TGA was performed on a Setaram Labsys evo STA 1600 instrument using alumina crucibles. DSC was analyzed on a TA Q200 analyzer with an RCS 90 cooling system using aluminum Tzero pans. All experiments were performed under an argon atmosphere while heating at 3 K/min.

The magnetic properties of the samples were acquired by a Superconducting Quantum Interference Device magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS XLS). The measurements have been carried out using dried NPs on cotton placed in a gelatin capsule. The isothermal hysteresis loops, M(T), were measured at fields −1 ≤ H ≤ +1 T. The dc magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature, M(T), was achieved down to 5 K using ZFC and FC protocols, at H = 50 Oe.
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