#### Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism PALMITIC AND OLEIC ACID: THE YIN AND YANG IN T2DM --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	TEM-D-17-00153R1
Article Type:	Review
Corresponding Author:	Manuel Vázquez-Carrera University of Barcelona Barcelona, SPAIN
First Author:	Xavier Palomer
Order of Authors:	Xavier Palomer
	Javier Pizarro
	Emma Barroso
	Manuel Vázquez-Carrera
Abstract:	Increased plasma non-esterified fatty acids (FA) link obesity with insulin resistance (IR) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). However, in contrast to the SFA acid palmitic acid, the MUFA oleic acid elicits beneficial effects on insulin sensitivity. Indeed, the dietary palmitic acid/oleic acid ratio impacts diabetic risk in humans. Here, we review the beneficial effects of oleic acid compared to palmitic acid on IR and T2DM, including its anti-inflammatory actions, and its capacity to inhibit endoplasmic reticulum stress, to prevent attenuation of the insulin signaling pathway and to improve beta-cell survival. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of the antidiabetic effects of oleic acid may contribute to understand the benefits of this FA in the prevention or delay of T2DM.

1	PALMITIC AND OLEIC ACID: THE YIN AND YANG OF FATTY ACIDS IN
2	TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS
3	Xavier Palomer, Javier Pizarro, Emma Barroso, Manuel Vázquez-Carrera
4	Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Therapeutic Chemistry, Faculty of
5	Pharmacy and Food Sciences, University of Barcelona, Institute of Biomedicine of the
6	University of Barcelona (IBUB), Pediatric Research Institute-Hospital Sant Joan de
7	Déu and Spanish Biomedical Research Centre in Diabetes and Associated Metabolic
8	Diseases (CIBERDEM)-Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Avinguda Joan XXII 27-31, E-
9	08028 Barcelona, Spain
10	
10	
12	Correspondence: myazquezcarrera@ub.edu (M. Vázquez-Carrera)
12	Correspondence: <u>invazquezearrera@ub.edu</u> (ivi. vazquez Carrera)
1/	
14	
15	
10	<b>Keywords:</b> Oleic acid: Palmitic Acid: Insulin resistance: Type 2 diabetes mellitus:
18	Inflammation.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	1

#### 26 Abstract

Increased plasma non-esterified fatty acids link obesity with insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus. However, in contrast to the saturated fatty acid palmitic acid, the monounsaturated fatty acid oleic acid elicits beneficial effects on insulin sensitivity. Indeed, the dietary palmitic acid/oleic acid ratio impacts diabetic risk in humans. Here, we review recent mechanistic insights into the beneficial effects of oleic acid compared to palmitic acid on insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus, including its anti-inflammatory actions, and its capacity to inhibit endoplasmic reticulum stress, to prevent attenuation of the insulin signaling pathway and to improve  $\beta$ -cell survival. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of the antidiabetic effects of oleic acid may contribute to understand the benefits of this fatty acid in the prevention or delay of type 2 diabetes mellitus. 

#### 51 Increased plasma non-esterified fatty acids link obesity and insulin resistance

Insulin resistance, defined as a defect in the capacity of insulin to drive glucose into its 52 53 target tissues, both predicts and precedes the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [1]. This condition eventually leads to hyperglycemia when pancreatic  $\beta$  cells 54 55 fail to secrete sufficient insulin to meet the increased metabolic demand for this hormone. The expansion of adipose tissue in obese individuals releases increased 56 amounts of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) (see Glossary, also called free fatty acids, 57 FFA), hormones, pro-inflammatory cytokines and other factors that are involved in the 58 59 development of insulin resistance. Increased levels of NEFA provoke insulin resistance and impair  $\beta$ -cell function [2], making them good candidates as a link between obesity 60 and T2DM development. The link between obesity and T2DM also involves the 61 62 activation of a chronic low-level inflammatory process promoted by increased levels of NEFA, which contributes to insulin resistance and T2DM [1]. 63

Plasma NEFA released by adipose tissue reflects fat intake. Oleic acid (C18:1) and 64 palmitic acid (C16:0) are the most abundant dietary and plasma FA, and represent 31% 65 and 27% respectively of total plasma NEFA [3]. However, the saturated FA (SFA) (Box 66 67 1) palmitic acid and the monounsaturated FA (MUFA) oleic acid differently contribute to insulin resistance. Studies of subjects who reduced their SFA and increased their 68 MUFA intake have shown a significant improvement in insulin sensitivity [4]. This 69 beneficial effect of oleic acid on insulin sensitivity can also help to explain the 70 71 protective effects of the Mediterranean diet against both obesity and T2DM. That diet is characterized by a specific FA pattern with a low intake of SFA (7-8% of energy) and a 72 73 high intake of MUFA (over 20% of total energy), as the primary fat source is olive oil (100 g contain 74 g of oleic acid) [5]. Understanding the molecular mechanisms 74

responsible for the beneficial effects of oleic acid might help promote its use in the prevention or delay of T2DM. Here, we review recent mechanistic insights into the beneficial effects of oleic acid compared to palmitic acid in insulin resistance and T2DM.

#### 79 N

#### Molecular mechanisms involved in palmitic acid-induced insulin resistance

Three main mechanisms have been reported in palmitic acid-mediated insulin resistance 80 and T2DM (Figure 1): 1) Increased synthesis of deleterious complex lipids; 2) Impaired 81 function of cellular organelles; 3) Receptor-mediated inflammation. First, the increase 82 83 in plasma NEFA favors the rate of FA delivery to non-adipose tissues, such as the liver, muscle, heart, and pancreatic islets. Such ectopic lipid deposition promotes 84 metabolically relevant cellular dysfunction (lipotoxicity) and programmed cell-death 85 (lipoapoptosis). In these circumstances, increased intracellular levels of palmitic acid 86 exceed its mitochondrial oxidation and it is converted into deleterious complex FA-87 derived lipids, such as diacylglycerol (DAG) and ceramide. In contrast, the 88 accumulation of the relatively inert triacylglycerol (TAG), which is not a signaling 89 lipid, is generally considered to be harmless and it could even be protective [6]. The 90 increase in the intracellular content of DAG caused by the exposure to enhanced levels 91 of palmitic acid activates novel protein kinases C (PKC) isoforms, such as PKC0 in 92 skeletal muscle and PKCE in liver [7], which ultimately attenuate the insulin signaling 93 94 pathway by phosphorylating serine residues in insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS-1). The activation of some of these PKC isoforms, such as PKC $\theta$ , can also activate the pro-95 inflammatory signaling cascade IkB-kinase (IKK)β-IkB-nuclear factor (NF)-kB [7]; a 96 pathway which also attenuates insulin action through IKKβ-mediated phosphorylation 97

at serine residues of IRS-1 or by increasing the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines. 98 Interestingly, it has been reported that only DAG containing SFA in skeletal muscle 99 membranes are related to insulin resistance in humans [8]. Likewise, the co-enzyme A 100 101 thioester of palmitic acid is the limiting substrate of *de novo* ceramide synthesis. 102 Therefore, the flux through this biosynthetic pathway is increased following enhanced availability of palmitic acid [9]. Increased ceramide synthesis leads to insulin resistance 103 through several mechanisms, including inhibition of Akt via PKC $\zeta$  and protein 104 phosphatase 2A (PP2A), which promotes dephosphorylation and inactivation of Akt [9]. 105 106 In addition, ceramide also induces endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, inhibits 107 mitochondrial  $\beta$ -oxidation of FA and activates the nod-like receptor containing a pyrin 108 domain (NLRP3) inflammasome [9]; a major instigator of inflammation.

109 Second, the mechanisms underlying the detrimental effects of excess NEFA on T2DM 110 also include the impairment of the function of cellular organelles. Enhanced NEFA 111 levels perturb ER homeostasis by causing lipid dysregulation in the ER that affects the lipid composition of the membranes of this organelle. This results in a process known as 112 ER stress (Figure 1), which affects calcium signaling, can cause cell death, and 113 attenuates protein translation [10]. In addition, palmitic acid-induced ER stress 114 115 intersects with the inflammatory process by activating several pro-inflammatory pathways, such as NF-kB, c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), double-stranded RNA 116 (dsRNA)-dependent protein kinase (PKR) and the NLRP3 inflammasome [10]. 117 118 Ultimately, all these changes contribute to metabolic dysregulation and T2DM [10].

119 Mitochondrial dysfunction has also been associated with muscle insulin resistance in 120 several studies [11]. T2DM is characterized by the presence of disturbances in FA

metabolism, and mitochondria dysfunction can result in a reduced oxidative capacity 121 promoting intracellular accumulation of complex lipids that activate pathways that 122 interfere with the insulin signaling pathway. In addition, it has been proposed that 123 124 increased lipid oversupply into the mitochondria because of increased NEFA levels leads to incomplete FA oxidation provoking increased generation of reactive oxygen 125 species (ROS), with concomitant mitochondrial stress, which ultimately leads to cellular 126 127 damage and insulin resistance [12]. Moreover, FA translocase, or CD36, is a scavenger 128 receptor that recognizes long-chain FA and is involved in their trafficking; it also plays an important role in T2DM. In fact, palmitic acid is a potent inducer of ROS in several 129 cell types, including  $\beta$ -cells, and CD36 appears to be required for FA-induced ROS 130 production [13]. 131

132

133 Third, palmitic acid can activate pro-inflammatory pathways through membrane 134 receptors, such as Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4): a pattern recognition receptor that recognizes bacterial components, including lipopolysaccharide (LPS). SFA can also 135 136 activate TLR4 through fetuin A: an adaptor protein that mediates the interaction between SFA and TLR4. Once activated, TLR4 subsequently promotes the activation of 137 pro-inflammatory transcription factors such as NF- $\kappa$ B [14]. Moreover, SFA can modify 138 gut microbiota leading to an increase in the levels of LPS after high fat intake, 139 140 enhancing this natural TLR4 ligand [15]. In addition to TLR4, another molecule that 141 integrates immune and nutritional signaling with inflammation and insulin action is 142 PKR, which is activated by viral dsRNA as part of the mammalian immune response. It 143 has been suggested that small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA), which accumulate in the 144 cytosol and act as critical mediators of lipotoxicity, interact and activate PKR in a145 palmitic acid-dependent manner [16,17].

146 As mentioned above, substituting palmitic acid by oleic acid, together with additional life style changes, offers an opportunity to reverse or delay the deleterious metabolic 147 effects of this SFA. In fact, increased consumption of olive oil, which is high in oleic 148 acid and contains antioxidant compounds, was associated with a reduction in the risk of 149 T2DM in US women [18]. Likewise, it has been reported that the dietary palmitic 150 151 acid/oleic acid ratio impacts diabetic risk in women [19]. Overall, these and other reports suggest that oleic acid has a protective effect against insulin resistance and 152 153 T2DM. In the following sections, we describe the molecular mechanisms by which 154 oleic acid prevents palmitic acid-induced insulin resistance and T2DM.

155

### 156 Oleic acid-activated mechanisms that attenuate the increase in the synthesis of 157 deleterious complex lipids caused by palmitic acid

Skeletal muscle is a key tissue that affects the metabolic state of the whole organism 158 159 since it accounts for about 80% of insulin-stimulated glucose disposal and is the main organ for NEFA utilization [20]. Accumulation of deleterious complex lipids (DAG and 160 ceramide) within muscle fibers is considered a key factor in the development of insulin 161 resistance [1] and may occur because of increased FA uptake due to excess plasma 162 NEFA and/or because of a reduced rate of mitochondrial FA oxidation [21]. We 163 previously reported that exposure of myotubes to palmitic acid leads to enhanced DAG 164 levels and the subsequent activation of the pro-inflammatory pathway PKC $\theta$ -NF- $\kappa$ B. 165 166 This causes both phosphorylation of IRS-1 at serine residues and inhibition of insulin-

stimulated Akt phosphorylation, thereby attenuating insulin signaling [22] (Figure 2). 167 However, exposure to oleic acid does not cause these changes and co-incubation of 168 palmitic acid-exposed cells with a lower concentration of oleic acid reverses the effects 169 170 of the latter. When we examined the incorporation of these FA into TAG and DAG, we observed that palmitic acid was mainly incorporated into DAG, whereas oleic acid was 171 mainly incorporated into TAG. Furthermore, cells incubated with both palmitic and 172 173 oleic acid showed a greater incorporation of FA into TAG than cells exposed only to 174 palmitic acid. These findings have been confirmed in additional studies [23]. The increased channeling of palmitic acid into DAG was associated with a reduction in the 175 176 expression levels of diacylglycerol acyltransferase-2 (Dgat2), which is the enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of DAG into TAG; whereas no changes were observed in cells 177 incubated with either oleic acid or palmitic plus oleic acid. These differences might 178 explain why palmitic acid increases the levels of DAG and activates the PKCθ-NF-κB 179 pathway, whereas the addition of oleic acid favors the synthesis of TAG, protecting the 180 cells from inflammation and the attenuation of the insulin signaling pathway. Moreover, 181 palmitic acid and oleic acid affect the expression of genes involved in mitochondrial FA 182 183 oxidation differently. The expression of these genes is regulated at the transcriptional 184 level by several nuclear receptors, including PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\beta/\delta$ , whose potency as transcription factors largely depends on the assembly of transcriptional co-activators, 185 186 such as PPAR $\gamma$  co-activator (PGC)-1 $\alpha$ , which also controls mitochondrial biogenesis [24]. Palmitic acid reduces the expression of  $Pgc-1\alpha$  in myotubes, whereas oleic acid 187 does not, and co-incubation of palmitic acid-exposed cells with lower concentration of 188 oleic acid prevents the reduction in its expression. The capacity of oleic acid to prevent 189 the downregulation in the expression of  $Pgc-1\alpha$  was dependent on PPAR $\alpha$  and protein 190

191 kinase A (PKA) [22]. PGC-1 $\alpha$  activity is also controlled through reversible acetylation. PGC-1a is deacetylated and activated by sirtuin 1 (Sirt1), and acetylated and inhibited 192 193 by general control of amino-acid synthesis 5-like 2 (GCN5). Interestingly, Sirt1 deacetylase activity is induced by different signaling pathways, including AMPK and 194 195 cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)/PKA, ultimately enhancing mitochondrial FA oxidation [25]. Importantly, myotubes exposed to oleic acid, but not to palmitic acid, 196 197 display an increase in the levels of cAMP, which activate PKA. This results in SIRT1 phosphorylation at serine 434, which increases its deacetylase activity, eventually 198 leading to enhanced activity of PGC-1 $\alpha$  and expression of genes involved in 199 mitochondrial FA oxidation, as well as the subsequent higher rate of FA oxidation [26]. 200 201 Oleic acid also increases the levels of carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT-1) [22,27], 202 which enables the transport of FA into mitochondria for  $\beta$ -oxidation. This effect of oleic 203 acid results in a reduction of ceramide and DAG levels in palmitic acid-exposed cells [27]. Further, co-incubation with oleic acid increases mitochondrial β-oxidation of the 204 205 FA through a second mechanism: activation of AMPK, which in turn inhibits acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) and consequently its product malonyl-CoA, a CPT-1 inhibitor 206 207 [26]. Similarly, oleic acid abolishes palmitic acid-induced ceramide synthesis in myotubes by preventing the upregulation of dihydroceramide desaturase 1: the enzyme 208 209 responsible for generating ceramide from its precursor. This effect of oleic acid protects 210 cells from attenuation of the insulin signaling pathway caused by the SFA [28].

In the liver, an excess of SFA, such as palmitic acid, induces lipotoxicity, leading to the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), a process associated with insulin resistance. Moreover, the severity of NAFLD correlates with the presence of lipoapoptosis. In cultured hepatocytes, palmitic acid, but not oleic acid, impairs the insulin signaling pathway [29]. Likewise, co-incubation with both FA increases the
extent of steatosis, but oleic acid reduces apoptosis and the impairment of insulin
signaling caused by palmitic acid. Moreover, palmitic acid-induced apoptosis
suppresses autophagy by inducing caspase-dependent beclin 1 cleavage. In contrast, it
was suggested that oleic acid protects hepatocytes against apoptosis by inducing the
formation of TAG-enriched lipid droplets and induction of autophagy [30].

On the other hand, chronic exposure of rodent and human islet  $\beta$  cells to FA impairs 221 glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) and induces apoptosis through the 222 activation of several pathways, including increased synthesis of ceramide, 223 mitochondrial dysfunction, ER stress and activation of the long-chain FFA receptor 1 224 (FFAR1) or G protein-coupled receptor 40 (GPR40) [31,32]. As for other cell types, 225 oleic acid protects palmitic acid-exposed  $\beta$  cells, modifying the metabolism of the SFA 226 either by increasing its oxidation or promoting its incorporation into TAG, thereby 227 228 reducing the levels of ceramide and DAG, or by preventing ER stress [33-35]. The increase in palmitic acid-induced mitochondrial oxidation caused by oleic acid is 229 230 mediated through PPAR $\beta/\delta$  activation [36].

# Oleic acid-activated mechanisms that prevent impaired function of cellular organelles caused by palmitic acid

ER stress is a potentially new mechanism involved in the association between saturated NEFA-induced inflammation and insulin resistance [10]. We [37] and others [23] have reported that palmitic acid increases ER stress and inflammation and attenuates the insulin signaling pathway in mouse and human myotubes and *in vivo*. Oleic acid, in contrast, does not, and co-incubation of palmitic acid-exposed cells with oleic acid in a

lower concentration than the palmitic acid prevents these changes (Figure 3). This 238 beneficial effect of oleic acid on palmitic acid-exposed cells is dependent on the AMP-239 mediated activation of AMPK [37], a metabolic sensor that also modulates 240 241 inflammation and is recognized as a therapeutic target for diabetes [38]. The reduction in the levels of phosphorylated AMPK caused by palmitic acid in myotubes has also 242 been associated with an increase in the levels of phosphorylated ribosomal protein S6 243 244 kinase 1 (S6K1), a downstream kinase of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) that 245 attenuates the insulin signaling pathway by phosphorylating IRS-1 at serine residues [39]. Oleic acid does not affect the phosphorylation of S6K1, and co-incubation of 246 palmitic acid-exposed myotubes with oleic acid prevents the increase caused by the 247 SFA. In another study in human and mouse hepatocytes, oleic acid protected against the 248 deleterious effects of palmitic acid on ER stress, apoptosis and the insulin signaling 249 250 pathway by preventing S6K1 activation [40]. In a similar way, co-incubation of palmitic acid-exposed  $\beta$  cells with oleic acid protects the cells by activating pro-survival 251 252 pathways of the ER stress response involved in protein folding and antioxidative defense [41]. 253

254

Tribbles 3 (TRB3) is a pseudokinase, which contains a kinase domain without enzymatic activity and is responsible for strikingly different metabolic functions, depending on the tissue studied. In the liver, TRB3 binds to Akt and inhibits its activity, leading to impaired insulin signaling [42]. In hepatocytes, TRB3 upregulation occurs through palmitic acid-induced ER stress and the increased levels of this protein are sufficient to modulate FA-induced insulin resistance [43]. Oleic acid does not increase TRB3 levels and co-incubation of palmitic acid-exposed cells with oleic acid preventsthe increase in the levels of this protein.

263

Mitochondria are a major producer of ROS and exposure of skeletal muscle cells to palmitic acid causes a significant increase of mitochondrial ROS production and a concomitant mitochondrial DNA damage and dysfunction, induction of JNK, apoptosis, and inhibition of insulin signaling [44]. In contrast, supplementation with oleic acid reduces ROS generation and protects the mitochondria from palmitic acid-induced oxidative stress, apoptosis and attenuation of insulin signaling [44,45].

270

#### 271 Oleic acid-mediated mechanisms that prevent palmitic acid-induced inflammation

272 IL-1 $\beta$  is a potent cytokine that plays a key role in inflammation and insulin resistance [46]. In fact, lack of IL-1B signaling results in improved insulin sensitivity in HFD-fed 273 mice [47]. The release of this potent cytokine requires two steps (Figure 4). First, 274 activation of the TLR4/NF-KB pathway by palmitic acid leads to the production of pro-275 IL-18. Second, the NLRP3 inflammasome generates mature IL-18 through caspase-1-276 dependent processing activated by several molecules, including ATP. It should be noted 277 278 that substitution of SFA by an oleic acid-enriched diet in HFD-fed mice results in 279 improved insulin sensitivity, reduced pro-IL-1 $\beta$  levels and adipose IL-1 $\beta$  secretion and sustained adipose AMPK activation [48]. Furthermore, oleic acid prevents ATP-induced 280 IL-1ß secretion from LPS- and palmitic acid-exposed macrophages through an AMPK-281 dependent mechanism. The findings of this study indicate that sustained activation of 282

AMPK by oleic acid disrupts NLRP3 inflammasome activation, reducing the processing
of IL-1β and the attenuation of insulin signaling caused by this cytokine.

Several factors can increase the diabetogenic effect of visceral adipose tissue, including 285 increased production of adipokines, which can impair insulin sensitivity. This 286 production of cytokines is enhanced by the infiltration of macrophages into visceral 287 adipose tissue [49]. Macrophages undergo specific differentiation depending on the 288 289 local tissue environment, to fulfill their functionally distinct roles, and they are capable of polarizing toward different phenotypes, which include the classical (pro-290 291 inflammatory, M1) and alternative (anti-inflammatory, M2) activation states. In obesity, adipose tissue M1 macrophage numbers increase and correlate with adipose tissue 292 293 inflammation and insulin resistance; whereas in lean humans and mice, M2 294 macrophages predominate [50].

295 The effects of different FA have been evaluated in mature visceral adipocytes from non-296 obese and morbidly obese subjects [51]. Palmitic acid shows a direct inflammatory 297 effect in adipocytes from non-obese subjects, increasing the expression of the proinflammatory cytokines TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 and decreasing the mRNA levels of the anti-298 299 inflammatory cytokine IL-10 and adiponectin: the most abundant peptide secreted by adipocytes, reduction of which plays a central role in insulin resistance/T2DM. These 300 301 pro-inflammatory effects of palmitic acid are more pronounced in adipocytes from 302 morbidly obese patients. In contrast, oleic acid decreases the expression of pro-303 inflammatory cytokines and causes a pronounced increase in IL-10 and adiponectin expression in adipocytes from non-obese patients (Figure 4). Interestingly, adiponectin 304 305 increases cellular ceramidase activity, which catalyzes ceramide degradation and activates AMPK, which in turn can activate FA oxidation [52]. The anti-inflammatory 306

13

effect of oleic acid was abolished by knocking down the expression of FFAR4/GPR120, 307 a receptor with anti-inflammatory effects that is activated by long-chain FA, mainly n-3 308 PUFA, but also by oleic acid [53]. It is noteworthy that the pro-inflammatory effect of 309 310 palmitic acid was also reversed by silencing FFAR4/GPR120, although no explanation was provided for this finding. Interestingly, the anti-inflammatory effect of oleic acid 311 was not observed in adipocytes from morbidly obese subjects [51]. This might be a 312 consequence of the reported reduced expression of FFAR4/GPR120 in visceral adipose 313 314 tissue of morbidly obese patients [54]. Consistent with FFAR4/GPR120 playing a role in the mediation of the effects of oleic acid, an oleic acid-enriched diet improved whole-315 body insulin resistance in an animal model of diet-induced obesity by: reducing 316 inflammation in adipose tissue, liver and skeletal muscle; decreasing macrophage 317 infiltration; and increasing IL-10 levels, and all these effects were abolished by 318 319 FFAR4/GPR120 knockdown [55]. Additionally, oleic acid increases M2 macrophage markers in mesenteric adipose tissue, suggesting that this MUFA induces M2 320 321 macrophage polarization [56].

322 Oleic and palmitic acid also elicit opposite effects on macrophages/Kupffer cells and hepatocytes [57]. In fact, a conditioned medium from macrophages stimulated with 323 palmitic acid induces ER stress and inflammatory signaling that impairs insulin 324 325 signaling in mouse hepatocytes. In contrast, oleic acid-stimulated macrophages result in 326 M2 polarization and the conditioned medium from these cells enhances insulin sensitivity in hepatocytes. This is due to a reduction in the levels of leukotriene B<sub>4</sub>, 327 328 which in turn leads to a reduction in hepatocytes of both protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B), and phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN): two phosphatases that 329 negatively modulate insulin receptors and Akt, respectively. Oleic acid also upregulates 330

microRNA-21, inducing PTEN degradation; whereas palmitic acid has no effect [58]. Moreover, oleic acid increases the expression of PPAR $\beta/\delta$  in human hepatocytes via a FFAR1/GPR40-mediated and calcium-dependent mechanism. The increase in PPAR $\beta/\delta$ caused by oleic acid then negatively regulates PTEN and thereby increases insulin sensitivity [59].

Attenuation of insulin-induced tyrosine phosphorylation and methylation of the catalytic subunit of PP2A are involved in the activation of this enzyme by palmitic acid, and ultimately result in the repression of Akt activation/phosphorylation. In rat and human skeletal myotubes the effect of palmitic acid on PP2A is antagonized by oleic acid through increased tyrosine phosphorylation and demethylation of the catalytic subunit of PP2A [60], which results in the repression of the phosphatase activity of this enzyme.

342

#### 343 Additional antidiabetic effects of oleic acid

Part of the effects resulting from dietary intake of oleic acid may be mediated by its 344 derived endogenous lipid mediator oleoylethanolamide (OEA). Dietary intake of oleic 345 346 acid elevates the levels of OEA by increasing substrate availability for its biosynthesis from membrane glycerophospholipids [61]. Interestingly, OEA decreases food intake 347 and body weight gain through peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)a 348 activation. The reduction in body weight caused by OEA has been attributed to its 349 anorectic activity as well as to its capacity to increase lipolysis in adipocytes and the 350 subsequent stimulation of FA oxidation in skeletal muscle [61]. Additionally, oleic acid 351 352 ingestion stimulates OEA mobilization into the mucosal cells of the gut, which activates

353 a PPAR $\alpha$ -mediated signal that travels through the afferent vagus nerve to the 354 hypothalamus, where it increases satiety [62].

355 On the other hand, dietary fat is known to stimulate the release by intestinal L-cells of 356 glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1), which in turn increases GSIS, among other 357 physiological effects. The release of GLP-1 by dietary fat is mediated by NEFA through FFAR1/GPR40. In addition, oleic acid can also induce GLP-1 secretion in vivo via 358 PKC $\zeta$  [63]. Meanwhile, GPR119 is an antidiabetic target expressed in  $\beta$  cells and in 359 360 incretin-secreting enteroendocrine cells in the intestine [64]. Activation of GPR119 can increase insulin secretion directly by acting at the level of the  $\beta$ -cell or indirectly by 361 362 increasing circulating levels of GLP-1 released from intestinal L-cells. Of all the endogenous GPR119 agonists, OEA is the more potent [64]. There are three additional 363 oleic acid derivatives that are GPR119 agonists [64]. However, there are conflicting 364 reports regarding whether these compounds are responsible for fat-induced GPR119 365 366 activation and GLP-1 release [64]. OEA can also elicit a powerful cytoprotective effect on palmitic acid-exposed rat  $\beta$  cells. However, this effect is not mediated by GPR119, 367 since OEA was internalized and subjected to hydrolysis by FA amide hydrolase to 368 release free oleic acid, which in turn mediated cytoprotection [65]. 369

370

371 Some of the effects of oleic acid might also be mediated by its effects on the 372 hypothalamus, a region that regulates energy homeostasis. The hypothalamus matches 373 energy intake to energy expenditure to prevent obesity through satiety (leptin) and 374 hormonal (insulin) signals. In addition, the hypothalamus interacts with the liver 375 through the vagus nerve. On sensing FA, the hypothalamus lowers food intake and glucose production [66]. For instance, central oleic infusion inhibits glucose production and food intake [67]. Exposure to palmitic acid, but not oleic acid, by oral gavage or direct infusion results in PKCθ activation in the hypothalamus, which was associated with impaired hypothalamic insulin and leptin signaling [68]. In humans, increased levels of oleic acid in cerebrospinal fluid were associated with improved glucose tolerance [69].

382 Cardiovascular disease is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in T2DM patients, and the presence in these patients of atherogenic dyslipidemia is among the major 383 factors contributing to increased cardiovascular risk. It is now generally accepted that 384 the different components of atherogenic dyslipidemia are closely linked and are initiated 385 by insulin resistance through overproduction of TAG-rich very-low-density lipoproteins 386 387 (VLDL) [70]. Interestingly, infusion of oleic acid in the hypothalamus of rats activates a neuronal network that suppresses VLDL-TAG secretion in the liver [71]. Oleic acid also 388 389 shows a beneficial effect at the cardiovascular level, compared with palmitic acid [72].

#### 390 Concluding remarks and future perspectives

There is compelling evidence that insulin resistance and T2DM can be prevented or 391 392 their onset delayed by lifestyle interventions; and it is critical to promote changes in diet that reduce the incidence of T2DM [73]. It is now well accepted that the total amount of 393 394 fat intake has an impact on insulin sensitivity only if it exceeds the 37% of total energy 395 intake [4]. However, below this value, a critical factor in the induction of insulin 396 resistance is not the total amount of fat, but its FA composition [4]. In fact, insights 397 gained from preclinical studies have shown that substitution of part of the palmitic acid by oleic acid strongly attenuates the deleterious effects of the SFA on adipose tissue, 398

skeletal muscle, liver and  $\beta$  cells. Consistent with this, substituting dietary SFA for a high-oleic-acid diet enhances insulin sensitivity in humans [4]. Several mechanisms account for the protective effects of oleic acid with respect to T2DM. However, the studies have some limitations and many questions remain regarding the effects of oleic acid on humans (see Outstanding Questions). Interestingly, oleic acid activates or prevents the reduction in AMPK activity caused by palmitic acid. AMPK is a recognized therapeutic target for T2DM [38] and it is also the main target activated by metformin, the most commonly prescribed drug for T2DM in the world [38]. Some studies have demonstrated that oleic acid and metformin have similar protective effects, both preventing the deleterious effects of palmitic acid [39]; this suggests that oleic acid might have some metformin-like effects. Current and future studies should elucidate the mechanisms responsible for the protective effects of oleic acid against T2DM development in humans.

#### **References**

421	1.	Samuel, V.T. and Shulman, G.I (2016) The pathogenesis of insulin resistance:
422		integrating signaling pathways and substrate flux. J. Clin. Invest. 126, 12-22
423	2.	Biden, T.J. et al. (2014) Lipotoxic endoplasmic reticulum stress, β cell failure,
424		and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 25, 389-398.
425	3.	Staiger, H. et al. (2004) Palmitate-induced interleukin-6 expression in human
426		coronary artery endothelial cells. Diabetes 53, 3209-3216
427	4.	Vessby, B. et al. (2001) Substituting dietary saturated for monounsaturated fat
428		impairs insulin sensitivity in healthy men and women: The KANWU Study.
429		Diabetologia 44: 312-319.
430	5.	Martínez-González, M.A., et al. (2014) Prevention of diabetes with
431		mediterranean diets. Ann. Intern. Med. 161:157-158
432	6.	Listenberger, L.L. et al. (2003) Triglyceride accumulation protects against fatty
433		acid-induced lipotoxicity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A 100, 3077-3082.
434	7.	Ertunc, M.E. and Hotamisligil, G.S. (2016) Lipid signaling and lipotoxicity in
435		metaflammation: indications for metabolic disease pathogenesis and treatment.
436		J. Lipid Res. 57, 2099-2114.
437	8.	Bergman, B.C. et al. (2012) Localisation and composition of skeletal muscle
438		diacylglycerol predicts insulin resistance in humans. Diabetologia. 2012
439	0	Apr;55(4):1140-50.
440	9.	Chaurasia, B. and Summers, S.A. (2015) Ceramides - Lipotoxic Inducers of
441		Metabolic Disorders. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 26:538-550.
442	10.	Salvadó, L. et al. (2015) Targeting endoplasmic reticulum stress in insulin
443		resistance. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 26:438-448.
444	11.	Gonzalez-Franquesa, A. and Patti M.E. (2017) Insulin Resistance and
445		Mitochondrial Dysfunction. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 982, 465-520.
446	12.	Tumova, J. et al. (2016) Excess of free fatty acids as a cause of metabolic
447	10	dysfunction in skeletal muscle. <i>Physiol. Res.</i> 65, 193-207.
448	13.	Kim, Y.W. et al (2012) Inhibition of fatty acid translocase cluster determinant 36 $(CD26)$ stimulated by hyperplusering prevents clustering INS 1 cells
449		(CD50), summated by hypergrycenna, prevents grucoloxicity in ins-1 cens. Biocham Biophys Res Commun 420, 462, 466
450	14	Velloso I A et al (2015) TI R4 at the Crossroads of Nutrients Gut Microbiota
452	17.	and Metabolic Inflammation Endocr Rev 36 245-71
452	15	Cani PD <i>et al.</i> (2007) Metabolic endotoxemia initiates obesity and insulin
455	15	resistance Diabetes 56 1761-1772
455	16	Nakamura T <i>et al.</i> (2010) Double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase
455	10.	links pathogen sensing with stress and metabolic homeostasis Call 140, 338
450		and metabolic nomeostasis. Cell 140, 556-
457	17	340.
458	1/.	1 oussel, U.A. <i>et al.</i> (2015) Potential role for snokings in PKR activation during $N_{\rm eff}$ and $N_{\rm eff$
459	10	metabolic stress. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A 112, 5023-5028.
460	18.	Guasch-Ferré, M. et al. (2015) Olive oil consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes
461		in US women. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 102, 479-486.

462	19. Kien, C.L. et al. (2013) A lipidomics analysis of the relationship between dietary
463	fatty acid composition and insulin sensitivity in young adults. Diabetes 62,
464	1054-1063.
465	20. DeFronzo, R.A. et al. (1985) Effects of insulin on peripheral and splanchnic
466	glucose metabolism in noninsulin-dependent (type II) diabetes mellitus. J. Clin.
467	Invest. 76, 149–155.
468	21. Erion, D.M. and Shulman, G.I. (2010) Diacylglycerol-mediated insulin
469	resistance. Nat. Med. 16, 400-402.
470	22. Coll, T. et al. (2008) Oleate reverses palmitate-induced insulin resistance and
471	inflammation in skeletal muscle cells. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 11107-11116.
472	23. Peng, G. et al. (2001) Oleate blocks palmitate-induced abnormal lipid
4/3	distribution, endoplasmic reticulum expansion and stress, and insulin resistance
4/4	In skeletal muscle. Endocrinology 152, 2200-2218.
475	in the regulation of mitochondrial function and beyond EEBS L 282 647-672
470	25 Cantó C et al (2009) AMPK regulates energy expenditure by modulating NAD
478	metabolism and SIRT1 activity. Nature 458, 1056–1060
479	26. Lim, J.H. et al. (2013) Oleic acid stimulates complete oxidation of fatty acids
480	through protein kinase A-dependent activation of SIRT1-PGC1α complex. J.
481	Biol. Chem. 288, 7117-7126.
482	27. Henique, C. et al. (2010) Increased mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation is
483	sufficient to protect skeletal muscle cells from palmitate-induced apoptosis. J.
484	Biol. Chem. 285, 36818-36827.
485	28. Hu, W. (2011) Differential regulation of dihydroceramide desaturase by
486	palmitate versus monounsaturated fatty acids: implications for insulin resistance.
487	J. Biol. Chem. 286, 16596-16605.
488	29 Ricchi M et al (2009) Differential effect of oleic and palmitic acid on linid
189	accumulation and apontosis in cultured henatocytes. I. Gastroenterol Henatol
400	24 830 840
490	24, 650-640.
491	suterback and aportosis in heretosytes. I. Dhermosol. Exp. Ther. 220, 487,408
492	autophagy and apoptosis in nepatocytes. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 539, 487-498.
493	31. Biden, 1.J. et al. (2014) Lipotoxic endoplasmic reticulum stress, β cell failure,
494	and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 25, 389-898.
495	32. Kristinsson, H. et al. (2015) Free fatty acid receptor 1 (FFAR1/GPR40)
496	signaling affects insulin secretion by enhancing mitochondrial respiration during
497	palmitate exposure. Biochim Biophys Acta 1853, 3248-3257.
498	33. Thorn, K. and Bergsten, P. (2010) Fatty acid-induced oxidation and triglyceride
499	formation is higher in insulin producing MIN6 cells exposed to oleate compared
500	to palmitate. J. Cell. Biochem. 111, 497-507.
501	34. Manukyan, L. et al. (2015) Palmitate-induced impairments of $\beta$ -cell function are
502	linked with generation of specific ceramide species via acylation of sphingosine.
503	Endocrinology 156, 802-812.
504	35. Sommerweiss, D. et al. (2013) Oleate rescues INS-1E ß-cells from nalmitate-
505	induced apoptosis by preventing activation of the unfolded protein response
506	Biochem Biophys Res Commun 441 770-776
500	<b>Diotion. Diophys. Res. Commun. <math>\pm</math>1, 770-770.</b>

507	36. Ravnskjaer, K. et al. (2010) PPARdelta is a fatty acid sensor that enhances
508	mitochondrial oxidation in insulin-secreting cells and protects against fatty acid-
509	induced dysfunction. J. Lipid Res. 51, 1370-1379.
510	37. Salvadó, L. et al. (2013) Oleate prevents saturated-fatty-acid-induced ER stress,
511	inflammation and insulin resistance in skeletal muscle cells through an AMPK-
512	dependent mechanism. Diabetologia 56, 1372-1382.
513	38. Day, E.A. et al. (2017) AMPK as a Therapeutic Target for Treating Metabolic
514	Diseases. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 28, 545-560.
515	39. Kwon, B. and Querfurth, H.W. (2015) Palmitate activates mTOR/p70S6K
516	through AMPK inhibition and hypophosphorylation of raptor in skeletal muscle
517	cells: Reversal by oleate is similar to metformin. Biochimie 118, 141-150.
518	40. Pardo, V. et al. (2015) Role of hepatocyte S6K1 in palmitic acid-induced
519	endoplasmic reticulum stress, lipotoxicity, insulin resistance and in oleic acid-
520	induced protection. Food Chem. Toxicol. 80, 298-309.
521	41. Sargsyan, E. et al. (2016) Oleate protects beta-cells from the toxic effect of
522	paimitate by activating pro-survival pathways of the ER stress response.
523	BIOCHIII. BIOPHYS. ACIA 1801, 1151-1100.
524	42. Du, K. et al. (2005) TRD5: a tribbles nonlolog that minibits Akt/PKB activation
525	by insulin in liver. Science $300$ , $15/4-15/7$ .
526	43. Geng, T. et al. (2013) Fatty acids differentially regulate insulin resistance
527	through endoplasm reticulum stress-mediated induction of tribbles homologue 3:
528	a potential link between dietary fat composition and the pathophysiological
529	outcomes of obesity. Diabetologia 56, 2078-2087.
530	44. Yuzefovych, L. et al. (2010) Different effects of oleate vs. palmitate on
531	mitochondrial function, apoptosis, and insulin signaling in L6 skeletal muscle
532	cells: role of oxidative stress. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 299, E1096-
533	E1105.
534	45. Nakamura, S. et al. (2009) Palmitate induces insulin resistance in H4IIEC3
535	hepatocytes through reactive oxygen species produced by mitochondria. J. Biol.
536	Chem. 284, 14809-14818.
537	46. Osborn, O. and Oleisky, J.M. (2012) The centular and signaling networks linking
538	the immune system and metabolism in disease. Nat. Med. 18, 363-3/4.
539	47. McGillicuddy, F.C. et al. (2011) Lack of interleukin-1 receptor I (IL-1RI)
540	protects mice from high-fat diet-induced adipose tissue inflammation coincident
541	with improved glucose homeostasis. Diabetes 60:1688–1698.
542	48. Finucane, O.M. et al. (2015) Monounsaturated fatty acid-enriched high-fat diets
543	impede adipose NLRP3 inflammasome-mediated IL-1β secretion and insulin
544	resistance despite obesity. Diabetes 64, 2116-2128.
545	49. Bai, Y. and Sun, Q. (2015) Macrophage recruitment in obese adipose tissue.
546	Obes. Rev. 16, 127-136.
547	50. Kraakman, M.J. et al. (2014) Macrophage polarization in obesity and type 2
548	diabetes: weighing down our understanding of macrophage function? Front.
549	Immunol. 5, 470.
550	51. Rodriguez-Pacheco, F. et al. (2017) The pro-/anti-inflammatory effects of
551	different fatty acids on visceral adipocytes are partially mediated by GPR120.
552	Eur. J. Nutr. 56. 1743-1752.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

553	52. Holland, W.L. et al. (2011) Receptor-mediated activation of ceramidase activity
554	initiates the pleiotropic actions of adiponectin. Nat. Med. 17, 55-63.
555	53. Ulven, T. and Christiansen, E. (2015) Dietary Fatty Acids and Their Potential
556	for Controlling Metabolic Diseases Through Activation of FFA4/GPR120.
557	Annu. Rev. Nutr. 35, 239-263.
558	54. Rodriguez-Pacheco, F. et al. (2014) Effects of obesity/fatty acids on the
559	expression of GPR120. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 58:1852–1860
560	55. Oliveira, V. et al. (2015) Containing $\alpha$ -Linolenic ( $\omega$ 3) or Oleic ( $\omega$ 9) Fatty Acids
561	Rescues Obese Mice From Insulin Resistance. Endocrinology 156, 4033-4046.
562	56. Camell, C. and Smith, C.W. (2013) Dietary oleic acid increases m2
563	macrophages in the mesenteric adipose tissue. PLoS One 8, e75147.
564	57. Pardo, V. et al. (2015) Opposite cross-talk by oleate and palmitate on insulin
565	signaling in hepatocytes through macrophage activation. J. Biol. Chem. 290,
566	11663-11677.
567	58. Vinciguerra, M. et al. Unsaturated fatty acids inhibit the expression of tumor
568	suppressor phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) via microRNA-21 up-
569	regulation in hepatocytes. Hepatology 49, 1176-1184.
570	59. Wu, H.T. et al. (2012) Oleic acid activates peroxisome proliferator-activated
571	receptor $\delta$ to compensate insulin resistance in steatotic cells. J. Nutr. Biochem.
572	23, 1264-1270.
573	60. Nardi, F. et al. (2014) Enhanced insulin sensitivity associated with provision of
574	mono and polyunsaturated fatty acids in skeletal muscle cells involves counter
575	modulation of PP2A. PLoS One 9, e92255.
576	61. Bowen, K.J. et al. (2017) Oleic acid-derived oleoylethanolamide: A nutritional
577	science perspective. Prog. Lipid Res. 67, 1-15.
578	62. Piomelli, D. (2013) A fatty gut feeling. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 24, 332-341.
579	63. Iakoubov, R. et al. (2011) Brubaker PL. Essential role for protein kinase C $\zeta$ in
580	oleic acid-induced glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion in vivo in the rat.
581	Endocrinology 152, 1244-1252.
582	64. Hansen, H.S. et al. (2012) GPR119 as a fat sensor. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 33,
583	374-381.
584	65. Stone, V.M. et al. (2012) The cytoprotective effects of oleoylethanolamide in
585	insulin-secreting cells do not require activation of GPR119. Br. J. Pharmacol.
586	165, 2758-2770.
587	66. Jessica, T.Y. et al. (2012) Lipid Sensing and Insulin Resistance in the Brain. Cell
588	Metab. 15, 646–655.
589	67. Obici, S. et al. (2002) Central administration of oleic acid inhibits glucose
590	production and food intake. Diabetes 51, 271-275.
591	68. Benoit S.C. et al. (2009) Palmitic acid mediates hypothalamic insulin resistance
592	by altering PKC-θ subcellular localization in rodents. J. Clin. Invest. 119, 2577-
593	2589.

594	69. Jumpertz, R. et al. (2012) Associations of Fatty Acids in Cerebrospinal Fluid
595	with Peripheral Glucose Concentrations and Energy Metabolism. PLoS One. 7,
596	e41503.
597	70. Xiao, C. et al. (2016) Pharmacological Targeting of the Atherogenic
598	Dyslipidemia Complex: The Next Frontier in CVD Prevention Beyond
599	Lowering LDL Cholesterol. Diabetes 65, 1767-1778.
600	71. Yue, J.T. et al. (2015) A fatty acid-dependent hypothalamic-DVC neurocircuitry
601	that regulates hepatic secretion of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. Nat. Commun.
602	6, 5970.
603	72. Perdomo, L. et al. (2015) Protective role of oleic acid against cardiovascular
604	insulin resistance and in the early and late cellular atherosclerotic process.
605	Cardiovasc. Diabetol 14, 75.
606	73. Salas-Salvadó, J. <i>et al.</i> (2011) The role of diet in the prevention of type 2
607	diabetes. Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis. 21 Suppl 2, B32-48.
608	74. Vannice, G. and Rasmussen, H. (2014) Position of the Academy of Nutrition
609 610	136–153
010	150 155.
611	
612	
~ ~ ~	
613	
614	
615	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
C1 C	We apply size to contributors to this field of rescarsh whose work is not sited here
610	we apologize to contributors to this held of research whose work is not clied here
617	owing to space restrictions. Funding for this work came from the Spanish Government's
618	Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad of the Spanish Government (SAF2015-
64.0	
619	64146-R), and from CIBER de Diabetes y Enfermedades Metabolicas Asociadas
620	(CIBERDEM). CIBERDEM is an initiative of the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII)
621	- Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad. We thank the University of Barcelona's

622 Language Advisory Service for revising the manuscript.

#### 625 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

# Figure 1. Palmitic acid attenuates the insulin signaling pathway through different mechanisms leading to insulin resistance.

As visceral obesity develops, it results in greater release of NEFA, since visceral 628 adipocytes are more sensitive to lipolytic stimuli. In addition, insulin resistance leads to 629 the failure of lipolysis inhibition by this hormone and further augments the increase in 630 631 plasma NEFA levels. The increase in plasma NEFA, mainly the saturated palmitic acid, results in inflammation and insulin resistance via three main mechanisms. 1. Increased 632 internalization of palmitic acid results in lipotoxicity when this FA exceeds oxidative 633 634 needs and spills over into deleterious non-oxidative metabolic pathways, increasing the levels of diacylglycerol (DAG) and ceramide. DAG activates PKC, which in turn 635 attenuates the insulin signaling pathway by phosphorylating IRS-1 at serine residues 636 and it also activates the IKK $\beta$ -NF- $\kappa$ B pathway, further exacerbating the impairment of 637 638 insulin signaling and provoking inflammation. The increase in ceramide activates the NLRP3 inflammasome-mediated release of IL-1β, and it also leads to activation of 639 640 PP2A and PKC, which both attenuate the insulin signaling pathway. 2. The excess of palmitic acid impairs the function of the ER and mitochondria. Impairment of ER 641 642 homeostasis results in ER stress that promotes inflammation (NF-KB, JNK and NLRP3 643 inflammasome pathways are activated) and apoptosis (e.g.  $\beta$  cells). The impairment of mitochondrial function may reduce FA oxidation and it can increase ROS generation. 3. 644 645 Palmitic acid can activate TLR-4 through fetuin A and high-fat diets increase the levels of LPS, an activator of this receptor, leading to an increase in the activity of the IKKβ-646 NF-κB pathway. 647

Akt: mammalian homologue of retroviral oncogene v or protein kinase B; DAG: 648 649 diacylglycerol; ER: endoplasmic reticulum; FA: fatty acids; FAT: fatty acid translocase; FATP: fatty acid transporter protein; IL: interleukin;  $I\kappa B$ : inhibitor of  $\kappa B$ ;  $IKK\beta$ : 650 inhibitor of nuclear factor (NF)- $\kappa$ B kinase subunit  $\beta$ ; IRS: insulin receptor substrate; 651 652 JNK: c-Jun N-terminal kinase; LPS: lipopolysaccharide; NLRP3: nod-like receptor containing a pyrin domain; NF-κB: nuclear factor-κB; PI3K: phosphatidylinositol 3 653 kinase; PKC: protein kinase C; pS: phosphorylated serine; PP2A: protein phosphatase 654 2A; pY: phosphorylated tyrosine; p50/p65: NF-κB subunits; ROS: reactive oxygen 655 species; TAG: triacylglycerol; TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4. 656

657

658

Figure 2. Oleic acid prevents the palmitic acid-induced increase in the synthesis of 659 660 deleterious complex lipids. Oleic acid prevents the deleterious effects of palmitic acid by increasing the mitochondrial oxidation of the SFA and by promoting their 661 accumulation in the form of TAG, thereby reducing the synthesis of DAG and 662 663 ceramide. The increase in FA oxidation caused by oleic acid is mediated by the restoration of AMPK activity and the increase in the expression of Cpt-1 and Pgc-1 $\alpha$ . In 664 addition, oleic acid increases the activity of PGC-1 $\alpha$  through the activation of Sirt1 and 665 666 the subsequent reduction of the acetylated (inactive) form of this protein. Oleic acid prevents the reduction in AMPK activity caused by palmitic acid and ultimately inhibits 667 668 ER stress and inflammation as well as the activation of the mTORC1-S6K1 pathway. Blue arrows show the potential effects of oleic acid. 669

670

Ac: acetylated; Akt: mammalian homologue of retroviral oncogene v or protein kinase 671 B; AMPK: AMP-activated protein kinase; CPT-1: carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1; 672 DAG: diacylglycerol; DAGT2: diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2; FA: fatty acids; FAT: 673 fatty acid translocase; FATP: fatty acid transporter protein;  $I\kappa B$ : inhibitor of  $\kappa B$ ;  $IKK\beta$ : 674 inhibitor of nuclear factor (NF)κ-B kinase subunit β; IL: interleukin; IRS: insulin 675 receptor substrate; NF-κB: nuclear factor-κB; p50/p65: NF-κB subunits; PGC-1α: 676 PPARy co-activator 1*a*; PI3K: phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase; PKC: protein kinase C; 677 678 PPAR: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; pS: phosphorylated serine; PP2A: 679 protein phosphatase 2A; pY: phosphorylated tyrosine; p50/p65: NF-κB subunits; ROS: reactive oxygen species; RXR: retinoid X receptor; SFA, saturated fatty acids; TAG: 680 681 triacylglycerol.

682

683

684

Figure 3. Oleic acid prevents the impairment in the function of cellular organelles caused by palmitic acid. Oleic acid prevents the reduction in AMPK activity caused by palmitic acid and ultimately inhibits ER stress and inflammation as well as the activation of the mTORC1-S6K1 pathway. Oleic acid also prevents the increase in the levels of the ER stress-induced pseudokinase TRB3 caused by palmitic acid. Moreover, oleic acid attenuates ROS generation and protects the mitochondria from palmitic acidinduced oxidative stress.

692 Akt: mammalian homologue of retroviral oncogene v or protein kinase B; AMPK: 693 AMP-activated protein kinase; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; FAT: fatty acid translocase; 694 FATP: fatty acid transporter protein; I $\kappa$ B: inhibitor of  $\kappa$  B; IKK $\beta$ : inhibitor of nuclear factor (NF)κ-B kinase subunit β; IL: interleukin; IRS: insulin receptor substrate; mTOR: mammalian target of rapamycin; NF-κB: nuclear factor-κB; p50/p65: NF-κB subunits; PI3K: phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase; pS: phosphorylated serine; pY: phosphorylated tyrosine; p50/p65: NF-κB subunits; ROS: reactive oxygen species; TNF- $\alpha$ : Tumour necrosis factor  $\alpha$ .

- 700
- 701
- 702

#### 703 Figure 4. Oleic acid prevents palmitic acid-induced inflammation.

Oleic acid elicits anti-inflammatory effects by reducing the levels of cytokines (IL-6 and 704 TNF- $\alpha$ ), while increasing the levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 and 705 706 adiponectin. These effects of oleic acid might be mediated by FFAR4/GPR120. The increase in the levels of adiponectin caused by oleic acid can activate AMPK and reduce 707 708 the levels of palmitic acid-induced ceramide synthesis. By preventing the reduction in AMPK caused by palmitic acid, oleic acid can inhibit the increase in IL-1 $\beta$  caused the 709 710 SFA through NLRP3 inflammasome in adipocytes and macrophages that infiltrate 711 adipose tissue. Oleic acid might also favor the polarization of adipose tissue-infiltrated macrophages to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype. Oleic acid induces polarization of 712 713 macrophages to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype, reducing the secretion of LTB<sub>4</sub>, which in turn decreases the activity of the phosphatases PTEN and PTP1B, thereby 714 715 enhancing insulin sensitivity.

716

Akt: mammalian homologue of retroviral oncogene v or protein kinase B; AMPK,
AMP-activated protein kinase; IL: interleukin; FA: fatty acids; GPR120/FFAR4: G-

protein-coupled receptor 120/free fatty acid receptor 1; IRS: insulin receptor substrate;
LTB4: leukotriene B4; NF-κB: nuclear factor-κB; NLRP3: nod-like receptor containing
a pyrin domain; PIP<sub>2</sub>: phosphatidylinositol 2-phosphate; PIP<sub>3</sub>: phosphatidylinositol 3phosphate; PI3K: phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase; PTEN: phosphatase and tensin
homolog; PTP1B: protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B; pY: phosphorylated tyrosine;
p50/p65: NF-κB subunits; SFA, saturated fatty acid; TLR4, toll-like receptor 4.

725

726

#### 727 BOX 1: Fatty acids and the oleic acid-derivative oleoylethanolamide.

728 It is recommended that dietary fat constitute 20-35% of energy intake [74]. However, 729 the type of FA present in the fat ingested is of considerable importance in the development of insulin resistance/T2DM. FA can be classified according to their chain 730 731 length into: short-chain FA, with 2-6 carbon atoms; medium-chain FA, with 7-12 carbon atoms; long-chain FA, with 13-22 carbon atoms; and very-long-chain FA, with 732 733 more than 22 carbon atoms. They are also divided into saturated FA (SFA), with no 734 carbon-carbon double bonds; monounsaturated FA (MUFA), with a single double bond (alkene) in the FA chain; and polyunsaturated FA (PUFA), containing several double 735 bounds. PUFA are subdivided into omega-6 (n-6) and omega-3 (n-3) acids depending 736 737 on the distance from the first double bond to the methyl end. The ingestion of oleic acid can stimulate the production of oleoylethanolamide (OEA) in enterocytes [62]. 738 739 However, excess fat can reduce the synthesis of OEA. OEA belongs to the group of FA 740 called ethanolamides or N-acylethanolamines, which are a class of naturally occurring 741 bioactive signaling lipids derived from SFA and unsaturated FA. OEA controls food 742 intake and promotes fat catabolism. Fat ingestion results in the release of oleic acid in the small-intestinal lumen, which is internalized by the enterocytes and channeled 743

- toward the synthesis of chylomicrons or OEA. The latter can then be hydrolyzed into
- 745 oleic acid and ethanolamine by FA amide hydrolase (FAAH).

746

1	Trends
2	Substituting saturated fatty acids by oleic acid in the diet improves insulin sensitivity in
3	humans.
4	
5	Preclinical studies have shed light on the molecular mechanisms by which oleic acid
6	prevents palmitic acid-induced inflammation and insulin resistance in adipose tissue,
7	liver, skeletal muscle, and pancreas.
8	
9	The hypothalamus also senses oleic acid, where it activates a neuronal network that
10	suppresses VLDL-TAG secretion in liver.
11	
12	Oleic acid protects against cardiovascular insulin resistance and the atherosclerotic
13	process.
14	
15	Some of the effects of oleic acid are mediated by preventing the reduction in palmitic
16	acid-mediated AMPK activity, resembling the action of metformin.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

1

**Outstanding Questions** 

2	Which oleic acid-activated molecular mechanism is the most important for preventing
2	
3	palmitic acid-induced insulin resistance?
4	
5	Are the molecular mechanisms activated by oleic acid reported in preclinical models
6	also observed in humans?
7	
8	How much does OEA contribute to the effects of oleic acid? Are the effects of OEA on
9	the release of GLP-1 mediated by GPR119 activation or are other molecular
10	mechanisms involved?
11	
12	Could an oleic acid-enriched diet be considered a non-pharmacological treatment to
13	increase AMPK activity in humans, especially in those populations with a low
14	consumption of this MUFA?
15	
16	What is the contribution of oleic acid consumption to the beneficial effects of the
17	Mediterranean diet on the prevention of insulin resistance and T2DM?
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	1









### Figure I





Manuel Vázquez Carrera Department of Pharmacology Faculty of Pharmacy Diagonal 643 Barcelona E-08028 SPAIN Phone: 34 93 4024531 Fax: 34 93 4035982 E-mail: mvazquezcarrera@ub.edu

November 22th, 2017

Matthew BEYMER Editor, Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism

TEM-D-17-00153

Dear Dr. Beymer,

According to your instructions we wish to submit a reworked version of our manuscript entitled "PALMITIC AND OLEIC ACID: THE YIN AND YANG IN T2DM".

We submit a letter where we have addressed, point-by-point, all the issues raised by the reviewers. I thank you in advance for your interest in our work.

Yours truly,

Manuel Vázquez-Carrera

#### **Editorial Comments**

We are very grateful to the comments of the Editor (A nice timely review focusing the opposing effects of palmitate and oleate).

#### Comment 1. INTRODUCTION

(increase plasma...) Nice however rather lengthy I would limit the explanation of T2D and focus on setting up background on Oleic and Palmitic acids (see marked up manuscript).

According to the editor suggestion, the length of the introduction section has been reduced.

**Comment 2**. (molecular mechs involved in palmitic....) A very nice thorough and detailed explanation of the molecular mechanisms of palmitate-induced Insulin Resistance. Currently, I would say this section is a bit too long however if you focus and edit the following sections as suggested I would say it is sufficient.

To reduce the length of the manuscript, we have deleted a paragraph in this section referring to the athlete paradox.

#### Comment 3. CONTENT/ STRUCTURE/WORDING AND ACCESSIBILITY

As noted by Reviewer 2 I believe that the manuscript can be greatly improved with some reorganization. It does seem that through the review you are discussing tissue-specific effects of palmitate and oleate. Given the length of the current manuscript perhaps it would be prudent to keep examples from each tissue as evidence for the actions of oleate or palmitate and move tissue-specific actions to text boxes instead if this is something you are in fact trying to highlight, this would, however, require the elimination of the current figures, but from an editorial perspective I believe the 4 text boxes (adipose, skeletal, hepatic, and beta-cell specific actions) and on solid figure on palmitate actions and one solid figure on basic oleate actions would be very beneficial to the reader.

We really appreciate the efforts of the editor and reviewer 2 to improve the manuscript. We have tried to follow this suggestion, but we found that describing the general mechanisms by which oleic acid prevents the deleterious effects of palmitic acid and showing additional examples in specific tissues in text boxes might be a little redundant. As an alternative, we decided to describe the effects of oleic acid on the three main mechanisms responsible for palmitic acid-induced insulin resistance.

#### Comment 4. TEXT BOXES

I would suggest creating a text box instead of a figure for each of the tissues and transferring tissue-specific effects to these leaving common effects (S6K1, AMPK, etc.) in the main text. I would then suggest taking the current text box and incorporating it into the main text.

Following the explanation provided in comment 3, we have modified the figures and we have decided to keep Text box I.

#### **Comment 5**. FIGURES AND FIGURE LEGENDS

The figures are good however a bit complicated to follow and a bit more complex than a typical TEM review figure.

Figure1: I would suggest keeping this figure however in order to make it a bit more visually accessible it might be useful to switch the location of the Insulin receptor and TLR4 so that the effector arrows do not cross over each other as much.

Figures2-5: as suggested above consider converting these to text boxes. FigureI: perhaps incorporate these into the figures for palmitate or oleate respectively.

We have simplified Figure 1. In addition, in the revised version of the figure the three main mechanisms activated by palmitic acid have been highlighted. We greatly appreciate the suggestion of the editor to make less complicated the figure.

Figures 2-4 have been modified and now reflect the preventive effects of oleic acid on the three main mechanisms by which palmitic acid promotes insulin resistance.

We have kept Figurel.

#### Comment 6. LENGTH

At just over 4900 words the manuscript is significantly longer than we allow for a TEM review. Typically we allow between 3500 with some room for expansion. This means that the manuscript should be edited significantly as stated above.

We have reduced the length of the manuscript to 4197 words.

#### Comment 7. CLARITY/ACCESSIBILITY

Please review the changes I have suggested in the main text and make any modifications necessary if the intended scientific meaning has been altered.

We have accepted the suggested changes.

#### **Comments for Reviewer 1**

We would like to thank the reviewer for his/her useful suggestions, which have allowed us to include changes to improve the manuscript.

#### **Specific Comments:**

**Comment 1**. In page 8, the title next to "Oleic acid prevents palmitic acid-induced insulin resistance in metabolic tissues" seems not suitable to show "Adipose tissue". Please revise to easier way.

Following the suggestion of the editor and reviewer 2, we have re-organized the manuscript and in the revised version we describe the preventive effects of oleic acid on the three main mechanisms activated by palmitic acid: 1. Increased synthesis of deleterious complex lipids. 2. Impaired function of cellular organelles. 3. Receptor mediated inflammation.

Therefore, in the new version of the manuscript this heading no longer exists.

**Comment 2**. Skeletal muscle is a key metabolic tissue that affects the metabolic state of the whole organism, as you mentioned. But skeletal muscle was arranged not at the first section. Why?

The reviewer is right and in the revised version of the manuscript the main effects of oleic acid on skeletal muscle are shown in the first section.

**Comment 3**. Similar to the clear indications of palmitic acid-induced insulin resistance, it would be better to summarize the clear mechanisms for oleic acid prevents palmitic acid-induced insulin resistance.

We believe that the re-organization of the manuscript has improved this aspect (please, see comment 1).

**Comment 4**. Suitable dose for oleic acid or OEA and the damage dose of palmitic acid in human subjects were ignored. Why?.

Usually, scientific associations make general assessments or recommendations about diet. For instance, the general recommendation for obesity and diabetes is to follow a low-fat diet (<30% of total energy). In addition, these scientific associations recommend replacing saturated with monounsaturated or polyunsatured fat (please, see Dietary Fats and Cardiovascular Disease: A Presidential Advisory From the American Heart Association. Cirulation 2017, in press; or reference 69 of the manuscript). In contrast, no doses are recommended.

**Comment 5**. In Figure 2, receptors for oleic acid were indicated as GPCR but it was different in Figure 3, 4 and 5. Please give the reason(s) in context.

These receptors have historically received two names. For instance, FFA receptor 1 (FFAR1) or G protein-coupled receptor 40 (GPR40). In the revised version of the manuscript we have included both names.

*Comment 6.* GPR120 was not showed in Figure 2 for binding with oleic acid. Why?

In the revised version of the manuscript this receptor appears in Figure 4 and it is bound to oleic acid.

**Comment 7**. A high similarity (66 %) with previous report (McAinch AJ, Cornall LM, Watts R, et al., Eur J Nutr (Germany), 2015, 54(7): 1033-1043) observed. Please check it.

We did not use this reference for preparing the manuscript that describes the effects of fatty acids on PDK4 in cultured myotubes form obese and diabetic individuals. Any similarity is by chance.

#### **Comments for Reviewer 2**

We are very grateful to the comments of this reviewer (*The topic is very important, and writing a review which summarizes the current knowledge in this field would be highly appreciated by researchers. Such review is also important in the context of our efforts to prevent or to delay the disease.*).

In addition, we would like to thank the reviewer for her/his useful suggestions, which have allowed us to include changes to improve the manuscript.

#### Major comments:

**Comment 1**. I acknowledge the complexity of writing a review on this topic. However, I believe that the review can be improved if the text is slightly re-organized and presented in a somewhat different way. In the current form, the review is not easy to follow and also the focus and the aim of the review is kind of blurred. I think that figures are also not optimal and not really facilitate the reading. Authors prepared 5 figures with schematic views of how fatty acids affect cells. I assume that the first figure is supposed to give an overall view of palmitate effects on the cells whereas figures 2-5 should reflect the tissue-specific protection by oleate.

However, the impression from the text and from the figures is that the intention of authors is to compare the mechanisms of fatty acid action on different cells. The review makes readers to think that some mechanisms are tissue-specific. However, I do not think that these messages are what authors aim to say.

The reviewer is right and we greatly appreciate his/her efforts to improve the manuscript. Following the suggestion of the reviewer and the editor, we have re-organized the manuscript. In the revised version of the manuscript we first describe the three main mechanisms by which palmitic acid increases insulin resistance (Figure 1) and then we describe the beneficial effects of oleic acid on these palmitic acid-activated mechanisms (Figures 2-4).

## **Comment 2.** Some specific comments that the major comment is based on (<u>something is</u> <u>missing here</u>)

Title of the first section is "Increased plasma non-esterified fatty acids link obesity and insulin resistance". However, in the text they claim that "Increased levels of NEFA provoke insulin resistance and impair  $\beta$ -cell function, making them good candidates as a link between obesity and T2DM development". With this sentence authors link NEFA with beta-cell dysfunction. I think an information about the toxic effect of NEFA on beta cells would be relevant and helpful in this section.

The link between NEFA with beta-cell dysfunction is explained thoroughly later; please see page 10 (*Chronic exposure of rodent and human islet*  $\beta$  cells to FA impairs glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) and induces apoptosis).

In our opinion, including information in this section about the toxic effects of NEFA on beta cells is a little bit premature. However, following the suggestion of the reviewer, we have included a reference of a review on the toxic effects of increased NEFA on beta cells to provide more information to the readers interested in this subject.

**Comment 3.** In the second section "Molecular mechanisms involved in palmitic acidinduced insulin resistance" authors write that "Three main mechanisms have been reported in palmitic acid-mediated insulin resistance and T2DM". However, it is not clear what authors mean by these three mechanisms. Those mechanisms need to be clarified at the beginning of the section. After reading of whole section my understanding is that these mechanisms are: 1) uptake of NEFA by non-adipose tissue, 2) impairment of cellular organelles, 3) activation of pro-inflammatory pathways through membrane receptors. Authors need to explain of how the effects of fatty acids have been classified into these three mechanisms. Especially, if ER stress and inflammation are also discussed in the first mechanism.

Following the suggestion of the reviewer, the three mechanisms have been clarified at the beginning of the section: 1) Increased synthesis of deleterious complex lipids; 2) Impaired function of cellular organelles; 3) Receptor-mediated inflammation.

As the reviewer indicates, inflammation and ER stress are also mentioned in the first mechanism because some of these pathways intersect. However, the increased synthesis of ceramide and diacylglycerol provokes additional effects, independent of ER stress or inflammation, which also lead to insulin resistance. For example, diacylglycerol and ceramide have the capacity to activate PKC. This kinase phosphorylates IRS1 at serine residues, attenuating the insulin signaling pathway.

**Comment 4.** Third section "Oleic acid prevents palmitic acid-induced insulin resistance in metabolic tissues" is short. Also, what is written in this section is already discussed in the first section. It is not really clear for the reader why it appears again.

This paragraph has been rephrased and the subheading has been eliminated. We have kept this paragraph as the introduction for the next subheadings of the manuscript.

**Comment 5.** Authors continue the paper by discussing the adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, liver and beta cells in separate sections. Such a design makes readers to think that the protective effect of oleate is tissue-specific. However, most mechanisms behind the toxic as well as protective effects of fatty acids are common for all tissues. For example, the role of GPR120 and inflammasome are discussed only in "adipose" section, which can make readers with no expertise in the field to think that this mechanism is adipose-specific.

We believe that the re-organization of the revised version of the manuscript has solved this problem (please, see comment 1).

#### Minor comments:

**Comment 1.** Some sentences can be formulated in a better way. Examples from page 3: "More than 90% of type 2 diabetic patients are overweight or obese, and obesity is associated with insulin resistance"; "Plasma NEFA arise from adipose tissue and the FA content of this tissue reflects fat intake"; "Although elevated plasma NEFA contribute to T2DM development, the saturated FA (SFA) (See Box 1) palmitic acid and the monounsaturated FA (MUFA) oleic acid differ significantly in their contribution to insulin resistance".

Example 1: Following the suggestion of the editor, this sentence has been deleted in the revised version of the manuscript.

Example 2: This sentence has been substituted by "Plasma NEFA released by adipose tissue reflects fat intake".

Example 3: This sentence has been substituted by "However, the saturated FA (SFA) (Box 1) palmitic acid and the monounsaturated FA (MUFA) oleic acid differently contribute to insulin resistance".

**Comment 2.** In "adipose" section authors discuss about the diabetogenic effect of the tissue and the role of macrophages in this process but do not provide references.

Following the suggestion of the reviewer, we have included two additional references in this paragraph:

. Bai Y, Sun Q. Macrophage recruitment in obese adipose tissue. Obes Rev. 2015 Feb;16(2):127-36.

. Kraakman MJ, Murphy AJ, Jandeleit-Dahm K, Kammoun HL. Macrophage polarization in obesity and type 2 diabetes: weighing down our understanding of macrophage function? Front Immunol. 2014 Sep 26;5:470

## Comment 3. In the figures, palmitate uptake is shown to be mediated only by CD36 but this mechanism constitutes only 50% of the total uptake.

The reviewer is right. In the revised version of the manuscript we have included FATP.