This is a PDF file of the unedited manuscript that was accepted for publication:

Use of nitrogen and oxygen isotopes of dissolved nitrate to trace field-

scale induced denitrification efficiency throughout an in-situ groundwater

remediation strategy.

Rosanna Margalef-Marti, Raúl Carrey, Marta Viladés, Irene Jubany, Ester Vilanova, Roser Grau, Albert Soler, Neus Otero

Science of the total Environment, 2019, Volume 686, Pages 709-718 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.003

Received date: 7 March 2019 Revised date: 31 May 2019 Accepted date: 1 June 2019 Available online: 5 June 2019

© 2019. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Use of nitrogen and oxygen isotopes of dissolved nitrate to trace field-scale
 induced denitrification efficiency throughout an in-situ groundwater remediation
 strategy

4 Rosanna Margalef-Marti¹, Raúl Carrey¹, Marta Viladés², Irene Jubany³, Ester Vilanova⁴,
5 Roser Grau⁵, Albert Soler¹, Neus Otero^{1,6}

¹ Grup MAiMA, SGR Mineralogia Aplicada, Geoquímica i Geomicrobiologia,
Departament de Mineralogia, Petrologia i Geologia Aplicada, Facultat de Ciències de la
Terra, Universitat de Barcelona (UB), Barcelona (Spain).

9 ² Sustainability Department, Fundació CTM Centre Tecnològic, Spain.

³ Sustainability Area, Eurecat, Centre Tecnològic de Catalunya, Spain.

- ⁴ Amphos 21 Consulting SL, Spain.
- ⁵ Catalana de Perforacions, Spain.

⁶ Serra Húnter Fellow, Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain.

14 ABSTRACT

15 In the framework of the Life+ InSiTrate project, a pilot-plant was established to 16 demonstrate the viability of inducing in-situ heterotrophic denitrification to remediate 17 nitrate (NO₃)-polluted groundwater. Two injection wells supplied acetic acid by pulses to an alluvial aguifer for 22 months. The monitoring was performed by regular sampling at 18 three piezometers and two wells located downstream. In the present work, the pilot-plant 19 20 monitoring samples were used to test the usefulness of the isotopic tools to evaluate the efficiency of the treatment. The laboratory microcosm experiments determined an 21 isotopic fractionation (ϵ) for N-NO₃⁻ of -12.6 ‰ and for O-NO₃⁻ of -13.3 ‰. These 22 $\varepsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ and $\varepsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$ values were modelled by using a Rayleigh distillation equation 23 24 to estimate the percentage of the induced denitrification at the pilot-plant while avoiding

25 a possible interference from dilution due to non-polluted water inputs. In some of the field 26 samples, the induced NO_{3} reduction was higher than 50 % with respect to the 27 background concentration. The field samples showed a reduced slope between δ^{18} O-28 NO_3^{-1} and $\delta^{15}N-NO_3^{-1}$ (0.7) compared to the laboratory experiments (1.1). This finding was attributed to the reoxidation of NO2⁻ to NO3⁻ during the treatment. The NO3⁻ isotopic 29 characterization also permitted the recognition of a mixture between the denitrified and 30 31 partially or non-denitrified groundwater in one of the sampling points. Therefore, the 32 isotopic tools demonstrated usefulness in assessing the implementation of the field-scale 33 induced denitrification strategy.

Keywords: denitrification, electron donor, groundwater, isotopic fractionation, pilot-plant,
 remediation

36 1. Introduction

The scope of the anthropogenic disturbance of the nitrogen (N) cycle is conspicuous. 37 38 Nitrate (NO₃) pollution is a current concern, as it has been related to ecological and human health disorders (Vitousek et al., 1997; Ward et al., 2005), and its presence in 39 the groundwater is still increasingly large in many countries. The main sources of 40 groundwater NO3⁻ are linked to intensive use of synthetic and organic fertilizers and 41 septic system leakage (Vitòria et al., 2008; Wassenaar, 1995). Some of the European 42 directives that have arisen to mitigate the NO₃⁻ pollution (e.g., (2000/60/EC; 43 2006/118/EC; 91/676/EEC)) have focused on reducing the N inputs into the soil. 44 45 However, due to the long residence time of N in the soil organic matter pool, the outcome of the agricultural management practices influencing the NO₃ loading to the hydrosphere 46 47 may be delayed for more than three decades (Sebilo et al., 2013). Therefore, water 48 treatment is required to avoid the NO₃⁻ contamination impacts.

Denitrification has been shown to occur intrinsically throughout many environments,
including aquifers, due to the ubiquity of the denitrifying microorganisms (Kraft et al.,

2

2011; Philippot et al., 2007; Richardson and Watmough, 1999). While oxidizing an 51 52 electron donor, these microorganisms are able to reduce NO_3^- (electron acceptor) to gaseous N₂ through a series of enzyme-mediated reactions: NO₃ \rightarrow NO₂ \rightarrow NO \rightarrow N₂O 53 54 \rightarrow N₂ (Knowles, 1982). The mandatory conditions, such as electron acceptor availability 55 and low oxygen concentration, are commonly encountered in the contaminated aquifers, 56 but the electron donor presence is usually a limiting factor (Rivett et al., 2008). Hence, one of the feasible treatments for NO3⁻ removal involves inducing in-situ heterotrophic 57 58 denitrification by supplying an organic carbon (C) source as an external electron donor. 59 The specific organic C compound employed and its supply strategy plays a critical role 60 in the resulting execution efficiency. Among other parameters, this compound influences 61 the NO_3^- reduction rates and the by-product accumulation (Hallin and Pell, 1998; Wilderer 62 et al., 1987), which is undesirable, given that intermediates, such as nitrite (NO₂) or 63 nitrous oxide (N_2O), could be even more harmful than NO_3^- itself (Badr and Probert, 1993; 64 De Beer et al., 1997; Rivett et al., 2008). Therefore, the remediation approach must avoid 65 pollution swapping to ensure the safety of the treatment. Several strategies to induce the 66 heterotrophic denitrification have already been implemented at the field-scale (e.g., by ethanol or formate injection (Borden et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2001)). Over the treatment 67 period, it is crucial to control the induced NO₃⁻ reduction efficiency. 68

Chemical and isotopic characterization has been applied to calculate the efficiency of 69 70 the field-scale bioremediation strategies (Vidal-Gavilan et al., 2013), as well as to trace 71 the natural NO_{3⁻} transformation processes (Aravena and Robertson, 1998; Otero et al., 72 2009). In the course of denitrification, the unreacted residual NO₃⁻ becomes enriched in the heavy isotopes ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O (Aravena and Robertson, 1998; Böttcher et al., 1990; 73 Mariotti et al., 1981), distinguishing the biological attenuation from other processes, such 74 as dilution due to non-polluted water inputs (e.g., from rainfall), that could also lead to a 75 76 concentration decrease without influencing the isotopic signature. The isotopic fractionation of N and O from dissolved NO₃⁻ ($\epsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ and $\epsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$) determined at 77

78 laboratory-scale, in denitrification experiments performed under controlled conditions, 79 can be later applied at field-scale to estimate the NO₃ attenuation significance during the intrinsic or induced denitrification (Böttcher et al., 1990; Mariotti et al., 1988). The 80 81 isotopic characterization can also be used to determine the existence of undesired concurring processes, such as sulfate (SO_4^2) reduction. Similarly to the case of NO₃, 82 the isotopic composition of S and O from dissolved SO4²⁻ allows to identify the occurrence 83 of bacterial SO₄²⁻ reduction (BSR) by oxidation of an organic C electron donor, that could 84 85 occur simultaneously to denitrification (Laverman et al., 2012; Strebel et al., 1990).

During the last decade, more than 50 % of the wells monitored by the Catalan Water 86 87 Agency in the Maresme area (north-east Spain) presented NO₃⁻ concentrations above 50 mg/L (ACA, 2018), the threshold value set by the directive 98/83/EC. Despite the 88 89 Maresme was designated a nitrogen vulnerable zone in 1998 and good agricultural practices were implemented, NO3⁻ is still exceeding 200 mg/L in a number of wells 90 91 (DECRET 136/2009; DECRET 283/1998). In the framework of the Life+ InSiTrate 92 project, a pilot-plant was set up in Sant Andreu de Llavaneres (Maresme) to produce 93 safe drinking water from NO₃-polluted groundwater by inducing in-situ denitrification. The present study aims to test the usefulness of the isotopic tools to determine the 94 95 denitrification efficiency during a long-term induced attenuation strategy at the pilot-plant. An intrinsic prior goal is to determine the $\epsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ and $\epsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$ values at laboratory-96 scale by using the selected electron donor, as well as the sediment and groundwater 97 from the polluted alluvial aquifer. Afterwards, the suitability of using ε values calculated 98 99 from the laboratory-scale assays to evaluate the field-scale denitrification treatment 100 efficiency will be discussed.

101 2. Pilot-plant description

The project site is located 10 m nearby the San Andreu de Llavaneres Creek. The pilot
 plant is placed in an alluvial aquifer, formed by Quaternary (Holocene) coarse sand and

104 silt sediments overlying an altered Paleozoic granite formation located at 40 m depth 105 (IGC, 2011). Before the biostimulation, the area was characterized by means of pumping 106 and tracing assays. The obtained permeability was between 70 and 100 m/d, 107 transmissivity was between 800 and 1000 m²/d and the average porosity was 0.5. The 108 average aquifer temperature was 20.3 °C (SD = 1.4). Prior to the treatment, the aquifer showed aerobic conditions and natural NO₃⁻ attenuation was not observed, discarding 109 the availability of electron donors in the aquifer that could promote denitrification 110 111 intrinsically. The pilot-plant consisted of two electron donor injection wells (11 and 12), one treated water extraction well (EW) at an approximate distance of 30 m from the two 112 injection wells, three monitoring piezometers (PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3) between the injection 113 114 and the extraction wells, and one monitoring well (MW) downstream, located out of the 115 area affected by the biostimulation (Figure 1).

116 The in-situ heterotrophic denitrification stimulation was performed by adding acetic acid 117 (CH₃COOH) as an external electron donor. A variety of organic C compounds have been 118 tested at the laboratory-scale to identify suitable electron donor sources (Carrey et al., 119 2018; Grau-Martínez et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2007). The CH₃COOH was selected by 120 considering the technical (previous column experiments), environmental (life cycle 121 assessment) and economic criteria (cost assessment) in the InSiTrate project. The addition of this compound through the injection wells was performed by pulses to avoid 122 123 a high biomass accumulation that could lead to clogging issues, rather than a continuous 124 supply (Khan and Spalding, 2004). The total biostimulation period was 22 months.

125 3. Methods

126 3.1. Laboratory experiments

127 The laboratory batch experiments simulated the aquifer conditions by using sediment 128 and groundwater from the pilot-plant site. The groundwater was obtained from the MW and stored at 4 °C, whereas the sediment was obtained from the piezometer cores and
stored frozen until use.

131 A total of 13 microcosms were settled by using 250 mL sealed glass flasks. The 132 biostimulated microcosms (B1 to B10) were performed by adding CH₃COOH to the groundwater and sediment. Three types of control experiments were also performed. An 133 134 untreated control (C1), to discard the intrinsic denitrification activity of the aquifer, contained groundwater and sediment from the study site with no CH₃COOH addition. 135 Control C2, designed to discard the NO₃⁻ lixiviation from the sediment, contained MilliQ 136 water and sediment with no CH₃COOH addition. Control C3 contained groundwater and 137 138 CH₃COOH with no sediment, and was used to assess by comparison the contribution of the sediment on denitrification with respect to the groundwater. To attain the microbial 139 140 stimulation, the CH₃COOH was injected at a 6.3 C/N ratio (w/w) according to previous laboratory experiments (data not shown) and results reported by other authors 141 142 (Elefsiniotis and Li, 2006; Her and Huang, 1995). Also because this amount is 143 representative of the CH₃COOH expected at the pilot-plant. However, the C/N ratio might 144 not be totally homogeneous at field-scale due to dilution within the aquifer. Both at 145 laboratory and field-scale, the total C employed for the overall NO3⁻ removal process 146 might be higher than expected from the stoichiometric C/N ratio (e.g., Equation 1 147 proposed by Elefsiniotis and Li, 2006), as the CH₃COOH is also required for the water 148 deoxygenation by heterotrophic bacteria before using NO₃⁻ as the electron acceptor. A 149 detailed composition of the microcosms is shown in **Table 1**.

$$150 \qquad \frac{33}{140} \text{NO}_{3}^{-} + \frac{1}{4} \text{CH}_{3} \text{COO}^{-} + \frac{23}{140} \text{H}_{2} \text{CO}_{3} \rightarrow \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{H}_{7} \text{O}_{2} \text{N} + \frac{1}{10} \text{N}_{2} + \frac{6}{35} \text{H}_{2} \text{O} + \frac{34}{70} \text{HCO}_{3}^{-} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{H}_{7} \text{O}_{2} \text{N} + \frac{1}{10} \text{N}_{2} + \frac{6}{35} \text{H}_{2} \text{O} + \frac{34}{70} \text{HCO}_{3}^{-} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{H}_{7} \text{O}_{2} \text{N} + \frac{1}{10} \text{N}_{2} + \frac{6}{35} \text{H}_{2} \text{O} + \frac{34}{70} \text{HCO}_{3}^{-} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{H}_{7} \text{O}_{2} \text{N} + \frac{1}{10} \text{N}_{2} + \frac{6}{35} \text{H}_{2} \text{O} + \frac{34}{70} \text{HCO}_{3}^{-} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{H}_{7} \text{O}_{2} \text{N} + \frac{1}{10} \text{N}_{2} + \frac{6}{35} \text{H}_{2} \text{O} + \frac{34}{70} \text{HCO}_{3}^{-} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{H}_{7} \text{O}_{2} \text{N} + \frac{1}{10} \text{N}_{2} + \frac{6}{35} \text{H}_{2} \text{O} + \frac{34}{70} \text{HCO}_{3}^{-} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{H}_{7} \text{O}_{2} \text{N} + \frac{1}{10} \text{N}_{2} + \frac{6}{35} \text{H}_{2} \text{O} + \frac{34}{70} \text{HCO}_{3}^{-} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{H}_{2} \text{O} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{O} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{O} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{O} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{H}_{2} \text{O} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{H}_{2} \text{O} + \frac{1}{28} \text{C}_{5} \text{O} + \frac{1}{28} \text{$$

151 Equation 1

The head-space was purged with Ar after filling and sealing the flasks with GL45 caps
holding silicone rubber PTFE-protected septa. All of the microcosms were maintained at
20 °C in the darkness and with constant vibratory shaking throughout the experiment.

The biostimulated microcosms were sacrificed by turns at time intervals depending on the denitrification dynamics until a complete NO_3^- and NO_2^- removal was achieved. The samples from C3 were regularly obtained using a 1 mL syringe with a 25 G needle (BD). The control microcosms were sacrificed at the end of the experiment.

159 3.2. Field survey

160 A total of forty-four samples were collected from five points in the pilot-plant (EW, PZ1, PZ2, PZ3 and MW) in ten sampling campaigns, 9 performed during the twenty-two 161 months of the pilot-plant operation, and one performed two months after the end of 162 163 injections. The sampling intervals were established according to the pilot-plant operation 164 dynamics. In two of the sampling campaigns, two different depths (top and bottom) were 165 sampled for PZ1 and PZ2 to check differences in the treatment along the water column. 166 The monitoring wells and piezometers were purged prior to the sample collection by 167 removing three well volumes.

168 3.3. Analyses

Both the field survey and laboratory assays samples were filtered (0.2 μ m Millipore®) immediately when obtained and stored at 4 °C until analysis, except for aliquots for the isotopic characterization of N and O from NO₃⁻ that were preserved frozen at -20 °C.

The determined chemical parameters were major anions (NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻), analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (WATERS 515 pump and WATERS IC-PAK ANIONS column with WATERS 432 and UV/V KONTRON detectors) and ammonium (NH₄⁺), analyzed by spectrophotometry (CARY 1E UV-visible) using the indophenol blue method (Bolleter et al., 1961).

177 The analyzed isotopes were N and O of the dissolved NO₃⁻ (δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻), 178 and S and O of the dissolved SO₄²⁻ (δ^{34} S-SO₄²⁻ and δ^{18} O-SO₄²⁻). The stable isotopes are 179 expressed using delta notation ($\delta = ((R_{sample}-R_{standard})/R_{standard})$, where R is the ratio

between the heavy and the light isotopes). The considered international standards were: 180 Atmospheric N₂ (AIR) for δ^{15} N, Vienna Standard Mean Oceanic Water (V-SMOW) for 181 182 δ^{18} O and Vienna Canyon Diablo Troillite (V-CDT) for δ^{34} S. The δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ 183 composition was determined following the cadmium reduction method (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005; Ryabenko et al., 2009). Next, the N₂O was analyzed by using a Pre-Con 184 (Thermo Scientific) coupled to a Finnigan MAT 253 Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer 185 (IRMS, Thermo Scientific). For the SO42- isotopic analysis, the dissolved SO42- was 186 precipitated as BaSO₄ (Dogramaci et al., 2001). The δ^{34} S-SO₄²⁻ was analyzed with a 187 188 Carlo Erba Elemental Analyzer (EA) coupled in a continuous flow to a Finnigan Delta XP Plus IRMS, whereas the δ^{18} O-SO₄²⁻ was analyzed with a ThermoQuest high-temperature 189 conversion analyzer (TC/EA) coupled in a continuous flow with a Finnigan Matt Delta XP 190 Plus IRMS. According to Coplen (2011), several international and laboratory (CCiT) 191 192 standards were interspersed among samples for the normalization of the isotopic results. For the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ analysis the employed standards were USGS-32, 193 194 USGS-34, USGS-35 and CCiT-IWS ($\delta^{15}N = +16.9 \ \text{\%}, \ \delta^{18}O = +28.5 \ \text{\%}$); for the $\delta^{34}S$ - SO_4^{2-} analyses, NBS-127, IAEA-SO-5, IAEA-SO-6, and CCiT-YCEM ($\delta^{34}S = +12.8$ ‰); 195 and for the δ^{18} O-SO₄²⁻ analysis, NBS-127, CCiT-YCEM (δ^{18} O = +17.6 ‰) and CCIT-196 ACID ($\delta^{18}O = +13.2$ ‰). The reproducibility (1 σ) of the samples, calculated from the 197 198 standards systematically interspersed in the analytical batches, was ± 1.0 % for δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻, ±1.5 ‰ for δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻, ±0.2 ‰ for δ^{34} S-SO₄²⁻ and ±0.5 ‰ for δ^{18} O-SO₄²⁻. 199

The chemical and isotopic analyses were prepared in the MAiMA-UB research group laboratory and performed at the Centres Científics i Tecnològics of the Universitat de Barcelona (CCiT-UB).

203 3.4. Isotope data calculations

In the batch experiments, the isotopic fractionation was calculated by means of the Rayleigh distillation **Equation 2**. Thus, the $\varepsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ and $\varepsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$ were obtained from the slope of the linear correlation between the natural logarithm of the substrate remaining fraction (Ln(C_{residual}/C_{initial}), where C refers to the analyte concentration) and the determined isotope ratios (Ln(R_{residual}/R_{initial}), where R = δ +1).

209
$$\operatorname{Ln}\left(\frac{R_{\text{residual}}}{R_{\text{initial}}}\right) = \varepsilon \times \operatorname{Ln}\left(\frac{C_{\text{residual}}}{C_{\text{initial}}}\right)$$
 Equation 2

The percentage of NO₃⁻ attenuation caused by denitrification at field-scale was estimated by using these $\varepsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ and $\varepsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$ calculated under closed system conditions and **Equation 3**, which is derived from the Rayleigh fractionation model (**Equation 2**). The quantification of pollutants degradation by using Rayleigh derived equations has been applied elsewhere (Meckenstock et al., 2004; Otero et al., 2009; Schmidt et al., 2004; Vidal-Gavilan et al., 2013).

216 DEN % =
$$\left[1 - \left(\frac{C_{\text{residual}}}{C_{\text{initial}}}\right)\right] \times 100 = \left[1 - \left(\frac{R_{\text{residual}}}{R_{\text{initial}}}\right)^{\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)}\right] \times 100$$
 Equation 3

217 **4. Results and discussion**

218 4.1. Laboratory-scale experiments

219 4.1.1. NO_3^- reduction by CH₃COOH

220 The NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ lixiviation from the sediment was discarded, since the concentration 221 of both compounds was below the detection limit in the C2 microcosm (Milli-Q water + sediment) after 102 hours of incubation. The C1 microcosm (groundwater + sediment) 222 223 showed no depletion of the initial NO₃⁻ concentration, thereby ruling out intrinsic 224 denitrification activity from the aquifer groundwater or sediment in the microcosms due 225 to the presence of trace electron donors. Thus, the observed NO₃⁻ reduction in the 226 biostimulated microcosms (B1-B10) was considered to be caused by the CH₃COOH injection (Figure 2). All data obtained from the laboratory-scale experiments is presented 227 228 in the Supporting Information Table S1.

229 The bacterial NO_{3} reduction in the biostimulated experiments (B1-B10) was initiated 230 between 32 and 47 hours after the electron donor injection. The initial lag period was the 231 acclimation time for the establishment of a heterotrophic bacterial community after 232 unfreezing the sediment and merging it with the groundwater. Also, all of the oxygen 233 present in the groundwater had to be consumed before using NO3⁻ as the electron acceptor. The concentration analysis showed that after the onset, NO_3^- reduction 234 235 proceeded rapidly until NO_3^- was completely consumed 70 hours after biostimulation, 236 yielding an average NO₃⁻ removal rate of 0.30 mmol/(dm³·day) (calculated for the total length of the experiment including the acclimation period). As the NO₃⁻ concentration 237 started to decrease, NO_2 progressively accumulated, reaching a 0.26 mM maximum 238 239 peak, which is 30 % of the initial N-NO₃⁻ concentration, approximately 50 hours after the 240 injection. The transient NO_2^{-} accumulation has been widely reported to occur during the laboratory (Calderer et al., 2010; Carrey et al., 2013; Her and Huang, 1995) and field-241 scale (Critchley et al., 2014; Gierczak et al., 2007; Vidal-Gavilan et al., 2013) 242 243 denitrification studies. The NO2⁻ usually accumulates until the bacterial communities 244 adapt to the new redox conditions caused by the electron donor addition. One of the 245 reasons is an earlier induction of the NO_3^- reductases with respect to the NO_2^- reductases (Zumft, 1997 and references therein). After 50 hours, the NO₂⁻ progressively decreased 246 247 and was completely consumed when the NO_3^{-1} removal was also accomplished. The NO_3^{-1} 248 reduction and NO₂- accumulation observed can also be produced by dissimilatory NO₃-249 reduction to NH₄⁺ (DNRA). However, the NH₄⁺ detected in the microcosms was low (up 250 to 0.04 mM). Therefore, DNRA did not contribute significantly to the NO_3^{-1} concentration decrease in the microcosms, pointing out denitrification as the main reaction. 251

In the biostimulated microcosm lacking sediment (C3), a complete NO_3^- reduction was also achieved, but the NO_2^- accumulation increased significantly. A 0.76 mM NO_2^- peak, which is 86 % of the initial N- NO_3^- , was reached after 84 hours and decreased rapidly until depletion was complete (**Figure 2**). After 95 hours, the NO_3^- and NO_2^- levels were

below the detection limit. The average NO_3^- removal rate was 0.22 mmol/(dm³·day), 256 which is lower than the obtained from the biostimulated microcosms containing sediment. 257 258 Although the groundwater alone provided the needed conditions to achieve a complete 259 denitrification in the CH₃COOH amended microcosms, the sediment increased significantly the attenuation efficiency. The lowered NO₃⁻ removal rate and the increased 260 magnitude of the NO_2^{-} peak in the microcosms lacking sediment might be attributed to a 261 262 diminished initial bacterial content that might result in lower and/or different bacterial 263 species growth stimulation. Other reasons could include a buffering effect promoted by 264 the sediment or the influence of the sediment surface upon reactivity.

265 *4.1.2. Isotopic fractionation calculation*

266 While being progressively reduced, the isotopic composition of the residual NO_3 in the biostimulated microcosms became higher in both ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O. The initial groundwater 267 values for δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ of +5.1 ‰ and +3.6 ‰, respectively, increased over 268 the experimental period to +29.9 % and +30.8 %, respectively. The calculated ε values, 269 were -12.6 ‰ ($r^2 = 0.99$) for $\varepsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ and -13.3 ‰ ($r^2 = 0.96$) for $\varepsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$, resulting in 270 a $\varepsilon^{15}N/\varepsilon^{18}O$ of 0.95 (Figure 3). These values fall within the reported range for the 271 heterotrophic denitrification (from -5.4 ‰ to -26.6 ‰ for $\epsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$, from -4.8 ‰ to -23.7 272 % for $\varepsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$, and from 0.6 to 1.0 for $\varepsilon^{15}N/\varepsilon^{18}O$ (Granger et al., 2008; Wunderlich et 273 274 al., 2012)).

Carrey et al., (2013); Torrentó et al., (2011) and Vidal-Gavilan et al., (2013) applied the $\epsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ and $\epsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$ values obtained from laboratory batch experiments, using either intrinsic or added electron donors, to quantify the extent of natural or induced groundwater denitrification. By using the laboratory derived ϵ values to estimate the induced NO₃⁻ reduction, interferences from processes other than denitrification that could also lead to a concentration decrease (e.g., dilution due to water discharges from rainfall) are avoided. For the pilot-plant study, we considered it appropriate to apply the $\epsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$

11

and $\varepsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$ calculated from the laboratory experiments because groundwater and sediment from the aquifer were used and consequently, a similar electron acceptor availability and stimulated bacterial community with respect to the field was expected.

285 4.2. Field survey

286 4.2.1. Isotopic dynamic in the pilot-plant

287 The sampling campaigns began one month after the CH₃COOH injections started and 288 continued for two years, with the last survey being performed two months after stopping 289 the injections. All data obtained from the pilot-plant are presented in the Supporting 290 Information **Table S2**. The unaffected MW (n = 6) presented average values of 0.9 mM (SD = 0.04) for NO₃⁻ concentration, +6.3 ‰ (SD = 1.3) for δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and +4.2 ‰ (SD = 291 292 0.9) for δ^{18} O-NO₃, which were considered to be the groundwater NO₃ background 293 composition. The isotopic values of the MW fall in the soil NO₃⁻ area (Figure 4) reported 294 by Vitòria et al. (2004) and references therein. However, the high NO₃⁻ concentration 295 suggested an anthropogenic origin. In a previous study located in a nearby area with 296 intensive application of chemical fertilizers, Vitòria et al. (2005) demonstrated that the 297 combined occurrence of volatilization and nitrification resulted in groundwater NO₃⁻ with 298 δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ in the range of soil NO₃⁻. Therefore, the isotopic values of the MW suggested that the NO₃ pollution in the studied aquifer was derived from N inorganic 299 300 fertilizer that had been volatilized and nitrified (Figure 4).

Following the electron donor addition, the three monitoring piezometers showed a marked NO₃⁻ decrease. PZ1 and PZ2 reached NO₃⁻ concentrations below 0.3 mM from the 10th operation month and until the last injection. PZ3 also showed a decreasing trend but with a NO₃⁻ concentration higher than PZ1 and PZ2 and a temporal trend showing fluctuations (**Figure 5**). Contrarily, a flat trend in the NO₃⁻ evolution was observed at the EW (**Figure 5**), showing concentrations between 13 % and 33 % lower than the MW. In the two-depth sampling at PZ1 in the 17th month, no significant NO₃⁻ concentration differences were observed between the bottom and the top samples, and in both cases, NO₃⁻ was almost completely denitrified. However, at PZ2 in the 19th month, the bottom sample showed a doubled NO₃⁻ concentration compared to the top sample. In all the samples NO₂⁻ was below 0.02 mM (Supporting Information **Figure S1**) and NH₄⁺ was below 0.01 mM. Therefore, pollution swapping due to accumulation of these compounds was discarded in the pilot-plant.

In response to the NO₃⁻ attenuation in the piezometers, the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ 314 315 increased. The temporal dynamics of the NO₃⁻ isotopic composition in the pilot-plant is 316 shown in the Supporting Information Figure S2. The highest values were measured at 317 PZ1, showing a δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ of +22.1 ‰ and +14.7 ‰, respectively (**Figure** 4). Note that four samples were below the limit of concentration necessary for the isotopic 318 319 analysis (0.05 mM), and could have even shown higher isotopic values. The δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ in the EW samples were close to the MW average values (**Figure 4**). Two 320 321 months after the end of the treatment, the EW and PZ3 recovered to NO_3 background concentrations and isotopic values, but PZ1 and PZ2 still showed evidence of 322 323 denitrification (Figure 5).

324 When NO_3^{-1} is completely removed from the environment, the excess organic C can trigger BSR, provoking a decrease in the treated water quality due to the production of 325 H₂S. However, the coexistence of denitrification and BSR in the presence of an electron 326 327 donor has also been demonstrated. Laverman et al., (2012) observed that the ratio 328 between the BSR rate and the denitrification rate tends to increase at high organic matter 329 concentrations. As in the studied pilot-plant, organic matter was available, BSR could 330 occur simultaneously to denitrification. The isotopic results from a subset of the pilotplant samples showed a 0.4 ($r^2 = 0.93$) slope from the regression line between δ^{18} O-331 SO_4^{2-} and $\delta^{34}S-SO_4^{2-}$ (**Figure 6**), which is in the range of the slopes from 0.25 to 0.7 332 333 reported in the literature for BSR (Aharon and Fu, 2000). However, the samples with the lowest SO₄²⁻ concentration (~ 1 mM) were not the most enriched in δ^{18} O-SO₄²⁻ and δ^{34} S-334

 SO_4^{2-} and vice versa (maximum measured SO_4^{2-} was ~ 5 mM). Since there was surplus NO₃⁻ in the groundwater and due to the lack of correlation between the SO_4^{2-} chemical and isotopic data, BSR did not likely play a significant role at the pilot-plant. In the same context of water quality, the presence of remaining CH₃COOH at a harmful level for consumption was also discarded due to the excess of electron acceptors such as NO₃⁻ or SO_4^{2-} in groundwater since denitrification was never completed at the EW.

341 4.2.2. Isotopic fractionation from the laboratory to field-scale

342 A subset of the campaigns considered to be representative of the treatment efficiency 343 evaluation are discussed. As previously stated, the average NO₃⁻ concentration, δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ of the MW were used as the initial composition, since the MW was 344 considered to be unaffected by the treatment. During the initial operation (1st month), the 345 NO_3^- isotopic composition did not show a relevant $\delta^{15}N$ or $\delta^{18}O$ enrichment, indicating 346 347 that the denitrification was not significant (Figure 7A). After seven operation months, and until the end of the monitoring period, a clear δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ enrichment 348 evidenced the biological NO₃ reduction at the pilot-plant. The degree of reduction 349 350 depended on the specific point and sampling campaign. According to the concentration measured, more than 95 % NO₃⁻ was reduced at PZ1 in the 14th, 17th and 19th months, 351 and at PZ2 in the 17th month. However, those samples could not be isotopically analyzed, 352 since the NO₃⁻ concentration was below the detection limit (0.05 mM). The isotopic 353 composition of the remnant samples determined that the denitrification at the pilot-plant 354 piezometers reached a significance of approximately 50 % (e.g., 19th month (Figure 355 7D)). Even two months after stopping the biostimulation (month 24th), more than a 50 % 356 of the groundwater NO_3^{-} was still denitrified at PZ1 (**Figure 7E**). 357

For each of the pilot-plant samples, the denitrification % calculated by using the isotopic data was compared to the % calculated by using the NO_3^- concentration (Supporting Information **Table S2**). For most of the pilot-plant samples (e.g., 2nd, 11th, 12th and 24th

14

month campaigns), the calculated % from the chemical data was higher than the % 361 obtained from the isotopic data, as expected from the influence of dilution due to non-362 363 polluted water inputs from rainfall (Supporting information Figure S3). Four of the samples showed highly similar % values (<5 % difference), suggesting that in these 364 365 cases dilution did not occur. Contrarily, in five samples, the % calculated from the NO_3^{-1} 366 concentration was lower compared to the % from the isotopic data. This variation might 367 be produced by different reasons, depending on the characteristics of the samples involved. For PZ1 and PZ3 from the 1st month campaign, the denitrification had not still 368 369 begun, and the lower % could be derived from the intrinsic aquifer variability due to the 370 use of an average value for the MW to draw the DEN % line instead of the specific MW value for each of the sampling campaigns. For PZ1 and PZ3 from the 7th month campaign 371 and PZ3 from the 19th month campaign, the reason could be a mixing effect between 372 373 treated and non-treated groundwater.

374 Chemical and isotopic data of the EW evidenced a mixing between treated and nontreated groundwater. In the 7th month campaign, a slight isotopic enrichment and NO₃⁻ 375 concentration decrease was observed at the EW with respect to the MW, being indicative 376 of the denitrification occurrence (Figure 7B). However, from the 7th month onward, 377 378 despite the lower NO₃⁻ concentration at the EW with respect to the MW, the isotopic data did not show significant differences (e.g., 12th or 19th month) (Figure 7C and 7D). The 379 380 reason is that the groundwater extracted at the EW was a mix of denitrified groundwater 381 from PZ1 and PZ2 located upstream and untreated water from the MW located 382 downstream, due to a depression cone at EW forced by the water extraction (Figure 1). To determine the contribution to EW, a theoretical mixing between 30 % of PZ2 and 70 383 % of MW was estimated using chemical and isotopic data, and was compared with the 384 measured values (Table 2). Measured results are fairly in agreement with the estimated 385 386 ones throughout the monitoring period. This mixing between treated and non-treated groundwater was also observed along the water column. During the two-depth sampling 387

at PZ2 (19th month), no significant isotopic composition differences were observed, 388 389 although the measured NO₃⁻ concentrations were 0.2 and 0.1 mM in the bottom and top 390 samples, respectively (Figure 7D). In these two samples, the denitrification % obtained 391 with the isotopic data (~50 %) might also result from mixing between the partially and 392 non-denitrified groundwater. Therefore, the attenuation in the water column might be heterogeneous with reactive microsites where NO_3^{-} can be completely removed. In the 393 394 same campaign (19th month), PZ3 showed a similar isotopic composition to the two samples from PZ2, but presented a remarkably higher NO3⁻ concentration, reinforcing 395 396 the idea of the groundwater mixing between the partially and non-denitrified groundwater. In PZ3, the denitrified water had a lesser contribution compared to PZ2. 397 398 Due to the effect produced by this mixing, the obtained field-scale denitrification % from the laboratory determined $\epsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ and $\epsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$ must be considered an estimation, 399 400 and not a precise calculation.

401 4.2.3. NO₂⁻ reoxidation evidence from the isotopic results

The determined slope between δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ and δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ from the field samples (0.7 (r²) 402 = 0.95)) and the slope from the batch experiments $(1.1 (r^2 = 0.99))$ agree with the already 403 404 reported slopes of nearly 0.5 for groundwater denitrification studies at field-scale (Chen and MacQuarrie, 2005; Critchley et al., 2014; Otero et al., 2009), and nearly 1.0 for 405 laboratory studies (Carrey et al., 2013; Grau-Martínez et al., 2017; Wunderlich et al., 406 407 2012). However, the slopes around 0.5 have also been found in pure culture laboratory 408 experiments. The lower $\varepsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$ compared to $\varepsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ can be caused by the use of the periplasmic NO₃⁻ reductase (NAP) instead of the membrane bound NO₃⁻ reductase 409 (NAR) (Granger et al., 2008), or by the oxidation of the intermediates NO_2^- and NH_4^+ to 410 411 NO₃⁻ (Granger and Wankel, 2016; Wunderlich et al., 2013). It is widely assumed that 412 NAP has an insignificant role in the aquifer environments where anaerobic conditions 413 are prevalent, and because it does not involve a metabolic energy generation process 414 (Moreno-Vivián et al., 1999). The denitrification and the DNRA coupled to the anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) can occur concomitantly in freshwater environments (Castro-Barros et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2017). However, the DNRA in the pilot-plant was rather unimportant since NO_3^- did not achieve complete reduction. The DNRA is favored at high C/N ratios, when NO_3^- is limited instead of the electron donor (Giles et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2017; Kelso et al., 1997). Therefore, the lower slope observed at the field-scale is likely related to the NO_2^- reoxidation which is consistent with the possibility of oxygen diffusion in groundwater compared to the laboratory microcosms.

422 The δ^{18} O of some dissolved oxygenated compounds, such as NO₂, can be equilibrated 423 with the δ^{18} O-H₂O (Granger and Wankel, 2016; Kool et al., 2007). If the intermediate 424 NO_2^{-1} reoxidates to NO_3^{-1} , the resulting $\delta^{18}O-NO_3^{-1}$ will be dependent on the $\delta^{18}O$ of the NO₃⁻ source, the δ^{18} O of the groundwater, the kinetic isotopic effects produced during 425 426 the denitrification and during the water atom incorporation by the oxidoreductase throughout the NO₂⁻ oxidation. Considering a δ^{18} O-H₂O ranging from -7 to -4 ‰ in the 427 428 studied area and the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ average composition of the samples obtained from the unaffected MW being +4.2 % (SD = 0.9), a decreased $\epsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$ is expected in the pilot-429 430 plant if the intermediate NO_2^- reoxidates to NO_3^- .

431 Several samples from the field site showed lower δ^{18} O-NO₃ values than expected, considering the denitrification slope calculated using the microcosm experiments (e.g., 432 7th, 12th and 19th month) (Figure 7B, 7C and 7D). This finding can be explained as the 433 434 result of the NO₂⁻ reoxidation to NO₃⁻ throughout the remediation treatment. The low or 435 null NO_{2⁻} detection throughout the pilot-plant operation (Supporting Information, Figure **S2**) seemed consistent with the NO_2^{-1} reoxidation, which is positive from a groundwater 436 437 quality perspective. The shift in the slope throughout the induced denitrification treatment 438 can provide information regarding the relevance of the NO_2^- reoxidation process at the 439 field-scale. The δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values close to the theoretical DEN % line might point to a direct NO₂⁻ reduction to gaseous N products, while lower δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ 440 441 values might point to the NO_2^{-1} reoxidation. By checking each of the sampling campaigns

separately, slopes near 0.5 were generally observed during the initial biostimulation (e.g., 7th month, 0.5 slope ($r^2 = 0.8$)) (**Figure 7B**), which became closer to 1.0 throughout the pilot-plant operation (e.g., 19th month, 0.8 slope ($r^2 = 1.0$)) (**Figure 7D**). At the last sampling campaign, corresponding to the recovery period after stopping the CH₃COOH injections, the slope was again closer to 0.5 (24th month, 0.6 slope ($r^2 = 1.0$)) (**Figure 7E**).

448 An unsolved question is the effect of the biotic and abiotic NO₂⁻ oxidation to NO₃⁻ upon 449 δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ throughout denitrification in groundwater. It is expected that the possible effect upon δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ would be lower than the observed for δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ during the abiotic NO₂⁻ 450 451 oxidation, enabling the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ versus δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ slope to decrease. For the biotic NO₂⁻ oxidation, an inverse isotopic fractionation for the $\delta^{15}N$ (and also for the $\delta^{18}O$) was 452 453 observed during the NO₂⁻ oxidation to NO₃⁻ mediated by the marine species *Nitrococcus* mobilis (Buchwald and Casciotti, 2010; Casciotti, 2009). Consequently, when the NO2⁻ 454 455 reoxidation is observed during the in-situ groundwater remediation strategies, the denitrification significance might be biased if estimated by using the laboratory isotopic 456 457 fractionation data.

458 **5. Conclusions**

After the implementation of an in-situ groundwater remediation strategy by CH₃COOH 459 460 injections (InSiTrate project), the induced denitrifying activity reached NO3concentrations below the threshold for water consumption. The $\epsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ and $\epsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$ 461 462 values obtained from the microcosm experiments allowed assessing the denitrification 463 efficacy at the pilot-plant while avoiding the interference derived from dilution due to non-464 polluted water inputs. At the pilot-plant, more than a 50 % of the background NO₃ was 465 reduced due to the induced heterotrophic denitrification. The isotopic results allowed to 466 detect a mixture between the denitrified and non-denitrified groundwater at the EW. 467 However, a limitation of the application of the isotopes to evaluate the treatment efficacy 468 is that the denitrification significance could be underestimated due to the effect provoked 469 by the mixing of non-denitrified groundwater with partially denitrified groundwater. The 470 lower slope between δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ and δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ observed in the field (0.7) compared to 471 the laboratory (1.1) was attributed to the NO_2^- reoxidation to NO_3^- . However, the effect of the NO₂⁻ reoxidation upon δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ is still unclear, and it is unknown in which measure 472 the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values resulting from the NO₂⁻ reoxidation can be firmly extrapolated to 473 the calculated DEN % line. In summary, the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ analysis provides 474 475 a valuable tool to assess the induced denitrification strategies at the field-scale by means of the laboratory calculated $\epsilon^{15}N_{NO3/N2}$ and $\epsilon^{18}O_{NO3/N2}$. However, attention must be focused 476 on the hydrogeological and biochemical effects that could influence the results and thus 477 478 the remediation strategies evaluation.

479 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work has been financed by the following projects: REMEDIATION (CGL2014-57215-C4) and PACE-ISOTEC (CGL2017-87216-C4-1-R), financed by the Spanish Government and AEI/FEDER from the UE; MAG (2017-SGR-1733) from the Catalan Government and LIFE Project InSiTrate (LIFE12 ENV/ES/000651). R. Margalef-Marti is grateful to the Spanish Government for the Ph.D. grant BES-2015-072882. We would like to thank the CCiT-UB for providing analytical support.

486 **REFERENCES**

487 2000/60/EC, 2000. Water Framework Directive [WWW Document]. Off. J. Eur. Comm.

488 URL http://ec.europa.eu/environment/index_en.htm (accessed 4.9.17).

- 489 2006/118/EC, 2006. Groundwater Directive. Council Directive 2006/118/EC, of 12
- 490 December 2006, on the protection of groundwater against pollution and
- 491 deterioration [WWW Document]. Off. J. Eur. Comm. URL
- 492 http://ec.europa.eu/environment/index_en.htm (accessed 4.9.17).

- 493 91/676/EEC, 1991. Nitrates Directive. Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December
- 494 1991, concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates
- 495 from agricultural sources. [WWW Document]. Off. J. Eur. Comm. URL

496 http://ec.europa.eu/environment/index_en.htm (accessed 4.9.17).

- 497 98/83/EC, 1998. Drinking Water Directive. Council Directive 98/83/EC, of 3 November
- 498 1998, on the quality of water intended for human consumption. [WWW Document].
- 499 Off. J. Eur. Comm. URL http://ec.europa.eu/environment/index_en.htm (accessed500 4.9.17).
- ACA, 2018. Consulta de dades de control de la qualitat i la quantitat de l'aigua al medi
 de l'Agència Catalana de l'Aigua (ACA). [WWW Document].
- 503 Aharon, P., Fu, B., 2000. Microbial sulfate reduction rates and sulfur and oxygen
- isotope fractionations at oil and gas seeps in deepwater Gulf of Mexico. Geochim.
- 505 Cosmochim. Acta 64, 233–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00292-6
- Aravena, R., Robertson, W.D., 1998. Use of multiple isotope tracers to evaluate
- denitrification in ground water: study of nitrate from a large-flux septic system
 plume. Ground Water 36, 975–982.
- 509 Badr, O., Probert, S.D., 1993. Environmental impacts of atmospheric nitrous oxide.

510 Appl. Energy. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-2619(93)90018-K

- 511 Bolleter, W.T., Bushman, C.J., Tidwell, P.W., 1961. Spectrophotometric Determination
- of Ammonia as Indophenol. Anal. Chem. 33, 592–594.
- 513 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60172a034
- Borden, A.K., Brusseau, M.L., Carroll, K.C., McMillan, A., Akyol, N.H., Berkompas, J.,
- 515 Miao, Z., Jordan, F., Tick, G., Waugh, W.J., Glenn, E.P., 2012. Ethanol addition
- 516 for enhancing denitrification at the uranium mill tailing site in Monument Valley,
- 517 AZ. Water. Air. Soil Pollut. 223, 755–763. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-011-

518 0899-1

- 519 Böttcher, J., Strebel, O., Voerkelius, S., Schmidt, H.-L., 1990. Using isotope
- 520 fractionation of nitrate-nitrogen and nitrate-oxygen for evaluation of microbial
- 521 denitrification in a sandy aquifer. J. Hydrol. 114, 413–424.
- 522 https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(90)90068-9
- 523 Buchwald, C., Casciotti, K.L., 2010. Oxygen isotopic fractionation and exchange during
- 524 bacterial nitrite oxidation. Limnol. Oceanogr. 55, 1064–1074.
- 525 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.3.1064
- 526 Calderer, M., Gibert, O., Martí, V., Rovira, M., De Pablo, J., Jordana, S., Duro, L.,
- 527 Guimerá, J., Bruno, J., 2010. Denitrification in presence of acetate and glucose for
- 528 bioremediation of nitrate-contaminated groundwater. Environ. Technol. 31, 799–
- 529 814. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593331003667741
- 530 Carrey, R., Otero, N., Soler, A., Gómez-Alday, J.J., Ayora, C., 2013. The role of Lower
- 531 Cretaceous sediments in groundwater nitrate attenuation in central Spain: Column
- experiments. Appl. Geochemistry 32, 142–152.
- 533 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.10.009
- 534 Carrey, R., Rodríguez-Escales, P., Soler, A., Otero, N., 2018. Tracing the role of
- 535 endogenous carbon in denitrification using wine industry by-product as an external
- electron donor: Coupling isotopic tools with mathematical modeling. J. Environ.
- 537 Manage. 207, 105–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.10.063
- 538 Casciotti, K.L., 2009. Inverse kinetic isotope fractionation during bacterial nitrite
- oxidation. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 73, 2061–2076.
- 540 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2008.12.022
- 541 Castro-Barros, C.M., Jia, M., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., Volcke, E.I.P., Winkler, M.K.H.,
- 542 2017. Evaluating the potential for dissimilatory nitrate reduction by anammox

- bacteria for municipal wastewater treatment. Bioresour. Technol. 233, 363–372.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.02.063
- 545 Chen, D.J.Z., MacQuarrie, K.T.B., 2005. Correlation of delta N-15 and delta O-18 in 546 NO₃⁻ during denitrification in groundwater. J. Environ. Eng. Sci. 4, 221–226.
- 547 Coplen, T.B., 2011. Guidelines and recommended terms for expression of stable-
- 548 isotope-ratio and gas-ratio measurement results. Rapid Commun. Mass
- 549 Spectrom. 25, 2538–2560. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.5129
- 550 Critchley, K., Rudolph, D.L., Devlin, J.F., Schillig, P.C., 2014. Stimulating in situ
- 551 denitrification in an aerobic, highly permeable municipal drinking water aquifer. J.
- 552 Contam. Hydrol. 171, 66–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2014.10.008
- 553 De Beer, D., Schramm, A., Santegoeds, C.M., Kühl, M., 1997. A nitrite microsensor for
- profiling environmental biofilms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63, 973–977.
- 555 DECRET 136/2009, 2009. DECRET 136/2009, d'1 de setembre, d'aprovació del
- 556 programa d'actuació aplicable a les zones vulnerables en relació amb la
- 557 contaminació de nitrats que procedeixen de fonts agràries i de gestió de les
- 558 dejeccions ramaderes [WWW Document]. URL
- https://portaljuridic.gencat.cat/ca/pjur_ocults/pjur_resultats_fitxa/?action=fitxa&doc
 umentId=478701&language=ca_ES
- 561 DECRET 283/1998, 1998. DECRET 283/1998, de 21 d'octubre, de designació de les
- 562zones vulnerables en relació amb la contaminació de nitrats procedents de fonts
- 563 agràries. [WWW Document]. URL
- https://dogc.gencat.cat/ca/pdogc_canals_interns/pdogc_resultats_fitxa/?document
 Id=179342&language=ca_ES&action=fitxa (accessed 2.5.19).
- 566 Dogramaci, S., Herczeg, A., Schiff, S., Bone, Y., 2001. Controls on δ^{34} S and δ^{18} O of 567 dissolved sulfate in aquifers of the Murray Basin, Australia and their use as

- 568 indicators of flow processes. Appl. Geochemistry 16, 475–488.
- 569 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(00)00052-4
- 570 Elefsiniotis, P., Li, D., 2006. The effect of temperature and carbon source on
- 571 denitrification using volatile fatty acids. Biochem. Eng. J. 28, 148–155.
- 572 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2005.10.004
- 573 Gierczak, R., Devlin, J.F., Rudolph, D.L., 2007. Field test of a cross-injection scheme
- for stimulating in situ denitrification near a municipal water supply well. J. Contam.
- 575 Hydrol. 89, 48–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2006.08.001
- 576 Giles, M., Morley, N., Baggs, E.M., Daniell, T.J., 2012. Soil nitrate reducing processes -
- 577 Drivers, mechanisms for spatial variation, and significance for nitrous oxide
- 578 production. Front. Microbiol. 3, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00407
- 579 Granger, J., Sigman, D.M., Lehmann, M.F., Tortell, P.D., 2008. Nitrogen and oxygen
- 580 isotope fractionation during dissimilatory nitrate reduction by denitrifying bacteria.
- 581 Limnol. Oceanogr. 53, 2533–2545. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.6.2533
- 582 Granger, J., Wankel, S.D., 2016. Isotopic overprinting of nitrification on denitrification
- as a ubiquitous and unifying feature of environmental nitrogen cycling. Proc. Natl.
- 584 Acad. Sci. 113, E6391–E6400. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1601383113
- 585 Grau-Martínez, A., Torrentó, C., Carrey, R., Rodríguez-Escales, P., Domènech, C.,
- 586 Ghiglieri, G., Soler, A., Otero, N., 2017. Feasibility of two low-cost organic
- 587 substrates for inducing denitrification in artificial recharge ponds: Batch and flow-
- through experiments. J. Contam. Hydrol. 198, 48–58.
- 589 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2017.01.001
- 590 Hallin, S., Pell, M., 1998. Metabolic properties of denitrifying bacteria adapting to
- 591 methanol and ethanol in activated sludge. Water Res. 32, 13–18.
- 592 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(97)00199-1

Her, J.J., Huang, J.S., 1995. Influences of carbon source and C/N ratio on nitrate/nitrite
denitrification and carbon breakthrough. Bioresour. Technol. 54, 45–51.

595 https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524(95)00113-1

- Jones, Z.L., Jasper, J.T., Sedlak, D.L., Sharp, J.O., 2017. Sulfide-Induced Dissimilatory
- 597 Nitrate Reduction to Ammonium Supports Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidation
- 598 (Anammox) in an Open-Water Unit Process Wetland 83, 1–14.
- 599 Kelso, B.H.L., Smith, R. V., Laughlin, R.J., Lennox, S.D., 1997. Dissimilatory nitrate
- reduction in anaerobic sediments leading to river nitrite accumulation. Appl.
- 601 Environ. Microbiol. 63, 4679–4685.
- Khan, I.A., Spalding, R.F., 2004. Enhanced in situ denitrification for a municipal well.

603 Water Res. 38, 3382–3388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.04.052

- Knowles, R., 1982. Denitrification. Microbiol. Rev. 46, 43–70.
- Kool, D.M., Wrage, N., Oenema, O., Dolfing, J., Van Groenigen, J.W., 2007. Oxygen
- 606 exchange between (de)nitrification intermediates and H₂O and its implications for
- source determination of NO₃⁻ and N₂O: a review. Rapid Commun. Mass

608 Spectrom. 21, 3569–3578. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.3249

- Kraft, B., Strous, M., Tegetmeyer, H.E., 2011. Microbial nitrate respiration Genes,
- enzymes and environmental distribution. J. Biotechnol. 155, 104–117.
- 611 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2010.12.025
- Laverman, A.M., Pallud, C., Abell, J., Cappellen, P. Van, 2012. Comparative survey of
- 613 potential nitrate and sulfate reduction rates in aquatic sediments. Geochim.
- 614 Cosmochim. Acta 77, 474–488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.10.033
- Mariotti, A., Germon, J.C., Hubert, P., Kaiser, P., Letolle, R., Tardieux, A., Tardieux, P.,
- 616 1981. Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: Some
- 617 principles; illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes. Plant Soil

- 618 62, 413–430. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02374138
- Mariotti, A., Landreau, A., Simon, B., 1988. ¹⁵N isotope biogeochemistry and natural
 denitrification process in groundwater: Application to the chalk aquifer of northern
 France. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 52, 1869–1878. https://doi.org/10.1016/00167037(88)90010-5
- 623 McIlvin, M.R., Altabet, M.A., 2005. Chemical conversion of nitrate and nitrite to nitrous
- 624 oxide for nitrogen and oxygen isotopic analysis in freshwater and seawater. Anal

625 Chem 77, 5589–5595. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac050528s

- 626 Meckenstock, R.U., Morasch, B., Griebler, C., Richnow, H.H., 2004. Stable isotope
- 627 fractionation analysis as a tool to monitor biodegradation in contaminated
- 628 acquifers. J. Contam. Hydrol. 75, 215–255.
- 629 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2004.06.003
- Moreno-vivián, C., Cabello, P., Blasco, R., Castillo, F., Cabello, N., Marti, M., 1999.
- 631 Prokaryotic Nitrate Reduction : Molecular Properties and Functional Distinction
 632 among Bacterial Nitrate Reductases 181, 6573–6584.
- Otero, N., Canals, A., Soler, A., 2007. Using dual-isotope data to trace the origin and
- 634 processes of dissolved sulphate: A case study in Calders stream (Llobregat basin,

635 Spain). Aquat. Geochemistry 13, 109–126. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10498-007-

- 636 9010-3
- 637 Otero, N., Torrentó, C., Soler, A., Menció, A., Mas-Pla, J., 2009. Monitoring
- 638 groundwater nitrate attenuation in a regional system coupling hydrogeology with
- 639 multi-isotopic methods: The case of Plana de Vic (Osona, Spain). Agric. Ecosyst.
- 640 Environ. 133, 103–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2009.05.007
- Peng, Y.Z., Ma, Y., Wang, S.Y., 2007. Denitrification potential enhancement by
- addition of external carbon sources in a pre-denitrification process. J. Environ. Sci.

- 643 19, 284–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60046-1
- 644 Philippot, L., Hallin, S., Schloter, M., 2007. Ecology of Denitrifying Prokaryotes in
- 645 Agricultural Soil, in: Advances in Agronomy. pp. 249–305.
- 646 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(07)96003-4
- 647 Richardson, D.J., Watmough, N.J., 1999. Inorganic nitrogen metabolism in bacteria.

648 Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 3, 207–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1367-

- 649 5931(99)80034-9
- 650 Rivett, M.O., Buss, S.R., Morgan, P., Smith, J.W.N., Bemment, C.D., 2008. Nitrate
- attenuation in groundwater: A review of biogeochemical controlling processes.
- 652 Water Res. 42, 4215–4232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.07.020
- Ryabenko, E., Altabet, M. a., Wallace, D.W.R., 2009. Effect of chloride on the chemical
- 654 conversion of nitrate to nitrous oxide for δ^{15} N analysis. Limnol. Oceanogr.
- 655 Methods 7, 545–552. https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2009.7.545
- 656 Schmidt, T.C., Zwank, L., Elsner, M., Berg, M., Meckenstock, R.U., Haderlein, S.B.,
- 657 2004. Compound-specific stable isotope analysis of organic contaminants in
- 658 natural environments: A critical review of the state of the art, prospects, and future
- 659 challenges. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 378, 283–300. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-
- 660 003-2350-у
- 661 Sebilo, M., Mayer, B., Nicolardot, B., Pinay, G., Mariotti, A., 2013. Long-term fate of
- nitrate fertilizer in agricultural soils. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 18185–9.
- 663 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1305372110
- 664 Smith, R.L., Miller, D.N., Brooks, M.H., Widdowson, M.A., Killingstad, M.W., 2001. In
- situ stimulation of groundwater denitrification with formate to remediate nitrate
- contamination. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 196–203.
- 667 https://doi.org/10.1021/es001360p

- 668 Strebel, O., Böttcher, J., Fritz, P., 1990. Use of isotope fractionation of sulfate-sulfur
- and sulfate-oxygen to assess bacterial desulfurication in a sandy aquifer. J.

670 Hydrol. 121, 155–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(90)90230-U

- Torrentó, C., Urmeneta, J., Otero, N., Soler, A., Viñas, M., Cama, J., 2011. Enhanced
- 672 denitrification in groundwater and sediments from a nitrate-contaminated aquifer
- after addition of pyrite. Chem. Geol. 287, 90–101.
- 674 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2011.06.002
- Vidal-Gavilan, G., Folch, A., Otero, N., Solanas, A.M., Soler, A., 2013. Isotope
- 676 characterization of an in situ biodenitrification pilot-test in a fractured aquifer. Appl.
- 677 Geochemistry 32, 153–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.10.033
- Vitòria, L., Otero, N., Soler, A., Canals, A., 2004. Fertilizer characterization: Isotopic
- data (N, S, O, C, and Sr). Environ. Sci. Technol.
- 680 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0348187
- Vitòria, L., Soler, A., Aravena, R., Canals, À., 2005. Multi-isotopic approach (¹⁵N, ¹³C,
- ³⁴S, ¹⁸O and D) for tracing agriculture contamination in groundwater, in:
- 683 Environmental Chemistry: Green Chemistry and Pollutants in Ecosystems.
- 684 https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-26531-7_5
- Vitòria, L., Soler, A., Canals, À., Otero, N., 2008. Environmental isotopes (N, S, C, O,
- D) to determine natural attenuation processes in nitrate contaminated waters:
- 687 Example of Osona (NE Spain). Appl. Geochemistry 23, 3597–3611.
- 688 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2008.07.018
- Vitousek, P.M., Aber, J.D., Howarth, R.W., Likens, G.E., Matson, P.A., Schindler, D.W.,
- 690 Schlesinger, W.H., Tilman, D.G., 1997. Human alteration of the global nitrogen
- 691 cycle: Sources and consequences. Ecol. Appl. 7, 737–750.
- 692 https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

693	Ward, M.H., DeKok, T.M., Levallois, P., Brender, J., Gulis, G., Nolan, B.T.,
694	VanDerslice, J., 2005. Workgroup Report: Drinking-Water Nitrate and Health-
695	Recent Findings and Research Needs. Environ. Health Perspect. 113, 1607–
696	1614. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8043
697	Wassenaar, L.I., 1995. Evaluation of the origin and fate of nitrate in the Abbotsford
698	Aquifer using the isotopes of ¹⁵ N and ¹⁸ O in NO₃ [−] . Appl. Geochemistry 10, 391–

699 405. https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-2927(95)00013-A

Wilderer, P.A., Jones, W.L., Dau, U., 1987. Competition in denitrification systems

affecting reduction rate and accumulation of nitrite. Water Res. 21, 239–245.

702 https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(87)90056-X

703 Wunderlich, A., Meckenstock, R., Einsiedl, F., 2012. Effect of different carbon

substrates on nitrate stable isotope fractionation during microbial denitrification.

705 Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 4861–4868. https://doi.org/10.1021/es204075b

706 Wunderlich, A., Meckenstock, R.U., Einsiedl, F., 2013. A mixture of nitrite-oxidizing and

707 denitrifying microorganisms affects the δ^{18} O of dissolved nitrate during anaerobic

microbial denitrification depending on the δ^{18} O of ambient water. Geochim.

709 Cosmochim. Acta 119, 31–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.05.028

Zumft, W.G., 1997. Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification. Microbiol. Mol.
Biol. Rev. 61, 533–616.

712

713 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Pilot-plant scheme. Location, schematic map and cross-section of the pilotplant. I1 and I2 are the injection wells; PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3 the monitoring piezometers;
EW the extraction well and MW the monitoring well. I2 is projected on the cross-section.

Arrows depict the flow direction when the EW is operating. Natural flow direction is fromI1 to MW.

Figure 2. NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ evolution in the microcosms. NO₃⁻ (A) and NO₂⁻ (B) concentration in the biostimulated and control microcosms. C1 (black cross): groundwater + sediment, C2 (black triangles): MilliQ water + sediment, C3 (grey squares): groundwater + CH₃COOH, B (grey circles): groundwater + sediment + CH₃COOH.

Figure 3. NO_3^- isotopic fractionation in the microcosms. Samples from the biostimulated microcosms (black) and initial MW groundwater (empty) isotopic composition.

Figure 4. δ^{15} N vs δ^{18} O diagram from field samples. Isotopic results from the piezometers and the EW (circles) samples and mean value of the unaffected MW (square), including standard deviation. The regression line is presented as a continuous black line (slope = 0.7 (r² = 0.95)). The boxes (grey continuous and dashed lines) represent NO₃⁻ sources from Vitòria et al., 2004 and references therein.

Figure 5. NO₃⁻ evolution in the pilot-plant. The dashed grey line corresponds to the
MW mean concentration. Empty symbols for PZ1 and PZ2 correspond to bottom
samples (two-depth sampling). The vertical line corresponds to the last injection date.

Figure 6. Pilot-plant $SO_4^{2^-}$ concentration and isotopic composition. The regression line is presented as a dashed black line (slope = 0.4 ($r^2 = 0.93$)). The boxes, including standard deviation, represent $SO_4^{2^-}$ sources from Otero et al., (2007); Vitòria et al., (2004) and references therein.

Figure 7. Representative sampling campaigns from the pilot-plant. A) 1st month (1.2 slope ($r^2 = 0.45$)); B) 7th month, 0.5 slope ($r^2 = 0.8$); C) 12th month, 0.6 slope ($r^2 = 0.9$); D) 19th month, 0.8 slope ($r^2 = 1.0$); E) 24th month, 0.6 slope ($r^2 = 1.0$). Regression line for

- each campaign is presented as a dashed line. The DEN % line (continuous line) was
 calculated using the isotopic fractionation values obtained in the laboratory experiments,
 and the average concentration and isotopic composition of the MW as initial values.
- Figure S1. NO_2^- evolution during the pilot plant operation. NO_2^- concentration of the pilot plant samples. Empty symbols for PZ1 and PZ2 correspond to bottom samples (twodepth sampling). The vertical line corresponds to the last injection date.
- Figure S2. Temporal dynamics of the NO₃⁻ isotopic composition. A) δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and B) δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ measured in the samples collected in the pilot-plant. The dashed grey line corresponds to the MW average composition. The vertical line corresponds to the last injection date. Empty symbols for PZ2 correspond to bottom samples (two-depth sampling).
- **Figure S3. Rainfall data.** Rainfall (mm) registered each sampling campaign day (striped bar) and the previous six days (dark to light grey colour). The data was recorded by station 0252D from the Spanish national meteorological agency (AEMET, Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Environment of Spain),

757 **Table 1. Batch experiments set-up.** Composition for each microcosm.

- **Table 2. Groundwater mixing at EW.** Theoretical mixing calculation between 30 % of PZ2 and 70 % of MW using chemical and isotopic data (E), compared with the measured (M) NO_3^- concentration and isotopic composition at the EW. Standard deviation (SD) is included.
- Table S1. Batch experiments results. Chemical and isotopic characterization of the
 samples obtained from the sacrificed microcosms. "n.d." refers to parameters that were
 not determined.
- Table S2. Pilot-plant results. Chemical and isotopic characterization of the samples
 obtained from the pilot-plant. "n.d." refers to parameters that were not determined.

30

















Reactor	Code	Water source	Water volume (mL)	Flask volume (mL)	Sediment (g)	CH₃COOH 85% (µL)
Stimulated	B1-B10	MW	150	250	20	33
Control 1	C1	MW	150	250	20	0
Control 2	C2	Milli-Q	150	250	20	0
Control 3	C3	MW	300	500	0	66

		NO₃ ⁻ (mM)		δ1	⁵N-NO₃⁻ (%	‰)	δ ¹⁸ Ο-NO ₃ ⁻ (‰)			
Month	Е	М	SD	Е	М	SD	Е	М	SD	
1	0.78	-	-	6.2	-	-	4.0	-		
2	0.77	0.71	0.04	5.8	8.3	1.7	4.0	6.7	1.6	
7	0.72	0.70	0.01	8.8	8.3	0.3	5.6	6.8	0.9	
10	0.71	0.67	0.03	6.9	4.9	1.4	4.3	3.3	0.8	
11	0.74	0.82	0.05	7.0	6.3	0.5	5.2	5.2	0.0	
12	0.71	0.71	0.00	8.2	5.2	2.1	5.4	4.0	1.0	
14	0.66	0.82	0.12	4.4	6.6	1.6	3.0	3.4	0.3	
17	0.67	0.63	0.03	8.8	7.1	1.2	6.3	4.1	1.6	
19	0.69	0.69	0.01	9.3	6.6	2.0	6.5	3.8	1.9	
24	0.80	0.99	0.14	6.7	5.8	0.6	4.5	3.1	1.0	







CODE	HOUR	NO₃ ⁻ (mM)	NO ₂ ⁻ (mM)	δ ¹⁵ N-NO₃ ⁻ (‰)	δ ¹⁸ O-NO ₃ ⁻ (‰)	SD δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻	SD δ ¹⁸ Ο-NO ₃ ⁻	NH₄⁺ (mM)
GW	0.0	0.89	0.01	5.0	3.6	0.3	1.4	n.d.
B-1	47.0	0.47	0.09	12.6	10.8	0.3	0.1	0.00
B-2	47.5	0.33	0.17	17.9	19.9	1.1	0.9	0.00
B-3	48.5	0.29	0.17	19.2	20.7	1.1	0.3	0.03
B-4	51.0	0.27	0.26	20.7	23.0	1.7	0.5	0.03
B-5	53.5	0.19	0.23	26.0	24.0	n.d.	n.d.	0.03
B-6	55.0	0.18	0.16	25.1	26.7	0.3	1.4	0.01
B-7	56.5	0.15	0.16	29.7	30.7	0.3	1.9	0.02
B-8	57.0	0.11	0.14	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.01
B-9	69.0	0.03	0.03	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.02
B-10	70.0	0.01	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.02
C1	72.0	0.92	0.00	6.5	6.0	0.9	0.3	0.04
C2	72.0	0.00	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C3-1	32.0	0.89	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C3-2	47.0	0.67	0.04	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C3-3	51.0	0.67	0.03	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C3-4	52.3	0.67	0.04	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C3-5	55.0	0.65	0.05	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C3-6	56.5	0.60	0.06	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C3-7	69.5	0.27	0.19	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C3-8	76.0	0.19	0.53	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C3-9	80.0	0.07	0.76	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C3-10	95.0	0.01	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.

Code	Month	NO₃ ⁻ (mM)	NO₂ ⁻ (mM)	δ ¹⁵ N-NO ₃ ⁻ (‰)	δ ¹⁸ O-NO ₃ ⁻ (‰)	SD δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻	SD δ ¹⁸ Ο-NO ₃ ⁻	SO₄²- (mM)	δ ³⁴ S-SO₄ ²⁻ (‰)	δ ¹⁸ O-SO4 ²⁻ (‰)	SD δ ¹⁸ O-SO4 ²⁻	Denitrif. % (concentration)	Denitrif. % (isotopes)
PZ1		1.26	0.00	7.5	4.7	0.6	0.0	1.72	9.2	9.4	0.3	0	7
PZ2	1	0.42	0.00	5.8	3.6	0.6	0.8	1.04	13.1	11.3	0.1	55	0
PZ3	I	0.98	0.00	7.2	6.5	0.5	1.1	2.79	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0	5
W2		0.92	0.00	6.5	4.2	0.6	1.5	2.43	13.3	11.2	0.1	0	0
PZ1		0.88	0.00	5.9	3.8	0.1	0.5	1.40	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6	0
PZ2		0.37	0.00	4.7	3.5	0.5	0.1	1.13	13.1	11.4	0.1	61	0
PZ3	2	0.58	0.01	7.5	5.5	1.1	0.5	2.56	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	38	0
W1		0.71	0.00	8.3	6.3	0.3	0.2	2.04	14.1	11.8	0.3	24	0
W2		0.97	0.00	8.7	5.7	0.3	0.1	2.34	13.1	11.1	0.2	0	0
PZ1		0.95	n.d.	12.1	6.0	0.6	0.0	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0	42
PZ2		0.19	n.d.	14.5	8.8	0.6	0.6	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	79	52
PZ3	7	0.88	n.d.	10.0	7.9	0.6	0.3	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6	31
W1		0.70	n.d.	8.3	6.8	0.1	0.3	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	25	22
W2		0.96	n.d.	5.2	3.4	0.5	1.2	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0	0
PZ1		0.12	0.00	6.0	6.5	1.1	1.2	1.58	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	87	7
PZ2	10	0.16	0.01	8.2	4.7	0.2	0.5	1.90	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	82	22
W1	10	0.67	0.00	4.9	3.2	0.3	0.1	2.41	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	29	0
W2		0.88	0.00	5.0	3.6	0.3	1.4	2.45	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0	0
PZ1		0.20	0.02	12.7	9.7	0.4	0.1	2.80	14.1	11.4	n.d.	79	40
PZ2	11	0.29	0.02	8.5	7.5	0.5	0.2	4.07	14.7	11.8	n.d.	69	16
W1		0.82	0.00	6.3	5.2	0.3	0.1	4.24	14.1	11.5	0.1	13	0

W2		0.93	0.00	6.3	4.6	0.1	0.2	4.12	12.0	10.5	0.1	0	0
PZ1		0.15	0.02	12.1	8.7	0.3	0.2	0.66	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	84	38
PZ2		0.18	0.02	12.6	8.1	0.2	1.1	2.46	16.6	12.5	0.2	81	40
PZ3	12	0.49	0.02	10.3	6.3	0.0	0.1	5.07	15.8	12.4	0.0	48	28
W1		0.71	0.00	5.2	4.0	1.0	1.3	2.82	12.1	11.1	0.2	25	0
W2		0.97	0.00	6.1	3.8	0.2	0.9	4.89	12.5	10.5	0.0	0	0
PZ1		0.02	0.01	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	2.42	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	98	100
PZ3	14	0.59	0.01	13.3	10.8	0.2	0.1	3.37	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	37	41
W1		0.82	0.02	6.6	3.4	0.2	0.2	2.61	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	13	0
PZ1 45		0.00	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.12	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	100	100
PZ1 39		0.01	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.15	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	99	100
PZ2 37	17	0.05	0.00	14.4	11.2	0.2	0.7	1.66	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	95	44
PZ3		0.37	0.00	18.2	14.7	0.1	0.4	2.28	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	60	58
W1		0.63	0.00	7.1	4.1	0.2	0.4	2.11	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	33	0
PZ1		0.00	0.01	n.d.	100	100							
PZ2 45		0.19	0.02	16.3	11.1	0.1	0.6	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	79	54
PZ2 38	19	0.09	0.01	16.3	12.0	0.1	0.1	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	90	53
PZ3		0.64	0.06	15.8	10.6	0.1	0.1	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	31	51
W1		0.69	0.00	6.6	3.8	0.3	0.5	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	26	0
PZ1	24	0.10	0.01	22.1	14.0	0.1	0.3	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	89	72

PZ2 45	0.46	0.02	7.5	5.1	0.0	0.7	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	51	12
PZ3	0.97	0.00	5.0	3.7	0.2	0.7	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0	0
W1	0.99	0.00	5.8	3.1	0.3	0.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0	0