AGN jets versus accretion as reionization sources
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ABSTRACT

Context. Cosmic reionization put an end to the dark ages that came after the recombination era. Observations seem to favor the scenario of massive-star photons generated in low-mass galaxies being responsible for the bulk of reionization and, whereas a possible contribution from AGN accretion disks has been widely considered, they are currently thought to have had a minor role in reionization.

Aims. We aim to study the possibility of AGN having contributed to reionization not only through their accretion disks, but also through ionizing photons coming from the AGN jet lobes interacting with the intergalactic medium.

Methods. We adopt an empirically derived AGN luminosity function at $z \simeq 6$, use X-ray observations to correct it for the presence of obscured sources, and estimate the density of jetted AGN. We then use analytical calculations to derive the fraction of jet energy that goes into ionizing photons. Finally, we compute the contribution of AGN jets to the H II volume filling factor at redshifts $z \simeq 5$.

Results. We show that the contribution of the AGN jet lobes to the reionization of the Universe at $z \simeq 6$ might have been as high as $\gtrsim 10\%$ of that of star-forming galaxies, under the most favorable conditions of jetted and obscuration fraction.

Conclusions. The contribution of AGN to the reionization, while most likely not dominant, could have been higher than previously assumed, thanks to the radiation originated in the jet lobes.
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1. Introduction

Cosmic reionization represents an important stage in the evolution of the Universe, putting an end to the dark ages that came following the recombination era. Observations indicate that the intergalactic medium (IGM) was completely reionized at redshift $z \simeq 6$ (e.g. Fan et al. 2006; Pentericci et al. 2014; Tilvi et al. 2014; McGreer et al. 2015). However, the onset and duration of reionization remain uncertain. The latest Planck results (Planck Collaboration VI 2018) favor a reionization that happened late and fast ($z \simeq 6$), consistent with it being driven by photons from massive stars in low-mass galaxies (e.g. Robertson et al. 2015), as long as the escape fraction of the ionizing radiation is high enough (e.g. Stark 2016).

In addition to star-forming galaxies, accretion disks of active galactic nuclei (AGN) are also possible sources of ionizing photons at high redshift (e.g. Arons & McCray 1970; Meiksin & Madau 1993). Thus, they have since long been considered possible contributors to reionization (e.g. Grazian et al. 2018), or at least indirect factors in the reionization process (e.g. Seiler et al. 2018; Kakichi et al. 2018). Such sources, however, are presently thought to play a minor role in the reionization of hydrogen (e.g. Hopkins et al. 2007; Onoue et al. 2017; Parsa et al. 2018; Matsuoka et al. 2018; Dayal et al. 2020).

Still, accretion might not be the only ionizing radiation source in AGN. In particular, the termination regions of AGN-produced jets are known to be filled with non-thermal electrons (Croston et al. 2018), which cool efficiently through Inverse Compton (IC) and synchrotron radiation. At such large distances from the jet base, and taking into account the high density of the CMB photon field at $z \simeq 6$, it is expected that IC would dominate radiative losses, upscattering CMB photons to higher energies (e.g. Wu et al. 2017).

For the brightest blazars, there is evidence to indicate that jets may be as powerful as accretion radiation, if not more (Ghisellini et al. 2014; Sbarrato et al. 2016). Sbarrato et al. (2015) suggest that at $z \simeq 6$ the jetted fraction of the most powerful AGN might be close to one. Whereas radiation from an accretion disk is easily absorbed by the dense obscuring medium surrounding the AGN, the jet lobes are located in regions free from dense surrounding material. Therefore, if the jetted fraction is high enough, the number of sources that contributed to reionization with photons from their jet lobes might be larger than those contributing with accretion disk photons.

Recently, Bosch-Ramon (2018) explored the possible role of AGN jets and their termination regions in the reionization epoch, using empirically derived black-hole mass functions and assuming a certain duty-cycle and accretion power. The conclusion reached in that work was that jet lobes might contribute non-negligibly to the reionization of the Universe at $z \simeq 6$.

In this work, we carry out a more quantitative study of the impact of AGN jet lobes in reionizing the Universe, expanding it up to significantly higher redshifts. To do that, we improve the estimations of Bosch-Ramon (2018) using recent, empirically derived quasar luminosity functions (LFs) at $z \simeq 6$, and correcting them for possible obscured sources. The work is structured as follows: In Sect. 2, we discuss the adopted luminosity functions and how we correct them to account for the presence of obscured sources. In Sect. 3, we compute the fraction of jet power that goes to ionizing radiation. In Sect. 4, we use the obtained results...
to estimate the contribution of AGN jets to the ionizing photon density at $z \approx 6$, and to the H II volume filling factor in the IGM in the range $z \approx 15−5$. Finally, we summarize and discuss our results in Sect. 5.

2. Luminosity function

In order to characterize the AGN population at the epoch of reionization we must assume a quasar LF. Various studies have attempted to construct the LF at $z \sim 6$, including those based on optical/UV (e.g. Willott et al. 2010a; Onoue et al. 2017; Kulkarni et al. 2019), X-ray (e.g. Parsa et al. 2018; Vito et al. 2018), or radio data (e.g. Caccianiga et al. 2019).

LFs derived from radio studies tend to be inconsistent with X-ray results, finding a lower density of sources as well as different density peaks as a function of redshift (see, e.g. Ajello et al. 2009; Caccianiga et al. 2019). Wu et al. (2017) and Saxena et al. (2017) attribute the low number density of radio sources at $z > 3$ to quenching of radio emission due to higher densities of the CMB (see also Sect. 5). On the other hand, X-ray studies are also inconsistent with those derived from rest-UV surveys, finding an excess of sources at lower luminosities. This is presumably associated to dust obscuration effects, which are much more important at UV wavelengths. However, the mentioned X-ray and radio LFs cover a broad redshift range, reaching much later times than we are interested in, and are generally derived using smaller source samples. We therefore opt to use the most recent UV results, and correct them for the effects of obscuration. In particular, we use the LF derived in one of the latest and most complete studies (Matsuoka et al. 2018), based on a compilation of rest-UV data (Jiang et al. 2016; Willott et al. 2010a; Matsuoka et al. 2018). Their sample has the advantage of covering a broad luminosity range, but within a narrow $5.7 < z < 6.5$ redshift range.

To the LF from Matsuoka et al. (2018) we added a correction for the absorbed AGN fraction based on results from Vito et al. (2018), who analyzed X-ray data of AGN in the $3 < z < 6$ range. They derive an obscured AGN fraction of $\approx 0.8$ at high X-ray luminosities, as well as a decrease of obscuration at $L_X < 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Although this decrease goes against the well-established trend that low-luminosity AGN are more frequently obscured than those of higher luminosity (e.g. Lawrence 1991; Ueda et al. 2003; Steffen et al. 2003; Simpson 2005), Vito et al. (2018) attribute this unexpected result to incompleteness of the sample at low luminosities, and thus determine it is unreliable. Based on this, we assumed first a constant obscuration (CO) fraction of 0.8, a value that is compatible with their data in all luminosities in which the sample is complete. The LF we derive is:

$$\Phi_{	ext{CO}}(M_{1450}) = \Phi_* \left( \frac{10^{0.4(7.6 - M_{1450})}}{10^{0.4(0.4β + 1)M_{1450}} + 10^{0.4(0.4β + 1)M_{1450}} + 10^{0.4(0.4β + 1)M_{1450}}} \right),$$

(1)

in units of Gpc$^{-3}$mag$^{-1}$, where $α_{\text{CO}} = -1.23$ and $β = -2.73$, $M_* \equiv -24.9$ is the break magnitude, and $\Phi_* \equiv 5 \times 10.9$ is the normalization corrected for an 80% of obscured sources.

Nevertheless, as mentioned, there could be a trend in the obscured AGN fraction at $z \sim 6$ to increase at low luminosities, as confirmed by Ueda et al. (2014) for $z \leq 3$. This would imply that the less luminous sources in the sample adopted by us could have obscured fractions larger than the assumed value of 0.8, which could make our corrected LF conservative at low luminosities. We can account for this effect with a second correction, which we refer to as correction for differential obscuration (DO). Therefore, we consider that 80% of the brightest observed sources, of $L_{\text{bol}} = 10^{48}$ erg s$^{-1}$, are obscured and, following the trend derived by Ueda et al. (2014), we then assume that sources three orders of magnitude fainter should be obscured $\sim 4$ times more often. This yields a second LF, $\Phi_{\text{DO}}$, with the same parameters but $α_{\text{DO}} = -1.76$.

In Fig. 1, we compare our two LFs, CO and DO, with that originally derived by Matsuoka et al. (2018), and that of Willott et al. (2010b).

For further comparison, we also transformed the magnitude LFs, $\Phi(M_{1450})$, to bolometric luminosity using a correction factor of 4.4, as in Willott et al. (2010b) (from Richards et al. 2006). We then transformed this to a black-hole mass function (BHMF, $\Phi_{\text{BH}}$). For this conversion, one must assume an Eddington ratio ($\lambda_{\text{Edd}} \equiv L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$). For the sake of consistency, we used observational data taken from Fig. 3 of Matsuoka et al. (2019), who analyzed the same sample of AGN used to derive our LFs. Using those data, we found an average $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} = 0.83 \pm 0.12$, and no significant trend with AGN luminosity or black-hole mass. Averages in all the different luminosity bins are compatible, within the errors, with the average value for the whole sample.

In Fig. 2, we compare the BHMFs derived in this work to that of Willott et al. (2010b), used by Bosch-Ramon (2018) to obtain a first estimation of the contribution of AGN jets to reionization. Our BHMFs are lower than that of Willott et al. (2010b), partly due to the fact that we do not account for the presence of quiescent black holes. In this sense, the BHMF from e.g. Willott et al. (2010b) serves as a kind of upper-limit; that is, our BHMFs should not exceed those including quiescent (or weakly accreting) AGN, as they are AGN–BHMFs. Willott et al. (2010b) also used UV data to derive an observational LF, but instead of transforming it into a BHMF, they assumed a Schechter BHMF (hence the noticeably different shape), transformed the BHMF into an LF, and re-fitted it to the data. Other differences arise from small changes on the duty cycle and the assumed value of $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$, and a different correction prescription for obscured sources.
3. Ionizing efficiency of jet lobes

The termination regions of AGN jets are expected to inflate lobes on scales ∼100 kpc, with the lobe pressure potentially dominated by non-thermal electrons. Moreover, the energetics of shocked shells of IGM at z ≥ 6 may be dominated by thermal cooling, through free-free continuum and line emission. Due to these factors, a significant fraction of the jet luminosity could be transformed into photons that would ionize, excite and heat the IGM either through direct or indirect (via secondary electrons) interactions (see Bosch-Ramon 2018, and references therein).

We estimated the ionizing power of IC interactions between the relativistic electrons in the lobes, and CMB photons, which are upscattered into H-ionizing photons. We adopted different broad electron energy distributions and assumed a minimum particle energy of $E_{\text{e, min}} = 1$ MeV. In such a scenario, the energy injected into accelerating electrons in the jet would be turned into ionizing luminosity with an efficiency of ≈30–40% for p ≤ 3 in a (cooled) electron energy distribution $\propto E^{-p}$ (adopting the energy ratio going to ionization from Shull & van Steenberg 1985). For p > 3, the efficiency quickly goes down (e.g. 1% for p = 4), unless higher values of $E_{\text{e, min}}$, even above the minimum electron energy required to produce H-ionizing photons ($E_{\text{e, min}} \approx 4 \times 10^{-5}$ erg, or a Lorentz factor $\gamma_{\text{e, min}} \approx 50$, at z = 6), are assumed. If that is the case, then higher efficiencies, of up to ∼40%, can be reached regardless of the value of p. Note that Wu et al. (2017) adopt $\gamma_{\text{e, min}} \sim 100$ for their modeling of lobe radio emission in high-z blazars (see Sect. 3.2 in Bosch-Ramon 2018).

Bosch-Ramon (2018) described that the shocked IGM shell may be close to radiative. In fact, for a jet lobe suffering strong IC losses, the evolution of the shocked IGM shell formed by a jet with power $10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (equivalent to a black hole mass $\sim 10^5 M_\odot$ under our assumptions) would be likely radiative under primordial abundances. A larger, yet relatively small, IGM metallicity, say 1% the solar value, would result in this shell evolving even more radiative. For such a shocked IGM shell, the expected thermal-to-ionizing luminosity efficiency would be similar to that of IC, as the emission would be likely released in the far UV. However, a proper assessment of the thermal losses of the shocked IGM shell requires a detailed characterization of the jet lobe-IGM interaction (including IC losses), and some knowledge on the medium metallicity.

4. Contribution to reionization

In this section we estimate the maximum contribution of AGN to reionization, both through their jets and through accretion onto the supermassive blackhole. We assume that obscured sources do not contribute any UV photons to the IGM (unless jetted), and that unobscured sources are completely uncovered.

4.1. Contribution of AGN jets to reionization

The luminosity functions $\Phi_{\text{CO}}(M_{\text{BH}})$ can be converted first into functions of luminosity, $L_{\text{bol}}$, and then into functions of bolometric luminosity, $L_{\text{bol}}$, using the mentioned 4.4 correction factor. We assume that all AGN are jetted, extrapolating the results found by Sbarrato et al. (2015) for the few powerful blazars detected at high redshift at gamma-ray energies. Deviations from this assumption, as well as from the obscured fraction taken in Sect. 2, are included within a parameter $\epsilon$. All numerical results presented in this section use $\epsilon = 1$, which corresponds to a best-case scenario and should therefore be interpreted as upper limits. See a discussion on this assumption in Sect. 5.

It is then necessary to estimate how much energy goes into ionizing radiation as a function of $L_{\text{bol}}$. First of all, we must assume a relation between accretion disk luminosity and jet power, $L_j = \chi L_{\text{bol}}$. There is evidence of a correlation between the two (e.g. Rawlings & Saunders 1991; Celotti et al. 1997; Ghisellini et al. 2010), and Ghisellini et al. (2014) find that the power of bright relativistic jets tends to be even larger than the luminosity of their accretion disks. We assume a value of $\chi = 1$, and again all results on ionizing photon density scale with it.

Following the results from Sect. 3, we assume that a factor $\xi = 0.3$ of the jet power goes into ionizing radiation, which implies that lobe pressure is dominated by relativistic electrons that can produce H-ionizing photons via IC, and/or the shocked IGM shell is radiative. The H-ionizing luminosity (comoving) density is then computed as:

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{\text{IC}} = \int \chi \epsilon \Phi_{\text{CO}}(L_{\text{bol}}) L_{\text{bol}} dL_{\text{bol}}.$$  

We integrate in the range $L_{\text{bol}} = 10^{33} - 10^{48}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which corresponds to AGN with black-hole masses in the range $M_{\text{BH}} = 10^3 - 10^{10} M_\odot$. The resulting values are $\dot{\epsilon}_{\text{IC}} = 4.3 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ and $\dot{\epsilon}_{\text{DO}} = 9.2 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-3}$. Considering 13.6 eV per H-ionizing photon, this translates to photon densities of $n_{\text{CO/DO}} = 3.2 \times 10^{48}, 6.8 \times 10^{48}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ (in the best-case scenario, $\chi = \xi = \epsilon = 1$).

4.2. Contribution of AGN disks to reionization

Matsuoka et al. (2018) estimate the contribution of AGN accretion disks to reionization without correcting their $\Phi$ for the presence of obscured sources, as they assume no ionizing radiation can escape them. However, in a jetted source, a small fraction of ionizing radiation can escape in the direction of the jets (which must be unobscured) and contribute to the ionization of the surrounding medium. This would increase the contribution of disks in a factor $(1 - f_{\text{esc}}) f_{\text{esc}}$, where $f_{\text{esc}}$ is the escape fraction caused by the drilling of the jets and $f_{\text{esc}}$ the fraction of obscured sources (set to 0.8 in this work). Considering $f_{\text{esc}} = 1$ for unobscured sources and $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.1$ for obscured ones, and using $\epsilon = 1$ as done for jets, AGN disks may contribute to $n_{\text{esc}}$ a 50% more than accounted for by Matsuoka et al. (2018). Using this corrected LF, the resulting ionizing photon density produced by the accretion disks of AGN is $n_{\text{disk}} = 6.3 \times 10^{48}$ s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-3}$.
 Other works, however, advocate for a much higher escape fraction of AGN disk photons. Strong AGN winds can penetrate through dense medium surrounding AGN nuclei, which may allow a fraction of the ionizing radiation to escape (e.g. Wagner et al. 2013; Menci et al. 2019) even in obscured sources. Grazian et al. (2018) analyzed a sample of 16 AGN at $z \sim 4$, including both obscured and unobscured nuclei, and estimated an average escape fraction of ionizing radiation of $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.74$.

It is unclear if extremely obscured (even Compton-thick) AGN at $z \sim 6$ can have such large $f_{\text{esc}}$ on average, due to the difficulty of accounting for high X-ray obscuration in a large number of sources without invoking large covering fractions. Recent observations of a high-$z$ source (Vito et al. 2019, at $z = 6.515$) can be interpreted as a highly X-ray obscured AGN that strongly emits in the UV, but the possibility of the absorbed X-rays originating in a much fainter companion cannot be ruled out.

However, given the observations of Grazian et al. (2018) at $z \sim 4$ and the lack of complete, large X-ray samples at higher redshift, the possibility of large escape fractions for AGN disk photons cannot be completely ruled out either. We opt to include estimations using $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.74$ as an average value for all sources (obscured and unobscured) for both $\Phi_{\text{CO}}$ and $\Phi_{\text{DO}}$. In this way, the plots in Fig. 3 show a range of possible values of the AGN disk contribution to reionization, from the small correction to the Matsuoka et al. (2018) LF, to the large $f_{\text{esc}}$ of Grazian et al. (2018).

4.3. Reionization at higher redshifts

We can extrapolate our results to higher redshifts. The evolution of the H II volume filling factor in the IGM, $Q_{\text{HII}}(t)$, is given by:

$$\frac{dQ_{\text{HII}}}{dt} = \frac{n_{\text{ion}}}{\bar{n}_H} - \frac{Q_{\text{HII}}}{t_{\text{esc}}},$$

(3)

where $\bar{n}_H$ and $t_{\text{esc}}$ are the mean hydrogen density and recombination time, respectively (see Madau et al. 1999). To numerically integrate this equation we consider that the IGM is fully neutral at $z = 15$, when reionization might have started (Bromm & Yoshida 2011; Dunlop 2013).

The ionizing photon densities necessary to balance recombination (in the ionized IGM, i.e., $Q_{\text{HII}} = 1.0$) is

$$n_{\text{ion}}^{\text{crit}} = 10^{50.0} C_{\text{HII}} \left( \frac{1 + z}{7} \right)^3 \text{s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3},$$

(4)

where $C_{\text{HII}}$ is an effective H II clumping factor (Bolton & Haehnelt 2007), within the range $C_{\text{HII}} = 1.0$–5.0 (Shull et al. 2012). We plotted a comparison between $n_{\text{ion}}^{\text{crit}}$ and our derived $n_{\text{ion}}$ for two cases: assuming $n_{\text{ion}}$ constant with redshift, and assuming it evolves as $\propto 10^{-0.7z}$ (normalizing using the LF at $z \sim 6$; Matsuoka et al. 2018) in Fig. 3. The figure also includes the evolution of the ionizing photon density generated by star-forming galaxies, $n_{\text{SFG}}$ (Robertson et al. 2015), and that generated by AGN disks (Matsuoka et al. 2018, corrected for absorption as in Sect. 4.2). Figure 3 also depicts the evolution of the H II volume filling factor as a function of redshift, including contributions from all the different $n_{\text{ion}}$ considered. The clumping factor used in both plots is $C_{\text{HII}} = 3.0$, and varying it in the 1.0–5.0 range can lead to relatively small variations (see Matsuoka et al. 2018).

The plot for $n_{\text{ion}}$ shows that star-forming galaxies are enough to fully maintain the IGM ionized at $z = 6$. The contribution from AGN disks is likely small (for low $f_{\text{esc}}$), at most of a $\sim$6%,

while AGN jets could contribute with a $\sim$10% (CO) or $\sim$20% (DO) in a best-case scenario. However, for $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.74$, AGN disks would contribute practically the same as their jets.

The plot for the $Q_{\text{HII}}$ evolution shows that, assuming a constant $n_{\text{ion}}$, the contribution of AGN (through their jets and/or their disks, in the case of large escape fractions) to reionization could be of $\sim$5% (CO) or $\sim$10% (DO) at $z = 6$, redshift at which the contribution of star-forming galaxies may suffice to fully reionize the IGM. When adopting $n_{\text{ion}}(z) \propto 10^{-0.7z}$, derived from the $z$-evolution of the LF normalization at $z \leq 6$, one finds that the contribution of AGN to $Q_{\text{HII}}$ at $z \sim 6$ would be lower by a factor of $\sim$3.
5. Summary and discussion

We have used LFs derived from UV data at $z \sim 6$ to estimate the contribution of AGN jets to the reionization of the Universe. In order to do this, we have taken the LF of Matsuoka et al. (2018) and corrected it in two different ways to account for the presence of obscured sources. We have considered an obscuration factor constant at all AGN luminosities (CO) and fainter sources being more obscured than brighter ones (DO). We have estimated that $\sim 30\%$ of the jet energy of these sources could have turned into ionizing radiation. We have computed the contribution of AGN jet lobes to the ionizing photon density and H II volume filling factor at $z > 6$.

5.1. Contribution to reionization

The contribution of star-forming galaxies as derived by Robertson et al. (2015) is both dominant and sufficient to reionize the Universe at $z \sim 6$, although their result depends on key assumptions that still remain unconfirmed (see Sect. 5.4).

The contribution of jets to reionization is difficult to estimate without a precise knowledge of the evolution of the LF normalization at high redshifts (i.e. $n_{\text{obs}}(z)$). It might be negligible if one assumes a strong decay with redshift, or it might be as high as $\geq 10\%$ if it remains constant, the jetted AGN fraction is close to 1 and the fraction of obscured sources is high.

Our results indicate that AGN jet lobes could generate as many as $\sim 20\%$ of the necessary photons to keep the IGM ionized at $z \sim 6$ ($n_{\text{obs}} \sim 7 \times 10^{50} \text{s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$, Madau 2017), well above the minimum $\sim 6\%$ derived for accretion disks, due to the lack of obscuration effects in the jet lobe scenario. Note, however, that $f_{\text{jet}}$ is not a fully constrained parameter (see Sect. 4.2). Depending on its value, the contribution of AGN disks may be as high as the upper limits we estimate for the jet radiation. In such a scenario, their combined contributions would be non-negligible in front of that of star-forming galaxies.

However, the high reionization impact of jets requires assuming a number of things. First; either a large relativistic electron pressure in the lobes or radiative shocked IGM shells, or both. Secondly, a jetted AGN fraction of almost 1. And thirdly, that our estimation of the number of obscured sources at $z \sim 6$ is correct. Again, if these conditions are not met, their contribution becomes negligible (see Sect. 5.2 for a discussion).

Aside from this consideration, different approaches to estimate the ionization power of AGN jets can render relatively different results. For instance, using the BHMF derived by Willott et al. (2010b), Bosch-Ramon (2018) derived $n_{\text{ion}} = 1.5 \times 10^{50} \text{s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$ in a best-case scenario, a factor of $\sim 2$ larger than our $n_{\text{DO}}$. Similarly, considering a higher minimum AGN luminosity (i.e. $L_\text{min} = 10^{48} \text{erg s}^{-1}$, equivalent to $M_{\text{BH}} \sim 10^7 M_{\odot}$, which corresponds to the faintest data points in the sample used to derive the LFs) can lead to significant changes. The contribution of both AGN disks and of $\Phi_{\text{CO}}$ to reionization would vary only in a $\sim 10\%$, and that of $\Phi_{\text{DO}}$ by a factor of $\sim 2$. However, it is unlikely that no AGN of lower luminosities exist, and one must take into account that the contribution of those sources may be significant. Remarkably, changes in $\alpha$ (in this work, $\alpha = -1.23, -1.76$), the least constrained of the LF parameters, yield very different results.

5.2. Jetted fraction and obscured sources

Asides from $\alpha$, another important and not fully constrained parameter is the normalization (i.e. total number of sources). The results presented in Sect. 4 all scale linearly with $\epsilon$. Our assumption of $\epsilon = 1$ implies that all AGN at $z \sim 6$ are jetted. Sbarrato et al. (2015) analyzed Swift data of known $z > 4$ blazars (i.e. five sources with $L_\gamma \sim 10^{47} \text{erg s}^{-1}$) and suggested that jetted sources might be enough to represent all AGN at those redshifts. This conclusion strongly depends on the derived Lorentz factors of the blazars, and the small statistics imply a large uncertainty on the jetted source fraction. Also, whether their results hold for lower luminosity AGN is uncertain.

This $\epsilon$ should also include possible deviations from the assumed 80% of obscured sources. Whereas Vito et al. (2018) find this value in the $z = 3$–6 range, most of their sources have $z < 4$. Maximum obscuration fraction may be expected at $z \sim 2$–3, at the peak of star-formation in the Universe. Therefore, while Vito et al. (2018) do not observe this trend, a decay of obscuration fraction at $z = 6$ is possible.

Our results, therefore, all scale with

$$\epsilon = f_{\text{jet}} \left( \frac{1 - f_{\text{obs}}}{1 - 0.8} \right)^{-1},$$

where $f_{\text{jet}}$ and $f_{\text{obs}}$ are the jetted and obscured AGN fractions, respectively. If $\epsilon < 0.2$, AGN jets would be contributing to reionization less than accretion disks at their lowest possible contribution; for example, with $f_{\text{obs}} = f_{\text{jet}} = 0.5$, $\epsilon = 0.2$ is already reached.

We note that studies of X-ray binaries show that their jets are produced under certain conditions of accretion (advection dominated), at either very low rates ($L_{\text{edd}} \sim 0.1$) or very high rates ($L_{\text{edd}} \approx 1$), and that otherwise disk emission dominates (e.g. Fender et al. 2004). The Eddington ratios of $z \sim 6$ quasars of Matsuoka et al. (2019) are distributed around the mean value of $\sim 8$. If the behaviour of SMBH at high-$z$ depended on accretion in a similar manner, the jetted AGN fraction could be low. However, it is unclear whether the behaviour of X-ray binaries can be extrapolated to SMBH at high-$z$.

We note that the X-ray data of Vito et al. (2018) only extends up to AGN with $L_{\text{bol}} = 10^{47} \text{erg s}^{-1}$, and, despite they do not observe a clear trend with luminosity, assuming $L_{\text{bol}} = 10^{48} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ to have an obscured fraction of 0.8 may be an overestimation. However, redoing the calculations excluding the most luminous AGN ($L_{\text{bol}} = 10^{49} - 10^{48} \text{erg s}^{-1}$) results only in a decrease of $\Phi_{\text{HH}}$ of a $\sim 10\%$ when using $\Phi_{\text{CO}}$ and a negligible one when using $\Phi_{\text{DO}}$.

On another note, the limited sensitivity of the current surveys means that we have no accurate knowledge of the number of low-luminosity AGN at high $z$. For instance, intermediate-mass black holes in the center of gas-rich dwarf galaxies may be active at $z \geq 6$, as mechanical feedback could shut both star-formation and AGN activity (e.g. Silk 2017). Also, weakly accreting black holes of any mass could contribute to reionization to some extent, but would pass unnoticed to observations. Finally, the present observational constraints on black-hole past activity (e.g. accreted mass, accretion rate, etc.) do not allow the derivation of strong constraints on the ionizing contribution of AGN jets at very high $z$ (see Bosch-Ramon 2018, and references therein).

5.3. Possible incompletness in AGN colour selection

In a recent study of AGN selection at $z \sim 4$, Boutsia et al. (2018) find that out of their 16 spectroscopically confirmed AGN only six were selected by color. They argue, based on their results, that selections using solely colour criteria can be highly incomplete (at a level of $\sim 50\%$), particularly for faint sources. The
selection used by Matsuoka et al. (2018), which we use to derive our LF, is also based on colour and therefore could be affected by a similar incompleteness effect.

Given how the colour selection used by Boutsia et al. (2018) and Matsuoka et al. (2018) is in different bands (due to the distinction between $z \sim 4$ and $z \sim 6$ selection) and, more importantly, the possible overlap between the incompleteness correction and the correction for obscured sources ($f_{esc}$), we have opted to not apply this factor 2 increase in the LFs used in this work. However, we note that this effect could be present in the Matsuoka et al. (2018) sample, and that if were the case all results presented here could be increased by a factor up to $\sim 2$ (both for the AGN jet and disk contributions to reionization).

5.4. Contribution of star-forming galaxies

The star-formation contribution to the high-$z$ UV background and reionization depends mainly on the total star-formation rate density and on the escape fraction of UV photons from the star-formation sites. The curves plotted in Fig. 3 of this work are derived by Robertson et al. (2015), who assume an escape fraction of ionizing radiation $f_{esc} \approx 0.2$ and extrapolate the LF below the observed limits.

Using their model, the minimum galaxy luminosity required to achieve reionization within the Planck-derived redshift limits is $M_{UV} \approx -13$ (much fainter than the current detection limits, Stark 2016). There is also the possibility of an accelerated decline in $\rho_{\text{SR}}(z > 8)$ (e.g. Oesch et al. 2014), which would reduce their impact on reionization. Ultradeep infrared imaging with JWST are necessary to provide robust constraints on the shape of the UV luminosity function at luminosities below $M_{UV} \approx -17$.

An escape fraction of $f_{esc} \approx 0.2$ is also necessary to achieve the ionizing photon densities required to reionize the IGM (e.g. Dayal & Ferrara 2018). There are indications that the escape fraction is larger in low-luminosity galaxies at $z > 3$ (Nestor et al. 2013) and may increase with redshift at $z > 3$ (Jones et al. 2013), which suggests that such large escape fractions may not be unreasonable. However, other studies indicate that it is not easy for the high-$z$ star-forming galaxy population to reach $f_{esc} \approx 0.1$ (Grazian et al. 2017, and references therein). Also, recent work on faint galaxies hosting high-$z$ gamma ray bursts finds extremely small escape fractions (Tanvir et al. 2019), adding to the above difficulty.

Accurately quantifying the contribution of star-forming galaxies to the reionization of the Universe thus appears still rather difficult today.

5.5. Quenched radio emission

As mentioned in Sect. 2, there is a discrepancy in the LF at different energy bands, with radio LFs, which should account for jetted sources, finding lower densities of AGN at high redshift than those derived at high energies. It is however natural to expect significantly less synchrotron emission with respect to IC emission in the extended jet regions, as the CMB energy density is $\propto (1+z)^4$. The reason is that, unless radiation comes from very close to the jet base, synchrotron emission is suppressed at high redshift. This can take place in two different contexts: (i) Non-radiative losses can be dominant (e.g. adiabatic losses due to the jet expansion). Since the photon energy density of the CMB is larger than the energy density of the magnetic field, IC emission can be much brighter than synchrotron emission. To exemplify this, we can consider the particular case of a jet with a total power of $10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$, a Lorentz factor of 10, Poynting flux equal to a 10% of the matter energy flux, and a half-opening angle of 0.1 rad, at $z \sim 6$. In such a case, the synchrotron emission can only overcome the CMB IC luminosity at a distance $\leq 10$ pc from the jet base. The same jet in the local universe could have a synchrotron component brighter than the IC one up to a jet height $\sim 300$ pc. This effect leads to comparatively stronger IC emission. (ii) IC emission might be so intense that it would dominate over non-radiative losses, with radio electrons losing most of their energy via IC CMB. This effect reduces the radio emission with respect to the case with dominant non-radiative losses. Accounting for these effects, one may easily expect different LF $z$-evolutions at different frequencies.

Wu et al. (2017) study this mechanism for radio quenching at $z > 3$ and conclude that it can efficiently dim the diffuse radio emission from jetted AGN. However, their limited sample does not allow them to confirm whether the mechanism is entirely sufficient to explain the radio-loud AGN deficit at high redshifts. Still, it is worth mentioning that, in addition to ionizing the medium, about 10–20% of the energy of jet lobe hard photons may go to heat the IGM at $z \geq 6$. An accurate estimate of the level of IGM heating due to jet lobes is beyond the escape of this work, but certainly it should be compatible with the thermal history of the IGM at very high redshift (see, e.g., D’Aloisio et al. 2017; Garaldi et al. 2019).
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