Modulating p-hydroxycinnamate behavior as a ditopic linker or photoacid in copper(II) complexes with an auxiliary pyridine ligand†
Joan Soldevila-Sanmartín, ^a Teresa Calvet, ^b Merce Font-Bardia, ^c Concepción Domingo, ^d José A. Ayllón ^{*a} and Josefina Pons ^{*a}
a Departament de Química, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193-Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain. E- mail: JoseAntonio.Ayllon@uab.es, Josefina.Pons@uab.es b Mineralogia, Petrologia i Geologia Aplicada, Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franquès s/n, 08028- Barcelona, Spain c Unitat de Difracció de Raig-X, Centres Científics i Tecnològics de la Universitat de Barcelona (CCITUB), Universitat de Barcelona, Solé i Sabarís, 1-3, 08028-Barcelona, Spain d Instituto de Ciencia de los Materiales de Barcelona (CSIC), Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain

- 43 ABSTRACT:

The reaction of copper(II) acetate monohydrate with p-hydroxycinnamic acid (HpOHcinn) and different pyridine derivatives (4-tert-butylpyridine, 4-tBupy; 4-acetylpyridine, 4-Acpy; 3-phenylpyridine, 3-Phpy; 4-phenylpyridine, 4-Phpy) was essayed in methanol solvent at room temperature. The crystal structures of the resulting compounds were elucidated. Their analysis shows that the choice of pyridine ligands determines different coordination modes of the pOHcinn ligand and the Cu(II) coordination, nuclearity and geometry. The pOHcinn acts as a monodentate carboxylate ligand in combination with 4-tBupy or 4-Phpy, yielding monomers and dimers, associated by hydrogen bonds into supramolecular networks in which the phenol group plays a key role. Conversely, in combination with 4-Acpy or 3-Phpy, the phenol group coordinates directly to the Cu(II), acting as a ditopic ligand and yielding 2D coordination polymers. The compound containing 3-Phpy shows interesting MeOH-H2O reversible exchange behavior. Not only has the pyridine auxiliary ligand had a tremendous effect on the coordination mode of pOHcinn, but also its reactivity is influenced. Particularly, in the case of the compound containing 4-Phpy, it undergoes a photoinduced process, in which the phenol group deprotonates and coordinates to Cu(II) as a phenoxy ligand. This yields a coordination polymer in which two different dimers alternate, bridged by the resulting pOcinn ligand. The magneto-structural correlation of this compound is also discussed.

70 INTRODUCTION

71

72 The need to cope with the world's energetic demands while preserving our environment has spurred the search for new functional materials. In this regard, it is remarkable the great amount of interest shown in 73 74 porous materials over the last decade. Thus, Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) and Covalent Organic 75 Frameworks (COFs) have been investigated extensively due to the possibility of tailoring their structure to meet specific demands,1,2 some of them being on the doorstep of industrial application.3 Recently, a 76 77 new class of porous materials, based in supramolecular lattices stabilized only by weak intermolecular 78 forces, specially hydrogen bonds, has been gaining attention.4-7 They could present several interesting 79 properties that outperform those of MOFs, such as wet-processing, low energy regeneration and mild reaction conditions. Despite being held via weak supramolecular forces, correct selection of the number 80 and position of the intermolecular interactions can yield highly stable materials.8-10 These materials 81 82 could be built from pure organic subunits, and also from discrete coordination complexes. In this context, p-hydroxybenzoic acid (HpOHBz) is an interesting ligand, which includes a phenol functional 83 group occupying an opposite position with respect to the carboxylic group. In complexes containing this 84 85 ligand, the phenol group usually participates in the generation of supramolecular networks via Hbonds.11,12 However, it is less common that this -OH group coordinates with metal sites forming 2D 86 87 coordination polymers.13 Recently, our group has shown that, in the [Cu(pOHBz)2(dPy)2] (dPy = 4-Phpy, 4-Bzpy, 3-PhPy) 88 89 family of compounds, small changes in the nature and position of the pyridine substituent govern the 90 different roles of the phenol group of the pOHBz ligand: it can be involved in hydrogenbond 91 interactions that lead to the formation of supramolecular networks, or it can be bonded to the copper cation, yielding 2D coordination polymers. These previous results clearly suggest the potential of 92 93 organic-inorganic building blocks with peripheral phenol groups for the preparation of both supramolecular and covalent networks.14 Some of these compounds show incipient porosity. Hence, in 94 95 light of the obtained results it was suggested that using ligands with longer distances between the 96 carboxylate and the phenol functionalities could result in an increase in the overall empty space, an 97 already successful strategy.15 The interest into phenol containing ligands is also being fueled by the widespread occurrence of tyrosyl radicals in metalloproteins with diverse catalytic activity and the 98 99 achievement of similar model compounds that show catalytic activity.16 100 In this work, we selected (E)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propenoic acid (para-hydroxycinnamic acid, HpOHcinn) for the formation of compounds with channels or other cavities, considering that it is guasi-101 planar and has a rigid structure. HpOHcinn coordination compounds using Co(II),17 Cd(II),18 102 Mn(II),19 Pb(II),20 Zn(II),15,21-24 and lanthanides25-27 as metal centers have been previously 103 104 described. Focusing on Cu(II) coordination compounds, to our knowledge five crystal structures have been described in the literature.18,28–31 Furthermore, all these examples show the great variability of 105 106 coordination modes allowed by this ligand, such as monodentate, bidentate chelate or bidentate bridge

- 107 and several have channels occupied by solvent molecules. As a result, monomers, dimers, tetramers and
- 108 coordination polymers can be synthesized. However, in none of the reported structures the –OH moiety
- 109 coordinates to a metal center. Moreover, this work continues studying the effects of the different
- auxiliary pyridine ligands in the coordination of the phenol and carboxylate groups. For this purpose, in
- 111 combination with HpOHcinn, four pyridines with different sizes and functional groups were chosen: 4-
- terbutylpyridine (4-tBupy), 4-acetylpyridine (4-Acpy), 3-phenylpyridine (3-Phpy) and 4-phenylpyridine
- 113 (4-Phpy).
- 114
- 115
- 116

117 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

118

119 Materials and methods

- 120 General details. Copper(II) acetate monohydrate (Cu(Ac)2·H2O), (E)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propenoic
- acid (p-hydroxycinnamic acid, HpOHcinn), 4-tert-butylpyridine (4-tBupy), 4-acetylpyridine (4-Acpy),
- 122 3-phenylpyridine (3-Phpy), 4-phenylpyridine (4-Phpy) reagents and methanol (MeOH) were purchased
- 123 from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. All the reactions and the manipulation were
- 124 carried out in air.
- 125 Elemental analyses (EA) of C, H and N were carried out on a Euro Vector 3100 instrument. Fourier
- transformed infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a Tensor 27 (Bruker) spectrometer, equipped with
- 127 an attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory model MKII Golden Gate with a diamond window in
- the range 4000–600 cm–1. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were measured with a Siemens
- 129 D5000 apparatus using the CuK α radiation. Patterns were recorded from $2\theta = 5$ to 50° , with a step scan
- 130 of 0.02° counting for 1 s at each step. Magnetic measurements from 5 to 300 K were carried out with a
- 131 Quantum Design MPMS-5S SQUID susceptometer using a 100 Oe field.
- 132

133 Synthesis procedures

- 134 $[Cu(\mu-pOHcinn)2(4-tBupy)2(H2O)][Cu(\mu-pOHcinn)2(4-tBupy)2(H2O)2] (1). A green solution of$
- 135 Cu(Ac)2·H2O (63 mg, 0.316 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added to a solution containing HpOHcinn
- 136 (104 mg, 0.632 mmol) and 4-tBupy (182 mg, 1.35 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL). The resulting green
- 137 solution was concentrated up to 10 mL. Thus, a blue crystalline solid was formed, which was filtered
- and washed twice with 5 mL of cold MeOH and finally dried in the air. Yield: 120 mg (55.2%, respect
- to Cu(Ac)2·H2O). EA % calc. For C36H43N2O7.5Cu (687.29): C 62.91, H 6.31, N 4.08. Found: C
- 140 62.63, H 6.35, N 4.09. ATR-FTIR (wavenumber, cm-1): 3156m v(OH)ar, 3014w v(CH)ar, 2967w
- 141 v(CH)al, 1634w, 1607m vas(COO-), 1585w v(CvC/CvN)ar, 1531m, 1511s, 1438w δ(CvC/CvN)ar,
- 142 1421w, 1387s vs(COO-), 1277s, 1220s δ(OH), 1167s, 1071m δ(C-H)ar, ip, 1033w, 983s, 876w, 828s
- 143 δ (C–H)ar, oop, 758m δ (C–H)ar, oop, 684br.
- 144 $[Cu(\mu-pOHcinn)2(4-Acpy)2]n$ (2). A green solution of Cu(Ac)2·H2O (74 mg, 0.371 mmol) in MeOH
- 145 (20 mL) was added to a solution of HpOHcinn (124 mg, 0.761 mmol) and 4-Acpy (201 mg, 1.66 mmol)
- in MeOH (20 mL). The resulting green solution was concentrated up to 15 mL. Thus, a dark blue
- 147 crystalline solid was formed, which was filtered, washed with 5 mL of cold MeOH and finally dried in
- 148 air. Yield: 186 mg (79.3%, respect to Cu(Ac)2·H2O). EA % calc. for C32H28N2O8Cu (632.10): C
- 149 60.80; H 4.46; N 4.43. Found C 60.71; H 4.57; N 4.30. ATR-FTIR (wavenumber, cm-1): 3033 v(C-
- 150 H)ar; 2981 v(C–H)al, 1700 v(CvO), 1635m, 1603s vas(COO–), 1534s v(CvC/CvN)ar, 1508s, 1441w
- 151 δ(CvC/CvN)ar, 1414s, 1385s vs(COO-), 1355s, 1290w, 1258s, 1213s, 1168s, 1104w, 1058m δ(C-H)ar,
- 152 ip, 1025w, 841s, 817s δ(C–H)ar, oop, 724s δ(C–H)ar, oop.

- 153 ${[Cu(\mu-pOHcinn)2(3-Phpy)2] \cdot 2MeOH}n (3)$. A solution of Cu(Ac)2·H2O (82 mg, 0.410 mmol) in
- 154 MeOH (20 mL) was added to a solution of HpOHcinn (139 mg, 0.847 mmol) and 3-Phpy (252 mg, 1.62
- 155 mmol) in MeOH 20 mL. The resultant green solution was concentrated up to 10 mL. Thus, a blue
- 156 crystalline solid was formed, which was filtered, washed with 5 mL of cold MeOH and finally dried in
- air. The stoichiometry of this compound was definitively established after resolution of their X-ray
- 158 crystal structure. However, this compound interchanges MeOH for water after being removed from the
- solution. Therefore, the manipulation required to prepare the sample for EA unavoidably led to a
- 160 practically complete interchange. EA % calc. for C40H36N2O8Cu (736.27): C 65.52; H 4.40; N 3.80.
- 161 Found C 65.21; H 4.38; N 3.84. After exposure to vacuum, trapped H2O/MeOH is removed, leading to
- 162 [Cu(μ- pOHcinn)2(3-Phpy)2]n. EA % calc. for C40H32N2O6 (700.14): C 68.61; H 4.61; N 4.00.
- 163 Found: C 68.40; H 4.79; N 4.03. ATR-FTIR (as {[Cu(μ-pOHcinn)2(3-Phpy)2]n·2H2O}n, wavenumber,
- 164 cm-1): 3569w v(O-H)water, 3034w v(C-H)ar, 1632m, 1605m vas(COO-), 1583m v(CvC/CvN)ar,
- 165 1529m, 1509s, 1478m, 1454m δ(CvC/CvN)ar, 1374s vs(COO-), 1288m, 1221s δ(OH), 1168s, 1104w,
- 166 1045m δ(C–H)ar, ip, 990m, 934w, 875w, 832s δ(C–H)ar, oop, 771s δ(C–H)ar, oop, 751m, 695s δ(C–
- 167 H)ar, oop.
- 168 [Cu(pOHcinn)2(4-Phpy)2]2[Cu(pOHcinn)2(4-Phpy)2]·1.5MeOH· 2H2O (4). A solution of
- 169 Cu(Ac)2·H2O (120 mg, 0.601 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added to a solution of HpOHcinn (210 mg,
- 170 1.28 mmol) and 4-Phpy (394 mg, 2.54 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL). The resultant dark green solution was
- 171 left in the fridge and protected from sunlight. After three hours, blue crystals precipitated. They were
- 172 filtered and washed with 5 mL of MeOH and finally dried in the air, but always protected from sunlight.
- 173 Yield: 196 mg (44.8% respect to Cu(Ac)2·H2O). EA % calc. for C121.50H106N6O21.5Cu3 (2184.81):
- 174 C 66.79; H 4.89; N 3.85. Found C 66.75; H 4.67; N 3.85 ATR-FTIR (wavenumber, cm-1): 3014br
- 175 v(OH)ar+v(CH)ar 1636m, 1606m vas(COO-), 1585w v(CvC/CvN)ar, 1548m, 1509s, 1439m
- 176 δ(CvC/CvN)ar, 1365br, vs(COO-), 1237s δ(OH), 1168s, 1072m δ(C-H)ar, ip, 982w, 831s δ(C-H)ar,
- 177 oop, 761s, 728m δ(C–H)ar, oop, 689m δ(C–H)ar, oop.
- 178 [Cu2(pOHcinn)2(trans-4-Phpy)4(μ-pOcinn)2Cu2(pOHcinn)2 (cis-4-Phpy)4]n (5). This compound was
- 179 prepared starting with the same reagents and solvent quantities that were used for compound 4, but
- 180 putting the container under sunlight for a day. First, blue crystals were grown, which under sunlight
- 181 slowly transformed into dark-blue crystals. Yield: 229 mg (62.2% respect to Cu(Ac)2·H2O). The
- 182 compound 5 could also be obtained by suspending 4 in methanol and exposing the mixture to sunlight
- 183 for a day. EA % calc. for C71H56N4O9Cu2 (1236.27): C 68.98; H 4.57; N 4.53. Found C 68.73; H
- 184 4.59; N 4.53 ATR-FTIR (wavenumber, cm-1): 3013br v(CH)ar, 2931 v(C-H)al; 1635w, 1611m
- 185 vas(COO-), 1591m v(CvC/CvN)ar, 1542s vas(COO-), 1502s, 1447m δ(CvC/CvN)ar, 1417w, 1386s
- 186 vs(COO-), 1361s vs(COO-), 1285s, 1235s δ(OH), 1168s, 1102w, 1071w δ(C-H)ar, ip, 1046w, 982m,
- 187 862s, 831s δ (C–H)ar, oop, 762s, 728s δ (C–H)ar, oop, 689s δ (C–H)ar, oop. The variation of the
- 188 magnetization with temperature of 0.1052 g of 5 (0.085 mmol) in a 100 Oe field was measured. The

189 calculated diamagnetic contribution of this compound was found to be $7.02 \times 10-4$ cm³ mol-1 using

190 Pascal's constants.32

191

192 X-ray crystal structures

- 193 The X-ray intensity data were measured on a D8 Venture system equipped with a multilayer mono-
- 194 chromate and a Mo microfocus ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). For compounds 1–3, a blue prismlike specimen was
- used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis. For compound 4, a blue needle specimen was used for the
- 196 X-ray crystallographic analysis. For compound 5, a translucent blue-green specimen was used for the X-
- 197 ray crystallographic analysis. Frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT Software package using a
- 198 narrow-frame algorithm. The structures were solved using the Bruker SHELXTL Software, packaged
- and refined using SHELXL (version-2018/3).33 Data were corrected for absorption effects using the
- 200 multi-scan method (SADABS, version 2008/1). Crystal data and additional details of structure
- refinement for compounds 1–5 are included in the ESI (Tables S1 and S2[†]). Molecular graphics were
- 202 generated with the program Mercury 3.9.34,35 Color codes for all molecular graphics are: orange (Cu),
- 203 blue (N), red (O), grey (C), white (H).
- 204 The crystal quality of compound 4 was poor but an X-ray diffraction analysis was carried out, which
- clearly revealed the structure of the complex.

207 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

208

209 Synthesis and general characterization

The reaction of copper acetate monohydrate (Cu(Ac)2·H2O) with (E)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propenoic 210 211 acid (p-hydroxycinnamic acid, HpOHcinn) and different pyridine derivatives (dPy = 4-tBupy, 4-Acpy, 3-Phpy, 4-Phpy) was essayed using MeOH as solvent with a molar ratio of 1 : 2 : 4 for Cu : HpOHcinn : 212 dPy, and at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Pyridine derivatives were added in excess to 213 214 neutralize the MeCOOH byproduct. The tested reactions yielded four different compounds: $[Cu(\mu$ pOHcinn)2(4-tBupy)2 (H2O)][Cu(μ-pOHcinn)2(4-tBupy)2(H2O)2] (1), [Cu(μ-pOHcinn)2 (4-Acpy)2]n 215 216 (2), {[Cu(µ-pOHcinn)2(3-Phpy)2]n·2MeOH}n (3) and [Cu(pOHcinn)2(4-Phpy)2]2[Cu(pOHcinn)2(4-Phpy)2]·1.5MeOH· 2H2O (4) (Scheme 1). When a suspension of 4 in its mother liquor is exposed to 217 sunlight, compound [Cu2(pOHcinn)2 (trans-4-Phpy)4(µ-p-Ocinn)2Cu2(cis-4-Phpy)4]n (5) is obtained 218 (Scheme 2), in which the phenol groups of half of the initial pOHCinn are deprotonated. Photoinduced 219 intermolecular excited-state proton transfer (ESPT) reactions are ubiquitous in chemistry and 220 biology.36,37 Ligands that contain a proton donating group are also prone to be involved in these 221 processes, since an additional excited state perturbation can occur where the electron density shift, 222 223 associated with light absorption, significantly influences the acidity of the ligand.16,38 Phenols are expected to be more acidic in the excited state than in the ground state.39,40 224 Products 1-5 were characterized via PXRD, Elemental Analyses (EA), ATR-FTIR spectroscopy and 225 single crystal X-ray diffraction. Phase purity of the bulk samples for compounds 1, 2, 4 and 5 was 226 confirmed via PXRD (ESI: Fig. S1-S4[†]). Compound 3, however, suffers a structural change when 227 228 exposed to an open atmosphere; therefore, its PXRD patterns only match the one calculated from the 229 solved structure when the sample is maintained under methanol vapors (see below). Again, EA data 230 were also consistent with the elucidated single crystal X-ray structures of studied compounds, except for 3. ATR-FTIR spectra of the five compounds confirm the presence of the organic ligands used in the 231 232 synthesis, including bands assignable to dPy and pOHcinn anion (ESI: Fig. S5–S9†). The region 233 between 3500 and 3000 cm-1 shows several broad bands due the presence of v(OH) and v(CH)ar bands, 234 hindering the possibility of extracting information on the role of the phenol group. However, in 3 (ESI: Fig. S10⁺) the disappearance of the band at 3396 cm⁻¹ and the formation of a band at 3570 cm⁻¹ were 235 observed, which is consistent with the substitution of MeOH for H2O molecules in this compound. 236 237 Bands assignable to carboxylate groups provide key information about their coordination mode.41,42 The difference measured between vas(COO-) and vs(COO-) is in the range of $\Delta = 218$ cm-1-233 238 cm-1 for 1-5, indicating a monodentate coordination mode.41 In 4 and 5, other bands attributable to 239 240 vas(COO-) and vs(COO-) appear, possibly indicating other coordination modes. 241 242

- 243

244 Molecular and extended structure

- 245 It was possible to obtain single crystals for all reported compounds. In most of the cases, they were
- obtained from the initial reaction mixture after partial solvent evaporation (1-3), or by cooling the
- solution in the fridge (4). Single crystals of 5 were obtained from a suspension of 4 in methanol under
- sunlight. All of them were of good quality, allowing the elucidation of the X-ray crystal structure. The
- obtained crystal structures of 1–5 show great flexibility in the coordination mode of the pOHcinn ligand
- 250 (Scheme 3), which leads to the formation of monomers, dimers and polymers with different
- 251 coordination environments and geometries.
- 252 Compound 1. X-ray analysis reveals that compound 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic system with a C2/c
- space group. 1 contains two crystallographic independent monomers in its unit cell: [Cu(pOHcinn)2(4-
- tBupy)2(H2O)2] (1A) and [Cu(pOHcinn)2(4-tBupy)2(H2O)] (1B) (Fig. 1A). In each monomer, the
- 255 Cu(II) cation is linked to two pOHcinn and two 4-tBupy ligands. The main difference is the number of
- coordinated H2O molecules, two in 1A and only one in 1B. Therefore, monomer 1A presents a
- 257 [CuO4N2] core with a distorted octahedral geometry, with two nitrogen atoms provided by two different
- 4-tBupy, two oxygen atoms provided by two monodentate pOHcinn ligands and two oxygen atoms
- provided by two coordinated H2O molecules. Monomer 1B, having only one water, presents a square
- pyramidal geometry ($\tau = 0.0245$),43 with a [CuO3N2] core, with two nitrogen atoms provided by two
- 261 different 4-tBupy, two oxygen atoms provided by two monodentate pOHcinn ligands and an oxygen
- atom provided by a coordinated H2O molecule.
- For 1A, the basal plane is defined by two trans-coordinate 4-tBupy (Cu1A–N1B 2.019(3) Å) and two
- trans-coordinate monodentate pOHcinn moieties (Cu1A–O1A 1.982(3) Å). The apical positions are
- occupied by two weakly coordinating H2O molecules (Cu1A–O4A 2.440(3) Å). Bond angles are in the
- range of 85.12(9)–94.88(9)°. For 1B, 4-tBupy and pOHcinn ligands form the basal plane (Cu1B–N1B
- 267 2.005(3) Å, Cu1B–O1B 1.968(2) Å) and the H2O ligand lies in the apical position (Cu1B–O4B 2.208(4)
- A). The bond angles lie in the range of $87.83(12)-94.82(9)^\circ$ and in the range of $170.36(17)^\circ$ -
- 269 171.74(15)°. All these distances and angles are in good agreement with related Cu(II) carboxylate-
- pyridine compounds.14,44,45 Relevant distances and angles are summarized in Table 1.
- 271 The presence of two different monomeric units results in a supramolecular structure dominated by two
- distinct motifs: two different supramolecular 1-D chains along the b axis. Each chain is formed
- exclusively by the linkage via H-bonds of similar monomeric units; that is, a chain containing only 1A
- subunits and a different chain containing only 1B subunits. Similar motifs have been reported before in
- 275 our group and in the literature.44,46 Each monomer 1A has a symmetric quadruple H-bond, involving
- the coordinated O1A from the pOHcinn ligand and H4AB of the coordinated H2O molecule (Fig. 1B).
- 277 Monomer 1B, on the other hand, forms an asymmetric double H-bond. In this monomer, the involved
- 278 O2B is the uncoordinated oxygen from the pOHcinn ligand and H4BA of the coordinated H2O molecule
- 279 (Fig. 1B). Other interesting distances are between intrachain and interchain Cu(II), which are
- 280 Cu1A…Cu1A 5.999 Å, Cu1B…Cu1B 5.999 Å and Cu1A…Cu1B 14.137 Å. Compared to similar 1D

- chain like structures, the interchain Cu…Cu distance is shorter when the carboxylate group belongs to
- acetate (around 8.2 Å)44,46 or cinnamate (13.091 Å).45 These chains are held together due to the role
- of the pOHcinn ligand. Its uncoordinated oxygen atoms of the carboxylate groups (O2A and O2B) form
- H-bonds with the –OH groups (H3AO and H3BO) of the different monomer. Thus, each monomer 1A is
- linked to four monomers 1B via the interaction of O2A with H3BO and each monomer 1B is linked to
- four monomers 1A via the interaction of O2B with H3AO (Fig. 1C). It is noteworthy that O2B also
- 287 participates in the H-bonding of the proper B chain, thus playing a central structural role. Relevant H-
- bond interactions are summarized in Table 2.
- Compounds 2 and 3. X-ray analysis reveals that 2 and 3 crystallize in a monoclinic system with a P21/n
- space group. Both show similar 2D-polymeric structures: $[Cu(\mu-pOHcinn)2(4-Acpy)2]n$ (2) and $\{[Cu(\mu-pOHcinn)2(4-Acpy)2]n$ (2) and $\{[Cu(\mu-pOHcinn)2(4-Acpy)2($
- 291 pOHcinn)2(3-Phpy)2]·2MeOH}n (3) (Fig. 2A), in which the pOHCinn ligand acts as a ditopic
- bismonodentate bridge. Due to its similarity, they are here described together. In both, each repeating
- unit consists of an octahedral [CuO4N2] core.
- 294 Their basal plane is defined by two of the oxygen atoms provided by the carboxylate groups of two
- different pOHcinn ligands coordinating in a monodentate fashion (Cu–O1 1.9280(9) Å for 2 and
- 1.9640(12) Å for 3) and two nitrogen atoms corresponding to two pyridine ligands (4-Acpy) (2) or 3-
- 297 Phpy (3) (Cu–N1 2.0521(11) Å for 2 and 1.9975(14) Å for 3). The apical positions are occupied by two
- phenolic groups from two different pOHcinn ligands (Cu–O3 2.5951(12) Å in 2 and 2.4925(13) in 3).
- Bond angles are in the range of $82.88(4)^{\circ}-91.73(4)^{\circ}$ for 2 and 89.07(5)-95.05(6) for 3. Relevant
- distances and angles for 2 and 3 are summarized in Table 3. The four pOHcinn anions bridge the four
- closer copper atoms in the (101) plane (2) or (12 0 12) (3) acting as a ditopic bis-monodentante bridging
- ligand (Scheme 3) via one oxygen from the carboxylate group and one oxygen of the phenol
- 303 functionality, both from pOHcinn, forming 2D polymeric layers. Therefore, each POHcinn ligand is
- shared by two metal centers. This configuration has also been reported in the [Cu(pOHBz)2(4-Bzpy)2]n
- polymer with similar distances, except the Cu–Ophenol distance (2.7449(19) Å), which is longer than
- that in either 2 and 3.14 For 2 and 3, the uncoordinated oxygen O2 forms an intramolecular H-bond
- 307 H3A, which is the hydrogen of the phenol group of an adjacent POHcinn ligand (Fig. 2A and B). This
- 308 hydrogen bond defines a sort of 6-member ring [Cu–O1–C1–O2···H3–O3] reinforcing the layered
- structure. In 3, O2 also forms a second weaker hydrogen bond with a MeOH molecule.
- For 2 and 3 compounds, each monomer is linked to four other monomers, defining 2D-layers (Fig. 2B).
- The Cu(O1)2(N1)2 plane tilts 67.51° in 2 and 87.25° in 3 with respect to each other and in alternate
- 312 fashion for each bonded monomer, while the carboxylate groups (O1–C1–O2) from the pOHcinn are
- almost coplanar with their benzene rings (8.13° in 2 and 19.66° in 3). This arrangement results in
- pOHcinn bridges being situated closer to the mean plane defined by the Cu(II) ions, while 4-Acpy or 3-
- 315 Pypy ligands stand out, enabling them to interleave with pyridine derived ligands of the neighboring 2D
- layers (Fig. 3A). This supramolecular arrangement is similar to the one found in $[Cu(\mu-pOHBz)2(4-$
- Phpy) and [Cu(μ -pOHBz)2(4-Bzpy)2]n.14 This allows the formation of H-bonds that hold the layers

- together in a 3D supramolecular structure. In 2, the carbonyl group of 4-Acpy is responsible for these
- interaction, forming an H-bond with the hydrogen on the para position of the neighboring 4-Acpy
- 320 ligand. In 3, a different supramolecular force is holding the layers together; an H- π bonding between
- H12 of the 3-Phpy and the benzene ring of the pOHcinn ligand (Fig. 3B). Relevant H-bond interactions
- are summarized in Table 4.
- 323 Compound 2 shows a compact packing, facilitated by the orientation of the 4-Acpy ligand with respect
- to the 2D network. On the contrary, in 3, the larger size of the 3-Phpy ligand results in the phenyl
- 325 substituents being orientated outwards, leaving tridimensional elongated cavities filled with MeOH
- 326 molecules. These cavities are connected through choke points defining zig-zag channels (Fig. 4A).
- 327 These channels occupy a total volume of approximately 10.6% (197.99 Å3, calculated using a probe
- radius of 1.2 Å).47 This different behaviour can be related to the shape of the auxiliary pyridine ligands
- 329 preventing close packing of the layers, as 4-Acpy results in an interlayer Cu…Cu distance of 8.434 Å
- (2), whereas for 3-Phpy this distance is 15.201 Å (3). Furthermore, the free space in 3 is much larger
- than the reported 4.2% for the $[Cu(\mu-pOHBz)2(4-Bzpy)2]n$ polymer.14 However, this result shows that
- not only a longer spacer between the phenol and the carboxylate functional groups is required for
- increasing the free space, but also functional groups that prevent the stacking of the layers from
- 334 obstructing the cavities.
- 335 When exposed to air, the compound changes from dark blue crystals to pale blue powder. Furthermore,
- 336 XRD analyses reveal that this process is accompanied by a structural change (Fig. 4B). FTIR-ATR
- 337 spectra also confirm this structural change (ESI: Fig. S10[†]). EA of the pale blue powder suggests that
- 338 MeOH molecules are substituted by two H2O molecules. Therefore, a MeOH–H2O exchange process
- 339 occurs, in spite of the choke point, evidencing some structure flexibility. PXRD analysis shows that the
- 340 process can be reversed by exposing the powdered sample to a MeOH saturated atmosphere, exchanging
- H2O molecules for MeOH molecules. The XRD pattern of the sample in contact with methanol vapors
- 342 matches the simulated from the crystal structure data (Fig. 4). Therefore, it is clear that compound 3 is a
- 343 2D-MOF, which interchange water and methanol molecules in a reversible manner. However, trials to
- measure the specific surface area by studying N2 adsorption–desorption failed due to the collapse of the
- 345 structure during the degasification step. EA performed after vacuum exposure confirms that all solvent
- 346 molecules have been removed. This suggests that solvent molecules are necessary to stabilize the porous
- 347 supramolecular net, and that full removal of the solvents causes irreversible collapse of the channels.
- 348 PXRD performed after vacuum exposure denotes that a different structure is formed (Fig. 4B).
- Compound 4. This compound crystallizes in the triclinic system with a P1⁻ space group and has a
- 350 complex structure containing two crystallographic independent units, a dimer [Cu(pOHcinn)2(4-
- Phpy)2]2 (4A) and a monomer [Cu(pOHcinn)2(4-Phpy)2] (4B) and included solvent molecules (Fig.
- 352 5A).
- 353 Dimer 4A shows Cu(II) cations with a slightly distorted square pyramidal coordination geometry ($\tau =$
- 0.028)43 and a [CuO3N2] core with the three oxygen atoms provided by three carboxylate groups from

- different pOHcinn ligands and two nitrogen atoms provided by two different 4-Phpy ligands. The basal
- 356 plane is defined by one pOHcinn acting as a monodentate ligand (Cu1–O1 1.937(4) Å), another
- pOHcinn acting as a ditopic bis-monodentate bridged ligand (Cu–O3 1.967(3) Å) and the two nitrogen
- atoms of the 4-Phpy ligands (Cu1–N1 2.016(4) Å, Cu1–N2 2.002(4) Å). The apical position is occupied
- by one oxygen of a pOHcinn acting as a ditopic bis-monodentate bridging ligand (Cu1–O3#1 2.378(3)
- 360 Å) (Scheme 3). The Cu…Cu separation is 3.417 Å. The bond angles are in the range of 76.70(13)°–
- 361 $94.87(15)^{\circ}$ and $171.17(17)^{\circ}-172.88(16)^{\circ}$. The smallest bond angle of $76.70(13)^{\circ}$ is due to the rigid
- ature of the Cu1–O3–Cu1–O3 ring. The morphology of this compound is notably similar to the closely
- related [Cu(cinn)2(β -pic)2] compound (cinn = cinnamate, β -pic = β -picoline) described in the
- literature.34 The bond lengths and angles are in the range of similar compounds.45,48–50
- 365 Monomer 4B, on the other hand, presents a square planar geometry with a [CuO2N2] core, with two
- monodentate pOHcinn and two 4-Phpy ligands in trans positions (Cu2–O7 1.934(4) Å, Cu2–N3
- 367 2.004(4)). The bond angles are in the range of $89.52(17)^{\circ}-90.48(17)^{\circ}$. Relevant distances and angles are
- 368 provided in Table 5.
- 369 The presence of occluded solvent molecules (MeOH and H2O), non-coordinating oxygen atoms of
- 370 carboxylate from monodentate pOHcinn ligands and phenolic alcohols allows for the formation of 2D
- 371 supramolecular layers. These layers can be described as alternating parallel threads of dimers 4A and
- monomers 4B (Fig. 5B). Each dimer interacts with two similar dimers due to the mediation of four H2O
- molecules, forming a symmetric double bridge. This double bridge involves a phenolic OH group (O5-
- H5O····O4 W) and a free oxygen of a monodentate pOHcinn (O4W–H4WB···O4, Fig. 6A).
- 375 Furthermore, each dimer interacts with two monomers, via a non-coordinating oxygen of the
- 376 carboxylate from a monodentate pOHcinn ligand of the monomer and the remaining phenolic hydrogen
- 377 (O6–H6O····O8) and another free oxygen of a monodentate pOHcinn ligand of the dimer and the
- remaining phenolic hydrogen of the monomer (O9–H9O···O2) (Fig. 6B). The intralayer Cu1···Cu2
- distance is 10.563 Å, whereas the interlayer Cu1…Cu1 and Cu2…Cu2 distance is 12.956 Å. Relevant H-
- bond interactions are summarized in Table 6. The fact that in this compound solvent molecules occupy
- non interconnected cavities (12.9%, 370.42 Å3, using a probe radius of 1.2 Å)47 (Fig. 7) explains its
- 382 stability compared with compound 3.
- 383 Compound 5. When a methanolic suspension of 4 is exposed to sunlight, it is transformed into
- 384 compound [Cu2(pOHcinn)2(trans-4-Phpy)4(μ-pOcinn)2Cu2(pOHcinn)2(cis- 4-Phpy)4]n (5). Further
- testing reveals that this result is not achieved by heating the same methanolic suspension or exposing it
- to UV light. Single crystal X-ray analyses reveal that 5 crystallizes in the monoclinic system with a
- 387 C2/C space group. Compound 5 consists of a polymeric 1D-chain formed by two different Cu(II)
- dimeric subunits, named 5-cis and 5-trans, respectively, according to the relative position of the 4-Phpy
- ligands. The polymer shows a $[5-trans-(\mu-pOcinn)-5-cis-(\mu-pOcinn)]n$ concatenation (Fig. 8), with the
- bridging μ -pOcinn linkers shared by the two subunits. In 5-trans μ -pOcinn coordinates through the
- 391 carboxylate group while in 5-cis coordinates through the phenoxy groups. Both subunits have a penta-

- 392 coordinated [CuO3N2] core, but their topology and conformation are radically different. In subunit 5-
- trans, Cu(II) cations have a slightly distorted square pyramidal ($\tau = 0.0245$)43 geometry, comprising two
- 394 oxygen atoms in the basal plane (Cu1–O1 1.9755(15) Å and Cu1–O4 1.9365(15) Å), the first provided
- by the carboxylate group of the pOHcinn moiety and the second by the carboxylate group of the μ -
- 396 pOcinn ligand, and two nitrogen atoms (Cu1–N1 2.0166(19) Å, Cu1–N2 2.0246(19) Å) of the two 4-
- Phpy. The apical position is occupied by an oxygen of another pOcinn ligand (Cu1–O1# 2.3438(16) Å).
- 398 The subunit shows the versatility of the coordination modes of the coumaric acid. Cu(II) is coordinated
- to three coumaric acids (two monodentate bridging (pOHcinn) and one ditopic bis-monodentate bridge
- 400 $(\mu$ -pOcinn)) linking the subunit 5-trans with the subunit 5-cis.
- 401 In subunit 5-cis, the two Cu(II) cations are also pentacoordinated, with the pyridines being in a cis
- 402 position. Thus, the coordination geometry is a distorted square pyramid ($\tau = 0.343$).43 The basal plane is
- 403 formed by one phenol oxygen of the linking pOcinn ligand bridging two Cu(II) atoms (Cu2-O6
- 404 1.9402(15) Å), one oxygen of a carboxylate from the monodentate pOHcinn ligand (Cu2–O7 2.0016(15)
- Å) and two nitrogen atoms of two 4-Phpy (Cu2–N3 2.0484(19) Å, Cu2–N4 1.9975(18) Å). The apical
- 406 position is occupied by another phenol oxygen of the linking pOcinn ligand bridging two Cu(II) atoms
- 407 (Cu2–O6 1.9402(15) and Cu2–O6#1 2.2521(15) Å). Relevant distances and angles are summarized in
 408 Table 7.
- 409 To summarize the differences between the two subunits, it is worth remarking the following: the most
- 410 important of them is the fact that in subunit 5-trans all oxygen atoms are of the carboxylic groups of the
- 411 pOHcinn ligand, whereas in subunit 5-cis they coordinate via carboxylic and phenolate groups.
- 412 Furthermore, the relative position of their ligands is also different. Subunit 5-trans has a trans
- 413 configuration, forming a sort of flat Cu1–O1–Cu1–O1 4-member ring with 4-Phpy and having pOHcinn
- 414 ligands perpendicular (89.27°) and parallel (12.44°) to it, respectively, and a Cu1…Cu1 distance of
- 415 3.389 Å.
- 416 The subunit 5-cis, although maintaining pOcinn ligands in the trans position, has the 4-Phpy ligands in
- 417 the cis position (their pyridine rings defining a 96.99° angle between their centroids) and pOHcinn
- 418 ligands acting as monodentate are also in cis positions. The Cu2–O6–Cu2–O6 ring has a V-like shape
- 419 with a shorter Cu2···Cu2 distance of 3.013 Å. The two dimers lie at an intrachain Cu1···Cu2 distance of
- 420 11.470 Å.
- 421 The resulting 1-D polymeric structure could be described as a block copolymer with an ABAB
- 422 configuration. These chains run along the (12 0 12) direction with an S-like shape. These chains are
- 423 stacked in parallel via an interaction between a noncoordinated phenolic hydrogen and a free oxygen of
- 424 a carboxylic acid (O9–H9A···O5), forming a 3D supramolecular structure. This supramolecular structure
- 425 is further reinforced via weaker double C–H···O interactions (C41–H41···O1 and C42–H42···O1)
- 426 involving hydrogen atoms in the pyridine ring of 4-Phpy and oxygen atoms of the carboxylate groups
- 427 (Fig. 9). This arrangement results in an interchain Cu…Cu distance of 14.436 Å. Relevant
- 428 supramolecular forces are summarized in Table 8.

- 429 Magneto-structural correlations in compound 5. The 1D polymeric chains of 5 contain two dimers with
- 430 different active magnetic exchange pathways, one corresponding to 5-trans, where we can find
- 431 carboxylate monodentate bridges, and the other being 5-cis where the bridges are formed via onodentate
- 432 phenoxy moieties. The value of χpT decreases upon cooling up to 30 K, where a small plateau-like
- 433 region appears. This trend of small χpT decrease ended at around 7 K, when another steeper decrease
- 434 region is resumed (Fig. 10), suggesting an antiferromagnetic behavior.
- 435 In the first approximation, Cu(II) coupling through the pOcinn ligand was assumed negligible (shortest
- 436 interdimer distance 11.866 Å). Therefore, the magnetic behavior of 5 must be considered as the addition
- 437 of two independent dimers using a slightly modified Bleany–Bowers equation.50,51 The adjustment
- 438 yielded a negligible ρ value of 0.01%. Therefore, this term was removed for the sake of simplification.

 $\chi_{para} = \frac{2 \cdot N \cdot g^2 \cdot \beta^2}{K \cdot T \cdot \left[3 + e^{\frac{-h}{K \cdot T}}\right]} + \frac{2 \cdot N \cdot g^2 \cdot \beta^2}{K \cdot T \cdot \left[3 + e^{\frac{-h}{K \cdot T}}\right]}$

439 The resulting equation was:

440

44	1

442

456

The model reproduces satisfactorily the magnetic properties in the range of 0 to 303 K with the parameters (g = 2.16; J1(cm-1) = -75.10; J2(cm-1) = -1.74; H = -JSiSi+1).

445 It has been known since longtime that Cu(II) dimers bridged by double phenoxy groups show a great

446 variability of J values ranging from ferromagnetic to strong antiferromagnetic. 52 This variability has

- 447 prompted a strong debate about which are the magneto-structural correlations. The consensus is that five
- of them are key: the Cu…Cu distance, Cu–O–Cu angle, Cu–O distance, dihedral angle between the two
- 449 coordination planes and planarity of the bonds around the coordinating atom.52,53 This is also applied
- 450 in Cu(II) dimers bridged by phenoxy groups.54–56 Most of the Cu2O2 core magnetic pathways
- 451 including phenolic oxygen atoms are antiferromagnetic, 53, 55–58 but a few of them are ferromagnetic.
- 452 59 Taking this into account, the J1 value could be assigned to the 5-cis subunit, as its value is in the
- 453 range of other phenoxy-bridged Cu(II) dimers.59 Its moderately antiferromagnetic interaction can be
- 454 explained due the fact that the -2J value decreases with lower angles up to the crossover point of $77^{\circ}.54$
- However, this parameter alone cannot explain this weak interaction, as J = -75.10 cm-1 is lower than
- 457 due to the V shape of 5-cis, where O–Cu–O coordination planes having a dihedral angle of 51.24°. This

expected with a Cu–O–Cu angle value of 91.57°. The weak antiferromagnetic interaction could also be

- 458 morphology lowers the antiferromagnetic interaction due to the loss of orbital overlap.59
- 459 The other exchange magnetic pathway, J2 = -1.74 cm-1, which also shows an antiferromagnetic
- 460 interaction, has a Cu2O2 core too, but including carboxylate bridges. Those pathways are well known
- and have been thoroughly studied.60 However, in 5-trans the bridging oxygen atoms are not both in the
- 462 equatorial plane; in fact, one is in the equatorial plane and the other in the apical position. Reports of this

- 463 combination for Cu(II) dimers are scarce and no general trends have been found yet.48–50 However, the
- 464 consensus is that, although these types of core can be ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic, they always
- show a weak interaction which agrees with the reported values here.48–50

- 468 CONCLUSION
- 469

470 A family of five Cu(II) coordination compounds including HpOHcinn acid and pyridine derivatives, 4tBupy, 4-Acpy, 3-Phpy and 4-Phpy, is presented here. The analysis of their crystal structures shows how 471 472 the choice of the pyridine ligand determines different coordination modes of the pOHcinn ligand, as 473 well as the Cu(II) coordination, nuclearity and geometry. The pOHcinn acts as a monodentate 474 carboxylate ligand in 1 and 4, yielding monomers and dimers, and the phenol group playing a key role 475 in the hydrogen bond networks that determined the extended structure of both compounds. In contrast, when pOHcinn acts as a ditopic ligand, it leads to the formation of 2D coordination polymers, as 476 477 observed in 2 and 3. The increase of the distance between the phenol and the carboxylate functionalities in the pOHcinn ligand is significant, compared to that with the previously studied pOHBz ligand, 478 479 resulting in an overall increase of the available empty space for certain compounds. Compound 3 shows 480 promising results, as it exchanges MeOH for H2O and vice versa, when exposed to different atmospheres, suffering a structural change in the process. This exchange is reversible, although full 481 removal of solvents gives a non-porous material. Besides the effect of the pyridine substituents on the 482 coordination mode of pOHcinn, the choice of the pyridine also determines its susceptibility to undergo a 483 484 photoinduced process. Probably due to an increase in the acidity of the phenol group, half of the pOHcinn ligands in 4 are deprotonated when exposed to sunlight, generating a dianionic ligand that also 485 acts as a linker between metal centers, resulting in the formation of polymer 5. This coordination 486 polymer behaves magnetically as the sum of two independent dimers, due to a long interdimer distance 487 (11.866 Å). One of the dimers has a J1 value of -75.10 cm-1 and is assigned to the 5-cis subunit. This 488 489 value is somewhat lower than the reported values of similar dimers, which can be explained by other structural factors such as the shape and Cu···Cu distance. The other dimer has a value of J2 = -1.74490 491 cm-1. Compared to other monodentate carboxylate bridged dimers, this value is low. This can be 492 explained by the structural particularity mentioned before, that is, the fact of having one bridge in an 493 equatorial position and one bridge in the axial position. 494

45-

495

497 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

498 There are no conflicts to declare

499

500

502 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 503
- 504 .This work was partially financed by the Spanish National Plan of Research (projects CTQ2014-56324,
- 505 CTQ2017-83632 and MAT2015-65756-R) and by the Generalitat de Catalunya (project 2014SGR377).
- 506 C. D./ICMAB acknowledges financial support from the Spanish MEC, through the "Severo Ochoa"
- 507 Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D (SEV-2015-0496) J. S. also acknowledges the Universitat
- 508 Autònoma de Barcelona for his pre-doctoral grant.

510 R	EFER	ENCES
-------	------	-------

511		
512	1	M. E. Davis, Nature, 2002, 417, 813–821.
513 514	2	P. Silva, S. M. F. Vilela, J. P. C. Tomé and F. A. Almeida Paz, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 6774–6803.
515	3	G. Maurin, C. Serre, A. Cooper and G. Férey, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 3104–3107.
516	4	Y. He, S. Xiang and B. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 14570–14573.
517 518	5	H. Wang, H. Wu, J. Kan, G. Chang, ZZ. Yao, B. Li, W. Zhou, S. Xiang, J. Cong-Gui Zhao and B. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 8292–8296.
519 520	6	A. Karmakar, R. Illathvalappil, B. Anothumakkool, A. Sen, P. Samanta, A. V. Desai, S. Kurungot and S. K. Ghosh, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 10667–10671.
521 522	7	F. Hu, C. Liu, M. Wu, J. Pang, F. Jiang, D. Yuan and M. Hong, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 2101–2104.
523 524	8	W. Yang, J. Wang, H. Wang, Z. Bao, J. CG. Zhao and B. Chen, Cryst. Growth Des., 2017, 17, 6132–6137.
525	9	Z. Ju, G. Liu, YS. Chen, D. Yuan and B. Chen, Chem. – Eur. J., 2017, 23, 4774–4777.
526	10	H. Wahl, D. A. Haynes and T. le Roex, Cryst. Growth Des., 2017, 17, 4377–4383.
527 528	11	R. P. Sharma, A. Singh, A. Saini, P. Venugopalan, A. Molinari and V. Ferretti, J. Mol. Struct., 2009, 923, 78–84.
529 530	12	S. Gomathi and P. T. Muthiah, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 2013, 69, 1498–1502.
531	13	F. Valach, M. Dunaj-Jurčo, M. Melnik and N. N. Hoang, Z. Kristallogr., 1994, 209, 267–270.
532 533	14	M. Sanchez-Sala, J. Pons, Á. Álvarez-Larena, C. Doming and J. A. Ayllón, ChemistrySelect, 2017, 2, 11574–11580.
534 535	15	K. F. White, B. F. Abrahams, R. Babarao, A. D. Dharma, T. A. Hudson, H. E. Maynard-Casely and R. Robson, Chem. – Eur. J., 2015, 21, 18057–18061.

536 537	16	H. Görner, S. Khanra, T. Weyhermüller and P. Chaudhuri, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2006, 110, 2587–2594.
538 539	17	XY. Fan, K. Li, XC. Huang, T. Sun, KT. Wang, RR. Yun and HL. Wu, Z. Kristallogr. – New Cryst. Struct., 2009, 224, 59–61.
540 541	18	Y. Z. Jia-Yuan Mao, HX. Fang, QF. Xu, QX. Zhou and JM. Lu, Chin. J. Inorg. Chem., 2008, 24, 1046–1050.
542	19	HL. Wu, WK. Dong and XL. Wang, Z. Kristallogr. – New Cryst. Struct., 2007, 222, 36–38.
543 544	20	X. Qing-Feng, Z. Qiu-Xuan, L. Jian-Mei, X. Xue-Wei and Z. Yong, J. Solid State Chem., 2007, 180, 207–212.
545 546	21	M. Kalinowska, L. Mazur, J. Piekut, Z. Rzączyńska, B. Laderiere and W. Lewandowski, J. Coord. Chem., 2013, 66, 334–344.
547	22	L. Chen, Z. Kristallogr. – New Cryst. Struct., 2009, 224, 565–566.
548	23	V. Zeleňák, I. Císařová and P. Llewellyn, Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2007, 10, 27–32.
549	24	L. Chen, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online, 2009, 65, m807-m807.
550 551	25	G. B. Deacon, M. Forsyth, P. C. Junk, S. G. Leary and W. W. Lee, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2009, 635, 833–839.
552	26	H. Li and C. W. Hu, J. Solid State Chem., 2004, 177, 4501–4507.
553	27	J. Yan, Y. Guo, H. Li, X. Sun and Z. Wang, J. Mol. Struct., 2008, 891, 298-304.
554	28	A. Mukherjee, M. K. Saha, M. Nethaji and A. R. Chakravarty, New J. Chem., 2005, 29, 596.
555	29	S. Gupta, A. Mukherjee, M. Nethaji and A. R. Chakravarty, Polyhedron, 2005, 24, 1922–1928.
556 557	30	HL. Wu, JG. Liu, P. Liu, WB. Lv, B. Qi and XK. Ma, J. Coord. Chem., 2008, 61, 1027– 1035.
558 559	31	A. Mukherjee, M. K. Saha, M. Nethaji and A. R. Chakravarty, Chem. Commun., 2004, 475, 716.
560	32	G. A. Bain and J. F. Berry, J. Chem. Educ., 2008, 85, 532.
561	33	G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 2015, 71, 3-8.

562 563 564	34	 C. F. Macrae, I. J. Bruno, J. A. Chisholm, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, L. Rodriguez-Monge, R. Taylor, J. Van De Streek and P. A. Wood, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2008, 41, 466–470.
565 566	35	C. F. Macrae, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, G. P. Shields, R. Taylor, M. Towler and J. Van De Streek, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2006, 39, 453–457.
567	36	P. K. Singh and A. Awasthi, ChemPhysChem, 2017, 198–207.
568	37	N. Agmon, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2005, 109, 13–35.
569	38	A. Das, T. Banerjee and K. Hanson, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 1350–1353.
570	39	M. Kuss-Petermann and O. S. Wenger, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 5726–5733.
571 572	40	R. M. O'Donnell, R. N. Sampaio, G. Li, P. G. Johansson, C. L. Ward and G. J. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 3891–3903.
573	41	G. Gliemann, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 1978, 82, 1263–1263.
574	42	G. Deacon, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1980, 33, 227–250.
575 576	43	A. W. Addison, T. N. Rao, J. Reedijk, J. van Rijn and G. C. Verschoor, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 1349–1356.
577 578	44	R. Kruszynski, A. Adamczyk, J. Radwańska-Doczekalska and T. Bartczak, J. Coord. Chem., 2002, 55, 1209–1217.
579 580	45	R. P. Sharma, A. Saini, P. Venugopalan, J. Jezierska and V. Ferretti, Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2012, 20, 209–213.
581 582	46	J. Soldevila-Sanmartín, J. A. Ayllón, T. Calvet, M. Font-Bardia and J. Pons, Polyhedron, 2017, 135, 36–40.
583	47	A. L. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2003, 36, 7–13.
584	48	J. Pasán, J. Sanchiz, C. Ruiz-Pérez, F. Lloret and M. Julve, New J. Chem., 2003, 27, 1557–1562.
585 586	49	R. Baldomá, M. Monfort, J. Ribas, X. Solans and M. A. Maestro, Inorg. Chem., 2006, 45, 8144– 8155.
587 588	50	R. Bikas, P. Aleshkevych, H. Hosseini-Monfared, J. Sanchiz, R. Szymczak and T. Lis, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 1782–1789.

589	51	B. Bleaney and K. D. Bowers, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 1952, 214, 451–465.
590 591	52	V. H. Crawford, H. W. Richardson, J. R. Wasson, D. J. Hodgson and W. E. Hatfield, Inorg. Chem., 1976, 15, 2107–2110.
592 593	53	G. Dutta, R. K. Debnath, A. Kalita, P. Kumar, M. Sarma, R. B. Shankar and B. Mondal, Polyhedron, 2011, 30, 293–298.
594 595	54	L. K. Thompson, S. K. Mandal, S. S. Tandon, J. N. Bridson and M. K. Park, Inorg. Chem., 1996, 35, 3117–3125.
596 597	55	A. Biswas, M. G. B. Drew, J. Ribas, C. Diaz and A. Ghosh, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2011, 2405–2412.
598 599	56	A. Biswas, M. G. B. Drew, J. Ribas, C. Diaz and A. Ghosh, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2011, 379, 28–33.
600 601	57	N. Novoa, F. Justaud, P. Hamon, T. Roisnel, O. Cador, B. Le Guennic, C. Manzur, D. Carrillo and JR. Hamon, Polyhedron, 2015, 86, 81–88.
602	58	Q. R. Cheng, H. Zhou, Z. Q. Pan, G. Y. Liao and Z. G. Xu, Polyhedron, 2014, 81, 668-674.
603	59	P. Chaudhuri, R. Wagner and T. Weyhermüller, Inorg. Chem., 2007, 46, 5134–5136.
604 605	60	G. Psomas, C. P. Raptopoulou, L. Iordanidis, C. Dendrinou-Samara, V. Tangoulis and D. P. Kessissoglou, Inorg. Chem., 2000, 39, 3042–3048.
606		

607	Legends	to	figu	res

609	Scheme 1. Reactions carried out in this work. Isolated and characterized products are shown with their
610	numbering scheme.
611	

- **Scheme 2.** Transformation of compound 4 into compound 5 via sunlight radiation.
- 613

614 Scheme 3 Coordination modes of the p-hydroxycinnamate ligand found in the presented structures.615 Note that the phenol group in coordination mode (d) is deprotonated.

616

Figure. 1. (A) Monomers 1A (left) and 1B (right), and their corresponding numbering scheme for

relevant atoms. Only hydrogen atoms participating in H-bonds are shown. (b) View of the H-bond

619 interaction in each individual chain (A left, B right). View along the c axis. (c) 3D stacking of

- 620 monomers 1A and 1B. View along the b axis.
- 621

Figure. 2. (A) Polymers 2 (top) and 3 (down): their corresponding numbering scheme for relevant
atoms. Only phenolic hydrogens are shown. (B) Polymeric 2D layers of compounds 2 (left) and 3
(right).

625

Figure. 3 A) Perpendicular cut of the 2D layers for 2 (left) and 3 (right). (B) H-bond interactions

627 forming the supramolecular structure of 2 (top-left). Details of the main H-bonding holding the layer

628 together (top-right). H-bond interactions forming the supramolecular structure of 3 (bottom-left). Details

629 of the main H-bonding holding the layer together (bottom-right).

630

Figure. 4 A) Schematic representation of the channels occupied by the solvent molecules in 3. (b)

632 PXRD pattern from 3 simulated from the resolved structure (single crystal XRD measured at 100 K,

bottom). PXRD diffractogram of 3 measured at room temperature after exposure to air (middle-bottom).

634 PXRD diffractogram of 3 measured at room temperature after exposure to a saturated MeOH

atmosphere (middle-top). PXRD of the remaining powder after vacuum exposure of 3 (top).

636

Figure. 5 A) Dimer 4A (top) and monomer 4B (down), and their corresponding numbering scheme for
relevant atoms. Only phenolic hydrogens are shown. (B) Perpendicular view of the 2D layer formed by
dimer 4A (yellow) and monomer 4B (green).

640

Figure. 6 H-bond interactions forming the supramolecular structure of 4. Dimer centred view (top) andmonomer centred view (bottom)..

- 643 Figure. 7 Schematic representation of the cavities occupied by solvent
- 644 molecules in 4.
- 645
- 646 Figure. 8 (A) Polymeric 1D chain of compound 5. (B) Corresponding dimeric subunits 5-trans (top) and
- 647 5-cis (down) with their corresponding numbering scheme. Only phenolic hydrogens are shown.
- 648
- **Figure. 9** A) View of the 3D supramolecular structure in 5. (B) Details of the supramolecular
- 650 interactions in 5.
- 651
- Figure. 10 Thermal variation of χpT for 5. The solid red line is the best fit to the proposed model (seetext)..
- 654
- 655
- 656

SCHEME 1.



SCHEME 2.







FIGURE 2























