ABSTRACT:

The Zn-(Fe-Pb) deposits of the Riopar area (Prebetic Zone, SE Spain) are hosted by dolostones that replace Berriasian to Valanginian (Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous) limestones. Mineralization consists of hypogene sphalerite, marcasite and galena, and supergene calamine zones. The hypogene ores are associated with a saddle dolomite gangue. The ore bodies occur as discordant and stratiform lenses, ore-cemented breccias, cm- to mm-wide veins and veinlets, disseminations and stylolite porosity filling within the host dolomites. The main ore controls include stratigraphy and/or lithology, tectonics (faults, fractures and breccias) and availability of metals and sulfur. The morphologies and epigenetic character of the hypogene ore bodies are consistent with the classification
of this mineralization as a Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) deposit. The Ga/Ge
gеothermometer in sphalerite yielded a temperature range of 194-252ºC, which represents
the temperature of the source region of the ore solution. This value is comparable to the
temperature obtained in the ore deposition site, 159±15ºC from the Δ34S geothermometer
in sphalerite galena pairs. This similitude points to a hydrothermal fluid that did not cool
down significantly during flow from the fluid reservoir area to the precipitation site. Δ34S
values of base-metal sulfides (-7.5 to +3.5 ‰) are consistent with thermochemical
reduction of Triassic sulfate (seawater and/or derived from dissolution of evaporites) by
interaction with organic compounds (e.g., hydrocarbons, methane), which reduced sulfate
to sulfide in the deposition site. The lead isotope ratios (206Pb/204Pb = 18.736-18.762;
207Pb/204Pb = 15.629-15.660; 208Pb/204Pb = 38.496-38.595) of galena suggest that Pb, and
probably other metals as Zn, is derived from continental crustal rocks. On the other hand,
these relations points to an unique metal source probably derived from the Paleozoic
basement rocks. The relationship between bedding-parallel stylolites, dolomitization,
sulfide precipitation and Alpine tectonic affecting the MVT ore, suggests a relative timing
range for the mineralization in the Riópar area of 95 to 20 Ma (Upper Cretaceous-
Tertiary). The sulfide mineralization and the associated dolomitization are thus explained
by the contribution of two fluids that mixed in different proportions during dolomitization
and mineralization: i) a fluid probably derived from Cretaceous seawater saturating
Mesozoic sediments (Fluid A), characterized by being dilute and initially low
temperature, which should have contained organic rich compounds in the ore deposition
site (e.g., hydrocarbons and CH4 dissolved gas); and ii) a high salinity hydrothermal brine
(Fluid B) rich in both metals and sulfate, circulated through the Paleozoic basement.
During the pre-ore dolomitizing stage the fluid phase was dominated by the diluted fluid
(Fluid A > Fluid B), whereas in a later fluid pulse, the proportion of the high salinity fluid
increased (Fluid A < Fluid B) which allowed sulfide precipitation. MVT exploration in the Prebetic Zone should focus towards the SW of the Riópar mines, in the vicinity of the Alto Guadalquivir-San Jorge fault.
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**1. Introduction**

In the Iberian Peninsula, Zn-Pb mineralizations located within Mesozoic basins and hosted in Lower Cretaceous age carbonates were exhaustively investigated during the last decades (e.g., Fenoll Hach-Ali, 1987; Grandia, 2000; Grandia et al., 2003a, b; Perona et al., 2007; Piqué et al., 2009; Simon et al., 1999; Velasco et al., 1996, 2003). The most important districts are found in the Basque-Cantabrian Basin (N Spain), which includes the world-class deposit of Reocín (Santander), that contained reserves of over 60 Mt with ore grades up to 8 % Zn and 1.5 % Pb (Velasco et al., 2000). Other deposits occur in the Maestrat Basin (Teruel-Castelló, E Spain), with Resurrección mine in the Penyagolosa Sub-Basin, estimated to contain around 30,000 t with 6.7 % Zn and 0.2 % Pb (Grandia, 2003a; Michel, 1974).

Similar Zn mineralizations occur near the Riópar village (Albacete, SE Spain), which is located in the Prebetic Zone of the Betic ranges. The first brass factory in the Iberian Peninsula and the second in Europe was erected in Riópar in the late XVIII century (“Reales Fábricas de Alcaraz”), using the oxidized parts of the Zn-(Fe-Pb) bodies. The estimated minimum Zn production was of 20,000 t (Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016c),
although the ore extraction from these mines was very irregular over the years. An exploration program, carried out by Exploración Minera Internacional (España), S.A. in 1972, recorded mean ore grades around 4 % Zn along 257 m of mineralized old galleries (Urbano-Vicente, 1972). Furthermore, 35 surface geochemistry lines with N-S direction were prospected along 10 km to the E of Riópar obtaining Zn anomalies with a threshold of 110 ppm and exceptionally recording maximum values of 330 ppm of Zn (Urbano-Vicente, 1972). Although the known mineralization is of reduced tonnage, its study could shed light on the mining exploration potential in the Prebetic Zone, where the mines of Riópar are the only ones discovered.

No further works were performed in the area until 2001, when Grandia et al. (2001) studied the petrography of dolomites associated with the Zn mineralization, suggesting that the ore characteristics are consistent with a Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) deposit. More recent research in the area provided new petrographical and geochemical data of the host dolomites and the supergene non-sulfide Zn deposits (Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a, c). Mapping and stratigraphic studies revealed two stratabound dolostone bodies connected by other patchy bodies, which replace carbonate units of Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous ages. The composition, temperature, origin and evolution of the dolomitizing fluid were concluded to be a brine of hydrothermal origin, which interacted with the carbonate host at temperatures between 150 and 250 °C.

The objective of this study was to define the processes that control metal precipitation in the Riópar area. More specifically, temperature, sulfur and metal sources as well as timing will be addressed, integrating geological, mineralogical, textural and geochemical data. Finally, a conceptual genetic model is proposed on the basis of these evidences and previously published data, and mining exploration targets in the Prebetic Zone are suggested.
2. Geological setting

The studied area is located in the External Zones of the Betic Cordillera, which constitutes the westernmost part of the Mediterranean Alpine chain, together with the Rif in northern Morocco and Tell-Kabylies Ranges in northern Algeria (e.g., Sanz de Galdeano, 1990; Fig. 1a). The External Zones, generally subdivided into the Prebetic and Subbetic (Fig. 1b), are defined as a NNW-verging fold-and-thrust belt of the Betic orogen, consisting of Mesozoic to Cenozoic marine sediments, originally deposited in the southern part of the Iberian continental paleomargin (e.g., García-Hernández et al., 1980; Sanz de Galdeano, 1990; Vera et al., 2004).

The Riópar old mining area is located between the External and Internal Prebetic Zones (Fig. 1b), in the outer portion of the Mesozoic Betic basin. The Prebetic Zones consist of a Mesozoic and Tertiary sedimentary sequence (≤ 2000 m thick), which folded and detached from the Paleozoic substratum along Triassic sediments (Barbero and López-Garrido, 2006; Vilas et al. 2001). The External Prebetic Zone (Fig. 1b) is dominated by shallow internal platform facies and corresponds to the deformed part of the northern basin. This zone contains well exposed Triassic and Jurassic rocks and less Cretaceous and Paleogene sediments. On the other hand, the Internal Prebetic Zone (Fig. 1b), which is located basinwards or to the South, is dominated by marginal platform to slope facies and large folds and thrusts structures with none Triassic rocks, some Jurassic strata and extensively exposed Cretaceous and Paleogene sediments (e.g., Azéma, 1977; Barbero and López-Garrido, 2006; García-Hernández et al., 1980).

The Prebetic tectonic structure consists of a set of NE-SW trending and SE dipping faults and NW-SE trending strike-slip dextral faults perpendicular to the arc fold.
axes (Rodríguez-Estrella, 1978; Fig. 1b). The NE-SW trending Alto Guadalquivir-San Jorge and NW-SE trending Socovos-Calasparra faults are thought to separate the External (to the S) from the Internal (to the N) zones (Fig. 1b). The northern margin of the Prebetic zone (i.e., External Prebetic) consists of an imbricate reverse-fault structure with narrow overturned folds, overthrusting towards the central plateau (“Meseta”) of Spain (N and NW), whereas the southern margin of the Prebetic zone (i.e., Internal Prebetic) shows a gentler fold and fault structure, which is overthrust by Subbetic nappes (Garcia-Hernández et al., 1980; Fig. 1b).

Successive tectonic periods controlled the sedimentation, which has been differentiated into four megasequence stages during the Mesozoic in the South Iberian paleomargin (e.g., Banks and Warbuton, 1991; Barbero and López-Garrido, 2006; De Ruig, 1992; Vera, 2001) (Fig. 2): i) a Triassic rifting stage (Late Permian-Rhaetian), represented by the deposition of the “Germanic” facies (Buntsandstein, Muschelkalk, and Keuper); ii) an Early-Middle Jurassic post-rifting stage, characterized by regional thermal subsidence, little fault activity and development of broad carbonate platforms; iii) a Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous rifting cycle, which led to the separation of the Prebetic domain from the rest of the Betic basin and also from the rest of the Iberian Plate; and iv) a Late Cretaceous post-extensional thermal subsidence stage, with little tectonism and development of extensive shallow-marine carbonate platforms. The destruction of the Prebetic margin was due to the development of the Betic Chain; it started in Paleogene times, although the main collision event occurred during the Miocene (Fig. 2) as a consequence of the convergence of the African and Iberian plates (Barbero and López-Garrido, 2006).
3. Sampling and analytical methods

Systematic sampling was performed across the different dolomite bodies as well as from underground workings, dumps and surface outcrops from all mineralized areas. Sampling of all lithotypes was carried out according to spatial criteria. This was easy in surface outcrops and particularly difficult inside mines.

A total of 145 polished thin sections of dolomites and Zn-Pb-Fe sulfide ores were studied in detail using transmitted and reflected light petrographic microscopy at the Departament de Geologia of the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB). Preliminary petrographic studies of thin sections were carried out at low-magnification using polarizing filters and a transparency scanner with high-resolution digital image capture. Representative polished thin sections were investigated using a Zeiss EVO MA 10 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) with accelerating voltage of 20 kV at the Servei de Microscopía of the UAB. The identification of different mineral phases was performed by qualitative analyses using an Energy-Dispersive Spectrometer (EDS) with a medium count time of 30 s per analysis.

The analysis of Zn-Fe-Pb sulfides were performed using a five-channel JEOL JXA 8900 Electron Microprobe (EMP) at ITCS Centro Nacional de Microscopía Electrónica of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM). The analytical conditions were: 20 kV accelerating voltage, 50 nA beam current, 5µm beam diameter and counting time of 20 s per element. Calibrations were performed using natural and synthetic standards. Standards, diffracting crystals and analytical lines were as follows: galena (S, PETH, Ka; and Pb, PETH, Mα), Fe metal (Fe: LIF, Kα), sphalerite (Zn, LIF, Kα), Cd metal (Cd, PETJ, La), Bi metal (Bi, PETJ, Mα), GaSb (Sb, PETJ, La), Sn metal (Sn, PETJ, La), GaAs (Ga, TAP, La), Rb glass (Ge, TAP, La), MnO₂ (Mn, LIF, Kα), Ag
metal (Ag, PETH, Lα), Ni metal (Ni, LIFH, Kα), Co metal (Co, LITH, Kα), Cu metal (Cu, LIF, Kα). LIF, PET and TAP are the diffracting crystals detected element wavelengths for each mineral phase, whereas large area and high intensity PET crystals are indicated with an H and L, respectively.

Sphalerite, marcasite and galena were separated by hand picking under a stereomicroscope for sulfur isotope analyses. These were performed at the Centres Científics i Tecnològics (CCiTUB) of the Universitat de Barcelona (UB), using a Delta C Finnigan MAT Delta-S isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS), with a precision better than ±0.1 per mil. The isotope ratios were calculated using the NBS127, IAEAS1, and IAEAS3 standards and reported relative to the Vienna-Canyon Diablo Troilite (V-CDT) standard.

Lead isotope compositions were determined on hand picked galena under a stereomicroscope. Ten mg of sample powder were dissolved in 100 µL of HNO₃ 65%. The lead aliquots were extracted using the centrifugal separation method and loaded on single Re-filaments with 1 µL of 1M H₃PO₄ and 2 µL of silica gel. The $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ isotopic ratios were performed at the Centros de Apoyo a la Investigación (CAI) of the UCM, using a Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometer TIMS-Phoenix at 1400 °C. The Pb isotope composition was corrected for mass fractionation calculated from replicate measurements of the NBS-981 standard ($^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 16.899 ± 0.223\%$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 15.441 ± 0.323\%$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 36.5646 ± 0.478\%$; $n = 7$), using the reference values of Catanzaro et al. (1968).

4. Ore deposits
Mineralization at Riópar consists of a set of small bodies hosted in the Upper Member (Mb) of the Sierra del Pozo dolomitized limestones (Figs. 2 and 3). Pre-ore dolomitization selectively replaced Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous carbonate rocks, forming stratabound bodies and patchy morphologies near San Jorge fault (Figs. 3 and 4). The sulfide Zn-(Fe-Pb) deposits are distributed E to W along a 1.6 km trend. The ore deposit outcrops in the footwall block of the San Jorge fault and is limited to the north by the Socovos strike-slip fault, where the carbonate rocks conform to an anticline fold (Figs. 3 and 4). No sulfide minerals have been recognized in the footwall block of Socovos fault and in the hanging wall block of the San Jorge fault. As the Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous succession does not outcrop in the hanging wall block of the San Jorge fault, the presence of the same dolomitizing and mineralizing process cannot be confirmed (Fig. 3b: red question marks).

The historically mined ore bodies are found in three areas (Fig. 4): San Agustín, with two ore bodies (Sg1, Sg2); Rosita (Ro), in the easternmost part; and San Jorge, in the central part of the studied area, containing several small bodies which are grouped in three occurrences (Sj1, Sj2, Sj3). Considering the accessible mining works and comparing with historical reports (De Botella y Hornos, 1868; De la Escosura, 1845; Pellicio, 1845; Urbano-Vicente, 1972) approximate mean dimensions for San Agustín and San Jorge ore bodies of 20 to 50 m in high, 50 to 100 m in length and 20 to 30 m in width have been inferred (Fig. 5). The mineralization occurs in a variety of forms that include: i) irregular lenses (Fig. 6a) of NW-SE and NE-SW trends, dipping 20 to 50º to the south, crosscutting the stratification and forming cockade textures (Fig. 6b); ii) small branching bodies parallel to stratification (Fig. 6c) connected to the irregular lenses; iii) ore-cemented breccia zones (Figs. 6a and 6d); iv) cm- to mm-wide veins and veinlets (Fig. 6e); and v) disseminations and stylolite porosity filling within the host-dolomites (Fig. 6f). Most
deposits in this area are characterized by an extensive supergene alteration of the hypogene Zn-Fe-Pb sulfides, resulting in the formation of non-sulfide (“calamine”) minerals (Fig. 6c), mainly smithsonite (Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016c). The discordant lenses associated to branching stratiform ore bodies, the ore-cemented breccias and the veins appear generally near the San Jorge fault rather than the Socovos fault. This contrasts with ore disseminations and host rock replacements, which are the most common occurrences away from the San Jorge fault.

5. Mineralogy, textures and paragenesis

The hypogene mineralogy is quite simple and consists, in order of abundance, of dolomite, marcasite, sphalerite, and galena (Figs. 7 and 8). A detailed petrographic description of several generations of dolomite was presented by Navarro-Ciurana et al. (2016a).

Two types of sphalerite are identified: i) euhedral to subhedral sphalerite (Sph-I), which occurs as disseminations (Fig. 7a) and granular aggregates; and ii) colloform banded sphalerite (Sph-II; Fig. 7b). Sph-I crystals are roughly equant and range from very fine to coarse in size (100 µm up to 3 mm), with alternating bands of dark to pale brown and red to orange colors (Fig. 7d). Sph-I occurs filling fractures together with saddle dolomite cements (Figs. 7c and 7d), cementing breccias (Fig. 6d), forming veins (Fig. 7d) and cockade textures (Figs. 6b and 7f). Disseminated sphalerite crystals (> 500 µm) have been observed in the host dolostones and replacing the fine matrix-replacive dolomite crystals of the pre-ore stage (Figs. 7a and 7e). Colloform sphalerite (Sph-II), which is a less common type than Sph-I, consists of aggregated botryoidal crystals filling mm- to cm-thick fractures (Fig. 7b) and cementing breccias together with saddle dolomite.
Furthermore, colloform sphalerite crystals are also zoned, with dark brownish bands and various hues of yellow, orange, and red colors (Fig. 7b).

Marcasite is present in most outcrops, usually extensively altered to Fe-oxi-hydroxides (Figs. 8a and 8b). Petrographic observations using optical microscopy reveal two marcasite types: radiating cockscomb (Mcs-I) and massive aggregate phases (Mcs-II). Spear-like crystals range from 0.3 mm up to 1 mm in length (Fig. 8a). They are found either filling fractures with sphalerite and saddle dolomite or filling the porosity of stylolites parallel to bedding (Fig. 6f). Massive aggregates of marcasite (Mcs-II) are less common and have been observed in millimeter-size veins associated with sphalerite and saddle dolomite. Marcasite consists of euhedral to subhedral crystals ranging from 30 to 500 µm in size (Fig. 8b).

Galena is the least abundant sulfide mineral and has only been found in a few specimens from the San Jorge dumps. Galena is observed as cubo-octahedral individual and aggregated crystals of 50 µm to 2 mm in size filling fractures with sphalerite, marcasite and saddle dolomite (SaD-II), and replacing host dolostone and partially sphalerite (Fig. 8c).

The mineral paragenetic sequence (Fig. 9) has been established from microscopic textural relationships between dolomite and Zn-Fe-Pb sulfide phases. Previous to precipitation of sulfides, a pre-ore dolomitization stage occurred (Fig. 9), which is characterized by matrix replacive (ReD), euhedral to subhedral sucrosic (SuD) and saddle gray (SaD-I) dolomite crystal types affecting the precursor limestones (Fig. 7). During the hypogene ore stage (Fig. 9), SaD-I dolomite cements also formed filling fractures and breccia porosity previously to Zn-Fe-Pb sulfide (marcasite, sphalerite and galena) precipitation, whereas pinkish to milky saddle dolomite cements (SaD-II) filled remnant porosity after SaD-I and sulfide crystallization (Fig. 7d). Marcasite was the first sulfide
phase to precipitate within stylolites (Fig. 6f), porosity and fractures (Fig. 8b) just after SaD-I (Figs. 7b and 9). The paragenetic sequence of the different marcasite types (radiating cockscomb and disseminated and massive aggregates) is unclear due to the absence of textural relationships between them and their extensive alteration to Fe-oxihydroxides (Fig. 8a). On the other hand, sphalerite precipitated overgrowing and postdating marcasite (Fig. 7b). The relative chronology of the two sphalerite types has not been determined. Galena was the last sulfide phase to precipitate, filling porosity before SaD-II (Fig. 9) and partially replacing sphalerite, although in some cases, they are observed precipitating cogenetically (Fig. 8c).

During a post-ore dolomitization stage (Fig. 9) sphalerite was extensively replaced by porphyrotopic dolomite (PoD) (Fig. 7f), which caused a decrease in the original abundance of sphalerite. A final dolomitizing fluid pulse must have been responsible for the precipitation of the fine-grained dolomitic cements (CeD) (Fig. 7f).

6. Geochemical data

Results of elemental compositions analyzed with WDS Electron Microprobe (EMP) are presented in Table 1; the redox conditions of precipitations have been inferred from sphalerite compositions (Table 2). S and Pb isotope compositions are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. Only unaltered crystals were chosen for the analyses.

6.1. Sulfide mineral chemistry

Both sphalerite types (Sph-I and -II) were analyzed, revealing a relatively chemical homogeneity (Table 1) in major as well as in trace elements. Zn and S contents fall in the
range of 61.26 to 66.66 wt.% and 31.76 to 33.80 wt.% respectively, with averages of 64.81 wt.% Zn and 32.94 wt.% S for Sph-I \( (n = 86) \) and 63.96 wt.% Zn and 33.12 wt.% S for Sph-II \( (n = 6) \). The most important detected minor and trace elements in sphalerite are Fe (0.27-4.73 wt.%), Pb (0.09-0.71 wt.%), Cd (< 0.51 wt.%), Ga (< 0.21 wt.%), Ge (< 0.08 wt.%), Bi (< 0.14 wt.%), Sb (< 0.08 wt.%), Ag (< 0.06 wt.%), Co (< 0.03 wt.%), Mn (< 0.02 wt.%), Mn (< 0.02 wt.%), In (< 0.03 wt.%), and As (< 0.03 wt.%).

The Ga/Ge ratios of sphalerite fall between 0.81 and 21.28 (Table 1). These ratios can be used to determine temperatures in the source regions of ore solutions (Kant et al., 2012; Möller, 1985, 1987). This geothermometer is based on the assumption that the elements present in the ore fluid are in equilibrium with rock-forming minerals at the source. As the \( (\text{Al}/\text{Si})_{\text{total}} \) ratio is a function of temperature in hydrothermal systems (e.g., Helgeson, 1969) and the atomic ratio of Ga/Al and Ge/Si is constant in rocks (Möller, 1985), as well as in river water and saline geothermal waters (Arnórsson, 1984) with values very similar to that in the rocks, the \( (\text{Ga}/\text{Ge})_{\text{total}} \) ratio is also expected to be a function of temperature in geothermal fluids (Möller, 1987). The log(Ga/Ge) values vary between -0.09 to 1.59, indicating temperatures from 194 to 252 °C for the fluid source region, with an average of 224 °C (Fig. 10).

Microprobe compositional profiles across two crystals of Sph-I and Sph-II (Fig. 11) reveal different element content from core to rim, particularly in Zn, Fe, Pb and Cd. Although sphalerite is commonly Fe-poor, the iron content is higher in dark brownish to reddish bands than in pale brownish to yellowish zones (Fig. 11). On the other hand, the \( X_{\text{FeS}} \) content of sphalerite results in 1.0 to 23.0 mol % FeS, with an average value of 7.1±5.0 mol % FeS (Table 2), although it displays an asymmetric distribution with the mode at 4.5 mol % FeS (Fig. 12). A correlation between Fe and Zn content is apparent in
Sph-I and Sph-II, which is caused by the substitution of Zn by Fe. Moreover, a high negative correlation ($R^2 = 0.85$) is found between Zn and (Fe+Pb+Cd), indicating that Pb and Cd also substitute for Zn ions (Fig. 13). The Cd content in Sph-I is higher in dark bands than in pale color zones, whereas in Sph-II, Cd shows a progressive enrichment from core to rim but unrelated to color bands (Fig. 11). An inverse correlation between Cd and Pb is observed in Sph-II but not in Sph-I (Fig. 11), which suggests that these two elements may behave antithetically.

Both marcasite phases also appear to be chemically homogeneous (Table 1). They contain 46.08 to 46.42 wt.% Fe and 52.32 to 53.68 wt.% S ($n = 4$), with average of 46.20 wt.% Fe and 53.65 wt.% S for Mcs-I and 46.38 wt.% Fe and 52.39 wt.% S for Mcs-II. Pb and Bi have been detected as minor elements, with contents of 0.30 to 0.97 wt.% Pb and 0.13 to 0.21 wt.% Bi. Other minor and trace element contents detected are Co ($< 0.1$ wt.%), Sb ($< 0.05$ wt.%), Zn, Cd and In (Table 1). Moreover, a high negative correlation ($R^2 = 0.9$) is found between Fe and Pb+Cd+Zn, pointing to a Fe substitution by these metals (Fig. 13).

Results of cubo-octahedral galena microprobe analyses are also listed in Table 1. Lead concentration in galena varies from 85.47 to 86.26 wt.%, with an average of 85.98 wt.%. $S$ content is in the range of 13.11 to 13.54 wt.%, with a mean value of 13.31 wt.%. The most important detected minor and trace elements in galena are Bi (0.11-0.27 wt.%), Cd (0.06-0.16 wt.%), Sb (0.04-0.16 wt.%), Ga (0.04-0.09 wt.%), Ge (0.01-0.04 wt.%), Fe (0.02-0.03 wt.) and Co (0.01-0.03 wt.) (Table 1).

**6.2. Sulfur and lead isotopes**
δ^{34}S values of sphalerite, marcasite, galena and gypsum were reported by Navarro-Ciurana et al. (2016c) to estimate the sulfur source in supergene sulfates related to the oxidation of hypogene sulfide minerals. Here, we discuss the origin of sulfur in sulfide minerals and the temperature of ore formation.

Sulfur isotope composition of sulfide and sulfate minerals is shown in Table 3 and Figure 14. δ^{34}S of marcasite ranges from -7.5 to -5.8‰ (average of -6.6‰; n = 4), and is isotopically lighter than sphalerite and galena, which have values from -2.2 to +3.5‰ (mean at +0.5‰; n = 10) and -2.8 to -2.4‰ (average of -2.6‰; n = 3), respectively. Comparing the different mineralizations, no significant δ^{34}S variations have been observed, suggesting a single sulfur source at the district scale. Systematically, δ^{34}S values of galena are lower than sphalerite, which, together with the absence of replacement textures, suggest that both sulfides might have precipitated in isotopic equilibrium, and therefore, they have been used as isotope geothermometer (Ohmoto and Rye, 1979). In a sample where both sulfides coexisted, Δ^{34}S_{sph-gn} = 3.9‰ equivalent to an equilibrium temperature of 159±15ºC (calculated from the equation of Ohmoto and Rye, 1979). This temperature falls within the range of minimum precipitation temperatures obtained from fluid inclusions in sphalerite and gangue dolomite (150-250 ºC; Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a).

The lead isotope compositions of galena are homogeneous (Table 4 and Fig. 15). $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb ratios range from 18.736 to 18.762, with an average at 18.749. $^{207}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb ratios are comprised between 15.629 and 15.660, with a mean value of 15.644; and the $^{208}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb ratio ranges from 38.496 to 38.595 with an average of 38.544.

7. Discussion
The presence of replacement and open-space filling textures of sulfides, fault-related stratabound patchy dolomites associated with the sulfide mineralization, and the discordant bedding ore bodies (Figs. 4, 6 and 7) are clear evidences of an epigenetic origin of mineralizations and allow the studied deposits as Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) (e.g., Leach and Sangster, 1993). Colloform and fine grained textures are the result of fast growth precipitation under high supersaturation conditions whereas large and idiomorphic crystals suggest slow growth at low degrees of saturation (e.g., Anderson, 2008). As both types of textures coexist at Riópar, spatial distribution or temporal changes of saturation degree or/and different mechanism of sulfide precipitation probably occurred in the studied area. Mineralogy, textures and chemical composition are similar to other MVT deposits of the Iberian Peninsula (e.g., Reocín mine, Basque-Cantabrian Basin, N Spain: Velasco et al., 2003; Mas de la Mina-Cedramán and Valdelinares deposits, Maestrat Basin, E Spain: Grandia et al., 2003a).

Furthermore, fault-related Zn-Pb occurrences within Lower Cretaceous dolomitized carbonates are a common feature of the Prebetic Zone (Upper Hauterivian-Valanginian), Maestrat (Aptian) and Basque-Cantabrian (Aptian-Albian) MVT ore districts, suggesting similar stratigraphic and/or tectonic controls in the formation of these deposits. In the Riópar area, mineralization is spatially related to the San Jorge fault (Fig. 4), indicating that this fault likely as a major conduit for a metal-bearing fluid flowing from the source region to the precipitation site. The temperature from sphalerite Ga/Ge geothermometer (194-252°C; average ~225°C) and the sulfur isotope equilibrium temperature (159±15°C) are different, but overlap with the T_h range obtained in fluid inclusions from ore-stage dolomite and sphalerite (150-250°C; Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a), indicating that the temperature of the hydrothermal metal-bearing fluid did not vary substantially between the reservoir and the precipitation area. This fact suggests a
closeness between both sites and/or a rapid upflow along the San Jorge fault. Assuming a temperature of 225°C and a geothermal gradient of 33 °C/km, the hydrothermal reservoir region could be located at a depth of around 6-7 km.

The wide range in the Fe content of sphalerite (0.27 to 14.38 wt.%; Table 1) may be caused by a binary fluid mixing if (1) the Fe content in both fluids is different and/or (2) by changes in the oxygen fugacity conditions during precipitation of this mineral (e.g., Barton and Toulmin, 1966; Czamanske, 1974; Scott, 1983). $f_{O_2}$ conditions during sphalerite precipitation can be estimated from the $X_{FeS}$ content (1.0 to 23.0 mol % FeS; Table 2 and Fig. 12a) assuming equilibrium with pyrite. The amount of FeS in solid solution in sphalerite ($X_{FeS}$) is a function of temperature, pressure, and FeS activity ($a_{FeS}$). According to Scott (1974), $a_{FeS}$ can be calculated as follows:

$$a_{FeS} = 0.0257 (\text{mol } % \text{ FeS}) - 0.00014 (\text{mol } % \text{ FeS})^2.$$  

Taking a temperature of 200 °C for ore formation (Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a) and assuming $H_2S$ concentrations of $1.0 \times 10^{-1}$, $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ and $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ m, the calculated log $f_{O_2}$ ranges between -49.40 to -46.77 atm, -45.40 to -42.77 atm, and -41.40 to -38.77 atm respectively (Table 2). In a pH-log $f_{O_2}$ plot (Fig. 12b), and assuming a pH range of 3.5 to 6, a typical range of metalliferous brines (Cooke et al., 2000) compatible with the acidic conditions for the presence of marcasite (Murowchick and Barnes, 1986; Plumlee et al., 1994), log $f_{O_2}$ values fall within the $H_2S$ stability field. On the other hand, a less acidic pH of the dolomitizing and ore-bearing fluids due to buffering by the interaction with carbonate host rocks cannot be discarded (Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a). In any case, assuming a pH close to neutral, the calculated $f_{O_2}$ values also fall within the $H_2S$ stability field.
7.1. Source of sulfur

The lognormal or gaussian distribution of $\delta^{34}$S values, with a mode between +1 and +2 ‰ and a median value of +0.52 ‰ (Table 3 and Fig. 14), suggests a single sulfur source during the ore formation. The range of $\delta^{34}$S values (Table 3 and Fig. 14a) of each sulfide mineral (marcasite: -7.5 to -5.8 ‰; galena: -2.8 to -2.4 ‰ and sphalerite: -2.8 to +3.5 ‰), may be explained by slight changes of oxygen fugacity and/or temperature of the hydrothermal fluid during the precipitation of sulfides.

The most common sulfur source in MVT environments is organically bound sulfur, H$_2$S reservoir gas derived from the reduction of sulfate evaporites, seawater, connate water, or basinal brines. Therefore, a reduction mechanism of sulfate to sulfide is needed: either bacteriologically (BSR) or thermochemically (TSR) mediated. The reduced sulfur involved in sulfide precipitation was most likely produced by TSR as supported by the high precipitation temperatures (150-250 ºC; Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a).

The most probable sulfur source at Riópar is evaporitic sulfate. Gypsum is widespread in the Internal and External Zones of the Betic Cordillera (including the Riópar area) within sediments of Upper Triassic age (Keuper Fm). The $\delta^{34}$S of this gypsum ranges from +12.5 to +16.6‰ (Ortí et al., 2014), consistent with the values reported by Claypool et al. (1980) and Paytan et al. (2004) for seawater sulfate during Triassic times. The lowest $\delta^{34}$S value of the Riópar sulfides (marcasite: -7.5 ‰; Table 3) is 20 to 24 ‰ lower than the range values for Triassic evaporites, whereas the highest (+3.5 ‰; Table 3) is 9 to 13 ‰ lighter (Fig. 14b). These sulfate-sulfide isotope fractionations are consistent with the kinetic effect associated with TSR, which produces H$_2$S 10 to 20 ‰ lighter than the precursor sulfate (Machel et al., 1995). Thermochemical
reduction of younger marine sulfate of Jurassic to Cenozoic times, with $\delta^{34}S \approx +20$ to $+25\%$, would produce $H_2S$ isotopically heavier. Therefore, the sulfur source involved during the formation of sulfides would be compatible with a thermochemical reduction of sulfate (dissolved evaporites and/or seawater) of Triassic age (Fig. 14b). Furthermore, Sr-isotope data from gangue dolomites related to sulfide minerals (Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a) show a radiogenic signature more compatible with interaction of fluids and siliciclastic rocks, especially abundant among the Triassic sediments, than with Jurassic or Cretaceous marine carbonates.

7.2. Source of metals

Pb isotope values from galenas (Table 4) are homogeneous. In the $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$-$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$-$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ plots, data are within the analytical uncertainty ($2\sigma$). Based on the “plumbotectonics” model of Zartman and Doe (1981) for the growth curves of isotopic Pb, the $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$-$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and the $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$-$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios plot in the field below the upper crustal evolution curve and above the orogenic growth curve (Fig. 15), indicating that Pb was predominantly derived from continental crustal rocks. Furthermore, these values plot within the lead isotope field of other Zn-Pb deposits hosted in Lower Cretaceous rocks of the Iberian Peninsula (Fig. 15), including stratabound, SEDEX and vein deposits from the Basque-Cantabrian (Velasco et al., 1996) and Maestrat (Grandia, 2000) basins. Nevertheless, galenas from Riópar are more radiogenic than those from Sierra de Gádor and Sierra Alhamilla stratabound F-Pb-Zn-(Ba) MVT deposits (Internal Zones of the Betic Cordillera, SE Spain) (Arribas and Tosdal, 1994; Fig. 15), which are hosted by carbonates of Triassic age.
Given the homogeneous Pb-isotope composition of galena, the origin of lead at Riópar can be related either to a single source or alternatively, to isotopically heterogeneous sources that mixed and homogenized before galena precipitation. In the $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$-$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ diagram, galenas plot close to the fields of Paleozoic, Permian-Middle Triassic sediments and Jurassic carbonates. However, in the thorogenic plot ($^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$-$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$) galenas plot well below these fields indicating that source rocks had a low Th/U ratio. Compared to the analyzed galenas, the lead isotope composition of Triassic rocks (Fig. 15: Arribas and Tosdal, 1994) show a distinctly more radiogenic signature, excluding these rocks as the lead source of the sulfide mineralization. Additionally, Jurassic rocks are mainly carbonates and therefore they are not probably the principal source of lead. Hence, Paleozoic basement rocks are the most plausible metal source. This is consistent with the spatial relationship between the deposits and the San Jorge fault, which is probably a reactivation of an old Variscan fault that cross cut the Paleozoic basement and allowed the migration of hydrothermal fluid. Moreover, this hypothesis is consistent with lead isotope compositions from other MVT deposits (Leach et al., 2005) that suggest a relationship between the Pb isotopic composition in a district and the composition of the corresponding basement rocks (Muchez et al., 2005). The difference in the $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios between Paleozoic metasedimentary rocks of the Internal Betic Zones and the Riópar galena points to an inhomogeneous Paleozoic basement, with lower $^{238}\text{U}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{232}\text{Th}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios in the External parts.

7.3. Timing

Field relationships and sediment burial depths required for stylolite development can be used to constrain the relative timing (minimum and maximum age) of the ore
formation, as no absolute age of the mineralization is available. As mineralization and
associated dolomitization occur after lithification (epigenetic origin) of the Upper Mb of
the Sierra del Pozo carbonates (Upper Berriasian to Lower Valanginian: Fig. 2), a younger
age than 140 Ma for dolomitization and mineralization is suggested. Field evidence
suggests that mineralization was affected by minor N-S fault systems generated by the
formation of the Betic Chain in relation to the Alpine orogeny (Navarro-Ciurana et al.,
2016c; Fig. 4), constraining the ores to be not younger than Miocene (~20 Ma). This is
consistent with the results of paleomagnetic dating performed on the Reocín deposit,
which indicate a maximum age of 25 Ma (15 ± 10 Ma; Symons et al., 2009).

The host hydrothermal dolomites are characterized by stylolites parallel to
bedding filled with sulfides (Fig. 6f). In limestones, stylolites parallel to bedding are
commonly formed at depths greater than 800 m (e.g., Dunnington, 1967; Lind, 1993;
Nicolaides and Wallace, 1997). However, as dolostones display higher resistance to
dissolution, stylolites in these rocks require greater depths (e.g., Mountjoy and Amthor,
1994). In any case, if we assume the depth range reached by the carbonates of Upper
Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous ages at Riópar of 800 to 1000 m, bedding parallel
stylolization may have occurred during Upper Cretaceous (95-85 Ma; Fig. 16). As
mineralization post-dates stylolite development and pre-dates Alpine tectonic structures
(e.g., N-S faults) a period of 95-85 to 20 Ma (Upper Cretaceous-Tertiary) can be inferred
for the Zn-(Fe-Pb) ore formation. This range is in agreement with the ages of
hydrothermal fluid migration leading to Hg-Sb mineralization and Zn-Pb ore deposits in
the Maestrat Basin, that occurred during Santonian (85 ± 3 Ma; Tritlla and Solé, 1999)
and Early Paleocene (62.6 ± 0.7 Ma; Grandia et al., 2000) respectively.

Navarro-Ciurana et al. (2016a) demonstrated the relationship between
dolomitizing and ore-bearing fluids in the Riópar area. The similarity in major element
compositions and C/O isotopic values ($\delta^{13}$C: -2.3 to +0.9‰; $\delta^{18}$O: +25.1 to 27.6‰) for host dolostones and gangue dolomites related to sulfide minerals, suggests uniform chemical conditions during dolomitization and mineralization, supporting the idea of a continuous hydrothermal dolomitizing and mineralizing process. Furthermore, this idea is supported by the overlapping homogenization temperatures in fluid inclusions from host rock dolomites, sphalerite and related gangue dolomites (Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a). Therefore, a restricted period of time for the formation of host dolostone and mineralization, which must allow uniform chemical and physical conditions of the hydrothermal system, is required. As the hydrothermal host dolostones and mineralization pre- and post-date stylolites respectively, and the formation of the Riópar dolomitization and mineralization is related with the same hydrothermal processes in a restricted period of time, an Upper Cretaceous age, as in the Maestrat basin, is suggested for the dolomitization, stylolitization and mineralization processes.

7.4. Ore controls

Dolomitization and associated MVT mineralization at Riópar resulted from different causes. Stratigraphy may be one of them, as pre-ore stratabound matrix replacive dolomites only affect the Lower and Upper Mb of Sierra del Pozo Fm (Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous). This fact suggests physical (e.g. permeability, size of particles) and chemical characteristics (e.g. mineralogy) of the precursor limestone controlled mineralization, as previously discussed by Navarro-Ciurana et al. (2016a).

The Upper Mb of the Sierra del Pozo carbonate formation (Fig. 2) exerted a strong control on the emplacement of the deposits, as the economic Zn occurrences are spatially and temporally associated with saddle dolomite. The enhanced porosity and permeability
associated with the regional pre-ore dolomitization (Fig. 7c) appear to have been a critical factor in determining the size and the geometry of the Riópar ore bodies (Navarro-Ciurana et al. 2016a). The variety of ore morphologies recognized in an ore body (disseminations, stylolites, discordant lenses, branching offshoots, ore-cemented breccias and fractures) indicates there was also a tectonic control (e.g., faults, fractures and brecciation). A larger scale structural control is also deduced from the presence of patchy dolostones and Zn occurrences closer to San Jorge fault. Therefore, the contribution of the dolomitization and mineralization fluid from the Socovos fault must have been low to moderate, in contrast with the influx of hydrothermal fluids from the San Jorge fault, indicating a structurally-controlled fluid circulation.

7.5. Mechanism(s) of sulfide deposition and metallogenetic model

Precipitation of base-metal sulfides at Riópar probably occurred with an effectively unlimited sulfur supply, as shown by the sulfur isotopic equilibrium between sphalerite and galena (Fig. 14). It is therefore a reasonable speculation that the low ore tonnage could have been caused by a low metal content in the fluid or by the low reductant agent content. As discussed above, a TSR is the most plausible sulfate reduction mechanism at Riópar. Organic matter and/or hydrocarbons could have been the sulfate reducing agents, triggering ore deposition when a metal-bearing fluid mixed with the H₂S-rich reservoir. Precipitation of sulfides in MVT deposits is widely accepted as the result of mixing of two fluids (e.g., Shelton et al., 1992; Kesler, 1996; Grandia et al., 2003a; Muchez et al., 2005). The model involves mixing of a metal-rich fluid (as chloride complexes) and a gas or/and a liquid reservoir containing reduced sulfur (e.g., Corbella et al., 2004; Leach et al., 2005). This conceptual model of ore formation is postulated for
the world class Reocín MVT deposit (Basque-Cantabrian Basin; Velasco et al., 2003) and
for the Mas de la Mina-Cedramán and Valdelinares Zn-Pb mineralization (Maestrat
Basin; Grandia et al., 2003a), respectively. Other mechanisms of sulfide precipitation are
possible: a local sulfate reduction, which involves the mixing of a fluid rich in sulfates
and metals with a gas or/and a liquid containing a reducing agents (e.g., organic
compounds; Anderson, 2008). At Riópar, based on microthermometrical data of fluid
inclusions in dolomite and sphalerite, Navarro-Ciurana et al. (2016a) suggested that two
fluids of contrasting salinities (Fluid A, < 5 wt. % eq. NaCl; Fluid B, > 25 wt.% eq. NaCl)
but similar temperatures mixed at different fluid proportions.

No organic matter (bitumen) has been observed in the Upper Berriasian to Lower
Valanginian age host rocks, but hydrocarbon inclusions are common in sphalerite crystals
(Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a). Moreover, millimeter- to centimeter-scale lignite layers
(Fig. 2) are found within the marl and limestone rhythmic sequence of the Cerro Lobo
Fm (Upper Valanginian to Hauterivian). On the other hand, the intraclastic black pebbles
(“cailloux noire”) and ferruginous pisolitic limestones of the Arroyo de los Anchos Fm
(Barremian-Aptian: Fig. 2) are characterized by a fetid odor, typical of hydrogen sulfide
or sulfurous organic substances. Furthermore, different gas prospect projects have been
developed in the Internal Prebetic Zone by BP and Repsol in the Neocomian to Barremian
carbonatic sequence (Martínez del Olmo et al., 2013). Therefore, the presence of H₂S-
bearing reservoirs in the region acting as chemical traps for the metals carried by
hydrothermal fluids of basinal origin is plausible. Mixing between metal-bearing fluids
and H₂S-rich reservoirs could result in relatively rapid sulfide precipitation under high
supersaturation conditions; fine-grained and botryoidal sulfide crystal would then form
(Anderson, 2008). However, if the gas reservoir was mainly composed of CH₄, reaction
with sulfate in the ore solution via TSR would result in H₂S gas formation at a relatively
slow rate, resulting in sulfide precipitation under low saturation degrees and leading to well-formed sulfide crystals (Anderson, 2008). In the Riópar area well-formed sulfide crystals is the most common texture, but botryoidal sphalerite morphologies are also recognized. This coexistence suggests an intermittent flow of the metal-bearing solution or a continuous flow with intermittent or variable metal content. If the metal amount decreased enough, the reaction of CH$_4$ with sulfate would generate a certain amount of H$_2$S gas. Therefore, with subsequent increases in the metal content in the hydrothermal system, the base metals would preferably react with H$_2$S gas producing fast growth morphologies (e.g., botryoidal sphalerite). This intermittent mechanism can explain the coexistence of slow and fast growth textures in the same deposit and in a restricted formation time period.

Geological, mineralogical and geochemical data point to a conceptual genetic model involving two fluids. A high salinity hydrothermal brine (average temperature of 220-230 °C: sphalerite Ga/Ge geothermometer), “Fluid B” of Navarro-Ciurana et al. (2016a), carrying both metals and sulfate (Triassic seawater or/and dissolution of evaporites), circulated through the Paleozoic basement, leaching base metals (Fig. 17-1). The other fluid, “Fluid A” of Navarro-Ciurana et al. (2016a), was of lower salinity and temperature and might be related to connate waters trapped within sediments of Mesozoic age (Fig. 17-2) containing organic rich compounds (e.g., hydrocarbons and CH$_4$ dissolved gas) (see Fig. 17-3). Therefore, the model proposed for the Riópar mineralization differs notably from the conceptual genetic scenarios of the Basque-Cantabrian and Maestrat MVT deposits (Velasco et al., 2003; Grandia et al., 2003a).

Mixing of both fluids at the ore deposition site occurred at different proportions during the dolomitization and mineralization stages. As heat conduction is faster than solute diffusion, and fluids of different salinity take time to homogenize, both fluids
equilibrated in terms of temperature (150-250 °C: Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a) at the mineralization site but preserving batches of different salinity.

During pre-ore dolomitizing stage the hydrothermal system was dominated by the less saline fluid (connate waters) (Fluid A > Fluid B; Fig. 17-4) preventing sulfide precipitation because the lack of metals at this stage (Fig. 17-5; Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016a). In a later stage, a flux increase of the high salinity metal-bearing fluid (basinal fluid), possibly due to a reactivation of the San Jorge fault, caused sulfide precipitation as it mixed with the low-salinity, hydrocarbon-rich reservoir (Fluid A < Fluid B; Fig. 17-6). Basinal fluid sulfate was then thermochemically reduced to H2S by interaction with methane or other organic compounds at the depositional site, precipitating sulfide minerals (Fig. 17-7A). The coexistence of fast and slow growth textures in marcasite and sphalerite might be related to successive reactivations of the fault system structurally controlling the ores that took probably place during Upper Cretaceous to Tertiary times.

Previous to the botryoidal sphalerite precipitation, the ratio between metal content and sulfate of the high salinity fluid (Fluid B) would decrease, with the consequent H2S gas formation, and accumulation, through CH4-SO42- reaction in the deposition site (Fig. 17-7B). A subsequent influx of fluid with a higher metal/sulfate ratio would thus prompt the precipitation of fast growth and botryoidal sphalerite textures from a direct reaction of Zn2+ and the H2S accumulated (Fig. 17-7B). Furthermore, previously and posteriorly to sulfide mineral precipitation, a saddle dolomite gangue generation occurred, which is consistent with this intermittent contributions of metals during the ore-stage formation.

7.6. Exploration criteria
MVT deposits are characteristically distributed over hundreds of square kilometers (km²) that define individual ore districts (Leach et al., 2010). For example in the Basque-Cantabrian Basin (~2,500 km²) around 40 deposits are recognized (Velasco et al., 1996; Grandia et al., 2003b). In contrast, the Riòpar MVT mineralization is the only deposit that has been discovered and exploited in all of the Prebetic Zone so far. It may host many more, thus this area could be of interest for exploration.

Navarro-Ciurana et al. (2016c) recognized a non-sulfide mineral occurrence not far from Riòpar, which has never been described before in the literature. This new supergene mineralization, hosted within Barremian to Lower Aptian dolomitized carbonates is located in the westernmost part of the studied area, near Fuente de la Calentura (Fig. 4). It consists mainly of crusts of Fe oxi-hydroxides, which are similar to the supergenically altered uppermost parts of the San Jorge and San Agustín deposits. Although this new occurrence has not been further investigated yet, a corresponding deeper hypogene mineralization is to be expected.

As discussed above, the dolomitizing and mineralizing fluid in the study area mainly ascend along San Jorge fault, which continues to the SW with the Alto Guadalquivir fault (Fig. 1b). During the Upper Cretaceous, this fluid hydrothermally dolomitized the Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous carbonate sequence in the study area, which had previously been ascribed a Middle Jurassic age (Fernández-Gianotti et al., 2001). In addition, part of the dolostones that outcrop in the footwall block of the Alto Guadalquivir fault have also been interpreted as Middle Jurassic (i.e., García-Hernandez et al., 2004). Therefore, is it possible that these dolostones are not of Middle Jurassic but of Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous age? And, as these carbonates outcrop next to the Alto Guadalquivir-San Jorge fault, is it plausible that they have also been affected by
hydrothermal fluids similar to those of the studied area? We think so and it is worth exploring.

Therefore, the vectors for the MVT exploration in the Prebetic Zone points towards the SW of the Riópar mines, in the area limited by Socovos and Alto Guadalquivir-San Jorge faults, towards the Fuente de la Calentura occurrence and in the vicinity of the Alto Guadalquivir fault. This area could contain hydrothermal dolomites, and as Navarro-Ciurana et al., (2016b) suggest, the C and O isotope signature of these dolomites may be a useful tool to reassess their origin. These dolomites are favorable potential hosts for economic Zn deposits.

6. Conclusions

The integration of geological and geochemical data presented here, with previously published data, allows us to draw the following conclusions regarding the ore controls, sulfur and metal sources, relative timing and the role of fluids during the ore formation at Riópar (Prebetic Zone, SE Spain). Ore controls are stratigraphic, lithologic and chemical for the stratabound pre-ore dolomitization, and tectonic for the pre-ore patchy dolomitization and the Zn-(Fe-Pb) ore stages. The distribution of Zn-(Fe-Pb) sulfide mineral occurrences suggests the San Jorge fault acted as the major conduit of the ore-bearing fluids from the source region (Paleozoic basement) to the deposition site (Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous age dolomites). The fast flow along the fault prevented the complete cooling of the fluid from the reservoir (Ga/Ge geothermometer: 194-252°C) to the precipitation site (isotope geothermometer 159±15 °C). The $\delta^{34}$S of sulfides (-7.5 to +3.5‰) is consistent with a thermochemical reduction of sulfate of Triassic age (seawater or/dissolution of evaporites: $\delta^{34}$S +12 to +16‰). Furthermore,
the lead-isotope ratios ($^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 18.736-18.762$; $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 15.629-15.660$; $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 38.496-38.595$) of galena suggest that Pb and metals are derived from continental crustal rocks, pointing to metal sources within the Paleozoic basement. Although, the precise age(s) of the ore is uncertain, a relative age of Upper Cretaceous to Tertiary is most likely for the dolomitization and mineralization. A mixing model involving of two fluids is postulated for the pre-ore dolomitization and sulfide mineralization. Fluid A (probably Cretaceous seawater), with organic rich compounds (e.g., hydrocarbons; methane), flowed through Mesozoic strata; it mixed with Fluid B (hydrothermal brine), containing metals and sulfate, after circulating through the Paleozoic basement. During the pre-ore dolomitizing stage the fluid phase was dominated by the diluted fluid (Fluid A > Fluid B) whereas in later fluid pulses, the mixing proportion of high salinity fluid increased (Fluid A < Fluid B) allowing sulfide mineral precipitation. In addition, in the Riópar area well formed (slow growth texture) and botryoidal (fast growth texture) sulfide morphologies coexist, suggesting different reaction pathways. When the organic reservoir (e.g., hydrocarbons and/or gas contained mainly CH$_4$) and metals were present, reaction with sulfate via TSR resulted in a relatively slow sulfide mineral precipitation; whereas when the amounts of metals was lower, reaction with CH$_4$ and sulfate resulted in spare H$_2$S gas that would be used up upon the arrival of a rich-metal batch a relatively fast growth sulfide mineral precipitation. Even though more work is needed, the principal vector for the MVT exploration in the Prebetic Zone points towards the SW of the Riópar ores, in the vicinity of the Alto Guadalquivir-San Jorge fault.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Fig. 1.** A) Location of the studied area in the Betic Cordillera, SE Iberian Peninsula. Modified from Comas et al. (1999). B) Tectonic sketch with main units of the Prebetic Zone (Betic Cordillera) modified from Pérez-Valera et al. (2010) (see Fig. 3a for a general geological map of the studied area). Numbers in circles refer to: (1) Alto Guadalquivir fault; (2) San Jorge Fault; (3) Socovos-Calasparra fault; (4) Liétor fault; and (5) Pozohondo fault.

**Fig. 2.** Stratigraphic column of the Riópar area with sedimentary units and sequences, location of the hydrothermal dolomite bodies and Zn-(Fe-Pb) ores and tectonic evolution of the Prebetic Zone. Modified from Navarro-Ciurana et al. (2016a).

**Fig. 3.** Geologic map (A) and geologic cross section (B) of the studied area with distribution and morphology of the host dolomite bodies of the Zn-(Fe-Pb) mineralization (see Fig. 4 for detailed geological map). Tr: Triassic clays and sandstones; Ji: Lower Jurassic dolostones; Jm: Middle Jurassic dolostones; Js1: Upper Oxfordian to Middle Kimmeridgian limestones; Js2, Js3, Ci1: Sierra del Pozo Fm; Ci2: Cerro Lobo Fm; Ci3: Arroyo de los Anchos Fm; Ci4: sandstones and clays of Utrillas facies; Ci5: dolostones of Utrillas facies; Cs1, Cs2, Cs3: Cenomanian-Turonian dolostones; Cs4: Coniacian-Santonian limestones; Mc: Miocene polymictic conglomerate; Q1: undifferentiated colluvium; Q2: alluvial fans; Q3: debris on alluvial fans; Q4: debris on hillsides; Q5: recent colluvial; SF: Socovos fault; SJF: San Jorge fault.

**Fig. 4.** Detailed geologic map of the Riópar area with the distribution of the hydrothermal dolostone bodies and location of Zn ore deposits (modified from Navarro-Ciurana et al. 2016a). See Fig. 5 for detailed maps of San Jorge mines. Tr: Triassic clays and sandstones; Ji: Lower Jurassic dolostones; Jm: Middle Jurassic dolostones; Js2, Js3, Ci1:
Sierra del Pozo Fm; Ci2: Cerro Lobo Fm; Ci3: Arroyo de los Anchos Fm; Ci4: sandstones
and clays of Utrillas facies; Ci5: dolostones of Utrillas facies; Cs1, Cs2, Cs3: Cenomanian-
Turonian dolostones; Cs4: Coniacian-Santonian limestones; Q1: undifferentiated
colluvium; Q2: alluvial fans; Q3: debris on alluvial fans; Q4: recent colluvium.

Fig. 5. Detailed maps of San Jorge Sj2 (A) and Sj1 (B) mines with location of exploitation
galleries and Zn-(Fe-Pb) mineralized zones (modified from Urbano-Vicente 1972). See
Figure 4 for mine locations.

Fig. 6. Mine photographs of Zn-(Fe-Pb) ores. (A) Massive irregular Zn-(Fe-Pb) ore lenses
with an ore-cemented breccia zone development. (B) Hand sample of cockade texture
mineralization. (C) Stratiform Zn-(Fe-Pb) ore bodies highly altered to Zn-(Fe-Pb) non-
sulfide ores (“calamine”). (D) Ore-cemented breccia hand sample. (E) Sphalerite vein
associated with saddle dolomite. (F) Oxidized marcasite filling stylolite porosity within
host-dolostone (Host Dol: host dolostone; ReD-SuD: replacive to sucrosic dolomite; SuD;
sucrosic dolomite; SaD: saddle dolomite; Sph: sphalerite; Ox. Mcs: oxidized marcasite;
Str: stratification; Sty: stylolite).

Fig. 7. Transmitted light (TL) photomicrographs. (A) Matrix replacive dolomite (ReD)
crystals partially replaced by discrete sphalerite (Sph-I) crystals forming disseminations
in the host dolostone. (B) Sucrosic dolomite cements (SuD) with oolitic ghosts and
porosity development forming the host dolostone of colloform banded sphalerite (Sph-II)
and oxidized marcasite (Ox. Mc) crystals. (C) Grey saddle dolomite cements with dark
centers and well-developed intercrystalline porosity (yellow zones). (D) TL
photomicrograph showing fracture filling by grey saddle dolomite (SaD-I), sphalerite and
milky saddle dolomite (SaD-II) hosted in ReD-SuD transition dolomite. (E) Fractures
filled by SaD-I and SaD-II hosted in matrix replacive dolomite (ReD) and discrete
sphalerite crystals (Sph-I) replacing ReD phase. Note a stylolite (Sty) that crosscuts the
different dolomite types and Sph-I phase. (F) TL photomicrograph showing cockade
texture of granular aggregate sphalerite crystals (Sph-I) that extensively replace planar-e
porphyrotopic dolomite (PoD) with veins of planar-s cloudy dolomite cement (CeD)
crosscutting the previous phases.

Fig. 8. Petrographic characteristics of marcasite and galena. (A) Reflected light (RL)
photomicrograph of radiating cockscomb marcasite crystals (Mcs-I) extensively altered
to Fe-oxi-hydroxides. (B) RL image of massive aggregate marcasite (Mcs-II) filling
fracture. (C) Cathodoluminescence (CL) image of PoD showing bright red luminescence
nucleus. (C) SEM backscattered image of galena (Gn) altered to cerussite (Cer) associated
with botryoidal sphalerite (Sph-II) altered to smithsonite (Sm).

Fig. 9. Summary of the paragenetic succession in the Riópar sulfide Zn-(Fe-Pb) ores with
the dolomitization stage according to Navarro-Ciurana et al. (2016a).

Fig. 10. Plot showing the dependence between log(Ga/Ge) ratios in sphalerite and ore
solution temperature in the source region. This graph is based on existing Al/Si
geothermometers. The muscovite-chlorite-quartz line is the chlorite geothermometer in
the Al/Si system (Möller, 1985, 1987).

Fig. 11. Wavelength Dispersion Spectrometry (WDS) microprobe profile analyses (Zn,
Fe, Pb Cd) performed along disseminated and granular aggregates (Sph-I) as well as
colloform banded (Sph-II) sphalerite crystals from center to border (L.D.: limit of
detection).

Fig. 12. (A) Histogram of FeS mol % for 92 analyzed sphalerites. (B) log $f_{O_2}$ vs pH
diagram showing the stability field for sulfur species at 200°C (conditions: P = 100 bars;
$\log a$SO$_4^{2-}$ = -6; $a$H$_2$O = -6). A pH range from 3.5 to 6 was assumed, which is a typical
range of metalliferous basinal brines (Cooke et al. 2000). Calculations of log $f_{O_2}$ are
based on the FeS content in sphalerite according to the equation of Scott (1974) at different H₂S activities of 1.0x10⁻¹, 1.0x10⁻³ and 1.0x10⁻⁵.

**Fig. 13.** Binary plots of various metal concentration in sulfide minerals. (A) Zn vs. Pb+Fe+Cd contents in sphalerite; (B) Fe vs. Pb+Cd+Zn content in marcasite.

**Fig. 14.** (A) Histogram of the sulfur isotope compositions of sulfide minerals from the Riópar Zn-(Fe-Pb) ore deposit. (B) Comparison of δ³⁴S values of sulfide minerals with Cenozoic, Cretaceous, Jurassic and Triassic seawater sulfur isotope composition (Claypool et al. 1980; Paytan et al. 2004), as well as with the Triassic evaporites of the Betic Cordillera (Ortiz et al. 2014).

**Fig. 15.** ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb vs. ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb (A) and ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb vs. ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb (B) diagrams of the Riópar galenas hosted in Lower Cretaceous rocks of the Prebetic Zone. Obtained data is compared with values of other MVT ore deposits in the Iberian Peninsula (data from: Arribas and Tosdal 1994; Velasco et al. 1996; Grandia et al. 2000). Lead isotopic evolution curves for the upper crust and orogen are according to the plumbotectonic models of Zartman and Doe (1981). SK is the Stacey and Kramer (1975) average crustal Pb growth curve. Isotopic compositions are present-day values and are not corrected for age, except for Paleozoic clastic metasedimentary rocks of the Internal Betic Zone, in which Pb values are corrected to 230 Ma.

**Fig. 16.** Jurassic to Cretaceous compacted subsidence curves showing the most probable time and burial depth (dark red area; 300-500 m) for the formation of stylolitization, hydrothermal dolostone, and MVT mineralization in the Riópar area (orange line). The dashed red line denotes the inferred maximum burial depth at which stylolitization took place.

**Fig. 17.** Idealized sketch of the pre-ore dolomitization stage and the Riópar Zn-(Fe-Pb) formations during Upper Cretaceous time, assuming a thermal gradient of 33 °C/km.
Restitution according to cross section of Fig. 3. P: Paleozoic; Tr: Triassic clays and sandstones; Ji: Lower Jurassic dolostones; Jm: Middle Jurassic dolostones; Js1: Puerto Lorente Fm; Js2, Js3, Ci1: Sierra del Pozo Fm; Ci2: Cerro Lobo Fm; Ci3: Arroyo de los Anchos Fm; Ci4: sandstones and clays of Utrillas facies; Ci5: dolostones of Utrillas facies; Cs1, Cs2, Cs3: Cenomanian-Turonian dolostones; Cs4: Coniacian-Santonian limestones.

**TABLE CAPTIONS**

**Table 1.** Electron Microprobe (EMP) analyses of sulfide minerals.

**Table 2.** log \( f_O_2 \) calculated from FeS content in sphalerite at 200 °C.

**Table 3.** Sulfur isotope compositions of sulfide minerals from the Riópar Zn-(Fe-Pb) ore deposit.

**Table 4.** Lead isotope compositions of sulfide minerals from the Riópar Zn-(Fe-Pb) ore deposit.
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Legend:
- Patchy dolostones mainly formed by sucrosic and saddle dolomite types
- Lower Mb Dolomítica Fm (Cenomanian): massive microcrystalline dolostones
- Middle Mb Dolomítica Fm (Cenomanian): stratified microcrystalline dolostones
- Sierra de la Solana Fm (Coniacian-Santonian): limestones
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A) Irregular Zn-(Fe-Pb) ore lens

B) Ore-cemented breccia zone

C) Zn-(Fe-Pb) ore
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F) Ox. Mcs
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A) Sphalerite

\[ R^2 = 0.8527 \]

- \( \text{Pb+Fe+Cd (wt.%)} \)
- \( \text{Zn (wt.%)} \)

B) Marcasite

\[ R^2 = 0.9054 \]

- \( \text{Pb+Cd+Zn (wt.%)} \)
- \( \text{Fe (wt.%)} \)
A) 

![Graph showing frequency distribution of sulfur isotopes (δ³⁴S) for different minerals (Marcasite, Sphalerite, Galena)]

- **X-axis (δ³⁴S (% V-CDT)):** -10 to 10
- **Y-axis (Frequency):** 0 to 5

B) 

![Graph comparing δ³⁴S values for different geological samples (Cretaceous seawater, Jurassic seawater, Triassic seawater, Triassic evaporite, Betic Cordillera)]

- **X-axis (δ³⁴S (% V-CDT)):** -10 to 25
- **Y-axis:** 0 to 25

Key:
- **δ³⁴S (‰ V-CDT):**
  - Cretaceous seawater (a)
  - Jurassic seawater (a)
  - Triassic seawater (a)
  - Triassic evaporite, Betic Cordillera (b)

(a) Claypool et al. (1980); Paytan et al. (2004)
(b) Ortí et al. (2014)
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LEGEND

▲ Galenas: MVT ore (Riópar) hosted in Lower-Cretaceous, Prebetic Zone
☐ Zn-Pb MVT ore hosted in Lower-Cretaceous, Basque-Cantabrian Basin\(^a\)
☐ Zn-Pb MVT ore hosted in Lower-Cretaceous, Maestrat Basin\(^b\)
☐ F-Pb-Zn-(Ba) MVT ore hosted in Triassic, Internal Betic Zone\(^c\)
☐ Middle Triassic and Jurassic carbonates, Internal Betic Zone\(^d\)
☐ Permian-Early Triassic rocks, Internal Betic Zone\(^e\)
☐ Paleozoic corrected to 230 Ma, Internal Betic Zone\(^f\)
☐ Paleozoic clastic metasediments, Internal Betic Zone\(^g\)

\(^a\)Velasco et al. (1996)  \(^b\)Grandia et al. (2000)  \(^c\)Arribas and Tosdal (1994)
**FIGURE 17**

1. **Fluid B**: Hydrothermal (~225 °C) high-salinity (> 25 wt. % eq. NaCl; Navarro-Ciurana et al., 2016) brine with metals (Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺, Fe²⁺) and Tissack sulfate.


3. **Organic compounds** (e.g., hydrocarbons, dissolved methane).

4. **Mixing**: Fluid A > Fluid B equilibrated in temperature with time.

5. **Formation of pre-ore dolomitization** (stratabound and patchy dolostones).

6. **Isothermal mixing**: Fluid B > Fluid A equilibrated in temperature but not in salinity.

7. **Formation of ore sulfides by TSR reaction**:
   - **A**: Slow growth formation of sulfides (wall formed crystals): Fluid B with metals and sulfate interacted with organic compounds (methane).
     \[ \text{SO}_2^2^- + 2\text{CH}_4 + \text{Pb}^{2+} + \text{Zn}^{2+} + \text{PbS} + \text{ZnS} + 2\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \]
   - **B**: Fast growth formation of sulfides (botryoidal morphologies):
     - Metal content of Fluid B decreases, consequently:
       \[ 2\text{H}^+ + \text{SO}_2^2^- + \text{CH}_4 = \text{H}_2\text{S} + \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \]
     - Posteriorly, metal content of Fluid B increases, consequently:
       \[ \text{H}_2\text{S} + \text{Zn}^{2+} = \text{ZnS} + 2\text{H}^+ \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phasea</th>
<th>Zn (wt.%)</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Ge</th>
<th>Bi</th>
<th>Sb</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>In</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Ga/Ge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOD</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sph-I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>61.26</td>
<td>31.76</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>64.81</td>
<td>32.94</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>66.65</td>
<td>33.80</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>21.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. dv.</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sph-II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>61.62</td>
<td>32.94</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>63.96</td>
<td>33.12</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>65.15</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. dv.</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcs-I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>53.63</td>
<td>46.08</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>53.64</td>
<td>46.20</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>53.68</td>
<td>46.31</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. dv.</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcs-II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>52.32</td>
<td>46.34</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>52.39</td>
<td>46.38</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>52.46</td>
<td>46.42</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. dv.</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>13.11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>85.47</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>85.98</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>13.54</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>86.26</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. dv.</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aLOD: Limit of detection of the Electron Microprobe for each element; Sph-I: disseminated and granular aggregate sphalerite; Sph-II: colloform banded sphalerite; Mcs-I: radiating cockscomb marcasite; Mcs-II: disseminated and massive aggregate marcasite; Gn: galena; n: number of analyses; Min. minimum value; Mean: mean value; Max.: maximum value; St. dv.: standard deviation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>FeS</th>
<th>Log $f_{O_2}$&lt;br&gt;(log $a_{H_2S} = -1$)</th>
<th>Log $f_{O_2}$&lt;br&gt;(log $a_{H_2S} = -3$)</th>
<th>Log $f_{O_2}$&lt;br&gt;(log $a_{H_2S} = -5$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>22.97</td>
<td>-49.40</td>
<td>-45.40</td>
<td>-41.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>-48.25</td>
<td>-44.25</td>
<td>-40.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-46.77</td>
<td>-42.77</td>
<td>-38.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. dv.</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Sph: sphalerite; $n$: number of analyses; Min.: minimum value; Mean: mean value; Max.: maximum value; St. dv.: standard deviation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot No.</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Phase type</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}$S (CDT)</th>
<th>$\sigma$ ($\delta^{34}$S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>JO-20a-1</td>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>-2.56</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>JO-20b-1</td>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>-2.41</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>JO-20a-3</td>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SG-02b-1</td>
<td>Marcasite</td>
<td>-7.51</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SJ-41a-2</td>
<td>Marcasite</td>
<td>-6.95</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SJ-42a-1</td>
<td>Marcasite</td>
<td>-5.84</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SJ-42a-2</td>
<td>Marcasite</td>
<td>-6.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>JO-20d</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SG-02a-1</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>-2.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SG-02a-2</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>-1.88</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SG-05c</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SG-07</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SJ-41a-1</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SJ-41b</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>RO-03-1</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>RO-17</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>JO-20b-2</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot No.</td>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Phase type</td>
<td>$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ (2σ)</td>
<td>$^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ (2σ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>JO-20a-1</td>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>18.736 ± 0.002</td>
<td>15.629 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>JO-20a-2</td>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>18.762 ± 0.001</td>
<td>15.660 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>JO-20d-1</td>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>18.748 ± 0.001</td>
<td>15.643 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>JO-20d-2</td>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>18.750 ± 0.001</td>
<td>15.644 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>