# The ability of electrochemical oxidation with a BDD anode to inactivate Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria in low conductivity sulfate medium

4 Carmina Bruguera-Casamada<sup>1</sup>, Ignasi Sirés<sup>2,\*</sup>, María J. Prieto<sup>1</sup>, Enric Brillas<sup>2</sup>,
5 Rosa M. Araujo<sup>1,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departament de Microbiologia, Facultat de Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Avinguda
Diagonal 643, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Laboratori d'Electroquímica dels Materials i del Medi Ambient, Departament de Química
9 Física, Facultat de Química, Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franquès 1-11, 08028

10 Barcelona, Spain

11

# Paper submitted for publication in Chemosphere

12 Corresponding author: \*E-mail: i.sires@ub.edu (I. Sirés)

13 \*\*E-mail: raraujo@ub.edu (R.M. Araujo)

#### 15 Abstract

The disinfection of 100 mL of synthetic water containing 7 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with 10<sup>6</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> 16 of either Gram-negative or Gram-positive bacteria has been studied by electrochemical 17 oxidation. The electrolytic cell was a stirred tank reactor equipped with a boron-doped 18 diamond (BDD) anode and a stainless steel cathode and the trials were performed at acidic 19 and neutral pH, at 33 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 25 °C. Reactive oxygen species, pre-eminently hydroxyl 20 radicals, were efficiently produced in both media from water oxidation at the BDD anode and 21 the bacteria concentration was reduced by  $\geq 5 \log$  units after 60 min of electrolysis, thus 22 constituting a good chlorine-free disinfection treatment. All the inactivation kinetics were 23 described by a logistic model, with no significant statistical differences between acidic and 24 neutral suspensions. The electrochemical disinfection with BDD was very effective for Gram-25 negative bacilli like E. coli and P. aeruginosa and Gram-positive ones like B. atrophaeus, 26 whereas the Gram-positive cocci S. aureus and E. hirae were more resistant. Thus, the latter 27 organisms are a better choice than E. coli as process indicators. Scanning electron microscopy 28 highlighted a transition from initial cells with standard morphology supported on clean filters 29 to inactivated cells with a highly altered morphology lying on dirty filters with plenty of 30 31 cellular debris. Larger damage was observed for Gram-negative cells compared to Grampositive ones. The inactivation effect could then be related to the chemical composition of the 32 outer layers of the cell structure along with the modification of the transmembrane potentials 33 34 upon current passage.

35 Keywords: Bacillus atrophaeus; Electrochemical disinfection; Enterococcus hirae;
36 Escherichia coli; Pseudomonas aeruginosa; Staphylococcus aureus

# 38 **1. Introduction**

The production of safe water in areas with increasing number of people and scarcity of 39 water is a need. Disinfection is used to reduce the number of pathogenic microorganisms to a 40 low enough level to ensure healthy conditions (WHO, 2015), and must prioritize 41 environmentally friendly methods. Chlorination is the most commonly used disinfection 42 procedure, but it entails several drawbacks such as Cl<sub>2</sub> accumulation and formation of 43 hazardous chloroderivatives. To solve these problems, other alternative methods including 44 ozonation, UV light irradiation and electrochemical disinfection have been developed 45 (Ghernaout and Ghernaout, 2010). To apply electrochemical disinfection as a green 46 technology, its effect on different types of microorganisms under controlled conditions has to 47 be tested. 48

Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes (EAOPs) have recently received 49 increasing attention for both, removal of organic pollutants from wastewater (Ciríaco et al., 50 2009; Panizza and Cerisola, 2009; Sirés and Brillas, 2012; El-Ghenymy et al., 2014; 51 Martínez-Huitle et al. 2015) and disinfection of urban and industrial water (Kraft, 2008; 52 Cañizares et al., 2009; Rodrigo et al., 2010; Rajab et al., 2015; Werschkun et al., 2012), 53 including swimming pools (Nakajima et al., 2004). Electrochemical oxidation (EO) is the 54 most common EAOP utilized for electrochemical disinfection. It is characterized by easy and 55 mild operation conditions (Sirés et al., 2014) and possesses environmental compatibility due 56 to the in situ production of hydroxyl radical (•OH) from water discharge at the anode surface, 57 without requiring the addition of noxious chemicals (Martínez-Huitle and Brillas, 2008; 58 Oturan et al., 2012; Thiam et al., 2015a, b). The most important parameters affecting the 59 disinfection process are the water composition, the hydrodinamics of the system, the kind of 60 anode material and the applied current density (j), since they determine the distribution of 61 oxidants and by-products (Mascia et al., 2012, 2013; Long et al., 2015). Metals like Pt 62

(Nakajima et al., 2004; Kerwick et al., 2005; Jeong et al., 2007; Delaedt et al., 2008), carbon 63 electrodes like graphite and activated carbon fiber (Shang et al., 2013), mixed metal oxides of 64 IrO<sub>2</sub>, PbO<sub>2</sub>, SnO<sub>2</sub>, and/or TiO<sub>2</sub> (Martínez-Huitle and Brillas 2008; Panizza and Cerisola, 65 2008), and conductive boron-doped-diamond (BDD) (Furuta et al., 2004) have been used as 66 anodes. The BDD electrode has excellent properties including large resistance to corrosion in 67 very harsh media, large potential window, low adsorption of •OH and organics and higher O<sub>2</sub> 68 overpotential than other anodes (Martínez-Huitle, 2007; Anglada et al., 2009; Sirés et al., 69 70 2014). As a result, the BDD anode is considered the best one for EO, being able to mineralize 71 most organic molecules in sulfate medium (Hamza et al., 2009; Rodrigo et al., 2010; Pipi et 72 al., 2014; Scialdione et al., 2014).

It has been found that the direct electrolysis with conventional anodes such as Pt and 73 RuO<sub>2</sub> only yields a large disinfection when the treated liquid contains chloride ions (Polcaro 74 et al., 2007). This is due to the oxidation of Cl<sup>-</sup> with formation of active chlorine species (Cl<sub>2</sub>, 75 HClO and/or ClO<sup>-</sup>) that attack the bacterial cell. For example, Nakajima et al. (2004) reported 76 the inactivation of all tested bacteria in 5 min upon generation of 30 mg  $L^{-1}$  active chlorine 77 using a Pt-Ir anode at 30 mA. In contrast, the BDD anode produces a more active 'OH, highly 78 suitable for environmental application. The BDD anode is then very effective in the absence 79 of Cl<sup>-</sup> ion, thus preventing the accumulation of active chlorine and the possible formation of 80 toxic organochlorinated products, chloramines, ClO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (Vacca et al., 2013; Sirés et 81 al., 2014; Martínez-Huitle et al., 2015). In electrochemical disinfection, 'OH is expected to 82 exhibit a superior inactivation performance compared to active chlorine because of its much 83 stronger oxidation ability (Cong et al., 2008). The most common supporting electrolyte to 84 investigate the role of •OH produced at BDD is Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, which presents enough conductivity, 85 86 maintains a correct osmotic potential for the bacterial cells and is chlorine-free.

Some bactericidal mechanisms have been proposed to explain the action of EO with 87 various electrodes under different experimental conditions. However, most of these studies 88 have been focused on Escherichia coli as process indicator and use chlorine-containing media 89 for the mediated oxidation by active chlorine (Drees et al., 2003; Kerwick et al., 2005; Jeong 90 et al. 2009). E. coli is an indicator microorganism for sanitary water quality and has been 91 widely used as a model organism due to its easy growth under laboratory conditions. Using a 92 BDD electrode in non-chloride media, Polcaro et al. (2007) reported a reduction of three 93 orders of magnitude of this bacterium in 60 s and Jeong et al. (2009) described an inactivation 94 of 2.4 log units in 3 min. In 0.10% Cl<sup>-</sup> suspensions, Yao et al. (2011) found that the use of the 95 BDD anode was able to yield overall inactivation in a longer time of 30 min. 96

97 Other bacteria, with a different morphology and wall structure compared to *E. coli*, 98 should be comparatively tested in order to determine the actual disinfection ability of 99 electrochemical processes, as well as to validate *E. coli* as the right process indicator. To do 100 this, we have undertaken a study on the EO treatment of different Gram-positive and Gram-101 negative strains that are the benchmark for the bactericidal tests according to the AENOR 102 standard.

103 This paper reports the results obtained for the electrochemical inactivation of five bacteria with different cell walls and morphology, representatives of the bacterial pathogens 104 found in the aquatic environment. Two Gram-negative bacteria, Escherichia coli and 105 Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and three Gram-positive ones, Bacillus atrophaeus, 106 Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus hirae, were treated by EO with a BDD anode in 107 108  $Na_2SO_4$  medium under acidic and neutral conditions. The inactivation results of the five organisms were modelled and compared to establish the most appropriate indicators for the 109 EO process. The changes in the outer structure of the cell walls were examined by scanning 110 electron spectroscopy (SEM). 111

#### 112 **2. Materials and methods**

# 113 2.1. Tested bacteria and culture preparation

Strains of two rod-shaped Gram-negative bacteria, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATTC 114 15442 and Escherichia coli ATTC 10536, one rod-shaped Gram-positive bacterium, Bacillus 115 atrophaeus ATCC 9372 (deposited as B. subtilis var. niger), and two Gram-positive cocci, 116 117 Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538 and Enterococcus hirae ATCC 10541, were used. The bacteria were cultured in Trypticasein Soy Agar (TSA) plates, supplied by Laboratorio 118 Conda, at 37 °C for 24 h. Further, the cells were spiked in 2 mL of 7 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (analytical 119 grade from Panreac) and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 2 min. After washing twice with 1 mL 120 of 7 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the resulting pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of the same electrolyte giving 121 rise to an optical density at 600 nm (O.D. 600) of  $0.7\pm0.1$ , corresponding to about  $10^8$  colony-122 forming units per mL (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>). 123

#### 124 2.2. Electrolytic system

All the EO trials were performed in a one-compartment, two-electrode cylindric glass 125 tank reactor of 150 mL capacity. The cell was surrounded with a jacket to keep the treated 126 suspension at 25 °C under circulation of external thermostated water. The anode was a BDD 127 thin-film electrode purchased from NeoCoat and the cathode was a stainless steel (AISI 304) 128 sheet, both of 3  $cm^2$  area. The interelectrode gap was near 1 cm. Electrolytic trials were 129 carried out at a low constant current density of 33.3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> to show the disinfection power 130 of EO with a BDD anode and under vigorous stirring with a magnetic bar at 800 rpm for 131 ensuring mixing and the transport of bacteria and cytoplasmic residues toward the anode. 132 Before the assays, the anode surface was cleaned via polarization in a 50 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 133 solution at 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for 180 min. 134

135 *2.3. EO assays* 

For each disinfection experiment, 100 mL of aqueous solutions with 7 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> were prepared with ultrapure water of resistivity > 18 M $\Omega$  cm obtained from a Millipore Milli-Q system. The solution was then spiked with a single bacterial strain (10<sup>8</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) to obtain a suspension with 10<sup>6</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. The influence of pH on bacterial inactivation was studied at acidic pH~3 by adding analytical grade H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> from Panreac and at neutral pH~7 by adding NaOH. The results were compared using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistical test.

After each treatment, the tank reactor was cleaned with 150 mL of a mixture composed of 143 100 mL of 33% (w/v) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (analytical grade from Panreac Química) and 100 ml of 96% 144 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (analytical grade from Panreac Química) in 1 L of Milli-Q water for 10 min under 145 vigorous stirring. Afterwards, it was rinsed with ultrapure water and dried in an oven at 80 °C. 146 The electrodes were cleaned by immersion in ultrapure water at 100 °C for 10 min and, 147 subsequently, they were dried using an air stream.

# 148 2.4. Instruments and analytical methods

The O.D. 600 was measured with a Camspec M108 spectrophotometer. The pH and 149 electrical conductance of bacterial suspensions were determined with a Crison GLP 22 pH-150 meter and a Metrohm 644 conductimeter, respectively. For electrolysis, the constant current 151 was provided by an Amel 2053 potentiostat-galvanostat, using a Demestres 601BR digital 152 multimeter for the instantaneous measurement of cell voltage. TOC analysis of samples 153 withdrawn from electrolyzed suspensions was carried out using a Shimadzu TOC-VCNS 154 analyzer. Reproducible TOC values with an accuracy of  $\pm 1\%$  were found by injecting 50 µL 155 aliquots into the analyzer. 156

157 Aliquots of 1 mL were withdrawn at regular times for 60-90 min of electrolysis. These 158 samples were diluted and cultured in duplicate on TSA plates and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. 159 Inactivation was determined from the reduction of culturability as log units reduction, i.e., log 160  $(N_t/N_0)$ , where  $N_t$  is the CFU value at given time and  $N_0$  is the initial CFU value. The theoretical detection limit was 1 bacterium per mL. All the EO trials were made thrice(independent experiments).

The surface structure and morphological changes of each bacterium during EO 163 disinfection were analyzed by SEM (Gu et al., 2001; Diao et al., 2004). In each case, two 164 samples were collected at initial time and after 45 min of electrolysis. Each bacterial 165 166 suspension was filtered through a 0.2 µm polycarbonate membrane filter from Millipore. The 167 filter was then immersed for 30 min in a 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution buffered with 0.1 M cacodylate at pH 7.4. Further, it was preserved at 4 °C before being processed as follows: The 168 filter was post-fixed in 1% OsO4, washed with 0.2 M sodium cacodylate and dehydrated with 169 170 a graded series of ethanol solutions from 30 to 100%, with 10% increments up to 80% and 5% up to 100%. After dehydration, samples were dried with critical point drying and coated with 171 gold before observation. SEM images were obtained with a JEOL JSM-7001F equipment at 172 173 15 kV.

#### 174 **3. Results and discussion**

# 175 *3.1. Operation conditions during EO disinfection*

The change in TOC, conductivity, cell voltage and pH for 100 mL of suspensions 176 containing 7 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the single bacteria strains at a concentration of  $10^6$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> 177 was determined after 60-90 min of EO treatment at 33.3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> to know the characteristics 178 of the disinfection process. TOC analysis is an alternative way to monitor the degradation of 179 180 the organic matter generated during the lysis of cells. An average TOC value of 2.55±1.50 mg C L<sup>-1</sup> was found for the initial bacterial suspensions, which arouse from the bacteria content 181 182 plus compounds remaining upon culture preparation. TOC was only reduced to 2.25±0.90 mg C L<sup>-1</sup> in average at the end of EO. These findings point to a very small mineralization of the 183 184 organic matter, either the culture compounds or the spread cell wall and cytoplasm, during the 185 disinfection process. This suggests that the main action of the electric field and the 'OH formed at the BDD surface during the electrolysis is the inactivation of the bacterial strains. 186 Similarly, the conductivity of 1.59±0.1 mS cm<sup>-1</sup> of the untreated suspensions with 7 mM 187 Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> only increased slightly up to 1.70±0.1 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>, as expected if only small amounts of 188 cytoplasmic salts were released during the process. Regarding the average cell voltage of the 189 BDD/stainless steel tank reactor, it also underwent a small decay from  $16.5\pm1.6$  V to  $15.8\pm1.5$ 190 V during electrolysis, which can be related to the small increase in conductivity. In the case of 191 solution pH, no significant variation was observed during the EO treatments. Thus, the pH of 192 acidic solutions varied from 3.2±0.3 to 3.4±0.5, whereas the pH of neutral solutions changed 193 from  $7.1\pm0.2$  to  $7.6\pm0.6$ . This indicates that, under our experimental conditions with an 194 undivided tank reactor, the H<sup>+</sup> formation from water oxidation at the BDD anode was 195 counterbalanced either by its reduction at the cathode under acidic conditions or, 196 preferentially, by OH<sup>-</sup> production at the cathode in neutral medium (Cho et al., 2004; Sirés et 197 198 al., 2014).

All the aforementioned results bring to consider that the EO treatments of all bacteria suspensions occurred under quasi-steady conditions and thus, the main effects were at cellular and subcellular level but did not alter the macroscopic properties of the suspensions.

202 *3.2. Effect of pH on bacteria inactivation* 

A first series of experiments was carried out to assess the stability of the different bacteria in suspensions at pH near 3 and 7 before EO treatment. The acidic medium was chosen to further check in future the viability of other EAOPs like electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton that operate at optimum pH close to 3 (Sirés and Brillas, 2012; Thiam et al., 2015c). The two Gram-negative microorganisms, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli*, as well as the Grampositive cocci *S. aureus* and *E. hirae*, did not undergo any kind of inactivation in the two tested media. In contrast, the content of the Gram-positive bacterium *B. atrophaeus* was not stable in none of the sulfate media since it was reduced by two log units at pH~3 and half log unit at pH~7 after 60 min of stirring. Note that Geveke and Kozempel (2003) have also reported that acidification of *E.coli* suspensions did not cause any inactivation of this bacterium.

According to the above results, the suspensions of all the bacteria in 7 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> were prepared just before their EO treatment at 33.3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> to obtain comparable inactivation data. Fig. 1 depicts the gradual reduction of log ( $N_t/N_0$ ) with electrolysis time for the five bacteria in the two tested media. As can be seen, the content of all strains diminished more than 5 log units upon electrolysis, although with different inactivation kinetics.

219 Fig. 1 shows that the inactivation was already quantitative at 45 min, since at pH~3 the  $\log (N_t/N_0)$  values of all the bacteria diminished about 6 units, except in the case of E. hirae 220 since it decayed near 5 log units. Similarly, the results at pH~7 showed that the rod-shape 221 222 bacteria decreased 6 log units or more, whereas the cocci dropped about 4.5 log units. At the end of the EO treatment, Fig. 1 evidences that the two Gram-negative as well as the Gram-223 positive bacilli were totally inactivated, whereas in the case of Gram-positive cocci, S. aureus 224 and E. hirae, some few cells still survived, except in the case of S. aureus at pH~3. Despite 225 the differences observed for the electrochemical disinfection at pH near 3 and 7, it can be 226 227 concluded that the effect of pH was not statistically significant according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, as will be discussed below. 228

The five strains suspended in synthetic water with 7 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at both pH values tested then showed a reduction of  $\geq$  5 log ( $N_t/N_0$ ) units in 60 min by EO with a BDD anode at a current density of 33.3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. This significant bacterial inactivation, greater than 99.999%, was mainly achieved by the action of the physisorbed BDD(\*OH) radicals formed at the BDD surface from the anodic oxidation of water (Martínez-Huitle and Brillas, 2008; Panizza and Cerisola, 2009). Under comparable conditions, our results highlight that the most resistant bacteria were the cocci *S. aureus* and *E. hirae*, whereas the most fragile microorganism was
the bacillus *B. atrophaeus*, being all Gram-positive. Based on the electrochemical inactivation
found, one can divide the tested bacteria into three groups:

(i) The two Gram-negative bacilli, *E. coli and P. aeruginosa*, with a very similarinactivation rate.

(ii) The two Gram-positive cocci, *S. aureus* and *E. hirae*, which were the most resistant
microorganisms to this kind of treatment, and

(iii) finally, the Gram-positive bacillum, *B. atrophaeus*, which was the most fragile,which can be at least partly linked to its sensitivity to pH variations during EO.

It should be noted that *B. atrophaeus* has been called *B. subtilis var. niger* in previously published literature and, consequently, much information about its inactivation is given elsewhere, especially when it is in its sporulated form that largely increases its resistance (Yoon et al., 2007). However, when survival studies were performed with vegetative cells, as in our case (disinfection is only related to vegetative forms), it has simply been reported that *B. subtilis* declined more rapidly than other Gram-positive bacteria like *P. fluorescens* in soils (Van Elsas et al., 1986).

Other authors have also compared the inactivation of several bacteria by EO with a BDD anode, showing similar trends to those found by us. Thus, Polcaro et al. (2007) reported a reduction of the content of *E. coli*, coliforms and enterococci from  $10^3$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> to their detection limit after 60, 100 and 300 s of electrolysis, respectively, using 1 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. On the other hand, Heim et al. (2015) described fast bacterial reduction rates, close to 5 log units, for *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. faecium* up to a specific charge consumption of 75 mAh L<sup>-1</sup>, followed by a continuous but much slower inactivation.

It must be mentioned that, apart from the strong physisorbed oxidant BDD(•OH) generated at the BDD surface, this anode can also form other weaker reactive oxygen species

(ROS) from water oxidation such as atomic oxygen (•O),  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_3$  (Polcaro et al., 2007; Martínez-Huitle and Brillas, 2008). Furthermore, other weaker oxidants can be produced from the oxidation of the supporting electrolyte, like persulfate ( $S_2O_8^{2-}$ ) ion from the oxidation of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ion (Sirés et al., 2014). All these oxidizing species are helpful for disinfection because they can damage the cell membranes, therefore altering their permeability and finally leading to their rupture (Diao et al., 2004). This point will be discussed below from SEM analysis of the untreated and inactivated bacteria.

# 267 3.3. Modelling inactivation kinetics

Numerous models have been proposed to describe bacterial survival curves, some of them including terms that account for shoulder and tailing phenomena. The logarithmic inactivation data for each bacteria shown in Fig. 1 were adjusted to a modified logistic model based on Kamau et al. (1990), expressed as follows:

272 
$$\log (N_t/N_0) = \frac{I}{1 + a \exp (i t)}$$
 (1)

273 where I denotes the theoretical maximum log reduction achieved upon the EO treatment, a is a parameter of adjustment related to the shape of the first shoulder, *i* is the inactivation rate (in 274  $min^{-1}$ ) and t is the electrolysis time (in min). It should be mentioned that ideal mixing is 275 assumed here, thus ensuring the maximum mass transport toward/from the electrodes. 276 Therefore, the existence of poor hydrodynamic conditions in our laboratory cell can be 277 discarded. In contrast, Mascia et al. (2012) reported the significant effect of flow pattern 278 279 inside the disinfection unit when treating larger volumes using a filter-press cell, owing to the dispersion phenomena, stagnant zones and bypass flows. When Eq. (1) was applied, it was 280 observed that the second shoulder or tail was highly influenced by the detection limit of the 281 processed volume and the initial concentration of the studied bacteria. Since the fittings were 282 very similar at pH close to 3 and 7, a unique pH-independent plot has been represented from 283

the independent trials made for each bacterium. Fig. 2a-e depicts the graphs thus obtained for the five bacteria, along with the corresponding curves (upper and lower dashed lines) related to 95% confidence intervals on these fits. Table 1 summarizes the fitting parameters of Eq. (1) found in each case, along with the square of their regression coefficients ( $R^2$ ). The latter values corroborate the goodness of Eq. (1) to describe the inactivation trends of all the tested bacteria.

As expected, a first look to Fig. 2 confirms that S. aureus was the most resistant strain, 290 whereas *B. atrophaeus* was the most sensitive one. No significant differences can be observed 291 between the intermediate inactivation values of the other bacteria. For example, S. aureus 292 293 reached a 4 log reduction after 38 min of EO treatment, whereas 30 min were required for E. coli, 28 min for P. aeruginosa, 23 min for E. hirae and only 14 min for B. atrophaeus. Total 294 inactivation of the latter one with a decrease of 6 log units was already reached in 30 min. A 295 296 similar drop of more than 6 log units for E. coli and P. aeruginosa was found after 60 min of electrolysis, whereas S. aureus and E. hirae required longer time to attain their total 297 inactivation. For E. coli, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus and E. hirae, a first shoulder at short time 298 can be seen in Fig. 2a, b, d and e, respectively, whereupon the log  $(N_t/N_0)$  values decayed up 299 to reach overall disinfection, although for E. hirae, the inactivation rate seemed to become 300 301 drastically reduced once reached a 5 log reduction. The presence of the initial shoulder could be related with the existence of more resistant cells within the whole bacteria populations. 302 This trend was not valid for *B. atrophaeus*, which underwent a much quicker inactivation 303 from the beginning of the electrolysis. Therefore, the classification mentioned in Section 3.2 304 is now verified: 305

306 (i) The two Gram-negative bacilli, *E. coli and P. aeruginosa*, presented a first shoulder in 307 the log ( $N_t/N_0$ )-t plot, followed by a rapid steep decay to end in their total inactivation.

308 (ii) The two Gram-positive cocci, *S. aureus* and *E. hirae*, presented a first shoulder in the 309  $\log (N_t/N_0)$ -*t* plot as well, followed by a less pronounced drop than in case (*i*) to end in a tail. 310 This behavior evidences the need of longer time to reach their total inactivation, and

311 (iii) finally, the Gram-positive bacillum, *B. atrophaeus*, was rapidly inactivated with no312 shoulder appearing during the treatment.

To better compare the EO disinfection of bacterial suspensions, the times for 1 log 313 reduction or 90% of inactivation ratio (T<sub>90</sub>), 2 log or 99% (T<sub>99</sub>), 3 log or 99.9% (T<sub>99.9</sub>), 4 log or 314 99.99% ( $T_{99.99}$ ) and 5 log or 99.999% ( $T_{99.999}$ ) were determined considering the kinetic 315 relationship given by Eq. (1). The data obtained are summarized in Table 2. As can be seen, 316 317 B. atrophaeus, a rod-shaped Gram-positive bacterium, required shorter times for inactivation 318 compared to the others, regardless of the considered inactivation ratio. For both rod-shaped Gram-negative bacteria, P. aeruginosa and E. coli, T<sub>99,99</sub> was between 28 and 30 min and 319 320  $T_{99,999}$  between 32 and 35 min. In contrast, the Gram-positive cocci needed longer times to reach a given inactivation ratio, in agreement with their higher resistance. While in the case of 321 E. hirae, T<sub>99.99</sub> was similar to that found for Gram-negative bacteria, S. aureus presented a 322 higher value. As for  $T_{99,999}$  values, the difference was larger than 11 and 27 min compared to 323 324 the Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacilli, respectively. For example, the differences 325 between E. coli and S. aureus were of 7 and 11 min for T<sub>99,99</sub> and T<sub>99,999</sub>, respectively, and up to 23 and 27 min in the case of *B. atrophaeus* vs. *S. aureus* to reach those inactivation ratios. 326

Interestingly, once reached an inactivation ratio of 90%, the three bacilli, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *B. atrophaeus*, needed similar time intervals to ensure an additional log unit reduction, ranging between 3.1 and 4.8 min, whereas *S. aureus* required longer intervals (between 5.8 and 8.2 min). In the case of *E. hirae*, the time intervals up to  $T_{99.9}$  were similar to those of bacilli (3.1 - 4.8 min), but from  $T_{99.99}$  to  $T_{99.999}$  the intervals became longer, being analogous to those of *S. aureus*. For most bacteria, the time intervals between  $T_{99}$  and  $T_{99.9}$  and between  $T_{99,9}$  and  $T_{99,99}$  were then shorter than the initial interval from  $T_{90}$  to  $T_{99}$  and the last interval to  $T_{99,999}$ . This behavior was also verified for *E. hirae* but only up to  $T_{99,99}$ .

Our results agree with those from other authors that pointed out that, in general, big cells tend to be more susceptible to an electric field than small and oval ones, which may justify the significantly slower inactivation of cocci compared to bacilli (Machado et al., 2010; Guillemes Peira, 2014). On the other hand, it has been reported that the Gram-negative bacteria are more sensitive than the Gram-positive ones to pulsed electric fields (Barsotti and Cheftel, 1999; Jeyamkondan et al., 1999). This has also been found in the present study, except in the case of *B. atrophaeus*.

#### 342 *3.4. SEM analysis during disinfection trials*

SEM micrographs of the cells of the different bacterial strains were obtained before 343 electrolysis and after 45 min of their EO treatments with a BDD anode at 33.3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, as 344 depicted in Fig. 3a-e. Before treatment, the cells showed their standard morphology, three 345 bacillary forms of similar size and two coccoid forms, and the filters were clean. In contrast, 346 their morphology was largely altered upon EO disinfection, becoming the cell surface of all 347 the bacteria much rougher. Moreover, the filters became dirty with a great deal of cellular 348 349 debris, probably because large amounts of cellular material were released from the inactivated cells. E. coli (see Fig. 3a) and P. aeruginosa (see Fig. 3b), both with a Gram-negative cell 350 wall, underwent the most significant surface modification, which was less evident in the case 351 of the bacteria with a Gram-positive wall, like the bacillus B. atrophaeus (see Fig. 3c) and the 352 cocci S. aureus (see Fig. 3d) and E. hirae (see Fig. 3e). However, it seems that the cell 353 appearance of the latter two organisms pointed to some shrinkage. 354

The inactivation kinetics of the tested strains and their morphological changes can then be related to the attack of ROS, like BDD(•OH) and O<sub>3</sub>, produced in situ by EO on their cellular walls having different structure. The effect of these oxidants can be explained from other

disinfection techniques. It has been described that they diffuse toward the outer layers of the 358 359 bacterial cells and then infiltrate into the membrane and cytoplasm, reacting with proteins and unsaturated lipids. Consequently, the cell walls may be broken by lysis, causing the leackage 360 of inner compounds to the reaction medium and, simultaneously, the radicals can penetrate 361 into the cytoplasm and affect the enzymes and DNA molecules (Hunt and Mariñas, 1999). 362 Accordingly, our SEM results show that the ROS generated by BDD caused changes in the 363 364 cell envelope, which became rougher, especially in the Gram-negative bacilli. The vast majority of the cells, after 45 min of electrolysis, lost their growth ability, despite the 365 apparently unaffected morphology of most of them, as also claimed by other authors 366 367 (Machado et al., 2010). Hunt and Mariñas (1999) explained that chemical reactions between O<sub>3</sub> and biomolecules continue after loss of inactivation until the disinfectant is exhausted or 368 the biomolecules are completely oxidized. Thus, the generated ROS in EO directly affect the 369 370 cell walls causing their membrane cleavage (Diao et al., 2004). Other authors have also reported that the EO treatment of E. coli in sulfate medium induces damage to the cell 371 372 membrane. The generated chemicals attack the membrane proteins and modify the K<sup>+</sup> balances, which affects the cell division and the synthesis of cellular ATP until causing the 373 bacterial inactivation (Polcaro et al, 2007, Jeong et al., 2009). Long et al. (2015) also 374 375 observed lipid peroxidation during electrochemical disinfection with a BDD anode.

According to our SEM observations, bacteria with Gram-positive wall preserve their cell structure better than the Gram-positive ones. This could be explained by the molecular composition of the outer layers, since the Gram-positive cells have a thick peptidoglycan layer, whereas the Gram-negative ones only have a phospholipid bilayer with lipopolysaccharide molecules and proteins under which there is a much thinner peptidoglycan layer. Some studies have shown that the phospholipid membrane is hardly oxidizable, whereas the proteins are easier to destroy under the direct effect of the electric current (Linley et al., 2012). There is less information about the relationship between ROS and the outer membrane of Gram-positive cells, but our results suggest that their outer layer was quite resistant during inactivation, maintaining the initial structure despite the slight size reduction observed in the case of both cocci. It is also noteworthy that electrogenerated  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_3$ can go through the membranes and reach the vital centre of the cells (Drogui et al., 2001)

An additional significant difference observed upon EO treatment was the appearance of 388 cellular debris, also described elsewhere. For example, Diao et al. (2004) observed substantial 389 intracellular materials leaked out from the cells after electrochemical disinfection of E. coli 390 suspensions using dimensionally stable anodes, which was ascribed to the oxidation of the 391 membranes by electrogenerated ROS such as peroxides, 'OH and ozone. Finally, note that in 392 the EO assays the cell membranes might undergo large modifications of their transmembrane 393 potentials due to the concomitant electric field of ca. 16.5 V cm<sup>-1</sup> in the BDD/stainless steel 394 tank reactor. It has been reported that, if the resulting transmembrane potential value ranges 395 396 between 0.2 and 1 V, reversible pore formation in the membrane (electroporation or electropermeabilization) may occur. Greater values lead to the cell death (Weaver and 397 Chizmadzhev, 1996: Machado et al., 2010), therefore contributing to their inactivation. Since 398 critical electric fields in the kV cm<sup>-1</sup> range would be necessary to promote irreversible 399 electroporation (García et al., 2016), its contribution in the present study seems rather 400 401 insignificant.

## 402 **4. Conclusions**

It has been shown that the five tested bacterial strains, two Gram-negative and three Gram-positive, suspended at  $10^6$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> in synthetic water with 7 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, experienced a significant reduction of at least 5 log units within 60 min of EO with a BDD anode at 33.3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. This method can then be considered a suitable chlorine-free

disinfection treatment. ROS, pre-eminently hydroxyl radicals, generated at the BDD surface 407 408 were very efficient under acidic and neutral conditions. Although apparently the inactivation seemed more effective at pH~3, no relevant statistical differences were fond at pH~7. A 409 410 modified logistic model has been used to describe the inactivation kinetics in all cases. The electrochemical disinfection with BDD was very effective for the bacilli E. coli, P. 411 aeruginosa and B. atrophaeus, being the latter one much more sensitive. In contrast, the 412 413 Gram-positive cocci S. aureus and E. hirae were more resistant and, consequently, they should be chosen as more appropriate indicators than E. coli for the EO treatment. The SEM 414 micrographs of all bacteria showed a transition from cells with standard morphology 415 416 supported on clean filters to cells with a highly altered morphology lying on dirty filters with plenty of cellular debris due to their lysis. These observations revealed a greater damage in 417 the case of the Gram-negative organisms, due to their particular cell wall structure. The 418 419 overall inactivation effect can then be explained not only on the basis of oxidizing electrogenerated ROS but also from the different chemical composition of the outer cell 420 layers and the large modifications of the transmembrane potentials upon application of the 421 electric current. 422

# 423 Acknowledgements

The authors thank financial support under project CTQ2013-48897-C2-1-R (MINECO, FEDER, EU), and from the "Grups de recerca reconeguts" (Generalitat de Catalunya) 2014 SGR 83 and 2014 SGR 914. Technical support from CCiTUB (Centres Científics i Tecnològics de la Universitat de Barcelona) is also acknowledged.

# 428 **References**

429 Anglada, A., Urtiaga, A., Ortiz, I., 2009. Contributions of electrochemical oxidation to waste-

- water treatment: Fundamentals and review of applications. J. Chem. Technol. Biotech.
  84(12), 1747–1755.
- Barsotti, L., Cheftel, J.C., 1999. Food processing by pulsed electric fields. II. Biological
  aspects. Food Rev. Int. 15(2), 181–213.
- Cañizares, P., Hernández, M., Rodrigo, M.A., Saez, C., Barrera, C.E., Roa, G., 2009.
  Electrooxidation of brown-colored molasses wastewater. Effect of the electrolyte salt on
  the process efficiency. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 48(3), 1298–1301.
- Cho, M., Chung, H., Choi, W., Yoon, J., 2004. Linear correlation between inactivation of *E*. *coli* and OH radical concentration in TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalytic disinfection. Water Res. 38(4),
- 439 1069–1077.
- Ciríaco, L., Anjo, C., Correia, J., Pacheco, M.J., Lopes, A., 2009. Electrochemical
  degradation of ibuprofen on Ti/Pt/PbO<sub>2</sub> and Si/BDD electrodes. Electrochim. Acta
  54(5), 1464–1472.
- Cong, Y., Wu, Z., Li, Y., 2008. Electrochemical inactivation of coliforms by in-situ generated
  hydroxyl radicals. Korean J. Chem. Eng. 25(4), 727–731.
- Delaedt, Y., Daneels, A., Declerk, P., Behets, J., Ryckeboer, J., Peters, E., Ollevier, F., 2008.
- The impact of electrochemical disinfection on Escherichia coli and *Legionella pneumophila* in tap water. Microbiol. Res. 163(2), 192–199.
- 448 Diao, H.F., Li, X.Y., Gu, J.D., Shi, H.C., Xie, Z.M., 2004. Electron microscopic investigation
- of the bactericidal action of electrochemical disinfection in comparison with
  chlorination, ozonation and Fenton reaction. Process Biochem. 39(11), 1421–1426.
- 451 Drees, K.P., Abbaszadegan, M., Maier, R.M., 2003. Comparative electrochemical inactivation
  452 of bacteria and bacteriophage. Water Res. 37(10), 2291–2300.

- Drogui, P., Elmaleh, S., Rumeau, M., Bernard, C., Rambaud, A., 2001. Oxidising and
  disinfecting by hydrogen peroxide produced in a two-electrode cell. Water Res. 35(13),
  3235-3241.
- 456 El-Ghenymy, A., Centellas, F., Garrido, J.A., Rodríguez, R.M., Sirés, I., Cabot, P.L., Brillas,
- 457 E., 2014. Decolorization and mineralization of Orange G azo dye solutions by anodic
- 458 oxidation with a boron-doped diamond anode in divided and undivided tank reactors.
- 459 Electrochim. Acta 130, 568–576.
- 460 Furuta, T., Tanaka, H., Nishiki, Y., Pupunat, L., Haenni, W., Rychen, Ph., 2004. Legionella
  461 inactivation with diamond electrodes. Diam. Rel. Mater. 13(11-12), 2016–2019.
- García, P.A., Ge, Z., Moran, J.L., Buie, C.R., 2016. Microfluidic screening of electric fields
  for electroporation. Sci. Rep. 6, 21238.
- Geveke, D.J., Kozempel, M.F., 2003. Pulsed electric field effects on bacteria and yeast cells.
  J. Food Process. Preserv. 27(1), 65–72.
- Ghernaout, D., Ghernaout, B., 2010. From chemical disinfection to electrodisinfection: The
  obligatory itinerary? Desalin. Water Treat. 16(1-3), 156–175.
- Gu, J., Belay, B., Mitchell, R., 2001. Protection of catheter surfaces from adhesion of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by a combination of silver ions and lectins. World J.
  Microbiol. Biotechnol. 17(2), 173–179.
- Guillemes Peira, A., 2014. Aplicación de la oxidación avanzada en la desinfección de aguas
  residuales. Ing. Hidráulica Ambiental 35(3), 60–72.
- Hamza, M., Abdelhedi, R., Brillas, E., Sirés, I., 2009. Comparative electrochemical
  degradation of the triphenylmethane dye Methyl Violet with boron-doped diamond and
  Pt anodes. J. Electroanal. Chem. 627 (1-2), 41-50.
- 476 Heim, C., Ureña de Vivanco, M., Rajab, M., Müller, E., Letzel, T., Helmreich, B., 2015.
- 477 Rapid inactivation of waterborne bacteria using boron-doped diamond electrodes. Int. J.

- 478 Environ. Sci. Technol. 12(10), 3061–3070.
- Hunt, N.K., Mariñas, B.J., 1999. Inactivation of Escherichia coli with ozone: chemical and
  inactivation kinetics. Water Res. 33(11), 2633-2641.
- 481 Jeong, J., Kim, J.Y., Cho, M., Choi, W., Yoon, J., 2007. Inactivation of Escherichia coli in
- the electrochemical disinfection process using a Pt anode. Chemosphere 67(4), 652–659.
- Jeong, J., Kim, C., Yoon, J., 2009. The effect of electrode material on the generation of
  oxidants and microbial inactivation in the electrochemical disinfection processes. Water
  Res. 43(4), 895–901.
- Jeyamkondan, S., Jayas, D.S., Holley, R.A., 1999. Pulsed electric field processing of foods: A
  Review. J. Food Prot. 62(9), 1088–1096.
- Kamau, D.N., Doores, S., Pruitt, K.M., 1990. Enhanced thermal destruction of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Staphylococcus aureus* by the lactoperoxidase system. Appl.
  Environ. Microbiol. 56(9), 2711–2716.
- Kerwick, M.I., Reddy, S.M., Chamberlain, A.H.L., Holt, D.M., 2005. Electrochemical
  disinfection, an environmentally acceptable method of drinking water disinfection?
  Electrochim. Acta 50(25-26), 5270–5277.
- 495 Kraft, A., 2008. Electrochemical water disinfection: A short review. Platin. Met. Rev. 52(3),
  496 177–185.
- Linley, E., Denyer, S.P., McDonnell, G., Simons, C., Maillard, J.Y., 2012. Use of hydrogen
  peroxide as a biocide: new consideration of its mechanisms of biocidal action. J.
  Antimicrob. Chemother. 67(7), 1589-1596.
- Long, Y., Ni, J., Wang, Z., 2015. Subcellular mechanism of *Escherichia coli* inactivation
  during electrochemical disinfection with boron-doped diamond anode: A comparative
  study of three electrolytes. Water Res. 84, 198–206.

- Machado, L.F., Pereira, R.N., Martins, R.C., Teixeira, J.A., Vicente, A.A., 2010. Moderate
  electric fields can inactivate *Escherichia coli* at room temperature. J. Food Eng. 96(4),
  520–527.
- Martínez-Huitle, C.A., 2007. Conductive diamond electrodes for water purification. Mater.
  Res. 10(4), 419–424.
- Martínez-Huitle, C.A., Brillas, E., 2008. Electrochemical alternatives for drinking water
  disinfection. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47(11), 1998–2005.
- Martínez-Huitle, C.A., Rodrigo, M.A., Sirés, I., Scialdone, O., 2015. Single and coupled
  electrochemical processes and reactors for the abatement of organic water pollutants: A
  critical review. Chem. Rev. 115(24), 13362–13407.
- Mascia, M., Vacca, A., Palmas, S., 2012. Fixed bed reactors with three dimensional
  electrodes for electrochemical treatment of waters for disinfection. Chem. Eng. J. 211212, 479-487.
- Mascia, M., Vacca, A., Palmas, S., 2013. Electrochemical treatment as a pre-oxidative step
  for algae removal using *Chlorella vulgaris* as a model organism and BDD anodes.
  Chem. Eng. J. 219, 512-519.
- Nakajima, N., Nakano, T., Harada, F., Taniguchi, H., Yokoyama, I., Hirose, J., Daikoku, E.
  Sano, K., 2004. Evaluation of disinfective potential of reactivated free chlorine in
  pooled tap water by electrolysis. J. Microbiol. Meth. 57(2), 163–173.
- Oturan, N., Brillas, E., Oturan, M.A., 2012. Unprecedented total mineralization of atrazine
  and cyanuric acid by anodic oxidation and electro-Fenton with a boron-doped diamond
  anode. Environ. Chem. Lett. 10 (2), 165-170.
- Panizza, M., Cerisola, G., 2008. Electrochemical degradation of methyl red using BDD and
  PbO<sub>2</sub> anodes. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 47(18), 6816–6820.
- 527 Panizza, M., Cerisola, G., 2009. Direct and mediated anodic oxidation of organic pollutants.

- Chem. Rev. 109(12), 6541–69.
- Pipi, A.R.F., De Andrade, A., Brillas, E., Sirés, I., 2014. Total removal of alachlor from water
  by electrochemical processes. Sep. Purif. Technol. 132, 674-683.
- Polcaro, A.M., Vacca, A., Mascia, M., Palmas, S., Pompei, R., Laconi, S., 2007.
  Characterization of a stirred tank electrochemical cell for water disinfection processes.
  Electrochim. Acta 52(7), 2595–2602.
- Rajab, M., Heim, C., Letzel, T., Drewes, J.E., Helmreich, B., 2015. Electrochemical
  disinfection using boron-doped diamond electrode –The synergetic effects of in situ
  ozone and free chlorine generation. Chemosphere 121, 47-53.
- Rodrigo, M.A., Cañizares, P., Sánchez-Carretero, A., Sáez, C., 2010. Use of conductivediamond electrochemical oxidation for wastewater treatment. Catal. Today 151(1-2),
  173–177.
- Scialdone, O., Corrado, E., Galia, A., Sirés, I., 2014. Electrochemical processes in macro and
  microfluidic cells for the abatement of chloroacetic acid from water. Electrochim. Acta
  132, 15-24.
- Shang, K., Qiao, Z., Sun, B., Fan, X., Ai, S., 2013. An efficient electrochemical disinfection
  of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* in drinking water using ferrocene–PAMAM–multiwalled
  carbon nanotubes–chitosan nanocomposite modified pyrolytic graphite electrode. J.
  Solid State Electrochem. 17(6), 1685–1691.
- Sirés, I., Brillas, E., 2012. Remediation of water pollution caused by pharmaceutical residues
  based on electrochemical separation and degradation technologies: A review. Environ.
  Int. 40(1), 212–229.
- Sirés, I., Brillas, E., Oturan, M.A., Rodrigo, M.A., Panizza, M., 2014. Electrochemical
  advanced oxidation processes: Today and tomorrow. A review. Environ. Sci. Pollut.
  Res. 21(14), 8336–8367.

- Thiam, A., Brillas, E., Centellas, F., Cabot, P.L., Sirés, I., 2015a. Electrochemical reactivity
  of Ponceau 4R (food additive E124) in different electrolytes and batch cells.
  Electrochim. Acta 173, 523-533.
- Thiam, A., Sirés, I., Garrido, J.A., Rodríguez, R.M., Brillas, E., 2015b. Effect of anions on
  electrochemical degradation of azo dye Carmoisine (Acid Red 14) using a BDD anode
  and air-diffusion cathode. Sep. Purif, Technol. 140, 43–52.
- Thiam, A., Brillas, E., Garrido, J.A., Rodríguez, R.M., Sirés, I., 2015c. Routes for the
  electrochemical degradation of the artificial food azo-colour Ponceau 4R by advanced
  oxidation processes. Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 180, 227–236.
- Vacca, A., Mascia, M., Palmas, S., Mais, L., Rizzardini, S., 2013. On the formation of
  bromate and chlorate ions during electrolysis with boron doped diamond anode for
  seawater treatment. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 88(12), 2244-2251.
- Van Elsas, J.D, Dijkstra, A.F, Govaert, J.M., Van Veen, J.A., 1986. Survival of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis* introduced into two soils of different texture in field
   microplots. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 2(3), 151-160.
- 568 Weaver, J.C., Chizmadzhev, Y.A., 1996. Theory of electroporation: A review.
  569 Bioelectrochem. Bioenerg. 41(2), 135–160.
- Werschkun, B., Sommer, Y., Banerji, S., 2012. Disinfection by-products in ballast water
  treatment: An evaluation of regulatory data. Water Res. 46(16), 4884–4901.
- World Health Organization (WHO), 2015. Drinking-water. Fact Sheet, nº 391. Available at:
   http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs391/en/ [Accessed April 6, 2016].
- Yao, Y., Kubota, Y., Murakami, T., Ochiai, T., Ishiguro, H., Nakata, K., Fujishima, A., 2011.
- 575 Electrochemical inactivation kinetics of boron-doped diamond electrode on waterborne
  576 pathogens. J. Water Health 9(3), 534–543.

- 577 Yoon, K.Y., Byeon, J.H., Park, J.H., Hwang, J., 2007. Susceptibility constants of *Escherichia*
- 578 *coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* to silver and copper nanoparticles. Sci. Total Environ. 373(2),
- 579 572-575.

## 581 **Figure captions**

**Fig. 1.** Logarithmic reduction of bacterium content with electrolysis time for the electrochemical oxidation (EO) treatment of 100 mL of aqueous suspensions with 7 mM

 $Na_2SO_4$  and  $10^6$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> of a given bacterium using a BDD/stainless steel cell at 33.3 mA

- 585 cm<sup>-2</sup> and 25 °C. Bacterium: Escherichia coli (EC), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA), Bacillus
- 586 atrophaeus (BA), Staphylococcus aureus (SA) and Enterococcus hirae (EH). The number 3
- 587 or 7 in each acronym accounts for the initial solution pH, i.e., 3.0 or 7.0, respectively.
- 588 Fig. 2. Logistic model applied to the electrochemical inactivation kinetics of: (a) E. coli (b),

589 P. aeruginosa, (c) B. atrophaeus, (d) S. aureus and (e) E. hirae during the EO trials shown in

Fig. 1. The dashed lines represent the 95% confidence intervals on these fits.

**Fig. 3.** SEM images for: (a) *E. coli* (b), *P. aeruginosa*, (c) *B. atrophaeus*, (d) *S. aureus* and (e) *E. hirae* supported on polycarbonate membrane filters. Samples correspond to bacteria suspensions in 7 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at pH 7.0, before (left) and after (right) 45 min of EO treatment with a BDD/stainless steel cell at 33.3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 25 °C.











а

b

С







693 Table 1

Parameters and goodness of fit for the logistic model curves of Fig. 2 for each bacterium.
695

Parameter	E. coli	P. aeruginosa	B. atrophaeus	S. aureus	E. hirae
Ι	-6.243	-6.369	-6.192	-6.327	-5.453
а	228.958	115.509	10.810	39.166	63.981
i	-0.198	-0.192	-0.2092	-0.111	-0.229
2					
$R^2$	0.979	0.971	0.964	0.942	0.955

699 Table 2

Time required for selected inactivation ratios expressed in percentage for each bacteriumusing the logistic model of Eq. (1).

	Time for inactivation ratios (min)						
Bacterium	$T_{90}$	<b>T</b> 99	$T_{99.9}$	$T_{99.99}$	T99.999		
E. coli	19.1	23.6	27.1	30.4	34.5		
P. aeruginosa	15.9	20.7	24.1	27.5	31.5		
B. atrophaeus	3.5	7.8	11.1	14.2	18.2		
S. aureus	17.9	26.1	32.1	37.9	45.0		
E. hirae	11.6	15.7	19.0	22.6	28.6		

703