1	Aeromonas bivalvium sp. nov., a novel species isolated from bivalve molluscs.
2	
3	David Miñana-Galbis, Maribel Farfán, M. Carme Fusté and J. Gaspar Lorén*
4	Bavia minana Gaibis, manber Farian, m. Garme Faste and C. Gaspar Ebren
5	Departament de Microbiologia i Parasitologia Sanitàries, Facultat de Farmàcia, Universitat de
6	Barcelona, Av. Joan XXIII s/n, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.
7	
8	*Corresponding author:
9	Dr. J. Gaspar Lorén.
10	Tel.: 34 93 402 44 97.
11	Fax: 34 93 402 44 98.
12	e-mail: jgloren@ub.edu
13	
14	Running title:
15	Aeromonas bivalvium sp. nov.
16	
17	Subject category:
18	New Taxa (subsection <i>Proteobacteria</i> ).
19	
20	Abbreviations:
21	AD, m- <i>Aeromonas</i> selective agar base Havelaar; ADH, arginine dehydrolase; BCCM <sup>TM</sup> /LMG, Belgian
22	Coordinated Collections of Microorganisms / Laboratorium voor Microbiologie from Universiteit Gent;
23	bv., biovar; CECT, Spanish Type Culture Collection from Universitat de València; FAFLP, fluorescent
24	amplified fragment length polymorphism; HG, hybridization group; LDC, lysine decarboxylase; MR,
25	methyl red; O/129, 2,4-diamino-6,7-diisopropylpteridine; ODC, ornithine decarboxylase; TSA, tryptone
26	soy agar; VP, Voges-Proskauer.
27	
28	Footnote:
29	The GenBank accession numbers for the 16S rDNA sequences of strains 868E <sup>T</sup> and 665N are
30	DQ504429 and DQ504430, respectively.

#### 32 SUMMARY

33

34 A polyphasic study was performed to determine the taxonomic position of two Aeromonas strains, 35 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup>, isolated from bivalve molluscs that could not be identified at species level in a 36 previous numerical taxonomy study. The DNA G+C content of these isolates ranged from 62.3 to 62.6 37 mol%. Sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene showed that both strains were closely related to 38 members of the genus Aeromonas. Fluorescent Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (FAFLP) 39 fingerprinting revealed that the isolates 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> clustered together with a similarity of 78 % 40 but did not cluster with any of the Aeromonas genomospecies. DNA-DNA hybridization showed a high 41 DNA-relatedness between both isolates (76 %) and a low DNA similarity with the phylogenetically 42 more related Aeromonas genomospecies (30-44 %). Useful tests for the phenotypic differentiation of 43 A. bivalvium from other mesophilic Aeromonas species included gas from glucose, lysine 44 decarboxylase (LDC), Voges-Proskauer (VP), acid from L-arabinose, hydrolysis of aesculin and 45 utilization of L-lactate. On the basis of genotypic and phenotypic evidences, the name Aeromonas 46 *bivalvium* sp. nov. is proposed for these two isolates. The type strain is  $868E^{T}$  (= CECT 7113<sup>T</sup> = LMG 47 23376<sup>T</sup>).

- 48
- 49 50

51 Members of the genus *Aeromonas*, belonging to the Class *Gammaproteobacteria*, are Gram-negative 52 non-sporeforming bacilli or coccobacilli, facultatively anaerobic, chemoorganotrophic, oxidase and 53 catalase positive, resistant to the vibriostatic agent O/129 (2,4-diamino-6,7-diisopropylpteridine), 54 generally motile by a single polar flagellum and reduce nitrate to nitrite. Aeromonads are primarily 55 aquatic, widespread in environmental habitats, frequently isolated from foods, often associated with 56 aquatic animals and some species are primary or opportunistic pathogens in invertebrates and 57 vertebrates including humans (Carnahan & Joseph, 2005).

58

59 At present, 17 Aeromonas species and 20 DNA-DNA hybridization groups (HG) have been described: A. hydrophila (HG1), A. bestiarum (HG2), A. salmonicida (HG3), A. caviae (HG4), A. media (HG5), A. 60 61 eucrenophila (HG6), A. sobria (HG7), A. veronii bv. sobria (HG8/10), A. jandaei (HG9), A. veronii bv. 62 veronii (HG10/8), Aeromonas sp. HG11, A. schubertii (HG12), Aeromonas sp. Group 501 (HG13), A. 63 trota (HG14), A. allosaccharophila (HG15), A. encheleia (HG16), A. popoffii (HG17), A. culicicola (HG18), A. simiae (HG19) and A. molluscorum (HG20) (Pidiyar et al., 2002; Harf-Monteil et al., 2004; 64 65 Miñana-Galbis et al., 2004; Carnahan & Joseph, 2005). In addition to continuous description of new 66 species, the complexity of Aeromonas taxonomy is due to the isolation of motile and nonmotile,

67 mesophilic and psychrophilic, pigmented and non-pigmented strains within several Aeromonas species (Altwegg et al., 1990; Carnahan & Joseph, 2005), description of new subspecies of A. 68 69 hydrophila (Huys et al., 2002; Huys et al., 2003) and A. salmonicida (Pavan et al., 2000), taxonomic 70 rearrangement of Aeromonas sp. HG11 (Huys et al., 1997) and A. culicicola (Huys et al., 2005) and 71 extended description of A. eucrenophila and A. encheleia (Huys et al., 1997) and A. jandaei (Esteve et 72 al., 2003). In this context, some authors have proposed useful tables for the phenotypic differentiation 73 of Aeromonas species by a limited number of key tests (Carson et al., 2001; Miñana-Galbis et al., 74 2002; Valera & Esteve, 2002; Abbott et al., 2003; Miñana-Galbis et al., 2004; Carnahan & Joseph, 75 2005). Genotypic classification of aeromonads at the genus level is currently recommended by DNA 76 G+C content and 16S rRNA gene sequence analyses and DNA-DNA reassociation for species 77 delineation, although other genomic methods, such as DNA profiling or rpoD and gyrB sequencing, 78 are useful for Aeromonas species discrimination (Stackebrandt et al., 2002; Soler et al., 2004; 79 Carnahan & Joseph, 2005; Morandi et al., 2005).

80

81 In the present study, a polyphasic approach was used in order to determine the taxonomic position of 82 two *Aeromonas* strains isolated from bivalve molluscs that clustered together as a separate phenon 83 (phenon VII) but could not be identified at species level in a previous phenotypic study of the genus 84 Aeromonas (Miñana-Galbis et al., 2002). For this purpose, an extended phenotypic characterization, 85 16S rRNA gene sequencing, DNA G+C content, Fluorescent Amplified Fragment Length 86 Polymorphism (FAFLP) analysis, and DNA-DNA hybridization were performed for both the isolates. 87 Based on the results obtained, these strains represent a novel Aeromonas species, for which the 88 name Aeromonas bivalvium sp. nov. is proposed.

89

90 91

The strains  $868E^{T}$  (= CECT  $7113^{T}$  = LMG  $23376^{T}$ ) and 665N (= CECT 7112 = LMG 23377) were isolated from cockles (*Cardium* sp.) and razor-shells (*Ensis* sp.), respectively, from retail markets in Barcelona (Spain). Isolation, growth and preservation of strains were performed as described previously (Miñana-Galbis *et al.*, 2004).

96

97 Cell size, morphology and flagellar arrangement were determined by transmission electron
 98 microscopy (JEOL1010). Cells were grown on TSA supplemented with 0.5 % (w/v) NaCl for 24 h at 25
 99 °C and, after further suspension in MilliQ water, were examined by negative staining with 2 % (w/v)
 100 uranyl acetate.

102 Physiological and biochemical characterization, unless otherwise stated, was performed at 25-30 °C 103 and all media contained 1 % (w/v) NaCl. Gram-staining, motility, glucose oxidation-fermentation test, 104 oxidase and catalase activity, nitrate reduction, indole production, susceptibility to vibriostatic agent 105 O/129, swarming motility, production of a brown diffusible pigment, gas production from D-glucose, 106 methyl red (MR) and Voges-Proskauer (VP) reactions, ONPG, hydrogen sulfide production from 107 cysteine and thiosulfate, growth on MacConkey agar and m-Aeromonas selective agar base Havelaar 108 (AD), salt tolerance, pH and temperature ranges for growth, acid production from carbohydrates, 109 hydrolysis of aesculin, arbutin, DNA, elastin, erythrocytes, starch, urea and xanthine, utilization of 110 substrates as sole carbon and energy sources and sensitivity to antibiotics were determined as 111 described previously (Miñana-Galbis et al., 2002). Arginine dehydrolase (ADH), lysine decarboxylase 112 (LDC) and ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) activity (Moeller's method) and gelatin hydrolysis by using 113 tannic acid (1 %) as the gelatin-precipitating reagent were determined as described by Smibert & 114 Krieg (1994).

115

116 For 16S rRNA gene sequencing and phylogenetic analysis, DNA was extracted by using a 117 REALPURE genomic DNA extraction kit (RBMEG03; Durviz). Oligonucleotide primers used for PCR 118 amplification and sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene were those described by Martínez-Murcia et al. 119 (1999). DNA was subjected to PCR amplification in a total volume of 50 µl that contained 50 mM KCl. 120 15 mM Tris/HCI (pH 8.0), 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM each deoxyribonucleotide (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, 121 dTTP; Amersham Biosciences), 1.25 U AmpliTag Gold DNA polymerase (Applera) and 25 pmol each 122 primer. The reaction mixtures were subjected to the following thermal cycling: an initial single step of 123 95 °C for 9 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 60 s, 51 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 90 s, and a final single step of 124 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were purified by using Montage PCR centrifugal filter devices 125 (Millipore) and prepared for sequencing by employing a BigDye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit 126 (Applera). The amplified 16S rRNA genes were sequenced with an ABI PRISM 3730 DNA analyser by 127 the Unitat de Genòmica of the Serveis Cientificotècnics of the Universitat de Barcelona.

128

The sequences obtained were aligned with 16S rRNA gene sequences of the type or reference strains of the *Aeromonas* DNA Hybridization Groups by using the DNASTAR Lasergene software (DNASTAR, Inc.). Distances and clustering with the neighbour-joining and maximum-parsimony methods (pairwise deletion and Kimura two-parameter model) were determined by using the MEGA program version 2·1 (Kumar *et al.*, 2001). Stability of the relationships was assessed by bootstrapping (1000 replicates).

135

136 DNA fingerprinting using fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism (FAFLP), which included 137 DNA extraction and purification (Gevers *et al.*, 2001), FAFLP fingerprinting, data processing and numerical analysis (Huys & Swings, 1999), was performed by the BCCM<sup>TM</sup>/LMG (Belgian Coordinated
 Collections of Microorganisms / Laboratorium voor Microbiologie from Universiteit Gent) Identification
 Service.

141

For DNA-DNA hybridization and determination of DNA G+C content, genomic DNA of bacterial strains was prepared according to a modification of the procedure of Wilson (1987). The G+C content of each DNA sample was determined by three independent analyses using the HPLC technique (Mesbah *et al.*, 1989). DNA-DNA hybridizations were performed in minimum four replicates at 47 °C according to a modification of the method described by Ezaki *et al.* (1989). These analyses were also performed by the BCCM<sup>TM</sup>/LMG Identification Service.

148

# 149 150

151 Both strains isolated from bivalve molluscs ( $868E^{T}$  and 665N) were identified as belonging to the 152 genus Aeromonas as they were Gram-negative, rod-shaped, motile by one polar flagellum, oxidase-153 positive, facultatively anaerobic, glucose-fermentative and resistant to vibriostatic agent O/129, and 154 they grew in the absence of NaCl but not at 7% (w/v) NaCl. Cell morphology of both isolates was from 155 coccoid to rod (0.3-1.0 x 0.5-2 µm). Their antibiotic-resistance pattern was similar to that of most 156 Aeromonas species (Kämpfer et al., 1999). Both strains were resistant to ampicillin and the strain 157 665N was also resistant to cephalothin in contrast to the strain 868E<sup>T</sup>. These strains formed 158 nonpigmented, circular colonies with a diameter of 3–4 mm on TSA when incubated at 25–30 °C. The growth-temperature range was 4-37 °C, although the strain 868E<sup>T</sup> was able to grow at 40.5 °C. 159 160 Optimal growth occurred at 30–37 °C. Our isolates showed several differentiating phenotypic features 161 in relation to other mesophilic Aeromonas species (Table 1). Three or more tests allowed 162 differentiation of strains 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> from all Aeromonas species except A. caviae and A. media. 163 Positive reaction in the LDC test allowed the separation of these strains from A. caviae and A. media. 164 In addition, they could be differentiated from A. media by the following tests: ONPG, brown pigment 165 production, lactose and mannose fermentation and lactose, mannose and raffinose utilization. In 166 contrast to A. caviae, the strains 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> were able to use glycerol and to produce acid from 167 it. These results allowed the phenotypic discrimination of our strains from all Aeromonas taxa that 168 have been described to date.

169

170 Comparative analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences of the isolates 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> and those of all 171 type or reference strains of *Aeromonas* species confirmed that our isolates belonged to the genus 172 *Aeromonas*. 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity between both strains and rest of *Aeromonas* species 173 ranged from 96.75 % (*A. simiae*) to 99.71 % (*A. popoffii*). Dendrograms generated by maximumparsimony and neighbour-joining methods were similar. The phylogenetic tree constructed by the neighbour-joining method is shown in Fig. 1. The isolates 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> showed two nucleotide differences between them and four and six nucleotides with regard to *A. popoffii*, respectively (Table 2).

178

The FAFLP banding patterns of our strains were compared with the laboratory database AEROLIB comprising well-characterized strains of all *Aeromonas* DNA HGs (Huys & Swings, 1999; Huys *et al.*, 2003; Miñana-Galbis *et al.*, 2004). This comparison revealed that the isolates 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> clustered together with a similarity of 78 % but did not cluster within one of the 20 *Aeromonas* DNA HGs currently described. Dendrogram of the cluster analysis of FAFLP fingerprints is shown in Fig. 2. These results indicated that our strains probably belonged to the same species and represented a new *Aeromonas* species.

186

187 The DNA G+C content of strains 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> was 62.3 and 62.6 mol%, respectively, which 188 agrees with the range described for the genus Aeromonas (57-63 mol%; Carnahan & Joseph, 2005). 189 DNA-relatedness was determined between the type strain of the presumptively novel Aeromonas sp 190  $(868E^{T})$  and the type or reference strains of the phylogenetically more related Aeromonas 191 hybridization groups (Fig. 1) and between 868E<sup>T</sup> and the isolate 665N. Strains 868E<sup>T</sup> and 665N 192 showed 76 % DNA-DNA similarity, above the level of 70 % that is accepted as the limit for species 193 delineation (Wayne et al., 1987). The type strain of the novel Aeromonas species clearly showed <70 194 % DNA reassociation (30-44 %) with any of the type or reference Aeromonas strains analysed (see 195 Supplementary Table S1 in IJSEM Online). In conclusion, isolates 868E<sup>T</sup> and 665N belong to the 196 same species and should be treated as members of a novel species of the genus Aeromonas.

197

Based on the results of DNA-DNA hybridization, phenotypic characterization, FAFLP analysis and 16S
rRNA gene sequencing, we propose that strains 868E<sup>T</sup> and 665N represent a novel species within the
genus *Aeromonas*, for which we propose the name *Aeromonas bivalvium* sp. nov.

- 201
- 202
- 203

Description of *Aeromonas bivalvium* sp. nov. *Aeromonas bivalvium* (N.L. pl. neut. n. Bivalvia (singular
nominative Bivalve), scientific name of a class of molluscs; bi.val'vi.um. N.L. neut. gen. pl. n.
bivalvium, of bivalves of the class *Bivalvia*).

207

208 Cells are Gram-negative, straight, motile coccoid / rods with a polar flagellum,  $0.5-2.0 \mu m$  long and 209  $0.3-1.0 \mu m$  wide. Colonies on TSA are 3-4 mm in diameter, opaque, circular and beige in colour after 210 48 h at 25 °C. Optimal growth occurs at 30–37 °C but growth occurs at 4–37 °C and the strain 868E<sup>T</sup> 211 grows up to 40.5 °C. Oxidase- and catalase-positive, reduces nitrate to nitrite, produces indole from 212 tryptophan and is resistant to vibriostatic agent O/129 (150 µg). Positive for glucose oxidation-213 fermentation, LDC, MR and ONPG tests. Negative for ADH, ODC and VP tests. Brown diffusible 214 pigment, swarming, gas from D-glucose and hydrogen sulfide from cysteine and thiosulfate are not produced. Grows on MacConkey and AD agar. Able to grow at pH 9.0 and 0-3% NaCl, grows weakly 215 216 at 6 % NaCl but not at pH 4.5 or 7 % NaCl. Both strains hydrolyse arbutin, DNA, aesculin, gelatin and 217 starch, but not elastin, erythrocytes, urea or xanthine. Both strains produce acid from L-arabinose, 218 arbutin, dextrin, D-galactose, glycerol, D-mannitol, salicin, D-sucrose and D-trehalose, but not from 219 lactose, D-mannose, D-melibiose, D-raffinose, L-rhamnose, sorbitol or D-xylose. The following 220 substrates are used as sole carbon and energy sources: acetate, N-acetylglucosamine, aesculin, L-221 arabinose, p-arbutin, L-arginine, D-cellobiose, citrate, D-fructose, D-galactose, D-glucose, glycerol, L-222 histidine, L-lactate, maltose, D-mannitol, salicin, starch, D-sucrose and D-trehalose. None of the 223 strains uses adonitol, dulcitol, inositol, lactose, D-mannose, D-melezitose, D-melibiose, D-raffinose, L-224 rhamnose, sorbitol, L-sorbose or D-xylose. Both strains are resistant to amoxicillin+clavulanic acid (30 225  $\mu$ g), ampicillin (10  $\mu$ g), erythromycin (15  $\mu$ g) and penicillin G (10  $\mu$ g), show intermediate sensitivity to 226 cefuroxime (30  $\mu$ g) and streptomycin (10  $\mu$ g), and are sensitive to amikacin (30  $\mu$ g), ceftriaxon (30  $\mu$ g), 227 ciprofloxacin (5 µg), colistin (50 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), imipenem (10 µg), polymyxin B (300 U), 228 tetracycline (30  $\mu$ g), tobramycin (10  $\mu$ g) and trimethoprim+sulfamethoxazole (1.25  $\mu$ g+23.75  $\mu$ g). The 229 strain 665N is resistant to cephalothin (30  $\mu$ g), cefoxitin (30  $\mu$ g) and ticarcillin (75  $\mu$ g), in contrast with 230 the type strain 868E<sup>T</sup>. DNA G+C content is 62.3 mol% for 665N and 62.6 mol% for 868E<sup>T</sup>.

231

The type strain,  $868E^{T}$  (=CECT 7113<sup>T</sup> =LMG 23376<sup>T</sup>), was isolated from cockles (*Cardium* sp.) obtained from a retail market in Barcelona (Spain).

234

### 235 Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by project CGL2004–03385/BOS from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain. We thank the Serveis Cientificotècnics of the Universitat de Barcelona (Unitats de Genòmica i de Microscòpia Electrònica) for assistance. We acknowledge the BCCM<sup>™</sup>/LMG Identification Service of Gent University for performing the DNA G+C content determination and FAFLP and DNA-DNA hybridization analyses. We thank Dr H. G. Trüper for helping with the nomenclature of the novel species. In memorian of the Spanish microbiologist Dr. Jesús Guinea Sánchez.

- 243
- 244 **References**
- 245

- Abbott, S. L., Cheung, W. K. W. & Janda, J. M. (2003). The genus *Aeromonas*: biochemical characteristics, atypical reactions, and phenotypic identification schemes. *J Clin Microbiol* 41, 2348–2357.
- Altwegg, M., Steigerwalt, A. G., Altwegg-Bissig, R., Lüthy-Hottenstein, J. & Brenner, D. J. (1990).
   Biochemical identification of *Aeromonas* genospecies isolated from humans. *J Clin Microbiol* 28, 258–264.
- Brosius, J., Palmer, M. L., Kennedy, P. J. & Noller, H. F. (1978). Complete nucleotide sequence of a 16S
  ribosomal RNA gene from *Escherichia coli*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 75, 4801–4805.
- Carnahan, A. M. & Joseph, S. W. (2005). Order XII. Aeromonadales ord. nov. In Bergey's Manual of
  Systematic Bacteriology, vol. 2B, pp. 556–587. Edited by G. M. Garrity, D. J. Brenner, N. R. Krieg & J. T. Staley.
  New York, NY: Springer.
- Carson, J., Wagner, T., Wilson, T. & Donachie, L. (2001). Miniaturized tests for computer-assisted
   identification of motile *Aeromonas* species with an improved probability matrix. *J Appl Microbiol* 90, 190–200.
- Esteve, C., Valera, L., Gutiérrez, C. & Ventosa, A. (2003). Taxonomic study of sucrose-positive Aeromonas
   *jandaei*-like isolates from faeces, water and eels: emendation of *A. jandaei* Carnahan *et al.* 1992. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 53, 1411–1419.
- Ezaki, T., Hashimoto, Y. & Yabuuchi, E. (1989). Fluorometric deoxyribonucleic acid-deoxyribonucleic acid
   hybridization in microdilution wells as an alternative to membrane filter hybridization in which radioisotopes are
   used to determine genetic relatedness among bacterial strains. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 39, 224–229.
- Gevers, D., Huys, G. & Swings, J. (2001). Applicability of rep-PCR fingerprinting for identification of
   *Lactobacillus* species. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 205, 31–36.
- Harf-Monteil, C., Le Flèche, A., Riegel, P., Prévost, G., Bermond, D., Grimont, P. A. D. & Monteil, H. (2004). *Aeromonas simiae* sp. nov., isolated from monkey faeces. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 54, 481–485.
- Huys, G. & Swings, J. (1999). Evaluation of a fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism (FAFLP)
  methodology for the genotypic discrimination of *Aeromonas* taxa. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 177, 83–92.
- Huys, G., Kämpfer, P., Altwegg, M., Coopman, R., Janssen, P., Gillis, M. & Kersters, K. (1997). Inclusion of *Aeromonas* DNA hybridization group 11 in *Aeromonas encheleia* and extended descriptions of the species *Aeromonas eucrenophila* and *A. encheleia*. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 47, 1157–1164.
- Huys, G., Kämpfer, P., Albert, M. J., Kühn, I., Denys, R. & Swings, J. (2002). Aeromonas hydrophila subsp. *dhakensis* subsp. nov., isolated from children with diarrhoea in Bangladesh, and extended description of *Aeromonas hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* (Chester 1901) Stanier 1943 (Approved Lists 1980). Int J Syst Evol *Microbiol* 52, 705–712.
- Huys, G., Pearson, M., Kämpfer, P., Denys, R., Cnockaert, M., Inglis, V. & Swings, J. (2003). Aeromonas
   *hydrophila* subsp. *ranae* subsp. nov., isolated from septicaemic farmed frogs in Thailand. Int J Syst Evol
   Microbiol 53, 885–891.
- Huys, G., Cnockaert, M. & Swings, J. (2005). Aeromonas culicicola Pidiyar et al. 2002 is a later subjective
  synonym of Aeromonas veronii Hickman-Brenner et al. 1987. Syst Appl Microbiol 28, 604–609.
- Kämpfer, P., Christmann, C., Swings, J. & Huys, G. (1999). *In vitro* susceptibilities of *Aeromonas* genomic
   species to 69 antimicrobial agents. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 22, 662–669.
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K., Jakobsen, I. B. & Nei, M. (2001). MEGA2: molecular evolutionary genetic analysis
  software. *Bioinformatics* 17, 1244–1245.
- Martínez-Murcia, A. J., Antón, A. I. & Rodríguez-Valera, F. (1999). Patterns of sequence variation in two
   regions of the 16S rRNA multigene family of *Escherichia coli*. Int J Syst Bacteriol 49, 601–610.

Mesbah, M., Premachandran, U. & Whitman, W. B. (1989). Precise measurement of the G+C content of deoxyribonucleic acid by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **39**, 159–167.

Miñana-Galbis, D., Farfán, M., Lorén, J. G. & Fusté, M. C. (2002). Biochemical identification and numerical taxonomy of *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from environmental and clinical samples in Spain. *J Appl Microbiol* 93, 420–430.
 310

Miñana-Galbis, D., Farfán, M., Fusté, M. C. & Lorén, J. G. (2004). Aeromonas molluscorum sp. nov., isolated
 from bivalve molluscs. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54, 2073–2078.

Morandi, A., Zhaxybayeva, O., Gogarten, J. P. & Graf, J. (2005). Evolutionary and diagnostic implications of intragenomic heterogeneity in the 16S rRNA gene in *Aeromonas* strains. *J Bacteriol* **187**, 6561–6564.

Pavan, M. E., Abbott, S. L., Zorzópulos, J. & Janda, J. M. (2000). Aeromonas salmonicida subsp.
 *pectinolytica* subsp. nov., a new pectinase-positive subspecies isolated from a heavily polluted river. Int J Syst
 *Evol Microbiol* 50, 1119–1124.

Pidiyar, V., Kaznowski, A., Narayan, N. B., Patole, M. & Shouche, Y. S. (2002). Aeromonas culicicola sp.
 nov., from the midgut of *Culex quinquefasciatus*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52, 1723–1728.

Smibert, R. M. & Krieg, N. R. (1994). Phenotypic characterization. In *Methods for General and Molecular Bacteriology*, pp. 607–655. Edited by P. Gerhardt, R. G. E. Murray, W. A. Wood & N. R. Krieg. Washington, DC:
 American Society for Microbiology.

Soler, L., Yáñez, M. A., Chacon, M. R., Aguilera-Arreola, M. G., Catalán, V., Figueras, M. J. & Martínez Murcia, A. J. (2004). Phylogenetic analysis of the genus *Aeromonas* based on two housekeeping genes. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 54, 1511–1519.

Stackebrandt, E., Frederiksen, W., Garrity, G. M. & 10 other authors (2002). Report of the ad hoc committee
 for the re-evaluation of the species definition in bacteriology. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 52, 1043–1047.

Valera, L. & Esteve, C. (2002). Phenotypic study by numerical taxonomy of strains belonging to the genus
 *Aeromonas. J Appl Microbiol* 93, 77–95.

Wayne, L. G., Brenner, D. J., Colwell, R. R. & 9 other authors (1987). Report of the ad hoc committee on
 reconciliation of approaches to bacterial systematics. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 37, 463–464.

Wilson, K. (1987). Preparation of genomic DNA from bacteria. In *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, pp.
2.4.1.–2.4.5. Edited by F. M. Ausubel, R. Brent, R. E. Kingston, D. D. Moore, J. G. Seidman, J. A. Smith & K.
Struhl. New York: Greene Publishing and Wiley-Interscience.

**Table 1.** Key tests for the phenotypic differentiation of the strains 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> from other mesophilic

## *Aeromonas* species

Data were taken from Miñana-Galbis *et al.* (2004) and Carnahan & Joseph (2005) unless otherwise indicated. Taxa are
identified as: 1, Strains 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> (data from this study); 2, *A. hydrophila*; 3, *A. bestiarum*; 4, *A. salmonicida*; 5, *A. caviae*; 6, *A. media*; 7, *A. eucrenophila*; 8, *A. sobria*; 9, *A. veronii* bv. sobria; 10, *A. jandaei*; 11, *A. veronii* bv. veronii; 12, *A. schubertii*; 13, *A. trota*; 14, *A. allosaccharophila*; 15, *A. encheleia*; 16, *A. popoffii*; 17, *A. culicicola*; 18, *A. simiae*; 19, *A. molluscorum*. Abbreviations: +, 85–100% of strains positive; –, 0–15% of strains positive; d, 16–84% of strains positive; ND, no data available. In brackets, results obtained in this study.

Characteristic	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Production of:																			
Brown pigment	-	-	_	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-
Gas from D-glucose	_	+	+	+	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	—
H <sub>2</sub> S from cysteine	_	+	+	+	_	_	+	+	+	+	d	_	+	+	+	(+)	(+)	(-)	_
Indole	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	(+)	+	_	_
LDC	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	+	+	_
ODC	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	+	_	_	d	_	_	_	_	_
VP	-	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	+	_	_
Acid from:																			
L-Arabinose	+	d	+	+	+	+	+	_	d	_	_	_	_	+	_	d	_	_	+
D-Mannitol	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	+
Sorbitol	_	_	-	+	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
D-Sucrose	+	+	+	+	+	+	d	+	+	d	+	_	_	+	d	_	+	+	+
Hydrolysis of:																			
Aesculin	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	-	_	+	+	(—)	(—)	(—)	+
Arbutin	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	+	-	d	_	+	(—)	(—)	(+)	+
Elastin	_	+	d	+	_	_	_	_	_	d	_	-	_	_	_	_	(—)	(—)	_
Starch	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	_	_	+	+	+	(+)	_
Utilization of L-lactate	+	+	_	_	d	+	_	_	-	_	_	+	+	d	-	+	ND	ND	d

**Table 2.** Base differences in the 16S rDNA sequences among the type strain of *A. popoffii* and the strains 665N
 and 868E<sup>T</sup>

Strain	GenBank accession	Positions									
Strain	number	456	459	469	476	1011	1018				
<i>A. popoffii</i> LMG 17541 <sup>⊤</sup>	AJ224308	Т	Т	С	А	С	G				
665N	DQ504430	А	С	Т	Т	С	G				
868E <sup>⊤</sup>	DQ504429	А	С	Т	Т	Т	А				

\*Referred to the *E. coli* sequence numbering system described by Brosius *et al.* (1978).

362

### 363 FIGURE LEGENDS

364

365 Fig. 1. Phylogenetic relationships of the strains 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> to type or reference strains of the genus 366 Aeromonas. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using 1544 nucleotides of 16S rDNA sequence by the 367 neighbour-joining method in MEGA program version 2.1. The bar represents distance values calculated in 368 MEGA and bootstrap values (> 50 %) after 1000 replicates are shown. \**A. culicicola* is a later subjective 369 synonym of *A. veronii* (Huys *et al.*, 2005)

**Fig. 2.** Simplified dendrogram showing cluster analysis of the FAFLP fingerprints of the strains 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> and reference strains of the *Aeromonas* DNA hybridization groups. The *Aeromonas* reference strains from the AEROLIB database are listed in Huys & Swings (1999), Huys *et al.* (2003) and Miñana-Galbis *et al.* (2004), except for LMG 22269<sup>T</sup>, 665N and 868E<sup>T</sup> strains that were added to the database in the frame of the current study. The dendrogram was constructed with the UPGMA clustering method using the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (expressed as percentage value).



