



**Functional ultrastructure of eggs and cellular organisation of hexacanth of the cyclophyllidean cestode *Thysanotaenia congolensis*: a phylogenetic implication of obtained results**

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Journal:                      | <i>Parasitology</i>   |
| Manuscript ID                 | PAR-2015-0135.R1  |
| Manuscript Type:              | Research Article - Standard   |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | n/a   |
| Complete List of Authors:     | Swiderski, Zdzislaw; Polish Academy of Sciences, W. Stefański Institute of Parasitology<br>Miquel, Jordi; University of Barcelona, Department of Microbiology and Parasitology<br>Conn, Bruce; Berry College, Department of Biology and One Health Center |
| Key Words:                    | <i>Thysanotaenia congolensis</i> , functional ultrastructure of eggs, cellular organization of hexacanth, ultrastructural evidences for davaineid characters  |
|                               |   |

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

Functional ultrastructure of eggs and cellular organisation of hexacanth of the cyclophyllidean cestode *Thysanotaenia congolensis*: a phylogenetic implication of obtained results

ZDZISŁAW ŚWIDERSKI<sup>1,\*</sup>, JORDI MIQUEL<sup>2,3</sup>, DAVID BRUCE CONN<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *W. Stefański Institute of Parasitology, Polish Academy of Sciences, 51/55 Twarda Street, 00-818, Warszawa, Poland*

<sup>2</sup> *Laboratori de Parasitologia, Departament de Microbiologia i Parasitologia Sanitaries, Facultat de Farmacia, Universitat de Barcelona, Av. Joan XXIII, sn, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

<sup>3</sup> *Institut de Recerca de la Biodiversitat, Facultat de Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Av. Diagonal, 645, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

<sup>4</sup> *Department of Biology and One Health Center, Berry College, Mount Berry, GA 30149, USA*

<sup>5</sup> *Department of Invertebrate Zoology, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

Running title: Ultrastructure of eggs and hexacanth of *Thysanotaenia congolensis*

\* Corresponding author: Zdzisław Świdorski, W. Stefański Institute of Parasitology, Polish Academy of Sciences, 51/55 Twarda Street, 00-818, Warszawa, Poland.

E-mail: [z.swider@twarda.pan.pl](mailto:z.swider@twarda.pan.pl)

Telephone number: (+ 48 22) 697 89 70

Fax number: (+ 48 22) 620 62 27

## SUMMARY

The functional ultrastructure of eggs and cellular organisation of hexacanth from gravid proglottids of *Thysanotaenia congolensis*, from black rats from Cape Verde, were examined by transmission electron microscopy. Mature eggs with fully formed hexacanth are grouped within parenchymatic capsules of gravid proglottids. Oncospheral envelopes surrounding mature hexacanth, are reduced to a very thin membranous embryophore as their protective function is taken over by the parenchymatic capsules originating from the medullary parenchyma of immature proglottids and composed of three layers. Five major cell types are present: a bi-nucleate medullary centre; a six-nucleate U-shaped penetration gland; two neurosecretory-type nerve cells; about 30 somatic cells; and about 12 germinative cells. Present results on the functional ultrastructure of eggs and cellular organisation of hexacanth support the phylogenetic distinction between *T. congolensis* and cestodes of the subfamily Anoplocephalinae.

Key words: *Thysanotaenia congolensis*, functional ultrastructure of eggs, cellular organization of hexacanth, ultrastructural evidences for davaineid characters

## DEDICATION:

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Professor Jean-Georges Baer (1902-1975), the distinguished Swiss parasitologist, the author of the excellent revision on morphology, taxonomy and systematics of *Inermicapsifer madagascariensis*, see: Baer 1956, on the occasion of 40 anniversary of his death.

## KEY FINDINGS

Ultrastructure of eggs and hexacanth of *Thysanotaenia congolensis* show typical davaineid characters.

Our results provide strong evidence that *T. congolensis* is a davaineid, not anoplocephaline as formerly positioned.

Results imply that all inermicapsiferines are davaineids that secondarily lost rostellum and hooks.

For Peer Review



## INTRODUCTION

Numerous studies have been published on the oncospheres in various cyclophyllidean cestodes, but very little information is available on cellular organization and functional ultrastructure of infective oncospheres of anoplocephalid cestodes. The transmission electron microscope (TEM) studies on anoplocephalid egg differentiation and ultrastructure have been impeded by numerous technical difficulties in getting the egg content fixed and infiltrated with embedding media, and in cutting thick and hard egg structures such as outer coats or shell and the pyriform apparatus embryophores. Such technical difficulties are particularly evident in TEM studies of species belonging to the subfamily Anoplocephalinae such as *Anoplocephaloides dentata* (Galli-Valerio, 1905) (see Świdorski *et al.* 2001a,b) or *Neoctenotaenia ctenoides* (Railliet, 1890), described by us previously in several papers as *Mosgovoyia ctenoides* (see Młocicki *et al.* 2005, 2006).

Our earlier examination of the ultrastructure of eggs and hexacanth of *Inermicapsifer madagascariensis* (Davaine, 1870) (see Świdorski and Tkach, 2002), greatly facilitate our interpretation of the present TEM results on *Thysanotaenia congolensis* Dronen *et al.*, 1999, as both belong to the subfamily Inermicapsiferinae. Comparison of our earlier results on *A. dentata* and *N. ctenoides*, which belong to the subfamily Anoplocephalinae, with those of *I. madagascariensis* indicate great ultrastructural variety of eggs and hexacanth among these taxa of anoplocephalid cestodes.

New ultrastructural data on oncospheres have the potential to be useful criteria in phylogenetic analyses of cestodes (Świdorski, 1975, 1981; Beveridge, 2001). In addition, ultrastructural data of cestode larvae are essential to our understanding of cestode biology, parasite-host interactions and prevention as was demonstrated most recently by Jabbar *et al.* (2010a,b). Little information is available on the ultrastructure of anoplocephalid cestodes representing the subfamily Inermicapsiferinae.

The purpose of the present TEM study is to describe the functional ultrastructure of mature eggs and the cellular organization of the hexacanth larvae of *T. congolensis* and compare them with

data on the eggs and hexacanth of other cyclophyllideans to suggest potential phylogenetic relationships. Cestodes of the genus *Thysanotaenia* Beddard, 1911 have traditionally been classified within the subfamily Inermicapsiferinae, which has usually been considered as part of the family Anoplocephalidae (Spasskii, 1951; Beveridge, 1994). However, there is considerable morphological evidence to suggest that the four currently recognized subfamilies of Anoplocephalidae (Anoplocephalinae, Linstowiinae, Inermicapsiferinae, Thysanosomatinae) represent a polyphyletic assemblage (Voge, 1969; Beveridge, 1994). *T. congolensis* and other inermicapsiferines are morphologically similar to the cestodes of the family Davaineidae, the former evidently differing from the latter only in lacking rostellum and hooks. Following this observation (Baer and Fain, 1955), Baer (1956) transferred the inermicapsiferine genera *Inermicapsifer* Janicki, 1910 and *Thysanotaenia* to the subfamily Davaineinae, then regarded as part of Linstowiidae (see also Beveridge, 1994). However, this action has not been generally accepted in subsequent classifications.

To evaluate the phylogenetic position of *T. congolensis* we compare its new ultrastructural data on eggs and hexacanth with similar data on anoplocephalines, linstowines and other cyclophyllidean cestodes. Unfortunately, there are no previous data for any other inermicapsiferines with the exception of *I. madagascariensis*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Live specimens of *Thysanotaenia congolensis* were isolated from the intestine of naturally infected black rats *Rattus rattus* from São Domingos and Orgãos (Santiago Island, Cape Verde) in December 2009.

### *Methods applied in ultrastructural studies*

Adult tapeworms were immediately rinsed with a 0.9% NaCl solution. Later, they were fixed in cold (4 °C) modified Karnovski fixative (4% paraformaldehyde and 0.25% glutaraldehyde) in a 0.1

M sodium cacodylate buffer at pH 7.4 for a minimum of 2 h, rinsed in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer at pH 7.4, post-fixed in cold (4 °C) 1% osmium tetroxide with 0.9% potassium ferricyanide [ $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ ] in the same buffer for 1 h, rinsed in Milli-Q water (Millipore Gradient A10), dehydrated in an ethanol series and propylene oxide, embedded in Spurr's resin and polymerized at 60 °C for 72 h.

Ultrathin sections (60-90 nm thick) were obtained with a Reichert-Jung Ultracut E ultramicrotome. Sections were placed on 200-mesh copper grids and double-stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate.

The hexacanth of *T. congolensis* were reconstructed from the consecutive semithin serial sections, stained with 1% methylene blue in borax solution, by means of light microscopy, and partially from the ultrathin sections, by means of TEM.

The grids were examined in a JEOL 1010 TEM operated at 80kV, in the "Centres Científics i Tecnològics" of the University of Barcelona (CCiTUB).

#### *Methods for TEM cytochemistry for glycogen and other polysaccharides*

For cytochemistry of glycogen and other polysaccharides, such as membrane-bound glycoproteins, the ultrathin sections were collected on gold grids. The periodic acid-thiosemicarbazide-silver proteinate (PA-TSC-SP) technique of Thiéry (1967) was applied to determine cytochemical localisation of glycogen and other polysaccharides at the ultrastructural level. These grids were also examined in a JEOL 1010 TEM operated at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV.

## RESULTS

#### *General topography of gravid proglottids and parenchymatic capsules*

In *Thysanotaenia congolensis*, the wall of the uterus forms a branched sac in the medullary parenchyma of mature proglottids, then rapidly breaks down in the gravid proglottids. The egg

masses that are released into the parenchyma become arranged inside parenchymatic egg capsules which completely fill the entire gravid proglottids. The individual capsules appear polyhedral due to their compressed packing. There are about 120-150 egg capsules per proglottid and each contains 6-12 eggs. Mature eggs measure about 43  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter, with the enclosed oncosphere about 25  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter. The embryonic envelopes surrounding the mature hexacanth are reduced to a very thin residual layer of the membranous embryophore with several long, and much infolded cytoplasmic processes. Protection and all other functions of the embryonic envelopes, which appear briefly in the earlier stages of embryonic development observed in immature and mature proglottids, are taken over by the parenchymatic capsules in the gravid proglottids.

The parenchymatic egg capsules originate from medullary parenchyma of immature proglottids, then undergo differentiation into the three layers observed in the parenchymatic capsules of gravid proglottids (Figs 1 and 2): a thin outer filamentous layer; a middle thick metachromatic layer; and an inner compact cellular layer.

The outer filamentous layer (Figs 1 and 2B) is composed of long, delicate filaments of unknown chemical nature embedded in a granular extracellular matrix.

The middle metachromatic layer (Figs 1 and 2A,B) appears as an accumulation of large, closely packed mucous droplets containing spherical electron-dense bodies of different sizes. They are intensely metachromatic after toluidine staining, indicating that acid mucopolysaccharides are the main chemical components of this layer.

The inner compact layer (Figs 1 and 2A,C) is composed of three cell types: lipid-containing cells; cells containing calcareous corpuscles; and muscle cells. The cytoplasm of lipid-containing cells is vacuolated owing to a large number of very small non-osmiophilic saturated lipid droplets. The second cell type, involved in calcareous corpuscle formation, usually shows a variety of sizes, shapes and stages of corpuscle formation. In the early stage, the cell usually contains dilated Golgi complexes and small corpuscles within Golgi vesicles. The corpuscles grow by accretion of their two structural components: a homogeneous matrix of low electron density and a granular substance

of high density rich in calcium carbonate. The accumulation of a large number of mature mineralised corpuscles is accompanied by progressive degeneration of the entire cell with the disappearance of the plasma membrane and compression of the cytoplasm and nucleus.

*General topography and cellular organization of the infective hexacanth*

The fully developed hexacanth of *T. congolensis* are armed with three pairs of oncospherical hooks (Figs 1, 3, 4C–E and 5). The oncospherical terminology was proposed by Ogren (1971) and Conn and Świdorski (2008). Such terms as “anterior pole” and “posterior pole” of the oncosphere are used in this paper with respect to hexacanth’s invasive activity. It uses its hooks, generally in conjunction with penetration gland secretion, to penetrate through host tissue with the hooks oriented in the direction of movement. Therefore, the hook region, directed forward during movement, is considered as the anterior part of the larva and functionally as the “somatophore” (Figs 3 and 4). The opposite part, containing germinative cells, is considered as posterior and functionally as “germatophore” (Figs 3A, 6A and 7). Six oncospherical hooks (Figs 3 and 4C–E), one pair of median hooks and two pairs of lateral hooks, are interconnected by a complex hook muscle system, responsible for coordination of their synchronized hook movements. The fully formed hooks, when observed at cross-, oblique and longitudinal sections, show a heterogeneous structure and are composed of three or four layers of different electron densities in some regions, depending on the section level (Figs 3B and 4C–E). In *T. congolensis* the infective oncosphere consists of very numerous cells which are arranged symmetrically (Figs 3 and 5). They include also two multinucleated structures: the bi-nucleate perikaryon of the tegument and the hexa-nucleate penetration gland (Fig. 5). Therefore, within the infective hexacanth larva the following cell types were distinguished: (1) bi-nucleate subtegumental cell; (2) U-shaped, hexa-nucleate penetration gland (PG1); (3) second type of penetration gland (PG2); (4) two nerve cells; (5) two types of somatic cells, including the myocytes of both somatic and hook musculature and numerous degenerating micromeres with pycnotic nuclei; and (6) about 12 germinative cells (two groups of 6

cells, localised in the posterior pole of the hexacanth (=germatophore), with characteristic prominent nucleoli in their large spherical nuclei, surrounded by very thin layers of granular cytoplasm.

*Oncospheral surface: its 3 tightly connected structures*

The oncospherical surface is formed by three closely adjacent and tightly connected structures: (1) irregularly shaped layer of rudimentary embryophore with very long, much infolded cytoplasmic processes; (2) very thin and highly electron-dense oncospherical membrane, and (3) a thin anucleated layer of oncospherical tegument (Figs 4A–C, 5, 6A and 7). The degenerating, much reduced volume of embryophore cytoplasm still contains a few flattened nuclei, heavy accumulations of  $\beta$ -glycogen particles and several mitochondria (Figs 4A–C, 6A and 7). The hook region membrane, in form of a coup, surrounds only the somatophore pole of hexacanth, including hook blades, and is attached directly to the oncospherical surface (Fig. 5).

*Penetration glands*

Two types of penetration glands, PG1 and PG2, with evidently different types of secretory granules, sg1 and sg2, were observed in *T. congolensis* (Figs 5, 6A–C and 7). The first, classical type of oncospherical penetration gland (PG1) forms a U-shaped, hexa-nucleate syncytium with two large cytoplasmic processes or penetration gland arms (Fig. 5) containing characteristic type secretory granules of discoid shape and moderate electron density, sometimes forming rouleau-shaped assemblages including several granules. Gland exits open into the cytoplasmic layer of the tegument in the hook region of the oncosphere (Fig. 5). The irregularly shaped nuclei of the penetration gland contain numerous large heterochromatin islands and prominent nucleoli (Fig. 7A). They are surrounded by a granular syncytial cytoplasm, rich in free ribosomes, Golgi complexes, well-developed profiles of endoplasmic reticulum, numerous mitochondria and characteristic secretory granules of different shapes and sizes, but of similar electron density (Fig. 7). The second type

(PG2) represent two additional pairs of glandular regions, apparently joined by a narrow isthmus, and situated inside a deep invagination, between the arms of the typical U-shaped penetration gland (PG1). The secretory granules (sg2) of this second type of penetration gland (PG2) are spherical and show a high electron density. They are markedly larger than the vesicles observed in nerve cells and evidently different from the discoid-shaped secretory granules of the penetration gland (compare ultrastructure of secretory granules of Figure 6). The gland exits of PG2 were not clearly observed in our material.

### *Nerve cells*

The nerve cells are situated in the central part of the larva, usually in the invagination of the penetration gland (Figs 5 and 6A,D). Their electron-lucent nuclei contain only a few heterochromatin islands dispersed in the karyoplasm and adjacent to the nuclear membrane. The nerve cells are characterized by the presence, in their cytoplasm, of characteristic neurosecretory-like granules (Fig. 6A,D). As shown on the high-magnification Figure 6D, these granules are always membrane-bound and dense-cored. This type of granule was observed not only in the nerve cell perikarya but also in the nerve processes distributed within the hexacanth body and frequently adjacent to the penetration gland arms and oncospherical musculature, in particular near the hook muscles.

### *Somatic cells*

In *T. congolensis* the somatic cells comprise two cell types: (1) the typical somatic cells observed in all oncospheres, which functionally represent the myocytes of both somatic and hook musculature; and (2) the small degenerating micromeres with characteristic “pycnotic” nuclei undergoing apoptosis.

Myocytes are situated mainly in the somatophore or anterior pole of the infective larvae (Figs 3A and 4B–E). They are characterized by a thin layer of perinuclear sarcoplasm with direct

connection with muscle fibers composed of myofibrils. The rather thin layer of cytoplasm surrounding the nuclei contains numerous ribosomes, mitochondria and  $\beta$ -glycogen particles, localized mainly near the muscle boundaries (Fig. 7B). Highly electron-dense hook attachment zones occur between different muscle fibers as well as between hooks and their hook musculature (Figs 3B and 4C–E).

The second type of somatic cells are degenerating micromeres, which appear as electron-dense shrunken nuclei resembling cells undergoing apoptosis. Their presence was observed usually during the early and advanced stages of preoncospherical differentiation, but, in the fully formed hexacanth of *T. congolensis* they were noticed very seldom.

#### *Germinative cells*

The large germinative cells are localized in the germatophore or posterior pole of the oncosphere, arranged symmetrically in two groups (Fig. 3A). These cells are characterized by the presence of large nuclei containing prominent electron-dense nucleoli of heterogeneous type showing regions of different electron densities (Fig. 7). The nucleoplasm of germinative cells contains several heterochromatin islands of irregular shapes, frequently adjacent to the nuclear membrane (Figs 6A and 7). The thin layer of their granular cytoplasm is rich in free ribosomes, a few mitochondria and short profiles of endoplasmic reticulum (Fig. 7B).

## DISCUSSION

#### *Comparative ultrastructure of the oncospheres in two subfamilies of Anoplocephalidae*

The characteristic type of eggs of *Thysanotaenia congolensis*, without a pyriform apparatus, show a great similarity to the eggs of another inermicapsiferine cestode, *Inermicapsifer madagascariensis* (see Świdorski and Tkach, 2002). Results of this comparison clearly indicate that there exist obvious differences in the ultrastructure of eggs of inermicapsiferine cestodes in comparison with



those of other anoplocephalids belonging to subfamily Anoplocephalinae, e.g. *Moniezia expansa* (Rudolphi, 1810) (see Rybicka, 1964), *Anoplocephaloides dentata* (see Świdorski *et al.* 2001a,b) and *Neoctenotaenia ctenoides* (see Młocicki *et al.* 2005, 2006) and *Monoecocestus americanus* (Stiles, 1895) (see Conn, 1985a). The similarities and differences which occur both in morphology and ultrastructure of egg envelopes and cellular organisation of hexacanth of these two subfamilies of Anoplocephalidae provides strong ultrastructural evidence for the polyphyletic character (assemblage) of the currently recognized four subfamilies of Anoplocephalidae. Regarding the great resemblance between eggs of *T. congolensis* and *I. madagascariensis* (see Świdorski and Tkach, 2002), both species show a characteristic arrangement of mature eggs in gravid proglottids grouped within the parenchymatic egg capsules, containing about 6-12 eggs in each egg capsule. Great similarity also exists both in the ultrastructure of egg envelopes and the cellular organisation of hexacanth; the most characteristic feature for both species is progressive degeneration of their typical outer and inner embryonic envelopes, which are formed in the earlier stages of embryogenesis, but undergo apoptosis rapidly and are reduced to only a very thin membranous layer of embryophore. Simultaneously, the protective, nutritive and possibly other functions of oncospherical envelopes are taken over by the parenchymatic egg capsules. In both species, numerous much elongated embryophoral processes were observed around mature oncospheres. Their exact functions, however, are still unclear and should be elucidated experimentally, among others by molecular methods. At this stage, we can only suppose that the presence of these processes may greatly extend the surface area, thus increasing its absorptive surface area, which is important for transport of nutrients and other substances from gravid proglottids via parenchymatic capsule tissues. Our preliminary results on the ultrastructure of the parenchymatic capsules of *I. madagascariensis* and *T. congolensis* were published only in form of congress abstract or a preliminary paper (Świdorski, 1986a; Świdorski *et al.* 2015). Reduction in embryonic envelope number and/or thickness has been described for several cestode species that use special maternal structures for protecting the oncospheres within intact gravid proglottids (Conn *et al.* 1984; Conn

and Etges, 1984; Conn, 1985b, 1988, 1999; Jones, 1988; Świderski and Tkach, 1997a,b).

In both *A. dentata* and *N. ctenoides*, in the advanced preoncospherical phase, the inner envelope undergoes differentiation into three sublayers: (1) a thick extraembryophoral cytoplasmic layer; (2) an electron-dense embryophore, as a stiff pyriform apparatus; and (3) a thin intra-embryophoral cytoplasmic layer containing mesomere nuclei. The oncosphere is located in the extended cupule-like part of the pyriform apparatus. The two embryophoral horns elongate and fuse, thus forming a rigid cone. Four oncospherical envelopes surround the fully mature infective hexacanth of *A. dentata* and *N. ctenoides*: (1) a thick capsule; (2) the outer envelope; (3) the inner envelope with a characteristic embryophore, in the form of the pyriform apparatus; and (4) the oncospherical membrane. The differentiation and ultrastructure of the oncospherical envelopes of *A. dentata* and *N. ctenoides* are essentially similar to those described in other cestode species of the subfamily Anoplocephalinae, e.g. *M. expansa* (see Rybicka, 1964; Caley, 1975), *M. americanus* (see Conn, 1985a) and *Neoctenotaenia variabilis* (Stiles, 1895), described before as *Cittotaenia variabilis* (see Coil, 1979); all of them show the differentiation of the inner envelope embryophore into the characteristic pyriform apparatus.

Regarding linstowiines, published data exist only on the protective parenchymal and uterine structures and embryonic envelopes, but the ultrastructure of their oncospheres and cellular organisation of hexacanth has not been described. In mature eggs of *Oochoristica anolis* (see Conn, 1985) and *Oochoristica agamae* (see Świderski and Subilia, 1988) the embryonic envelopes persist, but in much reduced form, as their protective function is taken over by the parenchymatic egg capsules, in a manner very similar to that of Inermicapsiferinae. Ultrastructure of various types of parenchymatic capsules in representatives of different cyclophyllidean families such as paruterine organs of Nematotaeniidae and Mesocestoididae. uterine and parenchymatic egg capsules in Anoplocephalidae (Linstowiinae and Inermicapsiferinae, respectively) was compared by Świderski and Tkach (1997a).

*Oncospheral nerve cells*

In all early light microscopical (LM) studies (Rybicka, 1966; Ogren, 1968*a,b*, 1971), it was generally believed that in the cestode hexacanth larvae the oncospheral nerve cells are absent. Rybicka (1967), in her LM histochemical study, demonstrated acetylcholinesterase activity in the mature oncospheres of *Hymenolepis diminuta* (Rudolphi, 1819). She was, however, unable to find specific nerve cells or oncospheral nerve centre. She suggested then that since the oncospheral muscular system is quite extensive, the presence of acetylcholinesterase activity is not surprising if this activity is indeed associated with hexacanth myofibres. Until now only a single type of dense-cored vesicle has been detected in cestode oncospheres, and Świderski and Mackiewicz (2004) stated that consequently, it can be presumed that acetylcholine does not function as a neurotransmitter in the hexacanth larvae. At the ultrastructural level the oncospheral nerve cells were described originally by Fairweather and Threadgold (1981). More recently, their presence has been demonstrated in some other oncospheres such as those of *Echinococcus granulosus* (Batsch, 1786) (see Świderski, 1982, 1983), *Echinococcus multilocularis* Leuckart, 1863 (see Świderski, 1994), *Gulyaevilepis tripartita* (Zarnowski, 1956), described by us previously as *Ditestolepis tripartita* (see Świderski and Tkach, 1997*c*), *Pseudhymenolepis redonica* Joyeux & Baer, 1936 (see Tkach and Świderski, 1997), *I. madagascariensis* (see Świderski and Tkach, 2002) and in *N. ctenoides* (see Młocicki *et al.* 2006). The nerve cells in the coracidia of three species of bothriocephalideans and diphyllbothriideans have been described by Wikgren (1986) in *Diphyllbothrium dendriticum* (Nitzsch, 1824), by Korneva (1994) in *Triaenophorus nodulosus* (Pallas, 1781) and in *Bothriocephalus clavibothrium* Ariola, 1899 by Świderski and Mackiewicz (2004). The two cells containing the granules of neurosecretory type in the central part of *T. congolensis* are very similar to those described as neurosecretory cells in oncospheres of several species of cyclophyllidean cestodes (for review see: Świderski and Tkach, 2002; Młocicki *et al.* 2006). It should be emphasized, however, that the classification of these cells as neurosecretory is based on cytological criteria; therefore more specific histochemical and immunocytochemical

information is needed to confirm their exact classification and to elucidate their function. The typical oncospherical nerve cells with characteristic dense-cored vesicles observed in *I. madagascariensis*, are similar to those reported previously in hexacanth of other cestodes (Fairweather and Threadgold, 1981; Świderski, 1997; Tkach and Świderski, 1997; Świderski and Tkach, 1997d, 1999). It should be emphasized, however, that in the oncospheres of some other cyclophyllidean species, e.g. *Catenotaenia pusilla* (Goeze, 1782) (see Świderski, 1972) and *Hepatocestus hepaticus* (Baer, 1932) (see Świderski *et al.* 2000), the nerve cells were absent.

### *Penetration glands*

Reid (1948) introduced the term “penetration glands”, hypothesizing that the secretion of these oncospherical glands may help hexacanth to penetrate the tissues of the intermediate host. Initially, these glands have been described as comprising two cells located symmetrically behind the hooks and joined together by an isthmus to give a U-shaped syncytial structure. The nuclei lie at the base of the U and the cytoplasm contains numerous secretory granules (Reid, 1948). Later, in some light and electron microscopical studies of cestode oncospheres, the penetration glands were described as bi-nucleated, four-nucleated, multicellular or unicellular glands (for review see: Świderski and Tkach, 2002). The most common, however, is the U-shaped syncytial bi-nucleated penetration gland. Świderski (1983) observed three different types of glandular regions in oncospheres of *E. granulosus*. He described two additional pairs of glandular regions between the arms of the typical U-shaped penetration gland (=glandular region PG1) of which one pair contained electron-lucent secretory granules while the other possessed small membrane-bound granules of high electron density. Based on the studies published by Fairweather and Threadgold (1981) concerning nerve cells in *Hymenolepis nana* (Siebold, 1852), the third type of secretory granules of the so-called “glandular regions” (Świderski, 1983) are also considered to be neurosecretory granules of nerve cells in *E. granulosus* and *E. multilocularis* (Świderski, 1997). Hence, there seems to be a consensus of opinion that there are two different types of penetration glands PG1 and PG2 in *E.*

*granulosus*, based on differences in the shape and electron density of their secretory granules: sg1 and sg2, localized respectively in PG1 and PG2. The mechanism of penetration gland secretion was classified as merocrine, apocrine or holocrine (for reviews see Lethbridge and Gijsbers, 1974; Lethbridge, 1980; Świdorski and Tkach, 1997<sup>c,d</sup>; Młocicki *et al.* 2010). In spite of the above hypotheses, the mechanism of secretion from the penetration glands still remains unclear and indeed there is neither direct evidence for the contents of the cells being secreted *in vivo* nor any direct evidence that they play a role in penetration.

In an earlier paper on *I. madagascariensis* (see Świdorski and Tkach, 2002), we described only one type of penetration gland and the so-called “additional type of secretory cells” containing secretory granules different from those characteristic for the penetration glands and nerve cells. Now, however, after a careful re-examination and comparison of the ultrastructural details of the three types of oncospherical secretory regions in both *T. congolensis* and *I. madagascariensis*, we came to the conclusion that as in *E. granulosus* (see Świdorski, 1983) both species contain two types of penetration glands PG1 and PG2, with evidently different types of their secretory granule sg1 and sg2. This inconsistency between our earlier observations on *I. madagascariensis* and their present verification may be due to differences in the methodologies used in the two studies. In addition, the hexacanth of *T. congolensis* and *I. madagascariensis* represent a unique example of the oncospheres having hexa-nucleated penetration glands; so far this has not been reported in other cestodes. In *T. congolensis* as *I. madagascariensis* (see Świdorski and Tkach, 2002), most of the gland cytoplasm is filled with accumulation of disc-shaped moderately electron-dense membrane-bound secretory granules, arranged in some parts in parallel stacks or rouleau. Recent TEM studies based on ultrastructural reconstruction of *Taenia ovis* (Cobbold, 1869) oncospheres from serial sections (Jabbar *et al.* 2010<sup>a,b</sup>) also confirmed the presence of two types of penetration glands in the hexacanth of this cestode species.

*Number of oncospherical cells*

As indicated in our results, the approximate number of oncospherical cells in *T. congolensis* is 47 (54 nuclei), including two syncytial structures, namely the bi-nucleate tegumental perikaryon and six-nucleate penetration gland. A similar number of oncospherical cells was reported in several hymenolepidids (Table 1). The number of oncospherical cells in proteocephalideans is about 80 and the highest number of oncospherical cells was observed in the lower cestode, bothriocephalidean *B. clavibothrium* – about 160 (for references see Table 1). The comparison of the number of oncospherical cells determined by means of TEM may suggest that the progressive reduction in the number of oncospherical cells is a general trend in cestode evolution and may represent one of their ontogenetic adaptations. Our results on cellular composition of *I. madagascariensis* oncospheres provide additional comparative data and support the above working hypothesis. Detailed examination of oncosphere cellular organisation of more cestode taxa is necessary in order to provide ground for more robust conclusions on this subject.

#### *Phylogenetic implications*

Our new ultrastructural data presented here provide strong evidence that *T. congolensis* is firmly positioned within the family Davaineidae, rather than within the anoplocephalid subfamilies Anoplocephalinae or Linstowiinae. The implication is that *Thysanotaenia*, and perhaps all inermicapsiferines (Świdorski and Tkach, 2002), are actually davaineid cestodes that have secondarily lost their rostellum and hooks (Świdorski, 1986a,b,c; Khalil *et al.*, 1994). This phenomenon is widespread among cyclophyllidean cestodes (see Khalil *et al.* 1994), but is presented here for the first time from davaineids. The present ultrastructural data, in fact, support the phylogenetic distinction between Inermicapsiferinae and Anoplocephalinae. New ultrastructural data would also be needed for other davaineids (e.g. *Raillietina*) to confirm these patterns. Overall, our ultrastructural results provide a significant revision to the understanding of the phylogenetic relationships among these cyclophyllidean families.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank the “Centres Científics i Tecnològics” of the University of Barcelona (CCiTUB) for their assistance in the preparation of samples.

## FINANCIAL SUPPORT

This study was financially supported by the Spanish grant from AGAUR (no. 2014 SGR 1241) and by the “Comissió de Recerca” of the Pharmacy Faculty of the University of Barcelona to J.M.

## REFERENCES

- Baer, J. G.** (1956). The taxonomic position of *Taenia madagascariensis* Davaine, 1870, a tapeworm parasite of man and rodents. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* **50**, 152–156.
- Baer, J. G. and Fain, A.** (1955). Les Cestodes des Pangolins. *Bulletin de la Société Neuchâteloise des Sciences Naturelles* **78**, 37–52.
- Beveridge, I.** (1994). Family Anoplocephalidae Cholodkovsky, 1902. In *Keys to the Cestode Parasites of Vertebrates* (ed Khalil, L. F., Jones, A., Bray, R. A.), pp. 315–366. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Beveridge, I.** (2001). The use of life-cycle characters in studies of the evolution of cestodes. In *Interrelationships of the Platyhelminthes* (ed. Littlewood, D. T. J. and Bray, R. A.), pp. 250–256. Taylor and Francis, London and New York.
- Caley, J.** (1975). In vitro hatching of the tapeworm *Moniezia expansa* (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) and some properties of the egg membranes. *Zeitschrift für Parasitenkunde* **45**, 335–346. doi: 10.1007/BF00329823.
- Coil, W. H.** (1979). Studies on the embryogenesis of the tapeworm *Cittotaenia variabilis* (Stiles, 1895) using transmission and scanning electron microscopy. *Zeitschrift für Parasitenkunde* **59**, 151–159. doi: 10.1007/BF00927397.



- Collin, W. K.** (1969). The cellular organisation of hatched oncospheres of *Hymenolepis citelli* (Cestoda: Cyclophyllidea). *Journal of Parasitology* **55**, 149–166.
- Conn, D. B.** (1985a). Scanning electron microscopy and histochemistry of embryonic envelopes of the porcupine tapeworm, *Monoecocestus americanus* (Cyclophyllidea: Anoplocephalidae). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **63**, 1194–1198. doi: 10.1139/z85-178.
- Conn, D. B.** (1985b). Fine structure of the embryonic envelopes of *Oochoristica anolis* (Cestoda: Linstowiidae). *Zeitschrift für Parasitenkunde* **71**, 639–648. doi: 10.1007/BF00925597.
- Conn, D. B.** (1988). The role of cellular parenchyma and extracellular matrix in the histogenesis of the paruterine organ of *Mesocestoides lineatus* (Cestoda: Cyclophyllidea). *Journal of Morphology* **197**, 303–314. doi: 10.1002/jmor.1051970305.
- Conn, D. B.** (1999). Ultrastructure of the embryonic envelopes and associated maternal structures of *Distoichometra bufonis* (Platyhelminthes, Cestoidea, Nematotaeniidae). *Acta Parasitologica* **44**, 4–10.
- Conn, D. B. and Etges, F. J.** (1984). Fine structure and histochemistry of the parenchyma and uterine egg capsules of *Oochoristica anolis* (Cestoda: Linstowiidae). *Zeitschrift für Parasitenkunde* **70**, 769–779. doi: 10.1007/BF00927130.
- Conn, D. B., Etges, F. J. and Sidner, R. A.** (1984). Fine structure of the gravid paruterine organ and embryonic envelopes of *Mesocestoides lineatus* (Cestoda). *Journal of Parasitology* **70**, 68–77.
- Conn, D. B. and Świdorski, Z.** (2008). A standardised terminology of the embryonic envelopes and associated developmental stages of tapeworms (Platyhelminthes: Cestoda). *Folia Parasitologica* **55**, 42–52. doi: 10.14411/fp.2008.006.
- Fairweather, I. and Threadgold, L. T.** (1981). *Hymenolepis nana*: the fine structure of the “penetration gland” and nerve cells within the oncosphere. *Parasitology* **82**, 445–458. doi: 10.1017/S003118200006697X.
- Jabbar, A., Świdorski, Z., Młocicki, D., Beveridge, I. and Lightowlers, M. W.** (2010a). The



ultrastructure of taeniid cestode oncospheres and localization of host-protective antigens.

*Parasitology* **137**, 521–535. doi: 10.1017/S0031182009991260.

**Jabbar, A., Crawford, S., Mlocicki, D., Świdorski, Z., Conn, D. B., Jones, M. K., Beveridge, I. and Lightowlers, M. W. (2010b).** Ultrastructural reconstruction of *Taenia ovis* oncospheres from serial sections. *International Journal for Parasitology* **40**, 1419–1431. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.04.011.

**Jones, M. K. (1988).** Formation of the paruterine capsules and embryonic envelopes in *Cylindrotaenia hickmani* (Jones, 1985) (Cestoda: Nematotaeniidae). *Australian Journal of Zoology* **36**, 545–563. doi: 10.1071/ZO9880545.

**Khalil, L. F., Jones, A. and Bray, R. A. (1994).** *Keys to the cestode parasites of vertebrates*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.

**Korneva, J. V. (1994).** Cell compound and ultrastructural organisation of the coracidium of *Triaenophorus nodulosus* (Cestoda, Pseudophyllidea). *Parazitologija* **28**, 276–282 [in Russian].

**Lethbridge, R. C. (1980).** The biology of the oncosphere of cyclophyllidean cestodes. *Helminthological Abstracts Ser. A* **49**, 59–72.

**Lethbridge, R. C. and Gijssbers, M. F. (1974).** Penetration gland secretion by hexacanth of *Hymenolepis diminuta*. *Parasitology* **68**, 303–311. doi: 10.1017/S0031182000045832.

**Mlocicki, D., Świdorski, Z., Bruňanská, M. and Conn, D. B. (2010).** Functional ultrastructure of the hexacanth larvae in the bothriocephalidean cestode *Eubothrium salvelini* (Schränk, 1790) and its phylogenetic implications. *Parasitology International* **59**, 539–548. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2010.07.001.

**Mlocicki, D., Świdorski, Z., Eira, C. and Miquel, J. (2005).** An ultrastructural study of embryonic envelope formation in the anoplocephalid cestode *Mosgovoyia ctenoides* (Railliet, 1890) Beveridge, 1978. *Parasitology Research* **95**, 243–251. doi: 10.1007/s00436-004-1276-4.

**Mlocicki, D., Świdorski, Z., Miquel, J., Eira, C. and Conn, D. B. (2006).** Cellular organization of

- the oncosphere of *Mosgovoyia ctenoides* (Cyclophyllidea, Anoplocephalidae). *Journal of Parasitology* **92**, 953–961. doi: 10.1645/GE-790R1.1.
- Ogren, R. E.** (1968a). Characteristic for two classes of embryonic cells in oncospheres of *Hymenolepis diminuta* stained for cytoplasmic substances. *Transactions of the American Microscopical Society* **87**, 82–96.
- Ogren, R. E.** (1968b). The basic cellular pattern for undifferentiated oncospheres of *Hymenolepis diminuta*. *Transactions of the American Microscopical Society* **87**, 448–463.
- Ogren, R. E.** (1971). Criteria for anterior polarity and symmetry in tapeworm hexacanth embryos. *Proceedings of the Pennsylvania Academy of Science* **45**, 40–41.
- Reid, W. M.** (1948). Penetration glands in cyclophyllidean onchospheres. *Transactions of the American Microscopical Society* **67**, 177–182.
- Rybicka, K.** (1964). Embryonic development of *Moniezia expansa* (Rud., 1810) (Cyclophyllidea, Anoplocephalidae). *Acta Parasitologica* **12**, 313–325.
- Rybicka, K.** (1966). Embryogenesis in cestodes. *Advances in Parasitology* **4**, 107–186. doi: 10.1016/S0065-308X(08)60449-2.
- Rybicka, K.** (1967). Embryogenesis in *Hymenolepis diminuta*. V. Acetylcholinesterase in embryos. *Experimental Parasitology* **20**, 263–266. doi: 10.1016/0014-4894(67)90048-3.
- Spasskii, A. A.** (1951). *Anoplocephalate tapeworms of domestic and wild animals* (English translation). The Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow.
- Świderski, Z.** (1972). La structure fine de l'oncosphère du cestode *Catenotaenia pusilla* (Goeze, 1782) (Cyclophyllidea, Catenotaeniidae). *La Cellule* **69**, 207–237.
- Świderski, Z.** (1975). Comparative fine structure of cestode embryos. *Proceedings of the 2nd European Multicolloquium of Parasitology, Trogir*, 265–273.
- Świderski, Z.** (1981). Reproductive and developmental biology of the cestodes. In *Advances in Invertebrate Reproduction* (ed. Clark, W. H. Jr. and Adams, T. S.), Elsevier/North Holland, New York, Amsterdam, Oxford.

- Świderski, Z. (1982). *Echinococcus granulosus*: ultrastructure of the glandular regions of the infective oncosphere. In *Electron Microscopy 1982, Proceedings of the 10th International Congress on Electron Microscopy, Hamburg, 3* (ed. Le Poole, J. B. and Zeitler, E.), pp. 511–512.
- Świderski, Z. (1983). *Echinococcus granulosus*: hook-muscle system and cellular organisation of infective oncospheres. *International Journal for Parasitology* **13**, 289–299. doi: 10.1016/0020-7519(83)90040-1.
- Świderski, Z. (1986a). Ultrastructure of the glandular regions of the infective oncospheres of the cestode *Inermicapsifer madagascariensis* (Cyclophyllidea, Anoplocephalidae, Inermicapsiferinae). In *Electron Microscopy 1986, Proceedings of the 12th International Congress on Electron Microscopy, Kyoto, 3* (ed. Imura, T., Maruse, S. and Suzuki, T.), pp. 3333–3334.
- Świderski, Z. (1986b). Ultrastructure of the parenchymatic capsules of the cestode *Inermicapsifer madagascariensis* (Cyclophyllidea, Anoplocephalidae, Inermicapsiferinae). In *Electron Microscopy 1986, Proceedings of the 12th International Congress on Electron Microscopy, Kyoto, 3* (ed. Imura, T., Maruse, S. and Suzuki, T.), pp. 3331–3332.
- Świderski, Z. (1986c). SEM study of *Inermicapsifer madagascariensis*, a tapeworm parasite of man and rodents. In *Electron Microscopy 1986, Proceedings of the 12th International Congress on Electron Microscopy, Kyoto, 3* (ed. Imura, T., Maruse, S. and Suzuki, T.), pp. 3329–3330.
- Świderski, Z. (1994). *Echinococcus multilocularis*: ultrastructure of the oncospherical nerve cells. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Congress on Electron Microscopy, Paris, 3B* (ed. Jouffrey, B. and Colleix, C.), pp. 1425–1426.
- Świderski, Z. (1997). Ultrastructure of the oncospherical nerve cells in *Echinococcus granulosus* and *E. multilocularis*. In *Archivos Internacionales de la Hidatidosis, Vol. 32, 18th International Congress of Hydatidology, Lisboa*, pp. 126–128.
- Świderski, Z. and Mackiewicz, J. S. (2004). Ultrastructural studies on the cellular organisation of

- the coracidium of the cestode *Bothriocephalus clavibothrium* Ariola, 1899 (Pseudophyllidea, Bothriocephalidae). *Acta Parasitologica* **49**, 116–139.
- Świderski, Z., Miquel, J., Feliu, C. and Conn, D. B. (2015). Functional ultrastructure of the parenchymatic capsules of the cestode *Thysanotaenia congolensis* (Cyclophyllidea, Anoplocephalidae, Inermicapsiferinae). *Parasitology Research* **114**, 297–303. doi: 10.1007/s00436-014-4194-0.
- Świderski, Z. and Mokhtar, F. (1974). The fine structure of the coracidia of *Bothriocephalus clavibothrium* Ariola, 1899 (Cestoda: Pseudophyllidea). In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Congress of Parasitology, Munich, 3* (ed. Piekarski, G. and Scholtyseck, E.), pp. 412–413.
- Świderski, Z., Ndiaye, P. I., Tkach, V., Miquel, J., Marchand, B., Chomicz, L., Grytner-Ziecina, B. and Sereda, M. J. (2001a). Ultrastructural study of the embryonic development of the anoplocephalid cestode *Anoplocephaloides dentata*, an intestinal parasite of Arvicolidae rodents. I. Egg envelope formation. *Acta Parasitologica* **46**, 171–185.
- Świderski, Z., Ndiaye, P. I., Tkach, V., Miquel, J., Marchand, B., Chomicz, L., Grytner-Ziecina, B. and Sereda, M. J. (2001b). Ultrastructural study of the embryonic development of the anoplocephalid cestode *Anoplocephaloides dentata*, an intestinal parasite of Arvicolidae rodents. II. Differentiation and cellular organisation of the oncosphere. *Acta Parasitologica* **46**, 280–292.
- Świderski, Z. and Subilia, L. (1988). Embryonic development of the cestode *Oochoristica agamae* Baylis, 1919 (Cyclophyllidea: Anoplocephalidae). In *Electron Microscopy–1988. Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> Asia-Pacific Congress & Workshop on Electron Microscopy, Bangkok* (ed. Mangclaviraj, V.), pp. 699–700.
- Świderski, Z. and Tkach, V. V. (1997a). Differentiation and ultrastructure of the paruterine organs and paruterine capsules, in the nematotaeniid cestode *Nematotaenia dispar* (Goeze, 1782) Lühe, 1910, a parasite of amphibians. *International Journal for Parasitology* **27**, 635–644. doi:

10.1016/S0020-7519(96)00185-3.

- Świdorski, Z. and Tkach, V. V. (1997b). Differentiation and ultrastructure of oncospherical and uterine envelopes in the nematotaeniid cestode, *Nematotaenia dispar* (Goeze, 1782). *International Journal for Parasitology* **27**, 1065–1074. doi: 10.1016/S0020-7519(97)00059-3.
- Świdorski, Z. and Tkach, V. V. (1997c). Ultrastructure of the infective eggs of the hymenolepidid cestode, *Ditestolepis tripartita* (Zarnowski, 1955), a parasite of shrews. *Acta Parasitologica* **42**, 46–54.
- Świdorski, Z. and Tkach, V. V. (1997d). Ultrastructural studies on the cellular organisation of the oncospheres of the nematotaeniid cestode, *Nematotaenia dispar* (Goeze, 1782). *Acta Parasitologica* **42**, 158–167.
- Świdorski, Z. and Tkach, V. V. (1999). Electron microscopical studies on the cellular organisation of the oncospheres of the hymenolepidid cestode, *Staphylocystoides stefanskii* (Zarnowski, 1954). *Acta Parasitologica* **44**, 31–38.
- Świdorski, Z. and Tkach, V. V. (2002). Ultrastructure of embryonic development of *Inermicapsifer madagascariensis* (Cestoda, Anoplocephalidae) with emphasis on the cellular organisation of the infective eggs. *Acta Parasitologica* **47**, 105–120.
- Świdorski, Z., Tkach, V. V. and Vaucher, C. (2000). Fine structure of the infective eggs of the dilepidid cestode *Hepatocestus hepaticus* (Baer, 1932), a parasite of shrews. *Acta Parasitologica* **45**, 71–82.
- Thiéry, J. P. (1967). Mise en évidence des polysaccharides sur coupes fines en microscopie électronique. *Journal de Microscopie* **6**, 987–1018.
- Tkach, V. V. and Świdorski, Z. (1997). Late stages of egg maturation in the cestode *Pseudhymenolepis redonica* Joyeux et Baer, 1935 (Cyclophyllidea, Hymenolepididae), a parasite of shrews. *Acta Parasitologica* **42**, 97–108.
- Voge, M. (1969). Systematics of cestodes - present and future. In *Problems in Systematics of Parasites* (ed. Schmidt, G. G.), pp. 49–72. University Park Press, Baltimore, USA.

**Wikgren, M. C.** (1986). The nervous system of early larval stages of the cestode *Diphyllbothrium dendriticum*. *Acta Zoologica (Stockholm)* **67**, 155–163. doi: 10.1111/j.1463-6395.1986.tb00859.x.

For Peer Review

## LEGENDS FOR FIGURES

Fig. 1. Diagram of the entire parenchymatic capsule surrounding several mature eggs in the gravid proglottid of *Thysanotaenia congolensis*. CC, calcareous corpuscles; CT, outer filamentous layer; db, dense bodies; Em, embryophore; EP, embryophore processes; ICL, inner compact layer; ML, middle metachromatic layer; OM, oncospheral membrane; Onc, oncosphere; UE, uterine wall remnants.

Fig. 2. General topography of the oncosphere surrounded by the parenchymatic capsule and its ultrastructural details. (A) Low power electron micrograph showing fully developed oncosphere surrounded by the highly reduced membranous embryophore and additional protective structures represented by the remnants of the uterine wall and parenchymatic capsule; (B) The region situated between the middle metachromatic layer (upper part of micrograph) and inner compact cellular layer (lower part of micrograph); (C) Higher magnification of the inner compact cellular layer showing numerous calcareous corpuscles embedded in the cytoplasm of lipid-containing “vacuolated”, “spongiform” cells owing its nature to a large number of small non-osmiophilic, saturated lipid droplets. CC, calcareous corpuscles; CT, outer filamentous layer; db, dense bodies; Em, embryophore; EP, embryophore processes; ICL, inner compact layer; MF, muscle fibers; ML, middle metachromatic layer; OM, oncospheral membrane; Onc, oncosphere; UE, uterine wall remnants.

Fig 3. Somatophore and germatophore regions of the hexacanth. (A) Longitudinally oblique section through the middle part of the oncosphere showing a bilateral symmetry of the hexacanth. Note the somatophore and germatophore regions situated at the opposite poles of the larva and bilaterally symmetrical localization of germinative cells and six oncospheral hooks in cross section, and their hook muscles. (B) Higher magnification of the somatophore region showing three-layered nature of hook material at different levels of their cross- and oblique sections and complex structure of somatic musculature interdigitating with hook muscle system and several zones of their attachments. Em, embryophore; EP, embryophore processes; GC, germinative cell; H, hook; HM,

hook muscles; n, nucleolus; N, nucleus; OM, oncospherical membrane; OT, oncospherical tegument.

Fig.4. Cytochemical localization of  $\beta$ -glycogen in the embryophore and hook muscles; ultrastructural details of the oncospherical hooks. (A–B) Cytochemical test of Thiery showing a heavy accumulation of glycogen in the cytoplasm of flattened, degenerating embryophore (A) and showing accumulation of  $\beta$ -glycogen particles in the oncospherical hook and somatic musculature (B). (C) Section through the somatophore region of the hexacanth showing two obliquely sectioned hooks, their accompanying musculature and zones of hook-muscle attachments. (D–E) Longitudinally oblique sections through the blades and shanks of oncospherical hooks. Note the heterogeneous, tripartite nature, even four-partite nature (in some regions) of the hook material which continues through its blade, shank and base. Note the hook attachment zones around the enlarged collar of the hook shank, surrounded by powerful bundles of hook muscles shown in D. Enlarged details of the hook protruding from oncosphere (compare D and E), are showing the circular septate junction above the collar region and two highly electron-dense rigid rings situated on each side of the junction. Bl, hook blade; D, circular septate desmosome; DR, desmosome rings; Em, embryophore; gl, glycogen; H, hook; HM, hook muscles; HMA, hook attachment zones; m, mitochondria; N, nucleus; nsg, neurosecretory granules; OM, oncospherical membrane; OT, oncospherical tegument; SC, somatic cell; Sh, hook shank.

Fig. 5. Diagram showing localisation of three secretory regions: two types of penetration glands PG1 and PG2 and two neurosecretory cells. Note the oncospherical tegument composed of its peripheral anucleated layer and submerged subtegumental perikaryon or bi-nucleate medullary centre and the hook region membrane surrounding the somatophore pole of the hexacanth. BMC, bi-nucleate medullary centre; H, hooks; HRM, hook region membrane; N, nucleus; NC, nerve cells; OT, oncospherical tegument; PG1, type 1 penetration gland; PG2, type 2 penetration gland.

Fig. 6. Oncospherical secretory regions: comparison of the three types of their secretory granules. (A) Electron micrograph showing the germatophore region of hexacanth with a large germinative cell on the left side and a few secretory regions containing three types of secretory granules: sg1, sg2




and nsg granules. Two types of penetration glands, PG1 and PG2, show evidently different types of their secretory granule sg1 and sg2. (B–D) Ultrastructural details of three types secretory granules. sg1 (or sg2) represents secretory granules of the first (or second) type of penetration glands and nsg, neurosecretory granules of neurosecretory cells. Em, embryophore; GC, germinative cell; n, nucleolus; N, nucleus; nsg, neurosecretory granules; OM, oncospherical membrane; sg1, secretory granules of the first type penetration glands; sg2, secretory granules of the second type penetration glands.


Fig. 7. Ultrastructure of the germinative cells and enlarged details of the oncospherical surface. (A) Two enlarged germinative cells (GC) surrounded by hook muscle bundles and the elongated processes of the penetration gland PG1 containing characteristic disc-shaped secretory granules sg1. (B) Low power electron micrograph showing the germatophore region of hexacanth with two large germinative cells on the upper right corner left side. Em, embryophore; GC, germinative cell; HM, hook muscles; m, mitochondria; n, nucleolus; N, nucleus; OM, oncospherical membrane; SC, somatic cell; sg1, secretory granules of the first type penetration glands; sg2, secretory granules of the second type penetration glands.

Table 1. Number of oncospherical cells in some cestode species.


| Cestode species                             | Number of cells | Number of nuclei | Reference   |
|---|-----------------|------------------|---|
| <b>BOTHRIOCEPHALIDEA</b>                    |                 |                  |   |
| <i>Bothriocephalus clavibothrium</i>        | 160             | 164              | Świderski and Mokhtar (1974)<br>Świderski and Mackiewicz (2004) |
| <b>PROTEOCEPHALIDEA</b>                     |                 |                  |   |
| <i>Proteocephalus longicollis</i>           | 80              | 82               | Świderski (1981)  |
| <b>CYCLOPHYLLIDEA</b>                       |                 |                  |   |
| <b>Anoplocephalidae: Anoplocephalinae</b>   |                 |                  |   |
| <i>Anoplocephaloides dentata</i>            | 26              | 28               | Świderski <i>et al.</i> (2001 <i>a,b</i> )                      |
| <b>Anoplocephalidae: Inermicapsiferinae</b> |                 |                  |   |
| <i>Inermicapsifer madagascariensis</i>      | 47              | 54               | Świderski and Tkach (2002)                                      |
| <i>Thysanotaenia congolensis</i>            | 47              | 54               | Present paper   |
| <b>Catenotaeniidae</b>                      |                 |                  |   |
| <i>Catenotaenia pusilla</i>                 | 5               | 6                | Świderski (1972)  |
| <b>Hymenolepididae</b>                      |                 |                  |   |
| <i>Ditestolepis tripartita</i>              | 44              | 46               | Świderski and Tkach (1997 <i>c</i> )                            |
| <i>Hymenolepis citelli</i>                  | 44              | 48               | Collin (1969)   |
| <i>Hymenolepis microstoma</i>               | 44              | 46               | Świderski (1975, 1981)  |
| <i>Staphylocystoides stefanskii</i>         | 50              | 52               | Świderski and Tkach (1999)                                      |
| <b>Nematotaeniidae</b>                      |                 |                  |   |
| <i>Nematotaenia dispar</i>                  | 50              | 52               | Świderski and Tkach (1997 <i>d</i> )                            |
| <b>Taeniidae</b>                            |                 |                  |   |
| <i>Echinococcus granulosus</i>              | 52              | 54               | Świderski (1983)  |




191x207mm (300 x 300 DPI)




297x420mm (300 x 300 DPI)




297x420mm (300 x 300 DPI)



297x420mm (300 x 300 DPI)




156x281mm (300 x 300 DPI)



297x420mm (300 x 300 DPI)





297x420mm (300 x 300 DPI)