

Review HERC Ubiquitin Ligases in Cancer

Joan Sala-Gaston ^{1,†}^(D), Arturo Martinez-Martinez ^{1,†}^(D), Leonardo Pedrazza ¹, L. Francisco Lorenzo-Martín ²^(D), Rubén Caloto ², Xosé R. Bustelo ²^(D), Francesc Ventura ¹^(D) and Jose Luis Rosa ^{1,*}

- ¹ Departament de Ciències Fisiològiques, Institut d'Investigació de Bellvitge (IDIBELL), Universitat de Barcelona, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, 08907 Barcelona, Spain; joansala@ub.edu (J.S.-G.); arturomartinez@ub.edu (A.M.-M.); leopedrazza@gmail.com (L.P.); fventura@ub.edu (F.V.)
- ² Centro de Investigación del Cáncer, Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular del Cáncer and CIBERONC, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC)-University of Salamanca, 37007 Salamanca, Spain; luis.lorenzomartin@epfl.ch (L.F.L.-M.); ruben.fdez.caloto@usal.es (R.C.); xbustelo@usal.es (X.R.B.)
- * Correspondence: joseluisrosa@ub.edu
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 24 April 2020; Accepted: 19 June 2020; Published: 22 June 2020



Abstract: HERC proteins are ubiquitin E3 ligases of the HECT family. The HERC subfamily is composed of six members classified by size into large (HERC1 and HERC2) and small (HERC3–HERC6). HERC family ubiquitin ligases regulate important cellular processes, such as neurodevelopment, DNA damage response, cell proliferation, cell migration, and immune responses. Accumulating evidence also shows that this family plays critical roles in cancer. In this review, we provide an integrated view of the role of these ligases in cancer, highlighting their bivalent functions as either oncogenes or tumor suppressors, depending on the tumor type. We include a discussion of both the molecular mechanisms involved and the potential therapeutic strategies.

Keywords: HECT; E3; oncogene; tumor suppressor; genome stability; p53; MAPK; RAF; ERK; p38

1. Introduction

Ubiquitin E3 ligases take part in protein ubiquitylation. These enzymes catalyze the last step of a cascade where ubiquitin is initially incorporated to a ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1), which in turn is transferred to a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2), and finally, to a target protein through a process defined by a ubiquitin E3 ligase that interacts with the substrate protein (Figure 1). The ubiquitin-like proteins SUMO, NEDD8, and ISG15 are also covalently attached to the target protein via an E1/E2/E3 cascade. Specifically, the E3 ligases can be classified into three groups, of which one is homologous to the E6AP carboxyl terminus (HECT) protein. All HECT ligases have a catalytic domain in their carboxyl terminus that contains a conserved cysteine residue that is involved in forming a transiently thioester bond to ubiquitin before transferring it to the lysine residue of the substrate protein (Figure 1) [1]. HECT ligases containing one or more regulator of chromosome condensation 1 (RCC1)-like domains in their amino-terminal domain form a HERC subgroup [2]. HERC1 and HERC2 are the largest HECT ligases, having molecular weights exceeding 500 kDa, and constitute the large HERC protein subfamily [3]. By contrast, HERC3 to HERC6 have molecular weights around 100–120 kDa and constitute the small HERC protein subfamily. Despite the structural similarity between large and small HERC proteins (Figure 1), they are evolutionarily very distant. In fact, they are the result of convergence phenomena rather than being phylogenetic paralogs [3–5]. Moreover, the small HERC proteins HERC5 and HERC6 may also function as ISG15 E3 ligases [6,7].





Figure 1. The ubiquitin-conjugating system in HERC E3 ligases: (**A**) Ubiquitin (Ub) is conjugated to a target substrate via a cascade that comprises an E1 activating enzyme, an E2 conjugating enzyme, and an E3 ligase enzyme. The HERC proteins belong to the HECT family of E3 ligases, which form a thioester bond with Ub via a conserved cysteine residue. Once formed, Ub is transferred to the substrate's lysine residue (see text for details). Ub-like proteins, such as ISG15, are also covalently attached to the substrate protein via an E1/E2/E3 cascade; (**B**) structural features of large and small HERC proteins are also shown. HERC5 and HERC6 may also function as ISG15 E3 ligases.

2. The Role of HERCs in Cancer

HERCs play roles in a wide range of cellular functions, including neurodevelopment, cell response to replication stress and DNA damage, cell proliferation, cell migration, and immune responses. As such, mutations in HERCs are associated with severe pathologies [3,6,8], with a notable impact in cancer. An extensive list of the different cancers associated with the specific large and small HERCs is provided in Table 1.

Genes	Associated Cancers	Related Molecular Mechanisms	Reference
	Acute promyelocytic leukemia	HERC1-PML genomic fusion	[9]
HERC1	Acute Myeloid Leukemia	HERC1 mutations	[10]
	Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	Decreased MSH2 protein levels and HERC1 deletions	[11]
	Adult T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia	HERC1 mutations	[12]
	T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia	HERC1 mutations	[13]
	Non-melanoma skin cancer	Enhanced BAK protein degradation	[14]
	Pulmonary sclerosing pneumocytoma	HERC1 mutations	[15]
	Invasive lobular breast cancer	HERC1 mutations	[16]
	Metastatic triple-negative breast cancer	HERC1 mutations	[17]
	Sporadic colorectal cancer	Decreased MSH2 protein levels and HERC1 deletions	[11]
	Osteosarcoma	Negative correlation of <i>SOX18</i> overexpression and <i>HERC1</i> mRNA levels	[18]
	Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma	HERC2 mutations	[19]
HERC2	T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia	HERC2 mutations	[13]
	Cutaneous melanoma	SNPs in HERC2 gene increase susceptibility	[20-23]
		Gene-gene interactions between HERC2 gene and IL31RA and DDX4 genes	[24]
		Epistatic effects between HERC2 and VDR genes	[25]
	Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma	SNPs in <i>HERC2</i> gene impact on time to develop the tumor in organ transplant recipients	[26]
	Uveal melanoma	SNPs in HERC2 gene increase susceptibility	[27]
	Non-small-cell lung cancer	Worse prognosis in patients expressing high <i>HERC2</i> mRNA levels	[28]
	Breast cancer	Enhanced BRCA1 degradation	[29,30]
	Gastric and colorectal carcinomas	HERC2 mutations	[31]
	Osteosarcoma	Negative correlation of <i>SOX18</i> overexpression and <i>HERC</i> 2 mRNA levels	[18]
	Glioblastoma	Degradation of SMAD7 and activation of the TGFβ signaling	[32]
HERC3	Gastric and colorectal carcinomas	HERC3 mutations	[31]
	Osteosarcoma	Negative correlation of SOX18 overexpression and HERC3 mRNA levels	[18]
HERC4	Multiple myeloma	Decreased c-Maf degradation	[33]
	Lung cancer	HERC4 overexpression	[34]
	Non-small cell lung cancer	Increased Smo protein stability and Hh pathway activation	[35,36]
	Breast cancer	HERC4 upregulation	[37]
		Decreased expression of miRNAs targeting HERC4 expression and enhanced LATS1 degradation	[38]
	Hepatocellular carcinoma	HERC4 overexpression	[39]
	Osteosarcoma	Negative correlation of <i>SOX18</i> overexpression and <i>HERC4</i> mRNA levels	[18]

Genes	Associated Cancers	Related Molecular Mechanisms	Reference
	Pediatric germ cell tumors	Chromosome copy number variations (CNVs) at a region encompassing <i>HERC5</i> gene	[40]
_	Glioblastoma	HERC5 upregulation	[41]
	Acute myeloid leukemia	HERC5 downregulation	[42]
	Oropharyngeal cancer	HERC5 gene expression is associated with overall survival	[43]
	Non-small cell lung cancer	HERC5 promoter hypermethylation	[44]
HERC5	Breast cancer	HERC5 upregulation	[45]
-	Hepatocellular carcinoma	Negative correlation of CCL20 overexpression and HERC5 mRNA levels	[46]
		Reduced p53, p21 and Bax/Bcl-2 pathway activation	[47]
-	Ovarian cancer HERC5 upregulation is associated with drug resistance	HERC5 upregulation is associated with drug resistance	[48,49]
-	Osteosarcoma	Negative correlation of SOX18 overexpression and HERC5 mRNA levels	[18]
HERC6	Osteosarcoma	Negative correlation of <i>SOX18</i> overexpression and <i>HERC6</i> mRNA levels	[18]

Table 1. Cont.

Mutations in large HERCs have been found in leukemia [10–13] and breast cancer [16,17]. Frameshift mutations in *HERC2* have been found in both gastric and colorectal carcinomas with microsatellite instability [31]. The *HERC2* locus has also been associated with both cutaneous melanoma and uveal melanoma, whereas the *HERC1* locus has been found to be mutated in non-melanoma skin cancer [20,21,25,27]. Higher expression levels of HERCs are associated with better patient prognosis in kidney, head and neck, and pancreatic cancers when *HERC1* expression levels are elevated, and in patients with renal cancer when *HERC2* expression levels are elevated [50]. By contrast, the expression levels of HERC2 have been found to negatively correlate with patient survival in non-small-cell lung cancer [28]. In osteosarcoma, upregulation of the HERC2-binding protein SOX18 enhances cell proliferation, and it correlates with a reduction in both large and small HERC mRNA levels (Table 1) [18].

Several studies using gene expression analyses of different tumor tissues have showed differential expression patterns of small HERCs between healthy and tumor samples as well as between tumors at different stages. This raises the possibility of using small HERCs as diagnostic or prognostic biomarkers for different cancer types. For instance, *HERC4* and *HERC5* expressions have been shown to correlate with tumor progression in breast cancer [37,45] and have been implicated in both lung [34–36,44] and hepatocellular [39,46,47,51] carcinomas. In addition, high levels of *HERC3* expression correlate with poor prognosis in glioblastomas [32], while *HERC5* appears to be differentially expressed and associated with progression in acute myeloid leukemia (Table 1) [42].

3. Signaling Pathways Regulated by HERCs

Gene expression and survival studies suggest that HERCs have different roles in cancer. Gaining a better understanding of HERCs can be facilitated by understanding the intracellular signaling pathways where HERCs operate. HERCs appear to have bivalent functions, acting as either oncogenes or as tumor suppressors depending on the tumor type. In turn, this complicates research into the relevant signaling pathways where HERCs participate. In recent years, several studies have contributed to our understanding of the tumor suppressor function of large HERCs, with three major regulatory mechanisms emerging: regulation of genomic stability, regulation of p53 transcriptional programming, and regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling.

3.1. Regulation of Genomic Stability

Genomic instability is a hallmark of cancer that is observed in the early stages of tumorigenesis [52,53]. HERC2 participates in the modulation of genomic stability through degradation of key regulators of DNA repair pathways. Xeroderma pigmentosa A (XPA) is critical to the nucleotide excision repair machinery. It is ubiquitylated by HERC2, which targets it for proteasomal degradation in a circadian-dependent manner (Figure 2A) [54]. The regulation of this process depends on the phosphorylation state of XPA. Its phosphorylation by serine/threonine-protein kinase ATR prevents ubiquitylation by HERC2, and its dephosphorylation by protein phosphatase 1D (also known as WIP1) enhances its ubiquitylation by HERC2 [54,55].



Figure 2. Involvement of HERC2 in the regulation of genomic stability: (A) HERC2 catalyzes the polyubiquitylation of different DNA damage response (DDR) regulators, such as XPA, BRCA1, and USP20, targeting them for proteasomal degradation; (B) upon double-strand break in the DNA, HERC2 is phosphorylated (by ATM, ATR, or DNA-PK) and SUMOylated (by PIAS4). These posttranslational modifications allow HERC2 to bind to RNF8, promoting the specific assembly of RNF8 with the E2 enzyme Ubc13. This allows the formation of K63 polyubiquitin chains in H2A-type histones flanking the double-strand break site. HERC2 interacts with and stabilizes RNF168, and this amplifies the ubiquitin chain formation in histones. By contrast, USP16 levels increase in a HERC2-dependent manner and negatively regulate H2A histone ubiquitylation to fine-tune chain formation; (C) HERC2 is present in the replication fork complex that regulates the balance between DNA elongation and origin firing. HERC2 facilitates ATR-dependent MCM2 phosphorylation, which enhances origin firing, and this is inhibited by Claspin, another HERC2-interacting protein. (D) G4 structures cause replication stress, and this leads to HERC2 promoting RPA2 phosphorylation via ATR. Then, HERC2 polyubiquitylates the phosphorylated form of RPA2, targeting it for proteasomal degradation, and thereby fine-tuning the total levels of phospho-RPA2. This mechanism is essential for assembling the BLM and WRN RecQ helicases to the RPA complex and for its later role in suppressing G4 structures.

Another HERC2 degradation target is breast cancer suppressor 1 (BRCA1) (Figure 2A) [29].

BRCA1 maintains genome stability by repairing double-strand breaks [56]. The HERC2-dependent degradation of BRCA1 is regulated by the binding of different protein interactors to HERC2 or BRCA1; for example, BARD1 binds BRCA1, forming a heterodimer that prevents BRCA1 ubiquitylation by HERC2. Similarly, TUSC4 binds to HERC2 and prevents BRCA1 degradation, with its knockdown consistently shown to enhance BRCA1 degradation through the proteasome pathway [29,30].

Further, also concerning the response to DNA damage, HERC2 is required for the retention of DNA repair factors such as 53BP1, RAP80, RNF168, and BRCA1 at sites of DNA damage in response to double-strand breaks [57]. Upon double-strand breaks, HERC2 is phosphorylated on T4827 by serine-protein kinase ATM or other DNA damage-related kinases like ATR or DNA-PK. In addition, it can be SUMOylated in its ZZ domain by the E3 SUMO ligase PIAS4 [58]. These posttranslational modifications allow the binding of HERC2 to RNF8, another ubiquitin E3 ligase involved in the DNA damage response. In these conditions, HERC2 promotes the specific assembly of RNF8 with one of its E2 enzymes, Ubc13, which catalyzes the formation of K63 polyubiquitin chains formed in H2A-type histones flanking the double-strand break site. HERC2 therefore mediates the specificity of the interaction between the E2 enzyme Ubc13 and the E3 ligase RNF8, safeguarding the formation of K63 ubiquitin chains that are essential for recruiting repair factors to the damaged chromosomes. In parallel, HERC2 can interact with, and stabilize, the E3 ligase RNF168 that is responsible for amplifying the ubiquitin chain formation initiated in histores by RNF8 [57,58]. Levels of the deubiquitinase USP16 then increase in a HERC2-dependent manner during DNA damage, and this negatively regulates H2A histone ubiquitylation to fine-tune polyubiquitin chain formation. Ultimately, USP16 triggers the ubiquitylation signal termination once the damage is repaired. These findings suggest that HERC2 has a central role in regulating and fine-tuning the DNA damage response pathway (Figure 2B) [59].

HERC2 also regulates the levels of Claspin, a protein essential for both G₂-M checkpoint activation and replication fork stability. HERC2 interacts with Claspin in the presence of BRCA1 [60]. Upon DNA damage, ATR phosphorylates USP20, a deubiquitinating enzyme which is a degradation target of HERC2 (Figure 2A). USP20 detaches from HERC2, leading to its stabilization, and in turn deubiquitylates and stabilizes Claspin levels. The Claspin can then interact with the serine/threonine-protein kinase CHK1, promoting ATR-dependent phosphorylation, checkpoint activation, and genome stability [61,62]. Moreover, HERC2 has been described as a component of the DNA replication fork complex that regulates origin firing and fork progression. During DNA replication, origin firing and elongation must be correctly balanced. Claspin and CHK1 enhance elongation but restrict origin firing. When faced with replication stress that impairs DNA elongation, HERC2 facilitates the phosphorylation and activation of the DNA replication licensing factor MCM2 (Figure 2C). Thus, origin firing is upregulated as a compensatory mechanism [60]. Dysregulation of these replication mechanisms can lead to DNA damage and genome instability [63].

Some guanine-rich DNA sequences can fold into a secondary structure known as G-quadruplex (G4). These structures are involved in transcriptional initiation and termination, replication initiation, and telomere maintenance. However, the deregulation of G4 can cause fork stalling during DNA replication that promotes DNA damage and genome instability. HERC2 interacts with replication protein A (RPA), a heterotrimeric complex formed by RPA1, RPA2, and RPA3 and that binds to single-stranded DNA and protects it from spontaneous annealing and G4 formation. RPA assembly to the RecQ DNA helicases BLM and WRN is essential for suppressing the formation of G4 DNA structures. Under conditions of replication stress, ATR phosphorylates RPA2 at Ser33 in an HERC2-dependent mechanism. Then, HERC2 ubiquitylates the phosphorylated form of RPA2, targeting it to proteasomal degradation [64]. Although the specific effects of RPA2 phosphorylation and its later degradation by HERC2 are not fully understood, both mechanisms are essential for HERC2 to function correctly in suppressing G4 structures. HERC2 may fine-tune the levels of phosphorylated RPA2 by promoting its ATR-dependent phosphorylation and targeting it for proteasomal degradation through polyubiquitylation. This precise balance might be needed for the correct assembly of the BLM

and WRN helicases to the RPA complex, as well as for the subsequent suppression of G4 structures and the maintenance of genomic stability (Figure 2D) [65].

HERC1 has also been associated with the DNA damage response through its regulation of MSH2, which forms heterodimers with MSH6 and MSH3. Both these dimers are responsible for the DNA mismatch repair mechanism, and loss of function impairs DNA repair. Depleting HERC1 has been linked to reduced protein MSH2 levels in human leukemia cells, leading to increased genomic instability and chemotherapy resistance [11].

3.2. Regulation of p53 Transcriptional Programming

Large HERCs may also function as tumor suppressors by regulating gene expression. For example, HERC2 controls the transcriptional activity of the tumor suppressor protein p53 [66]. Tetramerization of p53 is a key step in its activation, and this oligomerization process is modulated by a complex formed by NEURL4 and HERC2 [67]. Recently, it has been reported that MDM2 is present in this complex. In non-stressed cells, this ubiquitin E3 ligase promotes lower levels of p53 by targeting it for proteasome degradation. Upon DNA damage induced by bleomycin, MDM2 is dissociated from the p53/HERC2/NEURL4 complex, and phosphorylation and acetylation of oligomeric p53 increases. Activated p53 then binds to the p53 response elements in the promoters of its target genes. *MDM2* is one such gene whose promoter competes with HERC2 for binding of oligomeric, phosphorylated, and acetylated p53. As its gene expression increases MDM2 protein levels, these can bind p53 and restart the regulatory loop (Figure 3) [68]. These findings highlight the importance of HERC2 in regulating the transcriptional program of the tumor suppressor protein p53.



Figure 3. Regulation of the p53/MDM2 pathway by HERC2. In basal conditions, HERC2 and NEURL4 regulate p53 transcriptional activity by promoting its oligomerization. MDM2 maintains low levels of p53 by targeting it for proteasomal degradation. Upon DNA damage, associated kinases phosphorylate MDM2 and HERC2. In addition, p53 is activated by acetylation and phosphorylation. The phosphorylated MDM2 is detached from the complex and is autopolyubiquitylated and subsequently degraded. Activated p53 binds to the promoters of its target genes, such as p21 or MDM2, and a negative feedback loop is established in the latter case.

8 of 14

MAPKs are organized in three-tiered cascades regulated by phosphorylation: MAPKK kinases (MAPKKks) are serine/threonine-protein kinases that phosphorylate and activate MAPKKs, which in turn phosphorylate the MAPKs that mediate the cellular response by phosphorylating effector proteins. This signaling has therefore been shown to be key for cell differentiation, growth, proliferation, and survival. The classic MAPK cascades include Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), p38, and extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase (ERK) pathways. Dysregulation of these cascades has been linked extensively to oncogenesis [69–71].

HERC1 and HERC2 each have roles in MAPK signaling. For example, HERC2 has been reported to be part of a high-molecular weight complex formed by UBE3A, NEURL4, and MAPK6/ERK3 [72]. Some cellular functions that might be regulated by this complex involve transcription, protein translation, formation and transport of vesicles, as well as metabolism [73]. To date, the precise molecular mechanism remains to be elucidated, necessitating further research. By contrast, our knowledge of the regulation of MAPK by HERC1 has evolved and grown in recent years. HERC1 controls cell proliferation through the regulation of ERK signaling by targeting the proto-oncogene C-RAF, an MAPKKK, for proteasomal degradation (Figure 4A) [74]. The HERC1 ligase also regulates the p38 pathway and cell migration. Research indicates that HERC1 knockdown increases p38 activity by increasing the levels of MKK3, an MAPKK of the p38 pathway, in a manner dependent on C-RAF. Thus, HERC1 regulates a previously unknown crosstalk between these two MAPK signaling pathways [75]. In this context, it is postulated that HERC1 functions as a tumor suppressor protein, given that its depletion leads to the dysregulation of two essential cascades for tumorigenesis (Figure 4B).

4. Perspective and Therapeutic Implications

The ubiquitin proteasome system has been targeted for cancer therapy through proteasome inhibitors such as bortezomib, and these are achieving promising results in ongoing clinical trials. However, given that the treatments lack specificity, they can induce clinically relevant side effects [76]. Hence, the possibility of targeting E3 ligases, which display high substrate specificity, may help achieve more specific and efficient therapies. Given the possible tumor suppressor role of large HERCs in some cancers, a potential therapeutic approach would be to rely on enhancing their tumor suppressor activities. Following this theory, both HERC1 and HERC2 could be potential drug candidates. On the one hand, promoting the capacity of HERC2 to oligomerize p53 using the CPH domain of HERC2 [66] could boost the p53 transcriptional program and potentially alleviate tumor progression in tumors with non-mutated p53. On the other hand, a growing body of evidence suggests that targeting C-RAF could be a promising therapeutic strategy based on its efficiency in K-Ras and Trp53 mutant mice models of lung [77] and pancreatic ductal [78] adenocarcinomas. Enhancing HERC1 ubiquitin ligase activity in this context could be an interesting approach to stop tumor progression in MAPK-dependent cancers. These approaches could also be combined with other therapeutic strategies that target the inhibition of MDM2 with Nutlins in the case of HERC2, and epidermal growth factor receptor activity with monoclonal antibodies or tyrosine kinase inhibitors in the case of HERC1.

Given the role of large HERCs in DNA damage response, inhibitors for these proteins could be designed to exploit synthetic lethality as a therapeutic strategy in some cancers. Deficiencies in the DNA repair mechanisms of tumor cells can be exploited by inhibiting the remnant mechanisms and triggering tumor cell death [79]. Many genes involved in DNA repair mechanisms are mutated in tumors [80]. Synthetic lethality also benefits from the fact that cancer cells present higher replication stress due to their aberrant proliferation [81]. The viability of synthetic lethality strategies has been demonstrated with the use of poly [ADP-ribose] polymerase (PARP) inhibitors, since tumor cells harboring BRCA1/2 mutations are 1000 times more sensitive to PARP inhibition than wild-type counterparts [82]. Given this evidence, large HERC inhibitors, especially those for HERC2, could eventually be of use in this setting.



Figure 4. Regulation of MAPK signaling pathways by HERC1: (**A**) HERC1 polyubiquitylates C-RAF with a lysine 48-linked chain that causes its degradation by the proteasome and downregulates ERK activity; (**B**) in the absence of HERC1, the levels of C-RAF increase and upregulate the RAF/MEK/ERK signaling pathway. The levels of MKK3 also increase, which in turn phosphorylates and activates p38. In summary, therefore, the absence of HERC1 may enhance cell responses regulated by these MAPKs, including the migration, differentiation, growth, proliferation, and survival of cells.

5. Conclusions

HERCs can function as either oncogenes or tumor suppressors depending on the cancer type. This bivalent activity hinders the study and understanding of the regulatory mechanisms where they operate. Although important cellular processes such as genome stability, gene expression, and MAPK signaling are regulated by HERCs proteins, future in vivo and in vitro studies are required to elucidate the molecular mechanisms where HERCs participate and to identify new substrates specific for each cancer type. Screenings for activator and inhibitor molecules for their ubiquitin ligase activities could also be useful when developing novel therapeutic strategies.

Funding: J.L.R. is supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (BFU2016-80295-R, SAF2017-90900-REDT, and UBIRed). X.R.B. is supported by grants from the Castilla-León Government (CSI252P18, CLC-2017-01), the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (SAF2015-64556-R, RTI2018-096481-B-100) and the Spanish Association against Cancer (GC16173472GARC). X.R.B.'s institution is supported by the Programa de

Apoyo a Planes Estratégicos de Investigación de Estructuras de Investigación de Excelencia of the Castilla-León autonomous government (CLC-2017-01). Both Spanish and Castilla-León government-associated funding is partially supported by the European Regional Development Fund. J.S.-G. and A.M.-M. received FPU Fellowships (FPU17/02413 and FPU18/06325, respectively) from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Ciechanover, A. The unravelling of the ubiquitin system. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2015, *16*, 322–324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Garcia-Gonzalo, F.R.; Rosa, J.L. The HERC proteins: Functional and evolutionary insights. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2005, 62, 1826–1838. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- García-Cano, J.; Martinez-Martinez, A.; Sala-Gaston, J.; Pedrazza, L.; Rosa, J.L. HERCing: Structural and Functional Relevance of the Large HERC Ubiquitin Ligases. *Front. Physiol.* 2019, 10, 1014. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Hadjebi, O.; Casas-Terradellas, E.; Garcia-Gonzalo, F.R.; Rosa, J.L. The RCC1 superfamily: From genes, to function, to disease. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* **2008**, *1783*, 1467–1479. [CrossRef]
- 5. Marin, I. Animal HECT ubiquitin ligases: Evolution and functional implications. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **2010**, *10*, 56. [CrossRef]
- 6. Sánchez-Tena, S.; Cubillos-Rojas, M.; Schneider, T.; Rosa, J.L. Functional and pathological relevance of HERC family proteins: A decade later. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2016**, *73*, 1955–1968. [CrossRef]
- 7. Villarroya-Beltri, C.; Guerra, S.; Sánchez-Madrid, F. ISGylation—A key to lock the cell gates for preventing the spread of threats. *J. Cell Sci.* 2017, *130*, 2961–2969. [CrossRef]
- 8. Mao, X.; Sethi, G.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, Q. The Emerging Roles of the HERC Ubiquitin Ligases in Cancer. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2018**, 24, 1676–1681. [CrossRef]
- 9. Walz, C.; Grimwade, D.; Saussele, S.; Lengfelder, E.; Haferlach, C.; Schnittger, S.; Lafage-Pochitaloff, M.; Hochhaus, A.; Cross, N.C.P.; Reiter, A. Atypical mRNA fusions in PML-RARA positive, RARA-PML negative acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Genes Chromosom. Cancer* **2010**, *49*, 471–479.
- Opatz, S.; Bamopoulos, S.A.; Metzeler, K.H.; Herold, T.; Ksienzyk, B.; Bräundl, K.; Tschuri, S.; Vosberg, S.; Konstandin, N.P.; Wang, C.; et al. The clinical mutatome of core binding factor leukemia. *Leukemia* 2020, 34, 1553–1562. [CrossRef]
- Diouf, B.; Pei, D.; Fan, Y.; Cheng, C.; Krynetskiy, E.Y.; Geng, H.; Chen, S.; Thierfelder, W.E.; Mullighan, C.G.; Downing, J.R.; et al. Somatic deletions of genes regulating MSH2 protein stability cause DNA mismatch repair deficiency and drug resistance in human leukemia cells. *Nat. Med.* 2012, *17*, 1298–1303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Neumann, M.; Vosberg, S.; Schlee, C.; Heesch, S.; Schwartz, S.; Gökbuget, N.; Hoelzer, D.; Graf, A.; Krebs, S.; Bartram, I.; et al. Mutational spectrum of adult T-ALL. *Oncotarget* **2015**, *6*, 2754–2766. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Johansson, P.; Klein-Hitpass, L.; Choidas, A.; Habenberger, P.; Mahboubi, B.; Kim, B.; Bergmann, A.; Scholtysik, R.; Brauser, M.; Lollies, A.; et al. SAMHD1 is recurrently mutated in T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia. *Blood Cancer J.* **2018**, *8*, 11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Holloway, A.; Simmonds, M.; Azad, A.; Fox, J.L.; Storey, A. Resistance to UV-induced apoptosis by β-HPV5 E6 involves targeting of activated BAK for proteolysis by recruitment of the HERC1 ubiquitin ligase. *Int. J. Cancer* 2015, *136*, 2831–2843. [CrossRef]
- 15. Fan, X.; Lin, L.; Wang, J.; Wang, Y.; Feng, A.; Nie, L.; Wu, H.; Meng, F.; Xu, H. Genome profile in a extremely rare case of pulmonary sclerosing pneumocytoma presenting with diffusely-scattered nodules in the right lung. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* **2018**, *19*, 13–19. [CrossRef]
- 16. Ping, Z.; Siegal, G.P.; Harada, S.; Eltoum, I.E.; Youssef, M.; Shen, T.; He, J.; Huang, Y.; Chen, D.; Li, Y.; et al. ERBB2 mutation is associated with a worse prognosis in patients with CDH1 altered invasive lobular cancer of the breast. *Oncotarget* **2016**, *7*, 80655–80663. [CrossRef]
- Craig, D.W.; O'Shaughnessy, J.A.; Kiefer, J.A.; Aldrich, J.; Sinari, S.; Moses, T.M.; Wong, S.; Dinh, J.; Christoforides, A.; Blum, J.L.; et al. Genome and transcriptome sequencing in prospective metastatic triple-negative breast cancer uncovers therapeutic vulnerabilities. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2013, *12*, 104–116. [CrossRef]

- Zhu, D.; Yang, D.; Li, X.; Feng, F. Heterogeneous expression and biological function of SOX18 in osteosaroma. J. Cell. Biochem. 2018, 119, 4184–4192. [CrossRef]
- 19. Suh, Y.J.; Choe, J.Y.; Park, H.J. Malignancy in Pheochromocytoma or Paraganglioma: Integrative Analysis of 176 Cases in TCGA. *Endocr. Pathol.* **2017**, *28*, 159–164. [CrossRef]
- Ibarrola-Villava, M.; Fernandez, L.P.; Pita, G.; Bravo, J.; Floristan, U.; Sendagorta, E.; Feito, M.; Avilés, J.A.; Martin-Gonzalez, M.; Lázaro, P.; et al. Genetic analysis of three important genes in pigmentation and melanoma susceptibility: CDKN2A, MC1R and HERC2/OCA2. *Exp. Dermatol.* 2010, *19*, 836–844. [CrossRef]
- Amos, C.I.; Wang, L.E.; Lee, J.E.; Gershenwald, J.E.; Chen, W.V.; Fang, S.; Kosoy, R.; Zhang, M.; Qureshi, A.A.; Vattathil, S.; et al. Genome-wide association study identifies novel loci predisposing to cutaneous melanoma. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2011, 20, 5012–5023. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fesenko, D.O.; Chudinov, A.V.; Surzhikov, S.A.; Zasedatelev, A.S. Biochip-Based Genotyping Assay for Detection of Polymorphisms in Pigmentation Genes Associated with Cutaneous Melanoma. *Genet. Test. Mol. Biomarkers* 2016, 20, 208–212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Laino, A.M.; Berry, E.G.; Jagirdar, K.; Lee, K.J.; Duffy, D.L.; Soyer, H.P.; Sturm, R.A. Iris pigmented lesions as a marker of cutaneous melanoma risk: An Australian case–control study. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 2018, 178, 1119–1127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Xiao, F.; Ma, J.; Cai, G.; Fang, S.; Lee, J.E.; Wei, Q.; Amos, C.I. Natural and orthogonal model for estimating gene-gene interactions applied to cutaneous melanoma. *Hum. Genet.* **2014**, *133*, 559–574. [CrossRef]
- 25. Kosiniak-Kamysz, A.; Marczakiewicz-Lustig, A.; Marcińska, M.; Skowron, M.; Wojas-Pelc, A.; Pośpiech, E.; Branicki, W. Increased risk of developing cutaneous malignant melanoma is associated with variation in pigmentation genes and VDR, and may involve epistatic effects. *Melanoma Res.* **2014**, *24*, 388–396. [CrossRef]
- Wei, L.; Allain, D.C.; Bernhardt, M.N.; Gillespie, J.L.; Peters, S.B.; Iwenofu, O.H.; Nelson, H.H.; Arron, S.T.; Toland, A.E. Variants at the OCA2/HERC2 locus affect time to first cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma in solid organ transplant recipients collected using two different study designs. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 2017, 177, 1066–1073. [CrossRef]
- 27. Ferguson, R.; Vogelsang, M.; Ucisik-Akkaya, E.; Rai, K.; Pilarski, R.; Martinez, C.N.; Rendleman, J.; Kazlow, E.; Nagdimov, K.; Osman, I.; et al. Genetic markers of pigmentation are novel risk loci for uveal melanoma. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 31191. [CrossRef]
- 28. Bonanno, L.; Costa, C.; Majem, M.; Sanchez, J.J.; Rodriguez, I.; Gimenez-Capitan, A.; Molina-Vila, M.A.; Vergnenegre, A.; Massuti, B.; Favaretto, A.; et al. Combinatory effect of BRCA1 and HERC2 expression on outcome in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *BMC Cancer* **2016**, *16*, 312. [CrossRef]
- 29. Wu, W.; Sato, K.; Koike, A.; Nishikawa, H.; Koizumi, H.; Venkitaraman, A.R.; Ohta, T. HERC2 is an E3 ligase that targets BRCA1 for degradation. *Cancer Res.* **2010**, *70*, 6384–6392. [CrossRef]
- 30. Peng, Y.; Dai, H.; Wang, E.; Lin, C.C.J.; Mo, W.; Peng, G.; Lin, S.Y. TUSC4 functions as a tumor suppressor by regulating BRCA1 stability. *Cancer Res.* **2015**, *75*, 378–386. [CrossRef]
- Yoo, N.J.; Park, S.W.; Lee, S.H. Frameshift mutations of ubiquitinationrelated genes HERC2, HERC3, TRIP12, UBE2Q1 and UBE4B in gastric and colorectal carcinomas with microsatellite instability. *Pathology* 2011, 43, 753–755. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Li, H.; Li, J.; Chen, L.; Qi, S.; Yu, S.; Weng, Z.; Hu, Z.; Zhou, Q.; Xin, Z.; Shi, L.; et al. HERC3-Mediated SMAD7 ubiquitination degradation promotes autophagy-induced EMT and chemoresistance in Glioblastoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2019**, *25*, 3602–3616. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Zhang, Z.; Tong, J.; Tang, X.; Juan, J.; Cao, B.; Hurren, R.; Chen, G.; Taylor, P.; Xu, X.; Shi, C.X.; et al. The ubiquitin ligase HERC4 mediates c-Maf ubiquitination and delays the growth of multiple myeloma xenografts in nude mice. *Blood* **2016**, *127*, 1676–1686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zeng, W.L.; Chen, Y.W.; Zhou, H.; Zhou, J.Y.; Wei, M.; Shi, R. Expression of HERC4 in lung cancer and its correlation with clinicopathological parameters. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2015, *16*, 513–517. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Jiang, W.; Yao, X.; Shan, Z.; Li, W.; Gao, Y.; Zhang, Q. E3 ligase Herc4 regulates Hedgehog signalling through promoting Smoothened degradation. *J. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2019**, *11*, 791–803. [CrossRef]
- 36. Sun, X.; Sun, B.; Cui, M.; Zhou, Z. HERC4 exerts an anti-tumor role through destabilizing the oncoprotein Smo. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2019**, *513*, 1013–1018. [CrossRef]
- 37. Zhou, H.; Shi, R.; Wei, M.; Zheng, W.L.; Zhou, J.Y.; Ma, W.L. The expression and clinical significance of HERC4 in breast cancer. *Cancer Cell Int.* **2013**, *13*, 113. [CrossRef]

- Xu, Y.; Ji, K.; Wu, M.; Hao, B.; Yao, K.T.; Xu, Y. A miRNA-HERC4 pathway promotes breast tumorigenesis by inactivating tumor suppressor LATS1. *Protein Cell* 2019, 10, 595–605. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, Y.; Li, J.; Pan, C.; Zhou, G.; Zhuge, L.; Jin, L.; Fang, P. HERC4 Is Overexpressed in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Contributes to the Proliferation and Migration of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells. DNA Cell Biol. 2017, 36, 490–500. [CrossRef]
- 40. Wang, H.W.; Wu, Y.H.; Hsieh, J.Y.; Liang, M.L.; Chao, M.E.; Liu, D.J.; Hsu, M.T.; Wong, T.T. Pediatric primary central nervous system germ cell tumors of different prognosis groups show characteristic miRNome traits and chromosome copy number variations. *BMC Genom.* **2010**, *11*, 132. [CrossRef]
- 41. Bhargava, S.; Patil, V.; Mahalingam, K.; Somasundaram, K. Elucidation of the genetic and epigenetic landscape alterations in RNA binding proteins in glioblastoma. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 16650–16668. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Niu, P.; Yao, B.; Wei, L.; Zhu, H.; Fang, C.; Zhao, Y. Construction of prognostic risk prediction model based on high-throughput sequencing expression profile data in childhood acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood Cells Mol. Dis.* **2019**, 77, 43–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reddy, R.B.; Khora, S.S.; Suresh, A. Molecular prognosticators in clinically and pathologically distinct cohorts of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma—A meta-analysis approach. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0218989. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Wrage, M.; Hagmann, W.; Kemming, D.; Uzunoglu, F.G.; Riethdorf, S.; Effenberger, K.; Westphal, M.; Lamszus, K.; Kim, S.Z.; Becker, N.; et al. Identification of HERC5 and its potential role in NSCLC progression. *Int. J. Cancer* **2015**, *136*, 2264–2272. [CrossRef]
- 45. Tang, J.; Yang, Q.; Cui, Q.; Zhang, D.; Kong, D.; Liao, X.; Ren, J.; Gong, Y.; Wu, G. Weighted gene correlation network analysis identifies RSAD2, HERC5, and CCL8 as prognostic candidates for breast cancer. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **2020**, 235, 394–407. [CrossRef]
- 46. Xue, F.; Higgs, B.W.; Huang, J.; Morehouse, C.; Zhu, W.; Yao, X.; Brohawn, P.; Xiao, Z.; Sebastian, Y.; Liu, Z.; et al. HERC5 is a prognostic biomarker for post-liver transplant recurrent human hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Transl. Med.* **2015**, *13*, 379. [CrossRef]
- 47. Wang, Y.; Ding, Q.; Xu, T.; Li, C.Y.; Zhou, D.D.; Zhang, L. HZ-6d targeted HERC5 to regulate p53 ISGylation in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2017**, *334*, 180–191. [CrossRef]
- Januchowski, R.; Sterzyńska, K.; Zawierucha, P.; Ruciński, M.; Świerczewska, M.; Partyka, M.; Bednarek-Rajewska, K.; Brazert, M.; Nowicki, M.; Zabel, M.; et al. Microarray-based detection and expression analysis of new genes associated with drug resistance in ovarian cancer cell lines. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 49944–49958. [CrossRef]
- Swierczewska, M.; Klejewski, A.; Wojtowicz, K.; Brazert, M.; Izycki, D.; Nowicki, M.; Zabel, M.; Januchowski, R. New and old genes associated with primary and established responses to cisplatin and topotecan treatment in ovarian cancer cell lines. *Molecules* 2017, 22, 1717. [CrossRef]
- 50. Schneider, T.; Martinez-Martinez, A.; Cubillos-Rojas, M.; Bartrons, R.; Ventura, F.; Rosa, J.L. Large HERCS function as tumor suppressors. *Front. Oncol.* **2019**, *9*, 524. [CrossRef]
- 51. Tong, H.V.; Hoan, N.X.; Binh, M.T.; Quyen, D.T.; Meyer, C.G.; Hang, D.T.T.; Hang, D.T.D.; Son, H.A.; Luong, H.V.; Thuan, N.D.; et al. Upregulation of enzymes involved in isgylation and ubiquitination in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* **2020**, *17*, 347–353. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Negrini, S.; Gorgoulis, V.G.; Halazonetis, T.D. Genomic instability an evolving hallmark of cancer. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2010**, *11*, 220–228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Hanahan, D.; Weinberg, R.A. Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. *Cell* **2011**, *144*, 646–674. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kang, T.H.; Lindsey-Boltz, L.A.; Reardon, J.T.; Sancar, A. Circadian control of XPA and excision repair of cisplatin-DNA damage by cryptochrome and HERC2 ubiquitin ligase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 4890–4895. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Lee, T.H.; Park, J.M.; Leem, S.H.; Kang, T.H. Coordinated regulation of XPA stability by ATR and HERC2 during nucleotide excision repair. *Oncogene* **2014**, *33*, 19–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Jiang, Q.; Greenberg, R.A. Deciphering the BRCA1 tumor suppressor network. J. Biol. Chem. 2015, 290, 17724–17732. [CrossRef]

- 57. Bekker-Jensen, S.; Danielsen, J.R.; Fugger, K.; Gromova, I.; Nerstedt, A.; Bartek, J.; Lukas, J.; Mailand, N. HERC2 coordinates ubiquitin-dependent assembly of DNA repair factors on damaged chromosomes. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2010**, *12*, 80–86. [CrossRef]
- Danielsen, J.R.; Povlsen, L.K.; Villumsen, B.H.; Streicher, W.; Nilsson, J.; Wikström, M.; Bekker-Jensen, S.; Mailand, N. DNA damage-inducible SUMOylation of HERC2 promotes RNF8 binding via a novel SUMO-binding Zinc finger. J. Cell Biol. 2012, 197, 179–187. [CrossRef]
- 59. Zhang, Z.; Yang, H.; Wang, H. The histone H2A deubiquitinase USP16 interacts with HERC2 and fine-tunes cellular response to DNA damage. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2014**, *289*, 32883–32894. [CrossRef]
- 60. Izawa, N.; Wu, W.; Sato, K.; Nishikawa, H.; Kato, A.; Boku, N.; Itoh, F.; Ohta, T. HERC2 interacts with claspin and regulates DNA origin firing and replication fork progression. *Cancer Res.* 2011, *71*, 5621–5625. [CrossRef]
- 61. Zhu, M.; Zhao, H.; Liao, J.; Xu, X. HERC2/USP20 coordinates CHK1 activation by modulating CLASPIN stability. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2014**, *42*, 13074–13081. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Yuan, J.; Luo, K.; Deng, M.; Li, Y.; Yin, P.; Gao, B.; Fang, Y.; Wu, P.; Liu, T.; Lou, Z. HERC2-USP20 axis regulates DNA damage checkpoint through Claspin. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2014**, *42*, 13110–13121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Macheret, M.; Halazonetis, T.D. DNA Replication Stress as a Hallmark of Cancer. *Annu. Rev. Pathol. Mech. Dis.* **2015**, *10*, 425–448. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Wu, W.; Rokutanda, N.; Takeuchi, J.; Lai, Y.; Maruyama, R.; Togashi, Y.; Nishikawa, H.; Arai, N.; Miyoshi, Y.; Suzuki, N.; et al. HERC2 facilitates BLM and WRN helicase complex interaction with RPA to suppress G-quadruplex DNA. *Cancer Res.* **2018**, *78*, 6371–6385. [CrossRef]
- Lai, Y.; Zhu, M.; Wu, W.; Rokutanda, N.; Togashi, Y.; Liang, W.; Ohta, T. HERC2 regulates RPA2 by mediating ATR-induced Ser33 phosphorylation and ubiquitin-dependent degradation. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 14257. [CrossRef]
- 66. Cubillos-Rojas, M.; Amair-Pinedo, F.; Peiró-Jordán, R.; Bartrons, R.; Ventura, F.; Rosa, J.L. The E3 ubiquitin protein ligase HERC2 modulates the activity of tumor protein p53 by regulating its oligomerization. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2014**, *289*, 14782–14795. [CrossRef]
- 67. Cubillos-Rojas, M.; Schneider, T.; Bartrons, R.; Ventura, F.; Rosa, J.L. NEURL4 regulates the transcriptional activity of tumor suppressor protein p53 by modulating its oligomerization. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 61824–61836. [CrossRef]
- García-Cano, J.; Sánchez-Tena, S.; Sala-Gaston, J.; Figueras, A.; Viñals, F.; Bartrons, R.; Ventura, F.; Rosa, J.L. Regulation of the MDM2-p53 pathway by the ubiquitin ligase HERC2. *Mol. Oncol.* 2020, 14, 69–86. [CrossRef]
- 69. Chang, L.; Karin, M. Mammalian MAP kinase signalling cascades. *Nature* 2001, 410, 37–40. [CrossRef]
- 70. Wagner, E.F.; Nebreda, Á.R. Signal integration by JNK and p38 MAPK pathways in cancer development. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2009**, *9*, 537–549. [CrossRef]
- 71. Montagut, C.; Settleman, J. Targeting the RAF-MEK-ERK pathway in cancer therapy. *Cancer Lett.* **2009**, *283*, 125–134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Martinez-Noel, G.; Galligan, J.T.; Sowa, M.E.; Arndt, V.; Overton, T.M.; Harper, J.W.; Howley, P.M. Identification and Proteomic Analysis of Distinct UBE3A/E6AP Protein Complexes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2012, 32, 3095–3106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Martínez-Noël, G.; Luck, K.; Kühnle, S.; Desbuleux, A.; Szajner, P.; Galligan, J.T.; Rodriguez, D.; Zheng, L.; Boyland, K.; Leclere, F.; et al. Network Analysis of UBE3A/E6AP-Associated Proteins Provides Connections to Several Distinct Cellular Processes. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2018**, *430*, 1024–1050. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Schneider, T.; Martinez-Martinez, A.; Cubillos-Rojas, M.; Bartrons, R.; Ventura, F.; Rosa, J.L. The E3 ubiquitin ligase HERC1 controls the ERK signaling pathway targeting C-RAF for degradation. *Oncotarget* **2018**, *9*, 31531–31548. [CrossRef]
- 75. Pedrazza, L.; Schneider, T.; Bartrons, R.; Ventura, F.; Rosa, J.L. The ubiquitin ligase HERC1 regulates cell migration via RAF-dependent regulation of MKK3/p38 signaling. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 824. [CrossRef]
- 76. Manasanch, E.E.; Orlowski, R.Z. Proteasome inhibitors in cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2017**, *14*, 417–433. [CrossRef]
- 77. Sanclemente, M.; Francoz, S.; Esteban-Burgos, L.; Bousquet-Mur, E.; Djurec, M.; Lopez-Casas, P.P.; Hidalgo, M.; Guerra, C.; Drosten, M.; Musteanu, M.; et al. c-RAF Ablation Induces Regression of Advanced Kras/Trp53 Mutant Lung Adenocarcinomas by a Mechanism Independent of MAPK Signaling. *Cancer Cell* 2018, 33, 217–228. [CrossRef]

- 78. Blasco, M.T.; Navas, C.; Martín-Serrano, G.; Graña-Castro, O.; Lechuga, C.G.; Martín-Díaz, L.; Djurec, M.; Li, J.; Morales-Cacho, L.; Esteban-Burgos, L.; et al. Complete Regression of Advanced Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinomas upon Combined Inhibition of EGFR and C-RAF. *Cancer Cell* 2019, *35*, 573–587. [CrossRef]
- 79. Ashworth, A.; Lord, C.J.; Reis-Filho, J.S. Genetic interactions in cancer progression and treatment. *Cell* **2011**, 145, 30–38. [CrossRef]
- 80. Bhattacharjee, S.; Nandi, S. Synthetic lethality in DNA repair network: A novel avenue in targeted cancer therapy and combination therapeutics. *IUBMB Life* **2017**, *69*, 929–937. [CrossRef]
- 81. Ubhi, T.; Brown, G.W. Exploiting DNA replication stress for cancer treatment. *Cancer Res.* **2019**, *79*, 1730–1739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Farmer, H.; McCabe, H.; Lord, C.J.; Tutt, A.H.J.; Johnson, D.A.; Richardson, T.B.; Santarosa, M.; Dillon, K.J.; Hickson, I.; Knights, C.; et al. Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. *Nature* 2005, 434, 917–921. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).