1 2	Morphotropism and "Quasi-isostructurality" in the three high Z' concomitant polymorphs of Efinaconazole
3	
4	
5	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Rafel Prohens ^{*†} , Rafael Barbas, [†] Mercè Font-Bardia [‡]
13 14	
15 16	[†] Unitat de Polimorfisme i Calorimetria, Centres Científics i Tecnològics, Universitat de Barcelona, Baldiri Reixac 10, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	[‡] Unitat de Difracció de Raigs X, Centres Científics i Tecnològics, Universitat de Barcelona. Electronic Supplementary Information
28	
29	Table of contents.
30	1 able of contents:
31	1. Experimental methods
32	2. Crystal data structure refinement
33	3. RMSD analysis
34	4. Hirshteld analysis
35 36	5. References

37 1. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

38

39 1.1 Single X-ray crystallographic analysis

40 Single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) intensity data of the different crystal forms of Efinaconazole

41 were collected using a D8 Venture system equipped with a multilayer monochromator and a Mo

42 microfocus ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package using a

43 SAINT algorithm. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the multi-scan method (SADABS).1

44 The structures were solved and refined using the Bruker SHELXTL Software Package, a computer

45 program for automatic solution of crystal structures and refined by full-matrix least-squares method with

46 ShelXle Version 4.8.0, a Qt graphical user interface for SHELXL computer program.2

47 48

49 **1.2 Powder X-ray Diffraction Analysis**

50 Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were obtained on a PANalytical X'Pert PRO MPD 51 diffractometer in transmission configuration using Cu K α 1+2 radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) with a focusing 52 elliptic mirror and a PIXcel detector working at a maximum detector's active length of 3.347°. 53 Configuration of convergent beam with a focalizing mirror and a transmission geometry with flat sample 54 sandwiched between low absorbing films measuring from 2 to 40° in 20, with a step size of 0.026° and a 55 total measuring time of 30 minutes to 2 hours at room temperature (298 K).

57 2.- CRYSTAL DATA AND STRUCTURE REFINEMENT

59 2.1 Efinaconazole Form I (mo_023VB48_0m_a): 1996492

- Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for mo_023VB48_0m_a.

Identification code	mo_023VB48_0m_a	
Empirical formula	C18 H22 F2 N4 O	
Formula weight	348.39	
Temperature	100(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	
Space group	P 21	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 11.6848(7) Å	α= 90°.
	b = 13.5498(9) Å	β= 95.085(2)°.
	c = 34.163(2) Å	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$.
Volume	5387.7(6) Å ³	
Z	12	
Density (calculated)	1.289 Mg/m ³	
Absorption coefficient	0.097 mm ⁻¹	
F(000)	2208	
Crystal size	$0.581 \ge 0.132 \ge 0.118 \text{ mm}^3$	
Theta range for data collection	2.031 to 26.457°.	
Index ranges	-14=h=12, -16=k=16, -4	2<=1<=42
Reflections collected	107134	
Independent reflections	22107 [R(int) = 0.0730]	
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.9 %	
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivale	ents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7454 and 0.6527	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F	72
Data / restraints / parameters	22107 / 1 / 1339	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.081	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0572, wR2 = 0.1224	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0868, wR2 = 0.1335	
Absolute structure parameter	-0.1(2)	
Extinction coefficient	n/a	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.334 and -0.332 e.Å ⁻³	

Table S2. Hydrogens bonds for mo_023VB48_0m_a.

Donor HAcceptor	[ARU]	D-H	HA DA D -	HA
OlAHIAN3B	[2-x,1/2+y,1-z]	0.84	2.00 2.8125(2)	163
OlFHlFN3D	[-1+x,y,z]	0.84	2.03 2.8412(2)	162
O1BH1OBN3A	[1-x,-1/2+y,1-z]	0.84	2.00 2.8232(2)	168
OICHIOCN3E	[l+x,y,z]	0.85	1.99 2.8160(2)	162
OIDHIODN3F	[x,y,z]	0.86	2.00 2.8386(2)	165
OIEHIOEN3C	[x.v.z]	0.81	2.04 2.8133(2)	159

69 2.2 Efinaconazole Form II (mo_023UB227_0ma_aa): 1996493

70

71 Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for mo 023UB227 0ma aa.

Identification code	mo_023UB227_0ma_aa
Empirical formula	C18 H22 F2 N4 O
Formula weight	348.39
Temperature	100(2) K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Orthorhombic
Space group	P 21 21 21
Unit cell dimensions	a = 22.680(5) Å α= 90°
	b = 11.686(3) Å β= 90°
	c = 13.560(3) Å γ = 90°
Volume	3594.0(15) Å ³
Z	8
Density (calculated)	1.288 Mg/m ³
Absorption coefficient	0.097 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	1472
Crystal size	$0.444 \ge 0.180 \ge 0.048 \text{ mm}^3$
Theta range for data collection	2.341 to 26.461°.
ndex ranges	-28≈=h≈=28, -13≈=k≈=13, -16≈=l≈=1
Reflections collected	11846
ndependent reflections	6078 [R(int) = 0.0868]
Completeness to theta = 26.461°	87.5 %
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7454 and 0.5500
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	6078 / 0 / 454
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.017
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0609, wR2 = 0.1289
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1051, wR2 = 0.1432
Absolute structure parameter	0.0(3)
Extinction coefficient	n/a
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.245 and -0.255 e.Å ⁻³

- 72
- 73
- 74
- 75 Table S4. Hydrogen bonds for mo 023UB227 0ma aa.

85 2.3 Efinaconazole Form III (mo_023UB226_0ma_aa): 1996494

86

Table S5. Crystal data and structure refinement for mo_023UB226_0ma_aa.

Identification code	mo_023UB226_0ma_aa	
Empirical formula	C18 H22 F2 N4 O	
Formula weight	348.39	
Temperature	100(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	
Space group	P 21	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 11.6651(17) Å	α= 90°.
	b = 13.535(2) Å	β=104.745(5)°
	c = 11.7609(16) Å	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$.
Volume	1795.7(4) Å ³	
Z	4	
Density (calculated)	1.289 Mg/m ³	
Absorption coefficient	0.097 mm ⁻¹	
F(000)	736	
Crystal size	0.185 x 0.056 x 0.040 mm	m ³
Theta range for data collection	2.195 to 26.403°.	
Index ranges	-14=h<=14, -16<=k<=16	i, -14≔l≔14
Reflections collected	12037	
Independent reflections	7003 [R(int) = 0.1498]	
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.5 %	
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equ	ivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7454 and 0.5228	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares	on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	7003 / 1 / 453	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	0.969	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0580, wR2 = 0.13	383
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0767, wR2 = 0.14	174
Absolute structure parameter	0.2(10)	
Extinction coefficient	n/a	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.559 and -0.425 e.Å-3	

- 88 89
- 90
- 91 Table S6. Torsion angles [°] for mo_023ub226_0m_aa.

Done	or HA	Acceptor	[ARU]	D - H	HA	A DA	D - HA	
O2A	H2OA	N4B	[2646.02]	0.84	2.02	2.8295(4)	162	
O2B	H2OB	N4A	[2756.01]	0.84	2.12	2.8265(4)	141	

Table S7. H-bond N \cdots HO distance values in all molecules in the asymmetric unit of Forms I, II and III

	Efinaconazole molecules (distance H-bond (Å))									
H-bond	F-I	F-I	F-I	F-I	F-I	F-I	F-II	F-II	F-III	F-III
	A	B	C	D	E	F	Α	B	A	B
N…HO	1.998	2.002	1.996	1.997	2.041	2.031	2.023	2.157	2.121	2.018





Figure S1. Asymmetric unit of the polymorph form I

Table S8. Rmsd values between all molecules in the asymmetric unit of Forms I, II and III

Rmsd	F-I-A	F-I-B	F-I-C	F-I-D	F-I-E	F-I-F	F-II-A	F-II-B	F-III-A
F-I-B	0.0738	THE OWNER							
F-I-C	0.0888	0.0647							
F-I-D	0.0152	0.0671	0.0865						
F-I-E	0.0807	0.0404	0.0304	0.0770					
F-I-F	0.0232	0.0593	0.0744	0.0171	0.0645				
F-II-A	0.0793	0.0457	0.0338	0.0765	0.0182	0.0644			
F-II-B	0.0229	0.0665	0.0747	0.0244	0.0673	0.0205	0.0783		
F-Ш-А	0.0272	0.0667	0.0881	0.0213	0.0780	0.0248	0.0665	0.0313	
F-Ш-В	0.0685	0.0330	0.0407	0.0631	0.0269	0.0525	0.0315	0.0638	0.0570



Figure S2. Molecular overlay between molecules A and C of form I

102 4.- HIRSHFELD ANALYSIS

- 103
- 104 4.1 Hirshfeld surfaces fingerprint plots of Form I: comparative footprint and contribution (%) of

d

d

d

- 105 intermolecular contacts
- 106

Form I(P21)



Table S9. Contribution (%) of intermolecular contacts of Form I

d

		,				
Form	I Molecul	e A Molecule B	Molecule C	Molecule D	Molecule E	Molecule
F-H	16.8	17.3	17.6	16.7	17.2	16.5
C-H	10.9	10.4	10.9	10.8	10.7	10.8
H-H	54.0	51.5	51.1	55.0	51.5	12.0
		10.1	10.2	10.0	10.1	11.5

121 **4.2** Hirshfeld surfaces fingerprint plots of Form II: comparative footprint

122

Form II (P212121)



Table S10. Contribution (%) of intermolecular contacts of Form II

	Form II	Molecule A	Molecule B
	F-H	17.1	16.5
	С-Н	10.9	10.9
	N-H	16.1	13.0
_			

142 4.3 Hirshfeld surfaces fingerprint plots of Form III: comparative footprint

143

Form III (P21)



Table S11. Contribution (%) of intermolecular contacts of Form III

Form II	Molecule A	Molecule B
F-H	16.3	17.6
C-H	10.9	10.6
H-H	55.2	51.3
N-H	13.0	16.0

Table S12. Contribution (%) of intermolecular contacts of Forms I, II and III calculated through Hirshfeld surfaces fingerprint plots (average of all molecules in the asymmetric unit)

Contract		Polymorph	
Contact	Form I	Form II	Form III
F-H	17.02	16.80	16.95
C-H	10.75	10.90	10.75
H-H	53.18	53.20	53.25
N-H	14.57	14.55	14.50

144

145

146

148 **5. REFERENCES**

- 149
- [1] SADABS Bruker AXS; Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2004; SAINT, Software Users Guide, Version
 6.0; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems: Madison, WI, 1999. Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS v2.03; Area Detector Absorption Correction; University of Göttingen: Germany, 1999. Saint, Version 7.60A;
- 153 Bruker AXS 2008; SADABS, V. 2008-1, 2008.
- 154
- 155 [2] G. M. A, Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A:, 2008, 64, 112–122.