### Control of mechanotransduction by molecular clutch dynamics

2 Alberto Elosegui-Artola<sup>1,\*</sup>, Xavier Trepat<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, and Pere Roca-Cusachs<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

1.- Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia (IBEC), The Barcelona Institute of Science and
 Technology (BIST), 08028 Barcelona, Spain

- 5 2.- University of Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.
- 6 3.- Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), 08010 Barcelona, Spain.
- 7 4.- Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Bioingeniería, Biomateriales y
- 8 Nanomedicina, 28029 Madrid, Spain.
- 9 \* Correspondence: <u>aelosegui@ibecbarcelona.eu</u>, <u>rocacusachs@ub.edu</u>
- 10 **Keywords:** Mechanobiology, cell adhesion, focal adhesions, integrins, cadherins.

### 11 Abstract

1

12 The linkage of cells to their microenvironment is mediated by a series of bonds that 13 dynamically engage and disengage, in what has been conceptualized as the molecular clutch model. Whereas this model has long been employed to describe actin cytoskeleton and cell 14 15 migration dynamics, it has recently been proposed to also explain mechanotransduction, i.e. the process by which cells convert mechanical signals from their environment into 16 biochemical signals. Here we review the current understanding on how cell dynamics and 17 mechanotransduction are driven by molecular clutch dynamics and its master regulator, the 18 force loading rate. Throughout the review, we place a specific emphasis on the quantitative 19 prediction of cell response enabled by combined experimental and theoretical approaches. 20

### 21 The molecular clutch hypothesis: a means to conceptualize cell adhesion dynamics.

22 Cells in almost any physiological setting, from bacteria infecting a tissue to neurons within the brain, are constantly exerting mechanical forces and transmitting them to neighboring 23 24 cells and the extracellular matrix (ECM) [1-3]. These forces direct cell functions such as 25 differentiation [4] or migration [5], and drive processes in development [6], cancer [7], the 26 physiology of the cardiovascular system [8], and several other scenarios in health and 27 disease. Unravelling the mechanisms and implications of these mechanical interactions 28 requires the understanding of how cells exert forces, how those are transmitted to the cell microenvironment, and how they trigger downstream events affecting cell function. In most 29 30 eukaryotic settings, cells exert forces largely through actin polymerization, and the 31 contraction of the actin cytoskeleton by myosin molecular motors. Once force is exerted to 32 actin, it is transmitted first to a series of adaptor proteins (see glossary) linked to actin, and 33 then to transmembrane proteins linking adaptor proteins to the cell microenvironment (Fig. 1). These transmembrane proteins consist mostly of integrins (which bind to the ECM) [9] 34 35 and cadherins (which bind to neighboring cells) [10], and the molecular assemblies composed of actin, adaptor proteins, and integrins or cadherins are known respectively as 36 37 cell-matrix or cell-cell adhesion complexes.

From actin to integrins/cadherins, adhesion complexes exhibit a precise spatial molecular 38 organization [11, 12], and are responsible for the specific adhesion of cells to their 39 40 environment, which is otherwise dominated by non-specific repulsive interactions [13]. A fundamental aspect of adhesion complexes is that they are extremely dynamic. Myosin-41 powered **contractility**, and actin polymerization pushing against the membrane [14, 15], 42 drive a constant flow of actin, generally termed "retrograde flow" because it moves from 43 44 the cell edge where cell-ECM adhesions form towards the cell center [16, 17]. This flow 45 (which can be observed for different types of actin structures, from lamellipodia to stress 46 fibers) is only partially transmitted to adaptor proteins and integrins, leading to progressively slower retrograde speeds as the molecules get closer to the ECM [18, 19]. 47 Even though they are far less characterized, similar flows apply in cadherin-based cell-cell 48 49 adhesions [20], and even non-actin based systems [21]. This progressively reduced flow points at a dynamic formation and release of bonds between the different molecular 50 elements, which only transmit movement (and force) when the system is engaged. 51 Consistently, retrograde flows inversely correlate with cell migration speed [14, 16, 22]. This 52 suggests that when the system is engaged, force transmitted to the ECM counters myosin 53 54 contractility, slowing actin retrograde flow (as observed for instance in fish keratocytes [23]) 55 and fostering actin protrusion away from the cell center. The dynamic nature of the 56 cytoskeleton-ECM linkage, and its relationship to cell movement, led Mitchison and 57 Kirschner [24] to introduce the term "molecular clutch" to describe it, in an analogy to the dynamic linkage between different shafts of a mechanical engine. 58

59 Because it regulates both force transmission and cell movement, this molecular clutch 60 between actin and the ECM (or neighboring cells) controls the mechanical balance within a 61 tissue, its remodeling, and the onset of mechanotransduction events. Importantly, because 62 there is significant knowledge on the biochemical and mechanical properties of the 63 molecular elements involved, quantitative modelling can be carried out, and quantitative 64 mechanistic predictions can be obtained. This is precisely what this review is focused on: how the molecular clutch concept, and its quantitative predictions, provides a framework 65 to understand how cells respond to mechanical signals like forces or tissue rigidity. Thus, 66 we will not enter in details on the complex molecular regulation of cell/cell and cell/ECM 67 adhesions or the actin cytoskeleton, on which there are excellent recent reviews [25-27]. 68 First, we will summarize the molecular pathway that force must follow from actin to 69 integrins/cadherins, and evidence for mechanical tension in the molecules involved. We 70 note that whereas most of the examples and discussion will refer to the better studied case 71 72 of integrin-based cell-ECM adhesion, the concepts discussed are generalizable to cell-cell, 73 and potentially almost any type of specific adhesion. Second, we will describe the behavior 74 of the clutch model, and how it responds to its main mechanical and molecular parameters. 75 In this regard, we will discuss the fundamental notion that molecular clutch response is not 76 driven by forces per se (which constantly change due to their dynamic nature) but by the force loading rate. Third, we will discuss how clutch mechanics couple to mechanosensitive 77 78 proteins to enable cell mechanoresponse. Finally, we will address implications in cell 79 migration.

### 80 Molecular pathways of force transmission through the clutch.

81 Despite the molecular complexity of cell-ECM adhesions, the fundamental components of 82 a molecular clutch system can be summarized as a) actin filaments, b) myosin motors pulling 83 on actin filaments, c) adaptor proteins, d) integrins/cadherins, and e) extracellular ligands 84 at the ECM or other cells (Fig. 1). Numerous proteins from both the cell-cell and cell-ECM 85 adhesome are potentially involved in force transmission. As to cell-ECM interactions, force 86 is transmitted through: 1) direct interactions between the ECM and integrins [28, 29], 2) 87 adaptor proteins that directly connect integrins to the actin cytoskeleton -including  $\alpha$ -88 actinin [30], filamin [31], tensin [32], kindlin [33] and talin [34-36]- and 3) indirect interactions between integrins and actin -mediated by vinculin [34, 37-39], FAK, paxillin, 89 and Kank [40], among many others-. As to intercellular interactions, an equivalently 90 91 complex network of adaptors connects cadherins to actin [41]. Recently, some of these 92 adaptors (such as vinculin) have been shown to be shared between cell-ECM and cell-cell 93 interactions [12]. Only a few of the several proteins linking actin to integrins and cadherins have been experimentally verified to be submitted to force, although potentially several 94 95 more could be. For instance, experiments pulling on integrin-ECM or cadherin-cadherin 96 bonds with magnetic tweezers, or measuring tension on ECM ligands through fluorescence 97 reporters or tension gauges (that dissociate above a given force) have shown that integrins 98 [29, 42-47] and cadherins [48-51] withstand forces. Besides, fluorescence tension probes 99 have confirmed with piconewton resolution that not only integrins [52, 53] and cadherins [54] are under force but also intracellular proteins like vinculin [37] and talin [35, 36] in cell-100 matrix adhesions, and alpha-catenin [55] in cell-cell adhesions. 101

102

#### 103 Regulation of force transmission through the clutch

104 The fundamental property of the molecular clutch connecting actin to the ECM is its 105 dynamic nature, i.e., the more engaged the different components are to each other, the more effectively force will be transmitted. However, the interplay between the different 106 elements leads to interesting non-trivial behaviors, which can be understood through 107 108 mathematical models [56] which initially emerged inspired by the similar and better studied system of muscle contraction. Those models can be in the form of computational 109 simulations [57-60] or analytical solutions [60-63], and all consider the effect of dynamic 110 bonds between a surface and a sliding filament. In the form proposed by Chan and Odde 111 [57], model response rests on two key properties under force of the molecules involved. 112 113 First, myosin motors will contract actin filaments at a fixed speed (of about 120 nm/s) if 114 their action is unopposed by force [57, 64]. If a force opposes myosin action, its contraction speed will decrease with force until stalling completely if the force applied matches the 115 116 maximum force that a myosin motor can apply (2 pN) [65]. This inverse relationship 117 between actin speed and force has been widely reported [34, 57, 64, 66], although it is worth noting that a direct relationship has been observed below speeds of 10 nm/s [66], 118 possibly due to changes in myosin density in cell lamellae [67]. Second, as force is 119 120 transmitted to molecular bonds (actin-adaptor proteins, adaptor proteins-integrins, or integrins-ECM), the lifetime of the bonds will be affected, eventually destabilizing bonds 121 122 when submitted to sufficiently high forces (see section below for the distinction between 123 slip and catch bonds). In most models, only one type of bond is considered, which is assumed to correspond to the weakest link in the actin-adaptor protein-integrin-ECM chain. 124 This "weakest link" has been attributed both to intracellular bonds involving adaptor 125 126 proteins [57, 68], or to the integrin-ECM link [64, 69, 70]. In any case, the fact that different clutch components show different retrograde flow speeds [18] suggests that all bonds play 127 a role, and that modelled bonds likely reflect an integrated response of the entire clutch 128 rather than a weakest link. 129

In a typical molecular clutch simulation, the system begins with myosin freely contracting 130 an actin filament, containing several adaptor protein-integrin complexes (clutches) which 131 are not bound to the substrate (Fig. 2A-B). With time, clutches begin binding to the 132 substrate according to a given binding rate. Once the system is engaged, myosin 133 contractility pulls on the substrate, deforming it if it is compliant and exerting a force which 134 135 distributes among the different bound clutches. As force keeps on building, bonds 136 eventually fail, leading to a catastrophic event which quickly releases all force and 137 disengages all bonds, allowing the cycle to start again (Fig. 2B-C). Such cycles are termed "load and fail" or "stick-slip" behavior, and have been observed in neuronal growth cones 138 [57], focal adhesions [71], and the leading edge of mouse embryonic fibroblasts [72, 73]. 139

140 Interestingly, the cycles of force generation are finely regulated by the properties of both 141 the molecular players involved and the cell microenvironment, endowing cells not only with 142 exquisite mechanosensitivity but also with the ability to tune it. The fundamental factor 143 driving clutch mechanosensitivity (and response to both cellular and extracellular 144 parameters) is the force loading rate, i.e. the speed at which force in clutches builds once 145 they engage. This is nicely exemplified in the case of cell response to substrate rigidity, a 146 microenvironmental factor which drives cell differentiation [4] or tumor progression [74], 147 among others. Substrate rigidity directly controls the loading rate, which in simple terms 148 can be understood as the product of the substrate rigidity times the speed of retrograde flow. In clutch models, force transmission is maximized for a specific value of rigidity, or 149 loading rate. Above the optimal rigidity, force in individual clutches loads so fast upon 150 binding that clutches become destabilized and disengage before additional clutches can 151 152 bind. That is, unbinding rates (off rates) become faster than binding rates (on rates), the number of clutches simultaneously engaged drops drastically, and overall force 153 154 transmission decreases (Fig. 2C-D). This is a regime known as "frictional slippage", characterized by high retrograde flow, low forces, and no load and fail cycles, and observed 155 156 for instance in neuronal growth cones [57], or the trailing edge of migrating keratocytes 157 [75]. Below the optimal rigidity, force loading becomes so slow that clutches eventually disengage before high forces can be reached. Thus, the molecular clutch model predicts a 158 159 biphasic relationship between rigidity (loading rate) and force, in which forces first increase 160 and then decrease with rigidity. Such behavior has indeed been observed in neuronal growth cones and glioma cells [57, 76], but in several other systems a monotonically 161 increasing rigidity/force relationship has been reported instead [28, 77-79]. This 162 163 discrepancy is due to the fact that in many cases, cells grow focal adhesions above a threshold in rigidity (due to talin unfolding, see below). Large adhesions increase integrin 164 clustering, the effective binding rate of the system, and the number of bound clutches, 165 166 preventing the entry into the frictional slippage regime and maintaining high force 167 transmission [34].

168 Other than rigidity, several cellular and extracellular parameters tune the 169 mechanosensitivity of the molecular clutch. In most cases, the effects can also be understood through the regulation of the loading rate. First, reducing myosin contractility 170 lowers the loading rate. Consequently, in myosin inhibition conditions, reaching the optimal 171 loading rate for force transmission requires a higher substrate rigidity. Therefore, whereas 172 173 myosin inhibition of course reduces overall contractility, there is a specific range of 174 substrate rigidity in which force transmission can be increased (Fig. 2E). This counterintuitive prediction, which has been observed experimentally [34], occurs at a rigidity where 175 176 the loading rate is optimal in myosin inhibited conditions, but too high and already within the frictional slippage regime in control conditions. Second, decreasing ECM ligand density 177 178 reduces binding sites and therefore overall force transmission (Fig. 2E). However, since 179 myosin contractility is now distributed among less clutches, the loading rate experienced 180 by each molecular clutch increases. In turn, this decreases the substrate rigidity corresponding to the optimal loading rate, and optimal force transmission [34]. Third, 181 altering different parameters at the same time can lead to combined effects that also shift 182 optimal force transmission (Fig. 2E). This can be achieved for instance by binding to the ECM 183 through different integrin types (with different binding and unbinding rates) [64], or 184 185 simultaneously altering the numbers of myosin motors and available clutches [76, 80].

186 While less well characterized, it is tempting to speculate on how different integrin and focal 187 adhesion regulators could impact molecular clutch behavior. For instance, we recently 188 reported [83] that ZO-1, an adaptor protein normally present in cell-cell adhesions but that 189 can also bind  $\alpha$ 5 $\beta$ 1 integrins [84], increases the binding and unbinding rates of  $\alpha$ 5 $\beta$ 1 to 190 fibronectin. This then fosters the formation of adhesions in a manner consistent with 191 molecular clutch predictions [83]. Other adaptor proteins, such as the recently characterized sharpin [85], shank [86], kank [40], or kindlin [33] also regulate integrin 192 properties and could therefore have similar effects. Finally, it is interesting to note that 193 194 whereas the effect of rigidity has largely been studied with purely elastic substrates, adding a viscoelastic behavior has a significant effect [81]. In this regard, we have recently shown 195 that cell response to purely viscous environments can also be understood through a 196 197 molecular clutch mechanism driven by force loading rates [82].

### 198 **Regulation of force transduction by the clutch**

Once we understand how the molecular clutch regulates cell-ECM force transmission, the 199 200 next pressing question is to determine how force then triggers mechanosensing events, i.e., how cells convert force into biochemical signals that will eventually affect cell function. This 201 202 process is generally believed to occur through mechanosensing molecules, in which force 203 alters their conformation and biochemical properties. The best known example is that of the actin-integrin adaptor protein talin, which unfolds under force and exposes binding sites 204 205 to vinculin [87, 88]. Other proteins such as  $\alpha$ -catenin [89] or filamin [90] also change binding 206 partner affinities under force, and force-induced molecular events include changes in integrin conformation [91], ion channel activity [92, 93], or kinase activity [94] (see [3, 95] 207 208 for recent reviews). However, it is important to note that in the context of a continuously contracting cell, none of these molecular mechanosensors is sufficient on their own to build 209 an effective cell mechanosensing mechanism. Taking talin as an example, if a given actin-210 211 talin-integrin clutch engages to the substrate, myosin contractility will start pulling on it. 212 This will eventually load force sufficiently to induce talin unfolding, regardless of substrate 213 rigidity or any other external mechanical stimulus.

214 To properly discriminate between different levels of rigidity, a system of at least two 215 mechanosensors with different properties is required. In the case of the actin-talin-integrin-216 ECM clutch, this is provided by the different properties under force of talin unfolding, and of integrin-ECM binding [34]. Talin unfolding responds to force according to the bell model 217 [13] as a classical slip bond. That is, when a constant force is applied to a single talin 218 219 molecule, the time required to unfold decreases exponentially with force [88]. In contrast, 220 the binding between  $\alpha$ 5 $\beta$ 1 [96] or  $\alpha$ v $\beta$ 3 [34, 91] integrins and the ECM protein fibronectin behaves as a catch bond (or more accurately, a catch-slip bond). That is, the time required 221 222 to break the bond first increases and then decreases with force. This differential behavior 223 leads to a crossover between the two force/lifetime curves, such that for low forces integrin 224 unbinding is faster than talin unfolding, and for high forces the opposite holds (Fig. 3A). 225 Because upon integrin unbinding force would be released and no longer pull on talin, this 226 system effectively triggers talin unfolding only above a force threshold. Talin unfolding then leads to vinculin binding, which in turn triggers focal adhesion growth through mechanisms 227 228 that are not fully elucidated [38, 97].

A relevant nuance is that whereas for simplicity we have referred to force to reason on the differential response of the mechanosensors, as discussed above a molecular clutch system controls force loading rate rather than force itself. However, the dependency of

232 unfolding/unbinding rates on loading rate can be readily calculated if force/lifetime curves 233 are known [98], leading to the same crossover behavior (Fig. 3A). Thus, all the factors described above controlling the loading rate experienced by individual molecules (substrate 234 rigidity, myosin contractility, ECM coating, integrin binding kinetics) will determine not only 235 236 force transmission but also force transduction, and the activation of downstream signals such as focal adhesion formation, and the nuclear localization of the transcriptional 237 regulator YAP [34, 99]. In the case of rigidity, for instance, talin unfolding only occurs above 238 239 a given threshold. Subsequent focal adhesion growth (reinforcement) then increases the clutch binding rate, simply because there are more integrins to bind to. This then prevents 240 241 the decrease in force, and increase in actin retrograde flows, that would be otherwise 242 expected at high rigidities (Fig. 3B-C). Below the rigidity threshold, integrin unbinding (rather than talin unfolding) predominates, as supported by experiments using ECM ligands 243 attached to tension gauge tethers [46]. Other than rigidity, we have recently shown that 244 245 cell sensing of the nano-scale distribution of ECM ligands, and subsequent formation of 246 focal adhesions, can also be explained by a clutch model considering two differential mechanosensors, and the spatial arrangement of ligands [100]. 247

- 248 Whereas this clutch-mediated differential mechanosensing mechanism has so far been demonstrated only for the talin unfolding versus integrin-ECM unbinding system, it could 249 apply in several other instances. Potential examples include cadherin/cadherin unbinding 250 versus  $\alpha$ -catenin unfolding [89] (in cell adhesions), glycoprotein Ib (GPIb)/von Willebrand 251 252 factor unbinding versus GPIb unfolding (in platelets) [101], or stretch-induced 253 conformational changes in the actin crosslinker filamin [90], which could add an additional 254 mechanosensor in series with the integrin/talin system. Importantly, the fundamental 255 feature to enable mechanosensitivity is the crossover between lifetimes of the two mechanosensors, and not necessarily slip bond/catch bond behavior per se. Thus, in 256 principle mechanosensitivity could also be achieved with two slip bonds, as long as their 257 sensitivities to force were different. 258
- 259 Summarizing, the fundamental parameter that determines the response of a molecular clutch system is the force loading rate, which is sensitive to factors both external (substrate 260 rigidity, ECM or cadherin ligand density) and internal (myosin contractility, type and 261 262 clustering of integrins), and varies greatly in different physiological conditions [102]. This endows cells with exquisite mechanosensitivity, which results in regulation of both force 263 264 transmission and in the activation of mechanosensors. Supporting this hypothesis of the 265 loading rate as the key ingredient, experiments have shown that it controls integrin 266 adhesion [103, 104] and focal adhesion formation [105]. Interestingly, this hypothesis also proposes an alternative to an old debate in the field, which is whether cells sense rigidity 267 268 by applying a given deformation (strain) to the substrate and measuring the resulting force (stress), or vice versa [79, 106, 107]. Measuring force loading rates may be more optimal 269 270 than measuring forces or deformations per se, for two fundamental reasons. First and as noted theoretically [108, 109], if time dependency (and loading rate) is ignored, the 271 magnitude of force that cells can apply depends on their contractility but not necessarily on 272 273 the mechanical properties of the cell environment, precluding proper mechanosensing. Second, cell-applied forces continuously fluctuate, as observed at scales ranging from cell 274

collectives [110], to focal adhesions [71], to local 100 nm-scale contractions in the leading
edge of fibroblasts [111]. In fact, molecular clutch mechanisms driven by loading rates have
been proposed to explain force fluctuations at the level of cell collectives [5] and focal
adhesions [112]. The mechanics of nano-scale contractions, which are associated with
altered response to substrate rigidity [113], and altered activity of receptor tyrosine kinases
[114], is less clear. However, both the contractions and the trigger of mechanosensing
events affecting kinase activity may also be controlled by the loading rate.

### 282 Regulation of cell migration by the clutch.

Since the clutch model predicts cell-substrate forces, one could think that this is can directly 283 explain cell migration. Yet, cells generate tractions that are orders of magnitude higher than 284 285 those needed to migrate, and tractions generated by a migratory single cell add up to zero within measurement noise [115]. Tractions should thus not be interpreted as propulsion 286 287 forces. However, tractions are linked to migration speed through the retrograde flow [14, 288 16, 22]. For a given actin polymerization rate, cells exhibiting the slowest retrograde flow and therefore the highest traction- should be the ones that migrate faster. This relationship 289 is well captured by early clutch models, which focused only on dynamics of the leading edge 290 291 [57]. A more general formulation of cell migration in terms of clutch models requires not only taking into account the leading edge, but also how all protrusions pull on the cell body. 292 293 Such formulation was accomplished by Bangasser et al [76], who showed that a generalized clutch model predicts an optimal rigidity for migration as a function of the number of 294 clutches and motors. These predictions were successfully tested for neurons and glioma 295 296 cells, which exhibit a biphasic behavior of their migratory properties [76]. We note, 297 however, that these cells do not exhibit adhesion reinforcement, so the general ability of 298 clutch models to predict a relationship between migration speed and rigidity needs to be further assessed. 299

300 Besides contributing to understand single cell migration, clutch models have also been successful at explaining collective durotaxis, this is, the ability of groups of cells to follow 301 gradients of rigidity [5]. When a group of epithelial cells was seeded on a substrate with a 302 rigidity gradient, cells moved preferentially towards the stiff area of the substrate. 303 304 Collective durotaxis was lost when force generation was inhibited with Blebbistatin and 305 when cell-cell junctions were abrogated. Traction maps revealed that cells exerted inward forces of same magnitude but opposite sign only at the two edges of the monolayer. This 306 307 force pattern implies long range force transmission through cell-cell junctions. To explain collective durotaxis, we modelled the cell monolayer as a contractile continuum adhered to 308 309 the substrate through two clutches located at the stiff and soft edges. Force balance implies 310 that cells on soft and stiff areas of the substrate generate the same force, and therefore 311 cell-matrix adhesions are subjected to the same loading rate. The model then predicts that dynamics at both edges are identical but that substrate displacement is larger on the soft 312 313 edge than on the stiff one. As such, contraction of the monolayer systematically shifts the 314 center of the cell cluster, thereby resulting in durotaxis. This simple model, designed to explain collective durotaxis, is also applicable to single cell durotaxis [116], which is 315 316 predicted to be more efficient for cells that are large and highly contractile.

### 318 Concluding remarks.

319 The dynamic nature of the cytoskeleton and adhesion complexes has long been acknowledged, and the molecular clutch concept has demonstrated to be a useful 320 321 framework to understand the underlying mechanisms. Further, recent developments have 322 shown that quantitative modelling of the different molecular elements in the clutch provides a powerful tool to predict how cells detect cues from their environment, and 323 324 respond by tuning their migration, but also adhesive and signaling events. However, several outstanding questions remain open (see outstanding questions box). First, how force is 325 transmitted and distributed through the very complex molecular assemblies at cell-matrix 326 327 and cell-cell adhesions (i.e., which adaptor molecules are directly submitted to force, and to what degree) remains largely unknown. Addressing this question, and understanding the 328 329 force-induced molecular events involved, will enable the refining of clutch models to predict 330 cell response in a much more general way. Second, it is highly likely that dynamic clutch-331 like adhesion occurs not only at cell adhesions but also throughout cells, for instance in cytoskeletal-nuclear coupling. Exploring such events and their implications is also a major 332 333 area of exploration. Finally, whereas the molecular clutch concept has been largely explored in cells seeded on flat two-dimensional substrates, the interaction between actin structures, 334 myosin, and adhesive complexes is known to be largely affected by the three-dimensional 335 setting found in most physiological conditions. Whereas the effect of this three-dimensional 336 337 setting in the molecular clutch concept have begun to be explored [19], its implications 338 remain largely uncharted. Addressing these and other open questions is thus likely to lead 339 to new exciting developments in the coming years.

#### 340 **References**

- 1. Ng, D. et al. (2016) The Vibrio cholerae Minor Pilin TcpB Initiates Assembly and Retraction of the
   Toxin-Coregulated Pilus. PLoS Pathog 12 (12), e1006109.
- 343 2. Betz, T. et al. (2011) Growth cones as soft and weak force generators. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
  344 108 (33), 13420-5.
- 345 3. Roca-Cusachs, P. et al. (2017) Quantifying forces in cell biology. Nat Cell Biol 19 (7), 742-751.
- 4. Engler, A.J. et al. (2006) Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage specification. Cell 126 (4), 677-689.
- 5. Sunyer, R. et al. (2016) Collective cell durotaxis emerges from long-range intercellular force
  transmission. Science 353 (6304), 1157-61.
- 6. Bosveld, F. et al. (2012) Mechanical control of morphogenesis by Fat/Dachsous/Four-jointed
  planar cell polarity pathway. Science 336 (6082), 724-7.
- 352 7. Miroshnikova, Y.A. et al. (2016) Tissue mechanics promote IDH1-dependent HIF1alpha-tenascin
- 353 C feedback to regulate glioblastoma aggression. Nat Cell Biol 18 (12), 1336-1345.
- 8. Humphrey, J.D. et al. (2015) Role of mechanotransduction in vascular biology: focus on thoracic
  aortic aneurysms and dissections. Circ Res 116 (8), 1448-61.
- 9. Roca-Cusachs, P. et al. (2012) Finding the weakest link exploring integrin-mediated mechanical
   molecular pathways. J.Cell Sci. 125 (Pt 13), 3025-3038.
- 358 10. Ladoux, B. et al. (2015) The mechanotransduction machinery at work at adherens junctions.
- 359 Integr Biol (Camb) 7 (10), 1109-19.

- 360 11. Kanchanawong, P. et al. (2010) Nanoscale architecture of integrin-based cell adhesions. Nature 468 (7323), 580-U262. 361
- 362 12. Bertocchi, C. et al. (2017) Nanoscale architecture of cadherin-based cell adhesions. Nat Cell 363 Biol 19 (1), 28-37.
- 364 13. Bell, G.I. (1978) Models for the specific adhesion of cells to cells. Science 200 (4342), 618-627.
- 365 14. Lin, C.-H. and Forscher, P. Growth cone advance is inversely proportional to retrograde F-actin 366 flow. Neuron 14 (4), 763-771.
- 367 15. Forscher, P. and Smith, S.J. (1988) Actions of cytochalasins on the organization of actin
- 368 filaments and microtubules in a neuronal growth cone. J.Cell Biol. 107 (4), 1505-1516.
- 369 16. Wang, Y.L. (1985) Exchange of actin subunits at the leading edge of living fibroblasts: possible 370 role of treadmilling. The Journal of Cell Biology 101 (2), 597-602.
- 371 17. Theriot, J.A. and Mitchison, T.J. (1991) Actin microfilament dynamics in locomoting cells. 372 Nature 352 (6331), 126-31.
- 18. Hu, K. et al. (2007) Differential transmission of actin motion within focal adhesions. Science 373 374 315 (5808), 111-115.
- 375 19. Owen, L.M. et al. (2017) A cytoskeletal clutch mediates cellular force transmission in a soft,
- 376 three-dimensional extracellular matrix. Mol Biol Cell 28 (14), 1959-1974.
- 377 20. Peglion, F. et al. (2014) Adherens junction treadmilling during collective migration. Nat Cell Biol 378 16 (7), 639-51.
- 379 21. Havrylenko, S. et al. (2014) Extending the molecular clutch beyond actin-based cell motility. 380 New J Phys 16 (10).
- 381 22. Lee, J. et al. (1993) Principles of locomotion for simple-shaped cells. Nature 362 (6416), 167-382 71.
- 383 23. Barnhart, E.L. et al. (2011) An adhesion-dependent switch between mechanisms that 384 determine motile cell shape. PLoS Biol 9 (5), e1001059.
- 385 24. Mitchison, T. and Kirschner, M. (1988) Cytoskeletal dynamics and nerve growth. Neuron 1 (9), 386 761-772.
- 387 25. Case, L.B. and Waterman, C.M. (2015) Integration of actin dynamics and cell adhesion by a 388 three-dimensional, mechanosensitive molecular clutch. Nat Cell Biol.
- 389 26. Sun, Z. et al. (2016) Integrin-mediated mechanotransduction. J Cell Biol 215 (4), 445-456.
- 390 27. Giannone, G. et al. (2009) Multi-level molecular clutches in motile cell processes. Trends Cell 391 Biol 19 (9), 475-86.
- 392 28. Schiller, H.B. et al. (2013) beta1- and alphav-class integrins cooperate to regulate myosin II
- 393 during rigidity sensing of fibronectin-based microenvironments. Nat Cell Biol 15 (6), 625-36.
- 394 29. Elosegui-Artola, A. et al. (2014) Rigidity sensing and adaptation through regulation of integrin 395 types. Nat Mater 13 (6), 631-7.
- 396 30. Roca-Cusachs, P. et al. (2013) Integrin-dependent force transmission to the extracellular matrix 397
- by alpha-actinin triggers adhesion maturation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110 (15), E1361-70.
- 398 31. Ehrlicher, A.J. et al. (2011) Mechanical strain in actin networks regulates FilGAP and integrin 399 binding to filamin A. Nature 478 (7368), 260-3.
- 400 32. Clark, K. et al. (2010) Tensin 2 modulates cell contractility in 3D collagen gels through the 401 RhoGAP DLC1. J Cell Biochem 109 (4), 808-17.
- 402 33. Theodosiou, M. et al. (2016) Kindlin-2 cooperates with talin to activate integrins and induces 403 cell spreading by directly binding paxillin. Elife 5, e10130.
- 404 34. Elosegui-Artola, A. et al. (2016) Mechanical regulation of a molecular clutch defines force
- 405 transmission and transduction in response to matrix rigidity. Nat Cell Biol 18 (5), 540-8.
- 406 35. Austen, K. et al. (2015) Extracellular rigidity sensing by talin isoform-specific mechanical
- 407 linkages. Nat Cell Biol 17 (12), 1597-606.

- 408 36. Ringer, P. et al. (2017) Multiplexing molecular tension sensors reveals piconewton force 409 gradient across talin-1. Nat Methods.
- 410 37. Grashoff, C. et al. (2010) Measuring mechanical tension across vinculin reveals regulation of
- 411 focal adhesion dynamics. Nature 466 (7303), 263-6.
- 412 38. Carisey, A. et al. (2013) Vinculin regulates the recruitment and release of core focal adhesion
- 413 proteins in a force-dependent manner. Curr Biol 23 (4), 271-81.
- 414 39. Dumbauld, D.W. et al. (2013) How vinculin regulates force transmission. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
  415 A 110 (24), 9788-93.
- 416 40. Sun, Z. et al. (2016) Kank2 activates talin, reduces force transduction across integrins and
- 417 induces central adhesion formation. Nat Cell Biol 18 (9), 941-53.
- 418 41. Hoffman, B.D. and Yap, A.S. Towards a Dynamic Understanding of Cadherin-Based
- 419 Mechanobiology. Trends Cell Biol 25 (12), 803-814.
- 420 42. Liu, Y. et al. (2014) Nanoparticle tension probes patterned at the nanoscale: impact of integrin
  421 clustering on force transmission. Nano Lett 14 (10), 5539-46.
- 422 43. Zhang, Y. et al. (2014) DNA-based digital tension probes reveal integrin forces during early cell423 adhesion. Nat Commun 5, 5167.
- 42. 44. Gonzalez-Tarrago, V. et al. (2017) Binding of ZO-1 to alpha5beta1 integrins regulates the
- 425 mechanical properties of alpha5beta1-fibronectin links. Mol Biol Cell 28 (14), 1847-1852.
- 426 45. Roca-Cusachs, P. et al. (2009) Clustering of alpha(5)beta(1) integrins determines adhesion
- 427 strength whereas alpha(v)beta(3) and talin enable mechanotransduction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
  428 106 (38), 16245-50.
- 429 46. Rahil, Z. et al. (2016) Nanoscale Mechanics Guides Cellular Decision Making. Integrative430 Biology.
- 47. Wang, X. and Ha, T. (2013) Defining single molecular forces required to activate integrin and
  notch signaling. Science 340 (6135), 991-4.
- 433 48. Bazellieres, E. et al. (2015) Control of cell-cell forces and collective cell dynamics by the 434 intercellular adhesome. Nat Cell Biol 17 (4), 409-20.
- 435 49. Labernadie, A. et al. (2017) A mechanically active heterotypic E-cadherin/N-cadherin adhesion
  436 enables fibroblasts to drive cancer cell invasion. Nat Cell Biol 19 (3), 224-237.
- 437 50. Andresen Eguiluz, R.C. et al. (2017) Substrate stiffness and VE-cadherin mechano-transduction
  438 coordinate to regulate endothelial monolayer integrity. Biomaterials 140, 45-57.
- 439 51. Muhamed, I. et al. (2016) E-cadherin-mediated force transduction signals regulate global cell
- 440 mechanics. J Cell Sci 129 (9), 1843-54.
- 441 52. Morimatsu, M. et al. (2013) Molecular tension sensors report forces generated by single
- 442 integrin molecules in living cells. Nano Lett 13 (9), 3985-9.
- 53. Chang, A.C. et al. (2016) Single Molecule Force Measurements in Living Cells Reveal a
  Minimally Tensioned Integrin State. ACS Nano 10 (12), 10745-10752.
- 54. Borghi, N. et al. (2012) E-cadherin is under constitutive actomyosin-generated tension that is
- 446 increased at cell-cell contacts upon externally applied stretch. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109 (31),
- 447 12568-73.
- 448 55. Kim, T.J. et al. (2015) Dynamic visualization of alpha-catenin reveals rapid, reversible
- 449 conformation switching between tension states. Curr Biol 25 (2), 218-24.
- 450 56. Schwarz, U.S. and Safran, S.A. (2013) Physics of adherent cells. Rev Mod Phys 85 (3), 1327451 1381.
- 452 57. Chan, C.E. and Odde, D.J. (2008) Traction dynamics of filopodia on compliant substrates.
- 453 Science 322 (5908), 1687-1691.
- 454 58. Bangasser, B.L. et al. (2013) Determinants of maximal force transmission in a motor-clutch
- 455 model of cell traction in a compliant microenvironment. Biophys J 105 (3), 581-92.

- 456 59. Escribano, J. et al. (2014) A discrete approach for modeling cell–matrix adhesions.
- 457 Computational Particle Mechanics 1 (2), 117-130.
- 458 60. Sabass, B. and Schwarz, U.S. (2010) Modeling cytoskeletal flow over adhesion sites:
- 459 competition between stochastic bond dynamics and intracellular relaxation. J Phys Condens460 Matter 22 (19), 194112.
- 461 61. Bangasser, B.L. and Odde, D.J. (2013) Master equation-based analysis of a motor-clutch model
- 462 for cell traction force. Cell Mol Bioeng 6 (4), 449-459.
- 463 62. Sens, P. (2013) Rigidity sensing by stochastic sliding friction. EPL 104 (3), 38003.
- 464 63. Srinivasan, M. and Walcott, S. (2009) Binding site models of friction due to the formation and
- 465 rupture of bonds: state-function formalism, force-velocity relations, response to slip velocity
- transients, and slip stability. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 80 (4 Pt 2), 046124.
- 467 64. Elosegui-Artola, A. et al. (2014) Rigidity sensing and adaptation through regulation of integrin
  468 types. Nature Materials 13, 631-637.
- 469 65. Molloy, J.E. et al. (1995) Movement and force produced by a single myosin head. Nature 378470 (6553), 209-12.
- 471 66. Gardel, M.L. et al. (2008) Traction stress in focal adhesions correlates biphasically with actin
- 472 retrograde flow speed. J Cell Biol 183 (6), 999-1005.
- 473 67. Craig, E.M. et al. (2015) Model for adhesion clutch explains biphasic relationship between actin
  474 flow and traction at the cell leading edge. Phys Biol 12 (3), 035002.
- 68. Jiang, G.Y. et al. (2003) Two-piconewton slip bond between fibronectin and the cytoskeleton
  depends on talin. Nature 424 (6946), 334-337.
- 477 69. Aratyn-Schaus, Y. and Gardel, M.L. (2010) Transient frictional slip between integrin and the
- 478 ECM in focal adhesions under myosin II tension. Curr Biol 20 (13), 1145-53.
- 70. Ishibashi, M. et al. (2015) Integrin LFA-1 regulates cell adhesion via transient clutch formation.
  Biochem Biophys Res Commun 464 (2), 459-66.
- 481 71. Plotnikov, S.V. et al. (2012) Force fluctuations within focal adhesions mediate ECM-rigidity

482 sensing to guide directed cell migration. Cell 151 (7), 1513-27.

- 483 72. Giannone, G. et al. (2004) Periodic lamellipodial contractions correlate with rearward actin
  484 waves. Cell 116 (3), 431-443.
- 73. Pontes, B. et al. (2017) Membrane tension controls adhesion positioning at the leading edge ofcells. J Cell Biol.
- 487 74. Levental, K.R. et al. (2009) Matrix crosslinking forces tumor progression by enhancing integrin
  488 signaling. Cell 139 (5), 891-906.
- 489 75. Fournier, M.F. et al. (2010) Force transmission in migrating cells. J Cell Biol 188 (2), 287-97.
- 490 76. Bangasser, B.L. et al. (2017) Shifting the optimal stiffness for cell migration. Nat Commun 8,491 15313.
- 492 77. Ghibaudo, M. et al. (2008) Traction forces and rigidity sensing regulate cell functions. Soft493 Matter 4 (9), 1836-1843.
- 494 78. Califano, J.P. and Reinhart-King, C.A. (2010) Substrate Stiffness and Cell Area Predict Cellular
- 495 Traction Stresses in Single Cells and Cells in Contact. Cell Mol Bioeng 3 (1), 68-75.
- 496 79. Ghassemi, S. et al. (2012) Cells test substrate rigidity by local contractions on sub-micrometer
- 497 pillars. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 109 (14),498 5328-5333.
- 499 80. Mekhdjian, A.H. et al. (2017) Integrin-mediated traction force enhances paxillin molecular
- 500 associations and adhesion dynamics that increase the invasiveness of tumor cells into a three-
- 501 dimensional extracellular matrix. Mol Biol Cell 28 (11), 1467-1488.
- 502 81. Chaudhuri, O. et al. (2015) Substrate stress relaxation regulates cell spreading. Nat Commun 6,503 6364.

- 82. Bennett, M. et al. (2018) Molecular clutch drives cell response to surface viscosity. Proc Natl
  Acad Sci U S A, In press.
- S06 83. González-Tarragó, V. et al. (2017) Binding of ZO-1 to α5β1 integrins regulates the mechanical
   properties of α5β1-fibronectin links. Mol Biol Cell.
- 508 84. Tuomi, S. et al. (2009) PKC $\epsilon$  Regulation of an  $\alpha$ <sub>5</sub> Integrin–ZO-1 Complex Controls 509 Lamellae Formation in Migrating Cancer Cells. Science Signaling 2 (77), ra32-ra32.
- 510 85. Rantala, J.K. et al. (2011) SHARPIN is an endogenous inhibitor of beta1-integrin activation. Nat 511 Cell Biol 13 (11), 1315-24.
- 512 86. Lilja, J. et al. (2017) SHANK proteins limit integrin activation by directly interacting with Rap1 513 and R-Ras. Nat Cell Biol 19 (4), 292-305.
- 514 87. del Rio, A. et al. (2009) Stretching Single Talin Rod Molecules Activates Vinculin Binding.
- 515 Science 323 (5914), 638-641.
- 88. Yao, M. et al. (2014) Mechanical activation of vinculin binding to talin locks talin in an unfolded
  conformation. Sci Rep 4, 4610.
- 89. Yao, M. et al. (2014) Force-dependent conformational switch of alpha-catenin controls vinculinbinding. Nat Commun 5, 4525.
- 520 90. Ehrlicher, A.J. et al. (2011) Mechanical strain in actin networks regulates FilGAP and integrin 521 binding to filamin A. Nature 478 (7368), 260-263.
- 522 91. Chen, Y. et al. (2016) Force regulated conformational change of integrin αVβ3. Matrix Biology.
- 523 92. Coste, B. et al. (2010) Piezo1 and Piezo2 are essential components of distinct mechanically 524 activated cation channels. Science 330 (6000), 55-60.
- 93. Wu, J. et al. (2016) Localized force application reveals mechanically sensitive domains of
- 526 Piezo1. Nat Commun 7, 12939.
- 94. Sawada, Y. et al. (2006) Force sensing by mechanical extension of the Src family kinase
  substrate p130Cas. Cell 127 (5), 1015-1026.
- 529 95. Gauthier, N.C. and Roca-Cusachs, P. (2018) Mechanosensing at integrin-mediated cell-matrix 530 adhesions: from molecular to integrated mechanisms. Curr Opin Cell Biol, In press.
- 96. Kong, F. et al. (2009) Demonstration of catch bonds between an integrin and its ligand. J Cell
  Biol 185 (7), 1275-1284.
- 97. Atherton, P. et al. (2016) Mechanosensitive components of integrin adhesions: Role of vinculin.
  Exp Cell Res 343 (1), 21-27.
- 535 98. Dudko, O.K. et al. (2008) Theory, analysis, and interpretation of single-molecule force 536 spectroscopy experiments. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105 (41), 15755-60.
- 537 99. Elosegui-Artola, E. et al. (2017) Force triggers YAP nuclear entry by regulating transport across
  538 nuclear pores. Cell, In press.
- 539 100. Oria, R. et al. (2017) Force loading explains cell spatial sensing of ligands. Nature, In press.
- 540 101. Ju, L. et al. (2016) Cooperative unfolding of distinctive mechanoreceptor domains transduces541 force into signals. Elife 5.
- 542 102. Humphrey, J.D. et al. (2014) Mechanotransduction and extracellular matrix homeostasis. Nat
  543 Rev Mol Cell Biol 15 (12), 802-12.
- 544 103. Nguyen, N.M. et al. (2017) Characterisation of cellular adhesion reinforcement by multiple
  545 bond force spectroscopy in alveolar epithelial cells. Biol Cell 109 (7), 255-272.
- 546 104. Jiang, L. et al. (2016) Cells Sensing Mechanical Cues: Stiffness Influences the Lifetime of Cell-
- 547 Extracellular Matrix Interactions by Affecting the Loading Rate. ACS Nano 10 (1), 207-17.
- 548 105. Cui, Y. et al. (2015) Cyclic stretching of soft substrates induces spreading and growth. Nat549 Commun 6, 6333.
- 550 106. Saez, A. et al. (2005) Is the mechanical activity of epithelial cells controlled by deformations or
- 551 forces? Biophys J 89 (6), L52-4.

- 552 107. De, R. et al. (2008) Do cells sense stress or strain? Measurement of cellular orientation can
- 553 provide a clue. Biophys J 94 (5), L29-31.
- 554 108. Bruinsma, R. (2005) Theory of force regulation by nascent adhesion sites. Biophys J 89 (1), 87-555 94.
- 556 109. Schwarz, U.S. et al. (2006) Focal adhesions as mechanosensors: the two-spring model. BioSyst
  557 83 (2-3), 225-32.
- 558 110. Serra-Picamal, X. et al. (2012) Mechanical waves during tissue expansion. Nature Physics 8
  559 (8), 628-U66.
- 111. Meacci, G. et al. (2016) alpha-actinin links ECM rigidity sensing contractile units with periodiccell edge retractions. Mol Biol Cell.
- 562 112. Wu, Z. et al. (2017) Two Distinct Actin Networks Mediate Traction Oscillations to Confer Focal
  563 Adhesion Mechanosensing. Biophys J 112 (4), 780-794.
- 564 113. Wolfenson, H. et al. (2016) Tropomyosin controls sarcomere-like contractions for rigidity
   565 sensing and suppressing growth on soft matrices. Nat Cell Biol 18 (1), 33-42.
- 114. Yang, B. et al. (2016) Mechanosensing Controlled Directly by Tyrosine Kinases. Nano Lett 16(9), 5951-61.
- 568 115. Oliver, T. et al. (1999) Separation of propulsive and adhesive traction stresses in locomoting
  569 keratocytes. J Cell Biol 145 (3), 589-604.
- 570 116. Lo, C.M. et al. (2000) Cell movement is guided by the rigidity of the substrate. Biophys J 79571 (1), 144-152.

573

## 574 Figure legends



575

- 576 Figure 1. Cartoon depicting the serial connection between the extracellular matrix,
- 577 integrins, mechanosensitive adaptor proteins, and actin. As myosin pulls on actin filaments,
- 578 force is transmitted to the different elements, leading to conformational changes in adaptor
- 579 proteins and affecting unbinding events.

580



582 Figure 2. Force transmission through the molecular clutch. A) Cartoon summarizing the fundamental elements of the system. B) From top to bottom, sequence of events in a typical 583 584 "load and fail" cycle of a molecular clutch (rectangles represent integrins). As clutches bind, myosin contractility deforms the substrate, building force on the substrate and each bound 585 clutch. At some point, force leads to bond de-stabilization, all clutches disengage, and the 586 587 cycle starts again. C) Typical plots of force exerted versus time for molecular clutches on low, intermediate, and high rigidity. D) From top to bottom, sequence of events in a typical 588 "frictional slippage" cycle of a molecular clutch, observed on a high-rigidity regime. As a 589 590 clutch binds, myosin contractility builds force very quickly due to the high rigidity, leading to clutch disengagement before others have time to bind. This limits overall force 591 592 transmission to the substrate. E) Clutch model predictions of average force transmission to 593 the substrate as a function of substrate rigidity. Top, middle, and bottom graphs show the changes in the curve induced by increasing myosin activity, increasing ECM ligand density, 594 and simultaneously increasing binding and unbinding rates, respectively. 595

596



Figure 3. Force transduction through the molecular clutch. A) bottom, effect of either a 598 constant force or a constant force loading rate on the average times required for protein 599 unfolding or bond unbinding. Typical curves for a slip or catch bond are shown. Top, 600 expected effect on a system in which force is applied to a serial link between a molecule 601 that unfolds as a slipbond (such as talin) and a bond that unbinds as a catch bond (such as 602 603 an integrin-fibronectin bond). Unbinding occurs first when force is below the threshold, and 604 unfolding (and subsequent mechanotransduction) occurs first when force is above the threshold. B) From top to bottom, sequence of events in a typical "load and fail" cycle of a 605 molecular clutch including mechanotransduction (reinforcement) events. As clutches bind 606 force builds, some clutches surpass the threshold force required for 607 and 608 mechanotransduction, leading to the recruitment of additional integrins. This increases the number of bound clutches, reducing the force applied per clutch, delaying the failure of the 609 system, and increasing average force transmission. C) Examples of predicted force/rigidity 610 curves in the presence and absence of reinforcement. Reinforcement only affects force 611 612 transmission above a threshold in rigidity, which corresponds to the loading rate threshold from panel A. Then, the increase in integrin recruitment prevents the reduction in force 613 614 (and increase in actin flows) normally expected in a molecular clutch system.

# 616 Glossary

- 617 **Adaptor proteins:** term usually employed to refer to the proteins linking actin to either 618 integrins or cadherins in cell/matrix or cell/cell adhesion complexes, respectively.
- 619 Binding/unbinding rates: For a given binding event (such as an integrin-ECM bond),
- 620 inverse of the average time required to bind/unbind the bond, respectively. Whereas
- binding occurs at zero force, unbinding rates depend on the force applied to the bond.
- 622 **Catch bond:** More precisely defined as a catch-slip bond, a catch bond is a bond in which
- unbinding rates decrease with applied force up to a given threshold, and then increase.
- 624 Catch bonds thus have an optimal stability (minimum unbinding rate) when a specific
- value of force is applied to the bond. Importantly, this concept can also be applied to
- 626 molecular events other than unbinding, such as protein unfolding.
- 627 **Cell contractility:** Ability of a cell to contract its actin cytoskeleton via myosin motors. In a
- 628 situation with very low cell adhesion, contractility would power fast retrograde flows. In a
- 629 context of high adhesion, contractility is transmitted to the substrate, leading to cell-
- 630 matrix (or cell-cell) force transmission.
- 631 **Durotaxis:** Directional cell migration towards areas of increased substrate rigidity.
- 632 Frictional slippage: Regime with low cell-matrix adhesion in which transient clutch633 engagement is unable to significantly slow retrograde flow.
- Load and fail/stick slip: Regime with high cell-matrix adhesion in which simultaneous
   engagement of several clutches leads to repeated cycles of progressive buildup of force,
   followed by complete disengagement and force release.
- 637 Loading rate: In units of force/time, rate at which applied force increases for a given638 clutch or clutch ensemble.
- 639 Molecular clutch: link between actin and an ECM ligand (or a neighboring cell) which can
- 640 be bound (engaged) or unbound. Usually assumed to represent the serial link between 641 actin, an individual adaptor protein, an integrin, and an ECM ligand.
- 642 **Molecular mechanosensor:** Molecule that responds to force application in any way 643 (domain unfolding, unbinding from ligands, conformational changes, or others).
- 644 **Retrograde flow:** Movement of actin filaments from the edge towards the center of cells.
- 645 It can be powered by myosin contractility, actin polymerization, or both. It is important to
- note that in the context of a migrating cell, the relevant flow that drives force
- transmission to the substrate is the one measured with respect to substrate (and not cell)position.
- 649 **Slip bond:** bond in which unbinding rates increase monotonically with applied force.
- 650 Importantly, this concept can also be applied to molecular events other than unbinding,
- 651 such as protein unfolding.

652	Outsta	Inding Questions Box
653 654 655 656 657 658 659	• •	How is force transmitted across the complex molecular assemblies at cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesions, what are the corresponding force-induced molecular events, and how can they be introduced in molecular clutch models? Do clutch-like adhesive mechanisms take place outside of cell adhesions, such as in nuclear-cytoskeletal links? How is the molecular clutch concept affected by the 3D distribution of cytoskeletal and adhesive structures in physiological scenarios?
660	Trends	s Box
661 662 663 664	•	By considering the molecular and mechanical properties of actin filaments, myosin motors, adaptor proteins and integrins/cadherins, the molecular clutch model can quantitatively predict cell response to internal and external mechanical factors.
665 666 667 668	•	Those factors include cell contractility, matrix rigidity, and the density, nature, and distribution of matrix ligands, and affect cell response largely by controlling the rate of force loading in specific molecules.
669 670 671 672	•	Due to its dynamic nature, clutch-mediated mechanosensing requires force application to at least two molecular mechanosensors in series, with differential response to force.
673 674 675	•	The type of cell responses involved so far in clutch-mediated mechanosensing include cytoskeletal dynamics, the growth of cell adhesions, the nuclear localization of transcriptional regulators, and cell migration.
676		
677		
678		
679		