

# Modelling the sorption behaviour of perfluoroalkyl carboxylates and perfluoroalkane sulfonates in soils

Joel Fabregat-Palau, Miquel Vidal, Anna Rigol\*

*Department of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Chemistry, University of Barcelona,  
Martí i Franquès 1-11, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

\* Corresponding author, email: [annarigol@ub.edu](mailto:annarigol@ub.edu)

## ABSTRACT

A simple parametric model was developed to predict the sorption of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in soils. Initially, sorption and desorption solid-liquid distribution coefficients ( $K_d$  and  $K_{d,des}$  respectively) of eight PFASs (five perfluoroalkyl carboxylates, PFCAs, and three perfluoroalkane sulfonates, PFSA) in seven soils with organic carbon (OC) content ranging from 1.6 to 41% were quantified using batch experiments. The information obtained helped to fill the gaps in a literature-based database of  $K_d$  values of PFASs, which was lacking data on soils with high OC content. The overall dataset finally comprised 435 entries. Normalized sorption coefficients for the soil OC and mineral fraction contents ( $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  respectively) were deduced for each PFAS by correlating the corresponding  $K_d$  values obtained under a wide range of experimental conditions with the fraction of organic carbon ( $f_{OC}$ ) of the soils. Furthermore, the sorption mechanisms in each phase were shown to depend mainly on PFAS chain length. The dependence of  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  values on PFAS chain length defined the basic equations to construct the model for predicting PFAS sorption, applicable to both PFCAs and PFSA) with chain lengths ranging from 3 to 11 fluorinated carbons. The validation of the proposed model confirmed its ability to predict the  $K_d$  of PFASs based only on the soil OC and silt+clay contents and PFAS chain length. Therefore, it can be used in the first stages of a risk

25 assessment process aiming at estimating the potential mobility of PFASs in soils after a  
26 contamination event.

27 **Keywords:** PFASs, soils, sorption, desorption, modelling,  $K_d$

28

## 29 **Synopsis**

30 This study develops a new parametric model to predict the sorption of perfluoroalkyl substances  
31 (PFASs) in soils.

## 1. Introduction

Perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs), among them perfluoroalkyl carboxylates (PFCAs) and perfluoroalkane sulfonates (PFSA), are anthropogenic organic pollutants with a fluorinated carbon chain attached to a functional group. These substances have been used for more than 50 years in a variety of applications including fire-fighting foams, inks, lubricants, and oil and water repellents for the leather, paper and textile industries (Prevedouros et al., 2006). Because they are widely used, highly toxic, and can bioaccumulate and persist in the environment, PFASs have garnered considerable scientific attention in recent years (Kannan, 2011). They have been found in environmental matrices such as soil, sediment, and biological samples, as well as in rain, freshwater, seawater, and groundwater (Prevedouros et al., 2006). Specifically, they have often been detected at levels of up to a few mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in contaminated soils and at levels of up to several hundred µg L<sup>-1</sup> in groundwater of contaminated sites (Brusseau et al., 2020; McGuire et al., 2014). Given these high concentrations, it is important to evaluate PFAS sorption in soils in order to develop sorption prediction models and to assess their mobility in the environment more accurately.

Several soil properties have been suggested to affect PFAS sorption in soils, including organic carbon (OC), silt and clay phases, pH and status of divalent metals. Higgins and Luthy (Higgins and Luthy, 2006) found that soil OC content was the main parameter affecting the sorption behaviour of PFASs in sediments, suggesting that most sorption occurred via hydrophobic interactions, although soil pH and the concentration of divalent cations might also play a role in the sorption process via electrostatic interactions. The presence of hydrophobic interactions in soils was further addressed by Milinovic et al. (Milinovic et al., 2015), and the effect of salinity and pH on sorption to sediments with OC < 1% has also been evaluated elsewhere (You et al., 2010). However, even though pH and ionic strength may play a role in the sorption process on mineral soils under controlled scenarios, these effects are minor

compared to the effect of OC content. Moreover, studies exploring the sorption of PFASs on low OC soils (< 5%) have suggested that the mineral phase may have a positive influence on the sorption process (Knight et al., 2019; Martz et al., 2019). A literature review evaluating the role of soil and sediment properties in the sorption of PFASs concluded that OC alone could not satisfactorily account for the sorption of PFASs and suggested that other parameters, such as soil pH and clay content, should also be considered (Li et al., 2018). However, these conclusions were based on a data set that included mostly studies of soils or sediments with OC content < 10% and very few samples of soils with higher OC content which may be representative of environmental scenarios such as meadows, forest soil layers or peat soils. In addition, the sorption pattern was deduced from the widely-evaluated PFASs perfluorosulfonic acid (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), while only limited sorption data are available for other shorter or longer-chained PFASs in soils and sediments.

Among the models for predicting the sorption behaviour of PFASs currently in use, a mechanistic model was developed to predict the sorption of PFCAs, PFSA, and linear alkylbenzenes in sediments with OC ranging from 0.6 to 9.7% via both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions with the organic matter (Higgins and Luthy, 2007). The model was tested for its ability to predict the sorption parameters of PFCAs and PFSA with 7–11 fluorinated carbons under specific experimental conditions. Moreover, Knight et al. (Knight et al., 2019) developed a model based on OC and soil silt and clay content, able to predict the sorption of PFOA under selected experimental conditions in soils with OC content ranging from 0.1–3.5%, thus excluding organic soils. Therefore, new experimental data for deriving PFAS sorption and desorption parameters in a diversity of soil types are required to improve current sorption models, making them less site-specific and covering a wide variety of PFASs, including the least commonly regulated species (especially short-chained PFASs) (Sima and Jaffé, 2021).

In this study, we aim to construct a simple and global parametric model, based on a small number of PFASs and easily-measurable physicochemical properties of soil, to predict the sorption solid-liquid distribution coefficient ( $K_d$ ) of any PFCAs and PFSAAs with a number of fluorinated carbon units between 3 and 11, and applicable to both mineral and organic soils. First, sorption parameters of eight PFASs in seven soils with OC ranging from 1.6 to 41% were determined to improve an overall database of  $K_d$  values of PFASs created with data from the literature. Then, a parametric model was constructed based on the relationships between normalized sorption coefficients (with respect to soil OC and mineral phase contents) and the number of fluorinated carbons.

## **2. Materials and methods**

### *2.1. Reagents and standards*

Milli-Q double deionized water ( $18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) was obtained from a water purification system (USF PureLaB Plus, Spain). High-performance liquid chromatography-grade acetonitrile ( $\geq 99.9\%$ ), as well as extra-pure sodium azide ( $\geq 99.0\%$ ) and calcium chloride dihydrate (99%), were supplied by Merck (Germany), and ammonium acetate (96%) was supplied by Panreac (Spain). Analytical standards of perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA) 98%, perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA) 97%, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) 96%, perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA) 97%, perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA) 95%, and potassium perfluorohexane sulfonate (PFHxS) 98% were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Germany). Tetrabutylammonium perfluorobutane sulfonate (PFBS) 98% and tetrabutylammonium perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) 95% were supplied by Fluka (Austria). Isotope-labelled sodium perfluoro-1-[1,2,3,4,- $^{13}\text{C}_4$ ]-octane sulfonate (MPFOS) and perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4,- $^{13}\text{C}_4$ ]-octanoic acid (MPFOA), both at concentrations of  $50 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  in methanol, were supplied by Wellington Laboratories (Canada). Working solutions of  $1,000 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  containing the individual PFASs were prepared

in acetonitrile, whereas working solutions of MPFOS and MPFOA were prepared separately at 20  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  in acetonitrile by diluting the commercial stock solutions. All solutions were stored at  $-18^{\circ}\text{C}$  in glass vials with polyethylene caps (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany).

The main physicochemical properties of all PFASs considered in this study, including those not used in our experiments but incorporated in the database for our parametric model, are summarized in Table S1. Based on the  $\text{pK}_{\text{a}}$  values reported in Table S1, all selected PFASs were expected to be in their anionic form under our experimental conditions. PFAS chain length in this study was referred as the total number of fluorinated carbons in the alkyl chain ( $\text{CF}_2$ ) including the final  $-\text{CF}_3$  moiety.

## 2.2. Materials and characterization

Seven field soil samples (topsoils; taken at 0–10 cm depth) with physicochemical properties varying within a wide range were selected for our PFAS sorption and desorption experiments (Table 1). The soil samples generally had an acidic pH ranging from 5.2 to 5.8 and a  $\text{CaCO}_3$  content below 3%, with the exception of the DELTA2 soil, which had a slightly basic pH of 8.0 likely due to its higher  $\text{CaCO}_3$  content. The OC content of the soil samples ranged from 1.6 to 41%; four samples having an OC content above 25%. More information about the soils and the physicochemical characterization procedures is provided elsewhere (Ramírez-Guinart et al., 2017).

## 2.3. Sorption and desorption experiments

To test the sorption behaviour of each PFAS in each soil, three grams of dried soil were placed in 80-mL polypropylene (PP) centrifuge tubes with 30 mL of  $0.01 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$   $\text{CaCl}_2$  solution containing  $1 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  of  $\text{NaN}_3$  as a biodegradation inhibitor (OECD, 2000). The resulting suspensions were end-over-end shaken at 60 rpm for 24 h, and then known volumes of

individual PFAS stock solutions were added to the suspensions. The initial spiked concentrations of each PFAS (Table S2) were selected to ensure that: i) the  $K_d$  value fell within the linear range of the sorption isotherm according to previous studies of PFAS sorption in soils performed by our research group (Milinovic et al., 2015); ii) the final sorbed concentrations were representative of concentrations that might be found in contaminated soils (Brusseau et al., 2020); iii) PFAS concentrations in the final liquid solution were below  $70 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ , a value also representative of concentrations found in groundwater of PFAS-impacted sites (McGuire et al., 2014); and iv) the final concentration in the liquid solution led to reliable results after their analytical determination. After being spiked with a PFAS, tubes were shaken again at 60 rpm for 24 h to ensure that the equilibrium was reached in accordance with previous kinetic studies (Li et al., 2019; Mejia-Avendaño et al., 2020; Miao et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2017; Xiang et al., 2018; Zhi and Liu, 2018). Then, tubes were centrifuged for 30 min at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  and  $7,800 g$  (AJ2-HS, Beckman Coulter, USA) and supernatants were removed using a plastic syringe, filtered through  $0.45 \mu\text{m}$  and stored in 50 mL glass vials at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  until analysis. For the desorption experiments, soil residues from the sorption experiment were dried at  $40^\circ\text{C}$  and then tested using the same procedure as above, but without PFAS spiking, except for PFBA and PFBS that presented, in general, very low sorption levels. Shaking desorption time was 24 hours, in agreement with previous kinetic desorption experiments on soils and sediments with varying OC content (Miao et al., 2017; Zhi and Liu, 2018). In addition, preliminary studies revealed that PFAS sorption and desorption  $K_d$  values at 168 hours were statistically comparable to those found at 24 hours. The pH of the resulting supernatants from sorption and desorption experiments did not significantly differ ( $\pm 0.2$ ) from the soil pH reported in Table 1.

#### 2.4. *Quality control*

All sorption and desorption batch experiments were performed in duplicate. The relative standard deviation between replicates was approximately 20% in the worst scenarios. Quality control of the analyses included blank soil samples that were tested using the same procedure described in 2.3, but without PFAS spiking, to test whether PFASs were present in the soil samples. In addition to this, aqueous control samples at PFAS concentrations representative of the tested concentration range were assayed to quantify PFAS losses during the experimental stages of the batch test.

Results from the analyses of blank soil samples showed that no PFASs were present in the soils prior to the analysis. Regarding aqueous control samples, negligible losses for all the PFASs were observed, except for PFDoA (see section S1). Although some authors have reported sorption of PFOA on common laboratory equipment (Lath et al., 2019), our results agree with those reported in the literature for short- and mid-chained PFASs (Ahrens et al., 2011; Milinovic et al., 2015; Campos Pereira et al., 2018). However, for the long-chained PFDoA only 40% was recovered, and the results were corrected accordingly.

#### 2.5. *PFAS analysis by LC-MS/MS*

To quantify the PFAS concentration resulting from the sorption and desorption experiments, 750  $\mu$ L aliquots of the supernatants were transferred into a 2-mL chromatographic vial. 10  $\mu$ L of either the MPFOS or MPFOA internal standard working solution and 240  $\mu$ L of acetonitrile were then added into the vial to reach a final volume of 1 mL, and the PFASs were subsequently analysed via liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Details of the chromatographic methods can be found in Section S1 of the Supplementary Information.

## 2.6. Quantification of sorption and desorption parameters

The sorption solid-liquid distribution coefficient,  $K_d$  ( $L\ kg^{-1}$ ), was calculated as the ratio between the concentration of the target PFAS sorbed at the solid phase,  $C_s$  ( $ng\ g^{-1}$ ), and the concentration of the target PFAS in the aqueous phase at equilibrium,  $C_{eq}$  ( $ng\ mL^{-1}$ ):

$$K_d = \frac{C_s}{C_{eq}} \quad (1)$$

$C_{eq}$  values were directly determined by LC-MS/MS, whereas  $C_s$  was calculated using the following equation:

$$C_s = \frac{(C_{in} - C_{eq}) \cdot V}{m} \quad (2)$$

where  $C_{in}$  ( $ng\ mL^{-1}$ ) represents the initial concentration of PFAS in the suspension,  $V$  ( $mL$ ) is the total volume of contact solution, and  $m$  ( $g$ ) refers to the dry mass of soil.

The sorption percentage (%S) was calculated as follows:

$$\% S = \frac{(C_{in} - C_{eq})}{C_{in}} \cdot 100 \quad (3)$$

As with  $K_d$  (Equation 1), the desorption solid-liquid distribution coefficient,  $K_{d,des}$  ( $L\ kg^{-1}$ ), was calculated as follows:

$$K_{d,des} = \frac{C_{s,des}}{C_{eq,des}} \quad (4)$$

where  $C_{s,des}$  ( $ng\ g^{-1}$ ) and  $C_{eq,des}$  ( $ng\ mL^{-1}$ ) are PFAS concentrations in the solid and aqueous phases, respectively, after the desorption experiments.  $C_{eq,des}$  values were directly determined by LC-MS/MS, whereas  $C_{s,des}$  values were calculated as the difference between the initial PFAS concentration in the solid residue resulting from the sorption experiments ( $C_{in,des}$ ,  $ng\ g^{-1}$ ) and the PFAS desorbed with regard to the mass of soil, as follows:

$$C_{s,des} = C_{in,des} - \frac{C_{eq,des} \cdot V}{m} \quad (5)$$

$C_{in,des}$  depends on  $C_s$  and the amount of PFAS present in the residual volume of solution ( $C_{eq} \cdot V_{res}$ ) that remained in the soil after the sorption experiment:

$$C_{in,des} = C_s + \frac{C_{eq} \cdot V_{res}}{m} \quad (6)$$

## 2.7. Construction of the PFAS $K_d$ datasets

Two datasets including  $K_d$  values for PFASs in soils and analogous geological materials (i.e., subsoils and sediments) were constructed using our own experimental data and additional data from the literature. Our experimental dataset consisted of 56 entries, while the overall dataset (which also included our experimental data) comprised 435 entries.  $K_d$  values from the literature were only included in the data if they originated from batch experiments, given that  $K_d$  values obtained from *in situ* experiments have been shown to be significantly higher due to the non-equilibrium nature of natural systems (Li et al., 2018). Only studies in which a  $K_d$  value could be confidently derived (i.e., linear isotherms with constant  $K_d$ ; non-linear isotherms, but with the reported  $K_d$  falling within the linear range of the isotherm; or  $K_d$  values calculated from a low initial concentration, assuming that sorption saturation was not reached) were considered. In addition, we only included data for PFCAs and PFSAAs that contained from 3 to 11 fluorinated carbons. Ancillary information about the soils, such as sand, silt, clay, and OC content, was also included in both datasets.

Table S3 provides the references and information for the data used to build the overall PFAS sorption dataset. Of the 222 samples obtained from these references, 167 were soils, 171 had an OC content less than 2%, and only 10 had an OC content greater than 10%. PFOS and PFOA were the two PFASs with the highest number of entries, whereas limited data were available in the literature for other PFASs, including PFDS, PFPeA and PFHpA.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. PFAS sorption and desorption patterns in soils

The sorption  $K_d$  values for each PFAS in each of the seven soils examined are summarized in Table 2, while sorption efficiencies expressed as percentages are summarized in Table S4. Buck and co-workers suggested a unified classification of PFASs into short- and long-chained groups (Buck et al., 2011). However, due to the differences in the log  $K_{OW}$  (see Table S1) and  $K_d$  values (see Table 2) for PFHxS and PFDoA as well as PFBA and PFOA, in this study we decided to adapt the PFAS definition by grouping the PFASs into short-, mid- and long-chained. We considered PFASs with  $\leq 5$   $CF_2$  (PFBA, PFBS, PFPeA, and PFHxA) to be short-chained, and PFASs with 6-9  $CF_2$  (PFHpA, PFHxS, PFOA, PFNA, PFOS, and PFDA) and  $> 9$   $CF_2$  (PFUnA, PFDS, and PFDoA) to be mid- and long-chained respectively.

Short-chained PFASs (PFBA, PFBS and PFHxA) generally had  $K_d$  values below 7 L  $kg^{-1}$ , regardless of the soil characteristics or the PFAS functional group, indicating their low sorption affinity in soils and their potentially high mobility (Gellrich et al., 2012). On the other hand, mid-chained PFASs (PFHxS, PFOA, PFOS and PFNA) had higher  $K_d$  values ranging from 2–295 L  $kg^{-1}$  depending on the PFAS and the soil characteristics, indicating higher sorption affinity in the soils examined and therefore lower potential mobility. Sorption in low OC soils, as exemplified by the ALM soil, was significantly lower than in the rest of soils, and in general,  $K_d$  increased with OC content.

The sorption  $K_d$  values for the mid-chained PFHxS, PFOA and PFOS in this study were comparable to previously reported values (Higgins and Luthy, 2006; Oliver et al., 2020) and were higher than the corresponding short-chain PFASs, indicating that sorption was favoured by greater PFAS hydrophobicity. Despite having the same  $CF_2$ , PFOS had higher  $K_d$  values than PFNA, suggesting an influence of the hydrophilic functional group with a slightly higher sorption affinity of the sulfonate group than the carboxylate group. These differences between

sorption affinity for PFOS and PFNA have also been described elsewhere (Enevoldsen and Juhler, 2010; Higgins and Luthy, 2006) and confirm that PFCAs have a higher mobility than PFSAAs (Sepulvado et al., 2011). The long-chained PFAS, PFDoA, had the highest  $K_d$  values among the PFASs tested, with a high  $K_d$  even for the low OC ALM soil, indicating a very low mobility of these PFASs in soils.

The reversibility of the sorption process was also evaluated. The  $K_{d,des}$  values obtained are reported in Table S5 and were higher than the corresponding  $K_d$  values for sorption. The hysteresis coefficient, calculated as the ratio between  $K_{d,des}$  and  $K_d$ , was therefore larger than one in all cases. These results indicate the irreversibility of PFAS sorption and are in agreement with previous studies (Enevoldsen and Juhler, 2010; Miao et al., 2017). Because desorption data were scarce in the literature and only available for a few PFASs all subsequent analyses focus solely on sorption data.

### *3.2. Correlation of sorption and desorption parameters with soil OC*

Given the importance of soil OC content for PFAS sorption and desorption parameters, especially for mid- and long-chained PFASs, the sorption  $K_d$  values reported in Table 2 were further examined for their dependence on the fraction of OC ( $f_{OC}$ ), expressed as kg of OC per kg of soil. We additionally examined the relative contribution of OC and mineral binding sites to the overall sorption. Specifically, iron, aluminium and titanium oxides have all been reported to be able to interact with PFASs and to participate in PFAS sorption (Lu et al., 2016). Although a preliminary overview of our results showed that  $K_d$  values were correlated with extracted amorphous Fe content, this correlation might be attributed to the correlation between extracted Fe and soil OC ( $r^2 = 0.81$ ) (data derived from Table 1). This conclusion agrees with previous studies which observed no correlation between  $K_d$  and Fe content in soils where OC was the primary driver of PFAS sorption (Higgins and Luthy, 2006; Miao et al., 2017).

The OC-normalized sorption coefficient ( $K_{OC}$ , L kg OC<sup>-1</sup>) is defined as the ratio between  $K_d$  and the soil  $f_{OC}$  (OECD, 2000).

$$K_{OC} = \frac{K_d}{f_{OC}} \quad (7)$$

Equation 7 considers that the sorption is driven entirely by soil OC; it may therefore overestimate  $K_{OC}$  if the soil mineral fraction plays a role in the sorption of the target compound, a situation that several authors have pointed out for PFASs in mineral soils (Knight et al., 2019; Li et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021). Thus, a constant term has to be added in equation 7 referring to the sorption contribution of non-OC soil fractions, which may be attributed to the soil mineral fraction ( $K_{d,MIN}$ , L kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Milinovic et al., 2015; Sorengard et al., 2019):

$$K_d = K_{OC} f_{OC} + K_{d,MIN} \quad (8)$$

$K_{d,MIN}$  can be quantified by extrapolating the correlation to  $f_{OC} = 0$ . In this scenario, sorption is driven entirely by the mineral fraction and thus  $K_{d,MIN}$  could be directly considered as the normalized sorption coefficient referring to the mineral phase ( $K_{MIN}$ , L kg mineral<sup>-1</sup>). The  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  values obtained for each PFAS after applying Equation 8 using both the overall dataset and our own are summarized in Table 3. To our knowledge, although other authors have attempted to calculate different mineral-normalized sorption coefficients (Sorengard et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021), this is the first time that mineral-normalized sorption coefficients values have been calculated from data assembled from the literature. Significant correlations for each PFAS ( $p < 0.05$ ) were obtained between  $K_d$  and  $f_{OC}$  for both datasets. Derived  $K_{MIN}$  values of PFASs were much lower than the respective  $K_{OC}$  values, indicating that PFASs have a higher sorption affinity to the OC sites present in the soil. The application of Equation 8 to the overall dataset allowed us to derive  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  for PFASs not included in our experiments, such as PFPeA, PFHpA, PFDA, PFUnA, and PFDS. Since the  $K_d$  values of the overall dataset were obtained under a wide range of experimental conditions, the  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  values derived were affected by the intrinsic variability of the literature data. However,  $K_{MIN}$

values derived from the overall dataset can be considered more representative than those derived from ours, as the overall dataset contained a higher number of soils with low OC.

The  $K_{OC}$  values of PFASs we obtained were lower than  $K_{OC}$  values calculated solely based on equation 7 reported elsewhere (Enevoldsen and Juhler, 2010; Guelfo and Higgins, 2013; McLachlan et al., 2019) but comparable to reported  $K_{OC}$  derived by considering sorption at mineral sites as well (Higgins and Luthy, 2006; Milinovic et al., 2015). Direct comparisons with previously reported  $K_{MIN}$  values were not possible. However,  $K_d$  data of PFASs are available for pure mineral phases, such as phyllosilicate minerals, which can serve as analogues for soils with  $f_{OC} = 0$ . The overall  $K_d$  in these materials therefore equals  $K_{d,MIN}$  and, consequently,  $K_{MIN}$ . For the mid-chained PFOS and PFOA, two of the most frequently studied PFAS, the  $K_{MIN}$  values that we derived from the overall dataset were 9.4 and 3.3 respectively. These values are similar to the range of  $K_d$  values ( $2.6 - 14 \text{ L kg}^{-1}$  for PFOS and  $0.5 - 2.3 \text{ L kg}^{-1}$  for PFOA) obtained on pure phyllosilicate minerals such as kaolinite and montmorillonite (Jeon et al., 2011; Johnson et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2011), two clay minerals which are often present in soils. Furthermore,  $K_{MIN}$  values for PFOS were higher than for PFNA, in agreement with previous observations (Xiao et al., 2011). Besides,  $K_{MIN}$  values for PFASs with  $\leq 5 \text{ CF}_2$  were lower than 1; this is consistent with the hypothesis that sorption of short-chained PFASs is not thermodynamically favourable under systems with low ionic strength (1 - 10 mM) (Xiao et al., 2011), which are the most common scenarios of the experiments used to construct the overall database.

### 3.3. Correlation of $K_{OC}$ and $K_{MIN}$ with PFAS physicochemical properties

As shown in Table S1, the hydrophobicity of the PFASs, expressed as  $\log K_{OW}$ , increased linearly with each fluorinated carbon added to the alkyl chain ( $\log K_{OW} = 0.71 (\pm 0.08) \times \text{number of CF}_2$ ,  $r^2 = 0.97$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $n = 13$ ). Due to this strong correlation, the effect of PFAS properties on  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  can reasonably be evaluated based on either the number of  $\text{CF}_2$  or  $\log K_{OW}$ . In principle,  $K_{OW}$  values better differentiate PFASs that have the same number of  $\text{CF}_2$  but different functional groups, such as PFOS and PFNA, but  $\log K_{OW}$  values vary significantly in the literature. Therefore, correlations were performed using the number of  $\text{CF}_2$ , an easily available parameter that is descriptive of PFASs.

As shown in Figure 1, the  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  values derived from both our experimental and literature-assembled datasets were logarithmically correlated with the number of  $\text{CF}_2$  of the PFASs reported in Table S1. The correlations were significant, and both the slope and the y-intercept were comparable between datasets. Equations 9 and 10 show the relationships between the number of fluorinated carbons and each of  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  derived from the overall dataset:

$$\log K_{OC} = 0.41 (\pm 0.04) \times \text{number of CF}_2 - 0.70 (\pm 0.30) \quad (r^2 = 0.98; p < 0.001) \quad (9)$$

$$\log K_{MIN} = 0.32 (\pm 0.08) \times \text{number of CF}_2 - 1.70 (\pm 0.60) \quad (r^2 = 0.89; p < 0.001) \quad (10)$$

The high quality of these correlations allowed us to derive predicted  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  values for PFASs for which only the number of  $\text{CF}_2$  units were available. In agreement with previous findings (Milinovic et al., 2015),  $K_{OC}$  values increased with higher PFAS hydrophobicity, which strongly suggested that sorption to OC was mainly driven by hydrophobic interactions. Humic substances, which are the main organic compounds present in the soil organic phase, often possess hydrophilic moieties that can also interact with PFASs through mechanisms such as hydrogen bonding or divalent cation bridging (Du et al., 2014). However, experiments testing the sorption of PFASs on humic acids extracted from soils have

shown that these hydrophilic contributions are not predominant, thus confirming that hydrophobic interactions are the main sorption mechanism (Xiang et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2014).

$K_{\text{MIN}}$  values also increased with increasing PFAS chain length, a finding that is comparable to the  $K_d$  increase reported for the  $C_7$ – $C_{10}$  PFAS series on kaolinite clay (Xiao et al., 2011). The interaction between the negatively charged kaolinite surface and the negatively charged PFAS could be explained by the presence of divalent cations either acting as an intermediate bridge in electrostatic interactions (Du et al., 2014), which may explain the positive effects of the presence of calcium on the  $K_d$  of PFASs (Higgins and Luthy, 2006), or inhibiting the negative repulsions within the electrical double layer of the mineral surface (Xiao et al., 2011). Moreover, recent computational studies have identified the hydrophobic patches of montmorillonite clay as the primary adsorption domains and the stabilization of adsorption enthalpy in the presence of cations (Willemsen and Bourg, 2021). Nevertheless, further mechanistic insights between negatively charged PFASs and different clay minerals are still required. Overall, these results suggest that both sorption of the PFASs in the mineral and organic phases of the soil become more favourable as the PFAS chain length increases. However, the ratio between  $K_{\text{OC}}$  and  $K_{\text{MIN}}$  values also increased with increasing PFAS chain length, indicating that long-chained PFASs have a higher affinity for the organic phases than mid- and short-chained PFASs.

#### *3.4. Development and validation of a PFAS sorption model in soils*

To develop a parametric model to predict the sorption of PFCAs and PFSAAs in soils based only on the number of  $\text{CF}_2$  of PFASs and on a few physicochemical properties of the soil, we used the correlations presented in Equations 9 and 10 as descriptors of the sorption on the OC and mineral pool sites respectively. Based on a multiple regression analysis, Li et al.

concluded that soil OC, clay content, and pH all have a significant effect on the  $K_d$  of PFASs (Li et al., 2018). Similarly, Knight et al. found that OC and the mineral silt and clay fractions contributed significantly to the sorption of PFOA in a large number of mineral soils (Knight et al., 2019). The silt and clay fractions have also been reported to have a positive effect on the  $K_d$  of PFOS, PFOA and PFHxS in sediments (Oliver et al., 2020), and a study of PFOA sorption on various soil size fractions confirmed that  $K_d$  decreases along the sequence: clay > fine silt > coarse silt > fine sand > coarse sand (Xiang et al., 2018). Indeed, Wang and co-workers derived silt+clay normalized sorption coefficients using a three-compartment distribution model to account for the contribution of the mineral fraction to the overall  $K_d$  (Wang et al., 2021).

Preliminary attempts to model the  $K_d$  values of PFASs in soils based only on  $CF_2$  and OC led to an underestimation of  $K_d$  values in mineral soils, thus highlighting that the mineral contribution needed to be considered in the modelling. Therefore, the  $K_d$  of PFASs was further modelled from a separate contribution of the organic and mineral phases ( $K_{d,ORG}$  and  $K_{d,MIN}$  respectively), regardless of the  $f_{OC}$  value:

$$K_d = K_{OC} f_{OC} + K_{MIN} f_{MIN} \quad (11)$$

Where  $f_{MIN}$  is the mineral fraction, expressed as kg of mineral per kg of soil. According to the above discussion, the mineral phases mainly responsible for PFAS sorption are silt and clay. Then,  $f_{MIN}$  should be limited to the silt+clay fraction,  $f_{S+C}$ , expressed as kg of silt+clay per kg of soil, and the  $K_{MIN}$  values reported in Table 3 could be considered as silt+clay normalized sorption coefficients ( $L \text{ kg silt+clay}^{-1}$ ), leading to the following model based on OC,  $CF_2$  and silt+clay content:

$$K_d = K_{OC} f_{OC} + K_{MIN} f_{S+C} = 10^{(0.41 \text{ number of } CF_2 - 0.70)} f_{OC} + 10^{(0.32 \text{ number of } CF_2 - 1.70)} f_{S+C} \quad (12)$$

We tested the predictive ability of this model using only the entries from our datasets that contained data for both soil OC and textural fractions. The predictive accuracy for the model was quite satisfactory both for our experimental data and for the overall dataset (see

Figure 2a and 2b). The model's RMSE and RPD values (calculated according to Section S2) indicated that its quality was acceptable. The model's predictive ability increased if the  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  values for each PFAS, reported in Table 3, were used in the model rather than the  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  values predicted from the correlations with the number of  $CF_2$  ( $\log K_{d,model} = 0.92 (\pm 0.05) \times \log K_{d,exp}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.78$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $n = 353$ ). In that case, RMSE and RPD values were 0.37 and 1.94, respectively. However, this model cannot be applied to PFASs for which no  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  data are available. Although the inclusion of other soil properties (e.g., the status of divalent metal ions, pH, or metal oxide content) could be examined to further improve the established prediction model, this would also increase the model's complexity without enhancing its range of applications. Nevertheless, according to the RPD values derived from the predictions of the overall dataset, we warn against the use of the model for attempting to derive very accurate  $K_d$  values of PFASs in soils. In Figure 2b some scattering of the data is observed for scenarios with low sorption, likely attributable to the weak interaction between PFAS and the solid phase, in addition to the intrinsic variability due to the use of literature-assembled data. The model, instead, may be capable of predicting approximate  $K_d$  values for categorizing scenarios with very low ( $K_d < 10 \text{ L kg}^{-1}$ ), medium ( $K_d$  of 10 - 1000  $\text{L kg}^{-1}$ ), and high ( $K_d > 1,000 \text{ L kg}^{-1}$ ) sorption. According to the associated risk, intervention actions and/or more accurate site-specific  $K_d$  values could be deduced from further *in-situ* studies. Besides, it is unclear at present how the model would behave with regard to the prediction of PFAS species other than PFCAs and PFSAAs with a number of fluorinated carbons between 3 and 11.

For the external validation of our proposed model, we used the Kennard and Stone method (Kennard and Stone, 1969) to select two-thirds of the data from the overall dataset as a calibration set for training the model, while the remaining one-third of the data was used as a representative validation set.  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  values were first recalculated for the calibration set data according to Equation 8, and the correlations between each parameter and  $CF_2$  were

similarly recalculated. Both the resulting slopes and intercepts of the  $K_{OC}$  and  $K_{MIN}$  correlations with  $CF_2$  were statistically comparable to Equations 9 and 10, demonstrating that the model obtained from the calibration data was equivalent to the model obtained from the full data set. We then used the model from the calibration data to predict  $K_d$  for the validation data set, leading to an accurate prediction ( $\log K_{d,model} = 0.9 (\pm 0.1) \times \log K_{d,exp}$ ;  $r^2 = 0.76$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $n = 121$ ).

Although OC sites have a higher affinity for PFAS sorption than silt+clay sites (that is,  $K_{OC}$  is higher than  $K_{MIN}$ ), the relative amount of OC and mineral sites also influences the total  $K_d$  of a given PFAS in a given soil. Thus, mineral sites may govern PFAS sorption in mineral soils with low OC, especially in the case of short and mid-chained PFASs. We therefore used our well-established prediction model to quantify the actual distribution of PFASs among OC and silt+clay sites. The proportional contribution of mineral sites, which we quantified as the percentage of  $K_{d,MIN}$  with respect to the overall  $K_d$  ( $\% K_{d,MIN}$ ), was calculated using the  $K_d$  values predicted from the overall dataset. We specifically evaluated  $\% K_{d,MIN}$  for PFBS, PFOA, PFOS and PFDoA, which served as representatives of short-, mid-, and long-chained PFASs. Results were grouped by soil classes defined by their OC content, as shown in Table 4. Sorption at OC sites was predominant ( $\% K_{d,MIN} \leq 3$ ) for all the PFASs in soils with OC  $> 10\%$ . In soils with a lower OC content, especially  $< 2\%$ , the contribution of mineral sites ( $\% K_{d,MIN}$ ) varied significantly with the soil and PFAS properties, as the  $\% K_{d,MIN}$  values depended on the silt+clay content (higher  $\% K_{d,MIN}$  for higher silt+clay content). Thus,  $\% K_{d,MIN}$  increased with the silt+clay content, especially for the soils with OC  $< 2\%$  and the short-chained PFASs. Despite this relatively higher PFAS affinity for mineral sites in low OC soils, we note that low OC soils had generally low overall  $K_d$  values of PFASs, indicating that in these soils PFAS contamination might present a higher environmental risk.

#### **4. Conclusions**

The results of the present study confirm that the overall sorption behaviour of PFASs in soils of varying OC content can be estimated by modelling the relative sorption at OC and mineral sites. Specifically, the model presented is able to predict, with acceptable accuracy, scenarios from very low to high sorption of target PFCAs and PFSAAs (with a total number of fluorinated carbons ranging from 3 to 11), based on only a few physicochemical properties of the soil (OC and silt+clay content) and the number of fluorinated carbons of the target PFAS. This information may be relevant for an early evaluation of contamination events. Although soil OC content is the main parameter governing PFAS sorption, the relative contribution of the sorption at mineral sites can be significant when short-chained PFASs are sorbed in soils with low OC and high silt+clay content. However, the associated  $K_d$  of PFASs for these scenarios are very low, and therefore a high related PFAS mobility is expected.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors thank Nina Carreras and Eleana Conceição for their contribution as part of their bachelor's degree final project.

#### **Funding sources**

This work was supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación de España (CTM2017-87107-R) and the Generalitat de Catalunya (2017 SGR 907).

## References

- Ahrens, L., Yeung, L.W.Y., Taniyasu, S., Lam, P.K.S., Yamashita, N., 2011. Partitioning of perfluorooctanoate (PFOA), perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctane sulfonamide (PFOSA) between water and sediment. *Chemosphere*, 85 (5), 731–737. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.06.046>
- Brusseau, M.L., Anderson, R.H., Guo, B., 2020. PFAS concentrations in soils: Background levels versus contaminated sites. *Sci. Total Environ.* 740, 140017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140017>
- Buck, R.C., Franklin, J., Berger, U., Conder, J.M., Cousins, I.T., de Voogt, P., Jensen, A.A., Kannan, K., Mabury, S.A., van Leeuwen, S.P.J., 2011. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the environment: Terminology, classification, and origins. *Integr. Environ. Assess.* 7 (4), 513–541. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.258>
- Campos Pereira, H., Ullberg, M., Kleja, D.B., Gustafsson, J.P., Ahrens, L., 2018. Sorption of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) to an organic soil horizon – Effect of cation composition and pH. *Chemosphere*, 207, 183–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.05.012>
- Du, Z., Deng, S., Bei, Y., Huang, Q., Wang, B., 2014. Adsorption behavior and mechanism of perfluorinated compounds on various adsorbents — A review. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 274, 443–454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.04.038>
- Enevoldsen, R., Juhler, R.K., 2010. Perfluorinated compounds (PFCs) in groundwater and aqueous soil extracts: Using inline SPE-LC-MS/MS for screening and sorption characterisation of perfluorooctane sulphonate and related compounds. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 398 (3), 1161–1172. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-4066-0>
- Gellrich, V., Stahl, T., Knepper, T.P., 2012. Behavior of perfluorinated compounds in soils during leaching experiments. *Chemosphere*, 87 (9), 1052–1056.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.02.011>

Guelfo, J.L., Higgins, C.P., 2013. Subsurface transport potential of perfluoroalkyl acids at aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF)-impacted sites. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47 (9), 4164–4171. doi:10.1021/es3048043

Higgins, C.P., Luthy, R.G., 2006. Sorption of Perfluorinated Surfactants on Sediments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 7251–7256. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es061000n>

Higgins, C.P., Luthy, R.G., 2007. Modeling Sorption of Anionic Surfactants onto Sediment Materials: An a priori Approach for Perfluoroalkyl Surfactants and Linear Alkylbenzene Sulfonates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41 (9), 3254–3261. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es062449j>

Jeon, J., Kannan, K., Lim, B.J., An, K.G., Kim, S.D., 2011. Effects of salinity and organic matter on the partitioning of perfluoroalkyl acid (PFAs) to clay particles. *J. Environ. Monitor.* 13 (6), 1803–1810. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c0em00791a>

Johnson, R.L., Anschutz, A.J., Smolen, J.M., Simcik, M.F., Lee Penn, R., 2007. The adsorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate onto sand, clay, and iron oxide surfaces. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 52 (4), 1165–1170. <https://doi.org/10.1021/je060285g>

Kannan, K., 2011. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances: current and future perspectives. *Environ. Chem.* 8, 333–338. <https://doi.org/10.1071/EN11053>

Kennard, R.W., Stone, L.A., 1969. Computer Aided Design of Experiments. *Technometrics*, 11 (1), 137–148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00401706.1969.10490666>

Knight, E.R., Janik, L.J., Navarro, D.A., Kookana, R.S., McLaughlin, M.J., 2019. Predicting partitioning of radiolabelled <sup>14</sup>C-PFOA in a range of soils using diffuse reflectance infrared spectroscopy. *Sci. Total Environ.* 686, 505–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.339>

Lath, S., Knight, E.R., Navarro, D.A., Kookana, R.S., McLaughlin, M.J., 2019. Sorption of PFOA onto different laboratory materials: Filter membranes and centrifuge tubes.

Chemosphere, 222, 671–678. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.096>

Li, F., Fang, X., Zhou, Z., Liao, X., Zou, J., Yuan, B., Sun, W., 2019. Adsorption of perfluorinated acids onto soils: Kinetics, isotherms, and influences of soil properties. *Sci. Total Environ.* 649, 504–514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.209>

Li, Y., Oliver, D.P., Kookana, R.S., 2018. A critical analysis of published data to discern the role of soil and sediment properties in determining sorption of per and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs). *Sci. Total Environ.* 628–629, 110–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.167>

Lu, X., Deng, S., Wang, B., Huang, J., Wang, Y., Yu, G., 2016. Adsorption behavior and mechanism of perfluorooctane sulfonate on nanosized inorganic oxides. *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.* 474, 199–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2016.04.032>

Martz, M., Heil, J., Marschner, B., Stumpe, B., 2019. Effects of soil organic carbon (SOC) content and accessibility in subsoils on the sorption processes of the model pollutants nonylphenol (4-n-NP) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA). *Sci. Total Environ.* 672, 162–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.369>

McGuire, M.E., Schaefer, C., Richards, T., Backe, W.J., Field, J.A., Houtz, E., Sedlak, D.L., Guelfo, J.L., Wunsch, A., Higgins, C.P., 2014. Evidence of remediation-induced alteration of subsurface poly- and perfluoroalkyl substance distribution at a former firefighter training area. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48 (12), 6644–6652. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es5006187>

McLachlan, M.S., Felizeter, S., Klein, M., Kotthoff, M., de Voogt, P., 2019. Fate of a perfluoroalkyl acid mixture in an agricultural soil studied in lysimeters. *Chemosphere*, 223, 180–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.012>

Mejia-Avendaño, S., Zhi, Y., Yan, B., Liu, J., 2020. Sorption of Polyfluoroalkyl Surfactants on Surface Soils: Effect of Molecular Structures, Soil Properties, and Solution Chemistry.

524 Environ. Sci. Technol. 54 (3), 1513–1521. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04989>  
 525 Miao, Y., Guo, X., Peng, D., Fan, T., Yang, C., 2017. Rates and equilibria of perfluorooctanoate  
 526 (PFOA) sorption on soils from different regions of China. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe.* 139,  
 527 102–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.01.022>  
 528 Milinovic, J., Lacorte, S., Vidal, M., Rigol, A., 2015. Sorption behaviour of perfluoroalkyl  
 529 substances in soils. *Sci. Total Environ.* 511, 63–71.  
 530 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.017>  
 531 OECD, 2000. OECD 106 Adsorption - Desorption Using a Batch Equilibrium Method. OECD  
 532 Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069602-en>  
 533 Oliver, D.P., Navarro, D.A., Baldock, J., Simpson, S.L., Kookana, R.S., 2020. Sorption  
 534 behaviour of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) as affected by the properties of  
 535 coastal estuarine sediments. *Sci. Total Environ.* 720, 137263.  
 536 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137263>  
 537 Prevedouros, K., Cousins, I.T., Buck, R.C., Korzeniowski, S.H., 2006. Sources, fate and  
 538 transport of perfluorocarboxylates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40 (1), 32–44.  
 539 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es0512475>  
 540 Ramírez-Guinart, O., Salaberria, A., Vidal, M., Rigol, A., 2017. Assessing soil properties  
 541 governing radiocesium sorption in soils: Can trivalent lanthanides and actinides be  
 542 considered as analogues?. *Geoderma*, 290, 33–39.  
 543 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.12.010>  
 544 Sepulvado, J.G., Blaine, A.C., Hundal, L.S., Higgins, C.P., 2011. Occurrence and fate of  
 545 perfluorochemicals in soil following the land application of municipal biosolids. *Environ.*  
 546 *Sci. Technol.* 45 (19), 8106–8112. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es103903d>  
 547 Sima, M.W., Jaffé, P.R., 2021. A critical review of modeling Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl  
 548 Substances (PFAS) in the soil-water environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 757, 143793.

549       <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143793>

550   Sorengard, M., Berggren, D., Ahrens, L., 2019. Stabilization of per- and polyfluoroalkyl  
551       substances (PFASs) with colloidal activated carbon (PlumeStop ®) as a function of soil  
552       clay and organic matter content. *J. Environ. Manage.* 249, 109345.  
553       <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109345>

554   Wang, Y., Khan, N., Huang, D., Carroll, K. C., Brusseau, M.L., 2021. Transport of PFOS in  
555       aquifer sediment: Transport behavior and a distributed-sorption model. *Sci. Total Environ.*  
556       779, 146444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146444>

557   Wei, C., Song, X., Wang, Q., Hu, Z., 2017. Sorption kinetics, isotherms and mechanisms of  
558       PFOS on soils with different physicochemical properties. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe.* 142, 40–  
559       50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.03.040>

560   Willemsen, J.A.R., Bourg, I.C., 2021. Molecular dynamics simulation of the adsorption of per-  
561       and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) on smectite clay. *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.* 585, 337–  
562       346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2020.11.071>

563   Xiang, L., Xiao, T., Yu, P.F., Zhao, H.M., Mo, C.H., Li, Y.W., Li, H., Cai, Q.Y., Zhou, D.M.,  
564       Wong, M.H., 2018. Mechanism and Implication of the Sorption of Perfluorooctanoic Acid  
565       by Varying Soil Size Fractions. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 66 (44), 11569–11579.  
566       <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b03492>

567   Xiao, F., Zhang, X., Penn, L., Gulliver, J.S., Simcik, M.F., 2011. Effects of monovalent cations  
568       on the competitive adsorption of perfluoroalkyl acids by kaolinite: Experimental studies  
569       and modeling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45 (23), 10028–10035.  
570       <https://doi.org/10.1021/es202524y>

571   You, C., Jia, C., Pan, G., 2010. Effect of salinity and sediment characteristics on the sorption  
572       and desorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate at sediment-water interface. *Environ. Pollut.*  
573       158 (5), 1343–1347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.01.009>

574 Zhao, L., Zhang, Y., Fang, S., Zhu, L., Liu, Z., 2014. Comparative sorption and desorption  
575 behaviors of PFHxS and PFOS on sequentially extracted humic substances. J. Environ.  
576 Sci. 26 (12), 2517–2525. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2014.04.009>

577 Zhi, Y., Liu, J., 2018. Sorption and desorption of anionic, cationic and zwitterionic  
578 polyfluoroalkyl substances by soil organic matter and pyrogenic carbonaceous materials.  
579 Chem. Eng. J. 346, 682–691. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.04.042>

580

## Supplementary material

### **Modelling the sorption behaviour of perfluoroalkyl carboxylates and perfluoroalkane sulfonates in soils**

Joel Fabregat-Palau, Miquel Vidal, Anna Rigol\*

*Department of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Chemistry, University of Barcelona,*

*Martí i Franquès 1-11, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

This file includes:

Table S1. Main physicochemical properties of target PFASs	Page 2
Table S2. Initial concentrations of spiked PFASs for the sorption experiments	Page 3
Section S1. PFAS determination by LC-MS/MS	Page 4
Table S3. List of references used to construct the overall dataset	Page 6
Table S4. Sorption percentages resulting from the batch experiments	Page 7
Table S5. Desorption $K_{d,des}$ values derived from the batch experiments	Page 8
Section S2. Evaluation of the predictive accuracy of the model	Page 9
References	Page 10

\* Corresponding author, email: annarigol@ub.edu

Table S1. Main physicochemical properties of target PFASs.

Name	PFAS acronym	Chemical form	CAS number	Molecular Weight (g/mol)	Number of CF <sub>2</sub>	log K <sub>ow</sub>	pK <sub>a</sub>
Perfluorobutanoic acid	PFBA	C <sub>4</sub> HF <sub>7</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	375-22-4	214.0	3	2.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.4 <sup>a</sup>
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid	PFBS	C <sub>4</sub> HF <sub>9</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S	375-73-5	300.1	4	2.7 <sup>a</sup>	0.14 <sup>a</sup>
Perfluoropentanoic acid	PFPeA	C <sub>5</sub> HF <sub>9</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	2706-90-3	264.1	4	2.9 <sup>c</sup>	n.a.
Perfluorohexanoic acid	PFHxA	C <sub>6</sub> HF <sub>11</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	307-24-4	314.1	5	3.1 <sup>a</sup>	-0.16 <sup>a</sup>
Perfluoroheptanoic acid	PFHpA	C <sub>7</sub> HF <sub>13</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	375-85-9	364.1	6	3.8 <sup>b</sup>	n.a.
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid	PFHxS	C <sub>6</sub> HF <sub>13</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S	355-46-4	400.1	6	4.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.14 <sup>a</sup>
Perfluorooctanoic acid	PFOA	C <sub>8</sub> HF <sub>15</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	335-67-1	414.1	7	4.6 <sup>a, b</sup>	-0.2 <sup>a</sup>
Perfluorononanoic acid	PFNA	C <sub>9</sub> HF <sub>17</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	375-95-1	464.1	8	5.5 <sup>b</sup>	n.a.
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid	PFOS	C <sub>8</sub> HF <sub>17</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S	1763-23-1	500.1	8	5.3 <sup>a, b</sup>	-3.27 <sup>a</sup>
Perfluorodecanoic acid	PFDA	C <sub>10</sub> HF <sub>19</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	335-76-2	514.1	9	6.4 <sup>b</sup>	n.a.
Perfluoroundecanoic acid	PFUnA	C <sub>11</sub> HF <sub>21</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	2058-94-8	564.1	10	7.4 <sup>b</sup>	n.a.
Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid	PFDS	C <sub>10</sub> HF <sub>21</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S	335-77-3	600.1	10	6.4 <sup>c</sup>	n.a.
Perfluorododecanoic acid	PFDoA	C <sub>12</sub> HF <sub>23</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	307-55-1	614.1	11	8.1 <sup>c</sup>	n.a.

n.a. = not available; <sup>a</sup> Deng et al., 2012; <sup>b</sup> Kelly et al., 2009; <sup>c</sup> Pubchem database

Table S2. Initial concentrations of spiked PFASs (ng mL<sup>-1</sup>) in each soil for the sorption experiments.

Soil	PFBA	PFBS	PFHxA	PFHxS	PFOA	PFNA	PFOS	PFDoA
ALM	30	30	35	50	50	60	170	330
DELTA2	30	30	35	50	50	170	170	500
OVI01	30	30	35	50	50	170	170	500
UIAR	30	50	50	140	150	570	670	1000
BRA	30	50	50	140	150	570	670	1000
DUBLIN	30	50	50	140	150	570	670	1000
KOM	30	50	50	140	150	570	670	1000

## Section S1. PFAS determination in the supernatants by LC-MS/MS.

PFASs concentrations in the supernatants were analysed by HPLC equipped with an auto-sampler (Agilent 1100 Wellplate) thermostated at 10 °C and a pump and solvent system (Agilent 1100 LC). A Luna C<sub>18</sub> (2)-HST reverse phase column (2.5 µm, 100 x 2.00 mm, Phenomenex) thermostated at 45°C was used for the chromatographic separation. The chromatographic separation conditions were adapted from (Gómez-Canela et al., 2012) and briefly consisted in an injection volume of 10 µL (full loop) with a mobile phase A composed of 10 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> ammonium acetate and a mobile phase B composed of acetonitrile. The flow rate was set at 300 µL min<sup>-1</sup> while the elution gradient was set at 75% A during one minute and then reached until 85% B during 5 minutes. After, mobile phase reach 100% B during the next 30 seconds and this percentage was set during 30 seconds more until decrease at 25% B during the next minute. This elution grade of 25 % B was held during the next 7 minutes to condition the column for the next analysis.

Detection was performed using a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (API3000 Perkin-Elmer Sciex Instruments) provided with a ionization chamber (Turblon Spray<sup>TM</sup>) located at 10 mm from the analyser and working in the negative mode, ESI(-). The most significant m/z transition of each PFAS was used for quantification while the second one was used for confirmation. The dwell time for each transition was established at 30 ms, and the software used for the determination was Absciex Analyst. Experimental parameters of the mass spectrometer were set as:

PFAS	Transition (m/z)	Declustering Potential (V)	Focusing Potential (V)	Collision Energy (V)
PFBA	213 > 169	-17	-91	-18
PFH <sub>x</sub> A	313 > 269, 119	-20	-104	-21
PFOA	413 > 369, 169	-23	-122	-20
PFNA	463 > 419, 169	-27	-123	-21
PFD <sub>o</sub> A	613 > 569, 319	-32	-110	-24
PFBS	299 > 80, 99	-40	-121	-45
PFH <sub>x</sub> S	399 > 80, 119	-43	-133	-58
PFOS	499 > 80, 130	-44	-131	-68
MPFOA	417 > 372, 172	-23	-122	-20
MPFOS	503 > 80, 99	-44	-131	-68

Quantification was performed using 1/x weighted calibration curves using calibration standards of 0; 0.5; 2; 5; 10; 20; 50; 100 and 200 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> for each PFAS, together with the inner standards MPFOS and MPFOA. The Pearson coefficients of the resulting calibration lines were up to 0.999. The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification (LOQ) were determined as a signal-to-noise ratio set at 1:3 and 1:10 respectively, and were similar to other LODs and LOQs reported elsewhere (Habibullah-Al-Mamun et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2015). Repeatability was determined after ten analysis at a concentration of 20 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>.

The quality figures of the method were:

PFAS	Repeatability at 20 ng mL <sup>-1</sup> (%RSD)	LOD (ng L <sup>-1</sup> )	LOQ (ng L <sup>-1</sup> )	Pearson coefficient of calibration curves	Recovery of controls (%)
PFBA	4	0.10	0.30	0.999	> 98
PFHxA	5	0.04	0.10	0.991	> 98
PFOA	3	0.02	0.08	0.997	> 95
PFNA	4	0.01	0.05	0.998	> 95
PFDoA	4	0.01	0.04	0.995	40
PFBS	5	0.03	0.10	0.997	> 98
PFHxS	5	0.03	0.10	0.998	> 98
PFOS	5	0.06	0.20	0.999	> 95

Table S3. List of references including information about which PFASs were used to construct the dataset of PFAS sorption parameters.

Reference	Number of samples (total entries)	OC range (%)	PFBA	PFBS	PFPeA	PFHxA	PFHpA	PFHxS	PFOA	PFNA	PFOS	PFDA	PFUnA	PFDS	PFDoA
Hong Chen et al., 2012	5 (5)	0.42 – 1.43									X				
Mejia-Avendaño et al., 2020	5 (15)	1.7 – 7.3							X		X	X			
Chen et al., 2013	5 (10)	0.52 – 16							X		X				
Enevoldsen & Juhler, 2010	2 (12)	0.42 – 1.0		X			X		X	X	X	X			
Guelfo & Higgins, 2013	3 (30)	0.8 – 4.5	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Higgins & Luthy, 2006	5 (24)	0.56 – 9.66							X	X	X	X	X	X	
Higgins & Luthy, 2007	1 (1)	4.34													X
Internal report, 3M, 2000	4 (4)	1.3 – 2.8									X				
Internal report, Dupont, 2003	4 (4)	0.8 – 5.76							X						
Jeon et al., 2011	3 (6)	0.21 – 0.55							X		X				
Johnson et al., 2007	1 (1)	2.5									X				
Milinovic et al., 2015	2 (6)	0.2 – 3.9		X					X		X				
Knight et al., 2019	100 (100)	0.1 – 3.5							X						
You et al., 2010	15 (15)	0.16 – 1.49									X				
Kwadijk et al., 2013	1 (1)	2.6									X				
Martz et al., 2019	5 (5)	0.05 – 11.7							X						
Miao et al., 2017	10 (10)	0.52 – 5.76							X						
Ahrens et al., 2011	3 (5)	0.03 – 1.6							X		X				
Oliver, et al., 2020	19 (57)	0.1 – 11						X	X		X				
Wei et al., 2017	6 (6)	0.87 – 2.71									X				
Gredelj et al., 2020	1 (9)	1.43	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X			
Pan et al., 2009	1 (1)	0.75									X				
Hong Chen et al., 2009	1 (1)	0.91									X				
Brusseau et al., 2019	2 (2)	0.10 – 0.38									X				
Xiao et al., 2019	5 (5)	0.10 – 5.3							X						
Huiting Chen et al., 2016	1 (5)	2.52						X	X	X	X	X			
McLachlan et al., 2019	2 (24)	0.40 – 0.93	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
Aly et al., 2019	1 (6)	1.44		X			X	X	X	X	X				
Campos Pereira et al., 2018	1 (6)	45	X	X	X	X	X		X						
Xiang et al., 2018	1 (1)	0.69							X						
Zhi & Liu, 2018	1 (2)	47							X		X				
This study	7 (56)	1.6 – 41	X	X		X		X	X	X	X				X

Table S4. Sorption percentages (%S) resulting from the batch experiments.

Soil	PFBA	PFBS	PFHxA	PFHxS	PFOA	PFNA	PFOS	PFDoA
	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(8)	(11)
ALM	5.2	5.7	23	19	21	52	76	98
DELTA2	8.2	14	20	29	28	78	88	98
OVI01	3.2	12	11	35	42	80	92	99
UIAR	8.3	13	21	64	72	91	94	> 99
BRA	11	23	35	63	73	92	95	> 99
DUBLIN	15	41	34	68	79	92	97	> 99
KOM	11	23	39	64	79	93	95	> 99

Table S5. Desorption  $K_{d,des}$  ( $L\ kg^{-1}$ ) values derived from the batch experiments.

Soil	PFHxA (5)	PFHxS (6)	PFOA (7)	PFNA (8)	PFOS (8)	PFDxA (11)
ALM	N.Q.	4.4	18	35	43	761
DELTA2	N.Q.	16	11	43	83	6591
OVI01	9.1	7.7	12	57	107	7117
UIAR	25	18	38	177	437	9376
BRA	29	29	41	226	560	12705
DUBLIN	32	41	61	262	760	13148
KOM	23	37	48	297	749	17888

N.Q.: Not quantifiable

## Section S2. Evaluation of the predictive accuracy of the model

To evaluate the predictive accuracy of the model, the root square mean error (RMSE) and the residual predictive deviation (RPD) were calculated as:

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (m_i - p_i)^2}{N}}$$

where  $m$  are the measured values,  $p$  are the predicted values,  $i$  is the entry being tested and  $N$  is the total number of entries included in the model. The RPD was calculated as:

$$\text{RPD} = \frac{\text{SD}}{\text{RMSE}}$$

where SD is the standard deviation of the original data. RPD values indicate the quality of the model: RPD values  $< 1.5$  are considered poor; RPD values from 1.5 to 2.0 are acceptable; RPD values between 2.0 and 3.0 are good; and RPD values  $> 3.0$  are excellent (Knight et al., 2019).

## References

- Ahrens, L., Yeung, L. W. Y., Taniyasu, S., Lam, P. K. S., Yamashita, N., 2011. Partitioning of perfluorooctanoate (PFOA), perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctane sulfonamide (PFOSA) between water and sediment. *Chemosphere* 85 (5), 731–737. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.06.046>
- Aly, Y. H., McInnis, D. P., Lombardo, S. M., Arnold, W. A., Pennell, K. D., Hatton, J., Simcik, M. F., 2019. Enhanced adsorption of perfluoroalkyl substances for in situ remediation. *Environ. Sci-Wat Res.* 5 (11), 1867–1875. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ew00426b>
- Brusseau, M. L., Khan, N., Wang, Y., Yan, N., Van Glubt, S., Carroll, K. C., 2019. Nonideal Transport and Extended Elution Tailing of PFOS in Soil. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 53 (18), 10654–10664. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02343>
- Campos Pereira, H., Ullberg, M., Kleja, D. B., Gustafsson, J. P., Ahrens, L., 2018. Sorption of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) to an organic soil horizon – Effect of cation composition and pH. *Chemosphere* 207, 183–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.05.012>
- Chen, Hong, Chen, S., Quan, X., Zhao, Y., Zhao, H., 2009. Sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) on oil and oil-derived black carbon: Influence of solution pH and [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]. *Chemosphere* 77 (10), 1406–1411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.09.008>
- Chen, Hong, Zhang, C., Yu, Y., Han, J., 2012. Sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) on marine sediments. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 64 (5), 902–906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.03.012>
- Chen, Huiting, Reinhard, M., Tung, V., Gin, K. Y., 2016. Reversible and irreversible sorption of perfluorinated compounds (PFCs) by sediments of an urban reservoir. *Chemosphere* 144, 1747–1753. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.055>
- Chen, Y. C., Lo, S. L., Li, N. H., Lee, Y. C., Kuo, J., 2013. Sorption of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) onto wetland soils. *Desalin. Water Treat.* 51 (40–42), 7469–7475. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2013.792145>
- Deng, S., Zhang, Q., Nie, Y., Wei, H., Wang, B., Huang, J., Yu, G., Xing, B., 2012. Sorption mechanisms of perfluorinated compounds on carbon nanotubes. *Environ. Pollut.* 168, 138–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.03.048>
- du Pont, 2003. Adsorption /Desorption of ammonium Perfluorooctane to Soil (OECD, 106), E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company EMSE report no. 17-03, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency docket OPPt-2003-0012-0401
- Ellefson, M., 2001. Soil adsorption/desorption study of potassium perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS); EPA Docket AR226-1030a030; 3M Company; Maplewood, MN.
- Enevoldsen, R., Juhler, R. K., 2010. Perfluorinated compounds (PFCs) in groundwater and aqueous soil extracts: Using inline SPE-LC-MS/MS for screening and sorption characterisation of perfluorooctane sulphonate and related compounds. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 398 (3), 1161–1172. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-4066-0>
- Gómez-Canela, C., Barth, J. A. C., Lacorte, S., 2012. Occurrence and fate of perfluorinated compounds in sewage sludge from Spain and Germany. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. R.* 19 (9), 4109–4119. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-1078-7>
- Gredelj, A., Nicoletto, C., Valsecchi, S., Ferrario, C., Polesello, S., Lava, R., Zanon, F., Barausse, A., Palmeri, L., Guidolin, L., Bonato, M., 2020. Uptake and translocation of perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAA) in red chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) under various treatments with pre-contaminated soil and irrigation water. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 708, 134766. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134766>
- Guelfo, J. L., Higgins, C. P., 2013. Subsurface transport potential of perfluoroalkyl acids at aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF)-impacted sites. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47 (9), 4164–4171. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es3048043>
- Habibullah-Al-Mamun, M., Ahmed, M. K., Raknuzzaman, M., Islam, M. S., Negishi, J., Nakamichi, S., Sekine, M., Tokumura, M., Masunaga, S., 2016. Occurrence and distribution of perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) in surface water and sediment of a tropical coastal area (Bay of Bengal coast, Bangladesh). *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 571, 1089–1104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.104>
- Higgins, C.P., Luthy, R.G., 2006. Sorption of Perfluorinated Surfactants on Sediments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 7251–7256. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es061000n>
- Higgins, C.P., Luthy, R.G., 2007. Modeling Sorption of Anionic Surfactants onto Sediment Materials: An a priori Approach for Perfluoroalkyl Surfactants and Linear Alkylbenzene Sulfonates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41 (9), 3254–3261. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es062449j>

- Jeon, J., Kannan, K., Lim, B. J., An, K. G., Kim, S. D., 2011. Effects of salinity and organic matter on the partitioning of perfluoroalkyl acid (PFAs) to clay particles. *J. Environ. Monitor.* 13 (6), 1803–1810. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c0em00791a>
- Johnson, R. L., Anschutz, A. J., Smolen, J. M., Simcik, M. F., Lee Penn, R., 2007. The adsorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate onto sand, clay, and iron oxide surfaces. *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 52 (4), 1165–1170. <https://doi.org/10.1021/je060285g>
- Kelly, B. C., Ikonomou, M. G., Blair, J. D., Hoover, D., Grace, R., Gobas, F. a P. C., Surridge, B., 2009. Perfluoroalkyl Contaminants in an Arctic Marine Food Web: Trophic Magnification and Wildlife Exposure. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 4037–4043.
- Knight, E. R., Janik, L. J., Navarro, D. A., Kookana, R. S., McLaughlin, M. J., 2019. Predicting partitioning of radiolabelled  $^{14}\text{C}$ -PFOA in a range of soils using diffuse reflectance infrared spectroscopy. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 686, 505–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.339>
- Kwadijk, C. J. A. F., Velzeboer, I., Koelmans, A. A., 2013. Sorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate to carbon nanotubes in aquatic sediments. *Chemosphere* 90 (5), 1631–1636. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.08.041>
- Liu, B., Zhang, H., Xie, L., Li, J., Wang, X., Zhao, L., Wang, Y., Yang, B., 2015. Spatial distribution and partition of perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) in rivers of the Pearl River Delta, southern China. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 524–525, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.04.004>
- Martz, M., Heil, J., Marschner, B., Stumpe, B., 2019. Effects of soil organic carbon (SOC) content and accessibility in subsoils on the sorption processes of the model pollutants nonylphenol (4-n-NP) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA). *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 672, 162–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.369>
- McLachlan, M. S., Felizeter, S., Klein, M., Kotthoff, M., De Voogt, P., 2019. Fate of a perfluoroalkyl acid mixture in an agricultural soil studied in lysimeters. *Chemosphere* 223, 180–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.012>
- Mejia-Avendaño, S., Zhi, Y., Yan, B., Liu, J., 2020. Sorption of Polyfluoroalkyl Surfactants on Surface Soils: Effect of Molecular Structures, Soil Properties, and Solution Chemistry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 54 (3), 1513–1521. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04989>
- Miao, Y., Guo, X., Dan Peng, Fan, T., Yang, C., 2017. Rates and equilibria of perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) sorption on soils from different regions of China. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe.* 139, 102–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.01.022>
- Milinic, J., Lacorte, S., Vidal, M., Rigol, A., 2015. Sorption behaviour of perfluoroalkyl substances in soils. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 511, 63–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.017>
- Oliver, D. P., Navarro, D. A., Baldock, J., Simpson, S. L., Kookana, R. S., 2020. Sorption behaviour of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) as affected by the properties of coastal estuarine sediments. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 720, 137263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137263>
- Pan, G., Jia, C., Zhao, D., You, C., Chen, H., Jiang, G., 2009. Effect of cationic and anionic surfactants on the sorption and desorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) on natural sediments. *Environ. Pollut.* 157 (1), 325–330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.06.035>
- Wei, C., Song, X., Wang, Q., Hu, Z., 2017. Sorption kinetics, isotherms and mechanisms of PFOS on soils with different physicochemical properties. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe.* 142, 40–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.03.040>
- Xiang, L., Xiao, T., Yu, P. F., Zhao, H. M., Mo, C. H., Li, Y. W., Li, H., Cai, Q. Y., Zhou, D. M., Wong, M. H., 2018. Mechanism and Implication of the Sorption of Perfluorooctanoic Acid by Varying Soil Size Fractions. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 66 (44), 11569–11579. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b03492>
- Xiao, F., Jin, B., Golovko, S. A., Golovko, M. Y., Xing, B., 2019. Sorption and Desorption Mechanisms of Cationic and Zwitterionic Per- And Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Natural Soils: Thermodynamics and Hysteresis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 53 (20), 11818–11827. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b05379>
- You, C., Jia, C., Pan, G., 2010. Effect of salinity and sediment characteristics on the sorption and desorption of perfluorooctane sulfonate at sediment-water interface. *Environ. Pollut.* 158 (5), 1343–1347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.01.009>
- Zhi, Y., Liu, J., 2018. Sorption and desorption of anionic, cationic and zwitterionic polyfluoroalkyl substances by soil organic matter and pyrogenic carbonaceous materials. *Chem. Eng. J.* 346, 682–691.

