1 Myomixer is expressed during embryonic and post-larval hyperplasia, muscle regeneration and

2 **differentiation** of myoblats in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)

Miquel Perello-Amoros¹, Cécile Rallière², Joaquim Gutiérrez¹, Jean-Charles Gabillard^{2*}
¹ Departament de Biologia Cellular, Fisiologia i Immunologia, Facultat de Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.
² INRAE, UR1037 Laboratory of Fish Physiology and Genomics, 35000 Rennes, France.
*Corresponding author : jean-charles.gabillard@inrae.fr

13 **1. Abstract**

14 In contrast to mice or zebrafish, trout exhibits post-larval muscle growth through hypertrophy and formation of new myofibers (hyperplasia). The muscle fibers are formed by the fusion of 15 16 mononucleated cells (myoblasts) regulated by several muscle-specific proteins such as Myomaker or 17 Myomixer. In this work, we identified a unique gene encoding a Myomixer protein of 77 amino acids 18 (aa) in the trout genome. Sequence analysis and phylogenetic tree, showed moderate conservation of the overall protein sequence across teleost fish (61% of aa identity between trout and zebrafish 19 Myomixer sequences). Nevertheless, the functionally essential motif, AxLyCxL is perfectly 20 21 conserved in all studied sequences of vertebrates. Using in situ hybridization, we observed that 22 *myomixer* was highly expressed in the embryonic myotome, particularly in the hyperplasic area. Moreover, *myomixer* remained readily expressed in white muscle of juvenile (1 and 20 g) although 23 24 its expression decreased in mature fish. We also showed that *myomixer* is up-regulated during muscle 25 regeneration and *in vitro* myoblasts fusion. Together, these data indicate that *myomixer* expression is consistently associated with the formation of new myofibers during somitogenesis, post-larval growth 26 27 and muscle regeneration in trout.

28 2. Introduction

29 Skeletal muscle consists of myofibers derived from the fusion of progenitor cells called myoblasts. In mammals, myofibers formation occurs throughout embryogenesis and during muscle regeneration 30 31 in adult. Myoblasts proliferate, differentiate into myocytes that fuse to form multinucleated myotubes, 32 and mature into functional myofibers (Dumont et al., 2015). The fusion process is highly regulated 33 by numerous key proteins involved in distinct steps, including cell-cell recognition and adhesion, cytoskeletal reorganization and finally membrane fusion. Among those proteins, the transmembrane 34 35 Myomaker protein is expressed only in skeletal muscle and is absolutely required for myoblast fusion 36 (Millay et al., 2013). Indeed, in myomaker knockout mice, muscle is formed only by mononucleated 37 myoblasts. Similarly, the muscle of *myomaker* knockout mice fails to regenerate after injury, which shows that *myomaker* is also essential for formation of new myofibers during muscle regeneration 38 39 (Millay et al., 2014). Consequently, *myomaker* expression is upregulated during periods of myofiber 40 formation (embryogenesis and muscle regeneration), and downregulated thereafter (Millay et al., 41 2014, 2013). In addition, ectopic expression of *myomaker* in fibroblasts promotes fusion with C2C12 myoblasts, showing its direct involvement in the fusion process (Millay et al., 2016, 2014). The 42 mechanism of action of Myomaker remains poorly understood even though it has been shown that 43 44 the C-term of the protein is essential to its function (Millay et al., 2016).

Recently, another muscle-specific peptide called Myomixer with fusogenic activity was identified in mice (Bi et al., 2017; Quinn et al., 2017). The *myomixer* knockout in mice leads to muscle formation with mononucleated cells, and *in vitro*, the peptide allows the fusion of a fibroblast with a myoblast. Interestingly, the ectopic expression of *myomixer* and *myomaker* in fibroblasts promotes fibroblastfibroblast fusion, suggesting that they should act together (Quinn et al., 2017). Nevertheless, Leikina et al. (2018) showed that Myomaker and Myomixer are involved in distinct step of the myoblast fusion process. Whereas Myomaker is essential for hemifusion of the plasma membrane, Myomixer promotes the formation of fusion pores, and the fusogenic activities of these proteins do not require
direct interaction (Leikina et al., 2018).

54 In zebrafish, Myomaker and Myomixer have been characterized and there are also essential for myoblast fusion (Landemaine et al., 2014; Millay et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2017; Zhang and Roy, 2017). 55 Both proteins are expressed in embryonic myotome and their expression declines before hatching. 56 Recently, we identified the unique *myomaker* ortholog in rainbow trout and revealed its unusual 57 58 sequence. Indeed, the trout Myomaker protein contains 14 minisatellites and two sequence extensions 59 leading to a protein of 434 as instead of 221 in zebrafish (Landemaine et al., 2019). In vitro, ectopic 60 expression of trout *myomaker* in mouse fibroblasts promotes fusion with C2C12 myoblasts. Given 61 the original structure of trout Myomaker, we wondered whether the sequence and expression pattern 62 of trout myomixer were conserved.

In this work, we showed that Myomixer protein sequence was moderately conserved across evolution
and that the unique trout *myomixer* gene was highly expressed in skeletal muscle even after hatching
and was upregulated during muscle regeneration and satellite cell fusion.

66 **3. Materials and methods**

67 *3.1. Animals*

All the experiments presented in this article were developed under the current legislation that regulates the ethical handling and care procedures of experimentation animals (décret no. 2001-464, May 29, 2001) and the muscle regeneration study was approved by the INRAE PEIMA (Pisciculture Expérimentale INRAE des Monts d'Arrée) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (B29X777-02). The LPGP fish facility was approved by the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (authorization no. C35-238-6).

4

74 3.2. Muscle regeneration experiment

As described in Landemaine et al., (2019), this experiment was carried out at the INRAE facility 75 76 PEIMA (Sizun, Britany, France). Briefly, 1530 ± 279 g rainbow trout (O. mykiss) were anesthetized 77 with MS-222 (50 ml/l) and using a sterile 1.2-mm needle, the left side of each fish was injured by a puncture behind to the dorsal fin and above the lateral line. The right side was used as a control for 78 79 each fish. White muscle samples from both sides (within the injured region and opposite) were taken at 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 30 days post-injury using a sterile scalpel after proper sacrifice by an MS-222 80 81 overdose. The obtained samples were properly stored in liquid nitrogen until further processing for gene expression analysis. Along the experiment, no infection was detected and the survival rate was 82 83 100%.

84 *3.3. Trout satellite cell culture*

Satellite cells from trout white muscle (15-20g body weight) were cultured as previously described 85 86 (Froehlich et al., 2013; Gabillard et al., 2010). Briefly, 40 g of tissue were mechanically and enzymatically (collagenase C9891 and trypsin T4799) digested prior to filtration (100 µm and 40 87 um). The cells were seeded in poly-L-lysine and laminin precoated 6-well treated polystyrene plates 88 89 at a density of 80,000 cells/cm² and incubated at 18°C. The cells were cultured for 3 days in F10 medium (medium F10, Sigma, N6635) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum to stimulate cell 90 proliferation. Then, the medium was changed to Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Sigma, 91 92 D7777) containing 2% fetal bovine serum to stimulate cell differentiation and cultured in this medium for an additional 3 days. Cells were washed twice with PBS and collected with TRI reagent solution 93 (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog no. T9424) at 3rd (PM) and 4th (DM1), 5th (DM2) and 6th (DM3) day of 94 culture. Samples were immediately stored at -80°C until further processing for gene expression 95 analysis. 96

97 3.4. Amplification and sequencing of myomixer sequence

The *O. mykiss* myomixer nucleotide sequence containing the full coding region was obtained from 98 the Trout Genome browser of the French National Sequencing Center (Genoscope). We designed 99 (Polymerase Chain Reaction) 5'-100 primers in two different exons PCR (forward, TTGGCTTTCCTTCCTCTTCAG-3'; and reverse, 5'-TGCGATCTGACTGGTGTCTCC -3'). PCR 101 reaction was carried out from a rainbow trout muscle cDNA (complementary DNA) and the PCR 102 product was run in agarose gel, purified and sequenced (Eurofins) and the obtained sequence was 103 104 used to design primers for quantitative PCR (qPCR). The validated sequence of *myomixer* cDNA was deposited in GenBank with the accession number MN230110. 105

106 3.5. Phylogenetic analysis

107 Several Myomixer amino acid sequences obtained from different databases were aligned with the 108 Mafft server software, version 7 (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) using the default parameters 109 and the G-INS-i iterative refinement method. The subsequent phylogenetic analysis was performed 110 using the neighbour-joining method with MEGA X software in a bootstrapped method (500) to assess 111 the robustness of the tree.

112 3.6. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR analyses

For three individual fish (~150g), sample of white muscle, red muscle, skin, heart, brain, adipose 113 114 tissue, liver, spleen, pituitary, kidney, ovary, gill, testis and intestine were collected and immediately stored in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted from cell cultures or from 100 mg of tissue (or 115 less in the case of some small organs and tissues for the screening) using TRI reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, 116 117 catalog no. T9424) and its concentration was determined using the NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer. One µg of total RNA was used for reverse transcription (Applied Biosystems kit, 118 catalog no. 4368813). Trout *myomixer* primers for quantitative PCR (qPCR) (forward, 5'-119 AGACTTCCGTGACTCCTACCAG-3'; and reverse, 5'-TGCGATCTGACTGGTGTCTCC-3') were 120 designed in two exons to avoid genomic DNA amplification. The secondary structure formation in 121

the predicted PCR product were determined with the mFOLD software. Quantitative PCR analyses 122 were performed with 5 µl of cDNA using SYBR© Green fluorophore (Applied Biosystems), 123 following the manufacturer's instructions, with a final concentration of 300 nM of each primer. The 124 125 PCR program used was as follows: 40 cycles of 95 °C for 3 s and 60 °C for 30 s. The relative expression of target cDNAs within the sample set was calculated from a serial dilution (1:4–1:256) 126 (standard curve) of a cDNA pool using StepOneTM software V2.0.2 (Applied Bio-systems). 127 Subsequently, <u>gPCR</u> data were normalized using elongation factor-1 alpha (eF1a) gene expression as 128 129 previously detailed.

130 *3.7. In situ hybridization*

Trout embryos at days 10, 14 and 18 were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA 4%) overnight at 131 4°C and stored in methanol at -20 °C until use. Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed 132 using RNAscope[®], an hybridization amplification-based signal system (Wang et al., 2012) according 133 to the manufacturer's protocol (Advanced Cell Diagnostics #322360). Embryos were rehydrated in a 134 decreasing methanol/PBS+0.1% Tween-20 series (75% MetOH/25% PBST; 50% MetOH/50% 135 PBST; 30% MetOH/70% PBST; 100% PBST) for 10 min each. Once rehydrated, embryos were 136 transferred to a 2 ml Eppendorf tube. After 15 min treatment of 1x Target Retrieval (ACD #322000) 137 at 100°C, embryos were treated with Protease Plus solution (ACD #322331), at 40°C for 5-45 min 138 according to the stage. Embryos were incubated with the custom set of probes designed by ACD 139 140 Biotechne (20 pairs of 18-25 nt) overnight at 40°C in sealed Eppendorf tubes. Detection of specific probe binding sites was performed using RNAscope® 2.5 HD Detection Reagents-RED kit (ACD 141 #322360), according to the manufacturer. Images of the embryos were obtained using a Zeiss Stemi 142 2000-C stereo microscope. For the histological examination of sections, the samples were embedded 143 in 5% agarose in distilled water. Blocks were sectioned at 35 µm on a Leica vibratome (VT1000S). 144 Images of the sections were obtained using a Nikon 90i microscope. 145

For the detection of *myomixer* and *myomaker* expression in 1 g and 20 g trout muscle, samples of 146 white muscle were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C and embedded in paraffin. 147 Then, cross-sections (7µm) of muscle were cut using a microtome (HM355; Microm Microtech, 148 Francheville, France) and *in situ* hybridization was performed using RNAscope[®] 2.5HD detection 149 150 reagent RED kit (ACD #322360). Briefly, sections were baked at 60°C for 1 hour, dewaxed and air-151 dried. After 10 min in hydrogen peroxyde solution (ACD #322335), sections were treated with 1x 152 Target Retrieval (ACD #322000) for 15 min at 100°C, following 25 min with Protease Plus solution (ACD #322331) at 40°C. All steps at 40°C were performed in a ACD HybEZ II Hybridization System 153 (#321720). Images of the sections were obtained using a Nikon 90i microscope. 154

For multiplex RNAscope in situ hybridization, trout embryos of 17 dpf (day post fecundation) were 155 156 fixed as previously described in PF4% and embedded in paraffin. Cross-sections (7µm) were then hybridized using the RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent Assay v2 (ACDBio #323100) according to 157 158 the manufacturer's protocols. This assay allows simultaneous visualization of up to three RNA targets, 159 with each probe assigned a different channel (C1, C2 or C3). Each channel requires its own amplification steps. *Pax7* and *myomixer* transcripts were targeted with fluorescent dyes Opal 520 160 (Akoya Biosciences #FP1487001KT) and Opal 620 (Akoya Biosciences #FP1495001KT) 161 respectively. Nuclei are counter-stained with DAPI. 162

163 *3.8. Statistical analyses*

164 The data were analyzed using the nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis rank test followed by the Wilcoxon-

165 Mann-Whitney test. All analyses were performed using the R statistical package (3.6.3 version).

166 **4. Results**

167 *4.1. Identification of the trout myomixer gene*

We performed a BLAST search in the trout genome (Berthelot et al., 2014) using the sequence of 168 zebrafish Myomixer protein (Swiss-Prot: P0DP88.1) and we found only one locus with *myomixer* 169 sequence similarity in the scaffold 4105 of the trout genome. We also identified two ESTs (Expressed 170 Sequence Tag; GDKP01024145.1; GDKP01044688.1) corresponding to the *myomixer* transcript that 171 encoded a protein of 77 aa (deposited in GenBankTM with accession number MN230110). Because 172 both ESTs had little overlap, we performed RT-PCR with a primer on each ESTs to confirm that both 173 ESTs belonged to the same transcript. The sequence of the PCR product obtained (599nt), validated 174 that both ESTs belonged to a unique *myomixer* transcript. Sequence alignment between the genomic 175 sequence and the EST sequences revealed the presence of two exons, the first containing the full 176 177 coding sequence. As shown in the figure 1, the trout Myomixer protein was moderately conserved and shared 61% identity with zebrafish Myomixer and only 25% with the mouse one. In addition, 178 trout Myomixer sequence shared 95% of identity with other salmonid Myomixer but only 60-65% of 179 180 identity with other teleost fish. Despite this overall moderate sequence conservation, the functionally essential motif, AxLyCxL (x corresponds to leucine, isoleucine, valine and y corresponds to serine, 181 threonine, alanine or glycine) (Shi et al., 2017) was conserved in trout Myomixer as well as several 182 charged amino acids in the middle of the protein (arginine at position 40 and 45; lysine at position 183 39). The phylogenetic analysis of Myomixer proteins from several vertebrate species showed a 184 phylogenetic tree consistent with the vertebrate evolution (figure 2). It was noteworthy that all the 185 Myomixer protein sequences studied in salmonid were more divergent than the Myomixer sequences 186 in other teleost. 187

188 4.2. Myomixer is expressed in embryonic and postlarval trout muscle

We performed whole-mount *in situ* hybridization to examine *myomixer* expression during embryonic
myogenesis. *Myomixer* expression was detected as soon as the early stage of somitogenesis (10 dpf)

in the deep myotome. Then, *myomixer* transcript was readily detected at 14 and 18 dpf in all somites 191 (figure 3A) when multinucleated fibers begin to form. *Myomixer* expression was also detected in the 192 head muscles (18dpf) and a transient signal was observed in the otic vesicule (14dpf). In addition, 193 194 cross-sections (figure 3A) of 18 dpf embryos have shown that myomixer expression was highest in the lateral part of the myotome. Double in situ hybridization for *pax7* and *myomixer* indicated that 195 *myomixer* was not expressed in the undifferentiated myogenic dermomyotome-like epithelium 196 197 surrounding the primary myotome (figure 3B) that was positive for *pax7*. In contrast, the myotome 198 strongly expressed *myomixer* but contained rare *pax7* positive cells. After hatching, *myomixer* expression was still readily detected by *in situ* hybridization in the muscle of 1 g and 20 g trout (figure 199 200 3C). The signal, consisting of small red dots (1-2/fiber cross-section) adhering to myofibers was 201 scattered throughout the muscle and was less frequent in muscle of 20 g trout than in 1 g trout. The patterns of *myomixer* and *myomaker* expression in white muscle of 20 g trout were similar (figure 202 3C). 203

The **qPCR** quantification of *myomixer* expression in white muscle of 15g, 150g and 1500g trout (figure 4A) showed that *myomixer* remained clearly expressed after hatching, although its expression declined as fish weight increased. We also analyzed trout *myomixer* expression in several tissues by **qRT-PCR** to determine whether its expression was restricted to skeletal muscle. As shown in figure 4B, *myomixer* was strongly expressed in white and red skeletal muscle but not in heart. *Myomixer* expression was also detected at low level in non-muscle tissues such as skin and brain.

4.3. Myomixer is up-regulated during muscle regeneration and myotube formation in vitro

To determine whether *myomixer* is up-regulated during the muscle regeneration, we measured its expression in muscle following mechanical injury. In our previous study, we observed that the formation of new fibers and the increase of *myogenin* expression occurred 30 days following injury (Landemaine et al., 2019). Consistently, *myomixer* expression remained stable up to 16 days and was

- sharply up-regulated on day 30 with 6-fold higher expression in injured muscle than in the control
- **217** one (figure 5).
- 218 We extracted satellite cells from white muscle of trout, and induced their differentiation and fusion *in*
- 219 vitro (Gabillard et al., 2010). Quantitative PCR analysis showed that myomixer expression was
- significantly up-regulated 3 days after differentiation induction and paralleled *myomaker* expression
- **221** (figure 6A and 6B).
- 222

223

224 **5. Discussion**

The fusion of myocytes is highly regulated by numerous key membrane-anchored proteins such as Myomaker and Myomixer (Petrany and Millay, 2019). In the particular context of the persistence of muscle hyperplasia during post-larval growth of trout and the original structure of trout Myomaker protein, our work aimed at characterizing the sequence of *myomixer* and its expression during *in vivo* and *in vitro* myogenesis in this species.

230 The *in silico* analysis of the trout genome and the EST databases allowed us to identify a unique 231 *myomixer* gene. The alignments of Myomixer protein sequences evidenced a moderate conservation of the overall amino acid sequence across vertebrate lineage. In addition, phylogenetic analysis 232 showed a greater divergence in salmonid Myomixer sequences. This higher rate of protein sequence 233 234 evolution could result from a relaxation of selection pressure or changes of the functional constraints 235 on Myomixer protein (Zhang and Yang, 2015) although some amino acid residues are still conserved. 236 For instance, the motif AxLyCxL, essential for Myomixer activity (Shi et al., 2017) is present in trout Myomixer protein and in all vertebrate species studied. Thus, despite overall divergence in Myomixer 237 238 sequences, the key amino acids are conserved in salmonids.

Our expression analyses showed that *myomixer* is strongly expressed in the embryonic myotome 239 during somitogenesis (10 dpf to 18 dpf), when myoblasts fused to form mature myofibers (Barresi et 240 al., 2001; Steinbacher et al., 2007). Sections of trout embryos of 10 dpf revealed that myomixer was 241 242 expressed in the fibers of the deep myotome formed during the primary wave of myogenesis. Then, the highest expression of *myomixer* was observed in the dorsal, ventral and lateral domains of the 243 myotome, where the secondary wave of myogenesis (stratified hyperplasia) takes place (Steinbacher 244 245 et al., 2007). In addition, double *in situ* hybridization for *pax7* and *myomixer* showed mutually exclusive expression patterns. Indeed, pax7 is expressed in undifferentiated myogenic cells present 246 247 in the dermomyotome-like epithelium surrounding the primary myotome (Dumont et al., 2008). The *pax7*-positive cells spread into the myotome should correspond to the muscle stem cells (also called 248

249 satellite cells) that persist in adult muscle. In contrast, myomixer is strongly expressed in differentiated myogenic cells in the area of muscle hyperplasia (Steinbacher et al., 2007). This expression pattern 250 251 is in agreement with those obtained in zebrafish that shows a strong expression of myomixer from 14 252 hpf to 24 hpf (Shi et al., 2017). However, at the end of somitogenesis (18 dpf), myomixer expression is maintained in all somites of the trout embryos, whereas in zebrafish its expression is no longer 253 detected in the anterior somites at a comparable stage (24 dpf). Effectively, in mouse and zebrafish 254 255 the expression of *myomixer* declines soon after somitogenesis (Bi et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2017), 256 whereas in trout its expression is maintained throughout post-larval growth, *i.e.* in fry, juvenile and 257 to a lesser extend in mature fish. Our results clearly indicate that the expression pattern of myomixer 258 is similar to that of the *myomaker* in trout (Landemaine et al., 2019) during embryonic and post-larval 259 stages. In addition, we did not observe *myomixer* and *myomaker* expression in myofibers, but only in small cells that should be fusing muscle precursors. These results are in agreement with those 260 obtained in mouse which show that muscle overload induces myomaker expression in muscle 261 precursors (myocytes) but not in myofibers, which is essential for myofiber hypertrophy and 262 263 hyperplasia (Goh and Millay, 2017). Accordingly, in zebrafish, myomixer and myomaker expression is no longer detected in white muscle after hatching (Landemaine et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2017) after 264 265 which post-larval muscle growth proceeds only by hypertrophy (Johnston et al., 2009). In contrast, 266 in trout, muscle hyperplasia persists during post-larval growth (Steinbacher et al., 2007) and is accompanied by a maintenance of *myomixer* and *myomaker* expression indicating that they are 267 markers of muscle hyperplasia rather than fiber hypertrophy. 268

Our qPCR analyses showed that *myomixer* expression was strongly stimulated in white muscle 30 days after injury, in parallel with the appearance of newly formed myofibers (Landemaine et al., 2019; Montfort et al., 2016). This kinetic of *myomixer* expression during muscle regeneration, is comparable to that one of *myomaker* and *myogenin* (Landemaine et al., 2019). Moreover, our results are in agreement with our previous transcriptomic analysis showing that numerous genes essential for

- 274 hyperplastic muscle growth (*myod, myogenin, M-cadherin*, etc.) were up regulated 30 days post injury
- 275 (Montfort et al., 2016). Furthermore, we showed that *myomixer* and *myomaker* were up regulated 3
- 276 days after induction of satellite cells differentiation. This latter result is reminiscent to previous data
- 277 showing that myogenin and myomaker expression increase during fusion of trout myocytes
- 278 (Landemaine et al., 2019). Together, these results strongly suggest that Myomixer, like Myomaker, is
- 279 essential for myoblast fusion and muscle regeneration.

280 6. Conclusions

In conclusion, our work shows that despite moderate sequence conservation, *myomixer* expression is consistently associated with the formation of new myofibers during somitogenesis, post-larval growth and muscle regeneration in trout and can be considered as a good marker of hyperplasia.

284 Acknowledgments

We particularly thank A Patinote and C. Duret for trout rearing and egg production and L Goardon
from the fish facility PEIMA (Pisciculture Expérimentale INRAE des Monts d'Arrée) for muscle
regeneration experiments. This work was supported by INRAE and the "Ministerio de Economía y
Competitividad" (MINECO) from the Spanish Government and the fellowship of M Perrello was
supported by MINECO (BES-2016–078697).

290 7. References

- Barresi, M.J.F., DAngelo, J.A., Hernandez, L.P., Devoto, S.H., 2001. Distinct mechanisms regulate slow-muscle development. Curr Biol 11, 1432–1438.
- Berthelot, C., Brunet, F., Chalopin, D., Juanchich, A., Bernard, M., Noël, B., Bento, P., Da Silva, C., Labadie, K., Alberti, A., Aury, J.-M., Louis, A., Dehais, P., Bardou, P., Montfort, J., Klopp, C., Cabau, C., Gaspin, C., Thorgaard, G.H., Boussaha, M., Quillet, E., Guyomard, R., Galiana, D., Bobe, J., Volff, J.-N., Genêt, C., Wincker, P., Jaillon, O., Roest Crollius, H., Guiguen, Y., 2014. The rainbow trout genome provides novel insights into evolution after whole-genome duplication in vertebrates. Nat Commun 5, 3657. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4657
- Bi, P., Ramirez-Martinez, A., Li, H., Cannavino, J., McAnally, J.R., Shelton, J.M., Sánchez-Ortiz, E., Bassel-Duby, R., Olson, E.N., 2017. Control of muscle formation by the fusogenic micropeptide myomixer. Science 356, 323–327. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam9361
- Dumont, E., Rallière, C., Rescan, P.-Y., 2008. Identification of novel genes including Dermo-1, a marker of dermal differentiation, expressed in trout somitic external cells. J. Exp. Biol. 211, 1163–1168. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.015461
- Dumont, N.A., Wang, Y.X., Rudnicki, M.A., 2015. Intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms regulating satellite cell function. Development 142, 1572–1581. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.114223
- Froehlich, J.M., Galt, N.J., Charging, M.J., Meyer, B.M., Biga, P.R., 2013. In vitro indeterminate teleost myogenesis appears to be dependent on Pax3. Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim 49, 371– 385. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11626-013-9616-2
- Gabillard, J.-C., Sabin, N., Paboeuf, G., 2010. In vitro characterization of proliferation and differentiation of trout satellite cells. Cell Tissue Res 342, 471–477. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-010-1071-8
- Goh, Q., Millay, D.P., 2017. Requirement of myomaker-mediated stem cell fusion for skeletal muscle hypertrophy. eLife 6. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.20007
- Johnston, I.A., Lee, H.-T., Macqueen, D.J., Paranthaman, K., Kawashima, C., Anwar, A., Kinghorn, J.R., Dalmay, T., 2009. Embryonic temperature affects muscle fibre recruitment in adult zebrafish: genome-wide changes in gene and microRNA expression associated with the transition from hyperplastic to hypertrophic growth phenotypes. J. Exp. Biol. 212, 1781–1793. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.029918
- Landemaine, A., Ramirez-Martinez, A., Monestier, O., Sabin, N., Rescan, P.-Y., Olson, E.N., Gabillard, J.-C., 2019. Trout myomaker contains 14 minisatellites and two sequence extensions but retains fusogenic function. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 6364–6374. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.006047
- Landemaine, A., Rescan, P.-Y., Gabillard, J.-C., 2014. Myomaker mediates fusion of fast myocytes in zebrafish embryos. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.07.093
- Leikina, E., Gamage, D.G., Prasad, V., Goykhberg, J., Crowe, M., Diao, J., Kozlov, M.M., Chernomordik, L.V., Millay, D.P., 2018. Myomaker and Myomerger Work Independently to Control Distinct Steps of Membrane Remodeling during Myoblast Fusion. Dev. Cell 46, 767-780.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2018.08.006
- Millay, D.P., Gamage, D.G., Quinn, M.E., Min, Y.-L., Mitani, Y., Bassel-Duby, R., Olson, E.N., 2016. Structure-function analysis of myomaker domains required for myoblast fusion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113, 2116–2121. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1600101113
- Millay, D.P., O'Rourke, J.R., Sutherland, L.B., Bezprozvannaya, S., Shelton, J.M., Bassel-Duby, R., Olson, E.N., 2013. Myomaker is a membrane activator of myoblast fusion and muscle formation. Nature 499, 301–305. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12343
- Millay, D.P., Sutherland, L.B., Bassel-Duby, R., Olson, E.N., 2014. Myomaker is essential for muscle regeneration. Genes Dev 28, 1641–1646. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.247205.114

- Montfort, J., Le Cam, A., Gabillard, J.-C., Rescan, P.-Y., 2016. Gene expression profiling of trout regenerating muscle reveals common transcriptional signatures with hyperplastic growth zones of the post-embryonic myotome. BMC Genomics 17, 810. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-3160-x
- Petrany, M.J., Millay, D.P., 2019. Cell Fusion: Merging Membranes and Making Muscle. Trends Cell Biol. 29, 964–973. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2019.09.002
- Quinn, M.E., Goh, Q., Kurosaka, M., Gamage, D.G., Petrany, M.J., Prasad, V., Millay, D.P., 2017. Myomerger induces fusion of non-fusogenic cells and is required for skeletal muscle development. Nat. Commun. 8, 15665. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15665
- Shi, J., Bi, P., Pei, J., Li, H., Grishin, N.V., Bassel-Duby, R., Chen, E.H., Olson, E.N., 2017. Requirement of the fusogenic micropeptide myomixer for muscle formation in zebrafish. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114, 11950–11955. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715229114
- Steinbacher, P., Haslett, J.R., Obermayer, A., Marschallinger, J., Bauer, H.C., Sänger, A.M., Stoiber, W., 2007. MyoD and Myogenin expression during myogenic phases in brown trout: a precocious onset of mosaic hyperplasia is a prerequisite for fast somatic growth. Dev Dyn 236, 1106–1114. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21103
- Wang, F., Flanagan, J., Su, N., Wang, L.-C., Bui, S., Nielson, A., Wu, X., Vo, H.-T., Ma, X.-J., Luo, Y., 2012. RNAscope: A Novel in Situ RNA Analysis Platform for Formalin-Fixed, Paraffin-Embedded Tissues. J. Mol. Diagn. 14, 22–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2011.08.002
- Zhang, J., Yang, J.-R., 2015. Determinants of the rate of protein sequence evolution. Nat. Rev. Genet. 16, 409–420. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3950
- Zhang, W., Roy, S., 2017. Myomaker is required for the fusion of fast-twitch myocytes in the zebrafish embryo. Dev. Biol. 423, 24–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.01.019

291