1	The potential of ion mobility spectrometry (IMS) for detection of 2,4,6-
2	trichloroanisole (2,4,6-TCA) in wine
3	Zeev Karpas ^a , Ana V. Guamán ^{b,c} , Daniel Calvo ^b , Antonio Pardo ^b , Santiago Marco ^{b,c}
4	^a 3QBD, Arad, Israel, and Chemistry Department, Nuclear research Center, Negev, Beer-Sheva,
5	(Israel).
6	^b Departament d'Electrònica, Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franqués 1, 08028-Barcelona
7	(Spain).
8	^c Artificial Olfaction Lab, Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia, Baldiri i Rexach, 4-8, 08028-
9	Barcelona (Spain)
10	Corresponding author: Antonio Pardo, Departament d'Electrònica, Facultat de Física, Universitat
11	de Barcelona (UB), Martí i Franques 1, 08028-Barcelona (Spain), Phone: (34) 934 039 168, Fax:
12	(34) 934 021 148, email: apardo@el.ub.es

13 Abstract

The off-flavor of "tainted wine" is attributed mainly to the presence of 2,4,6-14 trichloroanisole (2,4,6-TCA) in the wine. In the present study the atmospheric pressure gas-15 phase ion chemistry, pertaining to ion mobility spectrometry, of 2,4,6-trichloroanisole was 16 investigated. In positive ion mode the dominant species is a monomer ion with a lower 17 intensity dimer species with reduced mobility values (K₀) of 1.58 and 1.20 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹, 18 respectively. In negative mode the ion with $K_0=1.64$ cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ is ascribed to a 19 trichlorophenoxide species while the ions with $K_0=1.48$ and 1.13 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ are attributed to 20 chloride attachment adducts of a TCA monomer and dimer, respectively. The limit of 21 detection of the system for 2,4,6-TCA dissolved in dichloromethane deposited on a filter 22 23 paper was 2.1 µg and 1.7 ppm in the gas phase. In ethanol and in wine the limit of detection is higher implying that pre-concentration and pre-separation are required before IMS can be 24 used to monitor the level of TCA in wine. 25

Keywords: 2,4,6-Trichloroanisole, gas phase ion chemistry, ion mobility spectrometry, "tainted wine"

28 **1. Introduction**

Trichloroanisole (TCA), particularly the 2,4,6-TCA isomer, is commonly identified as the 29 main compound responsible for the off flavor of wine known as "cork taint", as 30 31 summarized in some review articles [1-3]. Other isomers of trichloroanisole, substituted tetra- and penta- chloro-anisoles and compounds such as tribromoanisole, 2-32 methylbornoleol, 4-ethylguaiac, etc., were also associated with off flavor of wine. 33 Furthermore, the use of the common term "cork taint" is misleading as it attributes the 34 origin of the unpleasant aroma of tainted wine to the cork alone, while in fact the odorous 35 36 compounds may originate from the wood in barrels used for aging wine (especially reusing barrels that have been cleaned), wooden structures within the vineyard and traces 37 of TCA were even detected in water [1-3]. Nevertheless, 2,4,6-TCA originating from the 38 cork material is still considered as the main culprit for tainted wine that affects wine 39 producers globally and the financial losses due to it are estimated in the range of 1-10 40 41 billion US dollars annually [2].

One of the first reports attributing the off-flavor of wine to 2,4,6-TCA was published by 42 Buser et al.[4] and the effect of the presence of this compound on the wine flavor has 43 44 since been confirmed by several investigators [1-3]. The unpleasant odor of tainted wine is readily detected by consumers of wine and is described sometimes as similar to wet 45 cardboard, mushrooms, earthy smell, etc. [5]. The human olfactory threshold for 2,4,6-46 TCA in wine (in the liquid phase) is usually well below 10 ng L^{-1} and in one study it was 47 estimated to be 2.1 ng L⁻¹ and the customer rejection level was only slightly higher at 3.1 48 ng L⁻¹ [6]. 49

Abreviations: TCA – Trichloroanisole; TCP – Trichlorophenol; IMS – Ion mobility spectrometry; SPME – Solid phase microextraction; GC - Gas chromatographic; ECD - Electron capture detector; LOD – Limit of detection; LC-ESI-IMS – Liquid Chromatography electrospray ionization and ion mobility spectrometry; PID -Photo Ionization Detector

50 The origin of these compounds in wine was attributed mainly to the presence of chlorine substituted compounds, including chlorophenol derivatives, in the cork stopper material 51 and sometimes to the content of similar chemicals in wood barrels, especially cleaning 52 materials deployed for re-use of these barrels for aging of the wine [2]. The dominant 53 mechanism for production of 2,4,6-TCA, that is not a naturally occurring compound, is 54 usually described as O-methylation of 2,4,6-trichloro-phenol (2,4,6-TCP) by filamentous 55 fungi [7-8]. TCP and pentachlorophenol are widely used as pesticides in agriculture and 56 other applications including sanitizing wood products. 57

58 Several analytical approaches been adopted in order to provide an objective measure for the concentration of the compounds responsible for the "tainted" wine flavor [9-19]. The 59 most common methods deploy solid phase microextraction (SPME) fibers to pre-60 concentrate TCA from the headspace vapor phase or from the wine itself that is generally 61 combined with stir-bar agitation. The pre-concentration step is generally followed by gas 62 chromatographic (GC) separation of the components of the wine or headspace vapors that 63 were adsorbed on the SPME fiber. Finally detection of the GC effluent is carried out by 64 electron capture detectors (ECD) or more commonly by different mass spectrometric 65 instruments that also identify the components. The reported limit of detection (LOD) for 66 2,4,6-TCA by these methods is generally in the 1-100 ng L⁻¹ range after pre-67 68 concentration.

Ion mobility spectrometry (IMS) is a well established method that is frequently used for detection of concealed explosive, contraband drugs and monitoring the presence of toxic chemicals in ambient air [20]. Recently applications in the fields of medical diagnostics and food quality have been developed. Among these are monitoring processes of beer 73 fermentation [21], determining the spoilage and freshness of muscle food products [22] and detection of molds [23]. These applications take advantage of the fact that IMS has a 74 high sensitivity for compounds with high proton affinity or high electro-negativity values 75 and that the ion chemistry can be controlled to enhance the response to the target analytes 76 while avoiding interferences from many other chemicals that may be present in the 77 matrix. Several chlorophenol derivatives have been studied by liquid chromatography 78 79 followed by electrospray ionization and ion mobility spectrometry (LC-ESI-IMS) [24]. In a couple of recent publications by Márquez-Sillero et al. 2,46-TCA was determined in 80 water and wine samples by ionic liquid-based single-drop micro-extraction and ion 81 mobility spectrometry [25-26]. The limit of detection that was reported, 0.2 ng L^{-1} [25] or 82 0.01 ng L^{-1} for a 2 mL wine sample [26], appears to have considerably superseded all 83 84 other methods.

The objective of the current work was to study the atmospheric pressure gas-phase ion chemistry of 2,4,6-trichloroanisole that pertains to IMS in positive and negative modes and to determine the limit of detection of IMS for 2,4,6-TCA. This is also the first study of the potential of a stand-alone IMS for direct determination of TCA without GC preseparation or other method for preconcentration. Based on these results we assess the potential for using this technique to monitor off flavor in wine.

91

2. Materials and methods

92 2.1 Sample preparation and inlet system

93 2,4,6-trichloroanisole (TCA) (CAS 87-40-1) was purchased from Aldrich (lot
94 #MKBG3491V) and used without further purification after its purity was tested with GC-

MS (see below). Headspace vapor vials with a volume of 20 mL sealed with 20 mm crimp and 20 mm PTFE/silicone septum3 (all from ChemLab, Barcelona) were used throughout the study. Stock solutions were prepared by weighing samples of TCA and dissolving them in dichloromethane (DCM, CAS 75-09-2, Fluka 66750, 98%) or in ethanol (99.5%, Panreac Sintesis, Barcelona) yielding concentrations of 2.03 and 2.89 μ g μ L⁻¹, respectively. The DCM stock solution was diluted tenfold to produce a solution with 0.2 μ g μ L⁻¹.

Duplicate samples of TCA, containing 2 to 40 µg, were prepared by pipetting a known 102 103 volume of the stock solution, or diluted solution, on a piece (about 5x3 mm) of filter 104 paper (Fisherbrand code 1490) that was placed in a headspace vial. The vial was sealed immediately after the solution was deposited on the filter paper to avoid loss of the 105 solvent and analyte. After at least five minutes at room temperature (about 25°C) for 106 evaporation and equilibration the vial was inserted into a homemade aluminum heater 107 108 that was kept at 100°C for two minutes in order to vaporize the sample. The temperature in the center of the top part of the vial was about 70°C. At that time two needles pierced 109 the septum: one was connected to a tube that carried a 400 mL min⁻¹ stream of purified 110 air, or air seeded with dichloromethane as a dopant, and the other needle was connected 111 through a short piece (about 10 cm) of 1/8" Teflon tubing to the IMS. It was assumed that 112 113 absorption of TCA vapor on the surface of the tubing would be minimal due to the high flow rate through the narrow tube. 114

115 An additional stock solution containing 15 μ g μ L⁻¹ of 2,4,6-TCA in ethanol was also 116 prepared and a 25 μ L aliquot (containing 375 μ g of TCA) was added to 225 μ L of white 117 wine or red wine. A blank sample was prepared by adding 25 μ L of pure ethanol to 225

µL of wine. Each sample was placed on a 55 mm diameter filter paper and allowed to
evaporate to dryness in a hood and then folded and placed in a headspace vapor vial.
Analysis of these sealed vials was carried out as described above.

121 In addition, 8.5 mg of 2,4,6-TCA were placed inside a 20 mL headspace vial that was

sealed. Taking 2.065 Pa as the vapor pressure of TCA at 25°C [27], the amount of TCA vapors in 20 mL at equilibrium was calculated as 5.45 μ g and this served as means to estimate the sensitivity of the system. Exponential dilution could not be carried out with this system as only a fraction of the 2,4,6-TCA was vaporized.

A permeation tube containing 2,4,6-TCA was prepared and placed in a gas generator (Owlstone OVG-4, UK). At a controlled temperature of 100°C with airflow of 400 mL min⁻¹ the concentration of TCA vapors was 1.7 ppm. At lower temperatures the concentration of TCA was below the limit of detection of the instrument.

130 **2.2** The ion mobility spectrometer

The ion mobility spectrometer used in the present study was the handheld Gas Detector 131 Array 2 (GDA2, Airsense Analytics, Germany). In addition to the IMS the GDA2 132 comprises a Photo Ionization Detector (PID), two semiconductor gas sensors (SC) and an 133 electrochemical cell (EC) but in the present study only the IMS was used. The IMS, 134 based on ⁶³Ni ionization, was operated in both positive and negative modes. The 135 instrument was switched on and allowed 30 minutes for stabilization before 136 measurements began. The operating temperature of the drift tube was 44°C. The sampling 137 airflow was set at 400 mL min⁻¹ and the measurements were made with no internal 138 dilution of the sample. 139

140 **2.3 Signal Processing for the mobility spectra**

The signal processing consisted on three main blocks: (i) in the first block spectral 141 preprocessing was carried out, (ii) spectral resolution was performed in the second block 142 143 and (iii) finally peak intensity calibration and estimation of the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were processed in the third block. The dataset to 144 perform the tasks was comprised of 8 samples with 0 to 40 µg of TCA deposited on the 145 filter paper and four blank samples measured separately and used uniquely for the 146 purpose of LOD and LOQ estimation. All the spectral signal processing, as well as the 147 estimations of LOD and LOQ was performed using the negative polarity spectra of the 148 IMS. 149

Pre-processing of the mobility spectra included baseline correction, peak alignment and 150 noise filtering. The baseline from each spectrum was corrected by fitting and subtracting 151 a fourth order polynomial using the first 150 points (from 1 to 5.51 ms) and the last 295 152 153 points (from 19.15 to 28.09 ms) of the spectrum where no peaks were identified. 154 Additionally, noise reduction was performed using second order Savitzky-Golay filter [28] with a 15 points sliding window. Finally, the slight misalignment of each spectrum 155 was corrected with shift in x-axis (drift time) taking the position of the reactant ion peaks 156 (RIP) as reference. This pre-processing procedure was applied independently spectrum by 157 spectrum. 158

159 Once spectra had been pre-processed, spectral resolution was performed. In order to carry 160 out this task, multivariate curve resolution (MCR-LASSO) [29] was applied to the data 161 matrix yielding a spectral profile and concentration profile. MCR-LASSO is a recent version of MCR-ALS [30] that uses an instrument model and LASSO regression to improve curve resolution in IMS. The number of pure variables associated with the IMS spectra measurements, was selected by visual inspection of the original spectra. Afterwards, the technique provided the spectral profile of each pure variable and the concentration profiles along the sample transient, for every individual spectrum profile.

Although the use of IMS for quantification purposes is scant, the use of MCR signal 167 processing on IMS spectra has been previously considered [31]. In the present study, a 168 169 partial least squares model was built based on the concentration profiles obtained from 170 MCR-LASSO. The input pattern for each sample consisted in the concatenation of the concentration profiles for two ionic species related with TCA monomer and dimer ions. 171 The dimension of this vector is 26 (13 spectra x 2 pure components). The final matrix to 172 build the calibration model is 8 samples x 26. PLS model order was decided by a cross-173 validation procedure (leave one out) optimizing the RMSECV (root mean square error in 174 175 cross validation).

Once the model had been built, four blank samples, which were measured separately,
were projected over the calibration model, and their predicted value was used to estimate
LOD and LOQ. The limit of detection and limit of quantification determination was
carried out in accordance with IUPAC [32]:

- 180 $LOD = \overline{y} + K_D \sigma$
- 181 $K_D = t(v,\alpha)(1+1/nb)^{1/2}$

$$LOQ = \overline{y} + K_Q \sigma$$

$$K_Q = 3K_D$$

184 Where \bar{y} is the mean predicted value for the blanks, σ is the corresponding standard 185 deviation, $t(v, \alpha)$ is the *t*-student distribution value of v degrees of freedom and confidence 186 level α and *nb* is the number of blanks.

187 **2.4 GC-MS measurements**

The purity of the 2,4,6-TCA was determined from GC/MS (Focus GC with DSQ II mass 188 189 spectrometer, Thermo Scientific) measurements of the headspace vapor emanating from a sample of 47 mg that was placed in a 20 mL vial that was hermetically sealed with a 190 PTFE/silicone septum. The sample was thermostatted for 10 min at 100°C under constant 191 stirring. Afterwards, 1 mL of the headspace vapors was introduced into the injector port 192 193 of the gas chromatograph. Chromatographic injection was made in split mode (1:50) at 250°C. A TRB-5MS chromatographic column (30m x 0.25mm i.d., 0.25µm film 194 thickness) was used with an oven temperature program of 60°C (2 min) at 20°C min⁻¹ up 195 to 260°C (2 min). The carrier gas was high-purity helium with a flow-rate of 1.0 mL min⁻ 196 197 ¹. Mass spectra were recorded by electron impact (EI) ionization at 70eV and ion source temperature of 200°C. 198

199 **3. Results and discussion**

3.1 Sample purity

A single peak appeared in the gas chromatogram with a retention time of 8.32 min (Figure 1a). The mass spectrum corresponding to this peak is shown in Figure 1b that displays the mass spectrum of 2,4,6-TCA obtained in full scan mode (mass range 35-350 Da). Identification of TCA was confirmed through the comparison of the NIST-library
mass spectrum of TCA (Figure 1c) with the mass spectrum obtained from the sample.
The ions around m/z 210 are attributed to the quasimolecular ion with typical isotopic
pattern of three chlorine atoms, while the ions around m/z 195 represent the same pattern
after the loss of the methyl group.

209 [Figure 1a, 1b, 1c, about here]

210 **3.2** Reduced mobility values of 2,4,6-Trichloroanisole in positive and negative mode

The ion mobility spectra from the headspace vapor of 2,4,6-trichloroanisole in positive 211 and negative modes in purified air are shown in Figure 2a and 2b, respectively, and the 212 spectra with vapors of dichloromethane as a dopant are depicted in Figure 3a and 3b, 213 respectively. Two peaks with reduced mobility values of 1.58 and 1.20 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ were 214 observed in the positive ion spectra. As an IMS-MS instrument was not available 215 identification of the ions and peak assignment was based on ion chemistry and drift time 216 217 considerations. Thus, these peaks were assumed to arise from a TCA monomer and dimer 218 ions, respectively, as ethers in general are known to form protonated monomers and dimers [33]. 219

The dominant ion in the negative mobility spectrum was an ion with a reduced mobility value of 2.69 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹, identified as the chloride ion that is commonly detected in many aliphatic and aromatic chlorine compounds [20]. The ion with a reduced mobility of 1.64 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹, is quite similar to the ions reported for 2,4,6-, 2,4,5- and 2,3,5- isomers of trichlorophenol with mobility values of 1.617, 1.622 and 1.628 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹, respectively, measured at a drift tube temperature of 216°C [24]. These were identified as analogous to

the pheoxide ion observed in phenol, i. e. in the present work the peak at 1.64 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ 226 was assigned to trichlorophenoxide ($C_6H_2Cl_3O^-$) probably formed by loss of the methyl 227 group. Other peaks in the negative ion mobility spectra were observed with reduced 228 mobility values of 1.48 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ and 1.13 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹. The former was assumed to be an 229 adduct between a TCA molecule and a chloride ion and the latter a chloride bridged 230 dimer ion. These assignments are based on the fact that aromatic compounds in general, 231 232 like molecules of aromatic explosives, tend to form such adducts with negative ions under conditions that prevail in the IMS drift tube [34]. These assignments are supported 233 by the fact that when dichloromethane is used as a dopant the intensity of the peak at 1.48 234 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ assigned to the chloride adduct increases relative to the peak at 1.64 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ 235 that was attributed to the phenoxide species. 236

237 [Figure 2 and 3 about here]

238 **3.3** Relative Sensitivity for TCA dissolved in dichloromethane, ethanol and wine

239 The relative sensitivity of the detection system for 2,4,6-TCA dissolved in dichloromethane, ethanol and wine can be assessed from measurements of TCA deposited on 240 241 filter paper in a headspace vial. The relative signal intensities in positive and negative mode are summarized in Table 1, and evidently the sensitivity decreases in the order 242 DCM>Ethanol>wine. The relatively low sensitivity for TCA in wine could be in part due 243 to the long time allowed for drying of the sample that could have also resulted in loss of 244 245 some of the TCA in the spike. It should be noted that several new peaks appear in the positive and negative mobility spectra of the blanks and spiked wine samples. 246

The relative recovery efficiency can be derived from these measurements. Thus, if we assume that the recovery of TCA from dichloromethane solution is unity then recovery from ethanol solution, white wine and red wine would be 56%, 7% and 9%, respectively, on average for the three main ion species.

The dichloromethane dopant increased the sensitivity of the system in negative mode and hardly affected the signal intensity in positive mode. In the present system the sensitivity is practically doubled with the addition of the dopant, which is reflected in the intensity of the signals of the ions at 1.48 and $1.13 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$.

255 [Table 1 about here]

256 **3.4** Calibration of the IMS system for 2,4,6-TCA and the limit of detection

A calibration curve was prepared for 2,4,6-TCA dissolved in dichloromethane and deposited on a piece of filter paper placed in a headspace vial that was sealed and heated before measurement. The spectra were processed according to the procedure described above in improve the quality of the quantitative information.

Figure 4a depicts the pure negative mode ion mobility spectra of TCA obtained by MCR-261 LASSO and shows that the method has perfectly identified the presence of two main 262 peaks in the selected drift time range of interest. They are the pure spectra profiles of the 263 TCA monomer and dimer ions. As the headspace vapor is carried from the vial to the 264 265 IMS the concentration first increases, reaches a maximum after 5 to 9 seconds and then decreases as the vapor is diluted by the carrier stream. These concentration profiles of 266 the TCA monomer ion (Ko=1.48 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹) are presented in Figure 4b for samples 267 containing 0-40 µg of TCA. 268

269 [Figure 4a and 4b about here]

²⁷⁰ "Leave one out" cross-validation procedure indicated that the optimum latent variable ²⁷¹ was five. A plot of the predicted concentrations against the real values can be observed in ²⁷² Figure 5. The root mean square error in cross-validation was 1.4 μ g, and the R² was 0.99.

273 [Figure 5 about here]

The limit of quantification was $4.3\mu g$ and the limit of detection was found to be 1.7 μg of 2,4,6-TCA deposited from a dichloromethane solution on a piece of filter paper placed in a headspace vial.

277 **4.** Conclusions

This work presents a discussion of the gas phase ion chemistry pertaining to ion mobility 278 spectrometry measurements of 2,4,6-trichloroanisole in positive and negative modes. 279 Even without definitive identification based on IMS-MS measurements the ions observed 280 in the positive and negative ion mobility spectra can be assigned consistently according to 281 sound arguments based on gas-phase ion chemistry and mass-mobility considerations. In 282 positive mode two ionic species were attributed to the protonated monomer and dimer, 283 and in negative mode a trichlorophenoxide ion as well as a monomer and dimer formed 284 through chloride ion attachment were observed. The reduced mobility values of these 285 ions in air at 44°C are reported here for the first time. The experimental set up can 286 perhaps be improved by heating the tubing between the sample vial and the IMS inlet 287 port, although there was no evidence that absorption of TCA vapor on the tubing played a 288 role. 289

290 Calibration curves were prepared and the limit of detection of the system was determined to be 1.7 µg for a sample dissolved in dichloromethane and deposited on filter paper. This 291 limit of detection is inferior by several orders of magnitude to the limit of detection 292 reported recently [25,26]. However, a close examination of the mobility spectra displayed 293 294 in those reports shows that the calculation of the LOD was based on preconcentration and pre-separation of the TCA and on measurement of the chloride ion while in the present 295 296 work an ion species that arises specifically from the 2,4,6-TCA analyte was used for the LOD calculation and the IMS was operated as a stand-alone device. 297

Determination of 2,4,6-trichloroanisole in wine requires pre-concentration (enrichment) and pre-separation and a sensitive analytical device for measuring the signal intensity. The present work did not address the techniques for pre-treatment of wine samples and focused on the potential for using ion mobility spectrometry as the measurement device. The limit of detection found here would require a substantial enrichment factor, especially considering that the "off flavor" attributed to TCA is apparent at levels below 10 ng L⁻¹.

305

306 Acknowledgements

A.V.G, D.C., A.P. and S.M are part of a consolidated research group recognized by the *Generalitat de Catalunya* (2009-SGR-0753). A.V.G acknowledges a PhD grant from IBEC, and D.C. a *Juan de la Cierva* post-doctoral Fellowship from the Spanish Government.

311 References

- 312 [1] C. Silva Pereira, J.J. Figueiredo-Marques, M.V. San Romão, Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 26
 313 (2000) 147-162.
- 314 [2] J.J. Rubio-Coque, M.L. Álvarez-Rodríguez, M. Goswami, R. Feltrer-Martínez,
- 315 "Causes and origins of wine contamination by haloanisoles (chloroanisoles and
- bromoanisoles)", Institute of Biotechnology of León (INBIOTEC), León, SPAIN, 2006.
- 317 [3] M.A. Sefton, R.F. Simpson, Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.11 (2005) 226-240.
- 318 [4] H.R. Buser, C. Zainer, H. Tanner, J. Agric. Food Chem. 30 (1982) 359–362.
- [5] C.M. Owen, J. Patterson., D. Frank, R. Smith, Australasian Association for
 Chemosensory Science 5th Annual Meeting, Heron Island, Australia, 2002.
- [6] J. Prescott, L. Norris, M. Kunst, S. Kim, Food Quality and Preference 16 (2005) 345–
 349.
- 323 [7] M.L. Álvarez-Rodríguez, L. López-Ocaña, J.M. López-Coronado, E. Rodríguez,
- M.J. Martínez, G. Larriba, J.J.R. Coque, Appl Environ Microbiol 68 (2002) 5860–5869.
- 325 [8] L. Maggi, V. Mazzoleni, M.D. Fumi, M.R. Salinas, Food Additives & Contaminants,
- Part A: Chemistry, Analysis, Control, Exposure & Risk Assessment 25 (2008) 265-269;
- S. Prak, Z. Gunata, J.P. Guiraud, S. Schorr-Galindo, Food Microbiology 24 (2007) 271280.
- 329 [9] M. Riu, M. Mestres, O. Busto, J. Guasch, Anal. Chim. Acta 563 (2006) 310-314.
- [10] G. Bianco, G. Novario, R. Zianni, T. R. I. Cataldi, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 393 (2009)
 2019-2027.
- [11] A. Zalacain, G.L. Alonso, C. Lorenzo, M. Iniguez, M.R. Salinas, J. Chromatog. A
 1033 (2004) 173-178.

- 334 [12] N. Ochiai, K. Sasamoto, J. Chromatog. A 1218 (2011) 3180-3185.
- 335 [13] C. Pizarro, C. Saenz-Gonzalez, N. Perez-del-Notario, J.M. Gonzalez-Saiz, J.
- 336 Chromatog. A 1218 (2011) 1576-1584
- 337 [14] G. Weingart, H. Schwartz, R. Eder, G. Sontag, European Food Research and
 338 Technology 231 (2010) 771-779
- 339 [15] A.R. Fontana, S.H. Patil, K. Banerjee, J.C. Altamirano, J. Agricultural and Food
 340 Chemistry 58 (2010) 4576-4581.
- [16] M.L. Alvarez-Rodriguez, E. Recio, J.J.R. Coque, European Food Research and
 Technology 230 (2009) 135-143.
- [17] S. Joensson, J. Hagberg, B. van Bavel, J. Agricultural and Food Chem. 56 (2008)
 4962-4967.
- [18] P. Vlachos, A. Kampioti, M. Kornaros, G. Lyberatos, Food Chem. 105 (2007) 681690.
- [19] R. Alzaga, L. Ortiz, F.Sánchez-Baeza, M.P. Marco, J.M. Bayona, J. Agricultural and
 Food Chem. 51 (2003) 3509-3514,
- [20] G.A. Eiceman, Z. Karpas, "Ion mobility spectrometry Second edition", CRC Press,
 Boca Raton, 2005.
- [21] W. Vautz, J.I. Baumbach, J. Jung, Intern. J. Ion Mobility Spectrom. 7 (2004) 1-3.
- 352 [22] Z. Karpas, B. Tilman, R. Gdalevsky and A. Lorber, Anal. Chim. Acta 463 (2002)
- 353 155–163; G.M. Bota, P.B. Harrington, Talanta 68 (2006) 629-635.

- [23] V. Ruzsanyi, J.I. Baumbach, G.A. Eiceman, Int. J. Ion Mobility Spectrom. 6 (2003)
 53–57.
- 356 [24] F.K. Tadjimukhamedov, et al., Int. J. Ion Mobility Spec. 11 (2008) 51-60.
- 357 [25] I. Márquez-Sillero, E. Aguilera-Herrador, S. Cárdenas, M. Valcárcel, Anal. Chim.
 358 Acta 702 (2011) 199–204.
- 359 [26] I. Márquez-Sillero, S. Cárdenas, M. Valcárcel, J. Chromatog. A 1218 (42) (2010)
 360 7574-7580.
- 361 [27] D. Mackay, W. Y. Shiu, K-C Ma, S.C, Lee, "Handbook of Physical –Chemical
- 362 Properties and Environmental Fate for Organic Chemicals, Second Edition", p. 2340,
- 363 Taylor & Francis, FL. 2006.
- 364 [28] A. Savitzsky, M.J.E. Golay, Anal. Chem. 36 (1964) 1627–1639.
- 365 [29] V. Pomareda, D,Calvo, A. Pardo, S. Marco, Chemomentrics and Intel. Lab. Syst,
 366 104(2010), 318-332
- 367 [30] R. Tauler, Chemeometrics and Intel. Lab. Syst, 30(1995), (133-146)
- 368 [31] L.B. Cao, P.D. Harrington, J.D. Liu. Anal Chem. 2005, 77, 2575-2586
- [32] J. Mocak, A.M. Bond, S. Mitchell, S. Scollary, Pure & Appl. Chem. 69 (1997) 297328.
- 371 [33] M.M. Metro, R.A. Keller, J. Chromatog. Sci. 11 (1973) 520-524.
- [34] A.H. Lawrence, P. Neudorfl, J.A. Stone, Intern. J. Mass Spectrom. 209 (2001) 185195.

- 374 List of Figures:
- Figure 1a: The gas chromatogram of the headspace vapor of 2,4,6-trichloroanisole
- **Figure 1b:** The mass spectrum of the peak at 8.32 min in the chromatogram;
- **Figure 1c:** The mass spectrum of 2,4,6-trichloroanisole (NIST Database)
- **Figure 2a**: Mobility of TCA without dopant. Positive mode;
- **Figure 2b:** Mobility of TCA without dopant Negative mode
- **Figure 3a:** Mobility of TCA with dopant. Positive mode.
- **Figure 3b:** Mobility od TCA-with dopant. Negative mode.
- **Figure 4a:** Pure negative mode ion mobility spectra of TCA obtained by MCR-LASSO
- **Figure 4b:** Concentration profile of TCA obtained by MCR-LASSO
- Figure 5: Predicted concentration value against real concentration value using PLSmodel.
- 386

ive Abundance	100 proprint 90 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	TC, RT	A47m 2.98-	g Split-ratio	50	2				8.32				NL: 4.82E7 TIC MS 11060302			
Rela	20 10 30 3 10 3	i 3.65 11-11-11	4.29 4	<u>4.80_5.26_۸</u> سرسرم <u>ج</u> لمرسرم	6.21_6	48 6.80 7	7. <u>39</u> 7.75	8.24 6	35 	9.27_9.59 g ^{lung ng ng ng} n)	9.9 <u>6 1(</u>	<u>).56 11.2</u>	5 <u>11.74</u>	12.24 12.71	12.93 13.51	13.91 (************************************	

387 Figure 1a

390 Figure 1b



393 Figure 1c



396 Figure 2a







405 Figure 3b



409 Figure 4a



412 Figure



413

415 Figure 5



418 **Table 1**. The relative sensitivity $[\mu V/\mu g]$ of the GDA2 to 2,4,6-trichloroanisole dissolved 419 in dichloromethane, ethanol and wine and deposited on filter paper in a heated headspace 420 vial. The recovery efficiency relative to TCA in dichloromethane solution is shown in 421 parenthesis.

Sensitivity	Positive spectra	Negative spectra	Negative spectra		
[µV/µg]	at K ₀ =1.58	at K ₀ =1.64	at K ₀ =1.48		
Red wine spiked			47 (5.6%)		
with 375 µg TCA	45 (8%)	95 (13%)			
White wine spiked					
with 375µg TCA	44 (8%)	28 (4%)	77 (9%)		
58 µg TCA in Ethanol	450 (78%)	470 (65%)	200 (24%)		
60 μg TCA in CH ₂ Cl ₂	580	720	840		

423

422

._.