

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Food Research International



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodres

# Association of microbiota polyphenols with cardiovascular health in the context of a Mediterranean diet

Inés Domínguez-López<sup>a,b,c,1</sup>, Camila Arancibia-Riveros<sup>a,b,1</sup>, María Marhuenda-Muñoz<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Anna Tresserra-Rimbau<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Estefanía Toledo<sup>c,d</sup>, Montserrat Fitó<sup>c,e</sup>, Emilio Ros<sup>c,f</sup>, Ramon Estruch<sup>c,g</sup>, Rosa M. Lamuela-Raventós<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departament de Nutrició, Ciències de l'Alimentació i Gastronomia, Universitat de Barcelona (UB), Av. de Joan XXII, 27-31, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Institut de Nutrició i Seguretat Alimentària (INSA), Universitat de Barcelona (UB), Santa Coloma de Gramanet, Spain

<sup>c</sup> CIBER Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBEROBN), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, 28029 Madrid, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Navarra, IdiSNA, 31008 Pamplona, Spain

e Unit of Cardiovascular Risk and Nutrition, Institut Hospital del Mar de Investigaciones Médicas (IMIM), 08007 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>f</sup> Department of Endocrinology, Hospital Clinic, IDIBAPS, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>8</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital Clinic, Institut d'Investigació Biomèdica August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

| ARTICLE INFO   | A B S T R A C T  |
|--|--|
| Keywords:<br>PREDIMED<br>Mediterranean diet<br>LTQ-Orbitrap-MS<br>Gut microbiota<br>Polyphenols<br>Antioxidants<br>Postbiotics<br>Healthy diet | <i>Background and aims</i> : The Mediterranean diet (MedDiet) is rich in polyphenols, phytochemicals that are bene-<br>ficial for cardiovascular health. Phenolic compounds have poor bioavailability but they are extensively metab-<br>olized by the gut microbiota. Therefore, we aimed to assess the association of microbial phenolic metabolites<br>(MPM) with adherence to the MedDiet, and their relationship with ideal cardiovascular health (ICVH) and<br>cardiovascular risk factors.<br><i>Methods and results</i> : This cross-sectional substudy within the PREDIMED trial included 200 participants from the<br>Barcelona-Clinic recruitment center. Five MPM were identified and quantified using a novel method based on<br>liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry: protocatechuic acid (PCA), enterodiol glucuronide (EDG),<br>enterolactone glucuronide (ELG), vanillic acid glucuronide (VAG) and urolithin B glucuronide (UBG).<br>Multivariable-adjusted regressions were used to evaluate the associations between MPM and MedDiet adherence,<br>ICVH score, biochemical parameters, and blood pressure. Additionally, an MPM score was calculated as the<br>weighted sum of MedDiet adherence and ICVH and found to be directly associated. Among individual poly-<br>phenols, UBG was inversely associated with LDL-cholesterol.<br><i>Conclusions</i> : A score of urinary MPM was associated with higher adherence to the MedDiet and ICVH, and in-<br>dividual MPM were related to better cardiovascular health. These findings suggest that the MedDiet may affect<br>gut microbiota, whose metabolites are linked with cardiovascular health. |

#### 1. Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD), which include various heart and circulatory system disorders, are the leading cause of premature death in Europe (Francula-Zaninovic & Nola, 2018). Individual characteristics and habits, such as smoking, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia,

diabetes mellitus, obesity, and an unhealthy diet, have been identified as CVD risk factors (Joseph et al., 2017; Piepoli & Villani, 2017). In 2010, the American Heart Association (AHA) proposed an ideal cardiovascular health (ICVH) score with the purpose of improving overall health and reducing deaths from CVD (Lloyd-Jones et al., 2010). The ICVH score is based on 7 parameters of ideal health behaviors (nonsmoking, body

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2023.112499

Received 21 September 2022; Received in revised form 4 January 2023; Accepted 20 January 2023 Available online 24 January 2023

0963-9969/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviations: CVD, Cardiovascular diseases; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; EDG, enterodiol glucuronide; ELG, enterolactone glucuronide; HDL-cholesterol, highdensity lipoprotein-cholesterol; ICVH, ideal cardiovascular health; LTQ-Orbitrap-MS, linear ion trap quadrupole-Orbitrap-mass spectrometry; LDL-cholesterol, lowdensity lipoprotein-cholesterol; MedDiet, Mediterranean diet; MPM, microbial phenolic metabolites; PCA, protocatechuic acid; TG, triglycerides; SBP, systolic blood pressure; UBG, urolithin B glucuronide; VAG, vanillic acid glucuronide.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Av. Joan XXIII, 27-31, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.

E-mail address: lamuela@ub.edu (R.M. Lamuela-Raventós).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Equally contributing authors.

mass index (BMI) < 25 kg/m2, appropriate physical activity, and healthy diet) and ideal health factors (total cholesterol < 200 mg/dL, blood pressure < 120/80 mmHg, and fasting blood glucose < 100 mg/dL). The benefits of meeting the 7 health metrics have been demonstrated in numerous epidemiological studies in different countries and populations, adherence being inversely associated with CVD (Ahmad et al., 2019; Aneni et al., 2017).

Diet and cardiovascular health are closely related, as certain nutrients and phytochemicals play a major role in the prevention or development of CVD (GBD, 2013). As the effects of individual nutrients depend on interactions with other components of food, it can be more useful to assess their impact within the context of a diet or dietary pattern rather than separately (Rinaldi de Alvarenga et al., 2019).

The Mediterranean diet (MedDiet) is proven to reduce the risk of developing CVD (Estruch et al., 2018). Based on the consumption of extra virgin olive oil, nuts, fruits, vegetables, whole grains, legumes, fish, and moderate quantities of wine (Bach-Faig et al., 2011), this dietary pattern is rich in healthy fats and other antioxidant bioactive molecules such as polyphenols (Tresserra-Rimbau et al., 2013). Although the intake of polyphenols has been demonstrated to improve cardiovascular health (Li et al., 2020; Tresserra-Rimbau, Rimm, Medina-Remón, Martínez-González, López-Sabater, et al., 2014), their bioavailability in the small intestine is low and 90-95 % of them reach the large intestine/colon, where they undergo enzymatic transformations catalyzed by the gut microbiota (Cardona et al., 2013; Marhuenda-Muñoz et al., 2019). After absorption, these microbial phenolic metabolites (MPM) may exert biological effects but to date, studies assessing the positive benefits of different classes of MPM on cardiovascular health are scarce.

The present study applied an innovative method to determine and quantify MPM in a subpopulation of the PREDIMED trial using a linear ion trap quadrupole-Orbitrap-mass spectrometer (LTQ-Orbitrap-MS), which provides accurate structural information to identify and quantify novel compounds. We aimed to assess the relationship between MPM and MedDiet adherence, as well as to evaluate their association with the ICVH score and cardiovascular risk factors in an elderly Mediterranean population.

# 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study design

A cross-sectional analysis was carried out using baseline data from the PREDIMED (PREvención con DIeta MEDiterránea) trial, a large, parallel-group, multicenter, randomized, controlled, five-year clinical trial that examined the effect of the traditional MedDiet on the primary prevention of CVD (https://www.predimed.es). The details of the study design can be found elsewhere (M. Á. Martínez-González et al., 2012). A total of 7,447 participants were recruited in Spain between October 2003 and December 2010, the men aged 55–80 years and women, 60–80 years. Eligible participants were free of CVD at baseline and presented type-2 diabetes or at least three of the following cardiovascular risk factors: current smoking, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, overweight/obesity or family history of premature CVD.

In the present study, 200 randomly selected participants from the PREDIMED-Hospital Clinic recruitment center (Barcelona) were included. Extreme total energy intake (>3500 or < 500 kcal/day in women or > 4000 or < 800 kcal/day in men) was an exclusion criterion for this subanalysis (Fernández-Ballart et al., 2010).

The Institutional Review Board of the Hospital Clinic (Barcelona, Spain), accredited by the US Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) update for Federal-wide Assurance for the Protection of Human Subjects for International (Non-US) Institutions #00000738, approved the study protocol on July 16, 2002. All participants provided informed consent and signed a written consent form.

#### 2.2. Covariate assessment

Dietary intake was assessed using a validated, semi-quantitative 137item food frequency questionnaire with the assistance of trained dietitians (Fernández-Ballart et al., 2010). To assess MedDiet adherence, a 14-item questionnaire with a value of 0 or 1 for each dietary component was used. Each item is related to a specific feature of the MedDiet, and the higher the overall score, the greater the adherence (Martínez-González, Buil-Cosiales, et al., 2019).

Trained personnel recorded the anthropometric and clinical measurements of the participants, including height, weight, waist circumference, and blood pressure. BMI was calculated by dividing the body weight in kilograms by the squared height in cm. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured in triplicate with a validated semi-automatic oscillometer (Omron HEM-705CP, Lake Forest, IL, USA). The Minnesota Leisure-Time Physical Activity Questionnaire was used to assess physical activity (metabolic equivalent tasks per minute per day, METS min/day) of the participants (Elosua et al., 2000).

During the first screening visit, data on medical conditions, family history of disease, and risk factors were collected through a questionnaire. Biological samples (plasma and urine) were taken at baseline after a 12 h overnight fast and stored at -80 °C until analysis. Blood glucose, total cholesterol, triglycerides (TG), and high-density lipoproteincholesterol (HDL-cholesterol) were determined by standard enzymatic methods, and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-cholesterol) was calculated by the Friedewald equation (Estruch et al., 2006).

#### 2.3. Microbial phenolic metabolite analysis

#### 2.3.1. Standards and reagents

Protocatechuic acid (PCA), enterodiol, urolithin-A, and urolithin-B were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The internal standard (+)*cis,trans*-abscisic acid d<sub>6</sub> was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Vanillic acid, enterolactone and creatinine were obtained from Fluka (St. Louis, MO, USA). Standards were stored in powder form and protected from light.

The reagents were purchased from the following commercial suppliers: methanol of LC-MS grade and acetonitrile of HPLC grade from Sigma-Aldrich and formic acid ( $\geq$ 98 %) from Panreac Química S.A. (Barcelona, Spain). Ultrapure water (Milli-Q) was generated by a Millipore system (Bedford, MA, USA).

#### 2.3.2. Sample preparation

Urinary MPM were determined following a method previously validated by our research group with minor modifications (Laveriano-santos et al., 2022). Briefly, urine samples (50  $\mu$ L) diluted 1:20 (*v*:*v*) with Milli-Q Water and 100  $\mu$ L of the internal standard abscisic acid d<sub>6</sub> were acidified with 2  $\mu$ L of formic acid. After centrifugation at 14,000 g for 4 min at 4 °C, the acidified urines underwent solid-phase extraction in Water Oasis HLB 96-well plates 30  $\mu$ m (30 mg) (Water Oasis, Milford, MA, USA) to extract the phenolic metabolites and eliminate unwanted compounds. After activating the plate with 1.5 M formic acid, the samples were loaded and cleaned with 1.5 M formic acid and 0.5 % methanol. The MPM were eluted with methanol acidified with 0.1 % formic acid, evaporated to dryness with nitrogen gas and reconstituted with 100  $\mu$ L of 0.05 % formic acid. Finally, the samples were vortexed for 20 min and filtered through 0.22  $\mu$ m polytetrafluoroethylene 96-well plate filters (Millipore, Massachusetts, USA).

Urine concentrations of MPM were corrected by urine creatinine, which was measured according to the adapted Jaffé alkaline picrate method for 96-well plates described by Medina-Remón et al. (2009). Urine concentrations of MPM were expressed as µmol per mg creatinine.

#### 2.3.3. LTQ Orbitrap ESI analysis

The analysis was performed on an LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass

#### Table 1

General characteristics of the participants (n = 200).

| Characteristics                 |                                      |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Women, %                        | 54.5                                 |
| Age, years                      | $66.1\pm5.3$                         |
| Weight, kg                      | $\textbf{76.6} \pm \textbf{11.7}$    |
| BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>          | $29.5 \pm 3.4$                       |
| Diabetes Mellitus, %            | 51.0                                 |
| Dyslipidaemia, %                | 79.0                                 |
| Hypertension, %                 | 76.0                                 |
| Current smoker, %               | 15.0                                 |
| Education level, %              |                                      |
| Low                             | 66.5                                 |
| Medium & High                   | 33.5                                 |
| Physical activity, METS-min/day | $291.0\pm266.9$                      |
| Total energy intake, kcal/day   | $\textbf{2394.1} \pm \textbf{500.8}$ |

METS, Metabolic Equivalents.

Continuous variables are shown as means  $\pm$  SDs, and categorical variables are shown as percentages.

#### Table 2

Means and SD of urine concentrations of MPM (micromole per mg of creatinine) of the participants (n = 200).

| Metabolites (Mean $\pm$ SD) |                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Protocatechuic acid         | $0.009\pm0.020$ |
| Vanillic acid glucuronide   | $0.222\pm0.393$ |
| Enterodiol glucuronide      | $0.003\pm0.004$ |
| Enterolactone glucuronide   | $0.020\pm0.026$ |
| Urolithin B glucuronide     | $0.158\pm0.605$ |

MPM, microbial phenolic metabolites.

#### Table 3

Multivariable adjusted regression between Mediterranean diet adherence diet and MPM.

|                           |         | β (95 % CI)        | p-value |
|---------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| Protocatechuic acid       | Model 1 | 0.68 (0.14; 1.22)  | 0.013*  |
|                           | Model 2 | 0.62 (0.09; 1.15)  | 0.022   |
| Vanillic acid glucuronide | Model 1 | 0.41 (-0.15; 0.97) | 0.150   |
|                           | Model 2 | 0.34 (-0.23; 0.91) | 0.244   |
| Enterodiol glucuronide    | Model 1 | 0.36 (-0.27; 0.98) | 0.260   |
|                           | Model 2 | 0.30 (-0.31; 0.93) | 0.347   |
| Enterolactone glucuronide | Model 1 | 0.64 (-0.10; 1.18) | 0.019*  |
|                           | Model 2 | 0.55 (0.01; 1.10)  | 0.046   |
| Urolithin B glucuronide   | Model 1 | 0.35 (-0.17; 0.88) | 0.181   |
|                           | Model 2 | 0.42 (-0.12; 0.96) | 0.125   |
| MPM Score                 | Model 1 | 0.70 (0.14; 1.26)  | 0.021   |
|                           | Model 2 | 0.62 (0.06; 1.18)  | 0.041   |

MPM, microbial phenolic metabolites.

β, difference between groups; CI, confidence interval.

\*This difference remained statistically significant after adjusting for multiple comparisons.

Model 1: sex and age.

Model 2: sex, age, smoking habit, educational level, BMI, physical activity, and total energy intake.

spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, UK) equipped with an ESI source working in negative mode, as described elsewhere (Laveriano-santos et al., 2022). Chromatographic separation was performed on a Kinetex F5 100 Å (50 × 4.6 mm × 2.6 µm) from Phenomenex (Torrance, CA, USA). Mobile phases A and B were, respectively, 0.05 % formic acid in water and 0.05 % formic acid in acetonitrile. The following linear gradient was used: held at 98 %A for 1.7 min, decreased to 92 %A for 3 min, decreased to 80 %A for 1.3 min, decreased to 70 %A for 1.3 min, decreased to 50 % for 0.1 min, decreased to 0 % for 1.3 min, then returned to the initial conditions for 1.7 min and re-equilibrated for 3 min. The flow rate was set at 0.750 mL/min and the injection volume was 5 µL. Table 4

Frequency of participants with higher scores for overall ICVH and individual metrics.

| n (%)      |
|------------|
|            |
| 1 (0.5)    |
| 16 (8.0)   |
| 67 (33.5)  |
| 63 (31.5)  |
| 43 (21.5)  |
| 10 (5.0)   |
|            |
| 164 (82.0) |
| 12 (6.0)   |
| 167 (83.5) |
| 83 (41.5)  |
| 64 (32.0)  |
| 8 (4.0)    |
| 63 (31.5)  |
|            |

ICVH, ideal cardiovascular health.

#### Table 5

Multivariable adjusted regression between overall ICVH and individual metrics and MPM score.

|                    | β (95 % CI)          | <i>p</i> -value |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Overall ICVH score | 1.29 (0.52; 2.07)    | 0.001           |
| Individual Metrics |                      |                 |
| Smoking status     | 0.07 (-0.18; 0.35)   | 0.523           |
| Body mass index    | 0.02 (-0.18; 0.23)   | 0.839           |
| Physical activity  | 0.20 (-0.11; 0.51)   | 0.201           |
| Diet               | 0.65 (0.28; 1.01)    | 0.001           |
| Blood pressure     | 0.09 (-0.07; (0.25)  | 0.275           |
| Total cholesterol  | -0.13 (-0.49; (0.22) | 0.457           |
| Glucose            | 0.38 (0.01; 0.75)    | 0.042           |

MPM, microbial phenolic metabolites.

 $\beta$ , difference between groups; CI, confidence interval. Adjusted for sex and age.

#### 2.3.4. Identification and quantification of MPM

Trace Finder software version 4.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) was used to identify and quantify urinary MPM. The glucuronidated and sulfated metabolites were quantified using their respective aglycone equivalents due to the unavailability of standards.

Metabolic differences and high inter-variability are major concerns when assessing metabolites derived from gut microbiota. Due to the wide variability of metabolites identified across the 200 participants, we focused our study on those with less than 20 % of missing values. Thus, the 5 MPM included in the statistical analysis were: PCA, enterodiol glucuronide (EDG), enterolactone glucuronide (ELG), urolithin B glucuronide (UBG), and vanillic acid glucuronide (VAG). When values for these 5 metabolites were missing, half the minimum detectable value was used.

#### 2.4. Ideal cardiovascular health

The ICVH score was calculated based on the 7 health metrics proposed by the AHA (Lloyd-Jones et al., 2010). Thus, a value of 1 was assigned when the participants met the following criteria: never smoked or quit > 12 months ago;  $\geq$  9 points of adherence to the MedDiet;  $\geq$  150 min/week of moderate or  $\geq$  75 min/week of vigorous physical activity equivalent to  $\geq$  500 METS-min/week (Organization, 2020); BMI < 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; total cholesterol < 200 mg/dL; blood pressure < 120/80 mmHg and fasting glucose < 100 mg/dL. The MedDiet was selected as a healthy dietary pattern considering the extensive and robust evidence for its beneficial effect on cardiovascular health (Martínez-González, Gea, et al., 2019). The 9 cut-point score was used to define good adherence to the MedDiet in accordance with previous studies (Hu et al., 2013).

#### Table 6

Multivariable adjusted regression between biochemical parameters and MPM.

|                         | LDL, mg/dL          |                 | HDL, mg/dL           |         | TG, mg/dL           |         | Glucose, mg/dL        |                 |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|
|                         | β (95 % CI)         | <i>p</i> -value | β (95 % CI)          | p-value | β (95 % CI)         | p-value | β (95 % CI)           | <i>p</i> -value |
| Protocatech             | nuic acid           |                 |                      |         |                     |         |                       |                 |
| Model 1                 | 0.09 (-3.98; 4.17)  | 0.964           | -0.01 (-0.04; 0.03)  | 0.688   | -0.02 (-0.08; 0.04) | 0.600   | -0.01 (-0.05; 0.03)   | 0.595           |
| Model 2                 | 0.93 (-2.99; 4.84)  | 0.641           | -0.01 (-0.04; 0.02)  | 0.374   | 0.01 (-0.05; 0.07)  | 0.859   | -0.01 (-0.02; 0.04)   | 0.554           |
| Model 3                 | 1.80 (-2.34; 5.94)  | 0.393           | -0.01 (-0.04; 0.03)  | 0.688   | -0.02 (-0.08; 0.04) | 0.576   | <0.01 (-0.03; 0.03)   | 0.866           |
| Vanillic aci            | d                   |                 |                      |         |                     |         |                       |                 |
| Model 1                 | -1.73 (-5.71; 2.26) | 0.394           | -0.01 (-0.04; 0.03)  | 0.707   | 0.05 (0.01; 0.11)   | 0.102   | 0.01 (-0.03; 0.05)    | 0.594           |
| Model 2                 | -1.54 (-5.37; 2.29) | 0.429           | -0.01 (-0.04; 0.02)  | 0.574   | 0.06 (<0.01; 0.12)  | 0.039   | 0.01 (-0.01; 0.04)    | 0.338           |
| Model 3                 | -1.46 (-5.55; 2.62) | 0.481           | -0.01 (-0.04; 0.03)  | 0.604   | 0.06 (<-0.01; 0.12) | 0.054   | -0.01 (-0.02; 0.04)   | 0.581           |
| Enterodiol              | glucuronide         |                 |                      |         |                     |         |                       |                 |
| Model 1                 | -1.01 (-5.08; 3.05) | 0.624           | 0.01 (-0.02; 0.04)   | 0.604   | <0.01 (-0.06; 0.06) | 0.921   | -0.03 (-0.07; <-0.01) | 0.071           |
| Model 2                 | -0.26 (-4.09; 3.56) | 0.893           | <0.01 (-0.03; 0.03)  | 0.790   | 0.01 (-0.05; 0.06)  | 0.857   | -0.03 (-0.05; <0.01)  | 0.071           |
| Model 3                 | -0.58 (-4.77; 3.61) | 0.786           | 0.01 (-0.02; 0.04)   | 0.604   | <0.01 (-0.06; 0.06) | 0.991   | -0.03 (-0.06; <0.01)  | 0.066           |
| Enterolacto             | one glucuronide     |                 |                      |         |                     |         |                       |                 |
| Model 1                 | 2.71 (-1.24; 6.66)  | 0.178           | 0.02 (-0.01; 0.05)   | 0.238   | -0.03 (-0.09; 0.03) | 0.311   | -0.04 (-0.08; <-0.01) | 0.041           |
| Model 2                 | 2.05 (-1.75; 5.86)  | 0.289           | 0.02 (-0.01; 0.05)   | 0.201   | -0.01 (-0.07; 0.05) | 0.766   | <-0.01 (-0.03; 0.03)  | 0.958           |
| Model 3                 | 2.18 (-2.01; 6.37)  | 0.307           | 0.02 (-0.01; 0.05)   | 0.238   | -0.02 (-0.08; 0.04) | 0.542   | <-0.01 (-0.04; 0.03)  | 0.773           |
| Urolithin B glucuronide |                     |                 |                      |         |                     |         |                       |                 |
| Model 1                 | -3.46 (-7.40; 0.49) | 0.086           | <-0.01 (-0.03; 0.03) | 0.962   | 0.03 (-0.03; 0.09)  | 0.302   | 0.01 (-0.03; 0.05)    | 0.644           |
| Model 2                 | -4.83 (-8.63-1.02)  | 0.013           | <0.01 (-0.03; 0.03)  | 0.905   | 0.01 (-0.05; 0.07)  | 0.825   | <0.01 (-0.03; 0.03)   | 0.940           |
| Model 3                 | -5.58 (-9.66;-1.50) | 0.008*          | <-0.01 (-0.03; 0.03) | 0.962   | 0.03 (-0.03; 0.10)  | 0.268   | <0.01 (-0.03; 0.03)   | 0.963           |

MPM, microbial phenolic metabolites; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; TG, triglycerides.

\*This difference remained statistically significant after adjusting for multiple comparisons.

Model 1: sex and age.

Model 2: sex, age, smoking habit, educational level, BMI, physical activity, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension and medication (cholesterol-lowering, antidiabetic and antihypertensive agents).

Model 3: sex, age, smoking habit, educational level, BMI, physical activity, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, medication (cholesterol-lowering, antidiabetic and antihypertensive agents), total energy intake and Mediterranean diet adherence.

Table A1

#### Table 7

Multivariable adjusted regression between blood pressure and phenolic metabolites.

|                         | DBP, mmHg             |         | SBP, mmHg            |         |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
|                         | β (95 % CI)           | p-value | β (95 % CI)          | p-value |
| Protocatec              | huic acid glucuronide |         |                      |         |
| Model 1                 | 0.75 (-0.68; 2.18)    | 0.297   | 0.01 (-0.01; 0.03)   | 0.274   |
| Model 2                 | 0.96 (-0.49; 2.40)    | 0.198   | 0.01 (-0.01; 0.03)   | 0.321   |
| Model 3                 | 1.01 (-0.52; 2.54)    | 0.193   | 0.01 (-0.01; 0.03)   | 0.233   |
| Vanillic ac             | id glucuronide        |         |                      |         |
| Model 1                 | 1.00 (-0.39 2.39)     | 0.157   | 0.02 (< -0.01; 0.03) | 0.117   |
| Model 2                 | 1.26 (-0.15; 2.68)    | 0.079   | 0.02 (< -0.01; 0.03) | 0.081   |
| Model 3                 | 1.48 (-0.02; 2.98)    | 0.054   | 0.02 (<-0.01; 0.04)  | 0.061   |
| Enterodiol              | glucuronide           |         |                      |         |
| Model 1                 | -0.52 (-1.93; 0.90)   | 0.472   | <0.01 (-0.02; 0.02)  | 0.865   |
| Model 2                 | -0.42 (-1.83; 0.99)   | 0.553   | <0.01 (-0.02; 0.02)  | 0.682   |
| Model 3                 | -0.61 (-2.16; 0.93    | 0.436   | <0.01 (-0.02; 0.02)  | 0.900   |
| Enterolacto             | one glucuronide       |         |                      |         |
| Model 1                 | -0.89 (-2.27; 0.49)   | 0.204   | -0.01 (-0.03; 0.01)  | 0.221   |
| Model 2                 | -0.43 (-1.84; 0.98)   | 0.547   | <-0.01 (-0.02; 0.01) | 0.682   |
| Model 3                 | -0.60 (-2.15; 0.95)   | 0.443   | <-0.01 (-0.02; 0.02) | 0.711   |
| Urolithin B glucuronide |                       |         |                      |         |
| Model 1                 | 1.25 (-0.11; 2.62)    | 0.072   | 0.01 (-0.01; 0.02)   | 0.399   |
| Model 2                 | 1.16 (0.26; 2.57)     | 0.109   | 0.01 (-0.01; 0.02)   | 0.417   |
| Model 3                 | 1.53 (-0.01; 3.06)    | 0.048   | 0.01 (-0.01; 0.03)   | 0.375   |

MPM, microbial phenolic metabolites; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

\*This difference remained statistically significant after adjusting for multiple comparisons.

Model 1: sex and age.

Model 2: sex, age, smoking habit, educational level, BMI, physical activity, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, and medication (cholesterol-lowering, antidiabetic and antihypertensive agents).

Model 3: sex, age, smoking habit, educational level, BMI, physical activity, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, medication (cholesterollowering, antidiabetic and antihypertensive agents), total energy intake and Mediterranean diet adherence.

|                 | All $(n = 200)$       | (n = 67)             | (n = 67)            | (n = 66)                        | <i>p</i> -<br>value |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Olive oil (g)   | $40.0~\pm$            | $40.0\ \pm$          | $41.7~\pm$          | $39.3~\pm$                      | 0.446               |
|                 | 13.5                  | 13.2                 | 13.3                | 14.1                            |                     |
| Nuts (g)        | 9.1 $\pm$             | 10.9 $\pm$           | 9.5 $\pm$           | $\textbf{6.9} \pm \textbf{8.7}$ | 0.122               |
|                 | 11.4                  | 13.6                 | 11.3                |                                 |                     |
| Fruits (g)      | $\textbf{479.2} \pm$  | $\textbf{451.8} \pm$ | 452.3 $\pm$         | 534.3 $\pm$                     | 0.063               |
|                 | 232.9                 | 237.4                | 227.0               | 227.9                           |                     |
| Vegetables (g)  | 406.6 $\pm$           | 426.3 $\pm$          | 397.5 $\pm$         | 395.7 $\pm$                     | 0.531               |
|                 | 175.9                 | 219.5                | 156.7               | 142.4                           |                     |
| Legumes (g)     | 17.8 $\pm$            | 18.1 $\pm$           | 18.1 $\pm$          | $\textbf{17.2} \pm$             | 0.732               |
|                 | 7.4                   | 7.2                  | 6.7                 | 8.4                             |                     |
| Fish (g)        | 117.5 $\pm$           | 119.5 $\pm$          | 124.1 $\pm$         | 108.8 $\pm$                     | 0.122               |
|                 | 44.1                  | 40.0                 | 49.1                | 42.0                            |                     |
| Meat or meat    | 148.8 $\pm$           | 149.3 $\pm$          | 146.7 $\pm$         | 150.3 $\pm$                     | 0.924               |
| products (g)    | 53.7                  | 56.7                 | 47.0                | 57.6                            |                     |
| Pastries (g)    | $21.0~\pm$            | $23.7~\pm$           | 17.84 $\pm$         | $21.6~\pm$                      | 0.417               |
|                 | 25.9                  | 28.5                 | 25.4                | 23.6                            |                     |
| Dairy products  | 338.4 $\pm$           | 364.3 $\pm$          | 306.9 $\pm$         | 344.1 $\pm$                     | 0.268               |
| (g)             | 207.4                 | 179.5                | 208.0               | 231.0                           |                     |
| Alcohol (g)     | 11.7 $\pm$            | 8.6 $\pm$            | 15.9 $\pm$          | 10.5 $\pm$                      | 0.038               |
|                 | 17.2                  | 12.9 <sup>a</sup>    | $22.3^{b}$          | $14.2^{a,b}$                    |                     |
| Fiber (g)       | $\textbf{27.4}~\pm$   | $26.9\ \pm$          | $\textbf{27.2} \pm$ | $\textbf{28.2} \pm$             | 0.612               |
|                 | 7.3                   | 7.6                  | 7.6                 | 6.7                             |                     |
| Cholesterol (g) | 407.0 $\pm$           | $416.0\ \pm$         | 412.3 $\pm$         | $392.7~\pm$                     | 0.445               |
|                 | 113.1                 | 117.9                | 110.7               | 110.9                           |                     |
| Sodium (mg)     | $\textbf{2523.9} \pm$ | 2529.1 $\pm$         | $2621.7~\pm$        | $2419.3 \ \pm$                  | 0.327               |
|                 | 779.6                 | 856.4                | 847.7               | 604.4                           |                     |
| Folic acid (µg) | 458.7 $\pm$           | 457.5 $\pm$          | 456.5 $\pm$         | $462.2~\pm$                     | 0.946               |
|                 | 103.6                 | 108.2                | 105.0               | 98.7                            |                     |
| Total           | 999.8 $\pm$           | 971.9 $\pm$          | 991.4 $\pm$         | 1036.2 $\pm$                    | 0.491               |
| polyphenols     | 316.5                 | 300.5                | 332.6               | 316.7                           |                     |
| (mg)            |                       |                      |                     |                                 |                     |

Daily intake of foods for the total population and according to tertiles of MPM.

. . .

MPM, microbial phenolic metabolites.

p-values were calculated using the test one-way ANOVA.

Different lower-case letters indicate a significant difference among groups and were calculated analysed using Bonferroni post-hoc test.

#### Table A2

Multivariable adjusted regression between overall ICVH and individual metrics and MPM.

|                           | β (95 % CI)             | <i>p</i> -value |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Protocatechuic acid       |                         |                 |
| Overall ICVH              | 0.10 (-0.06: 0.25)      | 0.214           |
| Individual Metrics        |                         |                 |
| Smoking status            | <0.01 (-0.06; 0.07)     | 0.875           |
| Body mass index           | 0.01 (-0.02; 0.05)      | 0.379           |
| Physical activity         | -0.01 (-0.07; 0.05)     | 0.764           |
| Diet                      | 0.09 (-0.03; 0.16)      | 0.007           |
| Blood pressure            | <-0.01 (-0.03; 0.02)    | 0.803           |
| Total cholesterol         | 0.01 (-0.06; 0.07)      | 0.863           |
| Blood glucose             | -0.01 (-0.08; 0.07)     | 0.842           |
| Vanillic acid glucuronide |                         |                 |
| Overall ICVH              | -0.16 (-0.29; -0.02)    | 0.026           |
| Individual Metrics        |                         |                 |
| Smoking status            | -0.04 (-0.09; 0.01)     | 0.166           |
| Body mass index           | 0.01 (-0.02; 0.04)      | 0.448           |
| Physical activity         | -0.04 (-0.10; 0.02)     | 0.227           |
| Diet                      | -0.01 (-0.08; 0.06)     | 0.806           |
| Blood pressure            | -0.01 (-0.03; 0.01)     | 0.406           |
| Total cholesterol         | -0.01 (-0.08; 0.05)     | 0.717           |
| Blood glucose             | -0.07 (-0.13; <-0.01)   | 0.052           |
| Enterodiol glucuronide    |                         |                 |
| Overall ICVH              | 0.03 (-0.11; 0.17)      | 0.689           |
| Individual Metrics        |                         |                 |
| Smoking status            | 0.01 (-0.03; 0.06)      | 0.596           |
| Body mass index           | -0.01 (-0.04; 0.03)     | 0.713           |
| Physical activity         | -0.05 (-0.10; 0.01)     | 0.096           |
| Diet                      | 0.10 (0.03; 0.16)       | 0.003           |
| Blood pressure            | 0.01 (-0.01; 0.04)      | 0.343           |
| Total cholesterol         | -0.02 (-0.09; 0.04)     | 0.504           |
| Blood glucose             | -0.02 (-0.09; 0.05)     | 0.623           |
| Enterolactone glucuronide |                         |                 |
| Overall ICVH              | 0.09 (-0.06; 0.24)      | 0.227           |
| Individual Metrics        |                         |                 |
| Smoking status            | -0.02 (-0.07; 0.02)     | 0.321           |
| Body mass index           | 0.02 (-0.01; 0.05)      | 0.156           |
| Physical activity         | <0.01 (-0.06; 0.06)     | 0.913           |
| Diet                      | 0.11 (0.05; 0.18)       | 0.001           |
| Blood pressure            | 0.02 (-0.01; 0.05)      | 0.148           |
| Total cholesterol         | -0.07 (-0.13; $-0.01$ ) | 0.027           |
| Blood glucose             | 0.03 (-0.04; 0.09)      | 0.452           |
| Oronithin B glucuronide   | 0.05 ( 0.0; 0.00)       | 0.400           |
| Overall ICVH              | -0.05 (-0.8; 0.09)      | 0.483           |
| Emploine status           | 0.02 ( 0.02; 0.08)      | 0.240           |
| Shioking status           | 0.03 (-0.03; 0.08)      | 0.349           |
| Body mass index           | 0.01 (-0.02; 0.04)      | 0.630           |
| Pilysical activity        | -0.04 (-0.09; 0.01)     | 0.120           |
| Blood pressure            | -0.04 (-0.11; 0.03)     | 0.224           |
| Total cholostoral         | < 0.01 (-0.02; 0.02)    | 0.82/           |
| Pland shugers             | 0.01 (-0.05; 0.07)      | 0.790           |
| biood giucose             | -0.01 (-0.08; 0.06)     | 0.746           |

ICVH, ideal cardiovascular health; MPM, microbial phenolic metabolites.  $\beta$ , difference between groups; CI, confidence interval. Adjusted for sex and age.

Overall scores ranged from 0 to 7, with a higher score indicating a better

#### 2.5. Statistical analyses

ICVH profile.

Baseline characteristics of the participants are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and percentages for categorical values. Individual baseline values of urine metabolites were normalized and scaled in multiples of 1-SD with Blom inverse normal transformation (Blom, 1960). Multivariable adjusted linear regression was used to assess the association of urinary MPM with MedDiet adherence, ICVH scores (both overall and individual metrics), and cardiovascular risk factors. To evaluate the MPM relationship with MedDiet adherence, measured with a 14-item dietary screener, two models of increasing complexity were used. Multivariable model 1 was adjusted for age and sex, and model 2 was additionally adjusted for smoking

habit, educational level, BMI, physical activity, and energy intake. Additionally, we calculated an MPM score as the weighted sum of the concentrations of the 5 quantified metabolites (PCA, VAG, EDG, ELG, and UBG). The weight for each metabolite was the regression coefficient for a 1-SD increment in urine from the multivariable adjusted regression model. The associations of MPM with ICVH scores (overall and individual metrics) were adjusted for age and sex, and an MPM score was also calculated as described. To analyse the association of MPM with biochemical parameters (LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, TG, and glucose) and blood pressure, the normality of the outcome variables was assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk test, and those that did not follow normal distribution were transformed into logarithms (HDL-cholesterol, TG, glucose and SBP). Three models of increasing complexity were employed: model 1 was adjusted for sex and age; model 2 was further adjusted for smoking habit, educational level, BMI (except anthropometric analyses), physical activity, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, and medication (cholesterol-lowering, antidiabetics, and antihypertensive agents); model 3 was further adjusted for total energy intake and MedDiet adherence. We used the procedure described by Simes to correct for multiple testing of the multivariable-adjusted associations that included the 5 MPM (Simes, 1986). All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 16.0 (Stata-Corp LP, Tx. USA). P <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. General characteristics

Table 1 shows the main characteristics of all the participants. Among the 200 participants, 109 were women and 91 men, and the overall mean age was  $66.1 \pm 5.3$  years. As expected from the inclusion criteria of the PREDIMED trial, participants were at high cardiovascular risk; 51.0 % had T2D, 79.0 % had dyslipidaemia and 76.0 % had hypertension. A total of 15.0 % of the volunteers were current smokers and most had received a low level of education. Table A.1 describes the average food consumption of the participants according to tertiles of MPM. Food nutrient and polyphenol intake was similar across the three groups, except for alcohol, which was higher in the second tertile.

The concentrations of the 5 MPM, corrected for urinary creatinine, are presented in Table 2. The predominant metabolite was VAG (0.222  $\pm$  0.393  $\mu$ mol/mg of creatinine), followed by UBG (0.158  $\pm$  0.605  $\mu$ mol/mg of creatinine), and the least abundant was EDG (0.003  $\pm$  0.004  $\mu$ mol/mg of creatinine).

### 3.2. MPM and MedDiet adherence

Table 3 shows the association of MedDiet adherence with individual MPM and the MPM score. In the fully adjusted model, participants with higher MPM scores also reported greater adherence to the MedDiet ( $\beta = 0.62 (0.06; 1.18)$ , *p*-value = 0.041). Regarding individual metabolites, PCA and ELG were positively associated with the MedDiet adherence score ( $\beta = 0.62 (0.09; 1.15)$  per 1-SD increase, *p*-value = 0.022 and  $\beta = 0.55 (0.01; 1.10)$  per 1-SD increase, *p*-value = 0.046, respectively). These associations were maintained after adjusting for multiple testing in Model 1, but the significance was lost after applying Model 2.

#### 3.3. MPM score and ICVH

The ICVH metrics and the frequency of higher ICVH scores in participants are shown in Table 4. As could be expected from the nature of the PREDIMED trial, most participants obtained low ratings for overall ICVH. The majority achieved a score of 2 (33.5 %) or 3 (31.5 %), and none responded positively for>5 health items. Most of the participants did not obtain an ideal score in the individual metrics, except for smoking status and physical activity.

The association of the MPM score with the ICVH score, both overall

and individual metrics, is presented in Table 5. A positive association was found between the MPM score and the overall ICVH score ( $\beta = 1.29$  (0.52; 2.07), *p*-value = 0.001). Regarding individual metrics, ratings for diet and blood glucose were significantly higher in participants with higher MPM scores ( $\beta = 0.65$  (0.28; 1.01), *p*-value = 0.001;  $\beta = 0.38$  (0.01; 0.75), *p*-value = 0.042, respectively). However, no differences were observed for the other items. Results for individual MPM are presented in Table A.2.

#### 3.4. MPM and biochemical variables and blood pressure

The associations between individual MPM with LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, TG, and glucose are shown in Table 6. Participants with higher urinary concentrations of UBG had lower levels of LDL-cholesterol in the fully adjusted model ( $\beta = -5.58 \text{ mg/dL}$  (-9.66; -1.50) per 1-SD increase, *p*-value = 0.008), and this association was maintained after correcting for multiple testing. In addition, EDG was associated with lower levels of blood glucose in Model 1 ( $\beta = -0.04 \text{ mg/dL}$  (-0.08; <-0.01) per 1-SD increase, *p*-value = 0.041), but this result did not remain significant after using more complex adjustment models. No significant association was found for any metabolite with HDL-cholesterol and TG, although we observed a strong tendency towards a positive association between VAG and TG.

As shown in Table 7, SBP was not significantly associated with any urinary MPM. Unexpectedly, UBG was directly associated with DBP ( $\beta$  = 1.53 mmHg (-0.01; -3.06) per 1-SD increase, *p*-value = 0.048), although the relationship did not remain significant after correcting for multiple testing.

#### 4. Discussion

In this cross-sectional substudy of the PREDIMED trial, we observed that higher urinary MPM scores were associated with greater MedDiet adherence and a better ICVH score. We also found a strong inverse association between urinary concentrations of UBG and LDL-cholesterol. These findings suggest that the MedDiet is associated with phenolic metabolites that have a positive impact on cardiovascular health. To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the link between diet, urinary MPM, and cardiovascular health using a high-resolution analytical technique (LTQ-Orbitrap-MS).

In the current study, the level of adherence to the MedDiet was found to be associated with the MPM score. The MedDiet is reported to modulate the microbiome ecosystem and the microbial metabolites produced (de Filippis et al., 2016; Ghosh et al., 2020). Studies on gut microbiota have reported that greater adherence to the MedDiet is linked to higher amounts of *Bacteroidetes* (Garcia-Mantrana et al., 2018; Gutiérrez-Díaz et al., 2016), including members of the genus *Prevotella* (de Filippis et al., 2016). Several gut microbiota species are involved in polyphenol metabolism, some of which belong to the *Bacteroidetes* phylum (Achterholt et al., 2000; Clavel et al., 2006; Selma et al., 2014; Venturi et al., 1989). Altogether, these results suggest that the MedDiet promotes a favourable microbial environment for the production of MPM potentially beneficial for human health.

In our subsample of the PREDIMED trial, no participant achieved the highest ICVH rating, and therefore none met all 7 health metrics. These results are consistent with a previous study within the PREDIMED trial, in which only 0.3 % of the participants achieved scores of 6 and 7 (Díez-Espino et al., 2020). The results are not surprising due to the nature of the PREDIMED trial, which is focused on an elderly Mediterranean population at high risk of CVD. However, other studies conducted in Mediterranean countries and the United States found that approximately 20 % of the adult population met at least 5 metrics (Fernandez-Lazaro et al., 2022; Younus et al., 2016). It is well-known that polyphenols have multiple benefits on cardiovascular health, mostly due to their anti-inflammatory properties [24]. Accordingly, a high polyphenol intake is reported to reduce mortality and provide cardioprotective benefits

(Salazar et al., 2022; Tresserra-Rimbau, Rimm, Medina-Remón, Martínez-González, de la Torre, et al., 2014). Furthermore, urinary polyphenols are associated with lower DBP and SBP, and higher HDLcholesterol in individuals following a Mediterranean diet (Medina-Remón et al., 2015). However, there is a lack of research on the effect of phenolic compounds detected in biological samples on the overall ICVH. The present findings suggest that ICVH is associated with multiple MPM. Regarding individual health metrics, diet and blood glucose were the most positively linked to the MPM score, which is in accordance with the association found between the MPM score and MedDiet adherence (Table 3). These results are in accordance with a recent report that polyphenols and their metabolites are associated with a lower risk of developing T2DM and reduced insulin resistance (Chiva-Blanch et al., 2013; Marhuenda-muñoz et al., 2022). Notably, individual MPM did not seem to benefit overall ICVH, suggesting that the phenolic metabolites may have a positive impact on cardiovascular health in combination rather than individually. Interestingly, total cholesterol was not associated with the MPM score, even though a negative association was observed between UBG and LDL-cholesterol in subsequent analyses. This calls into question if total cholesterol is the most appropriate item to include in the ICVH assessment, considering that LDL-cholesterol has a negative impact on health but HDL-cholesterol does not (Ference et al., 2018).

Urolithins are microbial products synthesized from ellagitannins and ellagic acid, whose main food sources are walnuts and pomegranates. Urolithin production is particularly subject to interindividual variability, as there are 3 urolithin metabotypes in the population (Tomás-Barberán et al., 2014). In our elderly PREDIMED cohort, we mainly detected metabolites of urolithin B, the glucuronidated conjugate of urolithin B being predominant. This is consistent with Cortés-Martín et al., who reported that metabotype B, the producer of urolithin B, increases with age, whereas urolithin A decreases (Cortés-Martín et al., 2018). Interestingly, in a previous clinical trial administering a phenolic supplement, LDL-cholesterol decreased only in participants with phenotype B (González-Sarrías et al., 2010). An in vitro study demonstrated that urolithin B may decrease the lipid plaque deposition by modulating the expression of genes involved in reverse cholesterol pathways (Zhao et al., 2019). This mechanism could explain the inverse association observed between UBG and LDL-cholesterol.

Dietary lignans, the precursors of enterodiol and enterolactone, are mainly obtained from cereal fibre, and their effect on adiposity and biochemical parameters has been assessed by numerous studies. Two intervention trials reported that dietary lignan supplementation improved glycaemic control (Pan et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008). In our study, we found that glucose tended to be negatively associated with EDG but not with ELG. It should be noted that dietary intake of lignans correlates poorly with enterolignans measured in biological samples, as their bioavailability may be affected by gut microbiota composition, intestinal transit time or antibiotic use (Peterson et al., 2010).

Previous publications have reported that polyphenol intake can reduce blood pressure in a population at high cardiovascular risk (Medina-Remón et al., 2013, 2015). In contrast, our data do not support this beneficial effect, as significant associations were not observed for most MPM, and the direct association between UBG and DBP was weak. It is plausible that polyphenol effects on blood pressure are caused by those absorbed in the small intestine and not metabolized by gut microbiota.

Our study has three main limitations. As all participants were elderly Mediterranean individuals at high cardiovascular risk, the results may not be applicable to other populations. Also, the sample size is relatively small, although comparable to those in similar studies performing targeted metabolomics (Marhuenda-muñoz et al., 2022). Since fecal samples were not collected in the PREDIMED trial, we could not assess the microbiota composition of our participants. Finally, the cross-sectional nature of the study does not allow causality to be determined.

On the other hand, the strengths of the study include the analysis of

biological samples, which provides reliable information on the metabolism of participants, unavailable through intake questionnaires. Importantly, the analytical equipment used (LTQ-Orbitrap) allows a precise and accurate elucidation and quantification of novel compounds.

In conclusion, we found that urinary MPM were directly associated with MedDiet adherence and a healthier cardiovascular status. These results suggest that the MedDiet is associated with phenolic metabolites that possess beneficial properties for cardiovascular health. In addition, they show that MPM effects need to be considered in combination rather than individually when assessing their health benefits. This may be helpful in establishing dietary recommendations that favour a healthier colonic microbial environment. However, more studies are needed to confirm these potential MPM-derived benefits.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

Inés Domínguez-López: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft. Camila Arancibia-Riveros: Investigation. María Marhuenda-Muñoz: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Anna Tresserra-Rimbau: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. Estefanía Toledo: Writing – review & editing. Montserrat Fitó: Writing – review & editing. Emilio Ros: Writing – review & editing. Ramon Estruch: Writing – review & editing. Rosa M. Lamuela-Raventós: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Supervision.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

#### Acknowledgments

The PREDIMED trial was supported by the official funding agency for biomedical research of the Spanish government (Instituto de Salud Carlos III) through grants provided to research networks specifically developed for the trial: RTIC G03/140 (Coordinator: R.E.) and RTIC RD 06/0045. All investigators of the PREDIMED trial belong to Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red (CIBER), an initiative of Instituto de Salud Carlos III. I.D.-L. thanks the Spanish Ministry of Science Innovation and Universities for the Formación de Profesorado Universitario (FPU20/02478) contract and M.M.-M. for the FPU17/00513 contract. A. T-R. is a Serra Húnter Fellow. We thank the CCiT UB facility for the LC-MS/MS equipment support.

Conflicts of Interest: E.R. reports grants, personal fees, nonfinancial support and other from the California Walnut Commission while the study was carried out; grants, personal fees, non-financial support and other from Alexion; and personal fees and other from Amarin, outside the submitted work. R.M.L.-R. reports personal fees from Cerveceros de España, personal fees, and other from Adventia, Wine in Moderation, Ecoveritas S.A., outside the submitted work. R.E. reports grants from the Fundación Dieta Mediterránea (Spain), and Cerveza y Salud (Spain), and personal fees for given lectures from Brewers of Europe (Belgium), the Fundación Cerveza y Salud (Spain), Pernaud-Ricard (Mexico), Instituto Cervantes (Alburquerque, USA), Instituto Cervantes (Milan, Italy), Instituto Cervantes (Tokyo, Japan), Lilly Laboratories (Spain), and the Wine and Culinary International Forum (Spain), as well as non-financial support for the organization of a National Congress on Nutrition and feeding trials with products from Grand Fountain and Uriach Laboratories (Spain).

# Funding

This research has been supported by the CICYT [PID2020-114022RB-I00], the NIH grant "Mediterranean diet, Metabolites, and Cardiovascular Disease" (2R01HL118264-09 and 5R01HL118264-08), CIBEROBN from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, ISCIII from the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades, (AEI/FEDER, UE) and Generalitat de Catalunya (GC) [2017SGR 196]. INSA-UB is Maria de Maeztu Unit of Excellence (grant CEX2021-001234-M funded by MICIN/AEI/FEDER, UE). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

# Institutional Review Board Statement

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the 11 participating centres. The study was registered with the International Standard Randomized Controlled Trial Number (ISRCTN) 35739639.

#### Appendix A

See Tables A1 and A2.

#### References

- Achterholt, S., Priefert, H., & Steinbüchel, A. (2000). Identification of Amycolatopsis sp. strain HR167 genes, involved in the bioconversion of ferulic acid to vanillin. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 54(6), 799–807. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s002530000431
- Ahmad, M. I., Chevli, P. A., Barot, H., & Soliman, E. Z. (2019). Interrelationships Between American Heart Association's Life's Simple 7, ECG Silent Myocardial Infarction, and Cardiovascular Mortality. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 8(6), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.118.011648
- Aneni, E. C., Crippa, A., Osondu, C. U., Valero-Elizondo, J., Younus, A., Nasir, K., & Veledar, E. (2017). Estimates of mortality benefit from ideal cardiovascular health metrics: A dose response meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Heart Association, 6* (12). https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.117.006904
- Bach-Faig, A., Berry, E. M., Lairon, D., Reguant, J., Trichopoulou, A., Dernini, S., Medina, F. X., Battino, M., Belahsen, R., Miranda, G., Serra-Majem, L., Aranceta, J., Atinmo, T., Barros, J. M., Benjelloun, S., Bertomeu-Galindo, I., Burlingame, B., Caballero-Bartolf, M., Clapés-Badrinas, C., ... Padulosi, S. (2011). Mediterranean diet pyramid today. Science and cultural updates. *Public Health Nutrition*, 14(12A), 2274. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980011002515
- Blom, G. (1960). Statistical Estimates and Transformed Beta Variables. The Incorporated Statistician, 10(1), 53. https://doi.org/10.2307/2987488
- Cardona, F., Andrés-Lacueva, C., Tulipani, S., Tinahones, F. J., & Queipo-Ortuño, M. I. (2013). Benefits of polyphenols on gut microbiota and implications in human health. *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*, 24(8), 1415–1422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jnutbio.2013.05.001
- Chiva-Blanch, G., Urpi-Sarda, M., Ros, E., Valderas-Martinez, P., Casas, R., Arranz, S., Guillén, M., Lamuela-Raventós, R. M., Llorach, R., Andres-Lacueva, C., & Estruch, R. (2013). Effects of red wine polyphenols and alcohol on glucose metabolism and the lipid profile: A randomized clinical trial. *Clinical Nutrition*, 32(2), 200–206. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2012.08.022
- Clavel, T., Henderson, G., Engst, W., Doré, J., & Blaut, M. (2006). Phylogeny of human intestinal bacteria that activate the dietary lignan secoisolariciresinol diglucoside. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 55(3), 471–478. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2005.00057.x
- Cortés-Martín, A., García-Villalba, R., González-Sarrías, A., Romo-Vaquero, M., Loria-Kohen, V., Ramírez-De-Molina, A., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., Selma, M. V., & Espín, J. C. (2018). The gut microbiota urolithin metabotypes revisited: the human metabolism of ellagic acid is mainly determined by aging. *Food and Function*, 9(8), 4100–4106. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8fo00956b
- de Filippis, F., Pellegrini, N., Vannini, L., Jeffery, I. B., La Storia, A., Laghi, L., Serrazanetti, D. I., Di Cagno, R., Ferrocino, I., Lazzi, C., Turroni, S., Cocolin, L., Brigidi, P., Neviani, E., Gobbetti, M., O'Toole, P. W., & Ercolini, D. (2016). Highlevel adherence to a Mediterranean diet beneficially impacts the gut microbiota and associated metabolome. *Gut*, 65(11), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309957
- Díez-Espino, J., Buil-Cosiales, P., Babio, N., Toledo, E., Corella, D., Ros, E., Fitó, M., Gómez-Gracia, E., Estruch, R., Fiol, M., Lapetra, J., Alonso-Gómez, A., Serra-Majem, L., Pintó, X., Sorlí, J. V., Muñoz, M. A., Basora, J., & Martínez-González, M.Á. (2020). Impacto de Life's Simple 7 en la incidencia de eventos cardiovasculares mayores en adultos españoles con alto riesgo de la cohorte del estudio PREDIMED. *Revista Española de Cardiología, 73*(3), 205–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. recesp.2019.05.010

Elosua, R., Garcia, M., Aguilar, A., Molina, L., Covas, M.-I., & Marrugat, J. (2000). Validation of the Minnesota Leisure Time Spanish Women. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 32(8), 1431–1437.

- Estruch, R., Martínez-González, M. A., Corella, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., Ruiz-Gutiérrez, V., Covas, M. I., Fiol, M., Gómez-Gracia, E., López-Sabater, M. C., Vinyoles, E., Arós, F., Conde, M., Lahoz, C., Lapetra, J., Sáez, G., Ros, E., & PREDIMED Study Investigators. (2006). Effects of a Mediterranean-style diet on cardiovascular risk factors: a randomized trial. Annals of Internal Medicine, 145, 1–11.
- Estruch, R., Ros, E., Salas-Salvadó, J., Covas, M.-I., Corella, D., Arós, F., Gómez-Gracia, E., Ruiz-Gutiérrez, V., Fiol, M., Lapetra, J., Lamuela-Raventos, R. M., Serra-Majem, L., Pintó, X., Basora, J., Muñoz, M. A., Sorlí, J. V., Martínez, J. A., Fitó, M., Gea, A., ... Martínez-González, M. A. (2018). Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease with a Mediterranean Diet Supplemented with Extra-Virgin Olive Oil or Nuts. New England Journal of Medicine, 378(25), Article e34. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/neimoa1800389
- Ference, B. A., Graham, I., Tokgozoglu, L., & Catapano, A. L. (2018). Impact of Lipids on Cardiovascular Health: JACC Health Promotion Series. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 72(10), 1141–1156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2018.06.046
- Fernández-Ballart, J. D., Piñol, J. L., Zazpe, I., Corella, D., Carrasco, P., Toledo, E., Perez-Bauer, M., Martínez-González, M.Á., Salas-Salvadó, J., & Martn-Moreno, J. M. (2010). Relative validity of a semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire in an elderly Mediterranean population of Spain. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 103(12), 1808–1816. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114509993837
- Fernandez-Lazaro, C. I., Sayon-Orea, C., Toledo, E., Moreno-Iribas, C., Guembe, M. J., Cosials, J. B., Reyero, J. B., Martínez, J. D., Diego, P. G., Uche, A. M. G., Setas, D. G., Vila, E. M., Martínez, M. S., Tornos, I. S., & Rueda, J. J. V. (2022). Association of ideal cardiovascular health with cardiovascular events and risk advancement periods in a Mediterranean population-based cohort. *BMC Medicine*, 20(1), 1–11. https:// doi.org/10.1186/S12916-022-02417-X
- Francula-Zaninovic, S., & Nola, I. A. (2018). Management of Measurable Variable Cardiovascular Disease' Risk Factors. *Current Cardiology Reviews*, 14(3), 153–163. https://doi.org/10.2174/1573403x14666180222102312
- Garcia-Mantrana, I., Selma-Royo, M., Alcantara, C., & Collado, M. C. (2018). Shifts on gut microbiota associated to mediterranean diet adherence and specific dietary intakes on general adult population. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9(MAY), 1–11. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00890
- Gbd. (2013). GBD 2013 Risk Factors Collaborators Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risk factors or clusters of risks in 188 countries, 1990–2013: A systematic analysis for the Gl. Lancet (London, England), 386(10010), 2287–2323. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00128-2.Global
- Ghosh, T. S., Rampelli, S., Jeffery, I. B., Santoro, A., Neto, M., Capri, M., Giampieri, E., Jennings, A., Candela, M., Turroni, S., Zoetendal, E. G., Hermes, G. D. A., Elodie, C., Meunier, N., Brugere, C. M., Pujos-Guillot, E., Berendsen, A. M., de Groot, L. C. P. G. M., Feskins, E. J. M., ... O'Toole, P. W. (2020). Mediterranean diet intervention alters the gut microbiome in older people reducing frailty and improving health status: The NU-AGE 1-year dietary intervention across five European countries. *Gut*, *69*(7), 1218–1228. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2019-319654
- González-Sarrías, A., Giménez-Bastida, J. A., García-Conesa, M. T., Gómez-Sánchez, M. B., García-Talavera, N. V., Gil-Izquierdo, A., Sánchez-Álvarez, C., Fontana-Compiano, L. O., Morga-Egea, J. P., Pastor-Quirante, F. A., Martínez-Díaz, F., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., & Espín, J. C. (2010). Occurrence of urolithins, gut microbiota ellagic acid metabolites and proliferation markers expression response in the human prostate gland upon consumption of walnuts and pomegranate juice. *Molecular Nutrition and Food Research*, 54(3), 311–322. https://doi.org/10.1002/ mnfr.200900152
- Gutiérrez-Díaz, I., Fernández-Navarro, T., Sánchez, B., Margolles, A., & González, S. (2016). Mediterranean diet and faecal microbiota: A transversal study. Food and Function, 7(5), 2347–2356. https://doi.org/10.1039/c66000105j
- Hu, E. A., Toledo, E., Diez-Espino, J., Estruch, R., Corella, D., Salas-Salvado, J., Vinyoles, E., Gomez-Gracia, E., Aros, F., Fiol, M., Lapetra, J., Serra-Majem, L., Pintó, X., Portillo, M. P., Lamuela-Raventos, R. M., Ros, E., Sorli, J. V., & Martinez-Gonzalez, M. A. (2013). Lifestyles and Risk Factors Associated with Adherence to the Mediterranean Diet: A Baseline Assessment of the PREDIMED Trial. *PLoS ONE*, 8(4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060166
- Joseph, P., Leong, D., McKee, M., Anand, S. S., Schwalm, J. D., Teo, K., Mente, A., & Yusuf, S. (2017). Reducing the global burden of cardiovascular disease, part 1: The epidemiology and risk factors. *Circulation Research*, 121(6), 677–694. https://doi. org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.308903
- Laveriano-santos, E. P., Marhuenda-muñoz, M., Vallverd, A., Mart, M., Tresserra-rimbau, A., Miliarakis, E., Arancibia-riveros, C., Olga, J., Mar, A., Castro-baquero, S., Bodega, P., Miguel, M. de, Cos-gandoy, A. de, & Lamuela-ravent, R. M. (2022). Identification and Quantification of Urinary Microbial Phenolic Metabolites by HPLC-ESI-LTQ-Orbirap-HRMS and Their Relationship with Dietary Polyphenols in Adolescents.
- Li, J., Guasch-Ferré, M., Chung, W., Ruiz-Canela, M., Toledo, E., Corella, D., Bhupathiraju, S. N., Tobias, D. K., Tabung, F. K., Hu, J., Zhao, T., Turman, C., Feng, Y. C. A., Clish, C. B., Mucci, L., Eliassen, A. H., Costenbader, K. H., Karlson, E. W., Wolpin, B. M., ... Liang, L. (2020). The Mediterranean diet, plasma metabolome, and cardiovascular disease risk. *European Heart Journal*, 41(28), 2645–2656. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa209
- Lloyd-Jones, D. M., Hong, Y., Labarthe, D., Mozaffarian, D., Appel, L. J., van Horn, L., Greenlund, K., Daniels, S., Nichol, G., Tomaselli, G. F., Arnett, D. K., Fonarow, G. C., Ho, P. M., Lauer, M. S., Masoudi, F. A., Robertson, R. M., Roger, V., Schwamm, L. H., Sorlie, P., ... Rosamond, W. D. (2010). Defining and setting national goals for

cardiovascular health promotion and disease reduction: The american heart association's strategic impact goal through 2020 and beyond. *Circulation, 121*(4), 586–613. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192703

- Marhuenda-muñoz, M., Laveriano-santos, E. P., Corella, D., Salas-salvad, J., Lapetra, J., & Ar, F. (2022). One-Year Changes in Urinary Microbial Phenolic Metabolites and the Risk of Type 2 Diabetes — A Case-Control Study. 1–12.
- Marhuenda-Muñoz, M., Laveriano-Santos, E. P., Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Lamuela-Raventós, R. M., Martínez-Huélamo, M., & Vallverdú-Queralt, A. (2019). Microbial Phenolic Metabolites: Which Molecules Actually Have an Effect on Human Health? *Nutrients*, 11, 2725.
- Martínez-González, M. A., Buil-Cosiales, P., Corella, D., Bulló, M., Fitó, M., Vioque, J., Romaguera, D., Alfredo Martínez, J., Wärnberg, J., López-Miranda, J., Estruch, R., Bueno-Cavanillas, A., Arós, F., Tur, J. A., Tinahones, F., Serra-Majem, L., Martín, V., Lapetra, J., Vázquez, C., ... PREDIMED-Plus Study Investigators. (2019). Cohort profile: Design and methods of the PREDIMED-Plus randomized trial. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 48(2), 387. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyy225
- Martínez-González, M.Á., Corella, D., Salas-salvadó, J., Ros, E., Covas, M. I., Fiol, M., Wärnberg, J., Arós, F., Ruíz-Gutiérrez, V., Lamuela-Raventós, R. M., Lapetra, J., Muñoz, M.Á., Martínez, J. A., Sáez, G., Serra-Majem, L., Pintó, X., Mitjavila, M. T., Tur, J. A., del Puy Portillo, M., & Estruch, R. (2012). Cohort profile: Design and methods of the PREDIMED study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 41(2), 377–385. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyq250
- Martínez-González, M. A., Gea, A., & Ruiz-Canela, M. (2019). The Mediterranean Diet and Cardiovascular Health: A Critical Review. Circulation Research, 124(5), 779–798. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.313348
- Medina-Remón, A., Barrionuevo-González, A., Zamora-Ros, R., Andres-Lacueva, C., Estruch, R., Martínez-González, M.Á., Diez-Espino, J., & Lamuela-Raventos, R. M. (2009). Rapid Folin-Ciocalteu method using microtiter 96-well plate cartridges for solid phase extraction to assess urinary total phenolic compounds, as a biomarker of total polyphenols intake. *Analytica Chimica Acta, 634*(1), 54–60. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.aca.2008.12.012
- Medina-Remón, A., Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Pons, A., Tur, J. A., Martorell, M., Ros, E., Buil-Cosiales, P., Sacanella, E., Covas, M. I., Corella, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., Gómez-Gracia, E., Ruiz-Gutiérrez, V., Ortega-Calvo, M., García-Valdueza, M., Arós, F., Saez, G. T., Serra-Majem, L., Pinto, X., ... Lamuela-Raventos, R. M. (2015). Effects of total dietary polyphenols on plasma nitric oxide and blood pressure in a high cardiovascular risk cohort. The PREDIMED randomized trial. Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases, 25(1), 60–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2014.09.001
- Medina-Remón, A., Vallverdú-Queralt, A., Arranz, S., Ros, E., Martínez-González, M. A., Sacanella, E., Covas, M. I., Corella, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., Gómez-Gracia, E., Ruiz-Gutiérrez, V., Lapetra, J., García-Valdueza, M., Arós, F., Saez, G. T., Serra-Majem, L., Pinto, X., Vinyoles, E., Estruch, R., & Lamuela-Raventos, R. M. (2013). Gazpacho consumption is associated with lower blood pressure and reduced hypertension in a high cardiovascular risk cohort. Cross-sectional study of the PREDIMED trial. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases, 23*(10), 944–952. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.numecd.2012.07.008
- Organization, W. H. (2020). WHO Guidelines on Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/336656/9789240015128-eng. pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.
- Pan, A., Sun, J., Chen, Y., Ye, X., Li, H., Yu, Z., Wang, Y., Gu, W., Zhang, X., Chen, X., Wendy, D. W., Liu, Y., & Lin, X. (2007). Effects of a flaxseed-derived lignan supplement in type 2 diabetic patients: A randomized, double-blind, cross-over trial. *PLoS ONE*, 2(11), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001148
- Peterson, J., Dwyer, J., Adlercreutz, H., Scalbert, A., Jacques, P., & McCullough, M. L. (2010). Dietary lignans: Physiology and potential for cardiovascular disease risk reduction. *Nutrition Reviews*, 68(10), 571–603. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-4887.2010.00319.x
- Piepoli, M. F., & Villani, G. Q. (2017). Lifestyle modification in secondary prevention. European Journal of Preventive Cardiology, 24(3), 101–107. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 2047487317703828
- Rinaldi de Alvarenga, J. F., Quifer-Rada, P., Juliano, F. F., Hurtado-Barroso, S., Illan, M., Torrado-Prat, X., & Lamuela-Raventós, R. M. (2019). Using extra virgin olive oil to cook vegetables enhances polyphenol and carotenoid extractability: A Study Applying the sofrito Technique. *Molecules*, 24(8), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules24081555
- Salazar, H. M., de Deus Mendonça, R., Laclaustra, M., Moreno-Franco, B., Åkesson, A., Guallar-Castillón, P., & Donat-Vargas, C. (2022). The intake of flavonoids, stilbenes, and tyrosols, mainly consumed through red wine and virgin olive oil, is associated with lower carotid and femoral subclinical atherosclerosis and coronary calcium. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 61(5), 2697–2709. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-022-02823-0
- Selma, M. V., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., Beltrán, D., García-Villalba, R., & Espín, J. C. (2014). Gordonibacter urolithinfaciens sp. nov., a urolithin-producing bacterium isolated from the human gut. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 64(PART 7), 2346–2352. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.055095-0
- Simes, R. J. (1986). An improved bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance. Biometrika, 73(3), 751–754. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/73.3.751
- Tomás-Barberán, F. A., García-Villalba, R., González-Sarrías, A., Selma, M. V., & Espín, J. C. (2014). Ellagic acid metabolism by human gut microbiota: Consistent observation of three urolithin phenotypes in intervention trials, independent of food source, age, and health status. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 62(28), 6535–6538. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf5024615
- Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Medina-Remón, A., Pérez-Jiménez, J., Martínez-González, M. A., Covas, M. I., Corella, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., Gómez-Gracia, E., Lapetra, J., Arós, F., Fiol, M., Ros, E., Serra-Majem, L., Pintó, X., Muñoz, M. A., Saez, G. T., Ruiz-

#### I. Domínguez-López et al.

Gutiérrez, V., Warnberg, J., Estruch, R., & Lamuela-Raventós, R. M. (2013). Dietary intake and major food sources of polyphenols in a Spanish population at high cardiovascular risk: The PREDIMED study. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases, 23*(10), 953–959. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2012.10.008

- Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Rimm, E. B., Medina-Remón, A., Martínez-González, M. A., de la Torre, R., Corella, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., Gómez-Gracia, E., Lapetra, J., & Arós, F. (2014). Inverse association between habitual polyphenol intake and incidence of cardiovascular events in the PREDIMED study. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*, 24(6), 639–647.
- Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Rimm, E. B., Medina-Remón, A., Martínez-González, M. A., López-Sabater, M. C., Covas, M. I., Corella, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., Gómez-Gracia, E., Lapetra, J., Arós, F., Fiol, M., Ros, E., Serra-Majem, L., Pintó, X., Muñoz, M. A., Gea, A., Ruiz-Gutiérrez, V., Estruch, R., & Lamuela-Raventós, R. M. (2014). Polyphenol intake and mortality risk: A re-analysis of the PREDIMED trial. *BMC Medicine*, 12(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-12-77
- Venturi, V., Zennaro, F., Degrassi, G., Okeke, B., & Bruschi, C. (1989). Genetics of ferulic acid bioconversion to protocatechuic acid in plant-growth-promoting Pseudornonas putida WCS358. *Microbiology*, 965–973.
- Younus, A., Aneni, E. C., Spatz, E. S., Osondu, C. U., Roberson, L., Ogunmoroti, O., Malik, R., Ali, S. S., Aziz, M., Feldman, T., Virani, S. S., Maziak, W., Agatston, A. S., Veledar, E., & Nasir, K. (2016). A Systematic Review of the Prevalence and Outcomes of Ideal Cardiovascular Health in US and Non-US Populations. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 91(5), 649–670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.01.019
- Zhang, W., Wang, X., Liu, Y., Tian, H., Flickinger, B., Empie, M. W., & Sun, S. Z. (2008). Dietary flaxseed lignan extract lowers plasma cholesterol and glucose concentrations in hypercholesterolaemic subjects. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 99(6), 1301–1309. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114507871649
- Zhao, W., Wang, L., Haller, V., & Ritsch, A. (2019). A Novel Candidate for Prevention and Treatment of Atherosclerosis: Urolithin B Decreases Lipid Plaque Deposition in apoE -/- Mice and Increases Early Stages of Reverse Cholesterol Transport in ox-LDL Treated Macrophages Cells. *Molecular Nutrition and Food Research*, 63(10), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201800887