

Rigor académico, oficio periodístico

Shake and divide: the cocktail formula for global consensus

Publicado: 16 febrero 2023 09:09 CET

M. Ángeles Serrano

ICREA Research Professor of Complexity Science, Universitat de Barcelona



Markus Spiske / Unsplash

For yet another year, the world's conference on climate change – COP27 – concluded with few agreements and a clear division between North and South.

The conversations to reach effective consensus on key questions in the fight against global warming and other effects of the climate change will have to wait for a new edition of the COP, scheduled for November 2023 in Dubai.

That might make us consider the factors that contribute to this deadlock, so dangerous, in the progress of the negotiations. Some obvious answers are that those most responsible do not show a clear political will to solve the problem, and that not enough effort or money is dedicated.

But there is another less obvious factor that also contributes to this.

It is hard to reach an agreement

The lack of agreement is a very frequent situation that happens in a diversity of real life scenarios, for instance climate change conferences as the one mentioned above, but also in the political arena where we rarely find major parties coming easily to a consensus on sensitive topics.

1 de 3 2/3/2023, 12:03

Reaching an agreement is a difficult task, especially if it involves large groups of individuals with a diversity of opinions, tendencies and interests. We have now an explanation for this, based on the scientific modelling of opinion dynamics in social systems, which incorporates two key ingredients:

- Individuals interact through complex networks of acquaintances.
- The scale, composition, and influence of clans to which those individuals belong family,
 neighbourhood, political party, country strongly affect their process of opinion formation and,
 thus, the emergence of consensus in social systems.

The results of our investigation are surprising and tell us that enhancing the diversity in the groups of influence or partitioning them into smaller units could be good strategies to avoid unsurmountable polarisation and gridlock in the pursuit of global agreement.

Student strike in front of the State Opera, Vienna, 1953. Austrian National Library / Unsplash

Decisions are influenced by the clan

In our work, developed at the Mapping Complexity Lab of the University of Barcelona and published recently in Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, we were motivated to find the conditions for the emergence of global consensus in social networks (face-to-face and online).

We used a version of the Voter model – in which individuals use imitation as a mechanism of social interaction – to simulate in our computers the evolution of opinion formation in real social networks. The novelty in our model is that the decisions of an individual are influenced by the viewpoint of its own clan.

We represented each social network as a graph – a mathematical structure where individuals and their interactions are represented as nodes connected by links. Each obtained graph was transformed into a similarity map, which displays the complex geography of human relations in the social space such that affine individuals in the same clan are separated by smaller distances.

The interpretation of a clan in our framework goes beyond the normative meaning of extended family and defines a group that has a shared identity based on previous experiences.

When a clan is made of individuals who have been in contact for a long time and gone through similar experiences, sociology suggests that we should expect a higher degree of interaction and affinity within the members.

We considered real data sets where our definition of clan finds a natural interpretation. For example a Facebook friendship network, where nodes consist of Caltech university students and links represent online friendship ties, and a network between politicians in the 48th parliament of New Zealand, where a link between a pair of members of the parliament was established when they participated in discussions about the same topic.

2 de 3 2/3/2023, 12:03

Although large differences in affinity might be expected to contribute to blockages in reaching agreement, we found the opposite. Global agreement was easier to reach when the groups influencing individuals where more diverse, with diversity achieved either by partitioning or mixing the groups.

Antenna / Unsplash

On the contrary, bigger clans of affine nodes sustained longer polarized opinion states, forming two clearly identifiable domains in the social similarity maps. Thus, global consensus was more difficult.

Hence, our results explain why we do not observe that big structured populations easily come to a full consensus in the real world. They also suggest that group diversity can help promote global agreement by reducing friction between sectors of like-minded individuals that pull in opposite directions.

Balancing consensus and polarization

Indeed, evidence in the social sciences at the microscale supports the idea that diversified teams can be more effective in decision making. There's an interesting case reported where a public health journal implemented a consensus-driven shared leadership model to build a high-functioning editorial team.

The cocktail formula – shake and divide – could help organize collective agreement in a variety of scenarios where reaching global consensus is of vital importance.

For example, we urgently need a global deal regarding the ethical limits to the operation and use of Artificial Intelligence.

Note that in the political arena the consensus problem presents a clear duality – reality is more complex than we would sometimes like. On one hand, global consensus is necessary to act against some of the big challenges that threaten society. On the other hand, consensus sometimes denotes doctrine, in contrast to a plurality of opinions that are beneficial and needed for a healthy society.

Future research in the framework of our social network science models could help elucidate the delicate balance that allows for the survival of a plurality of opinions beyond polarized regimes, which hinders global consensus.

3 de 3 2/3/2023, 12:03