

SMALL HANKEL OPERATORS ON GENERALIZED WEIGHTED FOCK SPACES

CARME CASCANTE, JOAN FÀBREGA, AND DANIEL PASCUAS

ABSTRACT. In this work we characterize the boundedness, compactness and membership in the Schatten class of small Hankel operators on generalized weighted Fock spaces $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ associated to an \mathcal{A}_p^ℓ weight ω , for $1 < p < \infty$, $\ell \geq 1$, and $\alpha > 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this work is to characterize the boundedness, compactness and membership in the Schatten class of small Hankel operators on generalized weighted Fock spaces.

Let ω be a *weight*, that is, a positive locally integrable function on \mathbb{C} . For $1 \leq \ell, p < \infty$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, we define the space $L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega) := L^p(\mathbb{C}, e^{-\frac{\alpha p}{2}|z|^{2\ell}} \omega dA)$ and the *generalized weighted Fock space* $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega) := H(\mathbb{C}) \cap L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, where $H(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the space of entire functions and $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy$. For the weight $\omega_{\rho,p}(z) = (1 + |z|)^{\rho p}$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, the spaces $L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega_{\rho,p})$ and $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega_{\rho,p})$ are simply denoted by $L_{\alpha,\rho}^{p,\ell}$ and $F_{\alpha,\rho}^{p,\ell}$. As usual, $L_{\alpha,\rho}^{\infty,\ell}$ consists of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{C} such that

$$\|f\|_{L_{\alpha,\rho}^{\infty,\ell}} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)|(1 + |z|)^\rho e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^{2\ell}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, $F_{\alpha,\rho}^{\infty,\ell} := L_{\alpha,\rho}^{\infty,\ell} \cap H(\mathbb{C})$, and $\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}^{\infty,\ell}$ is the closure of the space of holomorphic polynomials in $F_{\alpha,\rho}^{\infty,\ell}$. The spaces $F_{\alpha,\rho}^{p,\ell}$ and $F_\alpha^{p,\ell} := F_{\alpha,0}^{p,\ell}$ are called *generalized Fock-Sobolev spaces* and *generalized Fock spaces*, respectively. It is worth to mention that the generalized Fock-Sobolev spaces appear naturally when considering the derivatives of functions in generalized Fock spaces. Namely, $f \in F_\alpha^{p,\ell}$ if and only if $f^{(k)} \in F_\alpha^{p,\ell}((1 + |z|)^{kp(1-2\ell)})$ (see [5, Theorem 1.4]). This is true even in some weighted setting (see [7, Theorem 1.1]).

Received by the editors May 3, 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B35, 47B10; Secondary 30H20, 42B25.

Supported in part by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain, project PID2021-123405NB-I00, Generalitat de Catalunya, project 2021SGR00087, and the Spanish State Research Agency, through the Severo Ochoa and María de Maeztu Program for Centers and Units of Excellence in R&D (CEX2020-001084-M).

Supported in part by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain, project PID2021-123405NB-I00.

Supported in part by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain, project PID2021-123405NB-I00.

As we will show later, these Fock-Sobolev spaces also appear when we study the membership to the Schatten class for small Hankel operators on generalized weighted Fock spaces (see Theorem 1.2 below).

It is clear that $L_\alpha^{2,\ell}$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha := \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} e^{-\alpha|z|^{2\ell}} dA(z),$$

and it is well known that $F_\alpha^{2,\ell}$ is a closed subspace of $L_\alpha^{2,\ell}$. The *Bergman projection* for $F_\alpha^{2,\ell}$ is the orthogonal projection P_α^ℓ from $L_\alpha^{2,\ell}$ onto $F_\alpha^{2,\ell}$, which is given by

$$(P_\alpha^\ell \psi)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} K_\alpha^\ell(z, w) \psi(w) e^{-\alpha|w|^{2\ell}} dA(w) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}, \psi \in L_\alpha^{2,\ell}),$$

where K_α^ℓ is the *Bergman kernel* for $F_\alpha^{2,\ell}$. The boundedness properties of this projection on the spaces $L_\alpha^{p,\ell}$ have been thoroughly studied in [3, 4].

As it is well known, the weights for which the Bergman projection is bounded in the classical weighted Bergman spaces on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n are characterized by a Muckenhoupt type condition. They are the so called Békollé-Bonami weights (see [2] and [1]), which have become a key tool in the study of weighted norm inequalities for the Bergman projection in different settings of complex analysis (see, for instance, [12], [10], [11], and the references therein).

In the Fock setting, the weights ω for which P_α^ℓ is bounded from $L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ onto $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ are those in the class \mathcal{A}_p^ℓ . This result was proved for $\ell = 1$ in [8], and extended to $\ell > 1$ in [6]. The class \mathcal{A}_p^ℓ is defined as follows.

For $1 < p < \infty$, \mathcal{A}_p^ℓ is the set of all weights ω such that

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\omega(D_z^\ell) (\omega'(D_z^\ell))^{p/p'}}{|D_z^\ell|^p} < \infty,$$

where $D_z^\ell = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - z| < (1 + |z|)^{1-\ell}\}$, p' is the conjugate exponent of p , $\omega' = \omega^{-p'/p}$, $|D_z^\ell| := \int_{D_z^\ell} dA = (1 + |z|)^{2(1-\ell)}$, $\omega(D_z^\ell) := \int_{D_z^\ell} \omega dA$, and $d\omega := \omega dA$. It is worth to mention that if we replace D_z^ℓ by $D_{z,\varrho}^\ell = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - z| < \varrho(1 + |z|)^{1-\ell}\}$, for some ϱ , in (1.1), we obtain the same class of weights.

One advantage of considering the case $\ell > 1$ is that it covers a wider range of weights, for instance, exponential polynomial weights *i.e.* $\omega(z) = e^{q(|z|)}$, where q is a real polynomial. Indeed, it is proved in [6] that for such weights the boundedness of P_α^ℓ on $L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ is equivalent to the condition $\deg q \leq \ell$.

Our first result gives a complete description of the boundedness and compactness of the small Hankel operators on our weighted Fock spaces $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$. We consider the small Hankel operators defined on the space

$$E := \{f \in H(\mathbb{C}) : |f(z)| = O(e^{\tau|z|^\ell}), \text{ for some } \tau > 0\}$$

of entire functions of order ℓ and finite type, which is a dense subspace of $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ (see [6, Proposition 5.6] and Proposition 2.5 below).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\alpha > 0$, and $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$. For $\beta \in (0, \frac{3}{2}\alpha)$ and $b \in F_\beta^{\infty,\ell}$, let $\mathfrak{h}_{b,\alpha}^\ell$ be the small Hankel operator defined by $\mathfrak{h}_{b,\alpha}^\ell f := \overline{P_\alpha^\ell(\overline{f}b)}$, $f \in E$. Then $\mathfrak{h}_{b,\alpha}^\ell$ extends to a bounded (compact) operator from $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ to $\overline{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)}$ if and only if $b \in F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}$ (respectively, $b \in \mathfrak{f}_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}$). Moreover, $\|\mathfrak{h}_{b,\alpha}^\ell\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \simeq \|b\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}}$.*

The hypothesis $\beta \in (0, \frac{3}{2}\alpha)$ assures that the small Hankel operator $\mathfrak{h}_{b,\alpha}^\ell$ is well defined, as we will see at the beginning of the proof of the above theorem.

Finally, we characterize the membership to the Schatten class of our small Hankel operators.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\alpha > 0$, $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$, and $b \in F_{\beta}^{\infty,\ell}$, for some $\beta \in (0, \frac{3}{2}\alpha)$. Then $\mathfrak{h}_{b,\alpha}^\ell$ belongs to the Schatten class $S_p(F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega), \overline{F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega)})$ if and only if $b \in F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{2(\ell-1)}{p}}^{p,\ell}$. Moreover, $\|\mathfrak{h}_{b,\alpha}^\ell\|_{S_p(F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega), \overline{F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega)})} \simeq \|b\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{2(\ell-1)}{p}}^{p,\ell}}$.*

Our theorems extent the characterization of boundedness, compactness and membership to the Schatten class of the small Hankel operators on the generalized Fock spaces to the setting of the generalized weighted Fock spaces $F_{\alpha}^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, where ω is an \mathcal{A}_p^ℓ weight (see [9, 16, 5] for the known unweighted cases). The main tools to prove these results are a weak decomposition for the Bergman kernel associated to the projection P_{α}^ℓ (see (2.8) below) with precise weighted estimates of its terms (see (2.13) below) and the main properties of the \mathcal{A}_p^ℓ weights. The fact that both the weak decomposition (2.8) and the upper bound in (2.13) do not depend on the weight ω explains somehow that the characterizations obtained in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are independent of ω .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some necessary results on Fock spaces associated to \mathcal{A}_p^ℓ weights that we need to prove our results. Finally, Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Notations

Along the paper, unless otherwise stated, α , ℓ , and p are real numbers such that $\alpha > 0$, $\ell \geq 1$, and $p > 1$. As usual, the notation $\Phi \lesssim \Psi$ ($\Psi \gtrsim \Phi$) means that there exists a constant $C > 0$, which does not depend on the involved variables, such that $\Phi \leq C\Psi$. We write $\Phi \simeq \Psi$ if $\Phi \lesssim \Psi$ and $\Psi \lesssim \Phi$.

2. FOCK SPACES ASSOCIATED TO \mathcal{A}_p^ℓ WEIGHTS

In this section we collect some results on \mathcal{A}_p^ℓ -weighted Fock spaces that we will use in the proofs of our results.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$, the dual $(L_{\alpha}^{p,\ell}(\omega))^*$ of $L_{\alpha}^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, with respect to the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha}$, is $L_{\alpha}^{p',\ell}(\omega')$. Namely, the mapping*

$$g \in L_{\alpha}^{p',\ell}(\omega') \longmapsto \langle \cdot, g \rangle_{\alpha} \in (L_{\alpha}^{p,\ell}(\omega))^*$$

is an isometric antilinear isomorphism.

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of the classical $L^p(\mathbb{C}) - L^{p'}(\mathbb{C})$ duality and the fact that, for any weight ω and $1 < q < \infty$, the operator $\Phi : L_{\alpha}^{q,\ell}(\omega) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{C})$, defined by

$$(\Phi g)(z) = g(z)e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^{2\ell}} \omega(z)^{1/q} \quad (g \in L_{\alpha}^{q,\ell}(\omega), z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

is an isometric linear isomorphism. \square

Lemma 2.2 ([5, Lemma 2.15], [6, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 5.7]).

- a) P_{α}^ℓ is a bounded projection from $L_{\alpha}^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ onto $F_{\alpha}^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$.

- b) $P_\alpha^\ell f = f$, for every $f \in F_\beta^{1,\ell}$ and $0 < \beta < 2\alpha$.
c) If $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \gamma < \alpha < \beta$, we have the embedding $L_\alpha^{\infty,\ell} \hookrightarrow L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega) \hookrightarrow L_\beta^{1,\ell}$, for any $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$.

As it is usual, the duality $L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ - $L_\alpha^{p',\ell}(\omega')$ (see Lemma 2.1) together with the boundedness of P_α^ℓ on $L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, for $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$, gives the duality $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ - $F_\alpha^{p',\ell}(\omega')$:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$. Then the dual $(F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega))^*$ of $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, with respect to the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha$, is $F_\alpha^{p',\ell}(\omega')$, i.e. the mapping*

$$g \in F_\alpha^{p',\ell}(\omega') \longmapsto \langle \cdot, g \rangle_\alpha \in (F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega))^*$$

is a topological antilinear isomorphism.

The Bergman kernel K_α^ℓ is given in terms of the classical two parametric Mittag-Leffler functions

$$E_{a,b}(\lambda) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{\Gamma(ak+b)} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, a, b > 0)$$

by the formula

$$(2.1) \quad K_\alpha^\ell(w, z) = K_{\alpha,z}^\ell(w) = \ell \alpha^{1/\ell} E_{1/\ell, 1/\ell}(\alpha^{1/\ell} w \bar{z}) \quad (w, z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Precise pointwise estimates of K_α^ℓ can be given in terms of the functions $\psi_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell := e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\phi_\beta^\ell}$, for $0 < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2\ell}$, where

$$\phi_\beta^\ell(z, w) := \begin{cases} |z|^{2\ell} + |w|^{2\ell} - 2 \operatorname{Re}((w\bar{z})^\ell), & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } w \in S_{z,\beta}, \\ |z|^{2\ell} + |w|^{2\ell} - 2|z|^\ell |w|^\ell \cos(\ell\beta), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here

$$S_{z,\beta} := \{w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg(z\bar{w})| \leq \beta\} \cup \{0\} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}),$$

where $\arg \lambda$ denotes the principal branch of the argument of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, i.e. $-\pi < \arg \lambda \leq \pi$. We will need the following technical estimate.

Proposition 2.4 ([6, Proposition 4.2]). *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\varrho}^\ell$. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} (1 + |w|)^s \psi_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell(z, w) d\omega(w) \simeq (1 + |z|)^s \omega(D_{z,\varrho}^\ell).$$

We recall the precise estimates of the Bergman kernel that we will use:

$$(2.3) \quad |\mathbf{K}_\alpha^\ell(w, z)| \lesssim (1 + |w|)^{\ell-1} (1 + |z|)^{\ell-1} \psi_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell(w, z) \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{C}),$$

for every $0 < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2\ell}$, where

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{K}_\alpha^\ell(w, z) := e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^{2\ell}} K_\alpha^\ell(w, z) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^{2\ell}}$$

is the so-called twisted Bergman kernel (see [6, (6.22)]). In particular, we have the following rough estimate:

$$(2.5) \quad |\mathbf{K}_\alpha^\ell(w, z)| \lesssim (1 + |z|)^{\ell-1} (1 + |w|)^{\ell-1} e^{\alpha|z|^\ell |w|^\ell} \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$, for some $1 < p < \infty$ and $\ell \geq 1$. Then E is dense in $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, for every $\alpha > 0$.*

Proof. Let $f \in F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$. Then $f_R = f\chi_{D(0,R)} \in L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, for any $R > 0$, and

$$(2.6) \quad \|f - f_R\|_{L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now consider the entire function $h_R = P_\alpha^\ell f_R$. Taking into account the estimate (2.5), it is clear that

$$|h_R(z)| \lesssim (1 + |z|)^{\ell-1} e^{\alpha R^\ell |z|^\ell} \lesssim e^{\tau |z|^\ell} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}),$$

for every $\tau > \alpha R^\ell$, and, in particular, $h_R \in E$. Moreover, since $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$, [6, Theorem 1.1] and Lemma 2.2 show that P_α^ℓ is a bounded projection from $L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ onto $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, and so

$$(2.7) \quad \|f - h_R\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} = \|P_\alpha^\ell(f - f_R)\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \lesssim \|f - f_R\|_{L_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \quad (R > 0).$$

Finally, (2.6) and (2.7) give that $h_R \rightarrow f$ in $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$, as $R \rightarrow \infty$, and that ends the proof. \square

Besides the pointwise estimates of the Bergman kernel, a key tool to prove our results is a decomposition formula for K_α^ℓ obtained in [5, Theorem 1.3]:

$$(2.8) \quad K_\alpha^\ell(w, z) = G_0(w, z)^2 + G_1(w, z),$$

where

$$G_0(w, z) = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{1/(2\ell)} E_{\frac{1}{\ell}, \frac{\ell+1}{2\ell}} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{1/\ell} w\bar{z} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad G_1(w, z) = R_\ell(\alpha^{1/\ell} w\bar{z}).$$

The functions G_j 's satisfy the pointwise estimates satisfy

$$(2.9) \quad |\mathbf{G}_j(w, z)| \lesssim (1 + |w|)^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} (1 + |z|)^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} \psi_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell(w, \frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}) \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{C}),$$

for every $0 < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2\ell}$, where as above the \mathbf{G}_j 's are the twisted functions

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbf{G}_j(w, z) := e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^{2\ell}} G_j(w, z) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}|^{2\ell}} = e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^{2\ell}} G_j(w, z) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{8}|z|^{2\ell}}$$

for $j = 0, 1$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p^\ell$. Then we have that*

$$(2.11) \quad \|K_\alpha^\ell(\cdot, z)\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)}^p \lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha p}{2}|z|^{2\ell}} (1 + |z|)^{2p(\ell-1)} \omega(D_z^\ell) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

$$(2.12) \quad \|G_j(\cdot, \bar{z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)}^p \lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha p}{8}|z|^{2\ell}} (1 + |z|)^{p(\ell-1)} \omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}, j = 0, 1).$$

$$(2.13) \quad \|G_0(\cdot, \bar{z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \|G_0(\cdot, \bar{z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p',\ell}(\omega')} + \|G_1(\cdot, \bar{z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \|1\|_{F_\alpha^{p',\ell}(\omega')} \lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}}$$

Proof. Estimate (2.11) follows from (2.4), (2.3) and (2.2). Estimate (2.12) follows from (2.10), (2.9) and (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_j(\cdot, \bar{z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)}^p &= e^{\frac{\alpha p}{8}|z|^{2\ell}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\mathbf{G}_j(w, z)|^p d\omega(w) \\ &\lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha p}{8}|z|^{2\ell}} (1 + |z|)^{\frac{p(\ell-1)}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} (1 + |w|)^{\frac{p(\ell-1)}{2}} \psi_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell(w, \frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}) d\omega(w) \\ &\lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha p}{8}|z|^{2\ell}} (1 + |z|)^{p(\ell-1)} \omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we are going to prove (2.13). By (2.12) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_0(\cdot \bar{z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \|G_0(\cdot \bar{z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p',\ell}(\omega')} &\lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}} (1+|z|)^{2(\ell-1)} \omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}})^{1/p} \omega'(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}})^{1/p'} \\ &\lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}} (1+|z|)^{2(\ell-1)} |D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}| \simeq e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (2.12) also gives that

$$\|G_1(\cdot \bar{z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha}{8}|z|^{2\ell}} (1+|z|)^{\ell-1} \omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}})^{1/p}.$$

Since we know that there is a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$\omega(D_z^\ell) \leq C \phi_\beta^\ell(z, w)^{1/2} \omega(D_w^\ell) \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{C})$$

(see [6, Theorem 1.3]), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_1(\cdot \bar{z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} &\lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha}{8}|z|^{2\ell}} (1+|z|)^{\ell-1} C^{\frac{1}{p} \phi_\beta^\ell(\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}, 0)}^{1/2} \omega(D_0^\ell)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha}{8}|z|^{2\ell}} (1+|z|)^{\ell-1} e^{c|z|^\ell} \lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $\|1\|_{F_\alpha^{p',\ell}(\omega')} < \infty$, we conclude that estimate (2.13) holds. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We start by proving the characterization of the boundedness stated in Theorem 1.1. By duality (see Lemma 2.3), we have to show that the norm of the bilinear form

$$\Lambda_b(f, g) := \langle g, \overline{\mathfrak{h}_{b,\alpha}^\ell f} \rangle_\alpha \quad (f, g \in E)$$

on $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega) \times F_\alpha^{p',\ell}(\omega')$ satisfies that

$$(3.1) \quad \|\Lambda_b\| \simeq \|b\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}}.$$

Observe that, for any $f, g \in E$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \Lambda_b(f, g) = \langle g, P_\alpha^\ell(\bar{f}b) \rangle_\alpha \stackrel{(*)}{=} \langle P_\alpha^\ell g, \bar{f}b \rangle_\alpha = \langle g, \bar{f}b \rangle_\alpha = \langle fg, b \rangle_\alpha,$$

where equality (*) follows from Fubini's theorem and the fact that

$$\Psi_{f,g,b}(z, w) := K_\alpha^\ell(w, z) f(w) \bar{b}(w) e^{-\alpha|w|^{2\ell}} g(z) e^{-\alpha|z|^{2\ell}}$$

is in $L^1(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C})$. This is a consequence of the rough pointwise estimate (2.5). Indeed, if $\lambda > 0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{f,g,b}(w, z)| &\lesssim \|b\|_{F_\beta^{\infty,\ell}} (1+|w|)^{\ell-1} (1+|z|)^{\ell-1} e^{\tau|w|^\ell} e^{\tau|z|^\ell} e^{\alpha|z|^\ell |w|^\ell - (\alpha - \frac{\beta}{2})|w|^{2\ell} - \alpha|z|^{2\ell}} \\ &\lesssim \|b\|_{F_\beta^{\infty,\ell}} ((1+|w|)(1+|z|))^{\ell-1} e^{\tau(|w|^\ell + |z|^\ell)} e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}(\lambda - 2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|w|^{2\ell} + \alpha(\frac{1}{2\lambda} - 1)|z|^{2\ell}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\tau > 0$. Therefore, by choosing $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 2 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ (which is possible since $\beta < \frac{3\alpha}{2}$), we see that $\Psi_{f,g,\varphi} \in L^1(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C})$.

So we are left to prove (3.1). By Lemma 2.2 b), (2.8), and (2.13) show that

$$|b(z)| = |\langle K_\alpha(\cdot, z), b \rangle_\alpha| \leq |\Lambda_b(G_0(\cdot, z), G_0(\cdot, z))| + |\Lambda_b(G_1(\cdot, z), 1)| \lesssim \|\Lambda_b\| e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}}.$$

Therefore $b \in F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}$ and $\|b\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}} \lesssim \|\Lambda_b\|$.

Now we prove the opposite estimate. Assume that $b \in F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}$. Then [5, Proposition 2.8] shows that there exists $\varphi \in L^\infty$ such that $P_\alpha(\varphi) = b$ and $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \simeq \|b\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}}$.

Therefore $\Lambda_b(f, g) = \langle fg, b \rangle_\alpha = \langle fg, \varphi \rangle_\alpha$.

It follows that the bilinear form $\widetilde{\Lambda}_b : L_\alpha^p(\omega) \times L_{\alpha'}^p(\omega') \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\widetilde{\Lambda}_b(f, g) = \langle fg, \varphi \rangle_\alpha$ extends Λ_b . Moreover, $\|\widetilde{\Lambda}_b\| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$, because, by Hölder's inequality,

$$|\widetilde{\Lambda}_b(f, g)| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|fg\|_{L_\alpha^{1,\ell}} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{F_\alpha^p(\omega)} \|g\|_{F_{\alpha'}^p(\omega')}.$$

Hence $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \simeq \|b\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\infty} \lesssim \|\Lambda_b\| \leq \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_b\| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$. And that ends the proof of the boundedness.

Next we prove the compactness part. Assume that $b \in \mathfrak{f}_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}$. Then there is a sequence of polynomials $\{q_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|q_k - b\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}} \rightarrow 0$, and so $\|\mathfrak{h}_{q_k}^\ell - \mathfrak{h}_b^\ell\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \rightarrow 0$, because $\|\mathfrak{h}_{q_k}^\ell - \mathfrak{h}_b^\ell\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} = \|\mathfrak{h}_{q_k-b}^\ell\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \lesssim \|q_k - b\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}}$.

Consequently, since $\{\mathfrak{h}_{q_k}^\ell\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of finite rank operators, it follows that $\mathfrak{h}_b^\ell : F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega) \rightarrow \overline{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)}$ is compact.

Now assume that $\mathfrak{h}_b^\ell : F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega) \rightarrow \overline{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)}$ is compact. In particular, it is a bounded operator, or equivalently, the bilinear form Λ_b is bounded on $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega) \times F_{\alpha'}^{p,\ell}(\omega')$. Moreover, $b \in F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}$ and $\overline{b(z)} = \langle K(\cdot, z), b \rangle_\alpha$ (by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.2). Hence, (2.8) gives that

$$\overline{b(z)} = \langle G_0(\cdot, z)^2, b \rangle_\alpha + \langle G_1(\cdot, z), b \rangle_\alpha = \Lambda_b(G_0(\cdot, z), G_0(\cdot, z)) + \Lambda_b(G_1(\cdot, z), 1).$$

Since $E \times E$ is dense in $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega) \times F_{\alpha'}^{p,\ell}(\omega')$, identity (3.2) also holds for any $f \in F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ and $g \in F_{\alpha'}^{p,\ell}(\omega')$. So we may apply twice (3.2) (to $f = g = G_0(\cdot, z)$ and $f = G_1(\cdot, z), g = 1$) and obtain that

$$(3.3) \quad \overline{b(z)} = \langle G_0(\cdot, z), \overline{\mathfrak{h}_b^\ell(G_0(\cdot, z))} \rangle + \langle 1, \overline{\mathfrak{h}_b^\ell(G_1(\cdot, z))} \rangle.$$

If we consider the normalized functions

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G}_{k,z} &:= G_k(\cdot, z) / \|G_k(\cdot, z)\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \quad (k = 0, 1) \\ \widehat{G}_{0,z} &:= G_0(\cdot, z) / \|G_0(\cdot, z)\|_{F_{\alpha'}^{p,\ell}(\omega')}, \end{aligned}$$

we may write (3.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{b(z)} &= \|G_0(\cdot, z)\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \|G_0(\cdot, z)\|_{F_{\alpha'}^{p,\ell}(\omega')} \langle \widehat{G}_{0,z}, \overline{\mathfrak{h}_b^\ell(\widetilde{G}_{0,z})} \rangle \\ &\quad + \|G_1(\cdot, z)\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \langle 1, \overline{\mathfrak{h}_b^\ell(\widetilde{G}_{1,z})} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.13) we have

$$|b(z)| \lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}} \left(\|\mathfrak{h}_b^\ell(\widetilde{G}_{0,z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} + \|\mathfrak{h}_b^\ell(\widetilde{G}_{1,z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \right).$$

Consequently, in order to show that $b \in \mathfrak{f}_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty,\ell}$, it is enough to prove that

$$\|\mathfrak{h}_b(\widetilde{G}_{k,z})\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for } k = 0, 1.$$

Since \mathfrak{h}_b is compact, we only have to check that $\widetilde{G}_{k,z} \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, for $k = 0, 1$. Indeed, that follows from the fact that $\|\widetilde{G}_{k,z}\|_{F_\alpha^{p,\ell}(\omega)} = 1$ and $\widetilde{G}_{k,z} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact sets as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, for $k = 0, 1$. The uniform convergence on compacta is a direct consequence of estimates (2.12) and $|G_k(w\bar{z})| \lesssim e^{\alpha(R^\ell+1)|z|^\ell}$, for $|w| \leq R$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

For $0 < p < \infty$ and separable complex Hilbert spaces H_0 and H_1 , we recall that the Schatten class $S_p(H_0, H_1)$ consists of all compact linear operators T from H_0 to H_1 such that

$$\|T\|_{S_p(H_0, H_1)}^p := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k(T)^p < \infty,$$

where $\{s_k(T)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of singular values of T . Moreover, $S_{\infty}(H_0, H_1)$ is the space of all the bounded linear operators from H_0 to H_1 . (See, for instance, [13, Chapter 7] and [5, §6.2], for more details)

Note that $(S_p(H_0, H_1), \|\cdot\|_{S_p(H_0, H_1)})$ is a Banach space for $p \geq 1$ and a quasi-Banach space for $p < 1$. Moreover, since $\|T\|_{S_q(H_0, H_1)} \leq \|T\|_{S_p(H_0, H_1)}$ for $p < q$ and $T \in S_p(H_0, H_1)$, we have the embedding

$$S_p(H_0, H_1) \hookrightarrow S_q(H_0, H_1), \quad (0 < p < q \leq \infty).$$

The polar decomposition of T gives the existence of two orthonormal systems $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{v_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of H_0 and H_1 , respectively, such that

$$T(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k(T) \langle f, u_k \rangle_{H_0} v_k.$$

Note that if $T_k(f) := s_k(T) \langle f, u_k \rangle_{H_0} v_k$, then $\|T_k\|_{S_p(H_0, H_1)} = s_k(T)$. So if $T \in S_1(H_0, H_1)$, then the rank one operators T_k satisfy

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n T_k \rightarrow T \text{ in } S_1(H_0, H_1) \text{ and } \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n T_k \right\|_{S_1(H_0, H_1)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \|T_k\|_{S_1(H_0, H_1)}.$$

Moreover, recall the following complex interpolation identity [15, Theorem 2.6]:

$$(4.2) \quad (S_1(H_0, H_1), S_{\infty}(H_0, H_1))_{[\theta]} = S_{1/(1-\theta)}(H_0, H_1) \quad (0 < \theta < 1).$$

In order to prove our results, we need the following lemma on rank one operators from $F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega)$ to $\overline{F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega)}$. We omit its proof since it can be easily deduced from Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_2^{\ell}$. Then $T : F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega) \rightarrow \overline{F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega)}$ is a bounded linear operator of rank one if and only if there are non zero functions $g \in F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega')$ and $h \in F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega)$ such that $Tf = \langle f, g \rangle_{\alpha} \bar{h}$, for any $f \in F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega)$. Moreover, in this case, $\|T\|_{S_p(F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega))} \simeq \|g\|_{F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega')} \|h\|_{F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega)}$, for any $0 < p < \infty$.*

4.0.1. **Proof of the sufficient condition.**

The sufficient condition is a direct consequence of the following result.

Proposition 4.2. *For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the operator $b \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_b$ is bounded from $F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{2(\ell-1)}{p}}^{p,\ell}$ to $S_p(F_{\alpha}^{2,\ell}(\omega))$.*

In order to prove Proposition 4.2, we will need the following interpolation Lemma.

Lemma 4.3 ([5, Lemma 6.4]). *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then*

$$(4.3) \quad (L_{\alpha/2, 2(2\ell-1)}^{1,\ell}, L_{\alpha/2}^{\infty,\ell})_{[1/p']} = L_{\alpha/2, 2(2\ell-1)/p}^{p,\ell} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(4.4) \quad (F_{\alpha/2, 2(2\ell-1)}^{1,\ell}, F_{\alpha/2}^{\infty,\ell})_{[1/p']} = F_{\alpha/2, 2(2\ell-1)/p}^{p,\ell}$$

Proof of Proposition 4.2. By the interpolation identities (4.4) and (4.2) it is enough to prove the result for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$. Since the last case has been done in the previous section, we only have to deal with the case $p = 1$.

Assume $b \in F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2(\ell-1)}^{1, \ell}$. By the pointwise estimate [5, Corollary 2.9] and Lemma 2.2, $b \in F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\infty, \ell}$ and $b = P_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} b$. Therefore, for $f \in E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{h}_b^\ell f)(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(u) \overline{b(u)} K_\alpha^\ell(u, z) e^{-\alpha|u|^{2\ell}} dA(u) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(u) \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{b(w)} K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\ell(w, u) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^{2\ell}} dA(w) \right) K_\alpha^\ell(u, z) e^{-\alpha|u|^{2\ell}} dA(u), \end{aligned}$$

and Fubini's theorem gives

$$(4.5) \quad (\mathfrak{h}_b^\ell f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{b(w)} (\mathfrak{h}_{K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\ell(\cdot, w)}^\ell f)(z) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^{2\ell}} dA(w).$$

This allows us to consider the following Bochner integral

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{b(w)} \mathfrak{h}_{K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\ell(\cdot, w)}^\ell e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^{2\ell}} dA(w).$$

By Bochner's integrability theorem (see, for instance, [14, p. 133]), the $S_1(F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega))$ -convergence of the Bochner's integral (4.6) means that the integrand $S(w) := \overline{b(w)} \mathfrak{h}_{K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\ell(\cdot, w)}$ is an $S_1(F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega))$ -valued strongly measurable function on \mathbb{C} which satisfies

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} \|S(w)\|_{S_1(F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega))} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^{2\ell}} dA(w) < \infty.$$

We are going to show that $S(w)$ is an operator of rank at most one, for every $w \in \mathbb{C}$, and next we estimate its $S_1(F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega))$ -norm.

For any $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in E$, we have

$$(4.8) \quad (\mathfrak{h}_{K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\ell(\cdot, w)}^\ell f)(z) = 2^{-1/\ell} \langle f, K_\alpha^\ell(\cdot, 2^{-1/\ell} w) \rangle_\alpha K_\alpha^\ell(2^{-1/\ell} w, z).$$

Indeed, by (2.1), $K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\ell(\cdot, w) = 2^{-1/\ell} K_\alpha^\ell(\cdot, 2^{-1/\ell} w)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{h}_{K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\ell(\cdot, w)}^\ell f)(z) &= 2^{-1/\ell} \langle f K_\alpha^\ell(\cdot, z), K_\alpha^\ell(\cdot, 2^{-1/\ell} w) \rangle_\alpha \\ &= 2^{-1/\ell} f(2^{-1/\ell} w) K_\alpha^\ell(2^{-1/\ell} w, z) \\ &= 2^{-1/\ell} \langle f, K_\alpha^\ell(\cdot, 2^{-1/\ell} w) \rangle_\alpha K_\alpha^\ell(2^{-1/\ell} w, z). \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathfrak{h}_{K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\ell(\cdot, w)}$ is an operator of rank one and, by Lemma 4.1 and estimate (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad \|\mathfrak{h}_{K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\ell(\cdot, w)}^\ell\|_{S_1(F_\alpha^2(\omega))} &\simeq \|K_\alpha^\ell(\cdot, 2^{-1/\ell} w)\|_{F_\alpha^2(\omega')} \|K_\alpha^\ell(\cdot, 2^{-1/\ell} w)\|_{F_\alpha^2(\omega)} \\ &\lesssim (1 + |\frac{w}{2^{1/\ell}}|)^{4(\ell-1)} e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}|w|^{2\ell}} \omega(D_{\frac{w}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega'(D_{\frac{w}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim (1 + |w|)^{2(\ell-1)} e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}|w|^{2\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that (4.8) shows that S is an $S_1(F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega))$ -valued function on \mathbb{C} . Moreover, it is $S_1(F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega))$ -strongly measurable because

$$w \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_{K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^\ell(\cdot, w)} \in S_1(F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega))$$

is continuous. That follows because $\mathfrak{h}_{K_{\alpha/2}^\ell(\cdot, w)} - \mathfrak{h}_{K_{\alpha/2}^\ell(\cdot, v)}$ has rank at most 2 and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{h}_{K_{\alpha/2}^\ell(\cdot, w)} - \mathfrak{h}_{K_{\alpha/2}^\ell(\cdot, v)}\|_{S_1(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))} &\leq 2 \|\mathfrak{h}_{\{K_{\alpha/2}^\ell(\cdot, w) - K_{\alpha/2}^\ell(\cdot, v)\}}\|_{S_\infty(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))} \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\lesssim} \|K_{\alpha/2}^\ell(\cdot, w) - K_{\alpha/2}^\ell(\cdot, v)\|_{F_{\alpha/2}^{\infty,\ell}} \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{\lesssim} \|K_{\alpha/2}^\ell(\cdot, w) - K_{\alpha/2}^\ell(\cdot, v)\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2(\ell-1)}^{1,\ell}} \stackrel{(3)}{\rightarrow} 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $w \rightarrow v$, where (1), (2) and (3) are consequences of Theorem 1.1, the pointwise estimate [5, Corollary 2.9] and the dominated convergence theorem, respectively.

Now (4.9) gives (4.7):

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \|S(w)\|_{S_1(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^{2\ell}} dA(w) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} |b(w)| (1 + |w|)^{2(\ell-1)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}|w|^{2\ell}} dA(w).$$

Therefore, by (4.5), $\mathfrak{h}_b \in S_1(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))$ and $\|\mathfrak{h}_b\|_{S_1(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))} \lesssim \|b\|_{F_{\alpha/2, 2(\ell-1)}^{1,\ell}}$. \square

4.0.2. Proof of necessary condition.

The necessity will follow the ideas from the case $\omega \equiv 1$ and $\ell > 1$ (see [5]), which ultimately are inspired by the classical case $\ell = 1$ (see [9]). The following definition is suggested by (3.3).

Definition 4.4. For $T \in S_\infty(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))$, let

$$\Phi_T(z) := \langle G_0(\cdot, z), \overline{T(G_0(\cdot, z))} \rangle_\alpha + \langle 1, \overline{T(G_1(\cdot, z))} \rangle_\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Since $\Phi_{\mathfrak{h}_b} = \bar{b}$, the necessary part in Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of the following result.

Proposition 4.5. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the linear operator $T \mapsto \Phi_T$ is bounded from $S_p(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))$ to $L_{\alpha/2, 2(\ell-1)/p}^{p,\ell}$.

Proof. It is easy to check that Φ_T is a continuous function on \mathbb{C} . Indeed, if $z_j \rightarrow z$ in \mathbb{C} , [6, Proposition 5.6] and the dominated convergence theorem imply that $G_k(\cdot, z_j) \rightarrow G_k(\cdot, z)$ in both spaces $F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega')$ and $F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega)$.

So, taking into account the interpolation identities (4.2) and (4.3), it is enough to prove the proposition for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$.

The case $p = \infty$ follows from Schwarz inequality, the boundedness of T and (2.13):

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_T(z)| &\lesssim \|T\|_{S_\infty(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))} \left(\|G_0(\cdot, z)\|_{F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega)} \|G_0(\cdot, z)\|_{F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega')} + \|G_1(\cdot, z)\|_{F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega)} \right) \\ &\lesssim \|T\|_{S_\infty(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))} e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the case $p = 1$, that is,

$$(4.10) \quad \|\Phi_T\|_{L_{\alpha/2, 2(\ell-1)}^{1,\ell}} \lesssim \|T\|_{S_1(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))} \quad (T \in S_1(F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega))).$$

Taking into account (4.1), the case $p = \infty$, and Fatou's lemma, it is easy to show that we only have to prove (4.10) for operators of rank one. So, by Lemma 4.1, we may assume that T satisfies

$$Tf = \langle f, g \rangle_\alpha \bar{h} \quad (f \in F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega)),$$

for some functions $g \in F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega')$ and $h \in F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega)$.

In this case,

$$\Phi_T(z) = \langle G_0(\cdot, z), g \rangle_\alpha \langle G_0(\cdot, z), h \rangle_\alpha + \langle G_1(\cdot, z), g \rangle_\alpha \langle 1, h \rangle_\alpha,$$

and Schwarz inequality using that

$$\omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) \omega'(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) \simeq (1 + |z|)^{4(1-\ell)}$$

gives

$$\|\Phi_T\|_{L_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2(\ell-1)}^{1, \ell}} \lesssim I_0 J_0 + I_1 J_1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_k^2 &:= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle G_k(\cdot, \bar{z}), g \rangle_\alpha|^2 (1 + |z|)^{4(\ell-1)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}} \omega'(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) dA(z) \\ J_0^2 &:= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle G_0(\cdot, \bar{z}), h \rangle_\alpha|^2 (1 + |z|)^{4(\ell-1)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}} \omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) dA(z) \\ J_1^2 &:= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle 1, h \rangle_\alpha|^2 (1 + |z|)^{8(\ell-1)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}} \omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove that $I_k \lesssim \|g\|_{F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega')}$ and $J_k \lesssim \|h\|_{F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega)}$, which, by Lemma 4.1, give

$$\|\Phi_T\|_{L_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2n(\ell-1)}^1} \lesssim \|g\|_{F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega')} \|h\|_{F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega)} \simeq \|T\|_{S_1(F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega))}.$$

In order to prove the estimate $I_k \lesssim \|g\|_{F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega')}$, first note that Schwarz's inequality gives

$$|\langle G_k(\cdot, z), g \rangle_\alpha|^2 \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |g|^2 |G_k(\cdot, z)| \omega' dA_{3\alpha} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |G_k(\cdot, z)| \omega dA_\alpha \right),$$

where $dA_\beta(w) = e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}|w|^{2\ell}} dA(w)$, for any $\beta > 0$. Then, by (2.12), we obtain

$$|\langle G_k(\cdot, z), g \rangle_\alpha|^2 \lesssim (1 + |z|)^{\ell-1} e^{\frac{\alpha}{8}|z|^{2\ell}} \omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) \int_{\mathbb{C}} |g(w)|^2 |G_k(w, z)| \omega'(w) dA_{3\alpha}(w)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_k^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} (1 + |z|)^{5(\ell-1)} \omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) \omega'(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |g|^2 |G_k(\cdot, z)| \omega' dA_{3\alpha} \right) dA_{\frac{\alpha}{4}}(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |G_k(w, z)| (1 + |z|)^{\ell-1} dA_{\frac{\alpha}{4}}(z) \right) |g(w)|^2 \omega'(w) dA_{3\alpha}(w) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} \|G_k(\cdot, w)\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{4}, \ell-1}^{1, \ell}} |g(w)|^2 \omega'(w) dA_{3\alpha}(w) \end{aligned}$$

and Proposition 4.3 in [5] with $\gamma = 1$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ gives

$$\|G_k(\cdot, w)\|_{F_{\frac{\alpha}{4}, \ell-1}^{1, \ell}} \lesssim e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}|w|^{2\ell}}$$

so we have that

$$I_k^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} |g(w)|^2 e^{-\alpha|w|^{2\ell}} \omega'(w) dA(w) = \|g\|_{F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega')}^2.$$

Similarly, we obtain $J_0 \lesssim \|h\|_{F_\alpha^{2, \ell}(\omega)}$.

Finally, we estimate J_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^2 &= c_{\alpha,\ell} |h(0)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{C}} (1+|z|)^{8(\ell-1)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell}} \omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) dA(z) \\ &\lesssim |h(0)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{C}} (1+|z|)^{8(\ell-1)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}|z|^{2\ell} + M|z|^\ell} dA(z) \lesssim \|h\|_{F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $\omega(D_{\frac{z}{2^{1/\ell}}}^\ell) \lesssim e^{M|z|^\ell}$ and $|h(0)|^2 \lesssim \|h\|_{F_\alpha^{2,\ell}(\omega)}^2$, by [6, Theorem 1.3] and [6, Lemma 5.5], respectively. \square

REFERENCES

1. Békollé, D. *Inégalité à poids pour le projecteur de Bergman dans la boule unité de \mathbb{C}^n* , Studia Math. **71** (1981/82), 305–323. doi:10.4064/sm-71-3-305-323.
2. Békollé, D. and Bonami, A. *Inégalités à poids pour le noyau de Bergman*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A **286** (1978), no. 18, 775–778.
3. Bommier-Hato, H., Englis, M. and Youssfi, E.H. *Bergman-type projections in generalized Fock spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **389** (2012), 1086–1104. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.12.045.
4. Cascante, C., Fàbrega, J. and Pascuas, D. *Boundedness of the Bergman Projection on Generalized Fock-Sobolev Spaces on \mathbb{C}^n* , Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **14** (2020), no. 2. doi:10.1007/s11785-020-00992-6.
5. Cascante, C., Fàbrega, J. and Pascuas, D. *Hankel bilinear forms on generalized Fock-Sobolev spaces on \mathbb{C}^n* , Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **45** (2020), no. 2, 841–862. doi:10.5186/aasfm.2020.4546.
6. Cascante, C., Fàbrega, J. and Pascuas, D. *Muckenhoupt type weights and Berezin formulas for Bergman spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **504** (2021), no. 1. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125481.
7. Cascante, C., Fàbrega, J. and Peláez, J.A., *Littlewood-Paley formulas and Carleson measures for weighted Fock spaces induced by A_∞ -type weights*, Potential Anal. **50** (2019), no. 2, 221–244.
8. Isralowitz, J. *Invertible Toeplitz products, weighted norm inequalities, and A_p weights*, J. Oper. Theory **71**, (2014), no. 2, 381–410. doi:10.7900/jot.2012apr10.1989.
9. Janson, S., Peetre, J. and Rochberg, R. *Hankel forms and the Fock space*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **3** (1987), no. 1, 61–138. doi:10.4171/RMI/46.
10. Peláez, J.A. and Rättyä, J. *Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **227** (2014), no. 1066.
11. Peláez, J.A. and Rättyä, J. *Two weight inequality for Bergman projection*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **105** (2016), no. 1, 102–130. doi:10.1016/j.matpur.2015.10.001.
12. Pott, S. and Reguera, M.C. *Sharp Békollé estimates for the Bergman projection*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), no. 12, 3233–3244. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2013.08.018.
13. Weidmann, J. *Linear Operators on Hilbert Spaces*, Graduate Text in Mathematics #68, Springer, New York, 1980.
14. Yosida, K. *Functional Analysis*, Reprint of the sixth (1980) edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-61859-8.
15. Zhu, K.H. *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Second Edition, Math. Surveys and Monographs #138, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2007. doi:10.1090/surv/138.
16. Zhu, K.H. *Analysis on Fock Spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics # 263, Springer, New York, 2012. doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-8801-0.

CENTRE DE RECERCA MATEMÀTICA, EDIFICI C, CAMPUS BELLATERRA, 08193 BELLATERRA,
SPAIN

Email address: `cascante@ub.edu`

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA
585, 08071 BARCELONA, SPAIN

Email address: `joan.fabrega@ub.edu`

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA
585, 08071 BARCELONA, SPAIN

Email address: `daniel.pascuas@ub.edu`