This is the unedited manuscript that was accepted for publication:

Impact of fertilization with pig slurry on the isotopic composition of nitrate retained in soil and leached to groundwater in agricultural areas

Rosanna Margalef-Marti, Alba Llovet, Raúl Carrey, Angela Ribas, Xavier Domene, Stefania Mattana, Juan Chin-Pampillo, Claudio Mondini, Josep Mª Alcañiz, Albert Soler, Neus Otero

Applied Geochemistry, 2021, Volume 125, 104832

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2020.104832

Received date: 23 September 2020

Revised date: 2 November 2020

Accepted date: 16 November 2020

Available online: 26 November 2020

© 2021. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Impact of fertilization with pig slurry on the isotopic composition of nitrate retained in soil and leached to groundwater in agricultural areas

Rosanna Margalef-Marti^{1,2,*}, Alba Llovet^{3,4}, Raúl Carrey^{1,2}, Angela Ribas^{3,4}, Xavier Domene^{3,4,5}, Stefania Mattana^{4,6}, Juan Chin-Pampillo^{3,4,7}, Claudio Mondini⁸, Josep Mª Alcañiz^{3,4}, Albert Soler^{1,2}, Neus Otero^{1,2,5}

¹ Grup MAiMA, SGR Mineralogia Aplicada, Geoquímica i Geomicrobiologia, Departament de Mineralogia, Petrologia i Geologia Aplicada, Facultat de Ciències de la Terra, Universitat de Barcelona (UB), Martí i Franquès s/n, 08020, Barcelona, Spain

² Institut de Recerca de l'Aigua (IdRA) de la Universitat de Barcelona (UB), Spain

³ CREAF, Cerdanyola del Vallès 08193, Spain

⁴ Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès 08193, Spain

⁵ Serra Húnter Fellowship, Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain

⁶ Research Group Plants and Ecosystems (PLECO), Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, B-2610, Belgium

⁷ Centro de Investigación en Contaminación Ambiental (CICA), Universidad de Costa Rica (UCR),
 San José, Costa Rica

⁸ CREA Research Centre for Viticulture and Enology, Via Trieste 23, Gorizia 34170, Italy

* Present address: Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, Institut des Sciences Analytiques et de Physico-chimie pour l'Environnement et les matériaux (IPREM), Pau, France

ABSTRACT

The isotopic composition of N and O of nitrate (NO₃) is usually employed to trace its sources of pollution in groundwater. In agricultural areas, the amount of NO_3^- that reaches the aquifers after fertilization is controlled by different transformation processes that can affect the nitrogen species isotopic composition. Aiming to address the reliability of using isotope tools to trace sources of groundwater NO_3 , the goal of this study was to check the effect of fertilization on the isotopic composition of N compounds retained and leached from soils. The concentration and isotopic composition (δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O) of ammonium (NH₄⁺), NO₃⁻ and nitrite (NO₂⁻) was characterized after the application of pig slurry in lysimeters containing either soil under fallow (LF) or the same soil continuously cropped and fertilized (LC) during the previous six years. Results showed that the leached NO_3^{-1} isotopic signature did not directly reflect the isotopic composition of the applied pig slurry. Just after fertilization, nitrification led to lower $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values in soil extracts and leachates (e.g. from +5.9±0.9 ‰ to +3.8±3.1 ‰ in soil extracts of LF lysimeters). These values increased after complete nitrification (+11.5±1.3 ‰) towards the $\delta^{15}N_{\text{bulk}}$ of pig slurry (+19.6±0.5 ‰). Later on, due to soil organic matter and plant debris mineralization and subsequent nitrification, values decreased towards the initial $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ of soil but remained above them (+8.6±1.0 ‰). Both LF and LC experiments showed a similar trend and the latter ones allowed to reinforce that long-term fertilization with pig slurry can increase the soil $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$. Concerning the $\delta^{18}O$ of NO₃⁻ from soil extracts and leachates, it mainly depended on the δ^{18} O of irrigation water and oxygen, after nitrification of NH_4^+ from pig slurry. Therefore, studies aiming to trace groundwater NO_3^- pollution sources in rural areas by using an isotopic approach should consider the fertilization history of each setting. Also, analyzing the $\delta^{15}N_{\text{bulk}}$ of soil is recommended, since it could mask the isotopic signature of the N applied through fertilization.

Keywords: groundwater pollution, lysimeters, nitrate, soil fertilization, stable isotopes

1. INTRODUCTION

Groundwater nitrate (NO_3^{-1}) pollution is mainly caused by diffuse (non-point) sources linked to intensive use of synthetic and organic fertilizers in agricultural lands. In synthetic fertilizers, N is commonly found as ammonium (NH_4^+) or NO_3^- , while in organic fertilizers it can also be found as organic N compounds. Organic N is mineralized to NH_4^+ , which can be directly uptaken by plants or oxidized to NO_3^- through nitrification. After nitrification, NO_3^- excess that cannot be uptaken by crops is leached to the hydrosphere, leading to a significant loss of available N for plants and to groundwater pollution (Beeckman et al., 2018; Subbarao et al., 2006).

Measures to decrease N inputs into soil and therefore to improve groundwater quality have been applied since 1991 in response to European directives (2000/60/EC, 2000; 2006/118/EC, 2006; 91/676/EEC, 1991). One of the most challenging issues to evaluate its effectiveness, is the determination of NO₃⁻ pollution sources in aquifers. Isotopic characterization (δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O) has proven to be a powerful tool since NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in synthetic and organic fertilizers, present well defined and different isotopic compositions (Aravena et al., 1993; Clark and Fritz, 1997; Kendall, 1998; Vitoria et al., 2004; Wassenaar, 1995). However, the residence time of N derived from fertilizers in the soil organic matter pool can amount to several decades (Sebilo et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013). Consequently, during the delay between fertilizer application and NO₃⁻ leaching to groundwater, several transformation processes in the non-saturated zone potentially modify the isotopic composition of N compounds that will be leached to the hydrosphere. The most important N cycling reactions in agricultural lands are nitrification, mineralizationimmobilization-turnover (MIT), plant uptake, denitrification, and NH₄⁺ volatilization (**Figure 1**) (Craine et al., 2015; Kelley et al., 2013; Mengis et al., 2001). Nitrification involves the oxidation of NH₄⁺ to hydroxylamine (NH₂OH), nitrite (NO₂⁻) and finally NO₃⁻ (Heil et al., 2016; Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010; Sharma and Ahlert, 1977). MIT refers to the recycling of NO₃⁻ via immobilization as organic N, subsequent mineralization to NH₄⁺ via organic matter degradation and a turnover back to NO₃⁻ via nitrification (Mengis et al., 2001). Immobilization, together with plant uptake, are two N assimilation pathways, which involve the production of organic N from inorganic compounds such as NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻ or NH₄⁺ (Cabello et al., 2004; Emmerton et al., 2001; Lin and Stewart, 1997). On the other hand, denitrification leads to a decrease of available N reactive species by reducing NO₃⁻ to NO₂⁻, nitric oxide (NO), nitrous oxide (N₂O), and finally N₂ (Knowles, 1982; Moreno-vivián et al., 1999). Due to the different reaction rates between the heavy and light isotopes during these reactions, the isotopic composition of the involved N compounds can undergo significant variations (Craine et al., 2015; Denk et al., 2017; Kendall, 1998; Mariotti et al., 1981; Nikolenko et al., 2018).

In the case of the $\delta^{15}N$, immobilization, nitrification, denitrification and volatilization, cause an enrichment in the heavy isotope (¹⁵N) of the residual substrate (either NO₃⁻ or NH₄⁺), since the lighter isotope (¹⁴N) reacts preferentially (Aravena and Robertson, 1998; Böttcher et al., 1990; Högberg, 1997; Mariotti et al., 1981). During mineralization, the ¹⁴N is preferentially released, leading to an enrichment in ¹⁵N of the soil organic N pool compared to the soil inorganic N pool (Craine et al., 2015; Dijkstra et al., 2008, 2006). In contrast, changes in the substrate isotopic composition during plant uptake are rather weak, although a slight enrichment in ¹⁵N is usually observed for plant tissues compared to the substrate (Craine et al., 2015; Estrada et al., 2017; Spoelstra et al., 2010). With respect to the $\delta^{18}O$ in NO₃⁻, the main reactions controlling its

composition are the incorporation of oxygen during nitrification as well as the enrichment in the heavy isotope (¹⁸O) caused by denitrification. During chemolithoautotrophic nitrification of NH₄⁺ to NO₃⁻, two oxygen atoms are derived from water and one from O₂ (Andersson and Hooper, 1983; Hollocher, 1984). This atom ratio is usually employed to determine the δ^{18} O of nitrified NO₃⁻, but some authors have pointed out that this hypothesis is not always valid due to several reasons, such as I) different processes can modify the δ^{18} O of O₂ and H₂O, II) the isotopic fractionation during the incorporation of oxygen atoms from O₂ and H₂O, and IV) chemolithoautotrophic and heterotrophic nitrification might present different ratios for the O₂ and H₂O atoms incorporation (Mayer et al., 2001; Snider et al., 2010 and references therein). As a result, we might use cautiously the δ^{18} O_{NO3}, after the MIT process may no longer reflect the original NO₃⁻ source as described by Mengis et al., (2001).

The present study aims to gain knowledge on the isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ retained and leached from agricultural soils fertilized with pig slurry. Therefore, it can be helpful to assess the reliability of using isotope tools to trace NO₃⁻ sources in polluted groundwater bodies in rural areas where stockbreeding residues are applied to crops, a scenario commonly found across Europe. To achieve the goal, the concentration and isotopic shifts on NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺ retained and leached from soil were checked during a complete agronomical year in lysimeter experiments. The influence of long-term fertilization was also checked by comparing a soil left fallow and a soil fertilized during the previous 6 years. The results could question the range of isotopic values currently employed to identify sources of groundwater NO₃⁻ pollution derived from fertilizers.

2 METHODS

2.1 Experimental setup

A lysimeter experiment was set up in a greenhouse at Torre Marimón (IRTA, Caldes de Montbui, Spain). The lysimeters consisted of polyvinyl chloride tubes (48 height x 20 cm diameter), with a perforated lid at the bottom covered with a 2 mm plastic mesh. The filling material of the lysimeters corresponded to a 2 cm layer of rinsed quartz sand, to allow drainage, and two 20 cm layers of experimental agricultural soil (equivalent to 13.4 kg), simulating A and B horizons.

For A horizon filling (top 20 cm), two types of topsoil were collected from the same field, a calcareous Fluventic haploxerept (Soil Survey Staff, 2014) described in detail in Marks et al. (2016), but from two neighboring areas subjected to different managements during the previous 6 agronomical years (2011-2017). One of the two areas corresponded to 1x1 m mesocosms continuously cropped with barley and fertilized with thermally dried pig slurry at 50 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (C), while the other area corresponded to soil adjacent to the mesocosms kept under fallow and non-fertilized (F). Fallow consisted on a fall tillage between 2011-2015, while between 2015-2017 plots were mowed instead. Horizon B of this fallow area was collected to fill horizon B of all lysimeters. According to the use of topsoil (horizon A), continuously cropped or under fallow, two types of lysimeters were established and designated as LC and LF, respectively (**Figure 2**), with 5 replicates each.

The setup of the lysimeters was performed on March 23rd, 2017, an initial watering was carried out and the lysimeters were left to stabilize for 11 days. On April 3rd, 2017, each lysimeter was sowed with fifteen barley seeds (*Hordeum vulgare*) (later thinned to keep only the most robust plant) and 7.3 g of dried pig slurry were added as fertilizer (100 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). Watering was performed by keeping moisture below the maximum water holding capacity (around 20% w/w) from April to July. After harvesting in July 3rd, 2017, in order to simulate Mediterranean climate, a

drought period was simulated. Only three spaced irrigation events were performed during summer and early fall (17th August, 5th September and 11th November) that, coupled to the high temperatures in the greenhouse, led to dry soil conditions. Drought conditions were partly suppressed after a fourth irrigation in late fall (2nd December), that, coupled with the lower temperatures, led to higher soil moisture. Irrigation water (groundwater from the study site) had NO₃⁻ concentration around 200 mg/L. Temperatures recorded in the greenhouse and moisture measured in the soil throughout the study period are reported in the supporting information (**Table S1** and **Table S2**, respectively).

2.2 Sample collection

During the experiment, at five relevant stages in relation to cropping practices, soil, leachates and gas samples were obtained. The dates and specific samples collected for each stage are described in **Table 1**.

Namely, topsoil samples were collected by using a 5.5 x 7 cm core. For soil samples, KCl extracts were immediately prepared by weighting 20 g of soil and adding them to 100 ml of KCl 2M (1:5 w/v), followed by a 30 min-period of shaking in a vertical agitator (120 rpm) (ISO/TS 14256-1: 2003). In parallel, water extracts were prepared using 40 g of soil in a 1:5 ratio (w/v), followed by a 1 h-period of shaking. These KCl and water extracts were centrifuged (5 min at 8000 rpm) and then filtered in Whatman no. 42 and frozen at -20 °C before analysis. To collect ~200 ml of leachate, each lysimeter was placed on a glass tray (raised 1.3 cm) to allow drainage and the suitable amount of water was added considering the water content at each lysimeter and determined by previous lysimeter weighting. The leachates samples were just filtered and frozen. These soil extracts and leachate samples were analyzed for NO_3^- , NO_2^- and NH_4^+ content and isotopic composition determination. In addition, aliquots of soil samples (dried at room temperature for several days) were finely grounded to determine bulk N content and isotopic composition.

Soil gas emissions were measured using the static chamber-based method described in Collier et al. (2014). For this purpose, vented chambers (21.5 cm high, 21 cm diameter) were placed and sealed on top of the lysimeters to accumulate gaseous emissions during 20 min or 30 min (the latter when the ambient temperature was colder). Gas samples were extracted from the static chambers at three equally spaced time points using a plastic syringe (20 ml) and injected into a 12 ml vial (Exetainers[®], Labco Ltd., Ceredigion, UK). In these gas samples, N₂O content was determined.

In addition, plant samples were collected, at the end of their life cycle, when dried in summer (July 3^{th} , 2017), a pig manure sample was also collected at the beginning of the study, and irrigation water samples were collected at the beginning and end of the study. The collected plant shoots and leaves (dried at 60°C for 48 h) and the pig slurry sample (already dry) were finely grounded to determine bulk N content and isotopic composition. In irrigation water samples, NO_3^- , NO_2^- and NH_4^+ content and its isotopic composition were determined.

2.3 Analytical methods

NH₄⁺ was measured using the salicylate method (Willis et al., 1996), in a Spectronic 20 Genesys 4001/4 spectrophotometer. NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ concentrations were determined by ionic chromatography in an AS4A-SC Dionex anion column in a Dionex DX-100 Ion Chromatograph. N₂O concentration was analysed by a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A) coupled to an electron capture detector (ECD). Rates of flux were estimated from the slope of the linear regression between gas concentration and accumulated time within the chamber headspace, then corrected by the air temperature, the atmospheric pressure and the surface-volume ratio of the chamber. Bulk N content of soil and pig slurry samples was determined by elemental analysis (EA) (Carlo Erba). Bulk N content of plant samples was measured by near infrared spectrometry by scanning

the grounded samples from 1100 to 2500 nm using a NIRSystems 5000 scanning monochromator (FOSS, Hilleröd, Denmark) using the calibrations described in Martos et al. (2020).

To measure the isotopic composition of N compounds, dissolved NH_4^+ was initially oxidized to $NO_2^$ by using a hypobromite solution (Zhang et al., 2007). In parallel, dissolved NO₃⁻ was reduced to NO_2^- using spongy cadmium (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005; Ryabenko et al., 2009). Then, the generated NO_2^- was reduced to N_2O with a sodium azide solution with acetic acid (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005; Ryabenko et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2007). The later step was also employed in parallel for NO₂⁻ containing samples. Simultaneous δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O analysis of N₂O produced during the conversion of NH_4^+ , NO_3^- and NO_2^- following the cadmium or hypobromite and azide methods, was carried out using a Pre-Con (Thermo Scientific) coupled to a Finnigan MAT-253 Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (IRMS) (Thermo Scientific). The bulk δ^{15} N of soil, plant and pig slurry samples was determined in a Carbo Erba EA-Finnigan Delta C IRMS. According to Coplen (2011), several international and laboratory (UB) standards were interspersed among samples for the normalisation of the isotope results (USGS-32, USGS-34, USGS-35, UB-IWS_{NO3} (δ^{15} N = +16.9 ‰, δ^{18} O = +28.5 ‰) and UB-IWS_{NO2} (δ^{15} N = -28.5 ‰), for the δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O of dissolved NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻; USGS-25, IAEA-N2 and UB-IWS_{NH4} (δ^{15} N = -0.8 ‰), for the δ^{15} N of dissolved NH₄⁺; and USGS-40, IAEA-N1, IAEA-N2 and UCGEMA-P, for the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of solid materials). The standard deviation reproducibility of the samples was ± 1.0 % for δ^{15} N of dissolved NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺; ± 1.5 % for δ^{18} O of dissolved NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻; and ±0.2 ‰ for δ^{15} N_{bulk} of solid materials. The isotopic notation is expressed in terms of δ per mil relative to international standards Vienna Standard Mean Oceanic Water (V-SMOW) for δ^{18} O and Atmospheric N₂ (AIR) for δ^{15} N, following Equation 1:

 $\delta = \frac{R_{sample} - R_{standard}}{R_{standard}}, \qquad \text{where } R = {}^{18}\text{O}/{}^{16}\text{O} \text{ and } {}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N}, \text{ respectively} \qquad \qquad \text{Equation 1}$

The concentration analyses were performed at the soil laboratory in CREAF (Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain). The isotopic analyses were prepared at the MAiMA laboratory (Barcelona, Spain) and analysed at the Centres Científics i Tècnològics of the Universitat de Barcelona (Barcelona, Spain).

3. RESULTS

Complete datasets of the study are reported as supporting information (**Tables S3** to **S4**). These datasets include the results for the soil extracts and leachates N compounds concentration and isotopic composition, which are described along the results section. Datasets involving further information on gas, pig manure, plants, irrigation water and soil samples, which is employed to sustain the discussion, have also been provided.

3.1. Evolution of inorganic N content in LF and LC lysimeters

Focusing on the soil extracts, average NO₃⁻ concentrations remained constant (0.4±0.2 mmol/Kg) until 8 months after pig slurry application (December 4th), when it increased drastically to 12.9±8.3 and 2.8±1.2 mmol/Kg in the LF and LC lysimeters, respectively (**Figure 3AB**). NH₄⁺ concentration in soil extracts showed a peak two days after pig slurry application (April 5th) reaching an average of 6.6±5.1 and 3.7±3.1 mmol/Kg in KCl and water extracts, respectively for the LF lysimeters, and 9.8±4.1 and 2.7±1.7 mmol/Kg, respectively for the LC lysimeters (**Figure 3AB**). Afterwards, NH₄⁺ concentration decreased below 0.3 mmol/Kg for both types of extracts and experiments and remained constant until the end of the study. NO₂⁻ in soil water extracts was below the detection limit except two days after pig slurry application (April 5th), showing a concentration of 0.1±0.04 mmol/Kg for both the LF and LC lysimeters (**Table S3**).

Focusing on leachates from the LF ad LC lysimeters, NO_3^- slightly decreased from initial values of 0.5±0.3 mmol/Kg to 0.3±0.04 mmol/Kg at the harvest campaign, performed 3 months after pig

slurry application (July 5th). Nevertheless, the highest NO₃⁻ concentration (0.9±0.1 and 0.7±0.4 mmol/Kg for the LF and LC lysimeters, respectively) was detected at the last sampling, performed 8 months after pig slurry application (December 4th) (**Figure 3CD**). NH_4^+ and NO_2^- were below detection limit in all leachate samples.

3.2. Evolution of inorganic N compounds isotopic composition

In the LF lysimeters, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values of soil extracts and leachates showed parallel variations along the study (**Figure 4A**). Hence, only $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ changes for soil extracts are detailed below. Two days after pig slurry application (April 5th), the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ in soil extracts slightly decreased from an average +5.9±0.9 ‰ to +3.8±3.1 ‰ (**Figure 4A**). Two months after pig slurry application, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values increased giving an average of +11.5±1.3 ‰ (**Figure 4A**). The highest $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ (average of +14.2±2.7 ‰) was measured the following month (July 5th). Then, the values decreased until reaching an average of +8.6±1.0 ‰ at the end of the year (December 4th) (**Figure 4A**). Concerning the $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$, no significant differences were observed along time either in the NO₃⁻ from soil extracts or leachates from the LF lysimeters (**Figure 4B**).

The $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ from soil KCI extracts also showed remarkable variations along the LF lysimeters study. Two days after pig slurry application (April 5th), the average $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ was +16.8±3.0 ‰, which is slightly lower that the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of pig slurry (+19.6±0.5 ‰) (**Figure 4A**). Two months after pig slurry application (June 7th), the $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ values decreased to an average +8.6±5.4 ‰ (**Figure 4A**). One month later, the average increased to +13.5±5.4 ‰ (July 5th), and then it decreased again until reaching +4.7±1.9 ‰ in the last campaign (December 4th).

Slight differences were observed in the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ of soil extracts between the LF and LC lysimeters (**Figure 5A**). The average $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ before fertilization (April 3rd) and five months after the harvest (December 4th) was slightly higher in the LC compared to the LF lysimeters (+7.3±1.5 ‰ and

+5.9±0.9 ‰, respectively, in April 3rd and +10.1±2.1 ‰ and +8.6±1.0 ‰, respectively, in December 4th). This trend was also observed for the plant development and harvest campaigns (June 7th and July 5th), showing averages of +12.8±2.4 ‰ and +14.0±1.8 ‰, respectively in the LC lysimeters and of +11.5±1.3 ‰ and +14.2±1.7 ‰, respectively in the LF lysimeters. In contrast, for the campaign performed two days after fertilization (April 5th), which presented a higher range of $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values, the average for lower for the LC compared to LF lysimeters (+1.8±3.9 ‰ and +3.8±3.1 ‰, respectively). Concerning the $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$, no significant differences were observed along time between the two types of lysimeters (**Figure 5B**). Also, in a dual isotope plot, the evolution of the $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ of NO₃⁻ from soil extracts, showed again a similar trend in both the LF and LC experiments (**Figure 6**). A comparison on the isotopic composition of NH₄⁺ between the two types of lysimeters (LF and LC) is not provided since the focus of the paper was on the effect of pig slurry application on leachable NO₃⁻.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. N cycling effects on concentration and isotopic composition of N compounds

Focusing on LF lysimeters (under fallow for six years), NH₄⁺ concentration in soil extracts showed a peak two days after pig slurry application (April 5th) (**Figure 3A**). In this sampling campaign, the concomitant detection of NO₂⁻ and N₂O (**Table S3**) pointed the beginning of nitrification. Both NH₄⁺ nitrification and volatilization provoke a $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ enrichment (Högberg, 1997; Mariotti et al., 1981; Robinson, 2001), while mineralization leads to decreased $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ values with respect to the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of soil organic matter (SOM) (Craine et al., 2015; Dijkstra et al., 2008, 2006). In contrast, plant uptake might not exert significant isotopic effect on NH₄⁺ (Craine et al., 2015; Estrada et al., 2017; Spoelstra et al., 2010). The average $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ (+16.8±3.0 ‰) for April 5th, falling below the

 $\delta^{15}N_{\text{bulk}}$ of pig slurry (+19.6±0.5 ‰) suggests that volatilization did not play an important role (**Figure 4A** as expected since the employed fertilizer was thermally dried and because it was applied below the soil surface. These results also show that a possible heavy isotope enrichment due to nitrification was cancelled out by the effect of SOM mineralization.

Afterwards, NH₄⁺ concentration in soil extracts decreased and remained constant until the end of the study (**Figure 3A**). Two months after pig slurry application (June 7th), the $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ average values decreased from +16.8±3.0 ‰ to +8.6±5.4 ‰ (**Figure 4A**) due to the preferential release of ¹⁴N from SOM during mineralization and given that the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of soil before fertilizer application was +5.6±0.1 ‰. One month later (July 5th), the average $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ increased to +13.5±5.4 ‰, and then it decreased again until reaching +4.7±1.9 ‰ in the last campaign (December 4th). An increase in $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ values due to volatilization during the warmest months (June-July) could not be discarded. During those months, the $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ could reflect a mix between NH₄⁺ from pig slurry and mineralization, which had suffered nitrification and volatilization. At the end of the year, the influence of the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of pig slurry on the mineralized NH₄⁺ was null, and since ¹⁴N continued to be preferentially released from SOM, the $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ became even lower than soil $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ (**Figure 4A**). The NH₄⁺ concentration being below the detection limit in all the leachate samples reinforced the NH₄⁺ decrease in soil mainly due to nitrification, but also as a result of plant uptake and microbial assimilation and possibly volatilization.

Regarding NO₃⁻ in soil extracts of the LF lysimeters, its content was low (0.4±0.2 mmol/Kg) until the end of the study (12.9±8.3 mmol/Kg) (**Figure 3AC**). Two days after pig slurry application (April 5th), the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ in soil extracts slightly decreased from an average +5.9±0.9 ‰ to +3.8±3.1 ‰, due to the beginning of nitrification (**Figure 4A**). The generated NO₃⁻ through nitrification is depleted in $\delta^{15}N$ with respect to the substrate, especially at the beginning of the reaction (Högberg, 1997; Kendall and Aravena, 2000; Robinson, 2001). The measured $\delta^{15}N_{NO2}$ of -31.7±1.3 ‰ in soil extracts, two days after fertilizer application, proved the significant isotopic fractionation produced during this process (**Table S3**). After pig slurry nitrification was completed, two months after its application, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values increased towards the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of pig slurry (+19.6±0.5 ‰) and the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ of irrigation water (+14.1±1.8 ‰), giving an average of +11.5±1.3 ‰ (**Figure 4A**). The highest $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ (average of +14.2±2.7 ‰) was measured the following month (July 5th) and decreased until reaching an average of +8.6±1.0 ‰ at the end of the year (December 4th) (**Figure 4A**). This decrease was due to the higher MIT rates after the harvest, leading to a higher influence of the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of soil and plants. The average $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ measured in the harvested plants of +10.8±0.7 ‰, was consistent with the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ decrease due to mineralization and nitrification of plant debris (**Figure 4A**). A boost in MIT has been already observed in soil N cycling studies after the addition of organic compounds rich in carbon and nitrogen such as wheat straw and oil-seed rape (Shindo and Nishio, 2005; Watkins and Barraclough, 1996) and after intermittent drought periods (Appel, 1998; Sparling et al., 1995). On the other hand, the low $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values measured in December 4th (+8.6±1.0 ‰) suggested that the influence of the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ of irrigation water (+14.1±1.8 ‰) on the soil extracts $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ was low even during irrigation periods.

The $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values variation for NO₃⁻ in leachates of the LF lysimeters was comparable to that of NO₃⁻ in soil extracts (**Figure 4A**). The increase in NO₃⁻ content in leachates at the end of the study (**Figure 3C**) was likely produced because: I) during the five previous months the system was not leached, II) plant uptake was not possible after harvest, and III) mineralization and nitrification rates increased since plant debris provided an important source of organic N, as concluded from the soil extracts isotope results.

Concerning the $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ in the NO₃⁻ from soil extracts and leachates from the LF lysimeters (**Figure 4B**), its value depended on the $\delta^{18}O$ of NO₃⁻ from irrigation water (+6.9 ‰, SD = 0.4) and on the isotopic effect produced during nitrification, which is in turn influenced by the $\delta^{18}O$ of O₂ (+23.5)

%₀, (Kroopnick and Craig, 1972)) and that of H₂O. The limited variation of $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ values along the study indicated a negligible isotopic effect from plant uptake. Also, it pointed that denitrification was not significant along the experimental period even if organic carbon in pig slurry could have induced this process, especially during the spring-summer season due to the higher temperatures (Dawson and Murphy, 1972; Elefsiniotis and Li, 2006; Margalef-Marti et al., 2019). Hence, it was reinforced that the measured NO₂⁻ and N₂O during the experiment (**Table S3**) were produced from nitrification rather than denitrification (Beeckman et al., 2018; Caranto and Lancaster, 2017; Morley et al., 2008; Wunderlin et al., 2012). This tight dependence of the soil $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ on nitrification process has been previously observed by other authors (Kelley et al., 2013; Mengis et al., 2001).

4.2. NO₃⁻ isotopic composition differences between LF and LC lysimeters

The NO₃⁻ peak detected in soil extracts at the end of the year was higher in the LF (under fallow for 6 years) compared to the LC lysimeters (soil continuously cultivated and fertilized), showing averages of 12.9±8.3 and 2.8±1.2 mmol/Kg, respectively (**Figure 3AB**). However, slight differences were observed in the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ of soil extracts between the LF and LC lysimeters (**Figure 5A**), and no significant differences were observed for the $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ (**Figure 5B**). A lack of large differences between cultivated and non-cultivated soils has been previously related to a mineralization that provokes a higher influence on soil NO₃⁻ isotopic composition of the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of soil compared to that of fertilizers (Ostrom et al., 1998). The average $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ in the soil extracts was slightly higher in the LC compared to the LF lysimeters except for the campaign performed two days after fertilization (April 5th), which presented a higher range of $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values due to the high nitrification rates after pig slurry application (**Figure 5A**). The higher $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values measured in LC lysimeters were attributed to the previous fertilization with pig slurry of the soil employed for the LC lysimeters compared to the soil of the LF lysimeters, which was laid fallow for 6 years before the study.

In a dual isotope plot, the evolution of the $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ of NO₃⁻ from soil extracts, showed again a similar trend in both the LF and LC experiments. The initial values measured for NO₃⁻ from soil extracts fitted well in the soil theoretical area (Figure 6). Therefore, the use of a simplified model to calculate de $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ range by considering a ratio 2:1 for the oxygen incorporation from H₂O and O₂, respectively, seemed to be appropriate under our experimental conditions. Two days after fertilizer application, since nitrification of pig slurry was still limited, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ of soil extracts decreased. When pig manure nitrification was likely completed after two months, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ increased towards the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of pig slurry and the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ of irrigation water, in the two types of lysimeters. The $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ values were slightly higher than the theoretical values for nitrification, this can be either by the influence of irrigation water or by higher values of $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ due to evaporation since the highest temperatures were recorded on June and July. Five months after the harvest, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values in the soil extracts decreased towards the initial values of the soil $\delta^{15}N_{\text{bulk}}$ likely due to the incorporation of plant debris in the soil organic pool by MIT (Figure 6). Despite this decrease of $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ towards the initial soil values, a slight but systematic shift towards higher $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values between the initial and final isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ for both LF and LC experiments was observed (Figure 6). This shift was attributed to an effect of fertilization, which is consistent with the higher initial $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values measured in the soil extracts from the LC lysimeters (previously cultivated and fertilized) compared to LF lysimeters (previously laid fallow). This modification of the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values of soil NO₃⁻ could lead to a modification of the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of soil over time if a given agricultural land is periodically fertilized.

4.3. Implications of fertilizer application on the isotopic composition of NO_3^- leached to groundwater

When using isotope approaches to determine groundwater NO₃⁻ pollution sources, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ determined in groundwater samples is usually compared in dual isotope plots to the isotopic composition range of potential NO₃⁻ sources in the study site, such as in **Figure 6**.

The $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ range for sources is preferably specifically determined on the studied area or alternatively obtained from studies performed nearby and reported in the literature (Puig et al., 2013; Vitòria et al., 2008; Widory et al., 2005). However, as observed in our study, transformation processes occurring to NO₃⁻ before being leached to aquifers, can modify its isotopic composition. The accuracy of the information provided by this approach depends on the influence of transformation processes occurring to NO₃⁻ sources before the contaminant is leached to aquifers. This influence could be even higher at field-scale where increased thickness of the vadose zone compared to our lysimeter experiment could increase the N compounds retention time and N cycling.

If NO₃⁻ is leached to groundwater shortly after application of NH₄⁺ fertilizers, when nitrification is uncompleted, it presents lower $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values than its source. Just when nitrification is complete, the exported NO₃⁻ presents $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values closer to the $\delta^{15}N$ of the NH₄⁺ source. The $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values can also be increased due to plant uptake and immobilization and due to denitrification, although in our study denitrification did not seem to play an important role. Finally, after harvest, the $\delta^{15}N_{\text{bulk}}$ of pig slurry can became masked by the $\delta^{15}N_{\text{bulk}}$ of SOM and therefore, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values of leached NO₃⁻ can decrease again. In addition, under our experimental conditions, fertilization with pig slurry caused an increase of the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ of NO₃⁻ retained in soil over time in all the lysimeters. Given that the amount of N from pig slurry applied in the present study was low (100 Kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) compared to the quantities applied at field-scale (up to 170 Kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in nitrate vulnerable zones (NVZ) and up to 250 Kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in non-vulnerable areas), the increase in the soil $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ due to the influence of $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of pig slurry in agricultural areas could be even higher. Since a direct comparison of the isotopic composition of the source (in this case pig slurry) and the leached NO₃⁻ might not be valid, to assess the source of NO₃⁻ inputs into groundwater, we should consider the enlarged $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ range due to past fertilization events to define the SOM boxes. For this reason, in studies aiming to trace groundwater NO₃⁻ pollution sources in rural areas by using an isotopic approach it can be useful to consider the fertilization history of each setting and to analyze the $\delta^{15}N$ of the soil, since it could mask the influence of the N applied through fertilization.

Concerning the $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ data, the range for NH₄⁺ sources is usually calculated using the simplified model showing that after nitrification the $\delta^{18}O_{NO3} = 2/3 \ \delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ and $1/3 \ \delta^{18}O_{O2}$, which seemed to be appropriate under our experimental conditions. However, as shown by our results, when using this approach, the presence of NO₃⁻ in the irrigation water should be considered. Also, the isotopic effects associated to water evaporation, oxygen atoms incorporation during nitrification and $\delta^{18}O_{NO2}$ equilibration with $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$, should not be neglected systematically. In summary, the results of this study highlighted that, as previously recommended by other authors, as much hydrochemical data as possible, including seasonal variations, land use and livestock activities must be coupled to isotopic data in order to provide an appropriate interpretation of groundwater pollution sources.

4. CONCLUSIONS

After pig slurry was applied as fertilizer in lysimeters seeded with barley, NH_4^+ was nitrified rapidly in all the lysimeters (LF and LC). The generated NO_3^- was uptaken by plants and immobilized, allowing the closure of the MIT process (after SOM mineralization). Besides, few N losses were

produced due to the controlled leaching, while volatilization and denitrification did not seem to play an important role. As a result of the beginning of nitrification of pig slurry, the initial $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ of soil extracts and leachates first decreased and after two months started to increase towards the $\delta^{15}N_{\text{bulk}}$ of pig slurry and the $\delta^{15}N_{\text{NO3}}$ of irrigation water. The $\delta^{15}N_{\text{NO3}}$ also increased due to plant uptake and immobilization. Five months after harvest, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values in soil extracts decreased again due to a higher rate of SOM and plant debris mineralization and subsequent nitrification. As a result, by the end of the agricultural year the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of pig slurry was masked by the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ of plant debris and soil. Nevertheless, pig slurry application led to $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values in the soil NO₃⁻ extracts and leachates slightly above the initial ones. The lysimeters with soil previously under fallow or continuously cropped showed a similar trend. The latter ones were useful to reinforce the occurrence of this $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ increase due to fertilization also at field-scale. Modification of the $\delta^{15}N_{\text{bulk}}$ of the soil after decades of fertilizer application should be considered when using the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ to trace sources of groundwater NO₃⁻ pollution. On the other hand, the $\delta^{18}O$ of soil nitrate mainly depended on the δ^{18} O of NO₃⁻ from irrigation water, the δ^{18} O of H₂O and the δ^{18} O of O₂, due to nitrification of NH₄⁺ from pig slurry. Consequently, isotopic studies aiming to trace groundwater NO_3^- pollution must consider the fertilization history of a given setting and the analysis of $\delta^{15}N$ of soil and δ^{18} O of groundwater, for an improved accuracy of results interpretation.

Acknowledgements

This work has been financed by the following projects: PACE-ISOTEC (CGL2017-87216-C4-1-R) and FERTICHAR (AGL2015-70393-R), financed by the Spanish Government and AEI/FEDER from the UE, and MAG (2017-SGR-1733), financed by the Catalan Government.

References

- 2000/60/EC (2000). Water Framework Directive [WWW Document]. Off. J. Eur. Comm. URL http://ec.europa.eu/environment/index_en.htm (accessed 4.9.17).
- 2006/118/EC (2006). Groundwater Directive. Council Directive 2006/118/EC, of 12 December 2006, on the protection of groundwater against pollution and deterioration [WWW Document]. Off. J. Eur. Comm. URL http://ec.europa.eu/environment/index_en.htm (accessed 4.9.17).
- 91/676/EEC (1991). Nitrates Directive. Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991, concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources. [WWW Document]. Off. J. Eur. Comm. URL http://ec.europa.eu/environment/index_en.htm (accessed 4.9.17).
- Andersson, K.K., Hooper, A.B. (1983). O_2 and H_2O are each the source of one O in NO_2^- produced from NH_3 by *Nitrosomonas*: ¹⁵N-NMR evidence. FEBS Lett. 164, 236–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(83)80292-0
- Appel, T., 1998. Non-biomass soil organic N the substrate for N mineralization flushes following soil drying–rewetting and for organic N rendered CaCl₂-extractable upon soil drying. Soil Biol. Biochem. 30 (10–11), 1445–1456. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00230-7
- Aravena, R., Evans, M.L., Cherry, J.A. (1993). Stable Isotopes of Oxygen and Nitrogen in Source Identification of Nitrate from Septic Systems. Groundwater. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.1993.tb01809.x

Aravena, R., Robertson, W.D. (1998). Use of multiple isotope tracers to evaluate denitrification in

ground water: study of nitrate from a large-flux septic system plume. Ground Water 36, 975– 982.

- Beeckman, F., Motte, H., Beeckman, T. (2018). Nitrification in agricultural soils: impact, actors and mitigation.
 Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 50, 166–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2018.01.014
- Böttcher, J., Strebel, O., Voerkelius, S., Schmidt, H.L. (1990). Using isotope fractionation of nitratenitrogen and nitrate-oxygen for evaluation of microbial denitrification in a sandy aquifer. J. Hydrol. 114, 413–424. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(90)90068-9
- Cabello, P., Roldán, M.D., Moreno-Vivián, C. (2004). Nitrate reduction and the nitrogen cycle in archaea. Microbiology 150, 3527–3546. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.27303-0
- Caranto, J.D., Lancaster, K.M. (2017). Nitric oxide is an obligate bacterial nitrification intermediate produced by hydroxylamine oxidoreductase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704504114
- Clark, I., Fritz, P. (1997). Environmental Isotopes in Hydrogeology. Eos, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union 80, 217–217. https://doi.org/10.1029/99EO00169
- Collier, S.M., Ruark, M.D., Oates, L.G., Jokela, W.E., Dell, C.J. (2014). Measurement of greenhouse gas flux from agricultural soils using static chambers. J. Vis. Exp. https://doi.org/10.3791/52110
- Coplen, T.B. (2011). Guidelines and recommended terms for expression of stable-isotope-ratio and gas-ratio measurement results. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 25, 2538–2560. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.5129

Craine, J.M., Brookshire, E.N.J., Cramer, M.D., Hasselquist, N.J., Koba, K., Marin-Spiotta, E., Wang,

L. (2015). Ecological interpretations of nitrogen isotope ratios of terrestrial plants and soils. Plant Soil 396, 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2542-1

- Dawson, R.N., Murphy, K.L. (1972). The temperature dependency of biological denitrification. Water Res. 6, 71–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(72)90174-1
- Denk, T.R.A., Mohn, J., Decock, C., Lewicka-Szczebak, D., Harris, E., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Kiese, R., Wolf, B. (2017). The nitrogen cycle: a review of isotope effects and isotope modeling approaches. Soil Biol. Biochem. 105, 121-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.11.015
- Dijkstra, P., Ishizu, A., Doucett, R., Hart, S.C., Schwartz, E., Menyailo, O. V., Hungate, B.A. (2006). ¹³C and ¹⁵N natural abundance of the soil microbial biomass. Soil Biol. Biochem. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.04.005
- Dijkstra, P., Laviolette, C.M., Coyle, J.S., Doucett, R.R., Schwartz, E., Hart, S.C., Hungate, B.A. (2008). ¹⁵N enrichment as an integrator of the effects of C and N on microbial metabolism and ecosystem function. Ecol. Lett. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01154.x
- Elefsiniotis, P., Li, D. (2006). The effect of temperature and carbon source on denitrification using volatile fatty acids. Biochem. Eng. J. 28, 148–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2005.10.004
- Emmerton, K.S., Jones, H.E., Leake, J.R., Michelsen, A., Read, D.J., Callaghan, T. V, (2001). Assimilation and isotopic fractionation of nitrogen by mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol. 513– 524.
- Estrada, N.L., Böhlke, J.K., Sturchio, N.C., Gu, B., Harvey, G., Burkey, K.O., Grantz, D.A., McGrath, M.T., Anderson, T.A., Rao, B., Sevanthi, R., Hatzinger, P.B., Jackson, W.A. (2017). Stable isotopic composition of perchlorate and nitrate accumulated in plants: Hydroponic experiments and field data. Sci. Total Environ. 595, 556–566.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.223

- Heil, J., Vereecken, H., Brüggemann, N. (2016). A review of chemical reactions of nitrification intermediates and their role in nitrogen cycling and nitrogen trace gas formation in soil. Eur.
 J. Soil Sci. 67, 23–39. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12306
- Högberg, P. (1997). Tansley review no. 95 natural abundance in soil-plant systems. New Phytol. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.1997.00808.x
- Hollocher, T.C. (1984). Source of the oxygen atoms of nitrate in the oxidation of nitrite by *Nitrobacter agilis* and evidence against a PON anhydride mechanism in oxidative phosphorylation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(84)90499-5
- Kelley, C.J., Keller, C.K., Evans, R.D., Orr, C.H., Smith, J.L., Harlow, B.A. (2013). Nitrate-nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios for identification of nitrate sources and dominant nitrogen cycle processes in a tile-drained dryland agricultural field. Soil Biol. Biochem. 57, 731–738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.10.017
- Kendall, C. (1998). Tracing Nitrogen Sources and Cycling in Catchments, in: Isotope Tracers in Catchment Hydrology. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-81546-0.50023-9
- Kendall, C., Aravena, R. (2000). Nitrate Isotopes in Groundwater Systems, in: Environmental Tracers in Subsurface Hydrology. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-4557-6_9
- Knowles, R. (1982). Denitrification. Microbiol. Rev. 46, 43–70.
- Kroopnick, P., Craig, H. (1972). Atmospheric oxygen: isotopic composition and solubility fractionation. Science (80). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.175.4017.54
- Lin, J.T., Stewart, V. (1997). Nitrate Assimilation by Bacteria 39, 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2911(08)60014-4

- Margalef-Marti, R., Carrey, R., Merchán, D., Soler, A., Causapé, J., Otero, N. (2019). Feasibility of using rural waste products to increase the denitrification efficiency in a surface flow constructed wetland. J. Hydrol. 124035. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124035
- Mariotti, A., Germon, J.C., Hubert, P., Kaiser, P., Letolle, R., Tardieux, A., Tardieux, P. (1981). Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: Some principles; illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes. Plant Soil 62, 413–430. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02374138
- Martos, S., Mattana, S., Ribas, A., Albanell, E., Domene, X. (2020). Biochar application as a win-win strategy to mitigate soil nitrate pollution without compromising crop yields: a case study in a Mediterranean calcareous soil. J. Soils Sediments. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-019-02400-9
- Masclaux-Daubresse, C., Daniel-Vedele, F., Dechorgnat, J., Chardon, F., Gaufichon, L., Suzuki, A. (2010). Nitrogen uptake, assimilation and remobilization in plants: Challenges for sustainable and productive agriculture. Ann. Bot. 105, 1141–1157. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcq028
- Mayer, B., Bollwerk, S.M., Mansfeldt, T., Hütter, B., Veizer, J. (2001). The oxygen isotope composition of nitrate generated by nitrification in acid forest floors. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 65, 2743–2756. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(01)00612-3
- McIlvin, M.R., Altabet, M.A. (2005). Chemical conversion of nitrate and nitrite to nitrous oxide for nitrogen and oxygen isotopic analysis in freshwater and seawater. Anal Chem 77, 5589–5595. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac050528s
- Mengis, M., Walther, U., Bernasconi, S.M., Wehrli, B. (2001). Limitations of using δ^{18} O for the source identification of nitrate in agricultural soils. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 1840–1844.

https://doi.org/10.1021/es0001815

- Moreno-vivián, C., Cabello, P., Blasco, R., Castillo, F., Cabello, N., Marti, M. (1999). Prokaryotic Nitrate Reduction : Molecular Properties and Functional Distinction among Bacterial Nitrate Reductases 181, 6573–6584.
- Morley, N., Baggs, E.M., Dörsch, P., Bakken, L. (2008). Production of NO, N₂O and N₂ by extracted soil bacteria, regulation by NO₂⁻ and O₂ concentrations. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 65, 102–112. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00495.x
- Nikolenko, O., Jurado, A., Borges, A. V., Knöller, K., Brouyère, S. (2018). Isotopic composition of nitrogen species in groundwater under agricultural areas: A review. Sci. Total Environ. 621, 1415–1432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.086
- Ostrom, N.E., Knoke, K.E., Hedin, L.O., Robertson, G.P., Smucker, A.J.M. (1998). Temporal trends in nitrogen isotope values of nitrate leaching from an agricultural soil. Chem. Geol. 146, 219–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(98)00012-6
- Otero, N., Torrentó, C., Soler, A., Menció, A., & Mas-Pla, J. (2009). Monitoring groundwater nitrate attenuation in a regional system coupling hydrogeology with multi-isotopic methods: The case of Plana de Vic (Osona, Spain). Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, *133*(1–2), 103– 113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2009.05.007
- Puig, R., Folch, A., Menció, A., Soler, A., Mas-Pla, J. (2013). Multi-isotopic study (¹⁵N, ³⁴S, ¹⁸O, ¹³C) to identify processes affecting nitrate and sulfate in response to local and regional groundwater mixing in a large-scale flow system. Appl. Geochemistry 32, 129–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.10.014

Puig, R., Soler, A., Widory, D., Mas-Pla, J., Domènech, C., & Otero, N. (2017). Characterizing

sources and natural attenuation of nitrate contamination in the Baix Ter aquifer system (NE Spain) using a multi-isotope approach. Sci. Total Environ., *580*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.206

- Robinson, D. (2001). δ^{15} N as an integrator of the nitrogen cycle. Trends Ecol. Evol. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)02098-X
- Ryabenko, E., Altabet, M. a., Wallace, D.W.R. (2009). Effect of chloride on the chemical conversion of nitrate to nitrous oxide for δ^{15} N analysis. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods 7, 545–552. https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2009.7.545
- Sebilo, M., Mayer, B., Nicolardot, B., Pinay, G., Mariotti, A. (2013). Long-term fate of nitrate fertilizer in agricultural soils. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 18185–9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1305372110
- Sharma, B., Ahlert, R.C. (1977). Nitrification and nitrogen removal. Water Res. 11, 897–925. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(77)90078-1
- Shindo, H., Nishio, T. (2005). Immobilization and remineralization of N following addition of wheat straw into soil: Determination of gross N transformation rates by ¹⁵N- ammonium isotope dilution technique. Soil Biol. Biochem. 37, 425–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.07.027
- Snider, D.M., Spoelstra, J., Schiff, S.L., Venkiteswaran, J.J. (2010). Stable oxygen isotope ratios of nitrate produced from nitrification: ¹⁸O-labeled water incubations of agricultural and temperate forest soils. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 5358–5364. https://doi.org/10.1021/es1002567

Sparling, G.P., Murphy, D.V., Thompson, R.B., Fillery, I.R.P., 1995. Short-term net N mineralization

from plant residues and gross and net N mineralization from soil organic matter after rewetting of a seasonally dry soil. Soil Research 33 (6), 961–973. https://doi.org/10.1071/SR9950961.

- Spoelstra, J., Schiff, S.L., Semkin, R.G., Jeffries, D.S., Elgood, R.J. (2010). Nitrate attenuation in a small temperate wetland following forest harvest. For. Ecol. Manage. 259, 2333–2341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.03.006
- Subbarao, G., Ito, O., Sahrawat, K., Berry, W., Nakahara, K., Ishikawa, T., Watanabe, T., Suenaga,
 K., Rondon, M., Rao, I. (2006). Scope and strategies for regulation of nitrification in agricultural systems Challenges and opportunities. CRC. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 25, 303–335. https://doi.org/10.1080/07352680600794232
- Survey, S.S. (2014). United States Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources Conservation Service 372.
- Vitoria, L., Otero, N., Soler, A., Canals, À. (2004). Fertilizer Characterization: Isotopic Data (N, S, O, C, and Sr). Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 3254–3262. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0348187
- Vitòria, L., Soler, A., Canals, À., Otero, N. (2008). Environmental isotopes (N, S, C, O, D) to determine natural attenuation processes in nitrate contaminated waters: Example of Osona (NE Spain). Appl. Geochemistry 23, 3597–3611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2008.07.018
- Wang, L., Butcher, A.S., Stuart, M.E., Gooddy, D.C., Bloomfield, J.P. (2013). The nitrate time bomb: A numerical way to investigate nitrate storage and lag time in the unsaturated zone. Environ. Geochem. Health 35, 667–681. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-013-9550-y

Wassenaar, L.I. (1995). Evaluation of the origin and fate of nitrate in the Abbotsford Aquifer using

the isotopes of ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O in NO₃⁻. Appl. Geochemistry 10, 391–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-2927(95)00013-A

- Watkins, N., Barraclough, D. (1996). Gross rates of N mineralization associated with the decomposition of plant residues. Soil Biol. Biochem. 28, 169–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(95)00123-9
- Widory, D., Petelet-Giraud, E., Négrel, P., Ladouche, B. (2005). Tracking the sources of nitrate in groundwater using coupled nitrogen and boron isotopes: A synthesis. Environ. Sci. Technol. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0493897
- Willis, R.B., Montgomery, M.E., Allen, P.R. (1996). Improved method for manual, colorimetric determination of total kjeldahl nitrogen using salicylate. J. Agric. Food Chem. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf950522b
- Wunderlin, P., Mohn, J., Joss, A., Emmenegger, L., Siegrist, H. (2012). Mechanisms of N₂O production in biological wastewater treatment under nitrifying and denitrifying conditions. Water Res. 46, 1027–1037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.11.080
- Zhang, L., Altabet, M.A., Wu, T., Hadas, O. (2007). Sensitive measurement of $NH_4^{+15}N/^{14}N$ ($\delta^{15}NH_4^{+}$) at natural abundance levels in fresh and saltwaters. Anal. Chem. 79, 5297–5303. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac070106d

Table 1. Experimental schedule. The lysimeters were established on March 23rd, the plants were sown on April 3rd and harvested on July 3rd.

Sampling	Days after	Experimental	Samples
date	setup	stage	
03/04/2017	11	Pre-fertilization	Soil + leachate
05/04/2017	13	Post-fertilization	Soil + leachate + gas
07/06/2017	76	Developed plant	Soil + leachate
05/07/2017	104	Harvest	Soil + leachate + gas
04/12/2017	256	Bare soil	Soil + leachate + gas



Figure 1. N cycling in non-saturated zones.







Figure 3. Concentration of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ retained in the soil (A + B) and leached (C + D) over time. Each boxplot involves five replicates of each type of lysimeter, namely LF (A + C) or LC (B + D). In the legend, the type of soil extract is expressed as KCl or H₂O. NH_4^+ was below detection limit in all leachate samples.



Figure 4. $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ (A) and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ (B) in NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ retained in the soil and leached during the LF lysimeter experiments. Each boxplot involves five replicates. The average isotopic composition measured for soil (bulk N), manure (bulk N), irrigation water (NO₃⁻), and harvested plants (bulk N) is also shown.



Figure 5. $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ (A) and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ (B) of NO₃⁻ retained in the soil in the LF and LC lysimeters. Each boxplot involves five replicates.



Figure 6. Isotopic composition evolution of NO₃⁻ from soil extracts in LF and LC lysimeters. The sampling campaigns are joined by a line, where circles and arrows reflect the first and last one, respectively. The isotopic composition of irrigation water, pig slurry and soil are shown. For the soil NO₃⁻ box, the δ^{15} N involves the range of measured initial values in both the LF and LC lysimeters, while for pig slurry it corresponds to its measured bulk δ^{15} N considering the standard deviation. For both, the δ^{18} O has been calculated following: δ^{18} O_{NO3} = 1/3 δ^{18} O₂ + 2/3 δ^{18} O_{H2O}. The δ^{18} O₂ = +23.5 ‰ (Kroopnick and Craig, 1972) and the δ^{18} O_{H2O} ranges between -8 and -3.5 ‰ in the study area (Otero et al., 2009; Puig et al., 2017).