INTRODUCTION

The growth of ternary and quaternary multilayer systems of Ga$_x$In$_{1-x}$As$_y$P$_{1-y}$, of any combination of $x$ and $y$, is of great technological interest for electronics and optoelectronics. By varying $x$ and $y$ independently an accurate control of the band-gap energy and lattice parameter can be obtained. Structurally, these materials consist of two interpenetrating fcc units which are displaced from each other by 1/4(111). One of the units is occupied by group-III atoms, the group-V atoms being located on the other. Although the majority of applications requires a high-quality homogeneous material with the atoms distributed in a random way, there are two main features that reveal that the epitaxial layers are inhomogeneous on a microscopic scale.

First, the majority of these alloys is predicted to be thermodynamically unstable in the bulk and epitaxial forms, exhibiting miscibility gaps and then showing a tendency toward clustering and phase separation when grown at growth conditions within this gap.\(^1\,2\) Electron microscopy studies have given experimental evidence of miscibility gaps, revealing the existence of composition modulations and clustering from phase separation in epitaxial layers.\(^3\,\,5\)

Second, energy minimization calculations using first-principles local density,\(^6\) performed on In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P, have predicted that certain ordered intermediate phases could be thermodynamically stable at low temperature. These ordered phases were concluded to be stable since they can simultaneously accommodate the different GaP and InP bond lengths in the alloy in a coherent fashion, introducing less strain than would arise in a random alloy. Since Murgatroyd \textit{et al.}\(^7\) reported the first CuPt-type ordering in III–V alloys, this type of ordering has been observed on a wide range of ternary and quaternary alloys (see Norman \textit{et al.}\(^8\) for a review), especially in the InGaP system grown by metal-organic chemical-vapor deposition (MOCVD). The use of the regular solution approximation predicts that spinodal decomposition and long-range atomic ordering are mutually exclusive in epitaxially grown group-III–V semiconductors.\(^9\) A positive enthalpy of mixing $\Delta H_m$ is expected to induce spinodal decomposition of the alloy, whereas a negative $\Delta H_m$ would favor the ordering of the alloy. This approximation does not account for some observations of ordering made in alloys that have also shown spinodal decomposition.\(^10\,\,13\) One of the possible explanations of the nonaccordance is that thermodynamic calculations have only considered equilibrium structures whereas molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE) and MOCVD are nonequilibrium growth processes, and furthermore growth occurs at the crystal surface, suggesting that a surface energy term should be included in the thermodynamic calculations. However, the introduction of thermodynamic stability criterion at the surface is not yet enough to explain the maintenance of ordering when growth continues\(^14\,\,16\) and the influence of the growth conditions on the atomic surface diffusion has also been considered.\(^17\,\,19\) In this situation, most of the experimental work done until now has focused its interest in the study of the influence of growth conditions on the presence of order assuming that the phase separation is concomitantly present in the structure and obviating the interesting information that a simultaneous study of the evolution of both structures with the growth conditions could give.

In this work we use transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and transmission electron diffraction (TED) techniques to study the influence of the substrate misorientation on the existence of ordering and phase separation in InGaP layers grown on InP substrates, with a cutoff misorientation angle beyond $\alpha$=2°. Conversely, whereas the phase separation is less evident in the sample grown with a misorientation of 25°, the sample grown with a misorientation of 25° exhibits the most phase separated configuration. The completion between these two phenomena is discussed depending on the misorientation angle. © 1996 American Institute of Physics. [S0021-8979(96)08218-7]
EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

We have analyzed a series of InGaP epilayers \( \approx 4 \mu m \) thick grown over GaAs substrates cut at tilt angles \( \alpha \) of 0°, 2°, 5°, 10°, and 25° from the [001] directions towards the [110] direction [the tilt angle of 25° corresponding then to a nearby (311) growth surface]. The layers were grown by conventional metal-organic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE) in an horizontal geometry reactor with no rotation, at low pressure (150 Torr), at a temperature of 650 °C and a growth rate of \( \approx 500 \) Å/min. The sources were the conventional TMG, TMI, and PH\(_3\). There were no dopants and the V/III flux ratio was 350.

The samples were examined by TEM in (001) plan-view and cross-section orientations along both the [110] and [110] directions, using a Hitachi H800-NA and a Phillips CM30 SuperTWIN operated at 200 kV.

RESULTS

A first step in studying the evolution of phase separation and ordering is to know the main features of each one of them; so, for the description of each structure we have se-

FIG. 1. [110] XTEM view of the sample growth with \( \alpha=2° \); (a) SADP exhibiting satellite spots located at 1/2[111] and 1/2[111]. Dark-field images taken from (b) the 1/2[113] and (c) 1/2[113].

FIG. 2. (a) [110] XTEM of the sample grown with \( \alpha=25° \) exhibiting a columnar fine contrast modulation close to the [001] direction. The inset shows the SADP without any evidence of ordering. (b) (001) view of the fine contrast modulation oriented along the [100] and [010] directions.
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lected the most representative sample. Concerning the CuPt-type ordering, it is more evident in the sample grown with a misorientation angle of 2°. The selected area diffraction pattern along the [110] zone axis of this sample exhibits two sets of extra diffraction spots, located at 1/2(111) and 1/2(111) [Fig. 1(a)]. Conversely, the observation along the [110] zone axis, only shows the zinc-blende reflections. As reported commonly, the existence of only two of the four possible CuPt variants is produced by the reduced symmetry of the zinc-blende (001) surface.\textsuperscript{18,19} The presence of these half-order diffraction spots in the [110] TED patterns implies that neighboring (111) planes are not equivalent, with In and Ga having segregated such that (111) planes of the group-III sublattice are alternately rich in In and Ga, i.e., ordering of the (111) planes has occurred. Figures 1(b) and 1(c) are dark-field (DF) images taken from the 1/2(113) and 1/2(113) superspots, respectively. The DF images show that the epilayer is occupied by domains [labeled A and B in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)] within which the ordered areas are platelets with typical dimensions of 200 × 20 nm\textsuperscript{2}. In each domain a single-order variant, either 1/2(111) or 1/2(111), is formed.

As far as phase separation is concerned, it is more clearly observed in the most misoriented sample [25° off [001]—[110], i.e., a nearby (311) growth]. The cross-section view of this sample shows a columnar fine contrast modulation close to the [001] direction [Fig. 2(a)]. The selected area diffraction pattern along both the [110] and [110] zone axis (SADP) [inset in Fig. 2(a)] does not present any evidence of

FIG. 3. Evolution of the degree of ordering depending on the misorientation angle: (a) $\alpha=0^\circ$; (b) $\alpha=2^\circ$; (c) $\alpha=5^\circ$; (d) $\alpha=10^\circ$; and (e) $\alpha=25^\circ$. 
in the sample grown with $\alpha=0$ the satellite spots are very faint [Fig. 3(a)], their strength is maximum for $\alpha=2^\circ$. For increasing $\alpha$ the intensity of the satellite weakens [Fig. 3(c)], and they become just a diffuse intensity along [110] for $\alpha=10^\circ$ [Fig. 3(d)] and finally disappear for $\alpha=25^\circ$ [Fig. 3(e)]. The above features point to a very strong sensitivity of the epilayer microstructure to the substrate orientation.

Concomitantly, the fine modulation, present with higher strength in the sample grown with $\alpha=25^\circ$ [Fig. 4(a)], also evolves with $\alpha$, in such a way that the more ordered the layer, the less defined the contrast modulation pattern [$\alpha=2^\circ$, Fig. 4(b)].

In summary, the intensity of the superlattice spots, a measure of the volume fraction and degree of atomic ordering, decreases with increasing the misorientation angle beyond $\alpha=2^\circ$. Conversely, the phase separation is more evident when increasing the misorientation angle.

**DISCUSSION**

The appearance of phase separation and ordering may be explained from the thermodynamic aspects of the stability of the ternary alloys. The ordering is proposed to arise at the surface of the layer during growth\(^{22,23}\) by a process of rapid surface diffusion of the group-III atoms, forming ordered surface monolayers which are then overgrown and frozen into the bulk of the layer. However, since the CuPt-type structure is predicted to be unstable in the bulk, the ordered structure could subsequently disorder in the bulk of the layer during further growth by the slower process of bulk diffusion to lower the free energy state in the bulk. So, two main growth parameters must be taken into account in order to explain the evolution of the structure (and hence, TED patterns): the temperature and the surface misorientation.

For the phase separation, following the valence force field model,\(^{24}\) the five tetrahedron units with coordination number between $n=0$ and $n=4$ are not equally probable. Then the adatoms may redistribute when reaching the growing front so as to accommodate the dissimilar bond lengths between In—P and Ga—P bonds with the minimum Gibbs free energy. According with the model proposed by Ichimura and Asaki\(^{25}\) in the expression of the Gibbs energy $\Delta F = \Delta H - T \Delta S$, the term $\Delta H$ can be separated in two contributions $\Delta H^c + \Delta H_{ab}^c$, the former corresponding to the energy of bond formation in the binary alloy and the latter related to the additional elastic energy due to the elongation and bending of the bonds in the ternary. Then, if we consider the growth of the ternary as a mixture of the two binary phases $\alpha$ and $\beta$ leading to a compound $\alpha \beta$, the variation of the Gibbs energy of the system should be

$$\Delta F = \Delta H^c + \Delta H_{ab}^c - T(S_{ab} - S_{\alpha + \beta}).$$

Since $\Delta H$ for pseudobinary formation is high, it dominates over the entropy term at relatively low growth temperatures. Hence, the lower $H^c_{ab}$ is, the lower $F$ is and therefore the systems tend to reduce the state of bond strain separating the compound toward the respective binaries. This leads to a phase separation by spinodal decomposition at a temperature below the critical value $T_c$. In these conditions the system might stabilize with a certain degree of mixing between the
constituents. As shown by Ferreira, Wei, and Zunger, the state of minimum energy corresponds to a nonrandom distribution of tetrahedron with different $n$ values, avoiding a complete phase separation.

On the other hand, the evolution of the long-range ordering and phase separation with the misorientation substrate suggests that the presence of the steps at the surface when growing the layers on vicinal surfaces plays an important role on the stability of ordered phases. Consequently, the influence of a surface energy term has to be taken into account when analyzing the stability of the system. So, the effect of the presence and motion of the [110] surface steps has been taken into account in the model proposed by Philips et al. to explain the preferential formation of the (111) and (111) variants on vicinal (001) surfaces offset a few degrees toward the [110] direction. In the first part of this model the authors assume that, when growing the layers under group-V rich surface conditions, the dimerization of the surface atoms induce subsurface strains conditioning the incorporation of the larger group-III atoms (In in our case) in the atomic subsurface sites under tension and the smaller group-III atoms (Ga) in the sites under compression. When introducing these proposals on the valence force field calculations, the results show a reduction of the strain energy by 100 meV/dimer site over the less favorable arrangements. In the second part of the model they consider that the lowest-energy configuration corresponds to the presence of terraces between steps containing an even number of surface group-V atoms, because in this case all the group-V atoms on the terraces between steps can form dimers. This step-terrace reconstruction of the surface favors the development of the ordering only on the (111) and (111) variants. If a terrace of width corresponding to an odd number of group-V atoms was present on the reconstructed surface this could lead to the formation of an antiphase boundary (APB) in the ordered structure. Recently, Su and Stringfellow have analyzed the effect of the presence of supersteps on the ordering, showing that:

(i) there is near equality between APB and superstep spacing (space that increases when increasing misorientation angle) suggesting that supersteps result in the formation of APB;

(ii) the size of the (001) facets developing on the surface of the largest supersteps during the growth is independent of the misorientation angle suggesting a surface diffusion limited size.

In the range of temperatures where our samples have been grown, the occurrence of phase separation is expected to be the most stable configuration of the system. However, the reduction on strain energy induced by the group-V surface reconstruction and the presence of steps favor the stability of large ordered structures. These ordered structures are present in two of the four (111) variants possible and they are more evident in the case of the 2° misoriented substrates, since, in this case, a more uniform distribution of monolayer steps separated by terraces with an even number of atoms in the surface will exist. This distribution could favor the development of extended ordered regions in all the surface. In the case of more misoriented substrates, both the height of the supersteps and the length of terraces between them increase. This gives rise to a loss of the surface reconstruction coherence that would explain why extended ordered domains do not develop. In this situation the phase separation becomes again the most stable configuration for the epitaxial layer.

CONCLUSIONS

We have described the competitive evolution of the phase separation and ordering developed in InGaP layers grown on misoriented GaAs substrates depending on the tilt angle. The most ordered configuration has been found to appear in layers grown on 2° off substrates, and the strength of order decreases with increasing the misorientation angle beyond $\alpha = 2°$. Conversely, whereas the phase separation is less evident in the layer grown at 2°, the sample grown with a misorientation of 25° exhibits the most phase separated configuration. Although in the range of temperature where our samples have been grown the occurrence of phase separation is expected to be the most stable configuration of the system, the reduction on strain energy induced by the group-V surface reconstruction and the presence of steps favor the stability of large ordered structures. For high misorientation angles, the loss of coherence of surface reconstruction at steps explains why the development of extended domains is less probable and, therefore, why the phase separated structure becomes again the most stable configuration.
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