Summary. Olive oil and fish products from the south of Hispania and North Africa played an important role in the Roman economy. The authors call attention to the asymmetrical distribution of archaeological data available on this subject, in particular the location of amphora kilns, and try to give an explanation, based on the evolution of European archaeology in the twentieth century.

This paper focuses on Roman maritime trade in the ‘Circle of the Straits’, the area between the provinces of Baetica (Andalucia) and Mauretania Tingitana (Morocco), where ‘... both the Baetis and the Anas empty, and the limits of Maurusia, the Atlantic Ocean breaks in and thus forms the strait at the Pillars, and by this strait the interior sea connects with the exterior sea’ (Strabo III 1, 7: trans. H.L. Jones, Loeb edition 1923). It will not judge or discuss the question of rationality or irrationality in the ancient economy for which the reader is referred to the relevant recent bibliography (Rathbone 1991; Andreau and Maucourant 1999; Lo Cascio and Rathbone 2000; Scheidel and von Reden 2002; Christensen 2003; Andreau 2005; Carrié 2005; Lautman 2005; Minaud 2005; Rathbone 2005; Tchernia 2005).

The historical and economic context is well known. From the beginning of the Roman occupation of the Iberian Peninsula, the fertile valley of the Baetis – the later Guadalquivir – played a key role in the Romanization of Iberia (Keay 1998). The export of olive oil formed the basis for the prosperity of many of the cities in this area throughout the period of the Roman Empire. In the same way, the rich fish stock in the Straits of Gibraltar, particularly tuna, was exploited by several coastal manufactories (cetariae) dedicated to salted fish (salsamenta) and fish sauce (garum et liquamen) (Lagóstena 1996a; 1996b; 2001; Lagóstena et al. 2007). Both products were subsequently distributed all over the Roman Empire, including the north-western frontier in Germania and Britannia (Remesal 1986; 1998a; Carrerras 2000; Remesal 2002; Étienne and Mayet 2002; Ehmig 2003). Besides the numerous shipwrecks from this period, the large dump at the capital in Rome (the so-called Monte Testaccio) has given a vivid impression of the large number of ceramic containers (amphorae)
used for the transport of olive oil. Amphora stamps and graffiti (tituli picti) offer an interesting field for studying the details of this ancient trade. For this reason, there has been a growing preoccupation with the analysis of these phenomena in recent years, dominated by ancient historians, epigraphists and pottery analysts (Harris 1993; Blázquez et al. 1994; Blázquez and Remesal 1999; 2001; 2003; Remesal 2004). At the same time, interdisciplinarity in fields like chemistry or mineralogy has created new tools, especially for questions relating to the origin and distribution of the amphorae (Di Filippo et al. 1996; 1999; Grubessi 1999; Grubessi and Conti 1999; Conti et al. 1999; Ehmig 2003; Congreso 2001).

In the case of the olive oil trade from southern Spain, raw data are provided on the one hand by the amphorae found at the receiving end, as at Monte Testaccio in Rome and the frontier zone in the north-west, while on the other hand, the analysis of the distribution of the amphora stamps themselves in the area of origin has revealed significant information about the organization, localization and structure of the figilinae and their system of commercialization (Remesal 1977/78; 1980; 1989; Remesal et al. 1997; Pons and Berni 2002; Bernal and Lagóstena 2004). In contrast, there is a lack of detailed information for identifying the complex installations necessary in the production of oil, not only the various kilns used for the manufacturing of the amphorae, but also the oil presses and mills themselves. For example, there are numerous indications of olive presses or elements throughout the entire Baetis Valley (Ponsich 1974; 1979; 1987; 1991a; Remesal 1998b; Serrano 2005), but the largest excavated pars rustica dedicated to olive oil production is located, interestingly, in the neighbouring province of Lusitania. In an area in which we have, at the moment, no evidence for the production of the typical olive oil amphora Dressel 20, recent excavations at the Roman villa at Milreu (Estói) have brought to light a large olive oil production complex, consisting of five presses arranged in a line and storage rooms with a large quantity of dolia (Neville and Teichner 2000; Teichner 2001; 2002; 2004; 2005; 2008).

In the case of garum production in the south of Iberia, the situation seems to be reversed. The epigraphic sources, especially the information provided by amphora stamps, are poorer, but a large number of production vats have been excavated all around the coast of Iberia. These characteristic fish-salting tanks, lined with opus signinum and always located near the sea, are a clear indication of the production of salted fish and garum in antiquity (Lagóstena et al. 2007). At the same time, we have much information about the typical amphorae used for these fish products. One of the best known regions for this ancient coastal economy includes the river estuaries of the Tejo and Sado near Lisbon. Intensive research by Portuguese and French archaeologists has shown, in this micro-region, a complex interaction between amphora potters and fish sauce producers in the same centres, such as Caetobriga (Setubal), Troia and Felicitas Iulia Olisipo (Lisbon) itself.2 But surprisingly enough, while we have an enormous number of fish salting and fish sauce production sites along the entire Lusitanian coastline (including the Algarve coast), the typical Lusitanian fish sauce amphora, the Almagro 50 and 51a–c, seems to be under-represented east of the columns of Heracles (Fabião 2004). The amount of Lusitanian amphorae in the commercial area of the mare nostrum and the neighbouring provinces is so small that Spanish researchers have recently considered the possibility that other containers were filled.

---

with Lusitanian products before reaching the Mediterranean, probably at Gades (Cadiz), the most important harbour of Baetica (Lagóstena et al. 2007).

This brings us directly back to the economic region of the Straits of Gibraltar: the importance and predominance of Baetican producers and traders in the region is a central trend in the current interpretation of the Roman economy. The exports from the Roman province south of the Straits, Mauretania Tingitana, are seen merely as a continuation of the Baetican economy.

Thanks to intensive French research carried out in Morocco and Algeria, some of the largest installations for fish sauce production from the Roman imperial period are known in this area. Sites like Cotta and Lixus and their architecture are synonyms for fishing and the manufacturing of salsamenta and garum.3 But, surprisingly enough, we have little information about the production of the necessary containers, the amphorae, in Mauretania Tingitana. Certainly, some tituli picti on amphorae such as CO(r)d(ula) LIX(itana) VE(tus) or CO(r)d(ula) TING(itana) VET(us) prove their African origin (CIL IV, 5629, 5630, 5631, 5632, 5636, 5637; Liou 1987, 66–9; Martín-Kilcher 1994, 404 p. 27; Étienne and Mayet 1998, 39–40; Martínez Maganto 2001). The lack of kilns producing these amphorae in the area is the starting point for the creation of a theory concerning the ancient economy of Baetica and Tingitana: it has been postulated in the last decade by Spanish researchers that Baetica provided containers of fish sauce to Tingitana. The syllogism of this hypothesis is based on the following premises. First: Tingitana was a great producer of fish sauce but not of amphorae in which to transport these liquids. Secondly: Baetica was a producer both of fish sauce and of fish sauce containers. Conclusion: the fish sauce from Tingitana was packed in Baetican containers. This argument has logic, but it may not relate to the historical truth, given that it has been built upon partial data, and excessive emphasis on the predominance of Gades and the omnipresence of the economic influence of the ‘Circle of the Straits’.

The first to suggest this hypothesis was M. Ponsich (1975, 672, 677). In his view, Gades controlled fishing and garum production, both on the European and African shores of the Straits, so it was natural that it should impose the use of Baetican fish sauce containers. This would mean the substitution of the Baetican Dressel 7–11 amphora for the autochthonous Mañá C2 amphora.4 The containers would have been produced in Gades and transported empty to Tingitana, filled with Tingitanian fish sauce and returned to Gades, from where they were exported to the entire western Mediterranean as a product of Gaditan origin.5

The idea has been maintained until very recently, contrary to archaeological fact, that no kilns capable of producing the fish sauce amphorae have been found in Tingitana.6 But the idea that empty amphorae were transported seems to be, as one of the authors described it, one of ‘severe irrationality’ (Pons 2000, 1260–1; 2002/3, 392). On the one hand, it was completely uneconomic to produce the containers for goods on the European shore of the Straits and to produce the goods themselves on the African shore, because the amphorae were extremely cheap and easy to manufacture. On the other hand, in Tingitana, several firing failures of a variety of fish sauce vessels from the Republican period and the early Empire were already known, which

---

4 This process was initiated in the Bay of Cádiz, cf. Lagóstena 1996a; 1996b, 151–3; 2001, 277–8.
5 Strab. 2; 3. 1; 4; Ponsich 1975, 672, 677 with bibliography; Étienne and Mayet 1998.
hinted at the possibility of the appearance of later kilns. One should, therefore, have expected to find kilns dedicated to the production of fish sauce amphorae in the early Empire in Morocco (Pons 2000, 1260–1). In fact, this happened in 2000, when an Italian–Moroccan mission found and excavated kilns at Thamusida (Sidi Ali Ben Ahmed, Morocco) that produced amphorae from the Dressel 7–11 and Beltran IIB types.

Even if these recent results, when fully published, lead to a correction of the existing models of the economy of the ‘circle of the Straits’, it is worthwhile checking the reasons for the existing asymmetrical distribution of archaeological data on both shores. Looking carefully at the history of scientific fieldwork in the area during the twentieth century, it is possible to find reasons for the ‘lack of kilns’ in Tingitana.

It is an open secret that archaeological investigation is always strongly influenced by the historical context, namely the availability of financial support, cultural legislation and public attention. In Roman times, the sea between Africa and Europe was merely an internal boundary separating two provinces of the same Empire. But after the final triumph of Catholic Spain, the conquest of Granada in 1492, the situation changed. Gibraltar, the Gabel-al-Tarik, marks in modern times a frontier between two cultural, religious and economic systems.

As regards the history of archaeological investigation in Mauritania Tingitana, we must be aware that most of our knowledge dates back to the French and Spanish presence in North Africa. All the famous fish sauce production centres mentioned above – such as Lixus and Cotta – were excavated in the 1950s and 1960s by ‘European’ scholars such as M. Ponsich and M. Tarradell. Thanks to their intensive fieldwork we are familiar with the impressive architecture of these production buildings (cetariae). But in the bibliography of that time, systematic analyses of small finds were restricted to oil-lamps as part of the art-historically-influenced typological studies of fine wares, while coarse wares or amphorae were only very rarely studied.

Unsurprisingly, the major changes in political systems in North Africa in the middle of the twentieth century had a specific impact on archaeological investigation in this region. After the independence of Morocco in 1956 and Algeria in 1962, the situation became more difficult for archaeologists from Europe. Most of the fieldwork projects in this area stopped. Scholars who had previously been in charge of investigations in Roman North Africa ‘migrated’ to the Iberian Peninsula. Many important projects relating to the archaeology of Hispania Romana, for example the excavations at Baelo Claudia (Spain) or at Conimbriga (Portugal), as well as the important survey work done in the Baetis Valley and in the Sado and Tejo region, were initiated as a result.
Progress in archaeological methodology meant that this fieldwork was no longer dedicated only to architecture and ‘les beaux-arts’. Systematic investigation of the cetariae inside a Roman city centre (in the case of Baelo) was combined with the investigation of the typology of the amphorae and the pottery kilns in the hinterland in order to gain a wider picture of the Roman economy in a certain region (‘micro-economy’). These new directions in the archaeology of the 1970s were adopted by the national archaeology of post-Franco Spain and post-Salazar Portugal, with important results. The improvement of cultural heritage legislation, the installation of local archaeologists and new regional universities led to a boom in archaeology. In the case of Baetica it is not just the intensive investigation of Phoenician, Punic and Roman economic activity – especially amphora production – in the Bay of Cadiz that impresses. For example, during the 1980s and 1990s it was possible to identify numerous pottery workshops, the figlinae for coarse ware and amphorae, throughout the entire province of Baetica (Bernal and Lagóstena 2004).

But on the opposite side of the Straits of Gibraltar, archaeological fieldwork failed to progress at the same rate. On the basis of the recorded bibliographies in the Année Philologique and the Archäologische Bibliographie of the Deutsches Archäologisches Institut, referring to Spain and Portugal on the one hand (Fig. 1, white line) and to Morocco, Algeria, Mauritania and Tunisia on the other hand (Fig. 1, black line), a simple graph shows the evolution of Roman archaeology in both regions. In particular, the data in the Année Philologique, founded in Paris by the Latinist Jules Marouzeau (1878–1964), indicate the significance of French archaeological investigation in North Africa in the first half of the twentieth century. In contrast, at the same time, publications referring to Hispania Romana were few. World War II, with all the struggles in North Africa, does not seem to have had a large impact on investigations in the area. Only the

end of the French and Spanish presence in Algeria and Morocco marked a turning point for Roman archaeology in these countries, now often referred as ‘pre-Islamic archaeology’. Simultaneously, the number of publications on Roman Hispania increased significantly during the 1970s, reaching a peak during the new democratic systems of the 1980s and 1990s (Wulff and Álvarez 2003).

Returning to the problem of the apparent lack of amphora production in Mauretania Tingitana, the graph offers a simple explanation. In the second half of the last century, at the time when Islamic archaeology in North Africa was gaining importance at the expense of Roman archaeology, in Spain and Portugal progress continued to be made. North of the Straits, archaeologists no longer dedicated their time only to the discovery of fine arts and main architectural buildings, but also paid the same amount of attention to small finds and landscape archaeology, important for modelling the complex ancient reality and economy. In particular, new methods and techniques of fieldwork and excavation facilitated progress in identifying, for example, the large number of Roman pottery kilns and figlinae.

It seems, therefore, more likely that the lack of amphora kilns in Tingitana is a reflection of the evolution of European archaeological investigation in the twentieth century, rather than a representative image of the ancient reality. Hence, we have to consider that the current state of investigation does not allow us to postulate the transport of Roman amphorae from southern Baetica, especially from the economically important area of Gades (Cadiz) and the surrounding villages such as Puerto Real and Puerto de Santa María, to northern Africa. As Y. Garlan puts it ‘(. . .) comme [the amphorae] . . . ne valaient pas tant par elles mêmes que par leur contenu et que leur fabrication ne devait généralement pas poser de problème, elles avaient en effet peu de chances d’être transportées vides sur de longues distances, à partir de leur lieu d’origine’ (Garlan 1983, 37).

Special caution should be exercised in the case of every conclusion ‘ex silentio’. For example, the similar transport of empty amphorae has also been suggested for other regions of the ancient world: the shipping of empty amphorae from Rhodes to Alexandria or sites on the Black Sea has been raised as a feasible response to the poor quality of clay in the receiving centres.12 R. Étienne considers that the wine produced on the island of Mallorca during the first century BC could have been exported in amphorae from the nearby kilns of the island of Ebusus (Ibiza) (Étienne 1982, 11–12). And more recently, D. Bernal has proposed the hypothesis for the city of Baelo Claudia, a great producer of fish sauce where amphora kilns are not known, that its amphorae were supplied by sea from El Rinconcillo (Algeciras, Cádiz). He also proposes that various cetariae in the suburbs of Almuñecar would have had their containers supplied by the workshop of Los Matagallares (Salobrena, Granada). Based upon these two examples, he offers the theory of centralized figlinae provided with optimal conditions for ceramic production (water, clay and wood), placed near the coastline in order to export containers by sea. Perhaps this explains – as a consequence of his working hypothesis – the concentration of workshops in the Bay of Cadiz and in the mouths of the Tejo and Sado (Bernal 1999).
It has been shown above that, in the case of *Mauritania Tingitana*, modern-day factors, caused in this particular case by post-colonialism, could be the reason for the asymmetrical distribution of archaeological data and information. Hence, conclusions drawn from this may be biased and do not necessarily reflect the ancient reality. As in any other discipline, landscape archaeology and its distribution maps need to pay attention to methodological problems, otherwise raw data are liable to be quarried in search of arguments to prove pre-existing theories.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Professor Jean Andreau (EHESS, Paris), Professor Andrew Wilson (Oxford) and Professor José Remesal Rodriguez (CEIPAC, Barcelona) for their kind suggestions.

(FT) Institut für Archäologische Wissenschaften II
Archäologie und Geschichte der römischen Provinzen sowie Hilfswissenschaften der Altertumskunde
Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe-Universität
Grüneburgplatz 1
60323 Frankfurt am Main
GERMANY
e-mail: teichner@em.uni-frankfurt.de

(LPP) Universidad de Cádiz
Seminario Agustin de Horozco
E-I1002 Cadiz
SPAIN
e-mail: luis.pons@uca.es

REFERENCES


Oxford Journal of Archaeology


Carreras Monfort, C. 2000: Economía de la Britannia romana: la importación de alimentos (Barcelona, Instrumenta 8).


LAGÓSTENA BARRIOS, L. 1996a: Alfarería romana en la Bahía de Cádiz (Cádiz).


PONS PUJOL, L. 2000: La economía de la Mauretania Tingitana y su relación con la Baetica en el Alto Imperio. In L’África romana XIII 2 (Carocci), 1251–89.


PONS PUJOL, L. and BERNI MILLET, P. 2002: La figlina Virginensis y la Mauretania Tingitana. In L’África romana XIV 2 (Sassari), 1541–70.


PONSCH, M. 1974: Implantation rurale antique sur le Bas-Guadalquivir I (Madrid).


ponsich, m. 1988: Aceite de oliva y salazones de pescado. Factores geoeconómicos de Bética y Tingitania (Madrid).

ponsich, m. 1991a: Implantation rurale antique sur le Bas-Guadalquivir IV (Madrid).


ponsich, m. 1993: Le circuit du Détroit de Gibraltar dans l’Antiquité. In Homenatge a Miquel Tarradell (Barcelona), 49–62.

ponsich, m. and tarradell, m. 1965: Garum et industries antiques de salaison dans la Mediterranée Occidentale (Paris).


remesal rodríguez, j. 1986: La annona militaris y la exportación de aceite bético a Germania (Madrid).


remesal rodríguez, j. 1998a: Heeresversorgung und die wirtschaftlichen Beziehungen zwischen der Baetica und Germanien. Materialien zu einem Corpus der in Deutschland veröffentlichten Stempel auf Amphoren der Form Dressel 20 (Stuttgart).


scheidel, w. and von reden, s. 2002: The ancient economy (New York).


sherwin-white, s.m. 1978: Ancient Cos. An historical study from the Dorian settlement to the imperial period (Göttingen).


tarradell, m. 1960: El Marruecos Púnico (Tetuán).


TEICHNER, F. 2008: Zwischen Land und Meer – Entre tierra y mar. Studien zur Architektur und Wirtschaftsweise ländlicher Siedlungen im Süden der römischen Provinz Lusitanien (Stvdia Lvsitana 3 (MNAR)/Madrid Beitr. (DAI)).


