Electron scattering in isotonic chains as a probe of the proton shell structure of unstable nuclei
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Electron scattering on unstable nuclei is planned in future facilities of the GSI and RIKEN upgrades. Motivated by this fact, we study theoretical predictions for elastic electron scattering in the $N = 82$, $N = 50$, and $N = 14$ isotonic chains from very proton-deficient to very proton-rich isotones. We compute the scattering observables by performing Dirac partial-wave calculations. The charge density of the nucleus is obtained with a covariant nuclear mean-field model that accounts for the low-energy electromagnetic structure of the nucleon. For the discussion of the dependence of scattering observables at low-momentum transfer on the gross properties of the charge density, we fit Helm model distributions to the self-consistent mean-field densities. We find that the changes shown by the electric charge form factor along each isotonic chain are strongly correlated with the underlying proton shell structure of the isotones. We conclude that elastic electron scattering experiments on isotones can provide valuable information about the filling order and occupation of the single-particle levels of protons.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since the 1950s, elastic electron scattering has been utilized to obtain accurate information on the size and shape of nuclei [1–5]. Because electrons and nucleons interact essentially through the electromagnetic force, the nucleus remains rather unperturbed during the scattering process and the analysis of the data is not hampered by uncertainties associated with the strong interaction. Thus, electron scattering is able to provide very clean information about the charge distribution of atomic nuclei [6–8].

Low-energy nuclear physics is nowadays moving very fast towards the domain of exotic nuclei [9]. This is due to the development of successive generations of radioactive-isotope beam (RIB) facilities [10–15], such as the GSI Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR) and the Système de Production d’Ions Radioactifs en Ligne, generation 2 (SPIRAL2) in Europe, the Facility for Rare Isotope Beams (FRIB) in North America, and the Heavy Ion Research Facility in Lanzhou Cooling Storage Ring (HIRFL-CSR), the RIKEN Accelerator Research Facility (RARF), or the radioactive isotope beam facility (RIBF) at RIKEN in Asia, which will allow us to study the properties of nuclei beyond the stability valley. Many interesting effects have already been discovered in exotic nuclei, such as neutron and proton halos, neutron skins, and new magic numbers. These effects may be related to the structure of the nucleon distributions far from stability. As with stable nuclei, one way of exploring the structure of exotic nuclei is through the electromagnetic interaction. For this purpose, a new generation of electron-RIB colliders using storage rings is under construction at RIKEN (Japan) [13,16] and at GSI (Germany) [17,18]. It is expected that in the near future the Self-Confining Radioactive Nuclei Ion Target (SCRIT) project in Japan [19–21] and the electron-ion scattering in the storage ring (ELISe) experiment at FAIR in Germany [22,23] will offer the opportunity to study the structure of unstable exotic nuclei by means of electron scattering.

On the theoretical side, much work has been devoted to the study of charge distributions of exotic nuclei through calculations of both electron scattering (see, e.g., Refs. [24–31]) and proton scattering (see, e.g., Ref. [32]). Suda [33] pointed out that, in electron scattering off unstable nuclei, the maxima and the minima of the charge form factor are very sensitive to the size and the diffuseness of the charge density. This fact has been confirmed by different works that have analyzed the behavior of the charge form factor along isotopic [25,26,29,31] and isotonic [30] chains.

To probe the charge distribution in nuclei, the electron beam energy needs to be of the order of a few hundred MeV. Because one deals with relativistic electrons, it is mandatory to solve the elastic scattering problem of Dirac particles in the potential generated by the nuclear charge density. The simplest approach is the plane-wave Born approximation (PWBA) where the initial and final states of the electron are described by Dirac plane waves. The PWBA accounts for many features of electron scattering but it cannot provide an accurate description of the electric charge form factor, in particular near the dips. The most elaborate calculations of electron-nucleus scattering are obtained by exact phase-shift analysis of the Dirac equation. This calculation scheme is known as the distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA) [34]. The DWBA has been used to analyze different aspects of the scattering of electrons by nuclei (see, e.g., Refs. [25,26,31,35–39] and references therein). In the present work we employ the DWBA to study elastic electron scattering from isotones. It may be mentioned that the eikonal approximation has been applied in some studies
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of elastic electron scattering off proton-rich and neutron-rich nuclei [29,30,40].

The charge density of the target nucleus is one of the basic ingredients of the electron-nucleus scattering problem. For medium and heavy nuclei, the theoretical charge densities can be calculated in the mean-field approximation using nonrelativistic nuclear forces or relativistic mean-field (RMF) models. It is known that the overall trends of the elastic scattering of electrons by stable medium and heavy nuclei are well reproduced by the mean-field charge densities computed with nuclear models that have been calibrated to describe the ground-state properties (in particular the charge radii) of some selected nuclei. However, different nuclear models differ in the fine details and describe with different quality the experimental scattering data. See Ref. [31] for a recent comparison of the elastic electron scattering results predicted by different nuclear mean-field models.

In Ref. [31] we studied elastic electron scattering along the Ca and Sn isotopic chains in the DWBA. In that work we reported several correlations among scattering observables and some properties of the nuclear charge density along the isotopic chains [31]. In the present work, we investigate what information on nuclear structure can be gained from the study of elastic electron scattering in the \( N = 82, 50, \) and 14 isotonic chains. We aim to extract general trends according to current mean-field theories about the behavior of some observables that may be measured in experiments performed with unstable nuclei in the low-momentum transfer region. Our choice of the \( N = 82, 50, \) and 14 isotonic chains among other possible \( N \) values is mainly motivated by the fact that they cover different regions of the mass table and by the following reasons: On the one hand, there is a certain interest in the structure of unstable nuclei belonging to the \( N = 82 \) and \( N = 50 \) shell closures because some of these nuclei may correspond to waiting points in the astrophysical \( r \) processes of nucleosynthesis [41–43]. The \( N = 82 \) isotones below \( 132 \)Sn are believed to be in close relation with the peak of the solar \( r \)-process abundance distribution observed around the mass number \( A = 130 \) [43,44], whereas the \( N = 50 \) isotones near \( 78 \)Ni are thought to be responsible for producing the pronounced abundance peak observed around \( A = 80 \) [43,45]. On the other hand, scattering data for light nuclei, such as those of \( N = 14 \), are likely to be obtained in future electron scattering facilities such as SCbIT [19–21] and ELISe [22,23].

The study of elastic electron scattering along isotopic and isotonic chains explores different aspects of the nuclear charge density. The electric charge form factor along an isotopic chain gives information about the effect of the different number of neutrons on the charge density, which becomes more and more dilute and extends to larger distances as the neutron number increases [31]. In an isotonic chain, the changes in the charge form factor primarily give information about the effect of the outer proton single-particle orbitals that are being filled as the atomic number increases in the chain. Thus, our previous study [31] and present study together provide a survey of the evolution of the charge form factor with the neutron and proton numbers in different mass regions of the nuclear chart.

The rest of this article is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we summarize the method employed in our study of electron scattering in isotonic chains. As the basic methodology follows that of Ref. [31], we address the reader to that work and references therein for more details about the relativistic nuclear mean-field theory and about the Dirac partial-wave analysis, which we perform using the ELSEPA code [46] adapted to the nuclear problem. We devote Sec. III to the presentation and analysis of our numerical results for elastic electron-nucleus scattering in the \( N = 82, 50, \) and 14 chains. Finally, our conclusions are laid out in Sec. IV.

II. METHOD

To investigate electron scattering in isotonic chains we follow the method developed in Ref. [31]. For completeness, we summarize here the main aspects of this method. The electron beam energy in our investigation is fixed at 500 MeV, which is a typical energy in electron-nucleus scattering experiments. Indeed, rather than discussing directly the differential cross section (DCS), we study the DWBA electric charge form factor because it is almost independent of the electron beam energy in the low-momentum transfer regime, as can be seen from Fig. 5 of Ref. [31] and below. We compute the electric charge form factor as follows [31]:

\[
|F(q)|^2 = \left( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right) / \left( \frac{d\sigma_{\text{point}}}{d\Omega} \right),
\]

where \( d\sigma/d\Omega \) and \( d\sigma_{\text{point}}/d\Omega \) are the DCS of the extended nucleus and of the point nucleus, respectively, calculated in the DWBA. We denote the form factor (1) by \( F_{\text{DWBA}}(q) \) hereinafter. It is to be mentioned that here we are using the DWBA point-nucleus DCS rather than the usual Mott cross section [47]:

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{\text{Mott}}}{d\Omega} = \left( \frac{Ze^2}{2E} \right)^2 \cos^2(\theta/2) \frac{\sin^4(\theta/2)}{\sin^4(\theta/2)}.
\]

In order to extract the effect of the finite size of the nucleus it seems reasonable to consider the two cross sections in Eq. (1) calculated within the same approximation. The point-nucleus DCS calculated within the DWBA was also used in analyses of the form factor of elastic electron scattering data (see, e.g., Refs. [48,49] and discussions in Ref. [50]). We make a comparison of the results of the two approaches in Sec. III below. It is found that the choice is not critical for our study in the low-momentum transfer regime.

We calculate the charge densities with the relativistic mean-field parametrization G2 [51,52], which we also employed in Ref. [31]. This nuclear model was constructed as an effective hadronic Lagrangian consistent with the symmetries of quantum chromodynamics. The nucleon density distributions are obtained self-consistently at the mean-field level by the numerical solution of the corresponding variational equations. In contrast to most of the nuclear mean-field models that assume point densities, the G2 Lagrangian incorporates the low-energy electromagnetic structure of the nucleon through vector-meson dominance [51,52]. This implies that the charge density is obtained directly from the self-consistent solution of the mean-field equations without any extra folding with external single-nucleon form factors. We have verified that
the charge density distribution provided by G2 agrees very well with the charge density that can be obtained from the point proton and point neutron density distributions of G2 folded with experimental single-nucleon charge form factors. It has been shown [51–53] that the G2 relativistic mean-field interaction is a reliable parameter set both for calculations of ground-state properties of nuclei and for predictions of the nuclear equation of state up to supranormal densities, as well as for predictions of some properties of neutron stars. First calculations of the charge form factor in the PWBA with G2 were reported in Ref. [51].

In our calculations we assume spherical symmetry although some of the nuclei considered in this study may be deformed, particularly in the case of the \( N = 14 \) isotones [54,55]. Pairing correlations are important for describing open-shell nuclei. We take pairing into account through a modified BCS approach by means of a constant matrix lines) through quasibound levels which are retained by their centrifugal-plus-Coulomb barrier (proton levels) [56]. The pairing interaction in this approach is described by means of a constant matrix element fitted to reproduce the experimental binding energies of some selected isotopic and isotonic chains as described in Ref. [56]. It is to be mentioned that a mean-field treatment is not expected to be sufficient for light exotic nuclei [27]. Thus, the \( N = 14 \) isotonic chain studied below (with nuclei from \( ^{22}O \) to \( ^{34}Ca \)) corresponds to a somewhat limiting case, and the mean-field results should be taken as semiquantitative. The calculations with the G2 model predict a relatively magic character of the neutron numbers \( N = 14 \) and \( N = 16 \). These neutron numbers have attracted some attention in recent theoretical and experimental studies as possible new magic numbers in exotic nuclei [57–61].

The use of modeled charge densities and electric charge form factors in the experimental analysis of scattering data has been extensive in the past and continues to date. This is because in many cases the parameters of the modeled charge densities are directly related with the size of the bulk and surface regions of the nucleus under study. In this way, the modeled densities help to provide a clear physical interpretation of the electron scattering data. This is the case of the so-called Helm model [49] that we used for some calculations in our previous study of isotonic chains [31]. The parameters of the Helm model are fit to the electric charge form factor in the low-momentum transfer regime. Here, the calculations with the Helm model will be helpful to gain some insight about the variation of the position and width of the surface of the charge density distribution along the isotonic chains. We briefly summarize the fitting procedure of the parameters of the Helm model in the next subsection.

A. Equivalent Helm charge densities

The original version of the Helm model [49] has been extended in various ways for a more accurate description of the experimental charge densities [62–64]. In the simplest version of the model [49], the charge density is obtained from the convolution of a constant density \( \rho_0 \) in a hard sphere of radius \( R_0 \) with a Gaussian distribution having variance \( \sigma^2 \). By construction, \( R_0 \) gives the effective location of the position of the nuclear surface and hence characterizes the size of the density profile, whereas the parameter \( \sigma \) is a measure of the thickness of the surface region of the density distribution. The Helm charge density is then given by

\[
\rho^{(H)}(r) = \int d\mathbf{r} f_G(r - \mathbf{r}) \rho_0 \Theta(R_0 - r),
\]

where

\[
f_G(r) = (2\pi \sigma^2)^{-3/2} e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2}.\]

The two parameters \( R_0 \) and \( \sigma^2 \) of the Helm model determine the charge density as well as the electric charge form factor within the PWBA:

\[
F^{(H)}(q) = \int \frac{e^{iq\cdot r}}{q^2 + \sigma^2} \rho^{(H)}(r) d\mathbf{r} = \frac{3}{qR_0} j_1(qR_0)e^{-\sigma^2 q^2/2},
\]

where \( j_1(x) \) is the spherical Bessel function. Note that we use natural units throughout the present paper.

We proceed as suggested originally in Ref. [49] to obtain the Helm parameters associated to a given nucleus from the PWBA electric charge form factor of that nucleus. First, we require that the first zero of Eq. (5) coincides with the first zero of the mean-field PWBA charge form factor (Fourier transform of the charge density obtained with the G2 model). We will refer to this charge form factor as \( F_{\text{PWBA}}(q) \) hereinafter. Therefore, the radius of the equivalent Helm density reads

\[
R_0 = \frac{x}{q_0},
\]

where \( x = 4.493 \) is the first zero of \( j_1(x) \) and \( q_0 \) is the momentum transfer corresponding to the first zero of \( F_{\text{PWBA}}(q) \). Second, we determine the variance \( \sigma^2 \) of the Gaussian distribution such that \( |F^{(H)}(q_{\text{max}})| = |F_{\text{PWBA}}(q_{\text{max}})| \), where \( q_{\text{max}} \) is the momentum transfer corresponding to the second maximum of \( |F_{\text{PWBA}}(q)| \) (the first maximum appears always at \( q = 0 \) \( \text{fm}^{-1} \)). Using Eq. (5), one easily obtains

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{2}{q_{\text{max}}^2} \ln \left( \frac{3 j_1(q_{\text{max}}R_0)}{q_{\text{max}}R_0 |F_{\text{PWBA}}(q_{\text{max}})|} \right).
\]

III. RESULTS: \( N = 82, N = 50, \) AND \( N = 14 \) ISOTONIC CHAINS

We start with the discussion of the results for the \( N = 82 \) isotonic chain where the different aspects of our study are described in detail. After that, we extend our study to the \( N = 50 \) and \( N = 14 \) isotonic chains.

A. \( N = 82 \) isotonic chain

We first analyze the charge densities along the \( N = 82 \) chain. The ordering and the energy of the different proton single-particle levels, mainly the levels closest to the Fermi level, are quite important for the present study. This is because the corresponding single-particle wave functions determine, to a large extent, the shape of the charge density at the surface region as well as the electric charge form factor in the low-momentum transfer region. Figure 1 displays the energy of the proton single-particle levels of some selected nuclei of the...
N = 82 isotopic chain. They are representative of proton-deficient nuclei \( ^{122}_{40}\text{Zr} \), stable nuclei \( ^{140}_{58}\text{Ce} \), proton-rich nuclei \( ^{146}_{64}\text{Gd} \) and proton-drip-line nuclei \( ^{154}_{72}\text{Hf} \).

The more relevant proton single-particle levels in our analysis of the \( N = 82 \) isotonic chain are, on the one hand, the \( 1g_{9/2}, 1g_{7/2}, \) and \( 2d_{5/2} \) levels (which appear clearly separated in energy) and, on the other hand, the nearly degenerate \( 1h_{11/2}, 2d_{3/2}, \) and \( 3s_{1/2} \) levels (which are very close in energy). The \( 1h_{11/2} \) level shows energy gaps of about 2 and 4 MeV with respect to the \( 2d_{5/2} \) and \( 1g_{7/2} \) levels, respectively, and a gap of about 9 MeV with respect to the deeper \( 1g_{9/2} \) level. This large energy gap is due to the magicity of the proton number \( Z = 50 \). With increasing mass number these relevant levels are shifted up in energy, roughly as a whole, retaining the same ordering and approximately the same energy gaps. As a consequence of this level scheme, in going from the nucleus \( ^{122}_{40}\text{Zr} \) to \( ^{140}_{58}\text{Ce} \) the charge densities differ basically by the effects of filling up the \( 1g_{9/2} \) and \( 1g_{7/2} \) shells, and in going from \( ^{140}_{58}\text{Ce} \) to \( ^{146}_{64}\text{Gd} \) the charge densities differ by the occupancy of the \( 2d_{5/2} \) shell. In these proton-rich isotones with mass number above \( A = 140 \), the pairing correlations play a non-negligible role and therefore the charge densities also get contributions from the \( 1h_{11/2}, 2d_{3/2}, \) and \( 3s_{1/2} \) orbitals. Finally, in the case of the drip-line nucleus \( ^{154}_{72}\text{Hf} \), all of the mentioned single-particle wave functions contribute significantly to the charge density. The differences in the charge distribution due to single-particle effects become evident in Fig. 2 where the charge densities of \( ^{122}_{40}\text{Zr}, ^{140}_{58}\text{Ce}, ^{146}_{64}\text{Gd}, \) and \( ^{154}_{72}\text{Hf} \) computed with the relativistic mean-field model G2 are displayed as functions of the radial distance.

The equivalent Helm charge densities of these isotones, with parameters determined as explained in Sec. II A, are depicted in Fig. 2 by dashed lines. As in the case of isotopes studied in Ref. [31], the quantal oscillations of the mean-field charge densities are nicely averaged by the bulk part of the Helm model densities. In spite of the fact that the surface falloff of the Helm densities is of Gaussian type, the agreement at the surface between the mean field and the equivalent Helm charge distributions is in general satisfactory. We are aware that a better reproduction of the charge density can be achieved by using an extended Helm model fit up to larger values of the momentum transfer [62–64]. However, here we restrict ourselves to the two-parameter Helm model introduced in Sec. II A for the following reasons: On the one hand, the low-momentum transfer region of the electric charge form factor relevant for our study is sufficiently well reproduced by this simple Helm model. On the other hand, this model can provide some transparent information about two main global properties of the underlying charge distribution; namely, its size and surface diffuseness.

The fitted parameters of the equivalent Helm densities along the \( N = 82 \) isotonic chain; namely, \( R_0 \) and \( \sigma \), are given in Table I. In the two panels of Fig. 3 we display these two parameters as function of \( A^{1/3} \) and \( A \), respectively. The radius \( R_0 \) roughly follows a linear trend with \( A^{1/3} \) as can be expected from the increase of the total number of nucleons. The parameter \( \sigma \), which determines the surface thickness of the charge density, shows a nonuniform variation with the mass number \( A \) caused by the underlying shell structure of the nuclei of this chain.

In our study of isotopic chains [31], we found a similar behavior of the \( \sigma \) parameter but with two important differences: First, the range of variation of \( \sigma \) in isotopic chains is much smaller than that exhibited by the \( N = 82 \) isotonic chain. Second, in the case of the Sn isotopes (see Fig. 6 of Ref. [31]), \( \sigma \) displays local minima for \( ^{135}\text{Sn} \) and \( ^{176}\text{Sn} \), pointing out the magicity of the \( N = 82 \) and \( N = 126 \) neutron numbers which makes the charge densities of these isotopes more compact. In contrast, in the \( N = 82 \) isotonic chain, the kinks shown by \( \sigma \) are rather related with the filling of the different proton single-particle orbitals belonging to the major shell between \( Z = 50 \) and \( Z = 82 \). In particular, when the \( 1g_{9/2} \) and \( 1g_{7/2} \) shells are being filled (i.e., between \( ^{122}_{40}\text{Zr} \) and \( ^{140}_{58}\text{Ce} \)), \( \sigma \) decreases almost linearly. The local minimum of \( \sigma \) for \( ^{140}_{58}\text{Ce} \) points to some magic character of this nucleus. The fact that the \( \sigma \) parameter takes the smaller values in the region around \( ^{140}_{58}\text{Ce} \) indicates that the surface of the equivalent charge density is more abrupt at and around this nucleus. When the \( 2d_{5/2} \) level

FIG. 1. Energy of proton single-particle levels for \( ^{122}_{40}\text{Zr}, ^{140}_{58}\text{Ce}, ^{146}_{64}\text{Gd}, \) and \( ^{154}_{72}\text{Hf} \) as computed with the relativistic nuclear mean-field interaction G2.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Charge densities for \( ^{122}_{40}\text{Zr}, ^{140}_{58}\text{Ce}, ^{146}_{64}\text{Gd}, \) and \( ^{154}_{72}\text{Hf} \) as a function of the radial distance to the center of the nucleus according to the covariant model G2 (solid lines) and to the fitted Helm distributions (dashed lines).
starts to be appreciably occupied, $\sigma^2$ increases again nearly linearly until $^{146}$Gd, where a new kink appears. From $^{146}$Gd to the proton drip line ($^{154}$Hf), the value of $\sigma^2$ continues to increase, but now with a smaller slope as a consequence of the higher occupancy of the $1f_{11/2}$, $2d_{3/2}$, and $3s_{1/2}$ levels. Indeed, along an isotonic chain the $\sigma^2$ parameter of the employed Helm model is sensitive to the tail of the different proton single-particle wave functions that successively contribute to the charge density.

We next inspect the main properties of the differential cross sections and electric charge form factors of the $N = 82$ isotones. In Fig. 4(a) we display for three representative nuclei of the $N = 82$ chain the DCS as a function of the scattering angle $\theta$. The electron-beam energy is 500 MeV. The DCS is computed in the DWBA using both the self-consistent mean-field charge densities obtained with the G2 model (solid lines) and the equivalent Helm charge densities (dashed lines).

The square modulus of the DWBA electric charge form factor $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)|^2$ as a function of the momentum transfer $q = 2E \sin(\theta/2)$ is shown in Fig. 4(b). The empty symbols in the lower panel of this figure correspond to $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)|^2$ computed at an electron-beam energy of 250 MeV. The comparison of the results for $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)|^2$ at $E = 500$ MeV and $E = 250$ MeV shows that the electric charge form factor defined in Eq. (1) is largely independent of the energy of the beam in the low-momentum transfer domain. Therefore, the analysis of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)|^2$ contains the essential trends of the elastic electron-nucleus scattering in this regime.

The dashed lines in the two panels of Fig. 4 correspond to the DWBA result but using the equivalent Helm charge distributions, fit as explained previously, instead of the self-consistent mean-field densities. One can see a good agreement at low-momentum transfers up to about 1.5 fm$^{-1}$ between the results from the original mean-field densities and from the equivalent Helm charge densities. This fact reassures one of the ability of the parametrized Helm distributions to describe global trends of elastic electron-nucleus scattering at low $q$, as was also found in Ref. [31] for isotopes.

In medium and heavy mass nuclei, the first oscillations of the DCS and of the square charge form factor computed within the DWBA usually do not show clean local minima but rather inflection points. As we can see in Fig. 4, this is the situation for the first oscillation of the DCS and of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)|^2$ in the $N = 82$ isotonic chain. In the absence of an explicit minimum, the first inflection point (IP) is the best candidate to characterize the relevant properties of the electric charge form factor at low $q$ as we discussed in Ref. [31].

In Fig. 5 we compare the square modulus of the electric charge form factor as calculated in two different ways. We refer to the results in this figure with the label “point” when we show $F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)$ defined in Eq. (1) (same solid lines shown in Fig. 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucl.</th>
<th>$R_0$ (fm)</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ (fm$^2$)</th>
<th>Nucl.</th>
<th>$R_0$ (fm)</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ (fm$^2$)</th>
<th>Nucl.</th>
<th>$R_0$ (fm)</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ (fm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{120}$Sr</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>$^{78}$Ca</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>$^{22}$O</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{122}$Zr</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>$^{74}$Cr</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>$^{24}$Ne</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{128}$Pd</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>$^{78}$Ni</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>$^{26}$Mg</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{132}$Sn</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>$^{80}$Zn</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>$^{28}$Si</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{136}$Xe</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>$^{82}$Ge</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>$^{30}$S</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>0.891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{140}$Ce</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>$^{84}$Se</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>$^{32}$Ar</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{142}$Nd</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>$^{86}$Kr</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>$^{34}$Ca</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{144}$Sm</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>$^{88}$Sr</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>$^{36}$Ar</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{146}$Gd</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>$^{90}$Zr</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>$^{38}$Si</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{148}$Dy</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>$^{92}$Mo</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>$^{40}$Ca</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{150}$Er</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>$^{94}$Ru</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>$^{42}$Ca</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{152}$Yb</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>$^{96}$Pd</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>$^{44}$Ti</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{154}$Hf</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>$^{98}$Cd</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>$^{46}$Ti</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TABLE I. Helm model parameters $R_0$ and $\sigma^2$ for the studied isotonic chains of $N = 82$, $N = 50$, and $N = 14$. | | | | | | | | |

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Mass-number dependence of the Helm parameter $R_0$ predicted by the covariant mean-field model G2 in the $N = 82$ isotonic chain. (b) Mass-number dependence of the Helm parameter $\sigma^2$. The average value is depicted by a horizontal dashed line.
and with the label “Mott” when we use in the denominator of Eq. (1) the Mott DCS given in Eq. (2). From Fig. 5, one can see that $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)|^2$ is always smaller than the result obtained using $\sigma_{\text{Mott}}/d\Omega$ in the denominator of Eq. (1). The difference between both results grows as the value of $q$ increases. It is also found that in this region of low-momentum transfers (i.e., the region of main interest for our present study), the location of the inflection points and minima of the electric charge form factor along the $N = 82$ isotonic chain is practically independent of the choice of the denominator in Eq. (1).

In Fig. 6 we plot $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)|^2$ for the $N = 82$ isotones in a magnified view around the first IP. The value of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)|^2$ at the first IP is depicted by circles for each nucleus. In agreement with earlier literature [30], the momentum transfer at the first inflection point ($q_{\text{IP}}$) shows an inward shifting and the value of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2$ shows an upward trend with increasing mass number along the isotonic chain.

Let us discuss possible correlations of the DWBA charge form factor at low-momentum transfer with the parameters $R_0$ and $\sigma$ of the equivalent Helm charge density, as we did in our previous analysis of isotopic chains [31]. If we first look at the analytical expression of the charge form factor predicted by the Helm model [cf. Eq. (5)], it suggests that we use $q R_0$ and $\sigma^2 q^2$ as the natural variables to investigate the variation of this quantity. In the $q \to 0$ limit, Eq. (5) can be written as

$$F_{\text{Helm}}(q \to 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{3}\sigma \left(5\sigma^2 + R_0^2\right) + O(q^4).$$

This result points towards a linear correlation with the mean square radius $\langle \rho_n^2 \rangle$ of the Helm distribution [49] due to the fact that

$$\langle \rho_n^2 \rangle = \frac{3}{5}(5\sigma^2 + R_0^2).$$

However, the correlation suggested by this approximation is not fulfilled by the DWBA calculations in the relevant region of momentum transfer for our study. For this reason, we have further investigated the relation of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2$ with $q_{\text{IP}}^2 R_0^2$ and $\sigma^2 q_{\text{IP}}^2$ separately. We show in Fig. 7 the behavior of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2$ as a function of the value of $\sigma^2 q_{\text{IP}}^2$ since it will be very instructive to understand the influence of the proton shell structure on elastic electron scattering in the isotonic chains.

The nonuniform variations seen in Fig. 7 along the horizontal axis are basically due to the Helm parameter $\sigma^2$ rather than to $q_{\text{IP}}^2$. This is because of the fact that if we compare the relative change along the isotopic chain found in the quantities $\sigma$ and $q_{\text{IP}}$ (cf. Figs. 3 and 6, respectively), it is much larger in the case of the $\sigma$ parameter. Hence, the information along the horizontal axis of Fig. 7 is sensitive to
the filling order of the single-particle levels contributing to the charge density at the surface region.

The nonuniform variation shown by $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2$ along the vertical axis in Fig. 7 can be qualitatively understood in terms of the single-particle contributions to the PWBA electric charge form factor. To this end we plot in Fig. 8 the contribution to the PWBA form factor from the individual proton orbitals:

$$f_{n lj}(q) = \int d\vec{r} |\psi_{n lj}(\vec{r})|^2 e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\psi_{n lj}(\vec{r})$ is the wave function of a proton level with quantum numbers $n$, $l$, and $j$. Note that (10) does not include the occupation probability factors ($v_{n lj}$) and degeneracies $(2j + 1)$; that is,

$$F_{\text{PWBA}}(q) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n lj} (2j + 1)v_{n lj} f_{n lj}(q).$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

In Fig. 8 we depict $f_{n lj}(q)$ for the orbitals close to the Fermi level in the nucleus $^{154}\text{Hf}$, which can be considered as representative of the level scheme of the $N = 82$ isotopic chain. First, one notes that the single-particle contributions $f_{n lj}(q)$ to the PWBA electric charge form factor do not have the same sign in the range of momentum transfers of interest in our analysis. Therefore, strong interference effects may occur among these single-particle contributions. In particular, we can see in Fig. 8 that in the region around $q_{\text{IP}}$ the contributions from the $1g_{9/2}$, $1g_{7/2}$, and $1h_{11/2}$ orbitals are negative, while the contributions from the $3f_{3/2}$, $2d_{3/2}$, and $2d_{5/2}$ orbitals are positive. The PWBA form factor corresponding to the underlying $Z = 40$ core is negative. Therefore, when the $1g_{9/2}$ and $1g_{7/2}$ orbitals are occupied—in passing from $^{122}\text{Zr}$ to $^{140}\text{Ce}$—the square modulus of the PWBA form factor increases. When on top of this configuration, the $2d_{5/2}$ orbital is filled in $^{146}\text{Gd}$, the square modulus of the PWBA charge form factor decreases due to the positive sign of the contribution of this level around $q_{\text{IP}}$. This simple pattern in the uniform-filling picture is slightly modified due to the pairing correlations that introduce additional mixing with the contributions from the $2d_{5/2}$, $3f_{3/2}$, and $1h_{11/2}$ orbitals. In spite of this, the simple PWBA description is quite useful to help us interpret the changes of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2$ from $^{122}\text{Zr}$ to $^{146}\text{Gd}$. The subsequent increase shown by $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2$ from $^{146}\text{Gd}$ to $^{154}\text{Hf}$ can also be understood in this schematic picture since the PWBA charge form factor of $^{146}\text{Gd}$ is globally negative and the additional contribution of the $1h_{11/2}$ orbital also is negative for $q$ values near $q_{\text{IP}}$.

The discussed, theoretical results pinpoint the importance of the filling order of the proton single-particle levels in elastic electron scattering off exotic nuclei. This fact suggests that future experiments such as those planned in the upgrades of the GSI and RIKEN facilities may become excellent probes of the shell structure of exotic nuclei. However, the small values of the cross sections and the short half-lives and small production rates of many nuclei along an isotonic chain can be strong limitations for such kind of measurements in practice.

Regarding the relation of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2$ with $q_{\text{IP}}R_0^2$, we have not found a simple behavior. In spite of this, we have observed that $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2$ and the square of the Helm radius $R_0^2$ show a rather similar behavior as a function of the mass number in the isotopic chain. This suggests plotting $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2$ against $R_0^2$, which we do in Fig. 9.
observes a good linear correlation between both quantities. This correlation indicates that the parameter of the Helm model which measures the size of the bulk part of the density profile of each isotope governs the magnitude of the electric charge form factor at low-momentum transfer.

We have found that fitting the calculated PWBA electric charge form factor with an extended Helm model [63] instead of the simple Helm model of Sec. II A leads to similar conclusions on the behavior of $R_0$ and $\sigma^2$ along the isotonic chain. It is also worth noticing that the influence of the proton orbitals. We can see that these levels move up in energy, which measures the size of the bulk part of the density profile. This correlation indicates that the parameter of the Helm model used to fit the PWBA electric charge form factor. To conclude this section, we would like to note that some of the details of the predicted single-particle energies, energy gaps, and filling order of the orbitals change to some extent if, in our calculations, we use other RMF models or Skyrme forces instead of the G2 interaction. In particular, this is due to the fact that we are exploring regions of the nuclear chart beyond the region where the parameters of these effective nuclear interactions have been calibrated. However, the basic conclusion to be emphasized; namely, the manifest sensitivity of some electron scattering observables to the proton shell structure of the isotopes, is a robust feature that comes out regardless of the effective nuclear interaction.

### B. $N = 50$ isotonic chain

The more relevant proton single-particle orbitals for our study of the $N = 50$ chain are the $1f_{7/2}$, $1f_{5/2}$, $2p_{3/2}$, $2p_{1/2}$, and $1g_{9/2}$ orbitals. They cover two major shells between Ca and Sn. This set of proton energy levels computed with the G2 parametrization is displayed in Fig. 10 for some selected isotones. We can see that these levels move up in energy, roughly as a whole, when the mass number increases in going from proton-deficient nuclei $^{70}_{20}$Ca to stable nuclei $^{84}_{34}$Se, and to proton-drip-line nuclei $^{100}_{50}$Sn.

The parameters $R_0$ and $\sigma^2$ of the Helm model distributions fit to the mean-field charge densities of the $N = 50$ isotones are displayed in Fig. 11 and given in Table I. The global features are similar to the case of the $N = 82$ chain. The $R_0$ parameter, which represents the effective location of the surface of the nucleus, approximately follows a linear trend with $A^{1/3}$. The mass-number dependence of $\sigma^2$ again displays a nonuniform trend, originated by the filling of the different proton single-particle orbitals. We see that $\sigma^2$ decreases in filling the $1f_{7/2}$ shell from $^{20}_{8}Ca$ to $^{78}_{32}Ni$; it remains roughly constant when the $1f_{5/2}$ level is being filled up to $^{84}_{34}Se$; it increases when the $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ shells are occupied until $^{90}_{46}Zr$, and it then decreases until the proton-drip-line nucleus $^{100}_{50}Sn$ is reached by filling the $1g_{9/2}$ level. Therefore, the more abrupt (smaller $\sigma$) equivalent charge densities predicted by the G2 model in the $N = 50$ isotonic chain correspond to nuclei between the doubly magic proton-deficient $^{78}_{32}Ni$ nucleus and the more stable $^{84}_{34}Se$ nucleus, where mainly the $1f_{7/2}$ shell has been filled. In these nuclei, the occupancy of the $2p_{3/2}$, $2p_{1/2}$, and $1g_{9/2}$ levels due to the pairing correlations is rather small.

The square modulus of the DWBA electric charge form factor for an electron-beam energy of 500 MeV is displayed against $\sigma^2 q_{IP}^2$ in Fig. 12. As in the case of the $N = 82$ isotones, the behavior of $\sigma^2 q_{IP}^2$ is dominated by the Helm parameter $\sigma$. This is because the relative variation of $\sigma^2$ (see Fig. 11) is much larger than the relative variation of $q_{IP}^2$ along the isotonic chain. The change of $|F_{DWBA}(q_{IP})|^2$ along the $N = 50$ chain shows, globally, an increasing trend with the mass number. We can appreciate in Fig. 12 that, although the variation of $|F_{DWBA}(q_{IP})|^2$ is almost linear when a specific proton orbital is being occupied, drastic changes of slope take place when a new shell starts to be significantly occupied. Recalling the simplified PWBA picture [cf. Eqs. (10) and (11)], we find that in the region of $q$ values around $q_{IP}$ the contribution to the electric charge form factor from the 1$f$ and 1$g$ orbitals is negative, while the contribution from the 2$p$ orbitals is positive. This fact is consistent with the behavior shown by $|F_{DWBA}(q_{IP})|^2$ in Fig. 12. That is, $|F_{DWBA}(q_{IP})|^2$ increases in passing from $^{70}_{20}Ca$ to $^{84}_{34}Se$, basically due to the filling of the 1$f_{7/2}$ and 1$f_{5/2}$ shells, and then its value is practically...
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FIG. 12. (Color online) Square modulus of electric charge form factor in DWBA at first inflection point \( q_{\text{IP}} \) as a function of \( \sigma^2 q_{\text{IP}}^2 \) predicted by RMF model G2 for \( N = 50 \) isotones.

quenched up to \(^{90}\text{Zr} \) because the \( 2p_{1/2} \) and \( 2p_{3/2} \) orbitals contribute with opposite sign to the \( 1f \) orbitals. When the \( 1g_{9/2} \) level is appreciably occupied in approaching the proton drip line, the value of \( |F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2 \) increases again with a nearly constant rate. Finally, in Fig. 13 we see that \( |F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{IP}})|^2 \) of the \( N = 50 \) isotones shows a good linear correlation with the square of the Helm parameter \( R_0 \).

C. \( N = 14 \) isotonic chain

In this section we discuss the lightest isotonic chain analyzed in our work. Although the present findings are to be taken with some reservations because the mean-field approach is not best suited for light-mass exotic nuclei, we note that general trends similar to those observed in the heavier-mass isotonic chains also appear in the \( N = 14 \) chain.

In Fig. 14 we display the neutron [panel (a)] and proton [panel (b)] single-particle levels computed with the G2 interaction for the \( N = 14 \) isotonic chain, from the very proton-deficient nucleus \(^{16}\text{O} \) to the very proton-rich nucleus \(^{34}\text{Ca} \). As expected, the neutron single-particle levels become more bound with increasing mass number. In addition to the prominent energy gap at \( N = 8 \) seen in the whole chain, it may be noticed that the \( 1d_{5/2} \) neutron level becomes progressively more isolated when the mass number increases, which points to some magic character of the neutron number \( N = 14 \) in the calculation with the G2 model. This magic character is confirmed by the vanishing neutron pairing gap found in our calculation from \(^{24}\text{Mg} \) to \(^{32}\text{Ca} \). It may be observed that the G2 model also predicts a slightly magic character of the neutron number \( N = 16 \) towards the neutron drip line. Actually, we see in Fig. 14 that the relatively magic trend of \( N = 14 \) increases from the proton-poor side (\(^{16}\text{O} \)) to the proton-rich side (\(^{34}\text{Ca} \)) of the chain, while the somewhat magic trend of \( N = 16 \) decreases from \(^{24}\text{O} \) to \(^{32}\text{Ca} \).

The more relevant proton single-particle orbitals for our study of the \( N = 14 \) chain belong to the \( s-d \) major shell. The energy levels of this proton major shell [see Fig. 14(b)] lie approximately at the same energy for all the nuclei from \(^{32}\text{O} \) to \(^{34}\text{Ca} \), with roughly constant energy gaps. The \( 1d_{5/2} \) and \( 2s_{1/2} \) proton levels exhibit a considerable energy gap between them in this isotonic chain according to the predictions of the G2 model. It is to be mentioned that due to the pairing correlations,

FIG. 13. (Color online) Square modulus of electric charge form factor in DWBA at first inflection point \( q_{\text{IP}} \) as a function of \( R_0^2 \) predicted by RMF model G2 for \( N = 50 \) isotones.

FIG. 14. Energy of neutron (a) and proton (b) single-particle levels for \(^{8}\text{O} \), \(^{12}\text{Ne} \), \(^{24}\text{Mg} \), \(^{28}\text{Si} \), \(^{30}\text{S} \), \(^{32}\text{Ar} \), and \(^{34}\text{Ca} \) as computed with G2 parameter set.
the proton levels $1f_{7/2}$ and $1f_{5/2}$ (the latter is not displayed in Fig. 14) also play some role in our calculation of the mean-field charge densities. These levels simulate to a certain extent the effect of the continuum due to their quasibound character owing to the Coulomb and centrifugal barriers [65].

In Fig. 15 we display a magnified view of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)|^2$ against the momentum transfer for the $N = 14$ isotonic chain. We see that, in this chain of lower mass, the inflection point that was found after the first oscillation of the charge form factor in the heavier chains $N = 50$ and $N = 82$ becomes a clearly-well-defined local minimum. Thus, for the discussion of the $N = 14$ chain we focus on the properties of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q)|^2$ at its first minimum ($q_{\text{min}}$). The value of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{min}})|^2$ (shown by the circles in Fig. 15) increases when the value of the momentum transfer at the first minimum decreases [i.e., $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{min}})|^2$ grows with increasing mass number in the chain]. Although the increase of $|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\text{min}})|^2$ in Fig. 15 is roughly linear with $q_{\text{min}}$, one notes a kink at the point corresponding to the $^{32}\text{Si}$ nucleus. As we can realize from Fig. 16 in the schematic PWBA picture, this kink is originated by cancellation effects between the opposite contributions to the charge form factor around $q_{\text{min}}$ coming from the single-particle wave function of the $1d$ proton level (negative contribution) and of the $2s$ proton level (positive contribution).

Figure 17(a) shows that the parameter $R_0$ of the equivalent Helm charge densities displays, as in the heavier isotonic chains, an overall linear increasing trend with $A^{1/3}$. In turn, the variation of the Helm parameter $\sigma$ reflects the underlying shell structure of the mean-field charge densities. In Fig. 17(b), we see that the value of $\sigma^2$ remains almost constant between $^{22}\text{O}$ and $^{28}\text{Si}$ when mainly the $1d_{5/2}$ shell is being filled. From $^{16}\text{O}$ on, the $2s_{1/2}$ and $1d_{5/2}$ levels start to be appreciably occupied and $\sigma^2$ starts increasing almost linearly with $A$ until the proton-drip-line nucleus $^{30}\text{Ca}$. The numerical value of both Helm model parameters for the $N = 14$ isotonic chain can be found in Table I.

The influence of the discussed proton shell structure on the electric charge form factor at the first minimum for the $N = 14$ isotones is obvious in Fig. 18, which displays...
practically independent of the electron-beam energy in the section. The thus-defined electric charge form factor is cross section to the DWBA point nucleus differential cross section to be obtained by taking the ratio of the DWBA differential cross section at first minimum (\(q_{\min}\)) shift inwards (i.e., they become smaller) and that of the surface of the charge density, increases with mass number following roughly an \(A^{1/3}\) law. The Helm parameter \(\sigma\), which is related to the surface thickness of the charge distribution, encodes information from the underlying proton shell structure and, as a consequence, it does not show a definite trend with mass number. We also found that at low-momentum transfers the square modulus of the DWBA electric charge form factor is accurately reproduced if the mean-field densities are replaced by the fitted Helm densities.

In a previous work [31], we noted that correlations between the DWBA electric charge form factor at the first inflection point (or minimum) and the parameters of the Helm densities can provide information about general features of electron scattering along isotopic chains. In the isotonic chains analyzed in the present work, we find that \(|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\min})|^2\) shows a rather good linear correlation with the Helm parameter \(R_0\), specially in the heavier isotonic chains, while there is no regular behavior with the Helm parameter \(\sigma\) because of its dependence on the last occupied proton orbitals. It should be pointed out that shell effects encoded in the DWBA cross section at first minimum of the electric charge form factor are magnified if \(|F_{\text{DWBA}}(q_{\min})|^2\) is plotted against \(\sigma^2 q_{\min}^2\) along an isotonic chain, providing interesting insights into the proton shell structure of the nuclei of the chain.

In summary, the theoretical results obtained indicate that electron scattering in isotonic chains can be a useful tool to probe the single-particle shell structure of exotic nuclei and, in particular, to provide some insight into the filling order and occupancy of the different valence proton orbitals. Experimentally, the investigation is more difficult because of the limitations arising from small production rates, short half-lives, and small cross sections when one deals with unstable nuclei [13,16–23].
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