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Nanoparticles in SiH₄-Ar plasma: Modelling and comparison with experimental data

B. F. Gordiets,1,a) M. J. Inestrosa-Izurieta,2 A. Navarro,3 and E. Bertran2

1Lebedev Physical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninsky Prospect 53, 119991 Moscow, Russia
2FEMAN Group, IN2UB, Departament de Física Aplicada i Optica, Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franques 1, E08028 Barcelona, Spain
3Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Terrassa, Spain

(Received 28 July 2011; accepted 1 October 2011; published online 17 November 2011)

Experimental and theoretical investigations for growth of silicon nanoparticles (4 to 14 nm) in radio frequency discharge were carried out. Growth processes were performed with gas mixtures of SiH₄ and Ar in a plasma chemical reactor at low pressure. A distinctive feature of presented kinetic model of generation and growth of nanoparticles (compared to our earlier model) is its ability to investigate small “critical” dimensions of clusters, determining the rate of particle production and taking into account the influence of SiH₃ and Si₂H₆ dimer radicals. The experiments in the present study were extended to high pressure (≥20 Pa) and discharge power (≥40 W). Model calculations were compared to experimental measurements, investigating the dimension of silicon nanoparticles as a function of time, discharge power, gas mixture, total pressure, and gas flow. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3658249]

I. INTRODUCTION

Nanoparticles, which are generated in the gas phase of plasma chemical reactors and deposited onto thin films, affect different characteristics of these films (mechanical, optical, and electrical). A current problem of nanotechnology is the generation of nanoparticles with desirable composition and dimension. To this end, one such task is the investigation of Si nanoparticles, which are often studied using plasma chemical reactors at low pressure (10 to 100 Pa), and radio frequency (RF) discharge in pure silane or gas mixtures such as Ar-SiH₄ and He-SiH₄ (see, for example, the book ¹). Detailed experimental investigations of Si particle growth have been performed,²⁻¹⁸ while theoretical studies of Si nanoparticle growth have also been carried out, developing both complex¹⁹⁻²² and relatively simple²³⁻²⁸ models. However, direct comparisons of experimental and theoretical results have not been shown in most cases. Important characteristics of nanoparticle kinetics (critical “dimension” n* and rate for particle formation Jₚ,) have been introduced and investigated.²⁶⁻²⁸ In addition, a quantitative comparison and interpretation of experiments²⁻⁵ investigating the generation and growth of Si nanoparticles in SiH₄-Ar plasma has been achieved. However, these experiments²⁻⁵ were conducted only at one low discharge power (10 W), one low gas pressure (15.6 Pa), and one gas flow rate (30 sccm). Indeed, our previously reported model described these conditions (low pressure and power).²⁶⁻²⁸

Our aim here is to extend our earlier kinetic model to describe the formation and growth of Si nanoparticles in SiH₄-Ar plasma at relatively high pressures (≥20 Pa) and discharge powers (≥40 W). For that, detailed measurements of Si nanoparticle dimensions were carried out at Barcelona University in a low pressure chemical vapour deposition reactor. Thus, particle diameter was determined as a function of process parameters like time (during particle growth), discharge power, gas pressure, gas flow rate, and relative inlet concentration of SiH₄.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The schematic view of our experimental set is given in Fig. 1. The RF capacitive discharge (frequency 13.56 MHz) was carried out in a rectangular box with dimensions 19 × 19 × 4 cm³. One of the lateral sides (with dimension 19 × 4 cm²) was open (for gas outlet) and the opposite side had a narrow slit (with dimension 19 × 0.1 cm³) 1 cm high (for gas inlet). Other sides with dimensions 19 × 4 cm² were closed. The distance between electrodes was 4 cm and the cathode was at the roof of the discharge box. All the surfaces were connected to the anode, and the cathode was placed on top of the box with dimension 19 × 19 cm².

The SiH₄-Ar gas mixture with controlled fixed gas flow rate entered the discharge box through the gas inlet narrow slit. The discharge box was placed into a large chamber, where the pressure and composition of the investigated SiH₄-Ar gas mixture was controlled. The discharges were performed as a series of pulses with constant duration in the range of 0.01 to 1.0 s and with a “discharge-off” period higher than the discharge resident time (which was dependent on gas flow rate and pressure, 0.05 to 0.8 s). During each “discharge-on” pulse, silicon nanoparticles were generated, then grown and then became negatively charged. Practically all particles remained electrically retained by the plasma sheath in the discharge region due to their negative charge. During the period of discharge-off, the electric sheath disappeared. However, independently of the duration of discharge-on pulse, both neutral and negatively charged particles escaped from the discharge area, carried by the gas flow during the time of residence. Outside the region of the
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discharge, the particles quickly lost their negative charge and were deposited onto the out-of-the-box platform due to diffusion. These deposited particles had an average dimension and a distribution in sizes that depended on the following discharge parameters: duration of discharge-on, discharge power, gas pressure, gas flow rate, and inlet gas composition.

III. KINETIC MODEL FOR THE FORMATION AND GROWTH OF SI NANOPARTICLES AT RELATIVELY HIGH GAS PRESSURE AND DISCHARGE POWER

To describe the formation and growth of Si nanoparticles at high pressure and power, we implemented some changes to the previous model.26–28 The main input parameters considered here were the discharge geometry, inlet gas composition (that is the relative inlet concentration $X_{SiH_4}$ of $SiH_4$ molecules in the $SiH_4$-Ar mixture), gas pressure $p$ (Pa), electrical power $P$ (W) absorbed at the discharge zone, and gas flow rate $Q$ (scm) in the discharge zone. The main output features provided by the model were: the concentration of nanoparticles $N_p$ ($cm^{-3}$) and their average diameter $D_p$ (nm) or “dimension” $\langle n \rangle$ (average number of monomers in the particles). Other important characteristics were the concentration of the main monomers (radicals $SiH_3$ and $SiH_2$), electron density $N_e$ ($cm^{-3}$) and electron temperature $T_e$ (eV), positive ion density $N^+$ ($cm^{-3}$), gas and ion temperatures $T_g$ and $T_i$ (K), relative fractions of neutral and charged particles, and average particle charge $Z$. The model is, in fact, zero-dimensional and discharge geometry only determines discharge volume and surface as well as resident time and probabilities of diffusion losses for different species.

The formation of clusters and nanoparticles in molecular plasmas can be described as the result of dissociation of $SiH_4$ and related molecules. In our case, plasma electrons and metastable $Ar^*$ atoms are the main contributors to this dissociation. Hence, different radical-monomers and small-size clusters, mainly neutral and negatively charged, can be formed. Nanoparticles are created by the attachment of monomers to the clusters. Due to the attachment processes, nanoparticles continue to grow in size and their concentrations may attain a certain maximal value. To describe such processes, we will start from the reported basic equation26–28 that will be modified according to the conditions of the present study.

Here, for simplicity, we will only consider $z$-regime of RF discharges, when electrons with high energy ($\geq 15$ eV) have low concentration and do not influence positively charged ion concentrations and nanoparticle charge. In this case (for zero-dimensional model), the obtained equation from our previous studies,26,27 for the concentration of nanoparticles with dimension $\langle n \rangle$ in the discharge zone, can be simplified to

$$\frac{dN_p}{dt} \approx J_{ns} - W_{n}^{tot} \times f_0 \times N_p - \frac{1}{\tau_{res}} \times N_p - (N_p)^2 \times f_0 \times \left[ k_{n}^{00} \times f_0 + k_{n}^{0-} \times f_- \right]. \quad (1)$$

The first term $J_{ns} (cm^{-3} s^{-1})$ on the right-hand side of this equation is the rate of nanoparticle production. The second and third terms describe the losses of particles due to diffusion and escape processes by the gas flow from the discharge region. These processes are described by probabilities $f_0 \times W_{n}^{tot}$ and $1/\tau_{res}$, respectively ($\tau_{res}$ is the residence time for gas and particles in the discharge zone). The last term describes coagulation due to collisions of neutral particles with neutral and negatively charged particles with the rate coefficients $k_{n}^{00}$ and $k_{n}^{0-}$, respectively. This term is obtained with the assumption that particle dimension distribution is a $\delta$-function. Parameters $f_0$ and $f_-$ are the relative fractions of neutral and negatively charged particles, respectively, with $f_- \approx 1 - f_0$. It is important to note that all the terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) refer to processes performed in the discharge region. However, for the used geometry of our discharge (see Fig. 1), all neutral and negatively charged particles will escape from the discharge zone dragged by the gas flow, at $t > \tau_{res}$, outside of the discharge region. Due to the fast recombiniation of positive ions with electrons and negatively charged particles, the negatively charged particle concentration falls instantly so that most of the particles become neutral. Therefore, in the framework of our simple zero-dimensional kinetic model, in Eq. (1) at $t > \tau_{res}$, it is necessary to consider $f_0 = 1$ and neglect the term $1/\tau_{res}$.

The equation for the average particle dimension, $\langle n \rangle$, was also obtained in our previous studies26,27 as

$$\frac{d\langle n \rangle}{dt} \approx P_{n}^{tot} \times \langle n \rangle \times N_p \times f_0 \times \left[ k_{n}^{00} \times f_0 + k_{n}^{0-} \times f_- \right]. \quad (2)$$

Here, the second term on the right-hand side describes the increase of $\langle n \rangle$ due to coagulation (with value $f_0 = 1$ for $t > \tau_{res}$), and the first term describes the growth of $\langle n \rangle$ due to the sticking of monomers to particles with total probability $P_{n}^{tot}$ (in s$^{-1}$). Here,

$$P_{n}^{tot} = f_0 \times P_{n}^{+} \times f_- \times P_{n}^{-} \times f_0 \times P_{n}^{RA}, \quad P_{n}^{+} = N_1 \times k_{n}^{RR}[n], \quad P_{n}^{-} = N_1 \times k_{n}^{RA}[n], \quad (3a)$$

$$P_{n}^{RA} = N_1 \times k_{n}^{AR}[n] \times [M] \times k_{n}^{AM}[n],$$

where $N_1$ is the number of metastable $Ar^*$ atoms, $k_{n}^{RR}$ is the rate of recombination of radicals $SiH_3$ and $SiH_2$, $k_{n}^{RA}$ is the rate of attachment of neutral radicals to metastable $Ar^*$, $k_{n}^{AR}$ is the rate of attachment of metastable $Ar^*$ to neutral radicals, $k_{n}^{AM}$ is the rate of attachment of metastable $Ar^*$ to neutral monomers, $[M]$ is the concentration of neutral monomers, and $k_{n}^{AM}$ is the rate of attachment of metastable $Ar^*$ to neutral monomers.
where \( N_1 \) and \( N_1^- \) are the monomer concentrations of main monomers (neutral and negatively charged, respectively), and \([M]\) is the concentration of SiH\(_4\)-related molecules, \( k_{RR} \), \( k_{RA} \), \( k_{AR} \), and \( k_{AM} \) are the rate coefficients given in Ref. 26. Note that usually \([\text{SiH}_3]\) \(\approx\) \(N_1\) \(\gg\) \(N_1^-\) \(\equiv\) \([\text{SiH}_3^-]\) and \(k_{AM}\) \(\ll\) \(k_{RR}\), \(k_{AR}\). Therefore, collisions of particles with \(\text{SiH}_4\) and negatively charged monomers practically have no influence on particle growth and, with good accuracy, we can use the formula

\[
P_{n}^{\text{tot}} \approx (f_0 \times k_{n}^{RR} + f_- \times k_{n}^{AR}) \times N_1. \tag{3b}
\]

The critical dimension \(n^*\) of cluster radicals \(\text{SiH}_3\) has an important role in particle production. For clusters with \(n > n^*\), the association process (sticking of monomer to cluster) predominates over its diffusion losses and escapes by gas flow drag. We identify such clusters as nanoparticles. The equation obtained in Refs. 26 and 27 for \(n^*\) was

\[
P_{n^*}^{\text{tot}} = n^* \times W_{n^*}. \tag{4}
\]

The critical dimension \(n^*\) determines the rate of particle production \(J_{n^*}\). To calculate it, we need to know the concentration of clusters with critical dimension \(n^*\). For that, we have to calculate the concentrations of all clusters with dimension \(n < n^*\). The equation for concentration of neutral and negatively charged clusters can be expressed as

\[
\frac{dN_{n}^{\text{tot}}}{dt} = (P_{n-1}^{\text{tot}} \times N_{n-1}^{\text{tot}} - P_{n}^{\text{tot}} \times N_{n}^{\text{tot}}) - W_{n}^{\text{tot}} \times N_{n}^{\text{tot}}, \tag{5}
\]

where

\[
P_{n}^{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{1 + x_{n}} \left[P_{n} + P_{n}^{RA} + x_{n} \times P_{n}^{-}\right];
\]

\[
W_{n}^{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{1 + x_{n}} \left[W_{n} + x_{n} \times W_{n}^{-}\right]; \quad x_{n} = \frac{N_{n}^-}{N_{n}}. \tag{6}
\]

The analytical solution of Eq. (5) for \(2 \leq n \leq n^*\) and considering quasi stationary conditions, i.e., when \(dN_{n}^{\text{tot}}/dt \approx 0\), is

\[
N_{n}^{\text{tot}} = N_{n} + N_{n}^- \approx N_{1} \times \frac{P_{n}^{\text{tot}}}{1 + \frac{W_{n}^{\text{tot}}}{W_{1}^{\text{tot}}}}. \tag{7}
\]

From here, the concentration \(N_{n}^{\text{tot}}\) and rate \(J_{n}\) of particle generation can be easily calculated. The approximate analytical expression for \(J_{n^*} = P_{n^*}^{\text{tot}} \times N_{n^*}^{\text{tot}}\) obtained from Ref. 28 appears as

\[
J_{n} \approx P_{n^*}^{\text{tot}} \times N_{1} \times \frac{P_{n^*}^{\text{tot}} \times P_{n^*}^{\text{tot}}}{W_{1}^{\text{tot}}} \times \exp\left\{-3x_{1}^{3} \times \Phi(x_{1}, x^{*})\right\} \tag{8a}
\]

where

\[
\Phi(x_{1}, x^{*}) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{x_{1}} + \frac{1}{3x_{1}^{3}} x_{1}^{x_{1}^{*}} + \frac{x_{1}^{x_{1}^{*}}}{3(x_{1}^{*})^{3}} - \frac{x_{1}}{3(x_{1}^{*})^{3}}; \tag{9a}
\]

\[
x_{1} = 2 \times \left(\frac{W_{1}^{\text{tot}}}{k_{1}^{RR} \times [\text{SiH}_{3}]}\right)^{1/4}; \tag{9a}
\]

\[
x^{*} = \sqrt{1 + 4 \times x_{1}^{*} \times x_{1}^{3} - 1}; \tag{9a}
\]

\[
z_{1} \approx \frac{P_{1}^{\text{tot}}}{k_{1}^{RR} \times N_{1}^{\text{tot}}}; \tag{9a}
\]

\[
\Phi(x_{1}, x^{*}) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{x_{1}} + \frac{1}{3x_{1}^{3}} x_{1}^{x_{1}^{*}} + \frac{x_{1}^{x_{1}^{*}}}{3(x_{1}^{*})^{3}} - \frac{x_{1}}{3(x_{1}^{*})^{3}} - \frac{1}{3x_{1}^{3}}; \tag{9a}
\]

\[
\Phi(x_{1}, x^{*}) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{x_{1}} + \frac{1}{3x_{1}^{3}} x_{1}^{x_{1}^{*}} + \frac{x_{1}^{x_{1}^{*}}}{3(x_{1}^{*})^{3}} - \frac{x_{1}}{3(x_{1}^{*})^{3}} - \frac{1}{3x_{1}^{3}}; \tag{9a}
\]

Here, the points \(x_{1}\) and \(n_{i} (n_{i} - 1)^{3}\) correspond to the value \(W_{1}^{\text{tot}} / P_{1}^{\text{tot}} = 1\) and what is more, \(n_{i} < n_{*}\). Values \(k_{1}^{RA}\) and \(k_{1}^{AR}\) (in \(\text{cm}^{3}/\text{s}\), see Ref. 26) are rate coefficients for electron attachment to \(\text{SiH}_{3}\) and electron-ion dissociative recombination. If the inequality \(W_{1}^{\text{tot}} \geq 2.2 \times k_{1}^{RR} \times [\text{SiH}_{3}]\) is applicable, we have \(n_{i} \gg n_{*} \geq 3\). It should be noted that the value \([\text{SiH}_{3}]\) increases with discharge power (at relatively small power, when losses of \(\text{SiH}_{3}\) into nanoparticles are not important). The \(W_{1}^{\text{tot}}\) value decreases when gas pressure increases. Therefore, the inequality \(W_{1}^{\text{tot}} \geq 2.2 \times k_{1}^{RR} \times [\text{SiH}_{3}]\) is usually performed at low discharge power \(P\), gas pressure \(p\), and relative concentration \(X_{1}^{0}\). This has been carried out previously as experiments 2–5 and theoretical analyses. 26–28

The opposite case,

\[
W_{1}^{\text{tot}} < 2.2 \times k_{1}^{RR} \times [\text{SiH}_{3}], \tag{10}
\]

is often placed at the beginning of the discharge, and at relatively high values of \(P\), \(p\), and \(X_{1}^{0}\). Experiments in the present work have mainly been conducted in such conditions, therefore in agreement with the inequality (10). However, this case requires a modification of our kinetic model.

First of all, using Eq. (10), the formulas (8a) and (9a) can be simplified

\[
J_{n_{i}} \approx P_{n_{i}}^{\text{tot}} \times [\text{SiH}_{3}] \times \exp\left\{-3x_{1}^{3} \times \Phi(x_{1})\right\}; \tag{8b}
\]

\[
\Phi(x_{1}, x_{i}) \approx \Phi(x_{1}) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{x_{1}} + \frac{1}{3x_{1}^{3}} x_{1}^{x_{1}^{i}} + \frac{x_{1}^{x_{1}^{i}}}{3(x_{1}^{i})^{3}} - \frac{1}{3x_{1}^{3}}; \tag{9b}
\]

\[\Phi(x_{1}) = 0 \text{ at } x_{1} \leq 1. \tag{9b}\]

Note that the value \(J_{n_{i}}\) in Eq. (8b) at \(x_{1} \leq 1\) is the rate of formation of clusters with critical dimension \(n_{*} = 2\), i.e., dimer-radicals. The function \(\exp\left\{-3x_{1}^{3} \times \Phi(x_{1})\right\}\) < 1 at \(x_{1} > 1\) takes into account the decrease in \(J_{n}\) due to the increase in \(n_{*}\) to values greater than 2. It can be seen that the rate (8b) for particle generation is not dependent on \(x_{1}\), i.e., on negatively charged components (negative clusters). This can be understood, if we consider that the concentration of negative cluster-dimers is much lower than that of neutral ones. This case of high gas pressure and high discharge power is different from that already reported using low pressure and low power. 2–5, 26–28

The influence of negative groups on particle formation rises with the growth of critical dimension \(n_{*}\) and can become important.6,26–29
published cases,2–5,26–28 where \( n^* > 100 \), the influence of temperature on \( J_{ns} \) was very strong. In the present case and considering Eq. (10), where \( n^* = 2 \), the influence of gas temperature on rate \( J_{ns} \) is weaker. Note that the rate \( J_{ns} \) of particle generation will decrease some time after discharge switching-on due to the decrease in [SiH\(_3\)] and increase in cleavage generation will decrease some time after discharge.

\[ P_{tot} / C_2 \]

\[ J_{n} / C_2 \]

\[ k_{R1} \approx 3.77 \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \] (R1)

However, the reaction (R1) is not the only one. If go out “one step approximation”26–28 and look at the chemistry of the discharge in more detail, we can see that there are additional channels for producing dimers-radicals (see below processes (R5), (R11), (R13), and (R16)).

The other change in the model26–28 is related to the rate \( p_{R1} \times N_1 \) in Eq. (8b). In fact, this must be the maximal rate of production of cluster-dimers. Detailed chemical analyses10,21,30 have shown that SiH\(_3\)–SiH\(_3\) collisions do not lead to the formation of Si\(_2\)H\(_6\) dimer-radicals. These dimers can be obtained due to the SiH\(_2\) radical. Thus, in the context of our “one-step model” of cluster formation,26–28 the first step to the formation of Si\(_2\)H\(_6\) is exclusively controlled by SiH\(_2\). This determines the significant role of the SiH\(_2\) radical in the chain of events leading to cluster production (i.e., the formation of dimers-radicals). Detailed chemical analyses10,21,30 have shown that SiH\(_3\)–SiH\(_3\) collisions do not lead to the formation of Si\(_2\)H\(_6\) dimer-radicals. These dimers can be obtained due to the SiH\(_2\) radical. Thus, in the context of our “one-step model” of cluster formation,26–28 the first step to the formation of Si\(_2\)H\(_6\) is exclusively controlled by SiH\(_2\). This determines the significant role of the SiH\(_2\) radical in the chain of events leading to cluster production (i.e., the formation of dimers-radicals).

Si\(_4\) + e \( \rightarrow \) SiH\(_3\) + H + e \( k_{R2} \approx 0.9 \times \sqrt{8 \times T_e / \pi \times m_e} \times 3.3 \times 10^{-16} \times \exp\{-8/T_e\} \), (R2)

Si\(_4\) + e \( \rightarrow \) SiH\(_2\) + 2H + e \( k_{R3} \approx 0.1 \times \sqrt{8 \times T_e / \pi \times m_e} \times 3.3 \times 10^{-16} \times \exp\{-8/T_e\} \), (R3)

Si\(_2\)H\(_6\) + e \( \rightarrow \) SiH\(_4\) + SiH\(_2\) + e \( k_{R4} \approx 0.7 \times \sqrt{8 \times T_e / \pi \times m_e} \times 10^{-15} \times \exp\{-10.2/T_e\} \), (R4)

Si\(_2\)H\(_6\) + e \( \rightarrow \) Si\(_3\)H\(_2\) + H + e \( k_{R5} \approx 0.3 \times \sqrt{8 \times T_e / \pi \times m_e} \times 10^{-15} \times \exp\{-10.2/T_e\} \), (R5)

H\(_2\) + e \( \rightarrow \) H + H + e \( k_{R6} \approx \sqrt{8 \times T_e / \pi \times m_e} \times 10^{-16} \times \exp\{-14.7/T_e\} \), (R6)

Ar + e \( \rightarrow \) Ar\(^*\) + e \( k_{R7} \approx \sqrt{8 \times T_e / \pi \times m_e} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-16} \times \exp\{-11.55/T_e\} \), (R7)

Si\(_4\) + SiH\(_2\) \( \rightarrow \) Si\(_2\)H\(_6\) \( k_{R8} \approx 1 \times 10^{-10} \), (R8)

Si\(_4\) + H \( \rightarrow \) SiH\(_3\) + H\(_2\) \( k_{R9} \approx 2.7 \times 10^{-12} \), (R9)

Si\(_3\)H\(_3\) + H \( \rightarrow \) SiH\(_2\) + H\(_2\) \( k_{R10} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-11} \times \exp\{-2500/T_e\} \), (R10)

Si\(_2\)H\(_6\) + SiH\(_3\) \( \rightarrow \) Si\(_4\) + Si\(_3\)H\(_5\) \( k_{R11} \approx 1 \times 10^{-12} \), (R11)

Si\(_2\)H\(_6\) + H \( \rightarrow \) Si\(_2\)H\(_5\) + SiH\(_3\) \( k_{R12} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-12} \), (R12)

Si\(_2\)H\(_6\) + H \( \rightarrow \) Si\(_2\)H\(_5\) + H\(_2\) \( k_{R13} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-12} \), (R13)
All rate coefficients in Eqs. (R2)–(R17) are given in cm$^{-1}$. In Eq. (R10), $T_e$ is gas temperature in K and $\sqrt{8 × T_e/\pi × m_e}$ is the average velocity of plasma electrons ($m_e$ is electron mass).

It can be seen from this list of processes that, in addition to Eq. (R1), other sources of radical-dimers in our model are the processes (R5), (R11), (R13), and (R16). Hence, the rate $P_{\text{tot}}^{\text{res}}$ in formula (8b) must be replaced by a summation of the rates of processes (R1), (R5), (R11), (R13), and (R16). Note that these processes (with the exception of Eq. (R1)) are carried out with disilane (Si$_2$H$_6$) molecules, which, in turn, are produced in reaction (R8) with SiH$_2$.

In addition to Eqs. (R14) to (R17), the loss of metastable Ar* can also be due to collisions with nanoparticles and diffusion at the wall. Losses by collisions with particles can be described by the probability (in s$^{-1}$) $N_p × π × (R_p + R_{Ar})^2 × \langle v_{p-Ar} \rangle$, where $R_p$ and $R_{Ar}$ are the radius of the particle and that of the Ar atom, respectively, and $\langle v_{p-Ar} \rangle$ is the average velocity of the colliding particles and Ar atoms.

For a correct calculation of [SiH$_2$], [SiH$_3$] and the rate of particle generation, $J_{Ar*}$, we need to know the values of branching ratios of SiH$_4$ dissociation by electrons and Ar$^*$. In the case of dissociation by electrons, different values of branching ratios can be found in the literature. For SiH$_4$ production, a minimal value 0.1 was used (see first factor in Eq. (R3)), which provides better agreement with the measured low SiH$_2$ concentrations in silane-Ar discharges. For the case of dissociation by Ar*, the measured values of branching ratios are not known and, for SiH$_2$, we also used a low value ($\approx 0.1$) (see Eq. (R15)).

In addition to the losses of particles and SiH$_3$ at the surface (with transport by diffusion from the volume to the surface), similar losses and those due to leakage of gas flow were also taken into account for all other neutral species. The formula for probabilities of losses at the surface by diffusion transport is given in Ref. 27. The probability of losses through leakage (in s$^{-1}$) is equal to $1/τ_{res}$, where $τ_{res}$ is the resident time for the neutral species in the discharge. Dimensionless values of the probability of loss in a collision of SiH$_3$, H, SiH$_2$, and Ar* with the wall were taken as 0.16, 0.16, 1, and 1, respectively. In the case of collision with particles, the dimensionless values were 0.6, 0.6, 1, and 1, respectively. These probabilities were zero for H$_2$ and SiH$_2$.

To determine the average particle dimension $\langle n \rangle$, particle concentration $N_p$ and concentration of the species SiH$_4$, SiH$_3$, SiH$_2$, SiH$_3$, H, and Ar*, eight balance equations, time-dependent and coupled (including Eqs. (1) and (2)), were solved. The concentration of H$_2$ molecules in the discharge was determined from the condition of constant gas pressure, i.e., equality $[\text{H}_2] = [\text{SiH}_4]^{1/3}[\text{SiH}_3][\text{SiH}_2][\text{SiH}_3][\text{H}]$, where $[\text{SiH}_4]^{1/3}$ is the silane concentration at the inlet (initial).

The condition of neutrality of the plasma

$$N_e + Z_p × N_p = N^+ \quad (11)$$

as well as the simplified stationary balance equation for $N^+$ and the absorption of electric power $P$ (see Ref. 27) were used to calculate the electron concentration $N_e$ and temperature $T_e$, and the concentration of positive ions $N^+$. In Eq. (11), the $Z_p$ value is the average negative charge of particles (expressed in units of electron charge, $e$). This average charge and fraction $f_0$ of neutral particles were found from the charge distribution function (CDF) for particles with diameter $D_p$. CDF was calculated according to Refs. 36 and 37, taking into account the quantum nature of charges. For rough estimations, the following analytical formulas can be used for $Z_p$ and $f_0$.

$$Z_p ≈ 0.35 × D_p × T_e × \ln \left( \frac{29.8 × δ × N_e × D_p}{Z_p T_e} \right),$$

$$f_0 ≈ \left( \frac{1.44}{π × D_p × T_e} \right)^{1/2} × \exp \left( -1.44 × \frac{1}{D_p × T_e} × Z_p^2 \right) \quad (12).$$

Here, $δ$ is the sticking coefficient for electron attachment to particle with diameter $D_p$ (nm), $M_+$ is the mass of positive ions (in atomic units), while electron and ion temperatures, $T_e$ and $T_+$, are given in eV. We assumed that $T_+ ≈ T_e$, where the average gas temperature $T_e$ was calculated from the stationary equation of thermal conductivity and the fact that approximately 20% of the total power, absorbed by the discharge, was used to heat the gas.

Finally, in the simplest approach, the linear diameter of the particles $D_p$ is related to the “dimension” $\langle n \rangle$ by the expression:

$$D_p = D_1 × \langle n \rangle^{1/3}, \quad (13)$$

where $D_1$ is the linear diameter of a monomer. This relation means that the particle mass density is independent on the particle diameter. However, in our case, the particles have a dense core and porous shell. Hence, in the present model, we took into account this effect and $D_p$ was calculated as a function of $\langle n \rangle$.

In conclusion, we should note that the kinetic model presented here was suitable for the study of the conditions in which the relative initial SiH$_4$ concentration $X_{\text{SiH}_4}^{100}$ could reach as high as 100% (in contrast to our previous model, $X_{\text{SiH}_4}^{100} ≤ 10\%$). Such a conclusion is the consequence of Eqs. (3), (4), (8b), (9b), and (10). When the
discharge switches on at high discharge power, the SiH₄ concentration is reduced due to high dissociation. Therefore, [SiH₃] can reach the same order of magnitude as [SiH₄]. In such conditions, the second part of the formula for $P/C_0^n$ (see (3a)) is not important at any $X_{SiH_4}^0$ value and, therefore, formulas (3b), (4), (8b), (9b), and (10) are independent of $X_{SiH_4}^0$.

IV. MEASUREMENTS AND MODEL CALCULATIONS: COMPARISON AND DISCUSSION

Experiments were performed in an RF capacitive discharge with frequency 13.56 MHz as described in Sec. II. The used discharge parameters were: discharge power $P$, gas pressure $p$, gas flow rate $Q$, and relative inlet concentration $X_{SiH_4}^0$. The studied ranges were: $P = 40$ to 200 W, $p = 20$ to 80 Pa, $Q = 40$ to 220 sccm, and $X_{SiH_4}^0 = 0.05$ to 0.14. Electrodes worked at room temperature $T_w \approx 293$ K and absorbed power was higher than 90% from $P$. After finishing a series of discharge pulses, the nanoparticles were collected from substrates located outside the plasma box. The nanoparticles were then examined by transmission electronic microscopy (TEM), providing the size of the particles and their size distribution.

Some experimental results are shown in Figs. 2 to 8. A typical particle dimension distribution (PDD) is presented in Fig. 2. Fig. 2 shows that particles typically have a lognormal-shaped PDD with relatively small dispersion. The kinetic model described in this work does not provide the PDD. Therefore, we only considered the average particle diameters $D_p$ in order to compare.

Dependencies on gas flow rate $Q$ are given in Fig. 3. We observed that acceptable agreement between experimental measurements and model calculations were more marked at higher values of gas flow rate.

![FIG. 2. (Color online) Particle dimension distribution for a discharge of 40 Pa, 200 W, 158 sccm, 5% SiH₄, and pulses of 200 ms.](image1)

![FIG. 3. (Color online) Dependencies of particle diameter on total gas flow rate at time = 200 ms, $p = 40$ Pa, $P = 200$ W, and $X_{SiH_4}^0 = 0.051$ (■ and full line—experiment; ● and dashed line – model calculation).](image2)

![FIG. 4. (Color online) Dependencies of particle diameter on gas pressure at time = 200 ms, $P = 200$ W, $Q = 158$ sccm, and $X_{SiH_4} = 0.0759$ (■ and full line—experiment; ● and dashed line – model calculation).](image3)

![FIG. 5. (Color online) Dependencies on $X_{SiH_4}^0$ of $D_p$ at time = 50 ms, $p = 40$ Pa, $Q = 158$ sccm, and $P = 200$ W (■ and full line—experiment; ● and dashed line — model calculation).](image4)
Dependencies on gas pressure are presented in Fig. 4 and also show agreement between experimental data and theory at $p \geq 40$ Pa. The growth of $D_p$ with an increase in gas pressure can be explained by an increase in particle concentration $N_p$. In fact, the increase in $N_p$ facilitates particle coagulation and, consequently, growth of particle dimension. Discrepancy between measurement and calculation at low pressure $p = 20$ Pa could be because parameter $x_1 > 1$ and condition (10) are not satisfied at the beginning of the “discharge-on.” At low pressure, $\Phi(x_1) > 0$ and $J_n$ becomes small (see Eqs. (8b) and (9b)) and as a result, $N_p$ becomes relatively low and particle diameter due to coagulation also decreases.

The dependencies of particle diameter $D_p$ as a function of inlet relative concentration $X_{SiH_4}$ are given in Fig. 5. Experimental data and model calculations show good agreement.

Measurements and calculations of time dependencies of particle diameter $D_p$ with all the other fixed parameters are presented in Fig. 6. The theory confirmed the non-linear behavior of experimental time dependency. Growth is mainly managed by the contribution of coagulation because particle concentration is big. For $t > t_{res} = 0.12 s$, the coagulation is the only growth process. Note that here, the time dependency of particle diameter was smoother than that of $P^{0.4}$ for pure coagulation of neutral particles. This is through additional decreasing of particle concentration due to diffusion losses.

Dependencies on discharge power of particle diameter are shown in Fig. 7.

Fig. 7 shows a monotonous decrease in particle diameter with increasing power $P$. The physical reason for this was interpreted on the basis of the decrease in particle concentration. This decrease is due to the increase in gas temperature and, consequently, a decrease of time when the particle production rate $J_n$ remains high (see Eqs. (8b) and (9b)).
One of the advantages of this theoretical analysis is the possibility of estimating the concentration of the main species present in the plasma. We have presented the calculated concentrations of [SiH₃], [SiH₄], N⁺, and Nₑ in Fig. 8, though experimental measurements were not carried out in the present study.

We also compared particle concentrations Nₚ, calculated from our model for the conditions described for the experiment reported in Ref. 12, with the experimental values obtained in this work for RF discharge in pure silane. For this, we made a small change in Eq. (1), taking into account the geometry of the discharge in Ref. 12. We assumed that 30% of the discharge power was transformed into gas heat. This comparison is presented in Fig. 9. Acceptable agreement between our theory and the measurements appears for time ≥ 0.01 s. Discrepancies for shorter times could be due to low experimental accuracy (more than one order of magnitude of values) and, above all, that condition (10) was not satisfied at the beginning of the discharge-on.

V. CONCLUSION

Experimental and theoretical investigations of nanoparticle growth in SiH₄-Ar RF discharge were carried out at gas pressure between 20 and 80 Pa, discharge power 40 to 250 W, gas flow rate 40 to 220 sccm, and relative inlet SiH₄ concentration 0.05 to 0.12. The growth of particle diameter was not linear with time. The reason for this non-linear dependence is mainly due to particle coagulation. The distinctive feature of the presented kinetic model is that it contains (just as our previously published models) some important parameters, such as critical dimension n* and rate of particle generation Jₚ. This permits the calculation of particle concentration and, therefore, allows us to interpret quantitatively the particle growth. For this, we used the analogy of the classical kinetic theory of condensation of super-saturated vapors. The studied model for relatively high gas pressure and discharge power (when x₁ ≤ 1, see Eq. (10)) corresponds to the case of strongly super-saturated vapour of SiH₃ at the initial period after the discharge-on, when the critical dimension is minimal (n* = 2). Such a model can be useful for describing the kinetics of generation and growth of other kinds of nanoparticles and nanostructures (e.g., nanowires or nanoparticles with different compositions).
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