Theory of Wetting-Induced Fluid Entrainment by Advancing Contact Lines on Dry Surfaces
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We report on the onset of fluid entrainment when a contact line is forced to advance over a dry solid of arbitrary wettability. We show that entrainment occurs at a critical advancing speed beyond which the balance between capillary, viscous, and contact-line forces sustaining the shape of the interface is no longer satisfied. Wetting couples to the hydrodynamics by setting both the morphology of the interface at small scales and the viscous friction of the front. We find that the critical deformation that the interface can sustain is controlled by the friction at the contact line and the viscosity contrast between the displacing and displaced fluids, leading to a rich variety of wetting-entrainment regimes. We discuss the potential use of our theory to measure contact-line forces using atomic force microscopy and to study entrainment under microfluidic conditions exploiting colloidal-polymer fluids of ultralow surface tension.
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Introduction.—The entrainment of a fluid by a solid raises fundamental questions on the hydrodynamics of moving contact lines [1], which are the intersection of fluid and solid boundaries, and is relevant to a wide variety of practical situations in materials science [2,3] and microfluidics [4,5]. Fluid entrainment gives rise to rich phenomena such as bubble entrainment in solid plate immersion [6,7], gas intrusion in coating [8] and solid-liquid splashing [9], drop emission from forced liquid microfilaments [10], the ejection of drops and rivulets from forced running drops [11,12], and the more familiar film deposition on withdrawn solid plates [13,14].

Typically, entrainment has been studied when a liquid front is forced to retreat from a solid at constant driving speed $U$. Considerable theoretical progress has been made in understanding the stability of such receding configuration on hydrophilic substrates [15,16], where the static contact angle, or Young’s angle $\theta$, is small. In this case, it is now well understood that the front can only dewet completely from the surface up to a maximum receding speed $U_{\text{rec}} \sim A \gamma \theta^3 / \eta_L$ [15,16], where $\gamma$ is the liquid-solid interfacial surface tension, $\eta_L$ is the liquid viscosity, and $A$ is a numerical prefactor specific to the system geometry. Above this threshold, the liquid is entrained by the solid and a thin film is left on the surface [14].

Despite being an archetype of forced liquid fronts, the advancing configuration, where a liquid moves over a solid surface displacing a gas, is understood to a much lower extent. For advancing contact lines, the front is also destabilized above a critical speed $U_{\text{adv}}$. However, this can be significantly larger ($\sim \text{m s}^{-1}$) than the receding speed ($\sim \text{cm s}^{-1}$) [12]. For a receding contact line, the liquid dewets from the solid, while for an advancing contact line it is the gas. This asymmetry can be used to rationalize the gap in magnitudes between $U_{\text{adv}}$ and $U_{\text{rec}}$; upon retreated, the gas offers a smaller viscous friction than the liquid, and thus the destabilization threshold should be larger for the advancing configuration. Although a similar scaling $U_{\text{adv}} \sim B \gamma (\pi - \theta) / \eta_L$ [9] has been suggested for advancing contact lines on hydrophobic surfaces (where $B \gg A$ depends on the gas viscosity $\eta_G$), this relation does not capture the experimentally reported dependence of $U_{\text{adv}}$ on the wider $\theta$ range [8–10].

Increasing experimental evidence supports the important role of surface specificity on entrainment [8–10]. A nonmonotonic dependence of $U_{\text{adv}}$ as $\theta$ is varied was observed when forcing water films on wafers covered with dry gelatin containing different surfactants [8]. However, careful measurements displayed a dramatic saturation of $U_{\text{adv}}$ on hydrophilic substrates made of glass and a rapid decay with $\theta$ on hydrophobic solids (treated with silane chains) [9]. Similarly, $U_{\text{adv}}$ can be controlled by wearing a superhydrophobic surface, suggesting that surface heterogeneity can lead to entrainment [10]. These examples illustrate the wide variety of situations where entrainment can arise, yet for which a general theoretical framework is lacking.

In this Letter, we put forward a theoretical framework that combines the hydrodynamics of the advancing front with the dynamics of the contact line to predict the onset of fluid entrainment on surfaces of arbitrary wetting properties. Because of the strong sensitivity of the advancing front to the interfacial morphology, the maximum advancing speed of the front shows a rich behavior, depending on the wetting properties of the solid and the viscosity contrast between the fluids. The coupling with the large-scale morphology of the front in our theoretical framework is generic; hence, the reported results can be applied to a wide variety of fluid geometries.
curved interface profile captures the leading order behavior of the force balance.

The scale dependence of the competing terms in Eq. (1) gives rise to a natural division of the interface into two regions. At large scales, corresponding to an outer region, the interface shape is determined by the balance between the external forcing and capillarity [see Fig. 1(a)]. This contrasts with a small-scale inner region, depicted in Fig. 1(b), where viscous stresses and capillarity are dominant. The crossover between the outer and inner regions occurs at a thickness $h_o$, comparable to the capillary length $l_c = \sqrt{\gamma / \partial_s P_{ext}}$, where $\partial_s P_{ext}$ is the hydrostatic pressure gradient due to the external force. Since the front is driven externally, the stability of the interface is subject to the ability of the contact line to follow the leading front. Accordingly, we focus on the dynamics in the region close to the solid substrate. In the inner region, the external force can be neglected while the capillary term corresponds to the dynamic Young’s force $F_y = \gamma (\cos \theta_m - \cos \theta_i + \kappa h_o)$, obtained integrating the gradient of the Laplace pressure $\gamma \kappa'$ for $\xi \leq h \approx h_o$, where $\kappa$ and $\theta_i$ are the local curvature and inclination angle of the interface, $\xi$ is the molecular thickness, and $\theta_m$ is the microscopic contact angle with which the interface intersects the solid. The remaining terms in Eq. (1) are the friction forces $F_{\eta} = -3\eta_i U \int_{\Omega_i} (d\Omega \mathcal{F}(h')/h^2) - c_i \eta_i U$, with $i = \{G, L\}$, where $\Omega_i$ refers to the area occupied by phase $i$ in the $xz$ plane [Fig. 2(a)]. The first term in $F_{\eta}$ accounts for the friction arising from the sliding motion of the fluid wedges meeting at the contact line, where the large-slope correction $\mathcal{F}(h')$ approaches unity for sharp wedges [17], close to the entrainment threshold. The second term corresponds to viscous stresses arising far from the contact line and is characterized by order-unity numerical prefactors $c_i$. Dividing through by $\gamma$, Eq. (1) reduces to

$$
\{\cos \theta_m - \cos \theta_i + \kappa h_o\} - \frac{c_L}{3} + \int_{\Omega_c} \frac{d\Omega \mathcal{F}(h')}{h^2} \right] 3Ca = 0,
$$

where $Ca = \eta_L U / \gamma$ is the capillary number and $\eta = \eta_c / \eta_L$ is the viscosity contrast between the fluids. This equation includes the effects of both moving phases and can be used to describe advancing and receding fronts.

Previous results for receding contact lines correspond to the limit of small interface slopes [16] and vanishing gas viscosity. This limit is recovered by setting $c_L = 0$ and $\eta = 0$ in Eq. (2), whereby one obtains $(\theta_m - \theta_i^2)/2 + h'' h_o = 3Ca \int_{\Omega_c} d\Omega / h^2$. This expression is equivalent to the thin-film lubrication equation, as pointed out in Ref. [1], which can be used to obtain the well-known scaling for the maximum receding speed $U_{rec} \sim A \gamma \theta_c^2 / \eta_L$ [16].
The first challenge in using Eq. (2) is the divergence of viscous forces at the intersection between the fluid and solid boundaries [18]. To regularize this singularity, we treat $\xi$ as a cutoff length scale. Hence, at the solid boundary, the profile obeys

$$h = \xi \quad \text{and} \quad h'(h = \xi) = \tan\theta_m,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\theta_m$ determines the local structure of the interface at small scales. While for receding contact lines $\theta_m = \theta_c \ll 1$ [19], for advancing fronts, the interface slope can deviate from its static value for arbitrarily small distances from the solid surface due to the microscopic details of the contact-line motion [15]. We account for the detailed dynamics at the contact line assuming a local force balance, which, to leading order in the front displacement can be expressed as [1]

$$\cos\theta_m = \cos\theta_c + w_m\mathrm{Ca},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $w_m$ is a dimensionless friction coefficient that subsumes the specific microscopic details of the contact-line motion [20,21]. For advancing fronts, the interface curvature changes sign at the crossover thickness $h_o \sim \bar{\ell}_c$ due to the external forcing, and its local slope is characterized by the dynamic angle $\theta$. The matching conditions of the inner region with the outer profile hence read

$$h'(h = h_o) = \tan\theta \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(h = h_o) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $h'$ is the local interfacial slope. Rather than using these conditions to relate the inner and outer profiles of a specific geometry, we consider a generic setting by treating $\theta$ as a given external interfacial deformation.

To determine the interfacial profile, we use the local force-density balance $\kappa' \sim g(\mathrm{Ca})/h^2$, where the local capillary force, varying as $\kappa = f''$, is balanced by the viscous force, scaling as $h^{-2}$. Since for advancing fronts the profile may develop overhangs, it is useful to invert the profile $f(h) = x = \theta^{-1}h + g(\mathrm{Ca})f_1(h) + \cdots$ for small $g(\mathrm{Ca})$. Matching $f(h)$ with the outer region through Eq. (5), we obtain both the interface profile

$$f(h) = h - \xi \tan\theta_m + g(\mathrm{Ca})\left[h\ln\frac{h}{\xi} - h + \xi - \frac{(h - \xi)^2}{2\eta}\right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

and $g(\mathrm{Ca}) = (\tan\theta_m - \tan\theta)/[\tan\theta_m\tan\theta[\ln(h_o/\xi) - 1 + \xi/h_o]]$ [22]. For small interfacial slopes, $g(\mathrm{Ca}) \sim 3\mathrm{Ca}$ [23]. Expanding $h$ in powers of $\mathrm{Ca}$, together with Eq. (3) to fix the profile at the contact line, one recovers the classic result $h(x, \mathrm{Ca}) = \theta_m x + 3\mathrm{Ca}h_1(x) + O(\mathrm{Ca}^2)$, where $h_1 \sim \ln(x/\xi)$ [19].

The wettability of the solid surface has a strong influence on the front morphology. To illustrate this, we plot Eq. (6) in Fig. 2(a) for hydrophilic ($\theta_m = 15^\circ$) and hydrophobic ($\theta_m = 120^\circ$) surfaces for a fixed dynamic contact angle $\theta = 150^\circ$. We fix the scale separation as $\xi/h_o = 10^{-2}$ for visualization purposes, although such weak separation between microscopic and macroscopic length scales is realistic, e.g., for colloid-polymer demixed fluids [24]. Because of the coupling to the solid at small scales [Eq. (3)], the interface can bend forward to develop a foot on hydrophilic substrates (top panel). This structure appears whenever $\theta_m < 90^\circ$ and extends from the molecular length $\xi$ to the turning point $h = h_b$. The gas counterpart to the liquid foot is the wedge shown in Fig. 2(a), which can only form when $\theta > 90^\circ$. On hydrophilic substrates, when $\theta_m \leq 90^\circ$, the wedge is truncated and extends down to the thickness of the overhang at the contact line $h_e$ (top panel) or down to the microscopic length scale $\xi$ for hydrophobic surfaces (bottom panel). Remarkably, Eq. (6) is a very good approximation of the interface profile even for large slopes, as shown by a direct comparison to lattice-Boltzmann simulations [25].

With the shape of the interface [Eq. (6)], we can now evaluate Eq. (2) to obtain

$$\{\cos\theta_m - \cos\theta\} - \left\{\frac{C_L}{3} + H(h_b) - H(\xi)\right\} \eta \mathrm{Ca} + \left\{\frac{\eta}{3} + H(h_i) - H(h_o)\right\} 3\mathrm{Ca} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $H(h) \equiv \ln(h)/\tan\theta + g(\mathrm{Ca})[\ln^2(h/\xi)/2 - h/h_o + (\xi/h_o)\ln(h)]$. Note that, due to the definition of $\theta$ in Eq. (5), the curvature dependent term drops in Eq. (7).

**Discussion.**—Equation (7) determines the velocity of the liquid front as a function of the dynamic angle over the wide range imposed by the external forcing. As depicted in Fig. 2(b), $\theta$ increases from its equilibrium value with $\mathrm{Ca}$, indicating the morphological response of the interface to larger driving forces. Crucially, the capillary force in Eq. (7) can only sustain a maximum deformation, while the friction forces can grow indefinitely as $\theta \to 180^\circ$. This implies that there is a maximum capillary number $\mathrm{Ca}^*$, beyond which the force balance cannot be satisfied, leading to entrainment. Thin lines to the right of the maxima correspond to unstable solutions of the force balance.
to fluid entrainment. The set of maxima shown in Fig. 2(b) corresponds to different critical interface deformations, and therefore depends on the small-scale coupling set by $\theta_e$ and on the viscous bending, controlled by $\eta$. This is illustrated in Fig. 3(a), which shows that $Ca^*$ is reached at a critical dynamic angle $\theta^*$, weakly dependent on $\theta_e$, and is generally above $160^\circ$ for $\eta = 10^{-2}$ (representative of air-water systems). This changes for high $\eta$, where a stronger viscous friction offered by the displaced phase leads to lower $Ca^*$ and $\theta^*$. Similarly, the microscopic contact angle reaches a critical value $\theta_m$ at the onset of entrainment, which differs from the equilibrium angle by an amount set by the viscosity contrast.

Remarkably, the maximum advancing speed $U_{\text{adv}} = \gamma Ca^*/\eta L$ depends on the static angle and on the contact line friction [Fig. 3(b)]. On hydrophilic surfaces, the friction due to the liquid foot controls $Ca^*$. For small $w_m$, Eq. (4) yields $\theta_m = \theta_e$, leading to a sharp foot that generates a larger amount of viscous friction. This increase in the viscous response becomes larger as $\theta_e \to 0$ and therefore generates unstable fronts at smaller $Ca^*$. The result is a nonmonotonic $Ca^* - \theta_e$ dependence. For larger $w_m$, the microscopic angle departs from $\theta_e$, relaxing the friction offered by the foot. This effect eventually balances the capillary force, leading to a plateau-like behavior. Such remarkable surface specificity has been observed in experiments. In Ref. [8], water films were forced on surfactant-treated, dry aqueous gelatin surfaces, where $U_{\text{adv}}$ was found to increase on hydrophilic surfaces to reach a maximum at $\theta_e = 60^\circ - 80^\circ$. In Ref. [9], a plateau for the splashing speed of glass beads treated with a hydrogen peroxide--sulfuric acid solution (hydrophilic beads) and a rapid decay for those grafted with silane chains (hydrophobic beads) was reported. Such a decay on hydrophobic surfaces is due to the absence of the liquid foot. Instead, the viscous friction is dominated by the gas wedge in front of the contact line, which becomes increasingly narrow as $\theta \to 180^\circ$. In agreement with a previous analysis [9], in the hydrophobic limit, Eq. (7) reduces to

$$
(\pi - \theta_e)^2 - (\pi - \theta)^2 \approx c_L Ca + \eta L (\pi - \theta)^{-1} Ca,
$$

where $L \sim \mathcal{O}(10)$ is a numerical prefactor that depends on the scale separation $\xi/L_y$. In this regime, the critical advancing speed obeys $\eta U_{\text{adv}} \gamma \sim (\pi - \theta_e)^2$, as indicated by the scaling of the capillary (lhs) and friction (rhs) terms in Eq. (8).

The viscosity contrast between the fluids also has a significant influence on fluid entrainment. Here, we focus on the effect of the solid, which we illustrate in the inset of Fig. 3(b). While the critical point is shifted to a lower scale as $\eta$ is increased (reducing the gap between the advancing and receding critical velocities), the plateau-like behavior in hydrophilic substrates, due to the insensitivity of the advancing front to the foot shape at high $w_m$, can persist to these variations. The critical dynamic angle, on the other hand, approaches $180^\circ$ for decreasing viscosity of the displaced fluid, as has been shown numerically for plunging plate geometries [7]. These results illustrate that the advancing configuration is distinct to the receding one due to the coupling between wetting, expressed in the shape of the profile, and the fluid viscosities.

**Conclusions.**—We have analyzed the impact of wetting on the onset of fluid entrainment on a forced advancing fluid front. We have put forward a general theoretical framework to identify the morphological origin of fluid entrainment and the asymmetric role played by the affinity of the solid substrate to the advancing front. Our theory highlights the relevance of the fluid foot that the forcing liquid develops when the front advances on hydrophilic surfaces. The change in structure of the fluid front close to the solid and the relative viscosity between the moving fluids are then shown to be responsible for the asymmetry in the entrainment speed between advancing and receding configurations.

The rich variety of wetting-entrainment regimes found highlights the important role of surface properties, characterized by the contact line friction coefficient $w_m$. Recent developments in atomic force microscopy [26] can probe forces associated to contact line dynamics [27], opening the possibility of quantifying the friction of the advancing contact line. The magnitude of the forces exerted on the probe supports the feasibility of experiments that measure force profiles as a function of the immersion speed of a dipping probe. Neglecting buoyancy forces, the force exerted by the atomic force microscopy probe of diameter $d$ is balanced by the vertical component of the interfacial tension $T = \pi d \gamma \cos \theta_m$ and by the viscous stress acting over the probe’s surface. For a 1 $\mu$m wide probe [26], the order of magnitude of these forces (below the transition) is set by $T(\theta_m = \pi) = \pi d \gamma$ and is of tens to hundreds of nano-Newtons. A detailed observation of the entrainment in the microfluidic regime is also possible, by using laser scanning microscopy of microfluidic experiments of demixed colloid-polymer mixtures of ultralow surface tension.

![FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Critical dynamic and microscopic angles as a function of the static angle. (b) Critical capillary number as a function of the static angle for different values of the contact line friction coefficient and viscosity contrast (inset). All other parameter values are as in Fig. 2(b).](image-url)
[24]. Such experiments can be used to gain insight on the nature of the dynamic transition associated to entrainment and help in manufacturing substrates with well-defined wetting properties to manipulate and better control the flow of liquid fronts at small scales.
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