

1 **Occurrence of inorganic arsenic in edible Shiitake (*Lentinula edodes*) products**

2

3 **Toni Llorente-Mirandes ^a, Mercedes Barbero ^b, Roser Rubio ^{a,c} and José Fermín**

4 **López-Sánchez ^{a,c,*}**

5

6 *^a Department of Analytical Chemistry, University of Barcelona, Martí i Franquès 1-11,*

7 *Barcelona E-08028, Spain*

8 *^b Department of Vegetal Biology, University of Barcelona, Av. Diagonal 643, Barcelona*

9 *E-08028, Spain*

10 *^c Water Research Institute, University of Barcelona, Av. Diagonal 684, Barcelona E-*

11 *08034, Spain*

12

13 **Corresponding author: Department of Analytical Chemistry, University of Barcelona,*

14 *Martí i Franquès 1-11, Barcelona E-08028, Spain. Tel.: +34 934034873. E-mail*

15 *address: fermin.lopez@ub.edu (José Fermín López-Sánchez).*

16

17 **Abstract**

18 The present study reports arsenic speciation analysis in edible Shiitake (*Lentinula*

19 *edodes*) products. The study focused on the extraction, and accurate quantification of

20 inorganic arsenic (iAs), the most toxic form of arsenic, which was selectively separated

21 and determined using anion exchange LC-ICPMS. A wide variety of edible Shiitake

22 products (fresh mushrooms, food supplements, canned and dehydrated) were purchased

23 and analysed. A cultivated Shiitake grown under controlled conditions was also

24 analysed. The extraction method showed satisfactory extraction efficiencies (>90%) and

25 column recoveries (>85%) for all samples. Arsenic speciation revealed that iAs was the

26 major As compound up to 1.38 mg As kg⁻¹ dm (with a mean percentage of 84% of the
27 total arsenic) and other organoarsenicals were found as minor species. Shiitake products
28 had high proportions of iAs and therefore should not be ignored as potential
29 contributors to dietary iAs exposure in populations with a high intake of Shiitake
30 products.

31

32 **Keywords:** Inorganic arsenic; Shiitake; *Lentinula edodes*; Mushrooms; Arsenic
33 speciation; LC-ICPMS.

34

35 **1. Introduction**

36

37 The consumption of wild edible mushrooms has increased worldwide during
38 recent years. *Lentinula edodes* (Berk.) Pegler (also known by its Japanese name of
39 Shiitake) is one of the five most cultivated edible mushrooms in the world, being
40 particularly popular in China, Japan and other Asian countries (Kalač, 2013; Chang &
41 Miles, 2004). Furthermore, it is a dietary source of protein, vitamin D, B complex
42 vitamins and minerals. It is one of the best-known and best-characterized mushrooms,
43 having been used in medicine for thousands of years. *Lentinula edodes* mycelium
44 extract and its purified fractions have many physiological properties including
45 antitumour, antiviral, antioxidant, antifungal, hypoglycaemic and immunomodulatory
46 activity (Chang & Miles, 2004; Wasser, 2002).

47 Regarding the toxicological aspects of arsenic in food, inorganic arsenic (iAs,
48 (arsenite or As(III) and arsenate or As(V)) is considered to be the most dangerous form
49 due to its biological availability and physiological and toxicological effects (iAs is
50 classified as a nonthreshold, class 1 human carcinogen) (ATSDR Toxicological profile

51 for arsenic, 2007). Other arsenic compounds, such as arsenobetaine (AB), are non-toxic
52 and can be consumed without concern, while arsenosugars are potentially toxic
53 (Feldmann & Krupp, 2011). Therefore, toxicological knowledge of the different arsenic
54 species should be considered by legislators and regulators when establishing maximum
55 arsenic levels in food directives.

56 The ability of some mushroom species to accumulate arsenic may represent a
57 serious risk to consumer health (Dembitsky & Rezanka, 2003; Falandysz & Borovička,
58 2013; Kalač, 2010; Vetter, 2004). The arsenic content of mushrooms is regulated by
59 genetic factors and natural conditions (type of soil, bedrock, habitat, environmental
60 factors) (Falandysz & Borovička, 2013; Vetter, 2004). More than 50 different naturally
61 occurring As-containing compounds have been identified, comprising both organic and
62 inorganic forms (EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM), 2009).
63 Some of these have been found in mushrooms, including methylarsonate (MA),
64 dimethylarsinate (DMA), As(V), As(III), AB, arsenocholine (AC), trimethylarsine
65 oxide (TMAO), tetramethylarsonium cation (TETRA) and arsenosugars (Koch et al.,
66 2013; Koch, Wang, Reimer, & Cullen, 2000; Larsen, Hansen, & Gössler, 1998;
67 Niedzielski, Mleczek, Magdziak, Siwulski, & Kozak, 2013; Šlejkovec, Byrne, Stijve,
68 Goessler, & Irgolic, 1997; Smith, Koch, & Reimer, 2007; Soeroes, Kienzl, Ipolyi,
69 Dernovics, Fodor, & Kuehnelt, 2005).

70 The arsenic compounds in edible mushrooms are obviously of concern to the
71 consumer and the regulatory authorities, but currently, no limits exist in the European
72 Union (EU) on arsenic, either total or inorganic, in foods (European Union Regulation
73 1881/2006). On the other hand, China has a maximum allowable concentration of total
74 arsenic in mushrooms of 0.5 and 1.0 mg As kg⁻¹, for fresh and dry mushrooms,
75 respectively (MHC, 2003; MHC, 2005). Given this situation, the European Food Safety

76 Authority (EFSA) (EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM), 2009)
77 and the FAO/WHO Joint Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) (FAO/WHO,
78 Evaluation of certain contaminants in food, 2011) have evaluated dietary exposure to
79 As. Both reported the urgent need for further data on arsenic species, particularly iAs
80 data, in food commodities, in order to improve the background data for future risk
81 assessment analysis. Furthermore, mushrooms were included among the foods that
82 contribute to iAs exposure in the general European population (EFSA Panel on
83 Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM), 2009). The report also highlighted the
84 need for a robust validated analytical method for the determination of iAs in a range of
85 food items. To this end, several proficiency tests (PTs) on iAs in different foodstuffs
86 have been organised (de la Calle et al., 2011; Baer et al., 2011; de la Calle et al., 2012).
87 Satisfactory performance was generally found for the determination of iAs in rice,
88 wheat and vegetable food; and it was also emphasized that there is no reason to consider
89 the option of introducing possible maximum levels for iAs in rice, wheat, vegetable
90 food and algae, in further discussions on risk management.

91 Due to the increasing focus on inorganic arsenic in food and given that
92 mushroom consumption had increased considerably in recent years due to their
93 nutritional properties, two PTs, using the same test item, IMEP-116 and IMEP-39, were
94 organised by the Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (IRMM)
95 (Cordeiro et al., 2013). Thus, the total and inorganic arsenic content in mushrooms is a
96 topic of current priority for the Directorate for Health and Consumers (DG SANCO) of
97 the European Commission. The iAs concentration in the Shiitake test sample was quite
98 high, at around 0.3 mg As kg⁻¹, accounting for 50% of the total As. Therefore, arsenic
99 speciation data, particularly iAs data, for Shiitake samples are needed to estimate the
100 health risk associated with dietary As exposure.

101 Although Shiitake has medicinal properties and is one of the most consumed and
102 cultivated mushrooms, few studies of arsenic speciation appear in the literature
103 (Wuilloud, Kannamkumarath, & Caruso, 2004). Thus, more studies on Shiitake are
104 required to provide information about iAs levels, which would be useful in toxicological
105 risk assessments. Therefore, the main goal of this study was to determine total arsenic
106 and arsenic species in several edible Shiitake products. The study focused on the
107 extraction, identification and accurate quantification of the toxic inorganic arsenic
108 species. In addition, a preliminary study of Shiitake cultivation was performed in a
109 small-scale mushroom facility in order to estimate the possible health risks of home-
110 cultivated Shiitake grown on a commercial substrate. Fruiting bodies and substrate
111 samples were investigated for total arsenic and arsenic species.

112

113 **2. Materials and methods**

114 *2.1. Reagents and standards*

115 All solutions were prepared with doubly deionised water obtained from
116 Millipore water purification systems (Elix & Rios) ($18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$ resistivity and total
117 organic carbon $<30 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$). Nitric acid (69%, Panreac, Hiperpur) and hydrogen
118 peroxide (31%, Merck, Selectipur) were used for the digestion and extraction
119 procedures. Ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (Panreac, p.a.), ammonia solution (25%,
120 Panreac, p.a.), pyridine (Scharlau, p.a.) and formic acid (98%, Panreac, p.a.) were used
121 to prepare mobile phases.

122 External calibration standards for total As were prepared daily by dilution of a
123 standard stock solution traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology
124 (NIST), with a certified concentration of $1000 \pm 5 \text{ mg As L}^{-1}$ (Inorganic Ventures

125 Standards). An arsenate standard solution of $1000 \pm 5 \text{ mg As L}^{-1}$ (Merck) was used for
126 external quality control in total arsenic and arsenic speciation measurements.

127 Stock standard solutions ($1000 \text{ mg As L}^{-1}$) for arsenic speciation were prepared
128 as follows: As(III), from As_2O_3 (NIST, USA, Oxidimetric Primary Standard 83d,
129 99.99%) dissolved in 4 g L^{-1} NaOH (Merck, Suprapure); As(V), from $\text{Na}_2\text{HAsO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$
130 (Carlo Erba) dissolved in water; MA, prepared from $(\text{CH}_3)\text{AsO}(\text{ONa})_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Carlo
131 Erba) dissolved in water; and DMA, prepared from $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{AsNaO}_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Fluka)
132 dissolved in water. AC from $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{As}^+(\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{OHBr}^-$ was supplied by the “Service
133 Central d’Analyse” (CNRS Vernaison, France); and a certified reference material of AB
134 from $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{As}^+\text{CH}_2\text{COO}^-$ was supplied by National Metrology Institute of Japan
135 (NMIJ, Japan) as NMIJ CRM 7901-a, standard solution. TMAO was prepared from
136 $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{AsO}$ (Argus Chemicals srl) dissolved in water. Arsenate, arsenite, DMA, MA,
137 AC, TMAO and AB were standardised against As_2O_3 for our internal quality control.
138 All stock solutions were kept at $4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, and further diluted solutions for the speciation
139 analysis were prepared daily.

140

141 *2.2 Samples and certified reference materials*

142 Different types of Shiitake-based food commodities that are representative of all
143 types of edible Shiitake products consumed in Spain, were purchased from markets,
144 local supermarkets and retail stores in Barcelona, Spain, during 2012. A selection of
145 edible Shiitake products was analysed: five fresh, four dehydrated, three canned and two
146 food supplement samples. The three canned Shiitake are commercialised in glass
147 vessels. According to the manufacturer, Shiitake food supplements contain both
148 mycelium and primordia (young fruit body) cultivated into a biomass that is grown on a

149 sterilised (autoclaved) substrate. Various brands were purchased and all samples were
150 brought to the laboratory on the day of purchase and kept for no more than a day in the
151 refrigerator until sample preparation, which was performed before the recommended
152 time of consumption.

153 In addition, Shiitake was home-cultivated in a small-scale facility, from which
154 mushrooms were collected as samples for further analysis, to expand the information
155 collected in the study.

156 Two certified reference materials (CRMs) and a reference material (RM) were
157 analysed during the study. NIST SRM 1570a spinach leaves was obtained from the
158 NIST (Gaithersburg, MD, USA). WEPAL IPE-120 reference material *Agaricus*
159 *bisporus* mushroom was produced by the Wageningen Evaluating Programs For
160 Analytical Laboratories (WEPAL, Wageningen, The Netherlands). ERM-BC211 rice
161 was obtained from the IRMM of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre
162 (Geel, Belgium).

163

164 *2.3 Apparatus and instrumentation*

165 Mushroom samples were dried in an oven with natural convection (Digitronic,
166 JPSelecta, Spain). The dried mushrooms were minced using a commercial mincer
167 (Multiquick 5 Hand Processor, Spain) Braun). A microwave digestion system (Ethos
168 Touch Control, Milestone), was used for the digestion and extraction procedures. An
169 Agilent 7500ce inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICPMS) (Agilent
170 Technologies, Germany) was used to determine total arsenic content. An Agilent 1200
171 Series LC system (Agilent Technologies, Germany) was used as the chromatographic
172 system for arsenic speciation via coupling LC-ICPMS. The separations were performed

173 on an anion-exchange column (Hamilton Company, USA) and cation-exchange column
174 (Agilent Technologies, Germany) (Table 1). The outlet of the LC column was
175 connected via polyetheretherketone capillary tubing to the nebuliser (Burgener Research
176 Inc, Mississauga, Canada) of the ICPMS system (Table 1).

177

178 *2.4. Cultivation of Shiitake*

179 Cultivation of Shiitake was performed in a small-scale mushroom facility
180 belonging to the University of Barcelona. Fruiting bodies of Shiitake were produced on
181 a commercial pasteurised substrate inoculated with mycelium intended to be grown at
182 homemade cultivation. The cultivation procedure followed the instructions supplied by
183 the manufacturer. The mushrooms were grown under controlled conditions following
184 the manufacturer's guidelines, and a large number of fruiting bodies were produced.
185 The original substrate was submerged in tap water in a controlled chamber for 24 hours.
186 Then, the substrate was placed in a cool damp place at a temperature of 17–20 °C, under
187 natural indoor light cycles. After a week the fungi began to fruit and all mushrooms
188 were harvested. After the first harvest, the substrate was air dried for 20 days. After this
189 time, the substrate was submerged in tap water for another 24 hours and the whole
190 process was repeated. This enabled a second Shiitake mushroom harvest.

191 The substrate was randomly sampled in triplicate three times during the cultivation
192 study. Care was taken to collect substrate in which mycelium was not visible to the
193 naked eye. The original substrate was first sampled before submersion and cultivation.
194 A second sample was taken after the first cultivation (medium substrate) and a third
195 sample after the second cultivation (final substrate). The tap water and waste water, and
196 the water remaining after substrate submersion, were also sampled during the

197 cultivation study. Total arsenic and arsenic species were analysed by ICPMS and LC-
198 ICPMS, respectively, in the three substrate samples and the tap and waste water
199 samples.

200

201 *2.5 Sample pretreatment*

202 Fresh Shiitake mushrooms were cleaned by hand of substrate and foreign matter.
203 The end of the stalk (in contact with the substrate) was removed using a stainless steel
204 knife. Damaged or soiled parts were cut off with a knife and smaller particles were
205 removed using a fine brush. Only the edible parts of the mushrooms were used for the
206 analysis. Mushrooms were cut into small pieces that were then air-dried on filter paper
207 and further dried in an oven at 40°C for 24–48 hours. The dried mushrooms were
208 minced using a commercial mincer made of stainless steel until complete
209 homogenization. Care was taken to avoid contamination. Between samples, the mincer
210 was washed once with soap and water, rinsed once with HNO₃ (about 10%), rinsed
211 several times with deionised water, and then rinsed three times with doubly deionised
212 water, before drying with cleaning wipes.

213 Shiitake food supplements, which are commercially available as tablets, were
214 pulverized with an agate mortar, homogenised and stored over silica gel in a desiccator
215 until analysis.

216 Canned Shiitake samples were drained and then dried in an oven at 40°C for 24–
217 48 hours and finally minced using a commercial mincer until complete homogenisation.
218 Powdered samples were stored over silica gel in a desiccator until analysis.

219 Dehydrated Shiitake samples were cut into small pieces and then minced using a
220 commercial mincer until complete homogenisation and stored over silica gel in a
221 desiccator until analysis.

222 Cultivated Shiitake were pretreated in the same way as the purchased fresh
223 mushrooms. Substrate samples were pulverized, homogenised, and stored over silica gel
224 in a desiccator until analysis of arsenic and arsenic species. Tap water and waste water
225 were filtered through PET filters (Chromafil® PET, Macherey–Nagel, pore size 0.45
226 µm) and stored at 4°C before analysis of total arsenic and arsenic species.

227

228 *2.6. Moisture determination*

229 Aliquots of 0.5 g samples were dried, in triplicate, at 102 ± 3 °C to constant
230 weight in an oven. All the results in the study are expressed as dry mass.

231

232 *2.7 Total arsenic determination*

233 The total arsenic content of the mushroom samples, CRMs, RM and substrate
234 samples was determined by ICPMS measurement after microwave digestion (Llorente-
235 Mirandes, Calderón, López-Sánchez, Centrich, & Rubio, 2012). Helium gas was used in
236 the collision cell to remove interferences in the ICPMS measurements. A solution of
237 ^9Be , ^{103}Rh and ^{205}Tl was used as an internal standard. Each sample was digested and
238 analysed in triplicate. The digestion blanks were also measured. Arsenic content in the
239 samples was quantified by means of an external calibration curve for the standards. For
240 quality control purposes, the standards of the calibration curve were run before and after
241 each sample series. The detection (LOD) and quantification limits (LOQ) were
242 estimated and were 0.006 and 0.021 mg As kg⁻¹, respectively.

243

244 *2.8 Arsenic speciation analysis*

245 The extraction of arsenic species was based on our previous studies (Llorente-
246 Mirandes et al., 2012; Llorente-Mirandes, Calderón, Centrich, Rubio, & López-
247 Sánchez, 2014) and was applied here to mushroom samples, CRMs and the RM and
248 substrate samples. Briefly, 0.25 g aliquots of the samples were weighed in PTFE vessels
249 and then extracted by adding 10 mL of 0.2% (w/v) HNO₃ and 1% (w/v) H₂O₂ solution
250 in a microwave system. This extraction method completely oxidises As(III) into As(V),
251 without conversion of the other organoarsenicals into iAs. After extraction, arsenic
252 speciation was carried out in extracts by LC-ICPMS (Llorente-Mirandes et al., 2010 and
253 2011) using the conditions shown in Table 1. The total arsenic in the extracts was
254 determined by ICPMS (as described above). Arsenic species were quantified by external
255 calibration curves. Extraction blanks were also analysed in each batch of samples. Each
256 sample was extracted and analysed in triplicate. LOD and LOQ were estimated for each
257 As species. The LODs for As(III), DMA, MA, As(V), AB, TMAO and AC were
258 0.0010, 0.0014, 0.0017, 0.0024, 0.0010, 0.0028 and 0.0018 mg As kg⁻¹, respectively.
259 The LOQs for As(III), DMA, MA, As(V), AB, TMAO and AC were 0.0033, 0.0047,
260 0.0056, 0.0080, 0.0033, 0.0093 and 0.0060 mg As kg⁻¹, respectively.

261

262 **3. Results and Discussion**

263

264 *3.1 Quality assessment in the determination of total arsenic and arsenic species*

265 *3.1.1 Total arsenic*

266 To evaluate the accuracy of total arsenic measurements a RM and two CRMs
267 were analysed with every batch of samples. The present results in these CRMs showed
268 good agreement with the certified values, as shown in Table 2. The percentage accuracy
269 was 102% and 99% for NIST SRM 1570a and ERM-BC211, respectively.

270

271 *3.1.2 Extraction efficiency*

272 Extraction efficiencies (calculated as the ratio of total As in the extract to total
273 As in the sample) were calculated. Several extraction solvents have been used for the
274 speciation of arsenic in mushrooms. Extraction efficiencies appear to be highly variable,
275 depending on the mushroom species and extraction solution, ranging from 7% to 129%
276 (Koch et al., 2000; Larsen et al., 1998; Šlejkovec et al., 1997; Slekovec, et al., 1999;
277 Smith et al., 2007; Wuilloud et al., 2004). The present values ranged from 94 to 103%
278 and extracted on average 98% of total arsenic (Table 3). These results indicated full
279 extraction of the arsenic species that may exist in Shiitake mushrooms. The extraction
280 efficiency of ERM-BC211, NIST SRM 1570a and WEPAL-IPE-120 was 98%, 93% and
281 99%, respectively (Table 2).

282

283 *3.1.3 Column recovery*

284 Column recovery (calculated as the ratio of the sum of the species eluted from
285 the chromatographic columns to the total arsenic in the extract injected into the column)
286 was calculated to guarantee the correctness of the chromatographic separation. This
287 parameter, assessed in replicates with good reproducibility, allowed us to evaluate the
288 quantification of the As species in mushroom samples. Values close to 100% usually
289 indicate that all arsenic extracted was recovered from the analytical column. The present
290 values obtained for column recoveries ranged between 87 and 104% and showed
291 average column recoveries of 97% (Table 3). Satisfactory values were also obtained for
292 the RMs: 102%, 92% and 94% for ERM-BC211, NIST SRM 1570a and WEPAL-IPE-
293 120, respectively (Table 2).

294

295 *3.1.4 Spiking experiments of inorganic arsenic*

296 To assure the accurate identification and quantification of inorganic As species,
297 three *Shiitake* samples were spiked by adding As(III) and As(V) standards to solid
298 samples and then homogenised. The mixtures were left to stand for 30 min before
299 extraction. Arsenate was the only inorganic species found in the spiked samples,
300 showing the quantitative oxidation of As(III) to As (V) without conversion of the other
301 organoarsenicals into iAs. The concentration of iAs was quantified as As(V) and
302 determined via anion LC-ICPMS. The recovery of iAs from fresh, cultivated and food
303 supplement samples was: 93 ± 6 , 97 ± 5 and 94 ± 5 , respectively (mean % \pm SD, n = 3).
304 The results show that all of the iAs was recovered successfully (average recoveries of
305 95% for iAs in Shiitake samples). Furthermore, the ERM-BC211 rice material, which is
306 certified in inorganic arsenic, was also spiked by adding As(III) and As(V) standards.
307 The concentration of iAs was quantified as As(V) and the recovery of iAs was
308 satisfactory: $102 \pm 4\%$, n=3.

309

310 *3.1.5 Arsenic species in the reference materials*

311 Arsenic speciation was performed on CRMs and the RM and the results are
312 summarised in Table 2. To date, no CRMs are available for arsenic species in
313 mushrooms. Therefore, the ERM-BC211 rice was used throughout the study to assess
314 the accuracy and reliability of the As speciation results. The material was analysed and
315 the results were in agreement with the certified values. The percentage accuracy was
316 98% and 105% for iAs and DMA in ERM-BC211, respectively (Table 2).

317 The As speciation results in WEPAL-IPE-120 showed that AB was the major As
318 species (40% of the total As). The inorganic arsenic content was 0.033 ± 0.001 mg As
319 kg^{-1} (corresponding to 20% of the total As), while DMA accounted for 28% of the total

320 As. No arsenic speciation studies on this RM mushroom have been found in the
321 literature. However, studies on *Agaricus* sp. found that AB predominated in this
322 mushroom genus (Koch et al., 2013; Šlejkovec et al., 1997; Smith et al., 2007; Soeroes
323 et al., 2005), which is in agreement with the present results. Although WEPAL-IPE-120
324 (*Agaricus bisporus*) is not certified for arsenic species, the sum of the As species (0.156
325 ± 0.010 mg As kg⁻¹) compared well with the indicative total As value of 0.137 ± 0.067
326 mg As kg⁻¹. An unknown compound was found by the cationic column with a retention
327 time of 380 s and could be attributed to TETRA due to the matching of the retention
328 times when using the same chromatographic conditions (Kirby et al., 2004). However, it
329 was not possible to check this attribution due to the lack of appropriate standards.

330 Regarding As species in the NIST SRM 1570a, inorganic arsenic was the major
331 compound at 0.059 ± 0.005 mg As kg⁻¹, which was in agreement with the reference
332 value assigned by expert laboratories in the proficiency test IMEP-112: 0.054 ± 0.012
333 mg As kg⁻¹ (de la Calle et al., 2012).

334

335 *3.1.6 External quality control*

336 This method was tested with participation as an expert laboratory in two recent
337 proficiency tests organised by the European Union Reference Laboratory for Heavy
338 Metals in Feed and Food (EURL-HM) and the International Measurement Evaluation
339 Program (IMEP) from the IRMM, IMEP-116 and IMEP-39, Determination of total Cd,
340 Pb, As, Hg and inorganic As in mushrooms. Satisfactory results were obtained
341 compared with the assigned value for iAs, which demonstrates the validity and
342 reliability of the present method (Cordeiro et al., 2013). Therefore, this method could be
343 recommended for the quantification of inorganic arsenic in edible mushrooms.

344

345 *3.2 Total arsenic content in purchased Shiitake*

346 The total arsenic content in the purchased edible Shiitake products is shown in
347 Table 3 and ranged from 0.11 to 1.44 mg As kg⁻¹ dry mass (dm). The mean arsenic
348 concentration of 14 samples was 0.51 mg As kg⁻¹ dm. Total arsenic was highest in fresh
349 samples (n=5): 0.90 ± 0.57 mg As kg⁻¹ dm (mean ± SD) with wide variability between
350 the samples. The total arsenic content for dehydrated (n=4) and canned (n=3) samples
351 was 0.26 ± 0.08 and 0.33 ± 0.29 mg As kg⁻¹ dm, respectively. Two food supplements of
352 different brands were analysed and the total arsenic content was 0.45 ± 0.01 and 0.12 ±
353 0.01 mg As kg⁻¹ dm. Four of the fresh Shiitake samples exceeded the limit of 0.5 mg As
354 kg⁻¹ established by China for fresh mushrooms (MHC, 2003; MHC, 2005). However,
355 none of the dehydrated Shiitake exceeded the limit of 1.0 mg As kg⁻¹ established by
356 China for dry mushrooms (MHC, 2003; MHC, 2005).

357 The present arsenic results are in the usual range found in mushrooms from
358 unpolluted areas (0.5 to 5 mg As kg⁻¹, Kalač, 2010). However, arsenic content appears
359 to be highly variable, with significant differences according to the soil arsenic
360 concentration as well as the ability of mushroom species to accumulate arsenic
361 (Falandysz & Borovička, 2013; Kalač, 2010). To date, there are few studies of arsenic
362 content in Shiitake in the literature. Several Shiitake purchased in Brazil contained As
363 in concentrations ranging between 0.083 and 0.210 mg As kg⁻¹ dm (Maihara, Moura,
364 Catharino, Castro, & Figueira, 2008). Another study reported an arsenic content of 1.3
365 mg As kg⁻¹ dm in a Shiitake sample (Wuilloud et al., 2004). According to Haldimann
366 and co-authors (Haldimann, Bajo, Haller, Venner, & Zimmerli, 1995), the As content in
367 five Shiitake mushrooms varied from 0.04 to 0.07 mg As kg⁻¹ dm. The available results
368 on arsenic in Shiitake-based food are limited and conflicting. Given the number of
369 samples analysed in the present study and the small amount of data available in the

370 literature, the present values of arsenic content cannot be generalized to indicate the
371 concentrations commonly present in Shiitake mushrooms.

372

373 3.3 Arsenic species in purchased Shiitake

374 The arsenic speciation results for the purchased edible Shiitake products are
375 shown in Table 3. Inorganic arsenic was the predominant As compound in all Shiitake
376 products and ranged from 0.086 to 1.38 mg As kg⁻¹ dm, with a mean value of 0.43 mg
377 As kg⁻¹ dm. Inorganic arsenic accounted for 53 to 99% of the total arsenic with a mean
378 percentage of 84% of the total arsenic, whereas DMA, MA, AB, and TMAO accounted
379 for a few percent of the total arsenic. DMA accounted for 2.7 to 28%, MA accounted
380 for 1.6 to 7.6% and AB accounted for 0.4 to 5.5% of the total arsenic. TMAO was only
381 quantified in one sample, accounting for 3.1% of total arsenic, and AC was below the
382 LOQ in all samples. An unknown compound separated by the anionic column was
383 found in one sample of fresh Shiitake, with a retention time of 255 s. This unknown
384 anionic arsenic species could be a phosphate arsenosugar. This hypothesis is supported
385 by the fact that the retention time of phosphate arsenosugar, present in *Fucus serratus*
386 extract, matches the retention time of the present unknown peak, when using the same
387 chromatographic conditions (Madsen, Goessler, Pedersen, & Francesconi, 2000).
388 However, due to the lack of appropriate standards, this identification was not checked.

389 The finding that almost all the arsenic in the present edible Shiitake products
390 was present as inorganic As is shown in Figure 1. An example of this behaviour is
391 illustrated in an anion exchange chromatogram of fresh Shiitake extract in which iAs
392 was identified as the main arsenic species; DMA was also clearly detected and traces of
393 MA and cationic species were also present.

394 To date and to our knowledge, few studies on arsenic speciation in Shiitake are
395 present in the literature. A study on inorganic arsenic content in Hong Kong foods
396 found an iAs value ranging from 0.036 to 0.053 mg As kg⁻¹ dm in dehydrated Shiitake
397 samples (Wong, Chung, Chan, Ho, & Xiao, 2013). Our results on iAs in dehydrated
398 samples (n=4) are consistent with this study, with a mean value of 0.21 mg As kg⁻¹ dm
399 corresponding to 79% of the total arsenic. Wuilloud and colleagues (Wuilloud et al.,
400 2004) analysed Shiitake samples by size-exclusion liquid chromatography (SEC)
401 coupled to UV and ICPMS for detection (SEC-UV-ICPMS). In their study arsenic was
402 found to be associated mainly with a molecular weight (MW) fraction of 4.4–4.9 kDa
403 for all extraction solvents. The authors concluded that the arsenic species are mainly in
404 a form that is not associated with proteins or other high MW compounds, which is
405 consistent with the present results.

406 Different proportions of arsenic species have been reported in the literature
407 depending on the mushroom species (Dembitsky & Rezanka, 2003; Falandysz &
408 Borovička, 2013; Kalač, 2010). González et al. (González, Llorens, Cervera,
409 Armenta, & de la Guardia, 2009) reported that iAs species were the major compounds
410 in several of the studied mushrooms and that the iAs concentration ranged from 0.14 to
411 0.89 mg As kg⁻¹, similar to the present results. However, a high iAs content was found
412 in *Lycoperdon* sp. mushroom samples on a gold mine site contaminated with arsenic
413 (Koch et al., 2000). Slekovec and co-authors (Slekovec, Goessler, & Irgolic, 1999)
414 reported that iAs was the predominant As compound, with the sum of arsenite and
415 arsenate up to 35.5 mg As kg⁻¹ dm in *Thelephora terrestris*. A recently study also found
416 high levels of iAs of up to 27.1 and 40.5 mg As kg⁻¹ dm for As(III) and As(V),
417 respectively, for *Xerocomus badius* from different sample collection places (Niedzielski
418 et al., 2013). Arsenic species content could depend on the environment; the site of

419 sample collection is an important factor that influences both the concentration and form
420 of As present in mushroom fruiting bodies. However, it is not entirely clear whether
421 mushrooms accumulate inorganic arsenic from the soil, or produce it through
422 biotransformations.

423 The occurrence of inorganic arsenic in food is a complex subject, because foods
424 that are usually high in arsenic, such as seafood and fish (Fontcuberta et al., 2011) or
425 algae (Llorente-Mirandes et al., 2010 and 2011), often have a low iAs content, whereas
426 iAs can be the major arsenic species in other foods with a lower total arsenic content,
427 such as rice (Llorente-Mirandes et al., 2012) and cereal based-food (Llorente-Mirandes
428 et al., 2014). Despite the increased focus in the European Commission (EC) on iAs in
429 food commodities, no maximum levels have been set for iAs to date. However, there are
430 ongoing discussions in the EC and CODEX Alimentarius on the potential future
431 regulation of inorganic arsenic in rice and rice-based products. A maximum level of 0.2
432 mg As kg⁻¹ has been proposed, but this has not been implemented in the legislation
433 (CODEX, 2012). On the other hand, Australia and New Zealand have established
434 different limits for iAs: 1 mg As kg⁻¹ for seaweed and molluscs and 2 mg As kg⁻¹ for
435 crustaceans and fish (ANFZA 2011). China has maximum limits for inorganic arsenic
436 for different foodstuffs such as rice (MHC, 2005). According to our present results,
437 edible Shiitake products contained in all cases high percentages of toxic inorganic
438 arsenic (accounting for 84% of the total As). These iAs concentrations were higher than
439 those usually found in cereal-based products (Llorente-Mirandes et al., 2014), fish,
440 vegetable foods and meat (Fontcuberta et al., 2011) and similar to those of other widely
441 consumed foods such as rice and rice products (Llorente-Mirandes et al., 2012), and in
442 some cases were even higher (up to 1.38 mg As kg⁻¹ dm, Table 3). Although it is true
443 that the quantity and frequency of Shiitake intake are relatively low compared to that of

444 rice or cereal-based food in the European population, it should not be ignored as a
445 potential contributor to dietary iAs exposure. Nevertheless, more data on As speciation
446 in edible Shiitake products are needed in order to accurately estimate the dietary
447 exposure to inorganic As in such populations. There is also lack of data on
448 bioaccessibility of iAs species in edible Shiitake products. The consideration of
449 bioaccessibility and arsenic speciation data into the exposure assessment can further
450 refine and improve the risk assessment process. In a recent study, high rates of As
451 bioaccessibility from several mushrooms are reported (Koch et al., 2013).

452

453 *3.4 Cultivated Shiitake*

454 As well as dehydrated, fresh, canned and food supplements, another way to
455 consume Shiitake is through its cultivation in commercial substrate inoculated with
456 mycelium intended to be grown at home. Therefore, to investigate the distribution of
457 arsenic compounds and the potential health risks involved in the consumption of
458 cultivated Shiitake, a preliminary cultivation study was performed. In addition, the
459 relationship between iAs contents in the substrate, tap water and in the mushroom
460 fruiting bodies was investigated to check whether iAs originates from the substrate or is
461 produced through biotransformation.

462 As mentioned earlier, Shiitake was cultivated according to the instructions
463 supplied by the manufacturer. Tap and waste water solutions and substrate samples
464 were analysed before and after each harvest. The first and second harvest produced a
465 considerable number of mushrooms of different sizes. Differences in the total yield
466 were found between harvests: 319 g and 222 g (wet mass) for the first and second
467 harvest, respectively. The total arsenic concentrations and arsenic species in the
468 substrate, water and mushroom samples over the two harvest periods are summarised in

469 Table 4. Arsenite content is only reported for tap and waste water samples since in the
470 remaining samples As(III) was quantitatively oxidised to As(V) during the microwave
471 extraction procedure.

472 The total arsenic in the waste water samples collected after each substrate
473 submersion was 3.5 and 4.6 $\mu\text{g As L}^{-1}$ for the first and second harvest, respectively.
474 Inorganic arsenic (as the sum of arsenite and arsenate) was the major compound,
475 corresponding to 88% and 78% of the total As in the first and second, respectively.
476 Furthermore, DMA and MA were determined as minor species in both cases, probably
477 extracted from the mycelium and/or substrate.

478 Substrate samples were collected throughout the cultivation study and the total
479 As content was 0.14, 0.12 and 0.15 $\text{mg As kg}^{-1} \text{ dm}$ for the initial, medium and final
480 substrate, respectively. The major arsenic compound in the three substrate samples was
481 iAs and DMA was also quantified as a minor species. The results showed that the
482 arsenic content of the substrate, either total or species, remained unchanged during the
483 cultivation study.

484 In terms of fruiting bodies, the total arsenic content in the first and second
485 harvest was 0.39 and 0.42 $\text{mg As kg}^{-1} \text{ dm}$ respectively (Table 4), which is consistent
486 with the range obtained in the present study for all commercial edible Shiitake (0.11 to
487 1.44 $\text{mg As kg}^{-1} \text{ dm}$) (Table 3) and also within the range reported in the literature
488 (Maihara et al., 2008; Wuilloud et al., 2004). The arsenic concentrations of the fruiting
489 bodies did not differ significantly between the first and second harvest. The distribution
490 of arsenic species in Shiitake was similar to that of the purchased mushrooms and
491 revealed that iAs was the major As compound with a concentration of 0.33 mg As kg^{-1}
492 dm (accounting for 85% of the total As) and 0.38 $\text{mg As kg}^{-1} \text{ dm}$ (accounting for 90%

493 of the total As) in the first and second harvest, respectively. These results are consistent
494 with the range found in commercial edible samples (0.086 to 1.38 mg As kg⁻¹ of iAs)
495 (Table 3). Other arsenic compounds were found as minor species and similar
496 distributions were found in each harvest: DMA 6.7% and 5.2%, MA 8.7% and 2.9% of
497 the total As for the first and second harvest, respectively. AB and TMAO were below
498 the LOQ and AC was below the LOD. Although MA was not found in the initial
499 substrate, it was detected in both mushroom samples. Furthermore, an unknown
500 compound was found by the cationic column with a retention time of 380 s. This
501 unknown cationic arsenic species could be attributed to TETRA due to the matching of
502 the retention times when using the same chromatographic conditions (Kirby, Maher,
503 Ellwood, & Krikowa, 2004). However, it was not possible to check this attribution due
504 to the lack of appropriate standards. This arsenic species was not found in any of the
505 substrate samples and is shown in Table 4 as 'Unknown cation'.

506 Few studies on arsenic species in cultivated mushrooms are available in the
507 literature. Smith and co-authors cultivated *Agaricus bisporus* (Smith et al., 2007), which
508 was grown in compost amended with either arsenic-contaminated mine waste or an
509 arsenate solution. Surprisingly, AB was found in mushrooms and was absent from
510 compost not inoculated with *A. bisporus*. The authors hypothesised that the biosynthesis
511 of AB was a product of fungal, not microbial, arsenic metabolism. In another study of
512 cultivated *A. bisporus* (Soeroes et al., 2005) the results showed that mycelia were
513 capable of taking up As(V) of the contaminated substrate. Arsenic speciation revealed
514 that the majority of the incorporated arsenic in the treated *A. bisporus* was present as
515 inorganic arsenic, highlighting the potential health risk posed by its consumption.

516 According to the present results, toxic inorganic arsenic was the main arsenic
517 species found in both the cultivated and purchased Shiitake products. However, it is not

518 entirely clear whether Shiitake mushrooms accumulate inorganic arsenic from the
519 substrate, or produce it through biotransformations. Therefore, more studies on the
520 cultivation of Shiitake grown on different commercial substrates and under different
521 cultivation conditions are needed to investigate the uptake and distribution of arsenic in
522 mushroom fruiting bodies.

523

524 **4. Conclusions**

525

526 Total arsenic and arsenic species were determined in several edible Shiitake
527 products as well as in home-cultivated fruiting bodies. Arsenic speciation analysis
528 showed that inorganic arsenic was the predominant arsenic compound in all samples,
529 accounting for 84% of the total arsenic. Moreover, other arsenic species such as DMA,
530 MA, AB, and TMAO were found as minor compounds. Despite the low intake of
531 Shiitake products in the European population, the found inorganic arsenic contents
532 could contribute to iAs exposure and therefore Shiitake products should not be ignored
533 as possible source of iAs.

534 The analytical method used may contribute to increase the availability of reliable
535 results on inorganic arsenic in edible mushrooms. Furthermore, the present results may
536 be useful in ongoing discussions in the European Commission and the CODEX
537 Alimentarius for establishing and implementing future maximum levels of inorganic
538 arsenic in food commodities.

539

540 **Acknowledgments**

541

542 The authors thank the DGICYT (Project No. CTQ2010-15377) and the Grup de
543 Recerca Consolidat (Project No. SGR2009-1188) for financial help received in support
544 of this study. T. Llorente-Mirandes acknowledges the Ajuts Predoctorals de Formació
545 en Docència i Recerca (ADR) of the University of Barcelona for a pre-doctoral grant.
546 The authors also thank Dr. A. Padró (Centres Científics i Tecnològics de la Universitat
547 de Barcelona, CCiTUB) for his valuable assistance with the LC-ICPMS measurements.

548

549 **References**

550

551 ANFZA (Australia New Zealand Food Authority) Food Standards Code. 2011.
552 *Standard 1.4.1. Contaminants and natural toxicants*. Issue 124.

553 Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) (2007). *Toxicological*
554 *profile for arsenic*. United States: Department of Health and Human Services, Public
555 Health Service.

556 Baer, I., Baxter, M., Devesa, V., Vélez, D., Raber, G., Rubio, R., et al. (2011).
557 Performance of laboratories in speciation analysis in seafood - Case of methylmercury
558 and inorganic arsenic. *Food Control*, 22(12), 1928–1934.

559 Chang, S.-T., & Miles, P. G. (2004). *Mushrooms: Cultivation, Nutritional Value,*
560 *Medicinal Effect, and Environmental Impact*. (2nd ed.). CRC Press LLC.

561 Codex (2012) Proposed draft maximum levels for arsenic in rice (at step 3).
562 http://usriceproducers.com/files/362_2012.01_WHO.maximumlevelsforarsenic.pdf.

563 Accessed 20 December 2013

564 Commission EC (2006) Commission regulation (EC) No 1881/ 2006 of 19 December
565 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Off J Eur Commun
566 L364:5–24.

567 Cordeiro, F., Robouch, P., Emteborg, H., Seghers, J., Fiamegkos, I., Cizek-Stroh, A., &
568 de la Calle, M.B (2013). IMEP-116: Determination of total cadmium, lead, arsenic,
569 mercury and inorganic arsenic in mushrooms. Report of the sixteenth interlaboratory
570 comparison organised by the European Reference Laboratory for Heavy Metals in Feed
571 and Food, EUR 26214 EN-2013.

572 de la Calle, M B, Baer, I., Robouch, P., Cordeiro, F., Emteborg, H., Baxter, M. J., et al.
573 (2012). Is it possible to agree on a value for inorganic arsenic in food? The outcome of
574 IMEP-112. *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, 404(8), 2475–2488.

575 de la Calle, M.B., Emteborg, H., Linsinger, T. P. J., Montoro, R., Sloth, J. J., Rubio, R.,
576 et al. (2011). Does the determination of inorganic arsenic in rice depend on the method?
577 *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 30(4), 641–651.

578 Dembitsky, V. M., & Rezanka, T. (2003). Natural occurrence of arseno compounds in
579 plants, lichens, fungi, algal species, and microorganisms. *Plant Science*, 165(6), 1177–
580 1192.

581 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2009). Scientific Opinion on Arsenic in Food.
582 *EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM)*, 7(10), 1351.

583 Falandysz, J., & Borovička, J. (2013). Macro and trace mineral constituents and
584 radionuclides in mushrooms: Health benefits and risks. *Applied Microbiology and*
585 *Biotechnology*, 97(2), 477–501.

586 Feldmann, J., & Krupp, E. M. (2011). Critical review or scientific opinion paper:
587 Arsenosugars-a class of benign arsenic species or justification for developing partly
588 speciated arsenic fractionation in foodstuffs? *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*,
589 399(5), 1735–1741.

590 Fontcuberta, M., Calderon, J., Villalbí, J. R., Centrich, F., Portaña, S., Espelt, A., et al.
591 (2011). Total and inorganic arsenic in marketed food and associated health risks for the

592 Catalan (Spain) population. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(18),
593 10013–10022.

594 González, A., Llorens, A., Cervera, M. L., Armenta, S., & de la Guardia, M. (2009).
595 Non-chromatographic speciation of inorganic arsenic in mushrooms by hydride
596 generation atomic fluorescence spectrometry. *Food Chemistry*, 115(1), 360–364.

597 Haldimann, M., Bajo, C., Haller, T., Venner, T., & Zimmerli, B. (1995). Occurrence of
598 arsenic, lead, cadmium, mercury and selenium in cultivated mushrooms. *Mitteilungen
599 aus dem Gebiete der Lebensmitteluntersuchung und Hygiene*, 86(5), 463–484.

600 Kalač, P. (2010). Trace element contents in European species of wild growing edible
601 mushrooms: A review for the period 2000-2009. *Food Chemistry*, 122(1), 2–15.

602 Kalač, P. (2013). A review of chemical composition and nutritional value of wild-
603 growing and cultivated mushrooms. *Journal of the science of food and agriculture*,
604 93(2), 209–18.

605 Kirby, J., Maher, W., Ellwood, M., & Krikowa, F. (2004). Arsenic species
606 determination in biological tissues by HPLC-ICP-MS and HPLC-HG-ICP-MS.
607 *Australian Journal of Chemistry*, 57(10), 957–966.

608 Koch, I, Dee, J., House, K., Sui, J., Zhang, J., McKnight-Whitford, A., & Reimer, K. J.
609 (2013). Bioaccessibility and speciation of arsenic in country foods from contaminated
610 sites in Canada. *Science of the Total Environment*, 449, 1–8.

611 Koch, I, Wang, L., Reimer, K. J., & Cullen, W. R. (2000). Arsenic species in terrestrial
612 fungi and lichens from Yellowknife, NWT, Canada. *Applied Organometallic Chemistry*,
613 14(5), 245–252.

614 Larsen, E. H., Hansen, M., & Gössler, W. (1998). Speciation and health risk
615 considerations of arsenic in the edible mushroom *Laccaria Amethystina* collected from

616 contaminated and uncontaminated locations. *Applied Organometallic Chemistry*, 12(4),
617 285–291.

618 Llorente-Mirandes, T, Ruiz-Chancho, M. J., Barbero, M., Rubio, R., & López-Sánchez,
619 J. F. (2010). Measurement of arsenic compounds in littoral zone algae from the Western
620 Mediterranean Sea: Occurrence of arsenobetaine. *Chemosphere*, 81(7), 867–875.

621 Llorente-Mirandes, T, Ruiz-Chancho, M. J., Barbero, M., Rubio, R., & López-Sánchez,
622 J. F. (2011). Determination of water-soluble arsenic compounds in commercial edible
623 seaweed by LC-ICPMS. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(24), 12963–
624 12968.

625 Llorente-Mirandes, T, Calderon, J., López-Sánchez, J. F., Centrich, F., & Rubio, R.
626 (2012). A fully validated method for the determination of arsenic species in rice and
627 infant cereal products. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 84(2), 225–238.

628 Llorente-Mirandes, T., Calderón, J., Centrich, F., Rubio, R., & López-Sánchez, J. F.
629 (2014). A need for determination of arsenic species at low levels in cereal-based food
630 and infant cereals. Validation of a method by IC-ICPMS. *Food Chemistry* 147(15),
631 377–385.

632 Madsen, A. D., Goessler, W., Pedersen, S. N., & Francesconi, K. a. (2000).
633 Characterization of an algal extract by HPLC-ICP-MS and LC-electrospray MS for use
634 in arsenosugar speciation studies. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 15(6),
635 657–662.

636 Maihara, V. A., Moura, P. L., Catharino, M. G., Castro, L. P., & Figueira, R. C. L.
637 (2008). Arsenic and cadmium content in edible mushrooms from São Paulo, Brazil
638 determined by INAA and GF AAS. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*,
639 278(2), 395–397.

640 MHC. (2003). *Hygienic standard for edible mushrooms*, GB7096-2003. Beijing:
641 Ministry of Health of China.

642 MHC. (2005). *Maximum levels of contaminants in foods*, GB2762-2005. Beijing:
643 Ministry of Health of China.

644 Niedzielski, P., Mleczek, M., Magdziak, Z., Siwulski, M., & Kozak, L. (2013). Selected
645 arsenic species: As(III), As(V) and dimethylarsenic acid (DMAA) in *Xerocomus badius*
646 fruiting bodies. *Food Chemistry*, *141*(4), 3571–3577.

647 Šlejkovec, Z., Byrne, A. R., Stijve, T., Goessler, W., & Irgolic, K. J. (1997). Arsenic
648 compounds in higher fungi. *Applied Organometallic Chemistry*, *11*(8), 673–682.

649 Šlejkovec, M., Goessler, W., & Irgolic, K. J. (1999). Inorganic and organic arsenic
650 compounds in Slovenian mushrooms: Comparison of arsenic-specific detectors for
651 liquid chromatography. *Chemical Speciation and Bioavailability*, *11*(4), 115–123.

652 Smith, P G, Koch, I., & Reimer, K. J. (2007). Arsenic speciation analysis of cultivated
653 white button mushrooms (*Agaricus bisporus*) using high-performance liquid
654 chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, and X-ray absorption
655 spectroscopy. *Environmental Science and Technology*, *41*(20), 6947–6954.

656 Soeroes, C., Kienzl, N., Ipolyi, I., Dernovics, M., Fodor, P., & Kuehnelt, D. (2005).
657 Arsenic uptake and arsenic compounds in cultivated *Agaricus bisporus*. *Food Control*,
658 *16*(5), 459–464.

659 Vetter, J. (2004). Arsenic content of some edible mushroom species. *European Food*
660 *Research and Technology*, *219*(1), 71–74.

661 Wasser, S. P. (2002). Medicinal mushrooms as a source of antitumor and
662 immunomodulating polysaccharides. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, *60*(3),
663 258–74.

664 WHO (World Health Organization) (2011) Evaluation of certain contaminants in food:
665 seventy-second meeting of the Joint FAO/ WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives.
666 WHO Technical Report Series 959.

667 Wong, W. W. K., Chung, S. W. C., Chan, B. T. P., Ho, Y. Y., & Xiao, Y. (2013).
668 Dietary exposure to inorganic arsenic of the Hong Kong population: Results of the first
669 Hong Kong Total Diet Study. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 51(0), 379–385.

670 Wuilloud, R. G., Kannamkumarath, S. S., & Caruso, J. a. (2004). Speciation of essential
671 and toxic elements in edible mushrooms: Size-exclusion chromatography separation
672 with on-line UV-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry detection. *Applied*
673 *Organometallic Chemistry*, 18(4), 156–165.

Table 1

Operating conditions of the LC-ICPMS system

<i>ICPMS parameters</i>		
RF power	1550 W	
Make up gas flow, Ar	0.32 L min ⁻¹	
Carrier gas flow, Ar	0.85 L min ⁻¹	
Spray chamber (type and temperature)	Scott-type and 15 °C	
Sampler and skimmer cones	Nickel	
Nebuliser	BURGENER Ari Mist HP	
Sampling depth	8.0 mm	
Cell exit	-36 V	
Masses	m/z 75 (⁷⁵ As), m/z 35 (³⁵ Cl) and m/z 77 (⁴⁰ Ar ³⁷ Cl)	
Collision cell	OFF	
Dwell time	2.0 s (m/z 75), 0.1 s (m/z 35 and m/z 77)	
QP/OctP bias difference	3 V	
<i>Chromatographic conditions</i>		
	<i>Anionic exchange</i>	<i>Cationic exchange</i>
Column	Hamilton PRP-X100 (250 mm x 4.1 mm, 10 µm)	Zorbax 300-SCX. (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm)
Pre column	Hamilton PRP-X100. (20 x 2.0 mm i.d., 10 µm)	Zorbax 300-SCX. (12.5 mm x 4.6 i.d., 5 µm)
Mobile phase	20 mM NH ₄ H ₂ PO ₄ , pH=5.8	20 mM pyridine, pH=2.6
Flow rate	1.5 mL min ⁻¹	1.5 mL min ⁻¹
Injection volume	100 µL	50 µL
Column temperature	Room temperature 24 °C	Room temperature 24 °C
Pressure	145 bar	152 bar
Arsenic species	As(III), DMA, MA and As(V)	AB, AC and TMAO
Elution	Isocratic, 8 min	Isocratic, 9 min

Table 2

Table 2. Quality assessment of total arsenic and arsenic species in reference materials. Concentrations are expressed as mg As kg⁻¹ dry mass (mean \pm SD, n = 3).

Reference Material	Total As	Total extracted As	Arsenic species						Sum of As species	Extraction efficiency (%)	Column recovery (%)	
			DMA	MA	iAs	AB	AC	TMAO				Unknown cation ^d
ERM-BC211 Rice	0.256 \pm 0.009	0.252 \pm 0.011	0.125 \pm 0.005	0.011 \pm 0.001	0.122 \pm 0.006	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.258 \pm 0.012	98	102
Certified value	0.260 \pm 0.013 ^a	0.119 \pm 0.013 ^a	0.124 \pm 0.011 ^a									
NIST SRM 1570a Spinach leaves	0.069 \pm 0.005	0.064 \pm 0.007	<LOD	<LOD	0.059 \pm 0.005	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.059 \pm 0.005	93	92
Certified value	0.068 \pm 0.012 ^a				0.054 \pm 0.012 ^c							
WEPAL-IPE-120 <i>Agaricus bisporus</i>	0.167 \pm 0.012	0.166 \pm 0.021	0.047 \pm 0.004	<LOD	0.033 \pm 0.001	0.067 \pm 0.004	<LOD	<LOQ	0.009 \pm 0.001	0.156 \pm 0.010	99	94
Indicative value	0.137 \pm 0.067 ^b											

^a Certified value: mean \pm uncertainty.

^b Indicative value: mean \pm standard deviation.

^c Reported value for iAs according to expert laboratories in IMEP-112: mean \pm expanded uncertainty (k=2) (de la Calle et al., 2012).

^d Unknown cation arsenic species with a retention time of 380 s.

Table 3

Table 3. Total arsenic and arsenic species in purchased edible Shiitake products. Concentrations are expressed as mg As kg⁻¹ dry mass (mean ± SD, n = 3).

Type of Shiitake	Total As	Total extracted As		Arsenic species					Sum of As species	Extraction efficiency (%)	Column recovery (%)		
		DMA	MA	iAs	Unknown anion ^a	AB	AC	TMAO					
Fresh-1	1.42 ± 0.06	1.41 ± 0.07	0.070 ± 0.004	0.025 ± 0.002	1.20 ± 0.03	<LOD	0.006 ± 0.001	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	1.30 ± 0.037	99	92
Fresh-2	0.58 ± 0.02	0.57 ± 0.03	0.070 ± 0.003	0.009 ± 0.001	0.31 ± 0.01	0.067 ± 0.004	0.032 ± 0.003	<LOD	<LOD	0.018 ± 0.002	0.51 ± 0.023	98	90
Fresh-3	0.11 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.01	<LOQ	<LOD	0.10 ± 0.01	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOQ	<LOD	0.10 ± 0.010	96	95
Fresh-4	0.93 ± 0.01	0.93 ± 0.02	0.025 ± 0.001	0.021 ± 0.004	0.90 ± 0.04	<LOD	<LOQ	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.95 ± 0.045	99	102
Fresh-5	1.44 ± 0.04	1.40 ± 0.11	<LOQ	0.041 ± 0.004	1.38 ± 0.08	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOQ	1.42 ± 0.084	97	102
Canned-1	0.15 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01	<LOQ	<LOQ	0.15 ± 0.01	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.15 ± 0.010	99	99
Canned-2	0.66 ± 0.07	0.62 ± 0.01	<LOQ	0.050 ± 0.004	0.58 ± 0.01	<LOD	<LOQ	<LOQ	<LOQ	<LOD	0.63 ± 0.014	93	102
Canned-3	0.17 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.01	<LOQ	<LOD	0.17 ± 0.01	<LOD	<LOD	<LOQ	<LOQ	<LOQ	0.17 ± 0.010	103	96
Food supplements-1	0.45 ± 0.01	0.44 ± 0.02	0.012 ± 0.001	0.012 ± 0.001	0.35 ± 0.02	<LOD	0.007 ± 0.001	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.38 ± 0.023	99	87

Food supplements-2	0.12 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01	0.033 ± 0.001	<LOD	0.086 ± 0.011	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.12 ± 0.012	99	101
Dehydrated-1	0.14 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01	0.009 ± 0.001	0.006 ± 0.001	0.12 ± 0.01	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.14 ± 0.012	100	96
Dehydrated-2	0.27 ± 0.02	0.25 ± 0.02	0.015 ± 0.001	0.010 ± 0.001	0.20 ± 0.01	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.23 ± 0.012	94	92
Dehydrated-3	0.29 ± 0.02	0.28 ± 0.01	0.020 ± 0.001	0.014 ± 0.001	0.22 ± 0.01	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.25 ± 0.012	96	89
Dehydrated-4	0.34 ± 0.03	0.33 ± 0.05	0.022 ± 0.001	0.014 ± 0.001	0.28 ± 0.02	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.32 ± 0.012	99	96

^a Unknown anion arsenic species with a retention time of 255 s.

Table 4

Table 4. Total arsenic and arsenic species in cultivated Shiitake, substrate samples, and tap and waste water. Concentrations are expressed as mg As kg⁻¹ dry mass (mean ± SD, n = 3) for Shiitake and substrate samples. Concentrations are expressed as µg As L⁻¹ for tap and waste water (mean ± SD, n = 3).

Harvest	Sample	Total As	Total extracted As	Arsenic species							Sum of As species	Extraction efficiency (%)	Column recovery (%)		
				As (III)	DMA	MA	As (V)	AB	AC	TMAO				Unknown cation ^b	
First	Mushroom-1	0.39 ± 0.02	0.38 ± 0.02	-	0.026 ± 0.002	0.034 ± 0.002	0.33 ± 0.01	<LOQ	<LOD	<LOQ	<LOD	0.014 ± 0.001	0.40 ± 0.015	99	105
	Original substrate	0.14 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01	-	0.004 ± 0.001	<LOQ	0.12 ± 0.02	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.12 ± 0.021	92	93
	Medium substrate	0.12 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.01	-	0.005 ± 0.001	<LOQ	0.11 ± 0.01	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.12 ± 0.011	98	96
	Tap water-1	0.85 ± 0.04	n.a ^a	-	<LOD	<LOD	0.82 ± 0.05	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.82 ± 0.050	-	-
	Waste water-1	3.5 ± 0.30	n.a ^a	1.06 ± 0.09	0.17 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.02	2.03 ± 0.15	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	3.44 ± 0.27	-	-
Second	Mushroom-2	0.42 ± 0.03	0.42 ± 0.01	-	0.022 ± 0.001	0.012 ± 0.001	0.38 ± 0.02	<LOQ	<LOD	<LOQ	<LOD	0.013 ± 0.002	0.43 ± 0.024	99	102
	Final substrate	0.15 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.02	-	0.007 ± 0.001	<LOD	0.13 ± 0.01	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.14 ± 0.011	97	91
	Tap water-2	0.86 ± 0.03	n.a ^a	0.79 ± 0.04	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.79 ± 0.040	-	-
	Waste water-2	4.6 ± 0.60	n.a ^a	0.73 ± 0.04	0.31 ± 0.03	0.24 ± 0.02	2.85 ± 0.20	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	4.12 ± 0.29	-	-

^a Not analysed, these samples were not extracted.

^b Unknown cation arsenic species with a retention time of 380 s.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Chromatogram from anion exchange by LC-ICPMS of fresh Shiitake extract.

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

