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Abstract
Swine is widely used as an experimental model in several areas of medicine based on its anatomical and physiological similarities to humans. In this report, we describe an external surgical approach on the mandible of the swine as a suitable experimental model in dentistry. Several biomaterials were implanted by using this technique in order to evaluate the degree of bone regeneration as well as the suitable sites to accomplish perforation.
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Introduction
Swine is frequently used as an animal model in experimental studies, including heart implants (1,2) and abdominal surgery (3,4) among other fields (5). Similarly, it also constitutes a suitable experimental model in dentistry, particularly in implantological and restorative surgery (6-8), but also in biomaterials (9-11), periodontal regeneration (12) and restorative dentistry (13).

The extent of anatomical and physiological similarities between pigs and humans make swine convenient for modeling human interventions (5,14). In addition, the mini pig has specific features that enhance its applicability for investigation when compared to the fattening breeds: it is easier to handle in the operating room and during the postoperative period, it has a lower fat index and it has been well characterized. This facilitates data validation and reproducibility (15-17). Additional benefits are: raising pigs is relatively easy; and their organs are similar in size and physiology to humans. In this report, we have used a hybrid commercial pig model. Animals were of standard size, very docile and their handling was relatively easy. Finally, its similarity to humans from a physiopathological point of view provided additional support for its use as an experimental model (18-20).

The objectives of this study were the evaluation of the usefulness of the pig as an experimental model in a surgical technique to implant bone regeneration material, as well as a description of an approaching protocol to access the mandible of the animal.

Material and Methods
The experimental and animal care details of this study were reviewed and approved by the CEEA (Ethical Committee of animal experimentation) of the Universitat de Barcelona (Spain). Nine three-month old male hybrid animals were used to perform this study. Their weight ranged 20-25 kg. The experimental procedure is outlined below: the animals underwent experimental surgery (see below). After the surgery, the animals were transferred to the animal facilities at the Bellvitge Campus and maintained under standard conditions (feeding, light/dark cycles, etc.) for two months. During this period, postoperative checks and follow-up care were performed. Two months later the animals were sacrificed with an overdose of anesthesia, and experimental data collected.

Surgery: The surgical procedures were done in the operating room. Ten perforations of 4.2 mm diameter and 8 mm depth were performed in all the animals (drill SDQ4®, Trinon Biomedical, Karlsruhe, Germany) in a randomly chosen
hemimandible using an external approach technique. The different trephinations were filled with the materials included in the study, leaving two of them unfilled as controls. The experimental materials included: 1) Platelet-rich plasma from the blood of the own animal, at a concentration of < 500,000 platelets/ml; 2) PRP with a concentration of > 500,000 platelets/ml; 3) Bone marrow; 4) Tricalcium-β-Phosphate. After the surgery, the suture was performed in layers, using double zero silk and a cylindrical needle. Intramuscular Terramicine 100® (25mg/kg) (Pfizer) was injected as prophylactic treatment.

Results and Discussion

All the animals used in the study received the analgesic and antibiotic protocols established by the rules and regulations of the animal services in which the animals were maintained. All of them ate normally between 1 and 3 hours after surgery and gained weight adequately. One of them died a few hours later as a result of postoperative complications. Another presented a small abscess, which was debrided (Fig. 1).

None of them exhibited cheloids, hypertrophic scars or dehiscences related to the wound. Anatomical considerations: The mandible was chosen as the most accessible and easy to use bone for the implantation of exogenous materials for their study. Due to the anatomical differences between swine and human mandible, some difficulties arose: the mandible body of the pig is elongated compared to humans (Fig. 1). This means a larger working surface, but also presents unique features that must be taken into consideration, such as the existence of two anatomical orifices (“mentonian”) in the anterior half part of the mandible, separated between 2 and 3 cm depending on the age of the animal. On the other hand, part of the bone thickness is taken up by the dental germs in an age-dependent fashion, which results in reduced available space for material implantation. The coincidence of these anatomical structures with the extension of the working area limits the interpretation of the results. For this reason, young animals (approximately 3 months old) are recommended for experimental studies: at this age, they present a more distended space between the dental germs and the inferior rim of the mandible, as well as a longer distance between the anatomical orifices (Fig. 2). Depending on the number of orifices to implant

Surgical technique: The specific approaching technique employed in this study was chosen in accordance with that described by Fuerst et al. (19) to limit post–surgery complications. Using this technique, a perpendicular line passing through the pupilar middle line to the base of the mandible was drawn after anesthetizing the animal. Perpendicular to this line, another one is drawn just below the commissural of the lower lip. Then, the incision can be performed. This procedure, performed by peeling off by layers or planes, preserves the anterior rim of the masseter, avoiding lesions and improving postoperative edema, facilitating feeding a few hours later (Fig. 3).

We performed 10 trephinations in each animal. To systematize this process and the subsequent filling of the trephinations, we prepared identical templates made of self-polymerizable resin (Trim®) (Fig. 4).
Figure 3: 1: Pupilar middle line; 2: anterior insertion of the masseter muscle; 3: theoretical perforations to be performed in the study; 4: approaching incision. This incision allows peeling off the masseter without damaging it, therefore improving post-surgery. Insert, a simulation of the head of the animal and the incision on the animal number 2 of the study is showcased.

Figure 4. Some aspects of the pattern used to do the trephinations. They are made of autopolymerizable resin (Trim®) which allows the systematization of bone defects. Insert, imaging of one of the perforations.
PRP was routinely obtained by femoral bleeding, and no incidents were reported (Fig. 5). Bone marrow was collected through sternum trephination (Fig. 6). Some complications appeared in pig number 4, being necessary to make three punctures. However, the animal did not show additional post-surgery complications. In conclusion the hybrid pig constitutes a convenient experimental model in dentistry, with very few limitations for the applications herein assayed. Moreover, the external surgical approach technique turned out to be useful to do perforations in the mandible in order to place the regeneration material.

Figure 5. PRP, obtained via the femoral artery (located previously by the attending veterinarian), is used and collected in 5 ml citrate tubes (4 tubes/animal).

Figure 6. Bone marrow is obtained by sternum puncture, which is a less traumatic procedure than puncture of the crista iliaca. 3 to 5 ml of bone marrow are obtained per puncture. Only one of the experimental subjects presented some difficulty for collection of the bone marrow; 3 punctures were required in this case.
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