## AN UPDATE ON ADENOSINE A<sub>2A</sub> RECEPTORS AS DRUG TARGET IN PARKINSON'S DISEASE

# Antoni Vallano<sup>1, 2</sup>, Víctor Fernández-Dueñas<sup>2</sup>, Consuelo Pedrós<sup>1, 2</sup>, Josep María

# Arnau<sup>1, 2</sup> & Francisco Ciruela<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Servei de Farmacologia Clínica, Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge-ICS, IDIBELL. <sup>2</sup>Unitat de Farmacologia, Departament Patologia i Terapèutica Experimental, Facultat de Medicina, Universitat de Barcelona, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, 08907 Barcelona, Spain.

Running title: A<sub>2A</sub>R & Parkinson's disease

Number of pages: 37 Abstract: 199 words Main text: 4269 words References: 67 references Fig. legends: 131 words

<b>Corresponding author:</b>	Francisco Ciruela, Ph.D.
	University of Barcelona
	Unitat de Farmacologia
	Dept. de Patologia i Terapeutica Experimental
	Facultat de Medicina (Campus de Bellvitge)
	Pavelló de Govern, Av. Feixa Llarga, s/n
	08907 L'Hospitalet del Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain
	Tel: +34 (93) 402 4280/ +34 (93) 403 5820
	Fax: +34 (93) 402 9082
	E-mail: <u>fciruela@ub.edu</u>

**Keywords:** Adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptors; Parkinson's disease; GPCR oligomerization; Clinical trial; Istradefylline; Preladenant.

### Abstract

Adenosine receptors are G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) that mediate the physiological functions of adenosine. In the central nervous system adenosine A2A receptors (A<sub>2A</sub>Rs) are highly enriched in striatopallidal neurons where they form functional oligomeric complexes with other GPCRs such us the dopamine D2 receptor (D<sub>2</sub>R). Furthermore, it is assumed that the formation of balanced  $A_{2A}R/D_2R$  receptor oligomers are essential for correct striatal function as the allosteric receptor-receptor interactions established within the oligomer are needed for properly sensing adenosine and dopamine. Interestingly,  $A_{2A}R$  activation reduces the affinity of striatal  $D_2R$  for dopamine and the blockade of  $A_{2A}R$  with specific antagonists facilitates function of the D<sub>2</sub>R. Thus, it may be postulated that A<sub>2A</sub>R antagonists are pro-dopaminergic agents. Therefore, A<sub>2A</sub>R antagonists will potentially reduce the effects associated with dopamine depletion in Parkinson's disease (PD). Accordingly, this class of compounds have recently attracted considerable attention as potential therapeutic agents for PD pharmacotherapy as they have shown potential effectiveness in counteracting motor dysfunctions and also displayed neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory effects in animal models of PD. Overall, we provide here an update of the current state of the art of these A<sub>2A</sub>R-based approaches that are under clinical study as agents devoted to alleviate PD symptoms.

### INTRODUCTION

Adenosine is a nucleoside which is mainly regarded as a retaliatory metabolite [1], thus it increases oxygen supply and decreases oxygen consumption. Consequently, adenosine potentially regulates a large amount of physiological processes (i.e. respiratory regulation, hormone action, neural function, platelet aggregation, lymphocyte differentiation and vascular tone). In addition, adenosine exerts a negative chronotropic and dromotropic effect on the heart, as well as it mediates inhibition of neurotransmitter release and lipolysis. In the central nervous system (CNS), adenosine acts principally as a negative neuromodulator for example decreasing striatal dopamine and glutamate release. In the brain, adenosine is produced by metabolism of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) when it is co-released with glutamate from nerve terminals and astrocytes [2]. Also, extracellular adenosine is related to the intracellular concentration of adenosine and nucleotides, such as ATP, adenosine monophosphate (AMP) and cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) [3]. In fact, in some brain areas, like the hippocampus, most of the extracellular adenosine seems to depend mainly on intracellular adenosine, the concentration of which is related to the rate of breakdown and synthesis of ATP [3]. Thus, adenosine would be released as a neuromodulator [4] by the effector cells in response to an increased metabolic demand [5]. Interestingly, in the striatum, it has been suggested that the main source of extracellular adenosine is intracellular cAMP [6]. Thus, since cAMP can only be generated by the action of the enzyme adenylyl cyclase, striatal extracellular adenosine would mostly reflect an increased activation of metabotropic receptors positively coupled to cAMP as a second messenger, for example the dopamine D<sub>1</sub> receptor. In addition, the dynamics of adenosine release in the cerebellum has been

recently characterized by means of adenosine biosensors. Interestingly, by using this experimental approach it has been possible to demonstrate that adenosine release shares many characteristics of conventional neurotransmitter liberation such as a dependence on action potential width and homosynaptic plasticity [7].

Adenosine mediates its actions through activation of specific G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). Four subtypes of adenosine receptors (ARs) have been identified so far, namely A1R, A2AR, A2BR and A3R, all of them bearing a distinctive pharmacological profile, tissue distribution and effector coupling [6]. Thus, while A1R are coupled to Gi/o, A<sub>2A</sub>R are coupled to G<sub>s/olf</sub> receptors. Interestingly, in the CNS the effects of adenosine are mainly mediated by A1Rs and A2ARs [8]. Hence, while A1Rs are more widespread in the brain the A<sub>2A</sub>Rs are highly concentrated in the striatum where they show both presynaptic and postsynaptic localization in  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA)-containing neurons (GABAergic neurons) [9]. Interestingly, presynaptic  $A_{2A}R$  form heteromers with the  $A_1R$ in the glutamatergic terminals and it is believed that these heteromers act as concentration-dependent processors that exert subtle modulation of glutamate release [10]. Conversely, the postsynaptic  $A_{2A}R$  simultaneously heteromerize with the dopamine  $D_2$  receptor ( $D_2R$ ) and the metabotropic glutamate type 5 (mGlu<sub>5</sub>) receptor forming higher-order oligomers or receptor mosaics (or RMs) in the dendritic spine of enkephalinergic GABAergic medium spiny neurons (MSN) [11]. Interestingly, the A<sub>2A</sub>R/D<sub>2</sub>R/mGlu<sub>5</sub> receptor oligomeric complex, which is located extrasynaptically and adjacent to the glutamatergic synapse of the dendritic spine of MSNs, is activated by volume transmission and the receptor cross-talk within the complex helps to modulate postsynaptic plastic changes at the glutamatergic synapse [10]. Overall, GPCR oligomerization brings new and exciting possibilities to the therapy of PD, since combined therapies acting at a named oligomer can have very different pharmacological effects from the ones expected through the monomers. Thus, the desired effect, e.g. the antiparkinsonian effect, can be mediated by the integrated activation of multiple effector systems but also by the allosterism or cross-talk mechanisms provided by the activation of the receptor monomers within the oligomer. Consequently, the existence of adenosine receptors containing oligomers in the striatum allows a much more elaborate tuning of the regulation of both presynaptic and postsynaptic neuronal responses in local striatal circuitry (Fig. 1A).

#### Neurobiology of Parkinson's disease

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive systemic neurodegenerative disorder associated with, but not only, the loss of dopaminergic nigrostriatal neurons and is recognized as one of the most common neurological disorders, affecting approximately 1% of individuals over the age of 60. While the initial symptoms within the pre-motor phase may be nonspecific (i.e. fatigue, depression, constipation, decreased sense of smell and sleep problem) the associated features and clinical signs at the motor phase include resting tremor, rigidity, bradykinesia, and postural instability. Unfortunately, as a neurodegenerative disease, the motor phase of this pathology continues to progress late in the disease to affect other brain areas (i.e. cortex), thus patients may then exhibit cognitive dysfunction and dementia [12].

The major neuropathologic findings underlying the clinical symptoms of PD is the loss of pigmented dopaminergic neurons located in the pars compacta of the substantia nigra

(SNpc) [13]. However, some studies indicate that the loss of dopaminergic neurons occurs after there is significant loss and pathology of other brain regions including the locus coeruleus (LC), nucleus basalis of Meynert, dorsal raphe nucleus and the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus [14, 15], and there is no explanation for this neuronal selection. In addition, a further neuropathological hallmark of PD is the existence of cytoplasmic proteinaceous inclusion bodies, called Lewy bodies, and dystrophic neurites, designated Lewy neurites [13], a feature common to other types of Parkinsonism. Interestingly, both Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites enclose a mixture of proteins, but  $\alpha$ -synuclein seems to be the main structural component [16]. Unfortunately, 60-80% of dopaminergic neurons are lost before the motor signs of PD emerge (pre-clinical phase). This fact points out the relevance of finding a biomarker of the pathology, especially for early stages of the disease.

The basal ganglia, which includes the SN, modulates the cortical output necessary for normal movement. Inhibitory output to the thalamocortical motor circuit is directed from the globus pallidus pars interna (GPi) and the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr) in the basal ganglia where it acts to suppress movement. Accordingly, within the basal ganglia two major pathways exist and are referred to as the direct and indirect pathways (Fig. 1A), with a third hyperdirect excitatory corticosubthalamic pathway. Dopamine released by neurons of the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) acts on the striatum via activation of either dopamine  $D_1R$  or  $D_2R$  subtypes. These receptors are distributed across two main populations of GABAergic MSNs, one that contains the neuropeptides dynorphin (DYN) and substance P (SP) and the other containing enkephalin (ENK) [17, 18]. It is generally accepted that striatal DYN/SP-expressing neurons, also containing  $D_1R$ , constitute the direct pathway and project to the GPi/SNr (Fig. 1A). On the other hand, striatal ENKexpressing neurons containing  $D_2R$  are part of the indirect pathway (Fig. 1A). However, a distinct population of MSNs with a unique phenotype, namely that co-expressing both DYN and ENK and also the  $D_1R$  and the  $D_2R$ , have been recently described [19]. The role of these  $D_1R/D_2R$ -DYN/ENK neurons, which are highly expressed in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) core and shell but with very low expression in the caudate-putamen (CPu), remains to be elucidated.

In normal non-pathological conditions, dopamine released by the SNc activates the direct pathway and inhibits the indirect pathway resulting in net inhibition of the GPi/SNr, thus resulting in a greater activity of the thalamocortical system that facilitates movements, and cognitive and behavioural outputs. However, in PD, because the loss of dopaminergic neurons in the SNc, striatal dopamine is reduced and inhibition of the GPi/SNr decreases. Thus, disinhibition of the GPi/SNr leads to increased inhibitory output to the thalamus which suppresses movement (Fig. 1B). As a result, the central role that the striatum plays in processes such as motor activity control, motor learning and some forms of associative and visual learning [20] is altered. Overall, dopaminergic neurons from the SNc control the direct and indirect pathways within the basal ganglia via activation of dopamine  $D_1R$  or  $D_2R$ . And, as described above, an interaction of dopamine receptors with glutamate and adenosine receptors in the same striatal neurons has been demonstrated, thus drugs acting on the latter group of receptors have been postulated as therapeutic tools in PD as they can modulate dopaminergic neurotransmission [21]. Furthermore, these receptor-receptor interactions highlight the potential role of the oligomers formed by these GPCRs as new therapeutic targets, since a

multimodal drug-approach would lead restore normal function in pathological states such as PD [21].

### The treatment of PD: the rationale for using adenosine A2AR antagonists

The treatment of PD comprises mainly pharmacotherapy, although surgical interventions (i.e. deep brain stimulation) are also in use. However, all surgical procedures have associated risks and surgery is not suitable for everyone, typically only for advanced PD refractory to pharmacotherapy. Research around gene therapy and stem cell therapy is also promising but remains much underdeveloped thus far. So, at the moment the applications of these new therapies are still unknown. Therefore, the best management for most people with PD remains to be pharmacological treatments.

The vast majority of PD pharmacological treatments seek to restore dopamine signalling and thus to diminish the intensity of the motor and non-motor symptoms. Since the sixties the most effective therapy for the management of this disease is based on the use of drugs that mimic dopamine, initially the precursor L-DOPA (L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine) [22] and later on other dopaminergic agents, which act to compensate for the loss of this neurotransmitter. For instance, other dopaminergic approaches include inhibition of dopamine turnover using monoamine oxidase type B (MAO-B) inhibitors (i.e. selegiline and rasagiline) [23], catechol *O*-methyl-transferase (COMT) inhibitors (i.e. entacapone and tolcapone) [24, 25] and dopamine receptor agonists (i.e. ergot  $D_2R$  agonists: bromocriptine, pergolide, cabergoline; and non-ergot  $D_2R$  agonists: pramipexole, ropinirole, rotigitine) (for review see [26]). Interestingly, although L-DOPA has been proved very efficacious in the treatment of PD symptoms, long term treatment can result in a loss of efficacy as motor functions deteriorate and the disease progresses. In addition, the appearance of motor complications such as dyskinesia and non-motor complications including psychosis and impulse-control disorders become more severe and problematic with continued treatment [27]. Thus, as PD progresses, fewer dopamine neurons are available to store and release L-DOPA-derived dopamine, and the patient's clinical status begins to fluctuate more and more closely in accordance with plasma L-DOPA levels. Exposing striatal dopamine receptors to fluctuating dopamine concentrations may cause a hypersensitivity that is expressed clinically as peak-dose dyskinesia. Finally, fluctuating L-DOPA-derived dopamine concentrations in association with the advancement of the disease may be responsible for the development of motor fluctuations and dyskinesia.

As mentioned above, the dopamine-based agents used in PD therapy have significant limitations, a fact that has forced the researchers to explore new non-dopaminergic PD treatments that circumvent these problems. Consequently, research is nowadays focused on finding better pharmacological therapies that provide efficacious relief of parkinsonian symptoms while evoking less risk of dyskinesia and associated motor-complications. Interestingly, another important area of research associated to these non-dopaminergic-based PD drugs is related to the neuroprotection of the dopaminergic neurons and other neuronal types (i.e. brain stem and LC neurons), in order to slow down the progression of the disease, but unfortunately still no neuroprotective therapy exists. Accordingly, we will delineate here some in vivo studies revolving around neuroprotection (i.e. protection of surviving neurons) and we will leave aside those related to neurorestoration (i.e. return to functioning phenotype of quiescent neurons) as the latter issue may constitute a review into itself [28, 29].

As introduced above, a promising treatment for the disease is based on the modulation of dopaminergic neurotransmission by means of an indirect action towards dopamine receptors. These non-dopaminergic-based PD therapies may offer advantages over dopamine medications, possibly including fewer side effects. Interestingly, one nondopaminergic approach that has received considerable attention by the scientific community is the modulation exerted by adenosine receptors in general and by the A<sub>2A</sub>R in particular on the dopaminergic neurotransmission. Adenosine, as a neuromodulator, synchronizes responses to several neurotransmitters including dopamine in brain areas that are important for motor function, mood, and learning and memory [3]. Interestingly, it has been recently described that the  $D_2R$  interacts with the  $A_{2A}R$  and the mGlu<sub>5</sub> receptor in striatopallidal GABAergic neurons [11], in such a manner that A<sub>2A</sub>R and mGlu<sub>5</sub> receptor agonists act synergistically to reduce the affinity of D<sub>2</sub>R agonist binding sites [30]. In addition, by using the novel resonance energy transfer technique SRET [31] and through bimolecular fluorescence complementation techniques the existence of  $D_2R/A_{2A}R/mGlu_5$  receptor oligomer in living cells has recently been demonstrated [11]. Moreover, coimmunoprecipiation studies in rat striatal tissue have confirmed this interaction [11]. Interestingly, in striatopallidal GABAergic neurons, it has been demonstrated that D<sub>2</sub>R/A<sub>2A</sub>R dimerization results in a reciprocal inhibition and in a final negative outcome in the cAMP- and phospholipase C-mediated signalling [32]. Thus,  $A_{2A}R$  blockade facilitates  $D_2R$  signalling and thereby might in theory restore  $D_2R$ mediated striatal neurotransmission. Overall, the presence of the D<sub>2</sub>R/A<sub>2A</sub>R/mGlu<sub>5</sub> receptor oligometric complex and the cross-talk within the receptor heteromer might help

to modulate postsynaptic plasticity at the glutamatergic synapse, and thus the output of striatopallidal GABAergic neurons (Fig. 1).

Increased knowledge about oligomerization has helped scientist to the design and development of specific A<sub>2A</sub>R antagonists for the treatment of PD [33]. Interestingly, the obtained preclinical data suggest that these A2AR antagonist (i.e. istradefylline) might have major applications in the treatment of PD: a) providing motor benefit as monotherapy; b) potentiating the benefit of dopamine agonists and allowing a lowering of L-DOPA dose; c) preventing the development of L-DOPA induced dyskinesias (LID), and; d) allowing the maintenance of the motor response with less dyskinesia using a lower L-DOPA dose [34]. Indeed, A2AR antagonists have been shown to possess antiparkinsonian properties in human PD patients with LID [35]. This antiparkinsonian effect is probably due to the action of the  $A_{2A}R$  antagonist at  $A_{2A}R/D_2R$  oligomers, thereby reducing the A2AR-mediated inhibition of D2R signalling. Furthermore, the status of some oligomers might be altered in pathological situations such as PD or LID. In line with this, the appearance of LID has been suggested to be due to the dominance of  $A_{2A}R/A_{2A}R$  homodimers versus  $A_{2A}R/D_2R$  heterodimers and  $D_2R/D_2R$  homodimers, thus with an uncontrolled increment in the A<sub>2A</sub>R signalling [36]. Indeed, the expression of A2AR has been shown to be increased in the brain of PD patients with dyskinesias and it has been postulated that the increased synthesis of A<sub>2A</sub>Rs in striatopallidal pathway neurons is associated with the development of dyskinesias following long-term L-DOPA therapy in PD [37]. Interestingly, this hints at another potential therapeutical use of  $A_{2A}R$ antagonists in PD, namely their use not only as anti-parkinsonian agents in patients with pre-existing LID but also its use *de novo* in combination with dopaminergic agents to

prevent the development of dyskinesias [38].[39] Recently, the availability of  $A_{2A}Rs$  in PD patients with and without LIDs was investigated by using positron emission tomography (PET) scan and the [<sup>11</sup>C]SCH442416 compound [40]. Interestingly, while the thalamic  $A_{2A}R$  availability was similar in PD subjects with and without LIDs (and similar to the control subjects) the  $A_{2A}R$  binding in the CPu of PD subjects with LIDs was significantly increased when compared to the PD subjects without LIDs [40]. Overall, as patients with PD with LIDs show increased  $A_{2A}R$  expression in the striatum, and thus altered adenosinergic transmission in this brain region, it sounds reasonable to start thinking in clinical trials using  $A_{2A}R$  antagonists for the treatment of these motor complications.

As mentioned earlier, another important issue around the research related to PD concerns the direct neuroprotection of the dopaminergic neurons in order to slow down the progression of the disease. Interestingly,  $A_{2A}R$  antagonism or gene KO has been found to be neuroprotective in different models of neurodegeneration, such as Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's disease, and cerebral ischemia [41-44]. Indeed, in preclinical studies using an animal model of PD (i.e. the subchronic MPTP mouse model) it was shown that the concomitant treatment with SCH58261, an  $A_{2A}R$  antagonist, attenuated dopamine cell loss and gliosis in the SNc and in the striatum [45]. In brief, the SCH58261 injected half an hour before MPTP administration, and keep after MPTP treatment discontinuation, prevented the degeneration of nigrostriatal TH positive neurons [45]. Also, the selective deletion of neuron-specific forebrain  $A_{2A}R$  prevented MPTP-induced dopamine neuron degeneration and glial activation [45]. Recently, another  $A_{2A}R$ antagonist, the ST1535, showed a neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory effect in the same animal model [39]. Collectively, these results provide evidence of the key role played by the blockade of  $A_{2A}R$  in PD neuroprotection. Overall, with the described neuroprotective effect on dopaminergic neuron toxicity the  $A_{2A}R$  antagonists have opened new prospects as symptomatic antiparkinsonian drugs, and thus justifyied the implementation of clinical trials studying the benefit of using these kinds of drugs early in the treatment of PD.

#### Clinical evaluation of adenosine A<sub>2A</sub>R antagonists in PD

From the wide-range of non-dopaminergic drugs targeted at the management of PD (for review see [46]), we will focus here particularly on the clinical efficacy and the described outcomes of the  $A_{2A}R$ -based approaches that are in at least phase II clinical development for the treatment of PD. Interestingly, several preclinical studies point to the benefits of adenosine  $A_{2A}R$  antagonists on the symptomatic management of PD [47-50]. Accordingly, selective adenosine  $A_{2A}R$  antagonists, such as istradefylline (KW-6002), preladenant (SCH-420814), vipadenant (BIIB014), SYN115 and ST-1535, are being assessed in clinical studies as potential antiparkinsonian drugs [46, 51, 52]. Thus, we will describe here, as a prototypical  $A_{2A}R$ -based antiparkinsonian agent, the development of the selective adenosine  $A_{2A}R$  antagonist istradefylline, which is the first selective adenosine  $A_{2A}R$  antagonist well studied in preclinical studies and clinical trials.

Istradefylline was originally assessed as monotherapy and adjunctive therapy in rodent and primate models of PD, thus the obtained preclinical data suggested that this  $A_{2A}R$ antagonist may have major applications in the management of PD[53-58]. Accordingly, it was initially proposed that istradefylline might provide motor benefits as monotherapy and also it was postulated that it may potentiate the benefit of dopamine agonists. Hence, the combined use of istradefylline with L-DOPA would allow lowering L-DOPA doses used in PD therapy and thus it would be prevented, in theory, the development of LID (i.e. maintenance of the motor response with less dyskinesia using a lower L-DOPA dose) [34]. Therefore, with these encouraging preclinical data, clinical effects of istradefylline were studied in human beings [59].

Firstly, regarding data from population pharmacokinetic analysis of istradefylline in healthy volunteers and patients with PD it was shown the drug fits to a two-compartment model with first order absorption. Thus, in clinical pharmacokinetics studies, the terminal elimination half life of istradefylline was of 70 to 118 hours, with a peak time of 2 to 5 hours. Istradefylline inhibits and is primarily metabolized by cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) and is also an inhibitor of the efflux transporter P-glycoporotein. Smoking and CYP3A4 inhibitors as concomitant drugs alter istradefylline clearance and systemic exposure. As a result, istradefylline area under the concentration-time curve at steady-state increased 35% in the presence of CYP3A4 inhibitors and decreased 38% in smokers [60].

The clinical effects of istradefylline were evaluated in multicentre double-blind, placebocontrolled randomised clinical trials involving patients with moderate or advanced PD [35, 61-65][63], while only in one clinical trial the effects of treatment were examined in patients with early PD [66] (Table 1). To briefly summarize, the length of the studies was short (12 weeks) (Table 1). The mean age of patients included in clinical trials ranged from 63 to 65 years old and approximately 60% were men, while the mean time since diagnosis of disease was from 8 to 10 years (Table 2). The clinical trials evaluated a wide range of istradefylline doses (from 5 to 80 mg), but the most studied doses were 20 and 40 mg (Table 1). On the other hand, patients were usually treated with L-DOPA (mean daily dosage from 400 mg to 857 mg) (Table 2), and often also with other concomitant antiparkinsonian medications (Table 2), while only in one clinical trial patients were treated neither with L-DOPA nor with other dopaminergic drugs (Table 1). The mean time since onset of motor complications of patients was 3 years and the mean time spent by patients in the "off" state was 6 hours (Table 2). Finally, drugs were generally well tolerated in all the clinical trials mentioned above. Thus, on average, 88 % of subjects in each group (i.e. istradefylline and placebo) completed double-blind treatment when all istradefylline clinical trials were analyzed (853 out of 968 istradefylline treated patients and 435 out of 491 placebo treated patients), while about only 11-12% of drug-treated patients discontinued prematurely the treatment (115 out of 968 istradefylline treated patients and 56 out of 491 placebo treated patients). The most common reasons ( $\sim$ 50%) for discontinuing treatment were the adverse events (61 out of 115 istradefylline discontinued patients and 27 out of 56 placebo discontinued patients), followed by withdraw consent (16.5% in istradefylline discontinued patients and 25% in placebo discontinued patients), lack of efficacy (7.7% in istradefylline discontinued patients and 19.6% in placebo discontinued patients), non-compliance or protocol violation (13% in istradefylline discontinued patients and 7% in placebo discontinued patients) and other reasons (8.7% in istradefylline discontinued patients and 1.8% in placebo discontinued patients).

The clinical trials evaluating istradefylline efficacy targeted symptoms associated with dopamine replacement and therapy of dyskinesia. The most evaluated primary variable for assessing clinical efficacy in clinical trials was the change in time spent on "off" state from baseline to end point. The typical primary endpoint was the change from baseline at end point in the clinical rating scale of disease Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) part III subscale [66] (Table 1). Needless to say, available data on the outcomes are difficult to interpret. However, in clinical trials, istradefylline reduced the time spent in the "off" state in L-DOPA-treated PD patients with motor complications but the magnitude of the drug effect was small and with doubtful clinical significance (Table 3). In addition, most studies did not reported significant benefit of istradefylline on parkinsonian symptoms as measured by the UPDRS, with the exception of a Japanase clinical trial (Table 3). In the Mizuno *et al* study, the UPDRS part III subscale score on state was reduced by 5.7 at endpoint in both istradefylline groups (20 and 40 mg) and 3.7 in the placebo group (Table 3) [65]. Thus, the differences between the two istradefylline groups and placebo were statistically significant, but again the relatively modest improvement with istradefylline (only 2 points on a scale with a total of 68 points) raised the question of clinical relevance. On the other hand, istradefylline as monotherapy in patients with early PD was not effective in improving motor symptoms [66] (Table 3).

With regard to treatment-related adverse events, they were reported in between 59% and 89% (average of 68.4%) of subjects receiving istradefylline and between 56% and 86% (average of 67.6%) of subjects receiving placebo. Globally, the frequency of adverse events was not different between groups of patients treated with doses of 20 mg and those treated with doses of 40 mg. On the other hand, adverse events caused discontinuation of the treatment in 6% of the patients, and were severe in almost 4% of patients treated with istradefylline and 2% of the placebo-treated. Finally, the most frequently reported

treatment-related adverse events in patients receiving istradefylline were nervous system, gastrointestinal-, psychiatric- and respiratory disorders. In particular, dyskinesia, dizziness, nausea, constipation and hallucinations were the most frequently reported events (Table 4). Overall, a lot of effort has been put in the study of this first adenosine  $A_{2A}R$ -based drug designed for the treatment of PD and yet the results are modest.

Interestingly, a new set of  $A_{2A}R$ -based antiparkinsonian agents are under study. For instance, a phase II, double-blind, placebo-controlled randomised trial that assessed efficacy and safety of preladenant in 253 patients with PD and motor fluctuations who were receiving L-DOPA and other antiparkinsonian drugs, found significant although slight changes in mean daily "off" time when comparing the effects of the selective adenosine  $A_{2A}R$  antagonist with placebo [67]. On the other hand, the most common adverse events in the combined preladenant group versus placebo were similar -i.e. somnolence (10% *vs.* 6%), dyskinesia (9% *vs.* 13%), nausea (9% *vs.* 11%), constipation (8% *vs.* 2%), and insomnia (8% *vs.* 9%). Again, although initial results show that these drugs are safe and well tolerated, its efficacy in PD patients has yet to be shown conclusive.

#### **FUTURE CHALLENGES**

 $A_{2A}R$  antagonists remain one of the most attractive potential non-dopaminergic classes of drugs for the management of PD as they have shown effectiveness in counteracting parkinsonian motor symptoms and have also displayed potential neuroprotective and antiinflammatory effects in animal models of PD. However, the translation of these promising pre-clinical observations into benefit in PD patients has yet to be conclusively demonstrated. Thus, the continued optimisation of new symptomatic  $A_{2A}R$ -based treatments for many of the motor and non-motor problems of PD is required. Interestingly, a new generation of  $A_{2A}R$  antagonists is now under development. Accordingly, there are several phase II and III ongoing clinical trials evaluating the efficacy and safety of new selective  $A_{2A}R$  antagonist drugs in patients with PD (Table 8). Finally, it is important to mention that key to success in this endeavour is the improvement of translation of preclinical findings into phase II and III endpoints through better clinical study designs employing appropriate study populations. Overall, the need for alternative pharmacotherapies in PD is progressively clearer and non-dopaminergic drugs such as  $A_{2A}R$  antagonists constitute a realistic opportunity to reach this end.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants SAF2008-01462 and Consolider-Ingenio CSD2008-00005 from Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and the ICREA Academia-2010 award from the Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies to FC. The authors belong to the "Neuropharmacology and Pain" accredited research group (Generalitat de Catalunya, 2009 SGR 232).

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

$A_1R$	=	adenosine A <sub>1</sub> receptor
$A_{2A}R$	=	adenosine $A_{2A}$ receptor
ATP	=	adenosine triphosphate
cAMP	=	cyclic adenosine monophosphate

#### Vallano et al. 2011

A2AR & Parkinson's disease

CNS	=	central nervous system
CPu	=	caudate-putamen
CYP3A4	=	cytochrome P450 3A4
$D_1R$	=	dopamine D <sub>1</sub> receptor
$D_2R$	=	dopamine D <sub>2</sub> receptor
DYN	=	dynorphin
ENK	=	enkephalin
GABA	=	γ-aminobutyric acid
GPCR	=	G protein-coupled receptor
GPi	=	globus pallidus pars interna
LC	=	locus coeruleus
L-DOPA	=	L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine
LID	=	L-DOPA induced dyskinesias
${ m mGlu}_5$	=	metabotropic glutamate type 5 receptor
MPTP	=	1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine
MSN	=	GABAergic medium spiny neurons
NAc	=	nucleus accumbens
PD	=	Parkinson's disease
SN	=	substantia nigra
SNc	=	substantia nigra pars compacta
SNr	=	substantia nigra pars reticulata
SP	=	substance P
UPDRS	=	Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale

#### **FIGURE LEGENDS**

Fig. 1. Scheme of the basal ganglia circuitry and its connection to the thalamocortical circuit in both normal (A) and PD (B) conditions. Dopaminergic neurons from the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) control the direct and indirect pathways within the basal ganglia via activation of  $D_1R$  or  $D_2R$ . Thus, the striatum is linked to substantia nigra pars reticulata and globus pallidus pars interna complex (SNr–GPi) via direct (striatonigral) and indirect (striatal–pallidal–subthalamic–nigral) pathways. The co-localisation of receptors for dopamine, glutamate and adenosine in the same striatal neurons puts into relevance the potential role of oligomers formed by these GPCRs and postulated the use of striatal glutamate and adenosine receptors as therapeutic targets in PD. GPe: globus pallidus pars externa; STN: subthalamic nucleus; ENK: enkephalinergic neuron; DYN: dynorphinergic neuron.

Authors (year) Study Country/ies	Phase and Design clinical trial	Patients	Treatment (drugs, doses and length)	Primary outcome	Other outcomes
Bara-Jimenez et al (2003) USA [61]	<ul> <li>Proof-of principle</li> <li>Double-blind, placebo- controlled randomised trial (randomisation ratio 3:1)</li> </ul>	15 subjects with moderate to advanced PD	<ul> <li>Istradefylline</li> <li>40 mg/day oral</li> <li>vs. placebo</li> <li>Istradefylline</li> <li>80 mg/day oral</li> <li>vs. placebo</li> <li>→6 weeks</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Exploratory study</li> <li>No primary efficacy variable was defined.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>UPDRS III motor scale scores</li> <li>Dyskinesia scales</li> <li>Duration of LD action</li> <li>Adverse events</li> </ul>
Hauser et al (2003) US-001 Study USA [62]	•Phase II •Multicentric double-blind, placebo- controlled randomised trial (randomisation ratio 1:1:1)	83 LD treated patients with motor fluctuations and dyskinesias	●Istradefylline 5/10/20 mg/day oral vs. placebo ●Istradefylline 10/20/40 mg/day oral vs. placebo →12 weeks	<ul> <li>Exploratory study.</li> <li>No primary efficacy variable was defined.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Off time</li> <li>On time with dyskinesia</li> <li>UPDRS scores</li> <li>Dyskinesia scales</li> <li>Adverse events</li> </ul>
LeWitt et al (2008) 6002-US-005 Study USA, Canada [35]	•Phase III •Multicentric double-blind, placebo- controlled randomised trial (randomisation ratio 2:1)	196 LD subjects with PD experiencing prominent wearing-off motor fluctuations	•Istradefylline 40 mg per day oral vs. placebo →12 weeks	•Percentage of "off" time from baseline to end point	<ul> <li>On time with dyskinesia</li> <li>On time without dyskinesia</li> <li>UPDRS scores</li> <li>CGI-I scores</li> <li>Adverse events</li> </ul>
Hauser et al (2008) 6002-US-013 Study USA [63]	•Phase III •Multicentric double-blind, placebo- controlled randomised trial (randomisation ratio 1:1)	231 subjects with LD treated PD who have motor fluctuations	•Istradefylline 20 mg per day oral vs. placebo →12 weeks	•Percentage of "off" time from baseline to end point.	<ul> <li>On time with dyskinesia</li> <li>On time without dyskinesia</li> <li>UPDRS scores</li> <li>CGI-S scores</li> <li>PGI-I scores</li> <li>Adverse events</li> </ul>
Stacy et al. (2008) 6002-US-006 Study USA [64]	•Phase III •Multicentric double-blind, placebo- controlled, randomised trial (randomisation ratio 1:2:2)	395 subjects with LD treated PD who have motor fluctuations	<ul> <li>Istradefylline</li> <li>20 mg per day</li> <li>oral vs. placebo</li> <li>Istradefylline</li> <li>40 mg per day</li> <li>oral vs. placebo</li> <li>→12 week</li> </ul>	•Percentage of "off" time from baseline to end point.	<ul> <li>On time with dyskinesia</li> <li>On time without dyskinesia</li> <li>UPDRS scores</li> <li>CGI-S scores</li> <li>Adverse events</li> </ul>

Table 1. Randomised clinical trials with istradefylline in Parkinson's disease (PD)

Vallano et al. 2011

Fernández et al	●Phase II	197 patients	●Istradefylline	<ul> <li>Change from</li> </ul>	●UPDRS
(2010)	<ul> <li>Multicentric</li> </ul>	without	40 mg per day	baseline at	subscale I, II,
6002-US-051	double-blind,	treatment with	oral vs. placebo	endpoint in the	IVA scores
trial	placebo-	dopaminergic	$\rightarrow 12$ week	UPDRS part III	<ul> <li>UPDRS total</li> </ul>
USA	controlled	agents or LD		subscale score	score
[66]	randomised trial	C			•WPI score
	(randomisation				<ul> <li>PGI-I score</li> </ul>
	ratio 1:1)				•CVLT score
	,				●VFT score
					•Adverse events
Mizuno et al	Phase III	363 patients	<ul> <li>Istradefylline</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Change in</li> </ul>	•On time with
(2010)	<ul> <li>Mulicentric</li> </ul>	with LD treated	20 mg per day	daily off time	dyskinesia
The Japanase	double-blind,	PD who have	oral vs. placebo	from baseline at	•UPDRS part
Istradefylline	placebo-	motor	•Istradefylline	endpoint	III subscale
Sudy	controlled	fluctuations	40 mg per day	P	score
Japan	randomised trial	11000000010115	oral vs. placebo		•CGI-I score
[65]	(assigned by		$\rightarrow 12$ week		•Adverse events
[05]	1:1:1		/12 WCCK		
	randomisation				
	ratio)				

UPDRS: Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; CGI-I: Clinical Global Impression-Improvement scale; CGI-S: Clinical Global Impression-Severity of Illness scale; PGI-I: Patient Global Impression-Improvement scale; WPI: Webster Performance Index; CVLT: California Verbal Learning Test; VFT: Verbal FluencyTest.

	Bara-Jimenez 2003	Hauser 2003	LeWitt 2008	Hauser 2008	Stacy 2008	Fernández 2010	Mizuno 2010
Age	63	64	63	63	63-65	63	65
Gender male%	53%	57%	60%	66%	64-68%	60%	43,5-44%
Duration of							
illness and symptoms Mean time since diagnosis of PD	8.1 years	NR	9.3 years	10 years	3 years	1.4 years	8 years
Mean time since onset of motor complications	NR	NR	3.3 years	4 years	NR	NR	3 years
Mean time spent in the OFF state	NR	6 hours	6 hours	6.7 hours	5.7 hours	-	6 hours
Mean time ON state without dyskinesia	NR	NR	7.3 hours	7.3 hours	7-8 hours	-	NR
Mean time ON state with dyskinesia, mean	NR	5.1 hours	2.9 hours	2.8 hours	3-4 hours	-	NR
Mean time ON state with troublesome dyskinesia	NR	NR	0.6 hours	0.7 hours	0.6-1 hours	-	NR
Mean time ON state without troublesome dyskinesia	NR	NR	9.5 hours	9.4 hours	10 hours	-	NR
Clinical Rating scales of the disease							
UPDRS Part II subscale score	NR	NR	6.4	6.8	6-7	8.2	NR
UPDRS Part III subscale score (on-state), mean	NR	18.2	17.7	23.9	17-18	20.6	21
<b>Concomitant medications</b>							
L-DOPA %	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0	100%
Daily dosage of L-DOPA	857 mg	818 mg	560 mg	652 mg	NR	-	400 mg
Dopamine agonists	NR	52%	89,9%	4%-42%	91%	-	92-96%
Selegiline	NR	22.2%	18.6%	11.3%	15-16%	-	50-54%
Entacapone	NR	29.6%	41.1%	47.8%	41-44%	-	13-19%
Amantadine	NR	NR	27.1%	32.2%	26-28%	-	31.5-37%
Other	NR	NR	NR	9%-42%	20-40%	-	16-18%

# Table 2. Baseline demographic and disease characteristics of the patients.

UPDRS: Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale; NR: Not reported.

		the OFF sta Change		UPDRS subscale III On state		
Study	%	Hours	LS mean change	LS mean difference from placebo		
Hauser 2003						
stradefylline 5/10/20 mg/day	-8.2*	-1.4*	NR	NR		
stradefylline 5/10/20 mg/da	-6.1*	-1.1*	NR	NR		
Placebo	2.2	0.5				
LeWitt 2008						
Istradefylline 40 mg/day	-10.81*	-1.79*	-0.4	NR		
Placebo	-4.04	-0.64	-0.2			
Hauser 2008						
Istradefylline 20 mg/day	-9.3*	-1.6*	NR	NR		
Placebo	-5.0	-0.9	NR			
Stacy 2008						
Istradefylline 20 mg/day	-7.83 *	-1.24	NR	-0.30		
Istradefylline 60 mg/day	-7.96*	-1.37	NR	-0.87		
Placebo	-3.47	-0.60	NR			
Fernández 2010						
Istradefylline 40 mg/day	NR	NR	-0.74	-1.11		
Placebo	NR	NR	0.36			
Mizuno 2010						
Istradefylline 20 mg/day	NR	-1.31*	-1.31*	-2.0*		
Istradefylline 40 mg/day	NR	-1.58*	-1.58*	-2.0*		
Placebo	NR	-0.66	-0.66			

# Table 3. Efficacy results. Change in outcomes from baseline to end point

\*P-value<0.05 when compared with placebo; LS Least Square; NR Not reported.

MedDRA System Organ Class/ Preferred term	Istradefylline (N = 953) n (%)	Placebo (N =488) n (%)
Nervous system disorders		
Dyskinesia	166 (17.4)	42 (8.6)
Dizziness	60 (6.3)	13 (2.7)
Lightheadedness	17 (1.8)	8 (1.6)
Dizziness postural	11 (1.1)	1 (0.2)
Headache	11 (1.1)	4 (0.8)
Gastrointestinal disorders		
Nausea	101 (10.6)	28 (5.7)
Constipation	47 (4.9)	18 (3.7)
Diarrhoea	11 (1.1)	10 (2.0)
Psychiatric disorders		
Hallucinations	27 (2.8)	5 (1.0)
Insomnia	27 (2.8)	17 (3.5)
<b>Respiratory, thoracic and</b>		
mediastinal disorders		
Nasopharyngitis	22 (2.3)	6 (1.2)
Upper respiratory tract	11 (1.1)	1 (0.2)
inflammation		
Upper respiratory tract infection	10 (1.0)	3 (0.6)

Table 4. Most frequent adverse events possible or probably related to study reported by 5% or more subjects in either treatment group, by system organ class and preferred term (safety population)

ID	Sponsor	Status	Design/ Clinical trial Phase	Experimental Drug / Duration	Control	Size (n)	Condition PD
NCT00955526	Kyowa Hakko Kirin	A (ESCD May 2011)	DB RCT Phase III	•Istradefylline 20 and 40 mg/day / 12- weeks	-Placebo	360	UKPDS step 1-2 MHYS stages 2-4 off state On LD/DC 1year
NCT00957203	Kyowa Hakko Kirin	A (ESCD June 2012)	OL NRCT SG Phase III	•Istradefylline 20 and 40 mg/day / long- term	-	150	Advanced PD treated with LD
NCT01155479	Schering- Plough (P05664AM2)	R (June 2013)	DB RCT Phase III	•Preladenant 4, 10, 20 mg daily / 52 weeks	-Placebo (26 weeks) and after Preladenant (26 weeks) -Rasagiline 1mg daily	1,000	-PD < 5 years -UKPDS Part III ≥ 10 -HYS stage ≤ 3
NCT01227265	Schering- Plough (P07037AM1)	R (September 2012)	DB RCT Phase III	•Preladenant 4, 10, 20 mg daily/ 12 weeks	-Placebo	450	-On LD $\geq$ 1 year -HYS 2.5-4 "on" state - $\geq$ 2 hours day "off" time
NCT01155466	Schering- Plough (P04938AM3)	R (February 2013)	DB RCT Phase III	•Preladenant 4, 10, 20 mg daily/ 12 weeks	- Placebo - Rasigiline 1mg daily	750	-On LD $\geq$ 1 year -HYS 2.5-4 "on" state - $\geq$ 2 hours day "off" time
NCT01294800	Schering- Plough (P06402)	R (June 2013)	DB RCT Phase III	•Preladenant 4, 10, 20 mg daily/ 12 weeks	- Placebo	440	-On LD≥1 year -HYS 2.5-4 "on" state - ≥ 2 hours day "off" time
NCT01215227	Schering- Plough (P06153AM1)	R (January 2013)	DB RCT Phase III	•Preladenant 4, 10, 20 mg daily/ 40 weeks	- Placebo - Rasigiline 1mg daily	750	-On LD≥1 year -HYS 2.5-4 "on" state $- \ge 2$ hours day "off" time
NCT00406029	Schering- Plough (P04501AM3)	С	DB RCT Phase II	•Preladenant 2, 4, 10 mg daily/ 12 weeks	- Placebo	200	PD moderate to severe $\geq 5$ years
NCT00537017	Schering- Plough (P05175AM1)	С	OL, SG NRCT Phase II	•Preladenant 10 mg daily/ 12 weeks	-	200	PD moderate to severe $\geq 5$ years

Table	5.	Ongoing	clinical	trials	testing	drugs	acting	on	A <sub>2</sub> R	registered	with
www.C	linic	alTrials.go	<mark>v</mark> (on Mar	ch 30, 2	2011).						

Vallano et al. 2011

NCT01323855	Schering-	NYR	OL, SG	● Preladenant	-	54	Mild to severe
	Plough	(September	NRCT	5 mg daily/			chronic renal
	(P06512AM1)	2011)	Phase I	72 hours			impairment
NCT00845000	Schering-	С	SB RCT	<ul> <li>Preladenant</li> </ul>	- Placebo	18	-On LD≥1 year
	Plough		Phase II	10 or 100 mg			- Motor
	(P05550AM2)			daily and LD			fluctuations and
				intravenous			UPDRS
				and oral			20%improvement
				carbidopa/ 5			when "on"
				hours			- Dyskinesia
							when "on" $\geq 2$ on
							scale (0-4)
NCT00442780	Biogen IDEC	С	DB RCT	●BIIB014	Placebo	36	PD $\leq 5$ years
			Phase II	dose			MHYS stages 1-
				escalation /NR			2.5
NCT00438607	Biogen IDEC	С	DB RCT	●BIIB014	Placebo	83	MHYS stages 2-4
			Phase II	escalation /NR			off state
NCT00451815	Biogen IDEC	W	DB RCT	●BIIB014	Placebo	NR	MHYS stages 1-3
			Phase II	10,30, 100,			
				300 mg once			
				daily			
NCT01283594	Synosia	NYR	DB RCT	•SYN115 60,	Placebo	400	PD with good
	Therapeutics	(August	Phase	120, 180, 140			response LD
		2012)	II/III	mg / NR			
NCT00605553	Synosia	С	DB	•SYN115	Placebo	30	MHYS stages 1-3
	Therapeutics		Crossover	20, 60 mg			
			RCT	daily / 1 week			
			Phase II				

ID: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier; Size (n): estimated enrolment of the number of patients; PD: Parkinson Disease; Status: C (Completed) the study has been completed, A (Active) the study is ongoing, but not recruiting participants, R (Recruiting) the study is currently recruiting participants, W (Withdrawn) the study has been withdrawn prior enrollment of patients, NYT The study is not yet open for participant recruitment.; ESCD: Estimated Study Completion Data; DB: Double blind masking; OL: Open label masking; SB: Single blind masking; SG: Single group; RCT: randomised clinical trial; NRCT: nonrandomised clinical trial; UKPDS: UK Parkinson's Disease Society (UKPDS) criteria for PD; HYS: Hoehn and Yahr Scale; MHYS: Modified Hoehn and Yahr Scale: LD: L-DOPA; NR: Non reported.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Newby, A.C. Adenosine and the concept of "retaliatory metabolites". *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, **1984**, *9*, 42-44.
- Ferre, S.; Ciruela, F.; Quiroz, C.; Lujan, R.; Popoli, P.; Cunha, R.A.; Agnati, L.F.;
   Fuxe, K.; Woods, A.S.; Lluis, C.; Franco, R. Adenosine receptor heteromers and
   their integrative role in striatal function. *ScientificWorldJournal*, 2007, *7*, 74-85.
- [3] Latini, S.; Pedata, F. Adenosine in the central nervous system: Release mechanisms and extracellular concentrations. *J. Neurochem.*, **2001**, *79*, 463-484.
- [4] Snyder, S.H. Adenosine as a neuromodulator. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.*, 1985, 8, 103-124.
- [5] Ferre, S.; Fuxe, K. Adenosine as a volume transmission signal. A feedback detector of neuronal activation. *Prog. Brain Res.*, 2000, 125, 353-361.
- [6] Fredholm, B.B. Purinoceptors in the nervous system. *Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, 1995, 76, 228-239.
- Klyuch, B.P.; Richardson, M.J.; Dale, N.; Wall, M.J. The dynamics of single spike-evoked adenosine release in the cerebellum. *J. Physiol.*, 2011, 589, 283-295.
- [8] Dunwiddie, T.V.; Masino, S.A. The role and regulation of adenosine in the central nervous system. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.*, 2001, 24, 31-55.
- [9] Ciruela, F.; Casado, V.; Rodrigues, R.J.; Lujan, R.; Burgueno, J.; Canals, M.;
   Borycz, J.; Rebola, N.; Goldberg, S.R.; Mallol, J.; Cortes, A.; Canela, E.I.; Lopez-Gimenez, J.F.; Milligan, G.; Lluis, C.; Cunha, R.A.; Ferre, S.; Franco, R.

Presynaptic control of striatal glutamatergic neurotransmission by adenosine A1-A2A receptor heteromers. *J. Neurosci.*, **2006**, *26*, 2080-2087.

- [10] Ciruela, F.; Gomez-Soler, M.; Guidolin, D.; Borroto-Escuela, D.O.; Agnati, L.F.;
   Fuxe, K.; Fernandez-Duenas, V. Adenosine receptor containing oligomers: Their role in the control of dopamine and glutamate neurotransmission in the brain. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2011, 1808, 1245-1255.
- [11] Cabello, N.; Gandia, J.; Bertarelli, D.C.; Watanabe, M.; Lluis, C.; Franco, R.;
   Ferre, S.; Lujan, R.; Ciruela, F. Metabotropic glutamate type 5, dopamine D(2) and adenosine A(2a) receptors form higher-order oligomers in living cells. *J. Neurochem.*, 2009, 109, 1497-1507.
- [12] Hely, M.A.; Morris, J.G.; Reid, W.G.; Trafficante, R. Sydney multicenter study of parkinson's disease: Non-L-dopa-responsive problems dominate at 15 years. *Mov. Disord.*, 2005, 20, 190-199.
- [13] Lang, A.E.; Lozano, A.M. Parkinson's disease. first of two parts. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 1998, *339*, 1044-1053.
- Braak, H.; Del Tredici, K.; Rub, U.; de Vos, R.A.; Jansen Steur, E.N.; Braak, E.
   Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging*, 2003, 24, 197-211.
- [15] Zarow, C.; Lyness, S.A.; Mortimer, J.A.; Chui, H.C. Neuronal loss is greater in the locus coeruleus than nucleus basalis and substantia nigra in alzheimer and parkinson diseases. *Arch. Neurol.*, **2003**, *60*, 337-341.
- [16] Spillantini, M.G.; Schmidt, M.L.; Lee, V.M.; Trojanowski, J.Q.; Jakes, R.;Goedert, M. Alpha-synuclein in lewy bodies. *Nature*, **1997**, *388*, 839-840.

- [17] Reiner, A.; Medina, L.; Haber, S.N. The distribution of dynorphinergic terminals in striatal target regions in comparison to the distribution of substance Pcontaining and enkephalinergic terminals in monkeys and humans. *Neuroscience*, 1999, 88, 775-793.
- [18] Steiner, H.; Gerfen, C.R. Role of dynorphin and enkephalin in the regulation of striatal output pathways and behavior. *Exp. Brain Res.*, **1998**, *123*, 60-76.
- [19] Perreault, M.L.; Hasbi, A.; Alijaniaram, M.; Fan, T.; Varghese, G.; Fletcher, P.J.; Seeman, P.; O'Dowd, B.F.; George, S.R. The dopamine D1-D2 receptor heteromer localizes in dynorphin/enkephalin neurons: Increased high affinity state following amphetamine and in schizophrenia. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **2010**, *285*, 36625-36634.
- [20] Bornstein, A.M.; Daw, N.D. Multiplicity of control in the basal ganglia: Computational roles of striatal subregions. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.*, **2011**, .
- [21] Fuxe, K.; Marcellino, D.; Borroto-Escuela, D.O.; Guescini, M.; Fernandez-Duenas, V.; Tanganelli, S.; Rivera, A.; Ciruela, F.; Agnati, L.F. Adenosinedopamine interactions in the pathophysiology and treatment of CNS disorders. *CNS Neurosci. Ther.*, **2010**, *16*, e18-42.
- [22] Cotzias, G.C.; Van Woert, M.H.; Schiffer, L.M. Aromatic amino acids and modification of parkinsonism. N. Engl. J. Med., 1967, 276, 374-379.
- [23] Olanow, C.W. MAO-B inhibitors in parkinson's disease. *Adv. Neurol.*, **1993**, *60*, 666-671.
- [24] Piccini, P.; Brooks, D.J.; Korpela, K.; Pavese, N.; Karlsson, M.; Gordin, A. The catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) inhibitor entacapone enhances the

pharmacokinetic and clinical response to sinemet CR in parkinson's disease. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry., 2000, 68, 589-594.

- [25] Olanow, C.W.; Stocchi, F. COMT inhibitors in parkinson's disease: Can they prevent and/or reverse levodopa-induced motor complications? *Neurology*, 2004, 62, S72-81.
- [26] Schapira, A.H.; Bezard, E.; Brotchie, J.; Calon, F.; Collingridge, G.L.; Ferger, B.;
   Hengerer, B.; Hirsch, E.; Jenner, P.; Le Novere, N.; Obeso, J.A.; Schwarzschild,
   M.A.; Spampinato, U.; Davidai, G. Novel pharmacological targets for the
   treatment of parkinson's disease. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.*, 2006, 5, 845-854.
- [27] Rascol, O.; Brooks, D.J.; Korczyn, A.D.; De Deyn, P.P.; Clarke, C.E.; Lang, A.E.
   A five-year study of the incidence of dyskinesia in patients with early parkinson's disease who were treated with ropinirole or levodopa. 056 study group. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 2000, 342, 1484-1491.
- [28] Meyer, A.K.; Maisel, M.; Hermann, A.; Stirl, K.; Storch, A. Restorative approaches in parkinson's disease: Which cell type wins the race? *J. Neurol. Sci.*, 2010, 289, 93-103.
- [29] Hermann, A.; Gerlach, M.; Schwarz, J.; Storch, A. Neurorestoration in parkinson's disease by cell replacement and endogenous regeneration. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.*, 2004, 4, 131-143.
- [30] Popoli, P.; Pezzola, A.; Torvinen, M.; Reggio, R.; Pintor, A.; Scarchilli, L.; Fuxe, K.; Ferre, S. The selective mGlu(5) receptor agonist CHPG inhibits quinpirole-induced turning in 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats and modulates the binding

characteristics of dopamine D(2) receptors in the rat striatum: Interactions with adenosine A(2a) receptors. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **2001**, *25*, 505-513.

- [31] Carriba, P.; Navarro, G.; Ciruela, F.; Ferre, S.; Casado, V.; Agnati, L.; Cortes, A.;
   Mallol, J.; Fuxe, K.; Canela, E.I.; Lluis, C.; Franco, R. Detection of heteromerization of more than two proteins by sequential BRET-FRET. *Nat. Methods*, 2008, *5*, 727-733.
- [32] Ferre, S.; Quiroz, C.; Woods, A.S.; Cunha, R.; Popoli, P.; Ciruela, F.; Lluis, C.;
   Franco, R.; Azdad, K.; Schiffmann, S.N. An update on adenosine A2A-dopamine
   D2 receptor interactions: Implications for the function of G protein-coupled
   receptors. *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, 2008, 14, 1468-1474.
- [33] Schwarzschild, M.A.; Agnati, L.; Fuxe, K.; Chen, J.F.; Morelli, M. Targeting adenosine A2A receptors in parkinson's disease. *Trends Neurosci.*, 2006, 29, 647-654.
- [34] Jenner, P. Istradefylline, a novel adenosine A2A receptor antagonist, for the treatment of parkinson's disease. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs*, **2005**, *14*, 729-738.
- [35] LeWitt, P.A.; Guttman, M.; Tetrud, J.W.; Tuite, P.J.; Mori, A.; Chaikin, P.; Sussman, N.M.; 6002-US-005 Study Group. Adenosine A2A receptor antagonist istradefylline (KW-6002) reduces "off" time in parkinson's disease: A double-blind, randomized, multicenter clinical trial (6002-US-005). *Ann. Neurol.*, 2008, 63, 295-302.
- [36] Antonelli, T.; Fuxe, K.; Agnati, L.; Mazzoni, E.; Tanganelli, S.; Tomasini, M.C.;Ferraro, L. Experimental studies and theoretical aspects on A2A/D2 receptor

interactions in a model of parkinson's disease. relevance for L-dopa induced dyskinesias. J. Neurol. Sci., 2006, 248, 16-22.

- [37] Calon, F.; Dridi, M.; Hornykiewicz, O.; Bedard, P.J.; Rajput, A.H.; Di Paolo, T. Increased adenosine A2A receptors in the brain of parkinson's disease patients with dyskinesias. *Brain*, 2004, 127, 1075-1084.
- Bibbiani, F.; Oh, J.D.; Petzer, J.P.; Castagnoli, N.,Jr; Chen, J.F.; Schwarzschild,
   M.A.; Chase, T.N. A2A antagonist prevents dopamine agonist-induced motor complications in animal models of parkinson's disease. *Exp. Neurol.*, 2003, 184, 285-294.
- [39] Frau, L.; Borsini, F.; Wardas, J.; Khairnar, A.S.; Schintu, N.; Morelli, M. Neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory effects of the adenosine A(2A) receptor antagonist ST1535 in a MPTP mouse model of parkinson's disease. *Synapse*, 2011, 65, 181-188.
- [40] Ramlackhansingh, A.F.; Bose, S.K.; Ahmed, I.; Turkheimer, F.E.; Pavese, N.; Brooks, D.J. Adenosine 2A receptor availability in dyskinetic and nondyskinetic patients with parkinson disease. *Neurology*, **2011**, *76*, 1811-1816.
- [41] Chen, J.F.; Huang, Z.; Ma, J.; Zhu, J.; Moratalla, R.; Standaert, D.; Moskowitz, M.A.; Fink, J.S.; Schwarzschild, M.A. A(2A) adenosine receptor deficiency attenuates brain injury induced by transient focal ischemia in mice. *J. Neurosci.*, 1999, *19*, 9192-9200.
- [42] Dall'Igna, O.P.; Porciuncula, L.O.; Souza, D.O.; Cunha, R.A.; Lara, D.R. Neuroprotection by caffeine and adenosine A2A receptor blockade of betaamyloid neurotoxicity. *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, **2003**, *138*, 1207-1209.

- [43] Melani, A.; Pantoni, L.; Bordoni, F.; Gianfriddo, M.; Bianchi, L.; Vannucchi, M.G.; Bertorelli, R.; Monopoli, A.; Pedata, F. The selective A2A receptor antagonist SCH 58261 reduces striatal transmitter outflow, turning behavior and ischemic brain damage induced by permanent focal ischemia in the rat. *Brain Res.*, 2003, 959, 243-250.
- [44] Fink, J.S.; Kalda, A.; Ryu, H.; Stack, E.C.; Schwarzschild, M.A.; Chen, J.F.; Ferrante, R.J. Genetic and pharmacological inactivation of the adenosine A2A receptor attenuates 3-nitropropionic acid-induced striatal damage. *J. Neurochem.*, 2004, 88, 538-544.
- [45] Carta, A.R.; Kachroo, A.; Schintu, N.; Xu, K.; Schwarzschild, M.A.; Wardas, J.;
   Morelli, M. Inactivation of neuronal forebrain A receptors protects dopaminergic neurons in a mouse model of parkinson's disease. *J. Neurochem.*, 2009, 111, 1478-1489.
- [46] Fox, S.H.; Brotchie, J.M.; Lang, A.E. Non-dopaminergic treatments in development for parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol.*, 2008, 7, 927-938.
- [47] Morelli, M.; Carta, A.R.; Jenner, P. Adenosine A2A receptors and parkinson's disease. *Handb. Exp. Pharmacol.*, 2009, (193), 589-615.
- [48] Simola, N.; Morelli, M.; Pinna, A. Adenosine A2A receptor antagonists and parkinson's disease: State of the art and future directions. *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, 2008, 14, 1475-1489.
- [49] Jenner, P.; Mori, A.; Hauser, R.; Morelli, M.; Fredholm, B.B.; Chen, J.F.
   Adenosine, adenosine A 2A antagonists, and parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.*, 2009, 15, 406-413.

- [50] Cieslak, M.; Komoszynski, M.; Wojtczak, A. Adenosine A(2A) receptors in parkinson's disease treatment. *Purinergic Signal.*, 2008, 4, 305-312.
- [51] Pinna, A. Novel investigational adenosine A2A receptor antagonists for parkinson's disease. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs*, **2009**, *18*, 1619-1631.
- [52] Muller, T. New small molecules for the treatment of parkinson's disease. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs*, **2010**, *19*, 1077-1086.
- [53] Lundblad, M.; Vaudano, E.; Cenci, M.A. Cellular and behavioural effects of the adenosine A2a receptor antagonist KW-6002 in a rat model of 1-DOPA-induced dyskinesia. J. Neurochem., 2003, 84, 1398-1410.
- [54] Pierri, M.; Vaudano, E.; Sager, T.; Englund, U. KW-6002 protects from MPTP induced dopaminergic toxicity in the mouse. *Neuropharmacology*, 2005, 48, 517-524.
- [55] Koga, K.; Kurokawa, M.; Ochi, M.; Nakamura, J.; Kuwana, Y. Adenosine A(2A) receptor antagonists KF17837 and KW-6002 potentiate rotation induced by dopaminergic drugs in hemi-parkinsonian rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **2000**, *408*, 249-255.
- [56] Kanda, T.; Jackson, M.J.; Smith, L.A.; Pearce, R.K.; Nakamura, J.; Kase, H.; Kuwana, Y.; Jenner, P. Adenosine A2A antagonist: A novel antiparkinsonian agent that does not provoke dyskinesia in parkinsonian monkeys. *Ann. Neurol.*, **1998**, *43*, 507-513.
- [57] Kanda, T.; Jackson, M.J.; Smith, L.A.; Pearce, R.K.; Nakamura, J.; Kase, H.;
   Kuwana, Y.; Jenner, P. Combined use of the adenosine A(2A) antagonist KW 6002 with L-DOPA or with selective D1 or D2 dopamine agonists increases

antiparkinsonian activity but not dyskinesia in MPTP-treated monkeys. *Exp. Neurol.*, **2000**, *162*, 321-327.

- [58] Grondin, R.; Bedard, P.J.; Hadj Tahar, A.; Gregoire, L.; Mori, A.; Kase, H. Antiparkinsonian effect of a new selective adenosine A2A receptor antagonist in MPTP-treated monkeys. *Neurology*, **1999**, *52*, 1673-1677.
- [59] Hauser, R.A.; Schwarzschild, M.A. Adenosine A2A receptor antagonists for parkinson's disease: Rationale, therapeutic potential and clinical experience. *Drugs Aging*, 2005, 22, 471-482.
- [60] Knebel, W.; Rao, N.; Uchimura, T.; Mori, A.; Fisher, J.; Gastonguay, M.R.; Chaikin, P. Population pharmacokinetic analysis of istradefylline in healthy subjects and in patients with parkinson's disease. *J. Clin. Pharmacol.*, 2011, *51*, 40-52.
- [61] Bara-Jimenez, W.; Sherzai, A.; Dimitrova, T.; Favit, A.; Bibbiani, F.; Gillespie,
   M.; Morris, M.J.; Mouradian, M.M.; Chase, T.N. Adenosine A(2A) receptor
   antagonist treatment of parkinson's disease. *Neurology*, 2003, *61*, 293-296.
- [62] Hauser, R.A.; Hubble, J.P.; Truong, D.D.; Istradefylline US-001 Study Group. Randomized trial of the adenosine A(2A) receptor antagonist istradefylline in advanced PD. *Neurology*, **2003**, *61*, 297-303.
- [63] Hauser, R.A.; Shulman, L.M.; Trugman, J.M.; Roberts, J.W.; Mori, A.; Ballerini,
   R.; Sussman, N.M.; Istradefylline 6002-US-013 Study Group. Study of istradefylline in patients with parkinson's disease on levodopa with motor fluctuations. *Mov. Disord.*, 2008, 23, 2177-2185.

- [64] Stacy, M.; Silver, D.; Mendis, T.; Sutton, J.; Mori, A.; Chaikin, P.; Sussman, N.M. A 12-week, placebo-controlled study (6002-US-006) of istradefylline in parkinson disease. *Neurology*, **2008**, *70*, 2233-2240.
- [65] Mizuno, Y.; Hasegawa, K.; Kondo, T.; Kuno, S.; Yamamoto, M.; Japanese Istradefylline Study Group. Clinical efficacy of istradefylline (KW-6002) in parkinson's disease: A randomized, controlled study. *Mov. Disord.*, 2010, 25, 1437-1443.
- [66] Fernandez, H.H.; Greeley, D.R.; Zweig, R.M.; Wojcieszek, J.; Mori, A.; Sussman,
   N.M.; 6002-US-051 Study Group. Istradefylline as monotherapy for parkinson
   disease: Results of the 6002-US-051 trial. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.*, 2010, 16, 16-20.
- [67] Hauser, R.A.; Cantillon, M.; Pourcher, E.; Micheli, F.; Mok, V.; Onofrj, M.;
  Huyck, S.; Wolski, K. Preladenant in patients with parkinson's disease and motor fluctuations: A phase 2, double-blind, randomised trial. *Lancet Neurol.*, 2011, 10, 221-229.

