Synthesis of merlinoite from Chinese coal fly ashes and its potential utilization as slow release K-fertilizer

Jing Li a,*, Xinguo Zhuang a, Oriol Font b, Natalia Moreno b, V. Ramon Vallejo c, Xavier Querol b, Aurelio Tobias b

a Key Laboratory of Tectonics and Petroleum Resources, China University of Geosciences, Ministry of Education, Wuhan 430074, China b Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research, CSIC, C/Jordi Girona 18-26, Barcelona 08034, Spain c Department of Plant Biology, Faculty of Biology, University of Barcelona, Av. Diagonal, 643, Barcelona 08028, Spain

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 13697348536.

E-mail addresses: lijing02003140@163.com, crystalj06@163.com(J. Li).

1. Introduction

China is the country with the largest coal consumption, account- ing for 49% of global coal consumption [1]. In the near future, Xinjiang will be the largest and most important coal-producing and consuming province in Northwest China because of its enormous coal reserves and high coal quality [2]. Enormous coal consumption in PCC power plants in Xinjiang will promote economic development, but on the other hand, it will generate large amounts of coal combustion by-products (CCPs), gaseous pollutants, and particulate matter emitted to the atmosphere that may cause serious environmental problems [3]. Meanwhile, more and more open pit coalmines are being and will increasingly be explored in Xinjiang, which gives raise to large areas of degraded soil in the opencast coal mine areas. Consequently, from environmental and economic point of view, the need for emission reduction and for research on potential high value-added applications for large-amount fly ash generated from the PCC power plants in Xinjiang is of high environmental and economic relevance. Reclamation of large-area opencast coal mine areas after mining is also necessary to recover the ecological and landscape values of the exploited areas. Currently, fly ash produced in China has mainly been used in some applications with low economic benefits, e.g. in brick man- ufacture and road or dam construction [4–6]. Nevertheless, large amounts of Chinese fly ashes are still dumped into ponds or piled on land [7,8]. Synthesis of zeolites from coal fly ash is one of the promising applications of fly ashes with high added value [9]. Since the initial studies of zeolites synthesis from fly ash by Höller and Wirsching

Table 1
Mineralogical, and major and trace elements concentrations of the studied fly ashes.

%	WEI		HONG %		WEI	HONG	
	WAc	WAf	HA		WAc	WAf	HA
Quartz	9.6	4,3	3.7	Calcite	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
Mullite	7.8	3.2	3	Lime	5.1	0.4	1.5
Hematite	2.4	1.2	1.6	Dolomite	0.8	0.4	0.5
Magnetite	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>Anorthite</td><td>0.5</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>Anorthite</td><td>0.5</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	0.4	Anorthite	0.5	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
Anhydrite	1.6	0.3	0.4	Glass	73	90	89
%							
SiO ₂	49	58	53	SO ₃	1.6	0.6	0.7
Al ₂ O ₃	20	23	20	MgO	2.5	2	2.8
CaO	7.1	3.2	9	TiO ₂	0.9	1	0.8
K ₂ O	1.7	2.4	1.9	P_2O_5	0.4	0.3	0.2
Na ₂ O	0.9	2.4	1.3	MnO	0.05	0.06	0.09
Fe ₂ O ₃	4.9	5.5	7.4	SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	2.4	2.5	2.7
mg/kg							
Li	38	67	44	Ba	1076	1290	1475
Be	4	5	5	La	48	37	42
В	46	122	182	Pr	12	10	11
Sc	21	23	20	Nd	53	47	49
V	153	144	185	Sm	11	10	11
Cr	68	65	81	Eu	2	2	2
Co	16	35	25	Gd	11	9	10
Ni	35	76	58	Tb	1	1	1
Cu	88	113	100	Dy	8	7	7
Zn	90	201	131	Но	1	1	2
Ga	26	44	27	Er	4	4	4
Ge	2	3	4	Yb	4	5	5
As	17	28	37	Lu	< 0.1	1	1
Se	2	3	5	Hf	4	6	4
Rb	77	95	80	W	9	6	7
Sr	957	808	1044	Tl	< 0.1	1	1
Nb	53	53	47	Pb	36	47	40
Мо	6	9	25	Th	19	16	22
Cd	< 0.1	1	< 0.1	U	7	4	25
Sn	4	5	4	Hg	0.15	0.05	0.19
Sb	3	3	3	cĭ	444	417	595
Cs	9	10	8	F	670	691	462

Abbreviation: <dl, under detected limit of XRD (~<1%).

(1985) [10], various methods and patents have been proposed, improved and applied by many authors to synthesize different types of zeolites [11–34], among which direct conversion is a common synthesis method [19,22–25]. Due to the peculiar structural properties of zeolites, they have a wide range of industrial applications, such as ion exchange, and gas and water adsorption, among others [35,36]. The potential industrial application of the zeolitic materials obtained depends on zeolite type. Since most of the Na-zeolites have a higher CEC most of the studies and field applications reported above have been performed with Na-zeolites. The high CEC and large pore size, of Na-zeolites (e.g. NaP1, X, and A zeolite), than K-zeolites promoted their application started from the 80 s, studies on K-zeolites synthesized from fly ash and its fertilizer efficiency are scarce [39]. The present study focuses on the synthesis of merlinoite by KOH direct conversion, using fly ashes from Xinjiang PCC power plants, being subsequently applied to determine their potential for a novel use: as an efficient slow release K-fertilizer both for poten-tial application on degraded opencast mine reclamation and for agricultural use in conventional high-nutrient demanding crops (such as sunflower). The synthesis of zeolites from a potentially hazardous waste (fly ash) and its use in the restoration of degraded lands is a challenge for the valuation of this residue, increasing their economic value while reducing environmental and economic costs of landfill.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sampling

Three fly ash samples (HA, WAf, and WAc) were simultaneously collected from the electrostatic precipitators (ESP) of two PCC power plants fed with Jurassic subbituminous coals in Xinjiang Province, Northwest China (Fig. 1). HA was collected from the 800 MWh HONG power plant. The fine and coarse fraction of the fly ash (WAf and WAc) were collected from different rows of the ESP in the 250 MWh WEI power plant. Mineralogical and geochemical composition of these fly ashes is summarized in Table 1. Detailed information on characteristics of these fly ashes is reported elsewhere [40].

2.2. Synthesis experiments

Zeolite synthesis experiments were processed using three col- lected fly ashes (HA, WAf, and WAc), which were first mixed with different doses of KOH solutions of different concentrations in 45 ml 4744 Parr digestion bombs with Teflon reactors in closed systems, and then activated at different activation temperature and time in a Memmert laboratory oven (Table 2). The synthesized zeolitic products were filtered through What- man filter paper and repeatedly washed with MilliQ water until pH 10–11. The filter residues were dried at 60 °C and analyzed by Pow- der X-Ray Diffraction (XRD). The XRD patterns were collected on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with monochromatic Cu K \Box 1,2



Fig. 1. Locations of sampling power plants in Xinjiang, Northwest China.

radiation ($\Box = 1.5405$ ° A) from 4 to 60 of 2Å range, a step size of 0.05 or and time per step of 3 s. The morphology of the synthetic zeolites was examined by means of JEOL 840 scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray analyzer (SEM-EDX).

2.3. Cation exchange capacity tests

CECs of the zeolitic products were determined following the methodology modified after Rayalu et al. (2001) [29]. Around 0.05 g of selected zeolitic products was respectively mixed with 50 ml of prepared CaCl 2 solution in screw cap bottles. The bottles were placed in a Heidolph REAX 2 Shaker with contin- uous stirring at room temperature for 24 h. Thereafter, the slurries were filtered and the remaining Ca $_2+$ in filtrates was immediately determined by ICP-AES. The amounts of exchanged Ca $_2+$ by the zeolites were calculated using the following Eq: Q $_e = (C \circ _C \circ _s) * V/(E \circ *m*1000)$, where Q $_e$ is the amount of exchanged Ca $_2+$ by the zeolite were the initial and equilibrium concentrations of Ca $_2+$ in solutions and filtrates, respectively, V is the solution volume, E $_w$ is the equivalent weight of Ca $_2+$, and m is the zeolite weight. The commercial NaP1 and A zeolites supplied by Industrias Quimicas del Ebro (NaP1-IQE and A-IQE, respectively) were also subjected to the CEC tests following the same procedure to check the accuracy of the method. The error limit of the methodology was <5%.

2.4. Fertilizer efficiency test

To investigate potential application of the synthetic merlinoite products, fertilizer efficiency tests were carried out following the fertilization process modified after Ferret (2004) [39]. Consider- ing season and climate, sunflower was chosen for the experiment because is a fast growing and high nutrient-demanding crop. Organic matter-poor, sandy granitic soil with a CEC of 30 cmol kg -1 was collected from Alella, Barcelona, Spain to sow the sunflowers. The soil was sieved to obtain a homogeneous fraction of soil with a grain size of <4 mm. With respect to fertilizers, large amounts of merlinoite products were synthesized using a pilotscale closed reactor from the Clari- ant Ibérica Producción, S.A in Barcelona, Spain, following the same synthesis procedure. After washed and dried, the merlinoites were repeatedly acidified by HNO 3 (0.5 mol/l) and dried at 100 °C until pH was around 5-6. To check and compare fertilizer efficiency of the synthetic merlinoites, laboratory reagent Ca(NO 3) 2 4H 2 O and struvite (MgNH 4 PO 4) generated by Clariant Ibérica Producción, S.A were also used for sunflower growing. The geochemical composition of pilot-plant merlinoite, soil, and struvite was determined by Inductively-Coupled Plasma Atomic- Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES) and Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) previously acid-digested following the procedure proposed by Querol et al., 1997 [41]. Leaching test (EN-12457) was applied to merlinoite, soil, and struvite, and the leachates were analyzed by ICP-AES and ICP-MS to determine the potential mobility of major and trace elements, respectively. The recommended dose of K, P and N for plant growth is 20 g/m 2, 10 g/m 2 and 10 g/m 2 of K, P and N, respectively [39]. Based on this criterion, 8 sunflower growing tests (treatments, T) were estab- lished with different doses of Ca(NO 3) 2 4H 2 O (standard1), struvite (standard2) and/or synthesized merlinoite (standard3, Table 3). T-1 is a Blank test, in which sunflowers were sown only in the soil without any nutrient (Table 3). T-2 is a standard test using only Ca(NO 3) 2 ·4H 2 O (standard1) with the recommended dose of N (Table 3). In T-3, T-4 and T-5, the sunflowers were sown with the addition of the recommended dose of N (standard1) as well as half, one and double recommended dose of K from merlinoite (standard3), respectively (Table 3). T-6 is a reference test using only struvite (standard2), in which only recommended dose of P was added to the sown sunflowers. In T-7, sunflowers were sown with addition of the recommended dose of P (standard2) and K (standard3, Table 3). T-8 is established as another reference test using only merlinoite (standard3) with the recommended dose of K (Table 3). For each treatment, three sunflower seeds were sown in a circu- lar truncated cone-shaped pot (PVC, 20 cm in diameter and height) filled with appropriate amounts of soil (around 5 kg). Each treat- ment was prepared with four replicates, viz. 32 pots of sunflowers were sown (Table 3, Fig. 2). After addition of merlinoite and/or nutrients into the soil, 32 pots were randomly placed during the experiment process. The sunflowers were grown in open air and regularly irrigated when there was no rainfall. All pots were equally irrigated to ensure optimal water supply and no differences of water availability between treatments. Irrigation dose was stopped once water started to pour through the drainage holes of the pots. After 8 weeks, aerial part of the sunflowers was cut to ground level and weighed. Then they were dried at 60 °C to constant weight, and weighed again for quantification of dry matter of the aboveground biomass.

Table 3

Sunflower growing treatments with different doses of fertilizers.

Treatments	Pots	Reference	Doses			
			K-zeolite	$Ca(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$	Struvite	
T-1	1-4	Blank	_	-	_	
T-2	5-8	std1	-	$10 g N/m^2$	-	
T-3	9-12	1/2K+std1	$10 \mathrm{g} \mathrm{K}/\mathrm{m}^2$	10 g N/m^2	-	
T-4	13-16	1K+std1	20 g K/m^2	10 g N/m^2	-	
T-5	17-20	2K+std1	40g K/m^2	10 g N/m^2	-	
T-6	21-24	std2	-	$4.5 g N/m^2$	10 g P/m ²	
T-7	25-28	1K+std2	$20 \text{g K}/\text{m}^2$	$4.5 \mathrm{g}\mathrm{N/m^2}$	10 g P/m ²	
T-8	29-32	std3 (1 K)	$20 \mathrm{g} \mathrm{K/m^2}$	_	-	

Abbreviation: T, treatment; std, standard.



The dried sunflowers were crushed, milled, and acid-digested following the same procedure reported above [41]. Major and trace element concentrations in the sunflowers were determined by ICP-AES and ICP-MS, respectively. Nitrogen contents in sunflowers were determined by conventional combustion-reduction elemen- tal process by thermal conductivity in a Thermo Finnigan flash 1112 analyser. One-factor ANOVA was applied to test the statistical signifi- cance of weight and macronutrients variability among treatments. Linear regression was performed to determine the relationships among weight and macronutrient content with the following groups of treatments: Ca(NO 3) $2 \cdot 4H 2$ O addition (T2), struvite addi- tion (T6), merlinoite addition (T8), struvite + merlinoite (T7), and merlinoite + Ca(NO 3) $2 \cdot 4H 2$ O (T3, T4, T5), with respect to the Blank (T1), based on total dry weight and weight-normalized con- centrations of the sunflowers in 30 experiments. Results for merlinoite + Ca(NO 3) $2 \cdot 4H 2$ O group indicate the variability with respect to the Blank per unit of merlinoite dose. Analyses were done using Stata statistical software, release 12 (StataCorp, TX, College Station, 2012).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Zeolite synthesis results

Fig. 2. The sunflower growing treatments carried out with different doses of nutrients. *Abbreviations*: Blk. blank: std. standard: T. treatment.

A high quality merlinoite (K 2 Al 2 Si 3 O 10 · 3H 2 O) product was synthesized under most of the KOH-activation conditions tested (Fig. 3, the XRD intensities of the synthetic products are provided in Table A1, Appendix: supplementary materials). Traces of tobermorite (Ca 5 (OH) 2 Si 6 O 16 4H 2 O), perlialite (K 9 NaCaAl 12 Si 24 O 72 15H 2 O), and K-aluminum silicate (KAISiO 4) of much lower industrial interest than merlinoite were also crystal- lized in specific synthesis conditions. Unconverted quartz, mullite, and hematite from the relict fly ash were also detected by XRD in zeolitic products synthesized at low KOH molarities and tempera- tures. Similar to natural merlinoite (IZA, 2005) [42], morphology of the synthesized merlinoite observed by SEM-EDX is pseudotetragonal prisms, forming radiating groups or fibrous spheroidal aggregates (Fig. 3). Different fly ashes presented different synthesis yields under the same experimental conditions. HA showed relatively low synthesis efficiency under the same activation conditions. Higher merlinoite synthesis vields were obtained from WAf and WAc, and perlialite is more likely synthesized from WAf (Fig. 4). Minor contents of perlialite were also crystallized from HA and WAc when activated under at least two of the following conditions (Table A1): (a) high KOH concentration (\geq 5 M); (b) high activation temperature (≥200 °C); (c) long activation time (≥12 h); (d) high KOH/fly ash ratio (≥5 l/kg). That is, synthesis results also depend highly on synthesis conditions: activation temperature and time, KOH concentration, and KOH/fly ash ratio (Figs. 5-8). Increasing activation temperature increases the conversion effi- ciency, which is evidenced by the reduction of quartz, mullite and other residual phases from fly ashes with the increase of tempera- ture (Table A1). On the other hand, higher activation temperature (200 °C) gave rise to the crystallization of tobermorite and perlialite with low actual CEC (Fig. 5). Prolonging activation time, the amounts of the unconverted quartz, mullite and other residual phases from the fly ashes decreased, indicating that increasing activation time also promotes zeolite synthesis efficiency (Fig. 6). However, longer activation time (≥12 h) favored the synthesis of tobermorite and perlialite with very low industrial interest (Fig. 6). Increasing KOH concentration also gave rise to reduction of quartz, mullite phases from fly ashes (Table A1), indicating that higher KOH concentration gives rise to higher synthesis efficiency (Fig. 7). It is worth noting that relatively high KOH concentration (\geq 3 M) was needed to obtain high synthesis yields, probably due to the lower conversion efficiency of KOH solution than NaOH solution [22]. Proportion of synthetic merlinoite was reduced with the increase of KOH solution/fly ash ratio from 2 to 5 l/kg (Fig. 8). Instead higher KOH solution/fly ash ratio favors the synthesis of more per-lialite with low industrial interest (Fig. 8). From economic point of view, the increase of activation tem- perature, time, KOH concentration or KOH solution/fly ash ratio will increase the production cost. Taking into account both syn- thesis results and economic cost, the optimal synthesis efficiency for obtaining a high quality merlinoite product from HA could be obtained with a KOH solution/fly ash ratio of 2 l/kg at 3 M KOH solution, 200 °C for 8 h, or at 5 M KOH solution, 150 °C for 8 h. As for WAc, the optimal merlinoite synthesis condition is with KOH/fly



Fig. 3. Morphology and XRD spectra of synthesized merlinoite from the studied fly ashes by KOH direct conversion. Abbreviations: Mer, merlinoite; Mul, mullite.



Fig. 4. Synthesized results of different fly ashes under the same condition through KOH direct conversion. Abbreviations: Mer, merlinoite; Tob, tobermorite; Per, perlialite.



Fig. 5. Synthesized results by KOH direct conversion under different activation temperature. Abbreviations: Mer, merlinoite; Tob, tobermorite; Per, perlialite.



Fig. 6. Synthesized results by KOH direct conversion under different activation time. *Abbreviations*: Mer, merlinoite; Tob, tobermorite; Per, perlialite. ash ratio of 2 l/kg at 3 M KOH solution and 150 °C for 8 h. With respect to WAf, the highest amounts of merlinoite are synthesized when activated with a KOH solution/fly ash ratio of 2 l/kg at 5 M KOH solution and 150 °C for 8 h, or at 3 M KOH solution and 200 °C for 8 h.

3.2. Cation exchange capacity

CECs of the merlinoite products synthesized under selected con- ditions are given in Table 4. The synthetic merlinoites reached CECs ranging from 60 to 340 cmol kg-1 (Table 4).



Fig. 7. Synthesized results by KOH direct conversion under different KOH concentration. Abbreviations: Mer, merlinoite; Tob, tobermorite; Per, perlialite.

Table 4

CEC of the synthetic zeolite products by KOH direct conversion compared to the NaP1-IOE and A-IOE zeolite reference.

	Conc (M)	T (°C)	<i>T</i> (h)	Ratio (l/kg)	CEC (cmol kg ⁻¹)
NaP1-IQE	-	-	-	-	490
A-IQE	-	-	-	-	540
WAc	5	150	2	24	190
WAf	5	150	2	24	220
HA	5	150	2	24	160
WAc	5	150	5	24	340
HA	5	150	5	24	310
WAf	5	150	5	24	290
WAc	5	150	2	8	130
WAf	5	150	2	8	160
HA	5	150	2	8	170
WAc	3	150	2	24	90
WAc	3	150	2	8	90
WAc	3	150	2	12	100
WAc	5	150	2	12	60
WAf	5	150	2	12	120
HA	5	150	2	12	100
WAc	5	150	2	8	100
WAf	3	200	2	8	130
HA	3	200	2	8	190
WAf	5	150	2	8	160
pilot scale					

The merlinoite products synthesized from WAc, WAf and HA at relatively lower economic cost with shorter activation time (8 h), present CEC of 130, 160 and 170 cmol kg -1, respectively (Table 4), which are relatively lower compared to that of the natural clinoptilolite marketed as an ion exchanger (220 cmol kg -1) [35,43], but still higher compared to the similar synthetic mer- linoite products used for K-fertilizer (50–60 cmol kg -1) [39]. Relatively lower CECs of the synthetic merlinoite products are ascribed to the partial conversion of fly ash to zeolite products.

3.3. Merlinoite synthesis at pilot plant

The synthesis of merlinoite using pilot-scale reactor was car- ried out under the optimal conditions for synthesizing merlinoite from WAf (5 M KOH, 150 \cdot C, 2 *l/kg* and 8 h) to obtain large amounts of merlinoite for fertilizer application test. It presents a CEC of 160 cmol kg –1 (Table 4), same as that obtained using lab-scale digestion bomb under the same synthesis conditions, indicating the repeatability and viability of the synthesis experiments when up- scaling the process. CEC of 160 cmol kg –1 results in an exchangeable K of 62.5 g/kg of merlinoite, corresponding to 60% of K available as fertilizer for KG of merlinoite. This accounts for a 60% merlinoite purity in the zeolitic products obtained, and 40% unconverted mate- rial. Consequently, the yield of merlinoite is around 600 g for 1 kg of ash used, and 1071 g/kg of KOH used, viz. 1538 g/kg of K used. This purity is relatively high taking into account the conversion method applied (direct hydrothermal activation) which is the most easy and low cost method for the synthesis of zeolites from fly ash. Washed and acidified merlinoite products are characterized by a K content of 12–14% and low



Fig. 8. Synthesized results by KOH direct conversion under different KOH/fly ash ratios. Abbreviations: Mer, merlinoite; Tob, tobermorite; Per, perlialite; FA, fly ash.

concentrations of trace elements with the exception of Ba and Sr (Table 5). The leachate of washed pilot-plant merlinoite show an alkaline pH (9.2), high K release (2623 mg/kg) and low leachable levels for most elements (Table 5). With the exception of B (2.3 mg/kg), most trace elements shows extractable contents \Box 1 mg/kg. The acidifi- cation of merlinoite decreases alkaline pH to slightly acidic (5.3), and increases K release to 17,793 mg/kg (Table 5). The slightly acidic pH also modify the leachability of a number of elements, increasing the extractable content of B, Co, Ni, Rb, Se, Y, Ba, Zn and REEs (by a factors of 1.3–12, and up to 133 for Sr) and decreasing that of As, Cr, Mo, Sc and V (by factors of 2–49). The leachable contents from acidified merlinoite are still low, falling within the range of inert landfill material [44] and suitable to be used as fertilizer.

3.4. Use of merlinoite as slow release K-fertilizer

Images of sunflower growth in the different treatments after different growing time (see methodology) are shown in Fig. 9. As shown in Table 5 and Table A2 (Appendix: supplementary materials), the leachability of the merlinoite, soil and struvite are very low, consequently the potential uptake by sunflowers does not include undesirable elements, and no toxic effects of pollutants



Fig. 9. The growth photos of sunflowers after different sowing time. Abbreviation: T, treatment.

were observed in the fertilization experiment (neither in plant growth nor in visual symptoms of toxicity, Fig. 9). After one week sowing, all sunflower seeds started to germinate (Fig. 9a), except those in pot 14 of T-4 and pot 18 of T-5 (probably due to a problem of seeds). After two-week sowing, all sunflowers grew similar (Fig. 9b), probably indicating that the nutrients used did not show obvious effects for sunflower growth at the early growth stage. Three weeks later, sunflowers grown from different treatments started to present different growth rate (Fig. 9c). The different growth rate is emphasized as sowing progresses (from 4 to 8 weeks). Sunflowers with full fertilization in T-7, showed the best growing efficiency, which grew much taller, stronger and greener compared to those in other treatments along the sowing process (Fig. 9c–f). In T-6, sunflowers with a recommended dose of struvite presented the second highest growth but generally they were not so strong and leaves of them were much yellower than those in T-7. In T-8, sunflowers with the addition of Ca(NO 3) $2 \cdot 4H 2 O$ and merlinoite, the sunflowers in T-2, 3, 4, and 5 grew to similar height as those grown in soil only (T-1), but the leaves of them were much greener than those in T-1 (Fig. 9e). After eight weeks, sunflowers grown in T-6 and 7 started to bloom (Fig. 9f). Sunflowers in T-7 grew to up to 1 m, much stronger than those in T-6 and other treatments (T-1). The weight of sufflowers after cutting and drying, the moisture as well as the macro nutrient contents (K, P, N, Ca, and Mg) in sun-flowers of each treatment are listed in Table 6 (the corresponding values of sumflowers in each pot are provided in Table A3, Appendix: supplementary materials). Sunflowers in all treatments present moisture contents ran- ging from 74% to 80%. With the addition of merlinoite and struvite,

Table 5
Major and trace element concentrations and leachable concentrations in the merli-
noite synthesized at pilot plant.

Bulk content	Washed	Acidified	Leaching	Washed	Acidified			Mg	1.4	0.0	2.7	4.3	7.7	22 (1	C.2 <0.0>
			pH K (µS/cm)	9.2 830	5.3 9524										
			mg/kg						1.0)	2.9)	0.7	<u></u>		_	
h02	177	16.2	Al	33	<dl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>10</td><td>t) (†</td><td>5</td><td>0</td><td>3</td><td>(19</td><td>200</td></dl<>				10	t) (†	5	0	3	(19	200
aO	2.9	2.4	Ca	19	7684			Ca	7	1 6	6	18	37	81	~ V
e ₂ O ₃	4.8	4.4	Fe	7.0	<dl< td=""><td></td><td>(%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dl<>		(%								
20	14.4	12.5	K	2623	17,793		d,			_	_				_
IgO	1.3	1.2	Mg	<dl< td=""><td>615</td><td></td><td>110</td><td></td><td>0.5)</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.9</td><td>6</td><td>Ω.</td><td><u> </u></td><td></td></dl<>	615		110		0.5)	0.00	0.9	6	Ω.	<u> </u>	
a ₂ O	0.2	0.1	Na	186	634		ota		.) 9	10	õ	5	ŏ		18
205	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>P</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td></td><td>Ē</td><td>z</td><td> c</td><td>, L.</td><td>°.</td><td>12</td><td>6</td><td>32</td><td>- Ö</td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>P</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td></td><td>Ē</td><td>z</td><td> c</td><td>, L.</td><td>°.</td><td>12</td><td>6</td><td>32</td><td>- Ö</td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	P	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td></td><td>Ē</td><td>z</td><td> c</td><td>, L.</td><td>°.</td><td>12</td><td>6</td><td>32</td><td>- Ö</td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td></td><td>Ē</td><td>z</td><td> c</td><td>, L.</td><td>°.</td><td>12</td><td>6</td><td>32</td><td>- Ö</td></dl<>		Ē	z	c	, L.	°.	12	6	32	- Ö
03 102	0.2 na	na	Si	771	285		tior								
a/ka		na	51		200		ntra		~	-	_				
ig/ikg	47.9	41.2	Li	0.4	10.9		nce		0.03	CD. (0.02	0.1)	.4)	Ē	(1 .
e	3.0	2.5	Be	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td></td><td>00</td><td></td><td>0) 5</td><td>1 m</td><td>. О Э</td><td>3</td><td>5</td><td>55</td><td>4 00 10</td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td></td><td>00</td><td></td><td>0) 5</td><td>1 m</td><td>. О Э</td><td>3</td><td>5</td><td>55</td><td>4 00 10</td></dl<>		00		0) 5	1 m	. О Э	3	5	55	4 00 10
	38.3	28.8	В	2.3	5.9		zed	2	0.0	0	0	0		n' (żΰ
c	22.3	19.1	Sc	0.2	0.1		illi								
	4823	4324	Ti	1.0	0.03		LI I								
	25.8	21.0	V	0.4	0.01		-nc		÷.	£ (0	6	_	í	ý.
r	70.6	57.9	Cr	0.3	0.05		ght		55	10	Ē	ġ	2	12)	<u> </u>
ln -	431	367	Mn	0.1	12.3		Vei		4.9	2.8	5.1	8.2	n n		, Ö
0	22.2	18.9	CO Ni	<01	0.2		~	×						LU	v
1	59.0 66.4	57.1		0.02	0.5										
n	132	110	Zn	<d1< td=""><td>1.3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>÷ é</td><td>N (1</td><td>ŝ</td><td>5</td><td>-</td><td><u></u></td><td>-</td></d1<>	1.3				÷ é	N (1	ŝ	5	-	<u></u>	-
a	30.0	25.0	Ga	<dl< td=""><td>0.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.9</td><td>20</td><td>0</td><td>ē</td><td>o.</td><td>0</td><td>2 5</td></dl<>	0.1				0.9	20	0	ē	o.	0	2 5
e	1.4	1.1	Ge	<dl< td=""><td>0.04</td><td></td><td></td><td>60</td><td>0.6</td><td>0.1</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>6.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>ں رہ 0.0</td></dl<>	0.04			60	0.6	0.1	1.0	1.0	6.0	0.0	ں رہ 0.0
S	6.7	5.6	As	0.6	0.1			Σ			<i>(</i>	,	0	<u> </u>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
e	1.3	2.7	Se	0.03	0.1										
b	65.3	59.1	Rb	0.3	3.0					~ ~	_	\sim	_		_
r	700	567	Sr	0.2	133.6				0.5	6.0	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.8	4. 1.
	46.8	36.9	Y	0.01	6.0				8.0	0. U	.4.	ŏ.		5	÷.0
r u	193	197	Zr	0.1	<dl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Ca</td><td>2 1</td><td>νm</td><td>ŝ</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>m (</td><td>× 0</td></dl<>			Ca	2 1	νm	ŝ	4	4	m (× 0
ID IO	44.8	39.5	ND Mo	0.02	<d1< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></d1<>										
d	r.J cdl	r.r	Cd	0.1 <dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dl<>										
n	4.5	3.9	Sn	<d1< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.1</td><td>9.6</td><td>.2)</td><td>.2)</td><td>5</td><td>í í</td><td>C.</td></dl<></td></d1<>	<dl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.1</td><td>9.6</td><td>.2)</td><td>.2)</td><td>5</td><td>í í</td><td>C.</td></dl<>				1.1	9.6	.2)	.2)	5	í í	C.
Ь	1.5	1.4	Sb	0.1	<dl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0)0</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>000</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>20</td></dl<>				0)0		0	000	0		20
s	6.5	5.8	Cs	0.01	0.1			z	0,0	i n	2	2		-	νÖ
a	986	868	Ba	0.2	0.6		() 2								
a	40.2	33.4	La	0.01	5.1	÷	n ()								
e	95.5	78.5	Ce	0.04	11.9	ner	itio		$\overline{-}$		- -	.	3	4 (Ń
r	11.3	9.2	Pr	<dl< td=""><td>1.5</td><td>atr</td><td>ntra</td><td></td><td>0.0</td><td></td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>2</td></dl<>	1.5	atr	ntra		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2
ld	43.6	34.5	Nd	0.02	5.8	E L	cer						N	-	- 8
m	10.1	7.8	Sm	<dl< td=""><td>1.1</td><td>입 -</td><td>con</td><td>Ъ</td><td>00</td><td>00</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0 0</td><td>⊃</td></dl<>	1.1	입 -	con	Ъ	00	00	0	0	0	0 0	⊃
d d	0.5	1.5	Eu		0.2	ne	nt								
b	9.5 1.5	7.4	Th	<d1< td=""><td>0.2</td><td>rs i</td><td>trie</td><td></td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>_</td></d1<>	0.2	rs i	trie		_	_	_	_	_		_
v	9.4	7.4	Dv	<dl< td=""><td>0.9</td><td>we</td><td>nu</td><td></td><td>1.2</td><td>- (4</td><td>T</td><td>=</td><td><u></u></td><td>0.5)</td><td>4.</td></dl<>	0.9	we	nu		1.2	- (4	T	=	<u></u>	0.5)	4.
ło	1.9	1.4	Ho	<dl< td=""><td>0.2</td><td>offi</td><td>cro</td><td></td><td>)) 6</td><td></td><td>) (6</td><td>8</td><td></td><td></td><td>2 0 2 2 2</td></dl<>	0.2	offi	cro)) 6) (6	8			2 0 2 2 2
r	5,5	4.4	Er	<dl< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>Ins</td><td>Ma</td><td>\geq</td><td>÷</td><td>- 7</td><td>÷</td><td></td><td>ci.</td><td>n in</td><td>γÖ</td></dl<>	0.5	Ins	Ma	\geq	÷	- 7	÷		ci.	n in	γÖ
m	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>Tm</td><td><dl< td=""><td>0.1</td><td>of</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>Tm</td><td><dl< td=""><td>0.1</td><td>of</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dl<></td></dl<>	Tm	<dl< td=""><td>0.1</td><td>of</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dl<>	0.1	of									
Ъ	5.4	4.3	Yb	<dl< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>nts</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dl<>	0.4	nts									
u	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>Lu</td><td><dl< td=""><td>0.1</td><td>nte</td><td>%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>Lu</td><td><dl< td=""><td>0.1</td><td>nte</td><td>%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dl<></td></dl<>	Lu	<dl< td=""><td>0.1</td><td>nte</td><td>%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dl<>	0.1	nte	%)								
lf	6.2	5.8	Hf	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>8</td><td>ure</td><td></td><td>6</td><td>n m</td><td>6</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>m á</td><td>-1 G</td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>8</td><td>ure</td><td></td><td>6</td><td>n m</td><td>6</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>m á</td><td>-1 G</td></dl<>	8	ure		6	n m	6	2	2	m á	-1 G
a	7.6	9.2	Та	<dl< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>ent</td><td>oist</td><td></td><td>23</td><td>- 2</td><td>E</td><td>Ŀ.</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td><u> </u></td></dl<>	0.02	ent	oist		23	- 2	E	Ŀ.	2	0	<u> </u>
V	2.3	2.2	VV TI	0.1	0.03	Ē	Ĭ		17	78	78	80	75	4	: 0
ı b	<al> <al> 3/1 </al></al>	<a 1="" 28="" 2<="" td=""><td>11 Ph</td><td><a>1</td><td><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><</td><td>nu</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td>	11 Ph	<a>1	<a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><a><	nu									
i	<dl< td=""><td>20.2 <dl< td=""><td>Bi</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>and</td><td></td><td>s</td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td>6</td><td>3</td><td>-</td><td>(†</td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	20.2 <dl< td=""><td>Bi</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>and</td><td></td><td>s</td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td>6</td><td>3</td><td>-</td><td>(†</td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	Bi	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>and</td><td></td><td>s</td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td>6</td><td>3</td><td>-</td><td>(†</td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>and</td><td></td><td>s</td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td>6</td><td>3</td><td>-</td><td>(†</td></dl<>	and		s	2			6	3	-	(†
- h	15.6	12.1	Th	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>its</td><td></td><td>nas</td><td>0</td><td>12</td><td>0.4</td><td>(2.5</td><td>5</td><td>œ .</td><td>j =</td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>its</td><td></td><td>nas</td><td>0</td><td>12</td><td>0.4</td><td>(2.5</td><td>5</td><td>œ .</td><td>j =</td></dl<>	its		nas	0	12	0.4	(2.5	5	œ .	j =
	10.1	6.8	U	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>ter</td><td></td><td>Y.</td><td>9.0</td><td>10</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>6.0</td><td></td><td>20.</td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>ter</td><td></td><td>Y.</td><td>9.0</td><td>10</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>6.0</td><td></td><td>20.</td></dl<>	ter		Y.	9.0	10	5		6.0		20.
reviations: V	Vashed wa	shed merlin	oite: Acidifie	l acidified n	perlinoite: <dl< td=""><td>COD</td><td></td><td>ū</td><td></td><td>4. (*)</td><td>14</td><td></td><td></td><td>26</td><td>,</td></dl<>	COD		ū		4. (*)	14			26	,
er detected	imit; na, no	t analyzed.	, meranner	., actanica li		isture	(g)	SS				_			
						ght, mo	Weight	Wet ma	11 (2.0)	14(4.9)	13(1.4)	14(10.7)	36(6.8)	98(6.2)	(c.1)#1 <0.001
						rage wei	(s)								
						avel	ean			n n	4	ņ	Ģ		ò
						5 8	ĭ		1.11.1	r 17	ĩ	"i'	ĩ	11	í –

sunflowers in T-7 attain the highest biomass by most, with average wet and dry weight of 98 and 26 g, respectively (Table 6). As regards macronutrients, small differences, but statistically significant (p < 0.01) are found on the concentrations in sunflowers among different treatments, reaching maximum differences of 0.8%, 0.1%, 2.3%, 1.7%, and 0.4% for K, P, N, Ca, and Mg, respectively (Table 6). Maximum differences were found for N in T-1 (no

Abbr

Table 7	
Results of the linear regression analysis for determining fertilization effect	ts of dif-
ferent treatments.	

Treatment group		Ь	se	р	$R^{2}(\%)$
	std1	0.24	0.19	0.22	88.9
	std2	1.23	0.21	< 0.001	
In (weight)	mer	0.23	0.21	0.28	
Lii (weigiit)	std1 + mer	-0.20	0.25	0.43	
	std2 + mer	0.85	0.30	0.01	
	cons.	0.93	0.15	0	
	std1	0.23	0.18	0.21	91.0
	std2	1.57	0.20	<0.001	
In(K)	mer	0.39	0.20	0.06	
Lii (K)	std1 + mer	-0.36	0.23	0.13	
	std2 + mer	0.39	0.28	0.18	
	cons.	1.58	0.14	0	
	std1	0.27	0.23	0.26	89.3
	std2	1.92	0.26	< 0.001	
In (P)	mer	0.43	0.26	0.11	
LII (I)	std1 + mer	-0.57	0.30	0.07	
	std2 + mer	0.18	0.36	0.62	
	cons.	-1.31	0.18	0	
	std1	1.63	0.18	< 0.001	91.5
	std2	1.77	0.20	< 0.001	
In (N)	mer	1.54	0.20	< 0.001	
	std1 + mer	-1.40	0.23	< 0.001	
	std2 + mer	-0.20		0.49	
	cons.	0.42	0.14	0.01	
	std1	0.28	0.19	0.17	90.4
	std2	1.63	0.21	< 0.001	
$In(C_2)$	mer	0.08	0.21	0.71	
Lii (Ca)	std1 + mer	0.14	0.25	0.58	
	std2 + mer	0.71	0.30	0.03	
	cons.	1.95	0.15	0	
	std1	0.67	0.22	0.005	88.0
	std2	1.70	0.24	< 0.001	
In (Mg)	mer	0.73	0.24	0.005	
LII (IVIG)	std1+mer	-0.58	0.28	0.05	
	std2 + mer	0.31	0.34	0.36	
	cons	0.31	0.17	0.08	

Abbreviations: b, regression coefficient (slope of the correlation line); se, standard error; p, significant level; R^2 , correlation coefficient; cons., constant term of the correlation line; std1, Ca(NO₃)₂ 4H₂O; std2, struvite; mer, merlinoite.

fertilizer addition) and T-6 (low N-NH 4 addition rate), showing the lowest N concentrations (Table 6). Note that highest nutrient concentrations are not produced with full fertilization (T-7). In view of this, the concentrations of K, P, N, Ca, and Mg were normalized with respect to the dry weight of sunflowers. The weight-normalized concentrations (or total load of macronutrients in plant) reveal differences on macronutrient contents among treat- ments (Table 6). Full fertilization (T-7) shows by far the highest total load of macronutrients (K, P, N, Ca, and Mg, Table 6). With the addition of only struvite, sunflowers in T-6 obtain aver- age wet and dry weight of 36 g and 3.2 g, respectively, which are much lower compared to those in T-7 but higher than those in Blank (T-1) and other treatments (Table 6). These sunflowers also show the second highest total load of macronutrients, which are 2-4 times lower than those in T-7, but much higher than in Blank T-1 and other treatments (Table 6). By contrast, with the addition of only merlinoite, the weight of sunflowers in T-8 are slightly higher compared to those in T-1 and the total load of macronutrients, espe- cially K, N, and Mg in them are also higher than in T-1 (Table 6). Grown only in the poor soil without any nutrients, sunflowers in T-1 obtain the lowest wet and dry weight as well as the lowest total load of macronutrients (Table 6). The sunflowers grown in the remaining treatments (T-2, 3, 4 and 5) obtain similar or relatively higher weights and total load of macronutrients compared to those in T-8, which are also slightly higher than those in T-1 but obviously lower than those in T-6 and T-7 (Table 6). The variation of concentration of a given nutrient depends on its availability, competition between nutrients, and plant growth rate (i.e. plant nutrient demand). Nutrient availability had clear conse- quences on plant growth (Table 6). As can be deduced from the total load of macronutrients (Table 6), low P availability clearly limited sunflower growth after four weeks of sowing in all treatments with- out struvite. Phosphorous deficiency strongly limits photosynthetic activity [45]. A synergistic effect in K and P uptake was suggested comparing T-6 and T-7. With the same dose of P (struvite), T-7 showed almost twice as much P and K concentration as T-6. More- over, T-6 showed relatively high K content in spite of having no merlinoite fertilization, much higher than the rest of treatments where struvite was not added or even with merlinoite addition. The deficiency of essential macronutrients, especially P, may limit the uptake of other nutrients such as K [46]. For instance, K uptake in the treatments without struvite is much lower than in treatments including struvite (T-6 and T-7) and only slightly higher (1.1-1.2 times higher) than in treatment with only soil (T-1). It is also notice- able that K uptake attain similar values when only merlinoite (T-8) and only Ca(NO 3) 2 ·4H 2 O (T-2) are applied. Potassium is retained by merlinoite through the cation exchange mechanism, the same as the natural clays in soils. Exchangeable cations are released to the soil solution as they are uptaken by plants and/or leached from soil solution. Therefore, plant uptake promotes the release of exchangeable K from merlinoite. The pro- cess is of slow release because it is, in large part, biologically regulated (by plant uptake). As regards N, full fertilization (T-7) shows by far the highest uptake of this essential nutrient

(Table 6), together with the highest growth rate. T-6, with only struvite addition showed intermediate growth rate but clear deficiency in N (Table 6), as evidenced by the low N concentration and by the yellow color of older leaves (this is a clear symptom of N-deficiency in crops). NO 3 content in merlinoite, incorporated after the synthesis process (not quantified), accounts for the differences between T-7 and T-6. T-2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 without struvite addition showed similar N uptake but reduced growth rate than T-6. Total load of Ca and Mg is related to the plant growth as those nutrients, particularly Ca, are uptaken in part through transpiration flow [47].

The above issues are supported by the linear regression analyses (Table 7). As regards the influence on sunflower weight, the addi- tion of struvite (std2) and struvite + merlinoite have a positive slope (then increasing doses favors the sunflower growth) and its contribution to the weight is statistically significant (Table 7, p < 0.05). The addition of only Ca(NO 3) 2 ·4H 2 O and the increase of merlinoite doses (up to two times of the recommended dose) have low relevance on sunflower weight (positive slope but p > 0.05, Table 7). Struvite is the main source for the uptake P, K, Ca, Mg, and N by sunflowers and the only fertilizer, which is statistically significant (p < 0.05) for P and K uptake. Although is not statistically significant, merlinoite fertilization has a p value close to 0.05 and a positive slope indicating that it is a minor source for K uptake when com- pared with struvite. This is in line with the aforementioned effect of P deficiency on K uptake and the synergistic effect on K and P uptake when P is available. Furthermore, the increase on K uptake given by the addition of merlinoite in the struvite + merlinoite fert-tilization (indicated by the positive slope, Table 7) is not significant (p = 0.1-0.2) with respect to that produced with only struvite. With the exception of struvite + merlinoite fertilization, treatments with only Ca(NO 3) 2 ·4H 2 O, only struvite and containing merlinoite have a positive effect and are statistically significant for the uptake of N (Table 7). As regards Ca, struvite and the struvite + merlinoite fert-tilization, and the treatment with only struvite, only

Ca(NO 3) 2 · 4H 2 O favor the uptake of Mg, especially the treatments with only struvite (indicated by the high positive slope, Table 7), since Mg is in the framework structure of this mineral. Neverthe-less, combining struvite or Ca(NO 3) 2 · 4H 2O with merlinoite do not have a significant increase in the Mg uptake (Table 7). On the whole, N, P and K are the three essential macronutrients for plant growing. Blank, without any fertilizer inputs, produced poor growth of the high-nutrient demand sunflowers. With stru- vite and merlinoite, the sunflowers in T-7 grew the best due to the supply of N, P and K in optimal quantities. With only struvite, the sunflowers in T-6 also grew taller than other treatments, due to the supply of N and P from struvite; however, the leaves of them were yellow, indicating N-deficiency, and they did not grow as strong and tall as those in T-7 because of an unbalance between macronu- trients supply (especially N/P). This indicates that merlinoite shows good fertilizer efficiency, making the sunflowers grew much faster, and with equilibrated nutrition. Nevertheless, with only merlinoite, the sunflowers in T-8 grew green but not tall and strong, probably due to shortage of P and N, indicating that the fertilizer efficiency of merlinoite cannot be fully achieved unless they are mixed with the two other essential macronutrients. Treatments with no P addition showed poorer growth than the two treatments with P addition (T-6 and T-7), indicating that low P availability limited the plant growth in the non P-fertilized treatments.

4. Conclusions

Large amounts of coal fly ashes are increasingly being gener- ated from two PCC power plants in Xinjiang, NW China, which may pose serious threat to the environment. High quality merli- noite zeolitic materials were synthesized from these fly ashes by KOH direct conversion method. Zeolite synthesis by this method is influenced by fly ash characteristics and various synthesis condi- tions. Different fly ashes present different synthesis results under the same activation conditions. As for the same fly ash, increasing activation temperature, time and KOH concentration gave rise to the increase of merlinoite synthesis efficiency. Relatively high KOH concentration (≥3 M) is needed to obtain high synthesis efficiency of merlinoite. However, the relatively high activation temperature (≥200 ° C), long activation time (\geq 12 h) and high KOH/fly ash ratios (>2 l/kg) are more favorable for the crystallization of tobermorite and perlialite with low CECs, rather than a high merlinoite yield. The synthesized merlinoite products reached CECs ranging from 60 to 340 cmol kg-1. Considering the economic cost, pilot-scale merlinoite product synthesized from WAf under optimal synthe- sis conditions (5 M KOH, 150 °C, 2 l/kg and 8 h) attained a CEC of 160 cmol kg-1. This merlinoite product presented good fertilizer efficiency, and can be used as an efficient slow release K-fertilizer for plant growing in poor soils when mixed with N and P-bearing fertilizers (such as struvite), indicating its high application potential for large-area soil reclamation in the opencast coal mines around the power plants in Xinjiang in the near future, or in high productive crops growing in nutrient-limited soils.

Acknowledgements

This study is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 40972104). The authors would like to acknowledge the Huadian Power Corporation in Xinjiang, China for providing feed coal and combustion by-product samples, acknowledge the Clariant Ibérica Producción, S.A. Company in Barcelona, Spain for providing the pilot-scale reactor for synthesis of large-amount merlinoite, and the University of Barcelona for providing the experimental fields for growing sunflowers.

References

[1] BP Statistical Review of World Energy, 2012, June, http://www.bp.com/ assets/bp internet/globalbp/globalbp uk english/reports and publications/ statistical energy review 2011/STAGING/local assets/pdfstatistical review of world energy full report 2012.pdf
[2] J. Li, XG. Zhuang, X. Querol, O. Font, N. Moreno, J.B. Zhou, G.M. Lei, High quality of jurassic coals in the southern and eastern junggar coalfields, Xinjiang, NW China: geochemical and mineralogical characteristics, International Journal ofCoal Geology 99 (2012) 1–15.
[3] D.H. Klein, A.W. Andren, J.A. Carter, J.F. Emery, C. Feldman, W. Fulkerson, W.S. Lyon, J.C. Ogle, Y. Talmi, R.I. Van, N. Bolton, Pathways of 37 trace elements through a coal fired power plant, Environmental Science and Technology 9 (1975) 973–974.
[4] J. Wang, X. Teng, H. Wang, H. Ban, Characterizing the metal adsorption capa- bility of a class F coal fly ash, Environmental Science and Technology 38 (2004) 6710–6715.
[5] T. Hsu, C. Yu, C. Yeh, Adsorption of Cu 2- from water using raw and modified coal fly ashes, Fuel 87 (2008) 1355–1359.

[5] T. Hsu, C. Yu, C. Yeh, Adsorption of Cu 2- from water using raw and modified coal fly ashes, Fuel 87 (2008) 1355–1359.
[6] D. Wu, Changes of mineralogical-chemical composition, cation exchange capacity, and phosphate immobilization capacity during the hydrothermal con-version process of coal fly ash into zeolite, Fuel 87 (2008) 2194–2200.
[7] N. Koukouzas, R. Zeng, V. Perdikatsis, W. Xu, E.K. Kakaras, Mineralogy and geochemistry of Greek and Chinese coal fly ash, Fuel 85 (2006) 2301–2309.
[8] G. Lu, F. Xue, J. Zhao, Some advice to the fly ash of China, China Mining Magazine 20 (2011) 193–200 (in Chinese with English abstract).
[9] X. Querol, N. Moreno, Novel products and applications with combustion residues-zeolites, in: M. Cox, H. Nugteren, M. Janssen-Jurkovi^{*} cová (Eds.), Com- bustion Residues-Current, Novel and Renewable Applications, Wiley, England, 2008, pp. 240–261.
[10] H. Höller, U. Wirsching, Zeolites formation from fly ash, Fortschr Mineral 63 (1985) 21–43.
[11] T. Henni, Synthesis of hydroxy-sodalite ("zeolite") from waste coal ash, Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition (Tokyo) 33 (3) (1987) 517–521.
[12] F. Mondragón, F. Rincon, L. Sierra, C. Escobar, J. Ramirez, J. Fernandez, New perspectives for coal ash utilization: synthesis of zeolitic materials, Fuel 69 (1990) 263–266.
[13] N. Shigemoto, S. Shirakami, S. Hirano, H. Hayashi, Preparation and characteri- sation of zeolites formcoal fly ash, Nippon Kagaku Kaishi 5 (1992) 484–492.
[14] N. Shigemoto, H. Hayashi, K. Miyaura, Selective formation of Na-X, zeolite from coal fly ash by fusion with sodium hydroxide prior to hydrothermal reaction, Journal of Materials Science 28 (1993) 4781–4786.

(1993) 4781-4786 15] N. Shigemoto, S. Sugiyama, H. Hayashi, Characterization of Na-X, Na-A, and coal fly ash zeolites and their amorphous precursors by IR MAS NMR and XPS, Journal of Materials Science 30

[16] D. Kolousek, V. Seidl, E. Prochazkova, J. Obsasnikova, L. Kubelkova, I. Svetlik, Ecological utilization of power-plant fly ashes by heir alteration to phillipsite: hydrothermal alteration,

[10] Difference and the second second

[18] H.L. Chang, W.H. Shih, Synthesis of zeolites A and X from fly ashes and their ion-exchange behavior with cobalt ions, Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research 39 (2000) 4185–4191.
 [19] A. Singer, V. Berkgaut, Cation exchange properties of hydrothermally treated coal fly ash, Environmental Science and Technology 29 (7) (1995) 1748–1753.
 [20] C. Amrhein, G.H. Haghnia, T.S. Kim, P.A. Mosher, R.C. Gagajena, T. Amanios, L. de la Torre, Synthesis and properties of zeolites from coal fly ash, Environmental Science and Technology 30 (1996) 735–742.

(1750) 735-742.
 (21) V. Berkgaut, A. Singer, High capacity cation exchanger by hydrothermal zeoli-tization of coal fly ash, Applied Clay Science 10 (1996) 369–378.
 (22) X. Querol, F. Plana, A. Alastuey, A. López-Soler, Synthesis of Na-zeolites from fly ash, Fuel 76 (8) (1997) 793–799.
 (23) X. Querol, A. Alastuey, A. López-Soler, F. Plana, J.M. Andrés, R. Juan, P. Ferrer, C.R. Ruiz, A fast method for recycling fly ash: microwave-assisted zeolite synthesis, Environmental Science and The Low Conception 2022 of 2023.

[25] X. Querol, N. Alastuey, A. López-Soler, I. Flaia, J.M. Andres, K. Juan, F. Ferrer, C.K. Kuiz, A ast network of recycling in ast. inclowave-assisted zeoite synthesis, Environmental science and Technology 31 (9) (1997) 2527–2533.
[24] X. Querol, J.C. Uma * na, F. Plana, A. Alastuey, A. López-Soler, A. Medinaceli, A. Valero, M.J. Domingo, E. Garcia-Rojo, Synthesis of Na zeolites from fly ash in a pilot plant scale. Examples of potential applications, Fuel 80 (2001) 857–865.
[25] X. Querol, N. Moreno, J.C. Uma * na, A. Alastuey, E. Hernández, A. López-Soler, F. Plana, Synthesis of zeolites from coal fly ash: an overview, International Journal of Coal Geology 50 (2002)

[26] X. Querol, N. Moreno, A. Alastuey, R. Juan, J.M. Andres, A. Lopez-Soler, C. Ayora, A. Medinaceli, A. Valero, Synthesis of high ion exchange zeolites from coal fly ash, Geologica Acta 5 (2007)

[27] G.G. Hollman, G. Steenbruggen, M. Janssen-Jurkovicova, A two-step process for the synthesis of zeolites from coal fly ash, Fuel 78 (1999) 1225–1230.

[28] S. Rayalu, S.U. Meshram, M.Z. Hasan, Highly crystalline faujasitic zeolites from fly ash, Journal of Hazardous Materials B77 (2000) 123–131.
[29] S. Rayalu, J.S. Udhoji, K.N. Munshi, M.Z. Hasan, Highly crystalline zeolite – a from flyash of bituminous and lignite coal combustion, Journal of Hazardous Materials B88 (2001) 107–121.
[30] O. Font, N. Moreno, S. Diez, X. Querol, A. López-Soler, P. Coca, Garcia, F. Pena, Differential behaviour of combustion and gasification fly ash from Puertollano Power Plants (Spain) for the synthesis of zeolites and silica extraction, Journal of Hazardous Materials 166 (2009) 94–102.
[31] M. Ahmaruzzaman, A review on the utilization of fly ash, Progress in Energy and Combustion Science 36 (2010) 327–363.
[32] N. Koukouzas, C. Vasilatos, G. Itskos, I. Mitsis, A. Moutsatsou, Removal of heavy metals from wastewater using CFB-coal fly ash zeolitic materials, Journal of Hazardous Materials 173 (2010) 521–532.

(2010) 581 - 588.

[2010] St. Fords.
[2010] St. Fords.<

[35] D.W. Breck, Ion Exchange Reactions in Zeolites Zeolite Molecular Sieves, Structure, Chemistry, and Use, Robert E. Krieger Publishing, Malabar, FL, 1984 (Chapter 7; TIC: 245213).
[36] J.D. Sherman, Synthetic zeolites and other microporous oxide molecular sieves, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 96 (1999) 3471–3478.

[37] N. Moreno, Recovery of Fly Ash for the Synthesis of Zeolites by Sil- ica Extraction and Direct Conversion. Environmental Applications (Ph.D.

thesis), Department of Environmental Geology, Institute of Earth Sciences (CSIC) and Department of Engineering and Natural Resources, Polytechnical University of Catalonia (UPC), 2002, 250 pp. (in Spanish with English abstract) http://www.tdx.cat/handle/10803/6986
[38] C. Wang, J. Li, X. Sun, L. Wang, X. Sun, Evaluation of zeolites synthesized from fly ash as potential adsorbents for wastewater containing heavy metals, Journal of Environmental Sciences 21 (2009) 127–136.
[39] L. S. Ferret, Zeolites from coal ash: synthesis and use (Doctoral thesis), Federal University in Brazil, 2004 (in Portuguese with English abstract).
[40] J. Li, X.G. Zhuang, X. Querol, O. Font, N. Moreno, J.B. Zhou, Environmental geochemistry of the feed coals and their combustion by-products from two coal-fired power plants in Xinjiang Province, Northwest China, Fuel 95 (2012) 446–456.
[41] X. Querol, M.K.G. Whateley, T.J.L. Fernandez, E. Tuncali, Geological controls on the mineralogy and geochemistry of the Beypazari lignite, central Anatolia, Turkey, International Journal of Coal Geology 33 (1997) 255–271.
[42] IZA, Commission on Natural Zeolites, 2005 http://www.iza-online.org/ natural/Data-sheets/Merlinoite/merlinoite.htm
[43] GSA Resources, 2000, http://www.garesources.com/cationexchange.htm
[44] European Council Decision 2003/33/EC, Official Journal of the European Com-munities 16 (2003) 27–49.
[45] F.J.M. Maathuis, Physiological functions of mineral macronutrients, Current Opinion in Plant Biology 12 (2009) 250–258.
[46] B.K. Das, S.P. Sen, Effect ofnitrogen, phosphorus and potassium deficiency on the uptake and mobilization ofions in Bengal gram (Cicer arietinum), Journal of Bioscience 3 (1981) 249–257.
[47] H. Marschner, Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 2nd ed., Academic Press, London, 1995.