Authors: C. Vergara^{1,2}, L. Ordóñez-Gutiérrez^{2,4}, F. Wandosell^{2,4}, I. Ferrer^{2,5}, J.A. del Río^{1,2,3}, R. Gavín^{1,2,3,*}

Title: Role of PrP^C expression in tau protein levels and phosphorylation in Alzheimer's disease evolution.

Affiliations

1) Molecular and Cellular Neurobiotechnology, Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia, Baldiri Reixac 15-21, Barcelona, Spain.

2) Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red sobre Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas (CIBERNED), Spain.

3) Department of Cell Biology, Faculty of Biology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

4) Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa, Cabrera 1, CBM-UAM, Madrid, Spain.

5) Institute of Neuropathology, IDIBELL-Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, Faculty of Medicine, University of Barcelona, Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain.

(*) Correspondence to:

R. Gavín, PhD MCN lab Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia (IBEC) Baldiri and Reixac 15-21, 08028 Barcelona, Spain Tel: +34-934031185 Fax: +34-934020183 E-mail: rgavin@ub.edu

ABSTRACT

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is characterized by the presence of amyloid plaques mainly consisting of hydrophobic β -amyloid peptide (A β) aggregates and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) composed principally of hyperphosphorylated tau. A β oligomers have been described as the earliest effectors to negatively affect synaptic structure and plasticity in the affected brains, and cellular prion protein (PrP^C) has been proposed as receptor for these oligomers.

The most widely accepted theory holds that the toxic effects of $A\beta$ are upstream of change in tau, a neuronal microtubule-associated protein that promotes the polymerization and stabilization of microtubules. However, tau is considered decisive for the progression of neurodegeneration, and indeed tau pathology correlates well with clinical symptoms such as dementia. Different pathways can lead to abnormal phosphorylation, and, as a consequence, tau aggregates into Paired Helical Filaments (PHF) and later on into NFTs.

Reported data suggest a regulatory tendency of PrP^C expression in the development of AD, and a putative relationship between PrP^C and tau processing is emerging. However the role of tau/PrP^C interaction in AD is poorly understood.

In this study we show increased susceptibility to $A\beta$ derived diffusible ligands (ADDLs) in neuronal primary cultures from PrP^{C} knock-out mice, compared to wild-type, which correlates with increased tau expression. Moreover, we found increased PrP^{C} expression that paralleled with tau at early ages in an AD murine model, and in early Braak stages of AD in affected individuals. Taken together, these results suggest a protective role for PrP^{C} in AD by down-regulating tau expression, and they point to this protein as being crucial in the molecular events that lead to neurodegeneration in AD.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, microtubule-associated protein tau, cellular prion protein, Aβoligomers.

INTRODUCTION

The cellular prion protein (PrP^{C}) is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored cell surface protein highly expressed by neurons and glial cells in the adult central nervous system (CNS) [1-3]. Its physiological functions are poorly understood but a relation between the protein and neuroprotection has been reported [4]. The abnormal processing of PrP^{C} gives rise to a proteinase-k-resistant misfolded isoform termed PrP^{SC} , which is the etiologic agent of transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) [5]. These encephalopathies are characterized by profound histological changes, including extensive neuronal death, reactive gliosis, and neuroinflammation, together with the extracellular accumulation of aggregated PrP^{SC} in affected brains [6,7].

As with prionopathies, the most prevalent neurodegenerative dementia, Alzheimer's disease (AD), presents extracellular deposition of an abnormally processed protein, the amyloid precursor protein (APP). Individuals suffering AD are characterized by a progressive cognitive decline and behavioral changes, and the main neuropathological hallmarks include the presence of senile plaques, enriched in β -amyloid (A β) peptide, and intracellular hyperphosphorylated tau protein which aggregates in neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) (e.g., [8,9]). Tau is a neuronal microtubule-associated protein that promotes the polymerization and stabilization of microtubules [10] under the regulatory control of several kinases and phosphatases. In this sense, glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3 β) and the cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5) are the main kinases implicated in the phosphorylation of some tau epitopes observed in AD [11-14]. When hyperphosphorylated, tau detaches from microtubules, leading to increased instability, impaired axonal transport, and profound deficits in synaptic function. In fact, today it is recognized that some of the kinases misregulated in AD (e.g., GSK3 β) affect both A β production [15] and tau hyperphosphorylation [16].

Several TSEs show an abnormal processing of tau protein, in parallel to the presence of PrP^{SC}. In fact, increased levels of tau protein have been detected in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and serum of Creutzfeldt-Jakob's disease (CJD) patients [17,18]. In parallel, Wang and coworkers have described several changes in expression and phosphorylation levels of tau in infected hamsters. This occurs in parallel with the alteration of Cdk5 and GSK3 transcription levels [19]. In addition, other studies have

associated GSK3 β and Cdk5 activity with neurotoxic processes induced by the PrP₍₁₀₆₋₁₂₆₎ peptide, a synthetic peptide widely used as a model of neurotoxicity in prion research (e.g., [20,21]). In parallel, PrP^C may bind with several intracellular proteins including tubulin [22,23]. And more relevantly, Wang *et al.* described physical interaction between tau and PrP^C hypothesizing that the presence of phosphorylated tau in some TSEs is the result of a differential affinity between tau and the N-terminal octarepeat (OR) domain of PrP^C [24]. Moreover, the PrP^C mutation associated with Gerstmann-Sträussler-Scheinker syndrome (GSS), *P102L*, resulting in an increased number of ORs, shows greater tau interaction [25]. Taken together, these observations suggest a role for PrP^C in tau changes related to TSEs.

The widely accepted amyloid cascade hypothesis posits that the deposition of A β in the brain parenchyma triggers a sequence of events leading to tau dysfunction [26,27]. In this sense, Lambert and coworkers attributed higher toxic potential to A β -derived diffusible ligands (ADDLs) than to insoluble forms of A β [28]. ADDL treatment is proapoptotic, inducing synaptic alterations and interfering with long-term potentiation [29,30]. Lauren and coworkers point to PrP^C as being responsible for this phenomenon [31]. In this sense, transgenic AD mouse models require endogenous PrP^C to induce several deficits in learning and memory [32]. The effect of deleting PrP^C on mouse transgene phenotypes is similar to that of deleting tau expression, with a lessening of A β neuronal dysfunction in both cases [33]. At nanomolar concentrations, neurotoxicity of ADDLs depends on membrane receptors and in turn on the kinase Fyn, which induces the recruitment of oligomers in 'lipid rafts' of plasma membrane [34]. Both PrP^C and tau are localized in these membrane microdomains [35]. In parallel, antibody-mediated aggregation of PrP^C on the cellular surface activates Fyn and other kinases in vitro initiating a signaling transduction cascade that induces tau phosphorylation in tyr¹⁸, an epitope implicated in AD [36-38]. In this scenario, several studies have suggested that oligometric A β cytotoxicity in AD depends on the level of tau [39] and is mediated by PrP^C, which in turn promotes the activation of Fyn and the consequent hyperphosphorylated tau [40-42].

Additionally, several studies have reported changes in PrP^{C} expression in aging and in the development of AD. Higher PrP^{C} levels have been described in old healthy mice compared with younger animals [43]. Moreover, decreased expression of PrP^{C} in AD cases [43] with increased levels at the start of the disease and in other neurodegenerative disorders [44,45] has been also reported. A recent study has

shown regulation of A β production by the prion protein [46]. Taken together, these observations suggest a protective role for PrP^C in AD reinforcing the hypothesis regarding a neuroprotective function of the protein [4]. Taking into account the physical interaction between PrP^C and both ADDL and tau, we investigated the role of the tau/PrP^C relationship in the phatogenic cascade of AD, and our results indicate that PrP^C plays an important role in the molecular events that lead to neurodegeneration in AD, by regulating total tau levels.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Mouse strains and genotyping

We used four transgenic mouse lines at different ages. In addition, embryos from pregnant females of the different genotypes were also used. Mating day was considered to be embryonic day 0 (E0) and the offspring was genotyped according to the genotype of the crossed progenitor.

Prnp^{0/0} mice bred from a C57BL6J/129, Ola/Sv background [47] were purchased from EMMA (Monterotondo, Italy). We backcrossed to C57BL/6J for at least 15 generations to obtain *Prnp*^{0/0} and control littermates *Prnp*^{+/+}. 9 adult mice and 17 pregnant females were used in this study. Specific primers to *Prnp* genotyping were designed in our laboratory based on the original P3 and P10 primers as described [47]: neo: 5'-gccttctatcgccttcttgac-3'; 3'NCnew: 5'-gctacaggtggataacccctc-3' and P10new: 5'-cataatcagtggaacaagccc-3'. The 40 cycling conditions were 45" 95° C, 45" 62° C, and 1' 72° C, followed by a final extension at 72° C for 5 minutes.

Prnp overexpressing mice (Tg20) were purchased from EMMA. They were generated from $Prnp^{0/0}$ mice as described by Marek *et al.* [48]. 11 pregnant females were used in this study. For Tg20 mice, the transgene was detected by using primers specific to the Tg20 alleles 5'-caaccgacgtgaagcattctgccta-3' and 5'-cctgggactccttctggtaccgggtgacgc-3' as indicated [49].

APP/PS1 transgenic mouse line expresses human APP Swedish, and presenilin 1 deleted in exon 9 mutations, and is named B6.Cg-Tg (APPSwe, PSEN1dE9). These mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). We used 13 transgenic mice and non-transgenic littermates as controls for each age. Also, 14 pregnant females were used in this study. Mice were genotyped as by Jankowsky [50] using three primers; one antisense primer matching sequence within PrP^{C} (5'-gtggataccccctcccccagcctagacc-3'), one sense primer specific to the transgene (PS1: 5'-caggtggtggagcaagatg-3' or APP: 5'-ccgagatctctgaagtgaagatggatg-3'), and a third sense primer specific to the genomic PrP^{C} (5'-cctctttgtgactagtggagctgatgtcgg-3').

TgTP6.3 mouse line is a transgenic mouse carrying a tau-tagged green fluorescence protein (GFP) transgene generated by [51]. Mice carrying the tau-GFP transgene were identified by fluorescence microscopy of tail tissue. 3 adult mice were used in this study.

All experiments were performed under the guidelines and protocols of the Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation (CEEA) at the University of Barcelona, and the protocol for the use of animals in this study was reviewed and approved by the CEEA at the University of Barcelona (CEEA approval# 115/11).

Human cases

Human cases comprise 6 non-AD and 31 AD postmortem brains from the Institute of Neuropathology and University of Barcelona/Hospital Clinic Brain Banks. All brains were obtained following the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association and the protocols of the local Ethical Committee. Basic patient data are shown in Table 1. Cases with and without clinical neurological disease were processed in the same way following the same sampling and staining protocols. At autopsy, half of each brain was fixed in 10% buffered formalin, while the other half was cut in coronal sections 1 cm thick, frozen on dry ice, and stored at -80°C until use. In addition, 2 mm thick samples of the cerebral isocortex, cingulum, hippocampus and entorhinal cortex, and brainstem were fixed with phosphate buffered 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 hours, cryoprotected with 30% sucrose, frozen on dry ice, and stored at -80°C until use.

Following neuropathological examination, AD cases were categorized as stages I to VI of Braak and Braak [52,8]. Healthy cases (non-AD) did not show neurological or metabolic disease, and the neuropathological examination, carried out in similar regions and with the same methods as in AD cases, did not show lesions. In particular, no amyloid or tau deposits were seen in the regions examined. The group is represented by middle-aged adults because most individuals over 65 years old might have preclinical signs of AD [53].

Primary embryonic neuronal cultures

E15-16 mouse embryo brains were dissected and washed in ice-cold 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 6.5 mg/ml glucose. The meninges were removed and the cortical lobes isolated. Tissue pieces were trypsinized for 15 minutes at 37°C. After addition of horse serum and then centrifugation, cells were dissociated by trituration in 0.1 M PBS containing 0.025% DNase with a polished pipette (all from Sigma, MO, USA). Dissociated cells were plated at ~3,000 cells/mm² on plates (Nunc, Denmark) coated with poly-D-lysine (Sigma). The culture medium was Neurobasal supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 6.5 mg/ml glucose, antibiotics (Pen./Strept.), 5% horse serum, and B27 (Invitrogen-Life Technologies, Belgium). After 72 hours, AraC 5 μ M (Cytosine β -D-arabinofuranosidehydrochloride (Sigma) was added for 48 hours to inhibit the growth of dividing non-neuronal cells. Cultures were used after 7 days *in vitro*.

Treatment of cortical cultures with AB peptides

A β 1–42 peptide was purchased from Bachem (Bubendorf, Switzerland). Different aliquots of A β 1–42 were prepared as described previously [54,55] and stored desiccated at -80°C. To obtain soluble oligomers (ADDLs), a working solution of 100 μ M was prepared in culture medium and incubated at 4°C for 24 hours to treat the cultures with a final concentration of 10 μ M. For the fibril-forming conditions we chose the acidic pH method using 10 mM HCl with 24 hours incubation at 37°C, and cultures were treated with a final concentration of 10 μ M.

Peptide-treated cells were scraped in homogenization buffer containing 50 mM Hepes, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, and 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostic, Switzerland) and 1 μ M okadaic acid (Merck Millipore, MA, USA), 0.1 M sodium fluoride, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate (Sigma) as phosphatase inhibitors.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) procedures

For TEM, peptide solutions incubated for 24 hours for each condition, both in culture medium at 4°C and in 10 mM HCl at 37°C, were fixed to carbon-forward-coated copper supports. Negative staining was performed using a 2% uranyl acetate stain (pH 7.4) (Sigma), after which samples were placed in silica-based desiccant for a minimum of 2 hours. Finally, we proceeded to TEM observation using a Leica microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) at the Electron Microscopy Service, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

Lentiviral vector production

Lentiviral particles were produced by transient transfection of 293FT cells with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen-Life Technologies) using Mission shRNA prion protein NM_011170 (Sigma), the second-generation packaging construct psPAX2 (Tronolab, Switzerland), and the envelope plasmid pMD2G (Tronolab). 293FT cells were cultured in Dulbecco-modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen-Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Invitrogen-Life Technologies) and without antibiotics before transfection. Medium was changed and supplemented with antibiotics after 6 hours. Vector supernatants containing viral particles were harvested approximately 48 and 72 hours later and concentrated by ultracentrifugation (2 hours at 26,000*rpm* at 4°C, in an Optima LK98, SW-28 rotor).

Western blotting techniques.

Soluble extract from human hippocampal samples, mouse cortex brains, and cultured cells were processed for western blot. The collected samples were homogenized in lysis buffer: 50 mM Hepes, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100 or 100 mM Tris pH 7.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, and 0.5% sodium deoxycolate, and in both cases with supplemental 1x protease inhibitor cocktail and phosphatase inhibitors as indicated above. After this, samples were centrifuged at 13,000 x g for 20 minutes at 4°C. The resulting supernatant was normalized for protein content using BCA kit (Pierce Biotechnology, USA). Cell extracts were boiled in Laemmli sample buffer [56] at 96°C for 5 minutes, followed by 10% SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, and they were then electrotransferred to nitrocellulose membranes for 1 hour at 4°C. Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in 0.1 M Tris-buffered saline (pH 7.4) for 2 hours and incubated overnight in 0.5% blocking solution containing primary antibodies. After incubation with peroxidase-tagged secondary antibodies (1:2000 diluted), membranes were revealed with the ECL-plus chemiluminescence western blot kit (Amersham-GE Healthcare, UK).

In our experiments, each nitrocellulose membrane was used to detect consecutive antigens. To perform this sequential incubation, membranes were incubated in 25 ml of stripping solution (2% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris pH 6.8 and 100 mM 2-mercaptoethanol) for 30 minutes at 65°C and then extensively washed before reincubation with blocking buffer and antibodies for re-blotting. Some samples were dephosphorylated using γ -phosphatase (New England Biolabs, MA, USA) as described [57].

Primary antibodies

Several antibodies were used for immunohistochemistry and western blot. To detect amyloid species we used 6E10 (Covance, NJ, USA), which specifically recognizes the first 16 amino acids of the A β peptide. Monoclonal anti-PrP 6H4 (Prionics, Zurich, Switzerland) was used to determine PrP^C levels. Different phosphorylation sites of tau were checked with anti-ptau thr¹⁸¹ (Signalway Antibody, MA, USA), anti-ptau ser^{199/202} (pSpS) (Invitrogen-Life Technologies), anti-ptau ser²⁰² (AT8) (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA) and anti-ptau ser^{396/404} (PHF-1), a gift from Peter Davies (Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA). Total levels of the tau protein were checked with monoclonal tau 5 antibody (Invitrogen-Life Technologies). The monoclonal anti- β -actin antibody (Merck Millipore) was used as internal control in western blots.

<u>Aβ(1-42) quantification by ELISA</u>

The brain homogenates obtained as described above were diluted 1:50 in albumin-PBS-Tween buffer (5% Bovine Serum Albumin, 0.03% Tween-20 in PBS) before centrifugation (16,000 x g for 20 minutes 4°C), and the supernatant was used shortly after preparation. Brain amyloid burden was measured using the A β 42 Human ELISA kit (Invitrogen-Life Technologies) following the manufacturer's protocol. Microwell plate absorbance at 450 nm was read with Opsys MR microplate reader (Dynex Technologies, VA, USA).

<u>RT-qPCR</u>

Quantitative real time PCR was performed on total RNA extracted with mirVana's isolation kit (Ambion, TX, USA) from the cortex of analyzed mice or from cultured cells. Purified RNAs were used to generate the corresponding cDNAs, which served as PCR templates for mRNA quantification. The primers used in this study for RT-qPCR validation were: (5'-ccccctaagtcaccagctagt-3') and (5'-cactttgctcaggtccaccggc-3) for mouse tau [58], (5'-agtcgttgccaaaatggatca-3') and (5'-aaaaaccaacctcaagcatgtgg-3') for mouse PrP^C [59].

PCR amplification and detection were performed with the ROCHE LightCycler 480 detector, using 2x SYBR GREEN Master Mix (Roche) as reagent, following the manufacturer's instructions. The reaction profile was denaturation-activation cycle (95°C for 10 minutes) followed by 40 cycles of denaturation-annealing-extension (95°C for 10 seconds, 55°C for 15 seconds and 72°C for 20 seconds). mRNA levels were calculated using the LightCycler 480 software. Data were analyzed with SDS 1.9.1 Software (Applied Biosystems, USA) following the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method of Applied Biosystems [60]. The results were normalized by the expression levels of the housekeeping gene, *gapdh* (5'aggtcggtgtgaacggatttg-3') and (5'-tgtagaccatgtagttgaggtca-3'), which were quantified simultaneously with the target gene [61].

Immunohistochemical procedures

For immunohistochemistry, postnatal mice were anesthetized and perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS pH 7.4. After perfusion, brains were removed and post-fixed in the same solution for 12 hours, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS, and sectioned (30 μ m) on a freezing microtome (Leica). They were then processed for the immunohistochemical detection of A β , tau, and PrP^C, respectively, following an immunoperoxidase protocol. Briefly, free-floating sections from different developmental stages were processed in parallel. Sections were rinsed in 0.1 M PBS, and endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubation in 3% hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and 10% methanol dissolved in 0.1 M PBS. For amyloid plaque detection, tissue was incubated in 70% formic acid for 30 minutes. After extensive rinsing, sections were incubated in 0.1 M PBS containing 0.2% gelatin, 10% normal goat serum, 0.2% glycine, and 0.2% Triton X-100, for 1 hour at room temperature. Afterwards, sections were incubated for 36 hours at 4°C with primary antibodies. After that, sections were incubated

with the appropriate secondary biotinylated antibodies (2 hours, 1:200 diluted) and Streptavidin-Horseradish peroxidase complex (2 hours, 1:400 diluted). Peroxidase activity was revealed with 0.025% diaminobenzidine (DAB) and 0.003% H_2O_2 . After rinsing, sections were mounted onto slides and dehydrated, and coverslipped with EukittTM (Merck). Immunohistochemical controls, including omission of the primary antibody or its replacement by normal serum, were devoid of staining. Images were obtained using an Olympus BX61 microscope equipped with cooled DP72L digital camera.

Densitometry and statistical processing

For the quantification of western blots, developed films were scanned at 2,400 x 2,400 dpi (i800 MICROTEK high quality film scanner, Hsinchu, Taiwan) and the densitometric analysis was performed using Quantity One Image Software Analysis (Biorad, Barcelona, Spain). Statistical analysis of the resulting data was performed using STATGRAPHICS plus 5.1 and Origin 8TM programs using ANOVA test. Asterisks in the histograms indicate the following *p* values of significance: (*) p<0.05; (**) p<0.01.

RESULTS

Increased susceptibility of tau phosphorylation to ADDLs in cortical cultures lacking PrP^C

In vitro ADDL treatment led to hyperphosphorylated tau in several residues as also occurs in the brain parenchyma of AD patients [11,62]. Indeed, although there were some contradictory results (i.e., ser⁴⁰⁴ residue), these tau phospho-epitopes have been largely reported [63,64].

Initially we aimed to determine whether acute changes of *Prnp* expression might affect cellular tau levels before analyzing levels of phosphorylated tau (ptau). Thus, primary cultures from $Prnp^{+/+}$, $Prnp^{0/0}$, and Tg20 embryos were prepared. In parallel, primary cultures from $Prnp^{+/+}$ embryos were treated with siRNA-PrP^C. Western blot analysis indicated that tau levels remained constant in all three genotypes and under silencing PrP^C expression (data not shown). In addition, further *MAPT* analysis of previously obtained microarray results using neuroblastoma N2a cells [65] reinforced these results showing constant tau levels in this cell line independently of PrP^C expression [65].

Next, to investigate whether PrP^{C} expression modulates the phosphorylation of tau induced by ADDL treatment, primary cortical neurons from $Prnp^{0.0}$, $Prnp^{+/+}$, and Tg20 embryos were incubated with 10 μ M ADDLs or vehicle alone for 3, 6, and 24 hours after 7 DIV (Fig. 1 and Suppl. Fig 1d). In all experiments, peptide aggregation prior to treatment was corroborated both by western blot (Suppl. Fig. 1a) and by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) observation (Suppl. Fig. 1b) (see also Material and methods for details). Densitometric analysis of revealed films demonstrated that $Prnp^{0.0}$ cortical neurons incubated with ADDLs showed an increase in tau phosphorylation (phosho-tau/total tau ratio) in all residues studied (ser^{396/404}, ser²⁰²/thr²⁰⁵, and thr¹⁸¹) (Fig. 1a-c) at 3 and especially at 6 hours. In contrast, no significant changes in tau phosphorylation were found in cultured $Prnp^{+/+}$ cells treated with ADDLs for 3 and 6 hours (Fig. 1a-c). In addition, in cultured neurons from Tg20 mice incubated with ADDLs, there was no significant increase in phosphorylation of the tau epitopes (ser^{396/404}, ser²⁰²/thr²⁰⁵, and thr¹⁸¹) when compared with $Prnp^{+/+}$ cultures (Fig. 1b,c).

Synergistic effect of absence of PrP^C and ADDL treatment in tau protein levels in cultured neurons

Next we aimed to determine whether there is an increase in tau protein levels after ADDL treatment and its putative relationship with the presence or absence of PrP^{C} . Results showed that after incubation of ADDLs, levels of tau (total tau/actin ratio) increased by ~12% at 3 hours and ~23% at 6 hours from treatment in *Prmp*^{0.0} cortical cultures (Fig. 1a,d). Moreover, an increase, although smaller, was observed in Tg20-derived cortical cultures after ADDL treatment (~8% at 3 hours and ~11% at 6 hours) (Fig. 1b,d). In parallel, PrP^{C} levels showed an increase at 3 and 6 hours after ADDL treatment only when a total tau increase was detected in Tg20 cultures (~15% increase at 3 hours and ~24% increase at 6 hours, respectively) (Fig. 1b). More relevantly, both ptau and total tau levels were unchanged after 24 hours of ADDL treatment in these phenotypes (Suppl. Fig. 1d). These data correlate with the observations that A β aggregated for 24 hours was unable to induce changes in tau levels (Suppl. Fig. 2) and that after 24 hours in culture conditions (37°C) ADDLs can form fibrils (Suppl. Fig. 1c). Taking this into account, further experiments were performed at 6 hours from ADDL treatment.

Given that the tau 5 antibody recognizes both native and phosphorylated forms of tau, some samples were dephosphorylated after 6 hours following ADDL treatment using γ -phosphatase (see

Material and methods for details) and then analyzed with western blotting. Our results showed an increase in total tau level independently of phosphorylation, suggesting that ADDLs cause an increase in tau expression (Fig. 1e), probably prior to hyperphosphorylation. Moreover, increased levels of tau in $Prnp^{0/0}$ cultures treated with ADDLs were also corroborated with RT-qPCR at 6 hours (Fig. 1f).

To discriminate between putative differences in genetic background of $Prnp^{00}$ versus Tg20 [66] or differences due to intrinsic levels of PrP^C, we performed similar experiments in $Prnp^{+/+}$ treated with lentiviral vector neurons carrying a small interfering RNA (siRNA) for Prnp (see Material and methods for details). Cells infected with these lentivirus showed a 15-30% decrease in PrP^C expression according to different experiments and compared with control cultures infected with enhanced green fluorescent protein (GFP)-encoding lentiviral particles. After exposure to ADDLs for 6 hours, treated cultures showed a statistically significant ~14% increase in tau expression only when PrP^C expression was decreased (Fig. 1g). This finding suggests that a small decreased expression of PrP^C is enough to compromise the increased tau expression in the presence of ADDLs. Lastly, we also stimulated cultured $Prnp^{00}$ cells with both ADDLs and/or A β fibers for 6 hours to determine whether the increased tau was associated exclusively with ADDLs. Indeed, our results showed a significant increase in total tau levels with western blot only in cortical $Prnp^{00}$ cells treated with ADDLs for 6 hours (Suppl. Fig. 2). In conclusion, PrP^C seems to be a relevant factor in controlling tau levels after ADDL treatment.

Parallel progression of PrP^C and tau expression in APP/PS1 mice

Several studies have reported progressive development of AD-like pathology in an APP/PS1 mouse model including both $A\beta$ deposition at 4 months and the presence of hyperphosphorylated tau in affected neurons at 6 months of age (e.g., [67,68]). First, we analyzed the presence of amyloid plaques in the APP/PS1 model and amyloid burden in our mouse colony (Suppl. Fig. 3). Increased levels of $A\beta$ could be detected in our APP/PS1 Tg mice at 3 months of age and increasing numbers of plaques were observed in the cerebral cortex from 4 to 9 months (Suppl. Fig. 3). Next, we aimed to analyze the correlation among tau phosphorylation, total tau levels, and PrP^C expression in the cerebral cortex of APP/PS1 Tg mice at different postnatal ages (3, 6, and 9 months) using immunohistochemistry and western blot (Fig. 2). The immunohistochemical results showed an increase in total tau immunoreactivity

(Fig. 2b) in correlation with higher PrP^C expression (Fig. 5d) in 3-month-old transgenic mice when compared with wild-type (Fig. 5a and 5c respectively). This correlates with western blot results (Fig. 2e). Densitometric analysis of developed films showed a ~13% increase in total tau levels of 3 month-old Tg APP/PS1 with respect to non-transgenic mice (Fig. 2e,f) and a correlated ~16% increase in PrP^C levels in the same animals (Fig. 2e,g). This increase of tau and PrP^C was not observed at 6 and 9 months of age. Then analysis of phosphorylation for several tau epitopes (ser^{396/404}, ser²⁰²/thr²⁰⁵, and thr¹⁸¹) was performed in the same animals by western blot. Our results showed non-significant increase in phospotau/total tau ratio for any residue studied (Fig. 2e). Data from protein levels were corroborated by RT-qPCR demonstrating the peak of both mRNAs at 3 months and their decline in the cerebral cortex of APP/PS1 mice at 6 and 9 months (Fig. 2h,i).

Next we aimed to determine whether the absence of *Prnp* modified tau expression in APP/PS1 mice. Triple APP/PS1/*Prnp*^{0/0} Tg mice [69] showed a ~22% increased expression of tau at 9 months of age compared with non-Tg APP/PS1/*Prnp*^{0/0} mice (Fig. 3a,b), in contrast to APP/PS1 expressing normal levels of PrP^{C} with the same tau levels (data not shown). Lastly, we performed a reduction of *Prnp* by lentiviral vectors in APP/PS1-derived cultures (Fig. 3c). Data revealed that decreased PrP^{C} increased levels of endogenous tau, similar to what happens with ADDL treatment of *Prnp*^{0/0} neurons (see Fig. 3 c,d). This reinforces the notion that PrP^{C} participates in the control of tau expression in AD.

Next we aimed to determine whether the induced tau synthesis was regulated through the tau promoter. To this end, we generate a triple transgenic resulting from crossing TgTP6.3 mouse, which overexpress tau-GFP under the β -actin promoter, with APP/PS1. Primary neuronal cultures from embryos of these mice were treated with siRNA-PrP^C. In these cultured cells analyzed with western blot, a band corresponding to endogenous tau and the fusion protein tau-GFP could be detected at 55 and 95 kDa, respectively (Fig. 4). Revealed blots demonstrated an increase of both proteins (tau and tau-GFP) after ADDL treatment, indicating that PrP^C modulation of tau expression in ADDL-treated neurons is not specific to the tau promoter.

Correlative progression of PrP^C and tau expression in human AD samples

Several studies have shown a decrease in PrP^{C} levels in different areas of AD-affected brains when compared with controls [70,71]. However these studies were focused on advanced AD stages (from III to VI). In contrast, McNeill and coworkers demonstrated increased levels of the PrP^{C} in a short duration case of AD [44]. Taking into account the relation between levels of PrP^{C} and tau protein reported in APP/PS1 mice and *in vitro* models, we analyzed levels of both proteins at different stages. Developed films showed a mean ~46% increase in total tau levels in AD (stage I) cases with respect to healthy middle-aged individuals (Fig. 5a) and a progressive decline in protein levels in accordance with the increased PrP^{C} expression (from 12% to 27% between AD stages I and III) (Fig. 5b,c).

DISCUSSION

In this study, our experiments using $Prnp^{0/0}$, Tg20, and siRNA-lentivirus treatment support the notion that Prnp dosage does not influence total tau protein levels in cultured cortical neurons. This reinforces previously reported microarray data in N2a cells [65] and PrP^{C} mutant mice at younger stages compared with adults (2-3 months) [72-74]. However, these observations are partially in contrast with some recently published results, using human neuroblastoma [75] and HEK293 cells [74]. In these studies, forced PrP^{C} expression in transfected cells with low expression levels of endogenous PrP^{C} decreased total tau protein levels [75].

It is relevant to note that increased expression of PrP^{C} increased its association with lipid rafts which may in turn trigger increased intracellular oxidative stress [76,22], thereby affecting tau expression and phosphorylation [74,77]. In contrast to the expected result, decreased PrP^{C} expression in our experiments correlated with increased tau phosphorylation (ptau/total tau as well as ptau/actin ratios) after *in vitro* treatment with ADDLs. Thus levels of tau, determined by tau 5 antibody, with or without γ phosphatase treatment (to avoid simultaneous detection of some ptau epitopes with tau 5 antibody), demonstrated that ADDL treatment is able to induce a relevant increase in tau in the absence of PrP^{C} as well as in overexpressing cultures. In this sense, it is important to note that both a lack of PrP^{C} expression and overexpression of the protein may impair PrP^{C} function [73].

In addition, this is exclusively associated with ADDL treatment since aggregated A β is unable to induce these effects in treated neurons. In fact, aggregated peptides in fibrillar forms failed to activate tau phosphorvlation (e.g., tyr¹⁸ residue) in contrast to the antibody-mediated PrP^C aggregation in the membrane [37]. Thus PrP^C reduces the effects of ADDLs in tau level modulation as well as their phosphorylation. Schmitz et al. showed that increased PrP^C expression in transfected cells decreased ptau levels (determined using AT8 antibody) [74]. This was also observed in $Prnp^{0/0}$ mice (FVB background) with increased tau phosphorylation at earlier stages [72]. Similar data have described tyr¹⁸ phosphorylation of tau after ADDL treatment [41]. Our data confirm but also expand these observations to all the phospho-epitopes studied. Concerning different phospho-epitopes, it has been shown that, after Aß oligomer treatment, wild-type primary neurons increased specifically AT8-associated phosphoepitopes as well as those recognized with 12E8 antibody (ser^{262/356}) and 9G3 antibody (tyr¹⁸). In contrast, ser^{396/404} (PHF1 antibody) remained unaltered (i.e, [78]). In our experiments, the absence of Prnp increased the phosphorylation of all ptau residues analyzed: (PHF1 antibody) ser^{396/404}, (AT8 antibody) ser^{202}/thr^{205} , and (phospho-thr181 antibody) thr¹⁸¹, in contrast to $Prnp^{+/+}$ and Tg20 primary cultures. In this respect, it has recently been shown that thr¹⁸¹ and ser³⁹⁶ residues act as putative PrP^C interacting domains of tau [74]. Thus it is reasonable to consider that these residues were not phosphorylated by intracellular kinases in wild-type and Tg20 cultures after ADDL treatment.

Several studies have analyzed the putative role of PrP^{C} expression in the survival of various models of neurodegenerative diseases (e.g., [79,69]. In fact, PrP^{C} seems not to play a crucial role in survival in Parkinson, taupathies, or APP/PS1 mouse models [79,69]. Although tau phosphorylation was not analyzed, no differences were observed in the tangle levels in the brain parenchyma of P301L mice with different *Prnp* dosages [79]. Only one study describes in detail propagation of tau pathology in the same mouse model [80]. In contrast, the participation of PrP^{C} in the appearance and evolution of the hallmarks of AD (i.e, A β deposits) has been reported by several laboratories [81,82]. In fact, PrP^{C} was detected with immunohistochemistry close to A β deposits [83] and accumulated in dystrophic neurites in AD postmortem brain sections [84].

With respect to PrP^C levels, most of these studies have been focused on the changes of PrP^C protein levels in advanced stages of the disease (mainly stage III until VI) (i.e., [70]) probably due to

neuronal loss [44]. In our study we demonstrated that in APP/PS1 mouse models as well as in postmortem AD brains (ranking from stage I to stage VI) there is an increase of PrP^{C} protein in the brain at early stages of the disease that peaks around stage III in AD brains and at ~3 months old in APP/PS1 mice. Thereafter PrP^{C} levels decreased inversely until the evolution of the clinical symptoms in both cases. Considering that low levels of PrP^{C} may increase tau expression, which may contribute to the AD pathology, and that PrP^{C} may act as an anti-oxidant agent as has been widely demonstrated [85,74], it is reasonable to consider that overexpression of PrP^{C} in AD might be part of a protective response in the evolution of the disease.

PrP^C expression, ADDLs, tau phosphorylation and neuroprotection

Phosphorylated form of tau protein is the main constituent of NTFs in AD and its appearance correlates with neurodegeneration in the disease (see [86] revised in [87]). It has been reported that the single overexpression of human tau in a mouse model is robust enough to induce tau phosphorylation [88] and neuronal aggregation [89]. In fact, the expression of a truncated form of tau reduces the excitotoxicity mediated by Aß [90]. In our study, the absence of PrP^C in an APP/PS1 mouse and in cultured APP/PS1derived neurons led to increased tau levels. It has been described how PrP^C-Fyn complex is responsible for pathological changes induced by ADDLs in tau phosphorylation [41]. In this context, Fyn co-localizes with ser/thr phosphorylated forms of tau in AD brains [91], and a direct interaction between PrP^C and Fyn has been described [92,41]. Furthermore, Fyn is up-regulated in AD brains and it interacts with tau, phosphorylating it at tyr¹⁸ epitope [38], implicated in the formation of tau aggregates and NFTs [93]. Along these lines, we recently reported increased phosphorylation levels of tyr¹⁸ in older (~ 9 months) but not younger (3-6 months) APP/PS1 mice overexpressing PrP^C [69]. The data presented more recently by Larson et al., indicating a dependence of tyr¹⁸ phosphorylation on PrP^C, reinforce our previously reported data [41]. In addition, we also observed increased PrP^C in Tg20-derived cultures after ADDL treatment which may also contribute to the increased levels of ptau (tyr¹⁸) observed in our previous study [69] and the study by Larson et al. in 14-month-old mice [41]. However, we were unable to determine differences in ptau epitopes (other than tyr¹⁸) in old APP/PS1 mice with different PrP^C dosages, although we did demonstrate an increase in tau levels in APP/PS1 mice lacking PrP^C with respect to APP/PS1-Prnp^{+/+} as also reported by Larson et al. [41]. In fact, our present results indicate that levels of PrP^C are largely

reduced in APP/PS1 mice of 9 months of age by 28% (Fig. 2). This correlates with western blotting data in human studies (see above). Taken together, these results suggest that the control of tau expression by PrP^{C} under the effects of ADDLs declines along the development of the disease or with age in the mouse model. This decline correlates with increased appearance of NFTs enriched in ptau in AD brains.

Are the effects of PrP^C mediating tau levels in ADDL-treated neurons specific?

Our data indicate that tau levels are increased in the absence of PrP^{C} in the presence of ADDLs. In fact, it has been proposed that PrP^{C} suppresses tau transcription, probably though modulation of the Fyn pathway [75]. However when we crossed APP/PS1 mice with a mouse model of tau overexpression under a β -actin promoter, reduction of PrP^{C} levels by siRNA induced increased presence of both endogenous (regulated by tau promoter) and exogenous tau-GFP (regulated by β -actin promoter) (Fig. 4). This might indicate that the absence of PrP^{C} also regulates the activity of different promoters, or, more likely, that PrP^{C} may intervene in the aggregative state of the protein or its turnover. In this sense phosphorylation of tau results in decreased tau degradation by the proteasomes that appear to play a major role in the turnover of the protein [94]. Also, cortical neurons overexpressing tau alter intracellular distribution of PrP^{C} and promote accumulation in the cell body, forming insoluble aggregates that in turn might damage the proteasome [95]. Taken together, these data suggest decreased degradation of tau in some pathological cases and probably modified synthesis/degradation rates. Further study is warranted to examine these possibilities and to determine biochemically whether PrP^{C} modulates tau levels in both healthy and AD-affected neurons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by BESAD-P, Centro Investigación Biomédica en Red Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas (CIBERNED), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, for the laboratories of IF, JADR and FW. In addition, work in the laboratory of JADR was supported by grants from FP7-PRIORITY and DEMTEST (Joint Programming of Neurodegenerative Diseases, PI11/03028), Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO) (BFU2012-32617), Generalitat de Catalunya (SGR2009-366 and SGR2014-1218) and Obra Social "La Caixa". RG was supported by Fondo de Investigaciones

Sanitarias (PI11-00075) and work in FW's lab was supported by grants from the Direccion General de Ciencia y Tecnologia (DGCYT) (SAF2012-39148-C03-01), and EU-FP7-2009-(CT222887), as well as an institutional grant from the 'Fundación Areces''. CV is supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MICINN). The authors declare they have no competing interests. We thank T. Yohannan for editorial assistance and M. Segura for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

1. Moleres FJ, Velayos JL (2005) Expression of PrP(C) in the rat brain and characterization of a subset of cortical neurons. Brain Res 1056 (1):10-21. doi:S0006-8993(05)00968-6 [pii] 10.1016/j.brainres.2005.06.067

2. Ford MJ, Burton LJ, Morris RJ, Hall SM (2002) Selective expression of prion protein in peripheral tissues of the adult mouse. Neuroscience 113 (1):177-192

3. Moser M, Colello RJ, Pott U, Oesch B (1995) Developmental expression of the prion protein gene in glial cells. Neuron 14 (3):509-517

4. Westergard L, Christensen HM, Harris DA (2007) The cellular prion protein (PrP(C)): its physiological function and role in disease. Biochimica et biophysica acta 1772 (6):629-644

5. Prusiner SB (1982) Novel proteinaceous infectious particles cause scrapie. Science (New York, NY 216 (4542):136-144

6. Prusiner SB (1998) Prions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95 (23):13363-13383

7. Aguzzi A, Calella AM (2009) Prions: protein aggregation and infectious diseases. Physiol Rev 89 (4):1105-1152. doi:10.1152/physrev.00006.2009 89/4/1105 [pii]

8. Braak H, Braak E (1996) Evolution of the neuropathology of Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neurol Scand Suppl 165:3-12

9. Avila J (2000) Tau aggregation into fibrillar polymers: taupathies. FEBS letters 476 (1-2):89-92

10. Avila J, Lucas JJ, Perez M, Hernandez F (2004) Role of tau protein in both physiological and pathological conditions. Physiol Rev 84 (2):361-384. doi:10.1152/physrev.00024.2003 84/2/361 [pii]

11. Burack MA, Halpain S (1996) Site-specific regulation of Alzheimer-like tau phosphorylation in living neurons. Neuroscience 72 (1):167-184

12. Cruz JC, Tsai LH (2004) Cdk5 deregulation in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. Trends Mol Med 10 (9):452-458. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2004.07.001 S1471-4914(04)00180-7 [pii]

13. Hooper C, Killick R, Lovestone S (2008) The GSK3 hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease. Journal of neurochemistry 104 (6):1433-1439. doi:JNC5194 [pii] 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2007.05194.x

14. Seira O, Del Rio JA (2013) Glycogen Synthase Kinase 3 Beta (GSK3beta) at the Tip of Neuronal Development and Regeneration. Molecular neurobiology. doi:10.1007/s12035-013-8571-y

15. Sun X, Sato S, Murayama O, Murayama M, Park JM, Yamaguchi H, Takashima A (2002) Lithium inhibits amyloid secretion in COS7 cells transfected with amyloid precursor protein C100. Neurosci Lett 321 (1-2):61-64. doi:S0304394001025836 [pii]

16. Plattner F, Angelo M, Giese KP (2006) The roles of cyclin-dependent kinase 5 and glycogen synthase kinase 3 in tau hyperphosphorylation. The Journal of biological chemistry 281 (35):25457-25465. doi:M603469200 [pii] 10.1074/jbc.M603469200

17. Otto M, Wiltfang J, Tumani H, Zerr I, Lantsch M, Kornhuber J, Weber T, Kretzschmar HA, Poser S (1997) Elevated levels of tau-protein in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. Neuroscience letters 225 (3):210-212

18. Noguchi-Shinohara M, Hamaguchi T, Nozaki I, Sakai K, Yamada M (2011) Serum tau protein as a marker for the diagnosis of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. J Neurol. doi:10.1007/s00415-011-5960-x

19. Wang GR, Shi S, Gao C, Zhang BY, Tian C, Dong CF, Zhou RM, Li XL, Chen C, Han J, Dong XP (2010) Changes of tau profiles in brains of the hamsters infected with scrapie strains 263 K or 139 A possibly associated with the alteration of phosphate kinases. BMC Infect Dis 10:86. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-10-86

20. Perez M, Rojo AI, Wandosell F, Diaz-Nido J, Avila J (2003) Prion peptide induces neuronal cell death through a pathway involving glycogen synthase kinase 3. The Biochemical journal 372 (Pt 1):129-136. doi:10.1042/BJ20021596

21. Lopes JP, Oliveira CR, Agostinho P (2009) Cdk5 acts as a mediator of neuronal cell cycle reentry triggered by amyloid-beta and prion peptides. Cell Cycle 8 (1):97-104

22. Nicolas O, Gavin R, del Rio JA (2009) New insights into cellular prion protein (PrPc) functions: the "ying and yang" of a relevant protein. Brain Res Rev 61 (2):170-184. doi:S0165-0173(09)00073-3 [pii] 10.1016/j.brainresrev.2009.06.002

23. Osiecka KM, Nieznanska H, Skowronek KJ, Karolczak J, Schneider G, Nieznanski K (2009) Prion protein region 23-32 interacts with tubulin and inhibits microtubule assembly. Proteins 77 (2):279-296. doi:10.1002/prot.22435

24. Wang XF, Dong CF, Zhang J, Wan YZ, Li F, Huang YX, Han L, Shan B, Gao C, Han J, Dong XP (2008) Human tau protein forms complex with PrP and some GSS- and fCJD-related PrP mutants possess stronger binding activities with tau in vitro. Mol Cell Biochem 310 (1-2):49-55. doi:10.1007/s11010-007-9664-6

25. Alzualde A, Indakoetxea B, Ferrer I, Moreno F, Barandiaran M, Gorostidi A, Estanga A, Ruiz I, Calero M, van Leeuwen FW, Atares B, Juste R, Rodriguez-Martinez AB, Lopez de Munain A (2010) A novel PRNP Y218N mutation in Gerstmann-Straussler-Scheinker disease with neurofibrillary degeneration. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 69 (8):789-800. doi:10.1097/NEN.0b013e3181e85737

26. Hardy JA, Higgins GA (1992) Alzheimer's disease: the amyloid cascade hypothesis. Science (New York, NY 256 (5054):184-185

27. Karran E, Mercken M, De Strooper B (2011) The amyloid cascade hypothesis for Alzheimer's disease: an appraisal for the development of therapeutics. Nat Rev Drug Discov 10 (9):698-712. doi:10.1038/nrd3505 nrd3505 [pii]

28. Lambert MP, Barlow AK, Chromy BA, Edwards C, Freed R, Liosatos M, Morgan TE, Rozovsky I, Trommer B, Viola KL, Wals P, Zhang C, Finch CE, Krafft GA, Klein WL (1998) Diffusible, nonfibrillar ligands derived from Abeta1-42 are potent central nervous system neurotoxins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95 (11):6448-6453

29. Bhatia R, Lin H, Lal R (2000) Fresh and globular amyloid beta protein (1-42) induces rapid cellular degeneration: evidence for AbetaP channel-mediated cellular toxicity. The FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology 14 (9):1233-1243

30. Walsh DM, Klyubin I, Fadeeva JV, Cullen WK, Anwyl R, Wolfe MS, Rowan MJ, Selkoe DJ (2002) Naturally secreted oligomers of amyloid beta protein potently inhibit hippocampal long-term potentiation in vivo. Nature 416 (6880):535-539. doi:10.1038/416535a

31. Lauren J, Gimbel DA, Nygaard HB, Gilbert JW, Strittmatter SM (2009) Cellular prion protein mediates impairment of synaptic plasticity by amyloid-beta oligomers. Nature 457 (7233):1128-1132. doi:nature07761 [pii] 10.1038/nature07761

32. Gimbel DA, Nygaard HB, Coffey EE, Gunther EC, Lauren J, Gimbel ZA, Strittmatter SM (2010) Memory impairment in transgenic Alzheimer mice requires cellular prion protein. J Neurosci 30 (18):6367-6374. doi:30/18/6367 [pii] 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0395-10.2010

33. Roberson ED, Scearce-Levie K, Palop JJ, Yan F, Cheng IH, Wu T, Gerstein H, Yu GQ, Mucke L (2007) Reducing endogenous tau ameliorates amyloid beta-induced deficits in an Alzheimer's disease mouse model. Science (New York, NY 316 (5825):750-754. doi:316/5825/750 [pii] 10.1126/science.1141736

34. Williamson R, Usardi A, Hanger DP, Anderton BH (2008) Membrane-bound beta-amyloid oligomers are recruited into lipid rafts by a fyn-dependent mechanism. The FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology 22 (5):1552-1559. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9766com

35. Klein C, Kramer EM, Cardine AM, Schraven B, Brandt R, Trotter J (2002) Process outgrowth of oligodendrocytes is promoted by interaction of fyn kinase with the cytoskeletal protein tau. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 22 (3):698-707

36. Mouillet-Richard S, Mutel V, Loric S, Tournois C, Launay JM, Kellermann O (2000) Regulation by neurotransmitter receptors of serotonergic or catecholaminergic neuronal cell differentiation. The Journal of biological chemistry 275 (13):9186-9192

37. Gavin R, Braun N, Nicolas O, Parra B, Urena JM, Mingorance A, Soriano E, Torres JM, Aguzzi A, del Rio JA (2005) PrP(106-126) activates neuronal intracellular kinases and Egr1 synthesis through activation of NADPH-oxidase independently of PrPc. FEBS letters 579 (19):4099-4106. doi:S0014-5793(05)00774-X [pii] 10.1016/j.febslet.2005.06.037

38. Lee G, Thangavel R, Sharma VM, Litersky JM, Bhaskar K, Fang SM, Do LH, Andreadis A, Van Hoesen G, Ksiezak-Reding H (2004) Phosphorylation of tau by fyn: implications for Alzheimer's disease. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 24 (9):2304-2312. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4162-03.2004

39. Roberson ED, Halabisky B, Yoo JW, Yao J, Chin J, Yan F, Wu T, Hamto P, Devidze N, Yu GQ, Palop JJ, Noebels JL, Mucke L (2011) Amyloid-beta/Fyn-induced synaptic, network, and cognitive impairments depend on tau levels in multiple mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 31 (2):700-711. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4152-10.2011

40. Um JW, Nygaard HB, Heiss JK, Kostylev MA, Stagi M, Vortmeyer A, Wisniewski T, Gunther EC, Strittmatter SM (2012) Alzheimer amyloid-beta oligomer bound to postsynaptic prion protein activates Fyn to impair neurons. Nat Neurosci 15 (9):1227-1235. doi:10.1038/nn.3178 nn.3178 [pii]

41. Larson M, Sherman MA, Amar F, Nuvolone M, Schneider JA, Bennett DA, Aguzzi A, Lesne SE (2012) The complex PrP(c)-Fyn couples human oligomeric Abeta with pathological tau changes in Alzheimer's disease. J Neurosci 32 (47):16857-16871a. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1858-12.2012 32/47/16857 [pii]

42. Um JW, Strittmatter SM (2013) Amyloid-beta induced signaling by cellular prion protein and Fyn kinase in Alzheimer disease. Prion 7 (1):37-41. doi:10.4161/pri.22212 22212 [pii]

43. Velayos JL, Irujo A, Cuadrado-Tejedor M, Paternain B, Moleres FJ, Ferrer V (2009) The cellular prion protein and its role in Alzheimer disease. Prion 3 (2):110-117. doi:9135 [pii]

44. McNeill A (2004) A molecular analysis of prion protein expression in Alzheimer's disease. McGill Journal of medicine 8:7-14

45. Rezaie P, Pontikis CC, Hudson L, Cairns NJ, Lantos PL (2005) Expression of cellular prion protein in the frontal and occipital lobe in Alzheimer's disease, diffuse Lewy body disease, and in normal brain: an immunohistochemical study. J Histochem Cytochem 53 (8):929-940. doi:10.1369/jhc.4A6551.2005

46. Griffiths HH, Whitehouse IJ, Hooper NM (2012) Regulation of amyloid-beta production by the prion protein. Prion 6 (3):217-222. doi:10.4161/pri.18988 18988 [pii]

47. Bueler H, Fischer M, Lang Y, Bluethmann H, Lipp HP, DeArmond SJ, Prusiner SB, Aguet M, Weissmann C (1992) Normal development and behaviour of mice lacking the neuronal cell-surface PrP protein. Nature 356 (6370):577-582

48. Fischer M, Rulicke T, Raeber A, Sailer A, Moser M, Oesch B, Brandner S, Aguzzi A, Weissmann C (1996) Prion protein (PrP) with amino-proximal deletions restoring susceptibility of PrP knockout mice to scrapie. The EMBO journal 15 (6):1255-1264

49. Steele AD, Emsley JG, Ozdinler PH, Lindquist S, Macklis JD (2006) Prion protein (PrPc) positively regulates neural precursor proliferation during developmental and adult mammalian neurogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103 (9):3416-3421

50. Jankowsky JL, Slunt HH, Ratovitski T, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, Borchelt DR (2001) Coexpression of multiple transgenes in mouse CNS: a comparison of strategies. Biomol Eng 17 (6):157-165. doi:S1389034401000673 [pii]

51. Pratt T, Sharp L, Nichols J, Price DJ, Mason JO (2000) Embryonic stem cells and transgenic mice ubiquitously expressing a tau-tagged green fluorescent protein. Dev Biol 228 (1):19-28. doi:10.1006/dbio.2000.9935 S0012-1606(00)99935-4 [pii]

52. Braak H, Braak E, Bohl J, Bratzke H (1998) Evolution of Alzheimer's disease related cortical lesions. J Neural Transm Suppl 54:97-106

53. Huijbers W, Mormino EC, Wigman SE, Ward AM, Vannini P, McLaren DG, Becker JA, Schultz AP, Hedden T, Johnson KA, Sperling RA (2014) Amyloid deposition is linked to aberrant entorhinal activity among cognitively normal older adults. J Neurosci 34 (15):5200-5210. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3579-13.2014 34/15/5200 [pii]

54. Abad MA, Enguita M, DeGregorio-Rocasolano N, Ferrer I, Trullas R (2006) Neuronal pentraxin 1 contributes to the neuronal damage evoked by amyloid-beta and is overexpressed in dystrophic neurites in Alzheimer's brain. J Neurosci 26 (49):12735-12747. doi:26/49/12735 [pii] 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0575-06.2006

55. Klein WL (2002) Abeta toxicity in Alzheimer's disease: globular oligomers (ADDLs) as new vaccine and drug targets. Neurochem Int 41 (5):345-352. doi:S0197018602000505 [pii]

56. Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227 (5259):680-685

57. Hanger DP, Gibb GM, de Silva R, Boutajangout A, Brion JP, Revesz T, Lees AJ, Anderton BH (2002) The complex relationship between soluble and insoluble tau in tauopathies revealed by efficient dephosphorylation and specific antibodies. FEBS letters 531 (3):538-542. doi:S0014579302036116 [pii]

58. McMillan P, Korvatska E, Poorkaj P, Evstafjeva Z, Robinson L, Greenup L, Leverenz J, Schellenberg GD, D'Souza I (2008) Tau isoform regulation is region- and cell-specific in mouse brain. J Comp Neurol 511 (6):788-803. doi:10.1002/cne.21867

59. Bribian A, Fontana X, Llorens F, Gavin R, Reina M, Garcia-Verdugo JM, Torres JM, de Castro F, del Rio JA (2012) Role of the cellular prion protein in oligodendrocyte precursor cell proliferation and differentiation in the developing and adult mouse CNS. PLoS One 7 (4):e33872. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033872 PONE-D-11-18146 [pii]

60. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25 (4):402-408. doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262 S1046-2023(01)91262-9 [pii]

61. Carulla P, Bribian A, Rangel A, Gavin R, Ferrer I, Caelles C, Del Rio JA, Llorens F (2011) Neuroprotective role of PrPC against kainate-induced epileptic seizures and cell death depends on the modulation of JNK3 activation by GluR6/7-PSD-95 binding. Molecular biology of the cell 22 (17):3041-3054. doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-04-0321 mbc.E11-04-0321 [pii]

62. Gotz J, Gladbach A, Pennanen L, van Eersel J, Schild A, David D, Ittner LM (2010) Animal models reveal role for tau phosphorylation in human disease. Biochimica et biophysica acta 1802 (10):860-871. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2009.09.008 S0925-4439(09)00219-1 [pii]

63. De Felice FG, Wu D, Lambert MP, Fernandez SJ, Velasco PT, Lacor PN, Bigio EH, Jerecic J, Acton PJ, Shughrue PJ, Chen-Dodson E, Kinney GG, Klein WL (2008) Alzheimer's disease-type neuronal tau hyperphosphorylation induced by A beta oligomers. Neurobiol Aging 29 (9):1334-1347. doi:S0197-4580(07)00108-X [pii] 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2007.02.029

64. Bulbarelli A, Lonati E, Cazzaniga E, Gregori M, Masserini M (2009) Pin1 affects Tau phosphorylation in response to Abeta oligomers. Molecular and cellular neurosciences 42 (1):75-80. doi:S1044-7431(09)00123-7 [pii] 10.1016/j.mcn.2009.06.001

65. Llorens F, Ferrer I, Del Rio JA (2013) Gene Expression Resulting from PrP Ablation and PrP Overexpression in Murine and Cellular Models. Molecular neurobiology. doi:10.1007/s12035-013-8529-0

66. Nuvolone M, Kana V, Hutter G, Sakata D, Mortin-Toth SM, Russo G, Danska JS, Aguzzi A (2013) SIRPalpha polymorphisms, but not the prion protein, control phagocytosis of apoptotic cells. J Exp Med 210 (12):2539-2552. doi:10.1084/jem.20131274 jem.20131274 [pii]

67. Garcia-Alloza M, Robbins EM, Zhang-Nunes SX, Purcell SM, Betensky RA, Raju S, Prada C, Greenberg SM, Bacskai BJ, Frosch MP (2006) Characterization of amyloid deposition in the APPswe/PS1dE9 mouse model of Alzheimer disease. Neurobiology of disease 24 (3):516-524. doi:S0969-9961(06)00207-5 [pii] 10.1016/j.nbd.2006.08.017

68. Kurt MA, Davies DC, Kidd M, Duff K, Howlett DR (2003) Hyperphosphorylated tau and paired helical filament-like structures in the brains of mice carrying mutant amyloid precursor protein and mutant presenilin-1 transgenes. Neurobiology of disease 14 (1):89-97. doi:S0969996103000846 [pii]

69. Ordonez-Gutierrez L, Torres JM, Gavin R, Anton M, Arroba-Espinosa AI, Espinosa JC, Vergara C, Del Rio JA, Wandosell F (2013) Cellular prion protein modulates beta-amyloid deposition in aged APP/PS1 transgenic mice. Neurobiol Aging. doi:S0197-4580(13)00229-7 [pii] 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.05.019

70. Llorens F, Ansoleaga B, Garcia-Esparcia P, Zafar S, Grau-Rivera O, Lopez-Gonzalez I, Blanco R, Carmona M, Yague J, Nos C, Del Rio JA, Gelpi E, Zerr I, Ferrer I (2013) PrP mRNA and protein expression in brain and PrP in CSF in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease MM1 and VV2. Prion 7 (5). doi:26416 [pii]

71. Whitehouse IJ, Miners JS, Glennon EB, Kehoe PG, Love S, Kellett KA, Hooper NM (2013) Prion protein is decreased in Alzheimer's brain and inversely correlates with BACE1 activity, amyloid-beta levels and Braak stage. PLoS One 8 (4):e59554. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059554 PONE-D-12-38937 [pii]

72. Benvegnu S, Roncaglia P, Agostini F, Casalone C, Corona C, Gustincich S, Legname G (2011) Developmental influence of the cellular prion protein on the gene expression profile in mouse hippocampus. Physiol Genomics 43 (12):711-725. doi:10.1152/physiolgenomics.00205.2010 physiolgenomics.00205.2010 [pii]

73. Rangel A, Madronal N, Gruart A, Gavin R, Llorens F, Sumoy L, Torres JM, Delgado-Garcia JM, Del Rio JA (2009) Regulation of GABA(A) and glutamate receptor expression, synaptic facilitation and long-term potentiation in the hippocampus of prion mutant mice. PLoS One 4 (10):e7592. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007592

74. Schmitz M, Wulf K, Signore SC, Schulz-Schaeffer WJ, Kermer P, Bahr M, Wouters FS, Zafar S, Zerr I (2014) Impact of the Cellular Prion Protein on Amyloid-beta and 3PO-Tau Processing. J Alzheimers Dis 38 (3):551-565. doi:10.3233/JAD-130566 VN82W87V0056357L [pii]

75. Chen RJ, Chang WW, Lin YC, Cheng PL, Chen YR (2013) Alzheimer's Amyloid-beta Oligomers Rescue Cellular Prion Protein Induced Tau Reduction via Fyn Pathways. ACS Chem Neurosci. doi:10.1021/cn400085q

76. Mouillet-Richard S, Ermonval M, Chebassier C, Laplanche JL, Lehmann S, Launay JM, Kellermann O (2000) Signal transduction through prion protein. Science (New York, NY 289 (5486):1925-1928

77. Zhao Y, Zhao B (2013) Oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2013:316523. doi:10.1155/2013/316523

78. Zempel H, Thies E, Mandelkow E, Mandelkow EM (2010) Abeta oligomers cause localized Ca(2+) elevation, missorting of endogenous Tau into dendrites, Tau phosphorylation, and destruction of microtubules and spines. J Neurosci 30 (36):11938-11950. doi:30/36/11938 [pii] 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2357-10.2010

79. Steele AD, Zhou Z, Jackson WS, Zhu C, Auluck P, Moskowitz MA, Chesselet MF, Lindquist S (2009) Context dependent neuroprotective properties of prion protein (PrP). Prion 3 (4):240-249. doi:10135 [pii]

80. de Calignon A, Polydoro M, Suarez-Calvet M, William C, Adamowicz DH, Kopeikina KJ, Pitstick R, Sahara N, Ashe KH, Carlson GA, Spires-Jones TL, Hyman BT (2012) Propagation of tau pathology in a model of early Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 73 (4):685-697. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.033 S0896-6273(12)00038-4 [pii]

81. Schwarze-Eicker K, Keyvani K, Gortz N, Westaway D, Sachser N, Paulus W (2005) Prion protein (PrPc) promotes beta-amyloid plaque formation. Neurobiol Aging 26 (8):1177-1182. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2004.10.004

82. Parkin ET, Watt NT, Hussain I, Eckman EA, Eckman CB, Manson JC, Baybutt HN, Turner AJ, Hooper NM (2007) Cellular prion protein regulates beta-secretase cleavage of the Alzheimer's

amyloid precursor protein. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104 (26):11062-11067. doi:10.1073/pnas.0609621104

83. Ferrer I, Blanco R, Carmona M, Puig B, Ribera R, Rey MJ, Ribalta T (2001) Prion protein expression in senile plaques in Alzheimer's disease. Acta neuropathologica 101 (1):49-56

84. Takahashi RH, Tobiume M, Sato Y, Sata T, Gouras GK, Takahashi H (2011) Accumulation of cellular prion protein within dystrophic neurites of amyloid plaques in the Alzheimer's disease brain. Neuropathology 31 (3):208-214. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1789.2010.01158.x

85. Brown DR, Schulz-Schaeffer WJ, Schmidt B, Kretzschmar HA (1997) Prion protein-deficient cells show altered response to oxidative stress due to decreased SOD-1 activity. Experimental neurology 146 (1):104-112

86. Braak H, Braak E (1991) Demonstration of amyloid deposits and neurofibrillary changes in whole brain sections. Brain Pathol 1 (3):213-216

87. Avila J (2010) Intracellular and extracellular tau. Front Neurosci 4:49. doi:10.3389/fnins.2010.00049

88. Andorfer C, Acker CM, Kress Y, Hof PR, Duff K, Davies P (2005) Cell-cycle reentry and cell death in transgenic mice expressing nonmutant human tau isoforms. J Neurosci 25 (22):5446-5454. doi:25/22/5446 [pii] 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4637-04.2005

89. Spillantini MG, Goedert M (2013) Tau pathology and neurodegeneration. Lancet Neurol 12 (6):609-622. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70090-5 S1474-4422(13)70090-5 [pii]

90. Ittner LM, Ke YD, Delerue F, Bi M, Gladbach A, van Eersel J, Wolfing H, Chieng BC, Christie MJ, Napier IA, Eckert A, Staufenbiel M, Hardeman E, Gotz J (2010) Dendritic function of tau mediates amyloid-beta toxicity in Alzheimer's disease mouse models. Cell 142 (3):387-397. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.06.036 S0092-8674(10)00726-9 [pii]

91. Shirazi SK, Wood JG (1993) The protein tyrosine kinase, fyn, in Alzheimer's disease pathology. Neuroreport 4 (4):435-437

92. Mattei V, Garofalo T, Misasi R, Circella A, Manganelli V, Lucania G, Pavan A, Sorice M (2004) Prion protein is a component of the multimolecular signaling complex involved in T cell activation. FEBS letters 560 (1-3):14-18. doi:10.1016/S0014-5793(04)00029-8 S0014579304000298 [pii]

93. Vega IE, Cui L, Propst JA, Hutton ML, Lee G, Yen SH (2005) Increase in tau tyrosine phosphorylation correlates with the formation of tau aggregates. Brain research 138 (2):135-144. doi:S0169-328X(05)00188-9 [pii] 10.1016/j.molbrainres.2005.04.015

94. Poppek D, Keck S, Ermak G, Jung T, Stolzing A, Ullrich O, Davies KJ, Grune T (2006) Phosphorylation inhibits turnover of the tau protein by the proteasome: influence of RCAN1 and oxidative stress. The Biochemical journal 400 (3):511-520. doi:BJ20060463 [pii] 10.1042/BJ20060463

95. Canu N, Filesi I, Pristera A, Ciotti MT, Biocca S (2011) Altered intracellular distribution of PrPC and impairment of proteasome activity in tau overexpressing cortical neurons. J Alzheimers Dis 27 (3):603-613. doi:10.3233/JAD-2011-110446 X005M28T0V758627 [pii]

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1

Analysis of effect of ADDLs on tau. **a**, **b** Cortical cultures from $Prnp^{0/0}$ or $PrnP^{+/+}$ mice (a) and Tg20 mice (b) were treated with either ADDL (10 μ M) (n = 6 $Prnp^{0/0}$, n = 6 $PrnP^{+/+}$, and n= 6 Tg20) or vehicle alone for 3 and 6 hours (n = 6 $Prnp^{0/0}$, n = 6 $PrnP^{+/+}$, and n= 6 Tg20; each case). Samples were analyzed with western blot using different anti-phospho tau or total tau antibodies in parallel with anti-PrP^C antibody to reveal PrP^C expression in each sample. Membranes were retested with an antibody against actin for normalization. c Densitometric analysis showing the increase in phosphorylated tau levels (epitopes ser^{396/404}, ser²⁰²/thr²⁰⁵, and thr¹⁸¹, respectively) only in *Prnp*^{0/0} mouse samples after 3 or 6 hours of ADDL treatment when compared to the vehicle control. d Densitometric analysis showing the increase in total tau levels only in $Prnp^{0/0}$ mouse samples at 3 and 6 hours post-treatment and the slight increase in total tau levels in Tg20 mice at the same time of stimuli. Data on tau-phosphorylated and total tau protein levels in control samples (vehicle alone) were considered as the unit at each experimental time and the rest of the data were corrected as an increase of this initial value. e, f Analysis of tau expression in protein extracts of cortical $Prnp^{0/0}$ cultures treated with ADDLs for 6 hours after treatment with λ phosphatase with western blot (e) (n = 4) or analyzed by RT-qPCR (n = 3) (f). Densitometric values in (e) are standardized with vehicle treatment, and quantification was represented as fold increase \pm SEM. g Neuronal primary cultures from wild-type mice were also stimulated in the same conditions after silencing PrP^C expression with a lentivirus. The western blot analysis showed an increase in tau expression only in the silenced ones compared with the wild-type ones. Densitometric values in c, d and f are standardized with vehicle treatment, and quantification was represented as fold change \pm SEM. Bars represent the mean of three independent experiments. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01; (ANOVA test).

Fig. 2

a-d Immunohistochemical characterization of mouse brain sections. Anti-total tau antibody (monoclonal Tau 5) (a, b) and anti- PrP^{C} antibody (monoclonal 6H4) (c, d) were used to detect an increased

immunoreaction in APP/PS1 mice (n=4) (b, d) in contrast to wild-type animals (n=4) (a, c). Abbreviations including CA1 and CA2, cornus ammonis I and II; DG dentate gyrus; GCL, granular cell layer; H, hilus; ML, molecular layer; SLM, stratum lacunosum-moleculare; SO, stratum oriens; SP, stratum pyramidale; SR, stratum radiatum. Scale bar = 200 μ m. e Western blot analysis of tau and PrP^C in brains from wild-type (WT) and APP/PS1 mice (Tg) at different postnatal ages (3, 6, and 9 months respectively). Anti-actin monoclonal antibody was used for standardization. f, g Histograms showing the densitometry study of each protein, tau (f) and PrP^C (g), respectively. h, i Histograms showing the RT-qPCR analysis of expression of each protein, tau (h) and PrP^C (i), respectively. 9 animals were examined in each case and bars represent the mean of each group of transgenic animals normalized with control group at the same age. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01; (ANOVA test).

Fig. 3

a, **b** Tau expression in brains from triple transgenic mice APP/PS1/*Prnp*^{0/0} (n = 9) compared to *Prnp*^{0/0} (n = 9) at 9 months old. An example of western blot analysis of two animals of each genotype (a) and the respective histogram quantification of tau expression (b). Anti-actin monoclonal antibody was used for standardization. * p < 0.05 (ANOVA test). **c**, **d** Cortical cultures from APP/PS1 mice after silencing PrP^C expression with a lentivirus (n = 4). The western blot analysis showed increased levels of endogenous tau when PrP^C expression was decreased (c). Densitometric analysis of developed films (d). * p < 0.05 (ANOVA test).

Fig. 4

Analysis of tau expression in cortical primary cultures from a triple transgenic APP/PS1/TgTP6.3 mouse. Western blot analysis shows an increase in tau expression when levels of PrP^{C} are reduced with lentiviral system. Both tau-GFP, which migrated to 95 KDa, and endogenous tau, around 55 KDa, are overexpressed. Anti-actin monoclonal antibody was used for standardization. Densitometric values are standardized with vehicle treatment, and quantification was represented as fold increase \pm SEM of three independent experiments (n = 10 cases).

Immunoblot analysis of hippocampal necropsies from AD patients compared to healthy (middle-aged adults) cases. **a** Example of western blot determination of total tau levels and PrP^{C} expression. Braak and Braak stage progression is indicated (I-VI). Membranes were retested with an antibody against actin for standardization. **b** Histograms showing the densitometric study for all cases showed in Table 1. Asterisks indicate significant differences between AD groups and healthy cases, whose average represents the unit. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01; (ANOVA test). Increased levels of tau can be seen in patients from ADI to ADIII group (a, b) and a correlative increment in PrP^{C} expression is also shown (a, c).

Supplementary Fig. 1

a Electrophoretic characterization of different A β 1-42 amyloid species. Peptide was matured under protocols for ADDLs or fibril formation prior to peptide culture treatments. Immunoblot was done with 6E10 monoclonal antibody. **b**, **c** TEM analysis of A β 1-42 24 hours post-dissolution under oligomer- (b) or fibril-forming conditions (c). Scale bar: 200 nm. **d** Western blot analysis of total tau level or phosphorylated tau level (epitopes ser^{396/404}, ser²⁰²/thr²⁰⁵, and thr¹⁸¹, respectively) in cortical cultures from *Prnp*^{0/0}, *PrnP*^{+/+}, and Tg20 mouse samples (n = 6, *Prnp*^{0/0}; n = 6 *PrnP*^{+/+} and n = 6 Tg20) after 24 hours of ADDL treatment.

Supplementary Fig. 2

Comparative analysis of cortical primary culture from $Prnp^{0/0}$ mice treated with ADDLs or fibrillar A β 1-42, respectively, for 6 hours (n = 3 ADDLs and n = 4 A β 1-42). Note that tau expression increases only when cells are treated with oligomers.

Supplementary Fig. 3

Characterization of the APP/PS1 mouse model used in this study. **a-d** Immunohistochemical analysis of 9-month-old animals with 4G8 monoclonal antibody for detection of amyloid plaques. Scale bars a, b, c = 200 μ m Scale bar d = 40 μ m. Abbreviations including H, hilus; GCL, granular cell layer; ML, molecular layer; SLM, stratum lacunosum-moleculare; SR, stratum radiatum; SP, stratum pyramidale; SO, stratum oriens; WM, white matter and I–VIb, neocortical layers. **a** Absence of amyloid plaques in brain sections of wild-type mice in contrast to A β deposits found in transgenic mice (b, c). **d** Higher magnification of

the immunolabeled plaque framed in c. e Increasing levels of soluble A β 1-42 amyloid with age quantified

by ELISA. 9 animals were analyzed for each age.

Case Braak stage Gender Age Age (mean \pm s.d.m) Post (mean \pm s.d.m) C1 - M 39 46.5 \pm 5.3 99 C2 - F 46 14 C3 - M 53 77 C4 - M 43 44 C3 - M 43 44 45 44	t-morten
C1 - M 39 46.5 ± 5.3 99 C2 - F 46 14 C3 - M 53 71 C4 - M 43 71 C4 - M 43 71 C6 - M 43 44 C6 - M 52 31 AD1 I M 61 67.3 ± 7.7 31 AD2 I M 61 67.3 ± 7.7 31 AD3 I M 74 74 AD4 I M 71 11 AD5 I M 64 22 AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 51 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 65 42 AD10 I M 66 41 AD11 II M 65 43	delay
C2 - F 46 14 C3 - M 53 71 C4 - M 46 71 C5 - M 43 44 C6 - M 52 44 AD1 I M 61 67.3 \pm 7.7 33 AD2 I M 53 61 AD3 I M 74 64 AD4 I M 74 67.3 \pm 7.7 33 AD5 I M 64 22 AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 55 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6 \pm 6.7 AD12 II M 66 44 AD13 II M 71 51 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 <td>h 15min</td>	h 15min
C3 - M 53 71 C4 - M 46 71 C5 - M 43 44 C6 - M 52 41 AD1 I M 61 67.3 ± 7.7 31 AD2 I M 53 67.3 ± 7.7 31 AD3 I M 74 61 AD4 I M 71 11 AD5 I M 64 22 AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 55 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 66 41 AD11 II F 77 69.6 ± 6.7 AD13 II M 71 51 AD14 II M 71 51 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16	4h 15min
C4 - M 46 C5 - M 43 44 C6 - M 52 40 AD1 I M 61 67.3 \pm 7.7 31 AD2 I M 53 67.3 \pm 7.7 31 AD2 I M 53 66 AD3 I M 74 11 AD4 I M 71 11 AD5 I M 66 21 AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 55 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 68 10 AD10 I M 66 44 AD11 II F 77 69.6 \pm 6.7 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 71 51 AD15 II M 76 96 AD18 II	h 25min
C5 - M 43 44 C6 - M 52 44 C6 - M 52 44 AD1 I M 61 67.3 ± 7.7 33 AD2 I M 53 61 67.3 ± 7.7 33 AD3 I M 74 61 67.3 ± 7.7 31 AD4 I M 74 64 21 AD5 I M 64 21 AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 55 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 100 AD10 I M 63 100 AD11 II F 77 69.6 ± 6.7 AD12 II M 65 44 AD13 II M 71 55 AD14 II M 71 55 AD16 II	15h
C6 - M 52 AD1 I M 61 67.3 ± 7.7 31 AD2 I M 53 67.3 ± 7.7 31 AD3 I M 74 61 67.3 ± 7.7 31 AD3 I M 74 61 67.3 ± 7.7 31 AD4 I M 74 71 11 AD5 I M 74 71 11 AD5 I M 64 21 AD6 I F 75 31 AD6 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 63 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6±6.7 AD12 II M 66 44 AD13 II M 71 51 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 II M 71 77 AD16	h 35min
AD1 I M 61 67.3 ± 7.7 33 AD2 I M 53 60 AD3 I M 74 AD4 I M 71 11 AD5 I M 64 22 AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 51 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6 ± 6.7 AD12 II M 66 44 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 88 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 99 AD17 II F 80 31 AD16 II M 71 77 AD20 III F 80 81 ± 2.1 21 AD21	3h
AD2 I M 53 66 AD3 I M 74 11 AD4 I M 71 11 AD5 I M 64 21 AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 55 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 65 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6±6.7 AD12 II M 66 44 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 88 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 94 AD18 II F 80 31 AD20 III M 71 77 AD21 III F 81 76.3±5.0 11 AD20	h 40min
AD3 I M 74 AD4 I M 71 11 AD5 I M 64 21 AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 55 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 66 44 AD9 I M 66 40 AD11 II F 77 69.6±6.7 AD12 II M 65 40 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 71 50 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II F 80 31 AD18 II F 80 81±2.1 21 AD20 III M 71 77 11 AD21 III	h 15 min
AD4 I M 71 11 AD5 I M 64 21 AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 55 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 63 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6±6.7 AD12 II M 66 44 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 71 51 AD15 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 66 44 AD15 II M 66 33 AD16 II M 60 91 AD20 III F 80 81±2.1 21 AD21 <t< td=""><td>4h</td></t<>	4h
AD5 I M 64 21 AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 55 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 10 AD9 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 63 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6±6.7 AD13 II M 66 44 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 II M 71 55 AD16 II M 66 94 AD17 II F 80 31 AD20 III M 71 77 AD21 III F 81 76.3±5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 77 11 AD21 III F 81 21 21 </td <td>lh 30min</td>	lh 30min
AD6 I F 79 33 AD7 I M 65 51 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 63 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6±6.7 AD12 II M 65 44 AD12 II M 65 44 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 94 AD17 II F 80 31 AD20 III F 81 76.3±5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 77 71 AD20 III F 80 81±2.1 21 AD21 IV F 81 76.3±5.0	h 15min
AD7 I M 65 55 AD8 I F 75 44 AD9 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 68 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6±6.7 AD12 II M 65 44 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 94 AD17 II F 60 94 AD18 II F 81 76.3±5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 77 71 AD21 III F 80 81±2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 12 24 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79	h 35min
AD8 I F 75 41 AD9 I M 63 41 AD10 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 68 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6 \pm 6.7 AD12 II M 66 41 AD13 II M 66 41 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 91 AD17 II F 60 91 AD18 II F 80 31 AD20 III F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD20 III F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD21 III F 80 81 \pm 2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 12 21 AD24 IV M	h 15min
AD9 I M 63 M AD10 I M 63 10 AD10 I M 68 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6±6.7 AD12 II M 66 44 AD13 II M 66 44 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 94 AD17 II F 80 33 AD18 II F 80 31 AD20 III M 71 77 AD21 III F 81 76.3±5.0 11 AD23 IV F 81 81±2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 31±2.1 21 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79	h 55min
AD10 I M 68 10 AD11 II F 77 69.6 \pm 6.7 AD12 II M 65 40.5 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 88 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 94 AD17 II F 60 94 AD18 II F 80 33 AD19 III F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 77 11 AD20 III F 80 81 \pm 2.1 21 AD21 IV F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD23 IV F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD23 IV F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD23 IV F 81 76.3 \pm 2.1 21 AD24 IV M 84 <	6h
AD10 I II F 77 69.6 \pm 6.7 AD12 II M 65 40.5 40.5 AD13 II M 66 41 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 II M 71 55 AD16 II M 66 91 AD17 II F 60 91 AD18 II F 80 31 AD19 III F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 77.7 11 AD21 III F 80 81 \pm 2.1 21 AD21 III F 80 81 \pm 2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 12 12 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 20.4 21.4 21.4)h 55min
AD11 II F 77 69.6 ± 6.7 AD12 II M 65 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 94 AD17 II F 60 94 AD18 II F 80 31 AD19 III F 81 76.3 ± 5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 77 71 AD21 III F 81 76.3 ± 5.0 11 AD21 III F 80 81 ± 2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 81 ± 2.1 21 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 65 $60.4.5.4$ 67	л <i>ээ</i> нш
AD12 II M 65 AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 88 AD15 II M 71 55 AD16 II M 66 94 AD17 II F 60 94 AD18 II F 80 31 AD19 III F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 77 AD21 III F 80 81 \pm 2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 12 14 AD25 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 14	11h
AD13 II M 66 44 AD14 II M 72 81 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 91 AD17 II F 60 91 AD18 II F 80 31 AD19 III F 80 31 AD20 III M 71 77 AD21 III F 77 11 AD22 IV F 81 21 AD23 IV F 81 12 AD25 IV M 79 20	5h
AD14 II M 72 88 AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 91 AD17 II F 60 91 AD18 II F 80 31 AD19 III F 81 76.3±5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 77 AD21 III F 77 11 AD23 IV F 81 81±2.1 20 AD23 IV F 81 12 21 AD25 IV M 84 12	h 55min
AD15 II M 71 51 AD16 II M 66 91 AD17 II F 60 91 AD18 II F 80 31 AD19 III F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 77 AD21 III F 80 81 \pm 2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 AD24 12 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 20.4 51.4 21.4	h 45 min
AD16 II M 66 AD17 II F 60 91 AD18 II F 80 31 AD19 III F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 77 AD21 III F 80 81 \pm 2.1 21 AD22 IV F 80 81 \pm 2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 AD24 12 AD25 IV M 84 12	h 15min
AD17 II F 60 94 AD18 II F 80 31 AD19 III F 81 76.3 \pm 5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 71 AD21 III F 80 81 \pm 2.1 21 AD22 IV F 80 81 \pm 2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 AD24 12 AD25 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 20.2.5.4 20.2.5.4	5h
AD18 II F 80 31 AD19 III F 81 76.3±5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 71 AD21 III F 80 81±2.1 AD22 IV F 80 81±2.1 AD23 IV F 81 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 20.2.5.4 20.2.5.4	h 40 min
AD19 III F 81 76.3±5.0 11 AD20 III M 71 71 71 AD21 III F 77 11 AD22 IV F 80 81±2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 12 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 20.4.5.4 20.4.5.4	h 30min
AD20 III M 71 70.513.0 71 AD20 III M 71 71 AD21 III F 77 11 AD22 IV F 80 81±2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 12 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 20.554 20.554	h 30min
AD20 III IVI III IVI AD21 III F 77 11 AD22 IV F 80 81±2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 12 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 14	h 15min
AD21 III F III III AD22 IV F 80 81±2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 12 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 12	h 30min
AD22 IV F 80 81±2.1 21 AD23 IV F 81 12 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 21	in somm
AD23 IV F 81 AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79	h 45min
AD24 IV M 84 12 AD25 IV M 79 12	12h
AD25 IV M 79	2h 45min
	50min
AD26 V M 8/ 80.3±5.4 /	7h 5min
AD27 V M 75 11	lh 30min
AD28 V M 82 31	h 45min
AD29 V M 77	16h
AD30 VI M 86 76.5±13.4 20)h 35min

able 1 Control and AD	natients categorize	d as stages I to V	/I of Braak and Braak	used in this study
able 1. Control and AD	patients, categorize	u as stages 1 to v	i of Draak and Draak,	used in this study.

F: female; *M*: male;

Figure 1 Click here to download high resolution image





Figure 3 Click here to download high resolution image



b



d



Figure 4 Click here to download high resolution image











Supplementary Figure 1 Click here to download Supplementary Material: Supplementary Fig. 1.jpg Supplementary Figure 2 Click here to download Supplementary Material: Supplementary Fig. 2.jpg Supplementary Figure 3 Click here to download Supplementary Material: Supplementary Fig.3.jpg