A Full Year Evaluation of the CALIOPE-EU Air Quality Modeling System over Europe for 2004

M. T. Pay^a, M. Piot^a, O. Jorba^a, S. Gassó^{a,b}, M. Gonçalves^{a,b}, S. Basart^a, D. Dabdub^d, P. Jiménez-Guerrero^c, J. M. Baldasano^{a,b,*}

jose.baldasano@bsc.es

 ^aEarth Sciences Department. Barcelona Supercomputing Center. Barcelona, Spain
 ^bEnvironmental Modelling Laboratory, Technical University of Catalonia, Barcelona, Spain
 ^cNow at: Department of Physics, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain
 ^dDepartment of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of California, Irvine. California, USA

Abstract

The CALIOPE-EU high-resolution air quality modeling system, namely WRF-ARW/HERMES-EMEP/CMAQ/BSC-DREAM8b, is developed and applied to Europe (12 km \times 12 km, 1hr). The model performances are tested in terms of air quality levels and dynamics reproducibility on a yearly basis. The present work describes a quantitative evaluation of gas phase species $(O_3, NO_2 \text{ and } SO_2)$ and particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10) against ground-based measurements from the EMEP (European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme) network for the year 2004. The evaluation is based on statistics. Simulated O_3 achieves satisfactory performances for both daily mean and daily maximum concentrations, especially in summer, with annual mean correlations of 0.66 and 0.69, respectively. Mean normalized errors are comprised within the recommendations proposed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US-EPA). The general trends and daily variations of primary pollutants (NO₂ and SO₂) are satisfactory. Daily mean concentrations of NO2 correlate well with observations (annual correlation r=0.67) but tend to be underestimated. For SO₂, mean concentrations are well simulated (mean bias=0.5 μ g m⁻³) with relatively high annual mean correlation (r=0.60), although peaks are generally overestimated. The dynamics of PM2.5 PM2.5 and PM10 is well reproduced (0.49 < r < 0.62), but mean concentrations

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 93 413 77 19; fax: +34 93 413 77 21 *Email address:* jose.baldasano@bsc.es(J. M. Baldasano)

Preprint submitted to Atmospheric Environment

May 17, 2010

1 INTRODUCTION

remain systematically underestimated. Deficiencies in particulate matter source characterization are discussed. Also, the spatially distributed statistics and the general patterns for each pollutant over Europe are examined. The model performances are compared with other European studies. While O_3 statistics generally remain lower than those obtained by the other considered studies, statistics for NO_2 , SO_2 , PM2.5 and PM10 present higher scores than most models.

Keywords: Air quality, Model evaluation, Europe, High resolution, Ozone, Particulate matter

1 1. Introduction

Atmospheric pollutants have significant impact on many main fields. One 2 of the major areas impacted is human health. High correlations between long-3 term exposure to fine particles and human health issues have been detected in 4 population-based studies for several decades (Lave and Seskin, 1970; Thibodeau 5 et al., 1980; Lipfert, 1994; Pénard-Morand et al., 2005). The latest studies even 6 quantify the effects of aerosols on human lifespan. It is suggested that a decrease of 10 μ g m⁻³ in the concentration of fine particles may lead to an increase in 8 life expectancy of 0.61 years (Pope et al., 2009). Another major area impacted g by atmospheric pollutants is climate change. Particles scatter and absorb solar 10 and infrared radiation in the atmosphere. In addition, they alter the formation and 11 precipitation efficiency of liquid-water, ice and mixed-phase clouds (Ramanathan 12 et al., 2001). Radiative forcing associated with these perturbations affects climate 13 (Chylek and Wong, 1995; Jacobson, 2001). A third area impacted by air quality 14 pollutants is atmospheric visibility. Since the size of atmospheric aerosols is sim-15 ilar to the wavelength of visible light, light is scattered and absorbed as it travels 16 through the atmosphere (Japar et al., 1986; Adams et al., 1990). In brief, atmo-17 spheric pollutants are part of a highly complex system that affects the physics, 18 chemistry, and life on the planet. 19

The European Commission (EC) and the US-EPA, among others, have shown 20 great interest in the transport and dynamics of pollutants in the atmosphere. Ac-21 cording to the European directives (European Commission, 1996, 2008), air qual-22 ity modeling is a useful tool to understand the dynamics of air pollutants, to an-23 alyze and forecast the air quality, and to develop plans reducing emissions and 24 alert the population when health-related issues occur. Both have set ambient air 25 quality standards for acceptable levels of O_3 (European Commission, 2002), NO_2 26 and SO_2 (European Commission, 1999, 2001), PM2.5 and PM10 in ambient air 27

1 INTRODUCTION

²⁸ (European Commission, 1999, 2001, 2008).

The CALIOPE project, funded by the Spanish Ministry of the Environment 29 and Rural and Marine Affairs (Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural 30 y Marino), has the main objective to establish an air quality forecasting system 31 for Spain (Baldasano et al., 2008b). In this framework, a high-resolution air 32 quality forecasting system, namely WRF-ARW/HERMES-EMEP/CMAQ/BSC-33 DREAM8b, has been developed and applied to Europe $(12 \text{ km} \times 12 \text{ km}, 1\text{hr})$ as 34 well as to Spain (4 km \times 4 km, 1hr). The simulation of such a high-resolution 35 model system has been made possible by its implementation on the MareNostrum 36 supercomputer hosted by the Barcelona Supercomputing Center-Centro Nacional 37 de Supercomputación (BSC-CNS). In order to reduce uncertainties, the model 38 system is evaluated with observational data to assess its capability of reproducing 39 air quality levels and the related dynamics. 40

A partnership of four Spanish research institutes composes the CALIOPE 41 project: the BSC-CNS, the "Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambi-42 entales y Tecnológicas" (CIEMAT), the Institute of Earth Sciences Jaume Almera 43 of the "Centro Superior de Investigaciones Científicas" (IJA-CSIC) and the "Cen-44 tro de Estudios Ambientales del Mediterraneo" (CEAM). This consortium deals 45 with both operational and scientific aspects related to air quality monitoring and 46 forecasting. BSC-CNS and CIEMAT lead the model developments of the project 47 while IJA-CSIC and CEAM are in charge of retrieving observational data for eval-48 uation processes. Current experimental forecasts are available through 49

50 http://www.bsc.es/caliope.

Several operational air quality forecasting systems already exist in Europe 51 (see *http://gems.ecmwf.int* or *http://www.chemicalweather.eu*, Hewitt and Griggs, 52 2004; COST, 2009). CALIOPE advances our understanding of atmospheric dy-53 namics in Europe as follows. First, CALIOPE includes a high-resolution compu-54 tational grid. Most models use a horizontal cell resolution of at least 25 km \times 55 25 km for domains covering continental Europe. CALIOPE uses a 12 km x 12 56 km cell resolution to simulate the European domain. Second, CALIOPE includes 57 a complex description of the processes involved in the modeling of particulate 58 matter. Both are important factors to obtain accurate results of air pollutant con-59 centrations in a complex region such as southern Europe (Jiménez et al., 2006). 60 Moreover, to date, none of the existing European operational systems include the 61 influence of Saharan dust on a non-climatic basis. Dust peaks cannot be repre-62 sented by introducing boundary conditions derived from dust climatological data 63 due to the highly episodic nature of the events in the region (1- to 4-day average 64 duration) (Jiménez-Guerrero et al., 2008). When considering only anthropogenic 65

emissions, chemical transport model simulations underestimate the PM10 con centrations by 30-50%, using the current knowledge about aerosol physics and
 chemistry (Vautard et al., 2005a).

The purpose of the present paper is to provide a quantitative assessment of 69 the capabilities of the WRF-ARW/HERMES-EMEP/CMAQ/BSC-DREAM8b air 70 quality modeling system to simulate background concentrations of gas and par-71 ticulate phase in the European domain. In the rest of the paper, this model sys-72 tem will be named "CALIOPE-EU". This evaluation intends to warrant the use 73 of such simulation for further nested calculations on the smaller domain of the 74 Iberian Peninsula (principal goal of the CALIOPE project). The results are eval-75 uated statistically and dynamically, compared to performance goals and criteria, 76 and to other model performances. 77

In this paper, Sect. 2 describes the models, the observational dataset and the statistical parameters calculated. Section 3 analyses the model results against available measurement data for the year 2004 and the modeled annual distribution of O₃, NO₂, SO₂, PM2.5 and PM10. A thorough comparison with other European studies is presented in Sect. 4. Conclusions are drawn in Sect. 5.

83 2. Methods

84 2.1. Model Description

CALIOPE is a state-of-the-art modeling framework currently under further development. As shown in Fig. 1, CALIOPE-EU is a complex system that integrates
a meteorological model (WRF-ARW), an emission processing model (HERMESEMEP), a mineral dust dynamic model (BSC-DREAM8b), and a chemical transport model (CMAQ) together in an air quality model system.

90 2.1.1. Meteorology

The Advanced Research Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF-ARW) Model 91 v3.0.1.1 (Michalakes et al., 2004; Skamarock and Klemp, 2008) is used to provide 92 the meteorology to the chemical transport model. WRF is a fully compressible, 93 Eulerian non-hydrostatic model that solves the equations that govern the atmo-94 spheric motions. Microphysical processes are treated using the single-moment 95 3-class scheme as described in Hong et al. (2004). The sub-grid-scale effects of 96 convective and shallow clouds are resolved by a modified version of the Kain-97 Fritsch scheme based on Kain and Fritsch (1990) and Kain and Fritsch (1993). 98 The surface layer scheme uses stability functions from Paulson (1970), Dyer and 99 Hicks (1970), and Webb (1970) to compute surface exchange coefficients for heat, 100



Figure 1: Modular structure of the CALIOPE-EU modeling system used to simulate air quality dynamics in Europe. Squared boxes with solid lines represent the main models of the framework. Boxes with dashed lines represent input/output dataset. Lines connecting boxes represent the information flow.

moisture, and momentum. The Noah Land-Surface scheme is used to provide heat 101 and moisture fluxes over land points and sea-ice points. It is a 4-layer soil tem-102 perature and moisture model with canopy and snow cover prediction. The vertical 103 sub-grid-scale fluxes caused by eddy transport in the atmospheric column are re-104 solved by the Yonsei University planetary boundary layer (PBL) scheme (Noh 105 et al., 2003). Finally, long-wave radiative processes are parameterized with the 106 Rapid Radiative Transfer Model (Mlawer et al., 1997) while the short-wave radia-107 tive scheme is based on Dudhia (1989). 108

109 2.1.2. Emissions

The emission model is the High-Elective Resolution Modelling Emission Sys-110 tem (HERMES, see Baldasano et al., 2008a). HERMES uses information and 111 state-of-the-art methodologies for emission estimations. It calculates emissions 112 by sector-specific sources or by individual installations and stacks. Raw emission 113 data are processed by HERMES in order to provide a comprehensive description 114 of the emissions to the air quality model. Emissions used for the European do-115 main are derived from the 2004 annual EMEP emission database (EMEP, 2007). 116 Disaggregation of EMEP (50 km resolution) data is performed in space (12 km 117 \times 12 km) and time (1h). The spatial and temporal top-down disaggregation is 118

sector-dependent. A Geographical Information System (GIS) is used to remap 119 the data to the finer grid applying different criteria through three datasets: a high-120 resolution land use map (EEA, 2000), coordinates of industrial sites (European 121 Pollutant Emission Register (EPER), Pulles et al., 2006), and vectorized road car-122 tography of Europe (ESRI, 2003). In the vertical dimension, the sector dependent 123 emission distribution for gases is applied following the EMEP model (widely used 124 for regional air quality studies in Europe, Simpson et al., 2003). Distinct distri-125 butions are used for aerosols, leading in most cases to lower average emission 126 heights than for gas phase emissions (De Meij et al., 2006; Pregger and Friedrich, 127 2009). In the time dimension, data are mapped from annual resolution to an hourly 128 basis using the temporal factors of EMEP/MSC-W (Meteorological Synthesizing 129 Centre-West). 130

131 2.1.3. Chemistry

The selected chemical transport model is the Models-3 Community Multi-132 scale Air Quality Modeling System (Models-3/CMAQ, Byun and Ching, 1999; 133 Binkowski, 1999; Byun and Schere, 2006). CMAQ is used to study the behavior of 134 air pollutants from regional to local scales due to its generalized coordinate system 135 and its advanced nesting grid capability. CMAQ version 4.5, used in this study, 136 has been extensively evaluated under various conditions and locations (Wyat Ap-137 pel et al., 2007, 2008; Roy et al., 2007). Following the criteria of Jiménez et al. 138 (2003) the Carbon Bond IV chemical mechanism is applied (CBM-IV, Gery et al., 139 1989). It includes aerosol and heterogeneous chemistry. The production of sea 140 salt aerosol (SSA) is implemented as a function of wind speed and relative hu-141 midity (Gong, 2003; Zhang et al., 2005) through the AERO4 aerosol module. 142 The AERO4 module distinguishes among different chemical aerosol components 143 namely nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, elemental carbon, organic carbon with three 144 subcomponents (primary, secondary anthropogenic and secondary biogenic), soil, 145 sodium, and chlorine. Unspecified anthropogenic aerosols and aerosol water are 146 additionally kept as separate components. Aerosols are represented by three size 147 modes (Aitken, accumulation and coarse mode), each of them assumed to have 148 a lognormal distribution (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003). Secondary inorganic 149 aerosols (SIA) are generated by nucleation processes from their precursors to form 150 nitrate ammonium and sulfate aerosols. Secondary organic aerosol (SOA) can be 151 formed from aromatics (anthropogenic organic aerosols) and terpenes (biogenic 152 organic aerosols, Schell et al., 2001). The aerosol microphysical description is 153 based on a modal aerosol model (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003) using the ISOR-154 ROPIA thermodynamic equilibrium model (Nenes et al., 1998). For a more com-155

plete description of the processes implemented in CMAQ, the reader is referred toByun and Schere (2006).

158 2.1.4. *Mineral Dust*

The Dust REgional Atmospheric Model (BSC-DREAM8b) was designed to 159 simulate and/or predict the atmospheric cycle of mineral dust (Nickovic et al., 160 2001; Pérez et al., 2006a,b). The simulations cover the Euro-Mediterranean and 161 East-Asia areas. The aerosol description was improved from 4 to 8 bins to al-162 low a finer description of dust aerosols. In this version dust-radiation interactions 163 are included. The partial differential nonlinear equation for dust mass continuity 164 is resolved in the Eulerian mode. BSC-DREAM8b is forced by the NCEP/Eta 165 meteorological driver (Janjic, 1977, 1979, 1984, 1990, 1994). BSC-DREAM8b 166 simulates the long-range transport of mineral dust at a 50 km \times 50 km resolution 167 using 24 vertical layers extending up to 15 km, every one hour. In this version 168 dust-radiation interactions are included. An offline coupling is applied to the cal-169 culated concentrations of particulate matter from CMAQ (Jiménez-Guerrero et al., 170 2008). 171

172 2.2. *Model Setup*

The model system is initially run on a regional scale ($12 \text{ km} \times 12 \text{ km}$ in space and 1 hour in time) to model the European domain. WRF is configured with a grid of 479×399 points and 38σ vertical levels (11 characterizing the PBL). The model top is defined at 50 hPa to resolve properly the troposphere-stratosphere exchanges.

The simulation consists of 366 daily runs to simulate the entire year of 2004. 178 The choice for this specific year is based on the direct availability of the HERMES-179 EMEP emission model for this year. The first 12 hours of each meteorological run 180 are treated as cold start, and the next 23 hours are provided to the chemical trans-181 port model. The Final Analyses of the National Centers of Environmental Predic-182 tion (FNL/NCEP) at 12 hours UTC are used as initial conditions. The boundary 183 conditions are provided at intervals of 6 hours. The FNL/NCEP data have a spatial 184 resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$. 185

The CMAQ horizontal grid resolution corresponds to that of WRF. Its vertical structure was obtained by a collapse from the 38 WRF layers to a total of 15 layers steadily increasing from the surface up to 50 hPa with a stronger concentration within the PBL.

¹⁹⁰ Due to uncertain external influence, the definition of adequate lateral bound-¹⁹¹ ary conditions for gas phase chemistry in a regional model is a complex issue and

an important source of errors. Variable intercontinental transport of pollutants 192 substantially influences the levels of pollution in Europe (see, e.g., Li et al., 2002; 193 Guerova et al., 2006). This air quality issue has been extensively studied. Re-194 cent works addressed the use of global chemical models to investigate the impact 195 of chemical boundary conditions on regional scale O_3 concentrations. Various 196 studies were performed over the U.S. (Tang et al., 2007, 2008; Song et al., 2008; 197 Reidmiller et al., 2009), whereas investigations over Europe remain scarce (Szopa 198 et al., 2009). In a previous assessment of the model performances of CALIOPE-199 EU (Jiménez-Guerrero et al., 2008), static chemical boundary conditions, adapted 200 from Byun and Ching (1999), were used. In the present work, boundary con-201 ditions are based on the global climate chemistry model LMDz-INCA2 (96 \times 202 72 grid cells, namely $3.75^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ in longitude and latitude, with 19 σ -p hybrid 203 vertical levels, Szopa et al., 2009) developed by the Laboratoire des Sciences du 204 Climat et l'Environnement (LSCE). Monthly mean data for the year 2004 are in-205 terpolated in the horizontal and vertical dimensions to force the major chemical 206 concentrations at the boundaries of the domain (Piot et al., 2008). A detailed de-207 scription of the INteractive Chemistry and Aerosol (INCA) model is presented in 208 Hauglustaine et al. (2004) and Folberth et al. (2006). 209

210 2.3. Air Quality Network

Model output for gas and particulate phase concentrations are compared with 211 ground-based measurements from the EMEP monitoring network for the year 212 2004. According to the criteria proposed by the European Environment Agency 213 (EEA, Larssen et al., 1999), EMEP stations are located at a minimum distance 214 of approximately 10 km from large emission sources. Consequently, all EMEP 215 stations are assumed to be representative of regional background concentrations 216 (Torseth and Hov, 2003). Therefore, the authors wish to stress that the model per-217 formances presented in this paper are evaluated only for background concentra-218 tions. The measurements are well documented and freely available on the EMEP 219 web page (http://www.emep.int). 220

Before comparing the model results with EMEP data, the available measure-221 ments were filtered, and uncertain data (before and after a measurement interrup-222 tion or a calibration of equipment) were removed. After this filtering, only obser-223 vational sites with a temporal coverage greater than 85% were selected. Note that 224 the final coverage of the dataset is rather disperse where France, Italy and south-225 eastern Europe only include several stations. Measurement data used in this paper 226 are given on a daily average. As a result, 60 stations were selected to evaluate 227 O_3 , 43 for NO_2 , 31 for SO_2 , 16 for PM2.5 and 25 for PM10, respectively. The 228



Figure 2: Grey shaded area: modeling domain used in this study. The white filled circles represent the selected subset of EMEP data collection sites. Characteristics of each station are listed in Table 1.

selected EMEP stations and measured pollutants that are used for this comparison
are briefly described in Table 1 and their locations are displayed in Fig. 2.

As EMEP aerosol measurements supposedly remove all water content from 231 samples to consider only dry aerosols, the simulated aerosol water was not taken 232 into account in the model-to-data comparisons. However, as noted by Tsyro 233 (2005), residual water persisting in sampled aerosols from EMEP may induce 234 a substantial underprediction by the simulated dry aerosol concentrations. More-235 over, although the aerodynamic diameter is used for PM10 and PM2.5 in measure-236 ment techniques, the model only considers the Stokes diameter to characterize the 237 aerosol geometry. For more details on this issue, see Jiang et al. (2006). 238

code ^a (m) name O3 NO2 SO2 PM10 PM2.5 1 AT02 +47.767 +16.767 117 Illmitz x^c x x^c 2 AT04 +47.60 +12.202 1020 Workegg x^c x x 4 AT30 +46.678 +12.927 1020 Sulzbarge x^c x x 6 AT33 +47.129 +14.204 1302 Stolzalpe bei Murau x^c x^c 7 AT34 +47.054 +12.958 3106 Sombhick x^c x^c x 9 AT38 +46.649 +13.915 1895 Genizen x^c x		Station	Latitude ^b	Longitude ^b	Altitude	Station				Total	Total
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		code^a			(m)	name	O_3	NO_2	SO_2	PM10	PM2.5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	4702	17767	16 767	117	Illusita	C		C		
2 A104 +44,030 +13,200 +31 & 20 % Kolonani x x 4 A730 +446,678 +12,972 & 1020 Vortegg x c x x 5 A732 +47,529 +0,927 & 1020 Sutzherg x c x 6 A733 +47,129 +14,204 & 1302 Stotzalpe bei Murau x c 7 A734 +47,054 +12,958 & 3106 Somblick x c x 8 A737 +47,137 +11.870 & 1970 Zillertater Alpen x x 9 A738 +46,694 +13,915 & 1895 Gerlitzen x c x x x x 10 A740 +47,348 +15,882 & 1170 Masenberg x c 11 A741 +47,973 +13,016 730 Haunsberg x c 12 A748 +47,833 +14,433 & 899 Zoebelboden x c 13 BG53 +41,700 +24,733 & 1750 Rojen peak x c 14 CH02 +46,817 +69,50 510 Payerne x x x x x 16 CH04 +47,051 +69,81 & 1130 Chaumont x c x x x x 17 CH05 +47,083 +16,033 737 Svratouch x c x x x x 18 CZ01 +49,733 +16,033 737 Svratouch x c x x x x 19 CZ03 +49,983 +15,083 534 Kosetice x x x x x 19 DE02 +52,800 +10,750 74 Langenbrgg x c x x x x 21 DE02 +53,800 +10,767 937 Schmück x x 22 DE03 +47,915 +7,909 1205 Schaunsland x c x x 23 DE07 +53,167 +13,033 62 Neuglobsow x x 24 DE08 +50,650 +10,767 937 Schmück x x 25 DE09 +54,333 +14,767 490 Lückendorf x c x 26 DE26 +53,750 +14,667 1 Ulcekrondorf x c x x 27 DE35 +50,833 +14,767 490 Lückendorf x c x 28 DK03 +56,517 +11,517 40 Anholt x x x 29 DK05 +54,733 +10,733 10 Keldsnor x x 31 DK31 +56,283 +8,433 10 Ulborg x c x x 32 ES07 +43,218 -3,143 1360 Campishalox x c x x 33 ES08 +43,442 -4.850 134 Niembro x c x c x x 34 ES09 +41,281 -3,143 1360 Campishalox x c x x x 35 ES10 +42,319 -3,143 1360 Campishalox x c x x x 36 ES11 +38,476 -6.923 393 Barcarrota x c x c x x x x 37 ES12 +39,086 1-10,288 Zarra x c x c x x x 38 ES13 +41,283 -5.867 985 Penausende x c x c x x x x 39 ES14 +41,400 -0.717 470 Els Torms x c x c x x x x 30 ES14 +41,400 -0.717 470 Els Torms x c x x x x x 31 ES15 +39,517 -4.350 1241 Risco Llano x c x c x x x x 32 ES10 +42,319 -3,143 1360 Campishalox x c x x x x x 34 ES19 +42,819 -3,143 1360 Campishalox x c x x x x x x 35 ES10 +42,319 -3,143 1360 Campishalox x c x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	2	AT02	+47.707	+10.707	11/ 951	St. Kolomon	X	х	X	х	х
3 A103 +48.721 +12.942 10.20 voltlegg x x 5 A132 +47.252 +9.927 1020 Stulzberg x ^c 7 AT34 +47.129 +14.204 1302 Stolzalpe bei Murau x ^c 7 AT34 +47.054 +12.948 3106 Somablick x ^c 8 AT37 +47.137 +11.870 1970 Zillertaler Alpen x ^c 10 AT44 +47.934 +15.982 1170 Masenberg x ^c 11 AT41 +47.348 +15.882 1170 Masenberg x ^c x x <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>AT04</td><td>+47.030</td><td>+13.200</td><td>1020</td><td>St. Koloman</td><td>c</td><td></td><td>х</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	2	AT04	+47.030	+13.200	1020	St. Koloman	c		х		
4 A130 +41.521 +13.24 513 Finistron to the Ref X 6 A133 +47.129 +14.204 1302 Stolzalpe bei Murau x ^c 7 AT34 +47.054 +12.958 3106 Sonnblick x ^c 9 AT38 +46.6694 +13.915 1895 Gerlitzen x ^c 10 AT40 +47.348 +15.882 170 Masenberg x ^c 12 AT48 +47.833 +14.433 899 Zoebelboden x ^c 13 BG53 +41.700 +24.733 1750 Rojen peak x ^c x x	3	AT20	+40.078	+12.972	215	Vornegg Dillaradorf hai Data	X ·				Х
5 A132 +47.129 +14.204 1020 StotZerg x 7 AT34 +47.054 +12.958 3106 Sonnbick x ^c 7 AT34 +47.054 +12.958 3106 Sonnbick x ^c 8 AT37 +47.137 +11.870 1970 Zillertaler Alpen x ^c 9 AT38 +46.694 +13.915 1895 Gerlitzen x ^c 10 AT40 +47.348 +15.882 1170 Masenberg x ^c 11 AT41 +47.973 +13.016 7.03 Haunsberg x ^c 12 AT48 +47.833 +14.433 899 Zoebelboden x ^c 13 B653 +41.700 +24.733 1750 Rojen peak x ^c 14 CH02 +46.817 +6.950 510 Payerne x x x x x 15 CH03 +47.483 +8.900 540 Tänikon x x x x x 16 CH04 +47.051 +6.981 1130 Chaumont x ^c x x x x x 17 CH05 +47.069 +8.466 1030 Rigi x ^c x x x x 18 CZ01 +49.733 +16.033 737 Svratouch x ^c x x x x 19 CZ03 +49.583 +15.083 534 Kosetice x ^c x x x x 20 DE01 +54.926 +8.310 12 Westerland x ^c x x x x 21 DE02 +52.800 +10.750 74 Langenbrge x x x x x 22 DE03 +47.915 +7.909 1205 Schauinsland x ^c x x x 23 DE07 +53.167 +13.033 62 Neuglobsow x x 24 DE08 +50.650 +10.767 74 Langenbrge x x x x 25 DE09 +54.433 +12.733 10 Keldsnor x ^c x x 26 DE26 +53.750 +14.067 1 Ucekerminde x ^c 27 DE35 +50.833 +14.767 490 Lückendorf x ^c x x 28 DK03 +56.517 +11.517 40 Anholt x x x 30 DK08 +56.717 +11.517 40 Anholt x x x 31 EX08 +43.442 +4.850 134 Niembro x ^c x ^c x x x 32 ES07 +37.233 -3.533 1265 Viznar x ^c x ^c x x x 34 ES09 +43.241 -3.143 1360 Campisábalos x ^c x ^c x ^c x x 35 ES10 +42.231 9 +3.317 23 Cabo de Creus x ^c x ^c x x x 36 ES11 +38.476 -6.923 393 Barcrota x ^c x ^c x x x 37 ES12 +39.086 -1.102 885 Zarra x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 38 ES08 +43.442 +4.850 134 Niembro x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 34 ES09 +41.281 -3.143 1360 Campisábalos x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 35 ES10 +42.2319 +3.317 23 Cabo de Creus x ^c x ^c x x x 36 ES11 +38.476 -6.923 393 Barcrota x ^c x ^c x x x 37 ES12 +39.086 -1.102 885 Zarra x ^c x ^c x x x 38 ES13 +41.283 -5.867 985 Penausende x ^c x ^c x x x 39 ES14 +41.400 +0.717 470 Elis Torms x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 40 ES15 +39.517 +3.153 1.241 Risco Llano x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 41 ES16 +43.033 +0.088 267 High Muffles x ^c 42 FR08 +48.500 +7.133 775 Donon x ^c x ^c x	4	AT 30	+40.721	+13.942	1020	Seel-have	X ~				
6 A135 +44.129 +14.204 1302 Stotzape bet Nutral x 7 AT34 +47.054 +12.958 Stotzape bet Nutral x ^c 9 AT38 +46.664 +13.915 1895 Geritizen x ^c 10 AT40 +47.348 +15.882 1170 Masenberg x ^c 12 AT48 +47.833 +14.433 899 Zoebelboden x ^c x x	5	AT 32	+47.529	+9.927	1020	Suizberg	X ~				
7 A134 +41,034 +12,938 3106 Sommuck x 9 AT38 +46,694 +13,915 1895 Gerlizen x ^c 10 AT40 +47,348 +15,882 1170 Masenberg x ^c 12 AT48 +47,893 +14,433 899 Zoebelboden x ^c 12 AT48 +47,893 +14,433 899 Zoebelboden x ^c 14 CH02 +46,817 +6.950 510 Payerne x	0	AT24	+47.129	+14.204	1502	Storzaipe bei Murau	X ~				
8 A133 +41.30 19.00 Zhiertaier Alpen x° 9 AT38 +46.694 +13.915 1895 Gerlitzen x° 10 AT40 +47.348 +15.882 1170 Masenberg x° 11 AT44 +47.833 114.433 899 Zoebelboden x° x	/	AI 34	+47.054	+12.958	3106	Sonnblick	X ^C				
9 A138 +40.694 +13.915 1895 Geritizen x ⁻ 10 AT40 +47.348 +15.882 1170 Masenberg x ^c 11 AT41 +47.973 +13.016 730 Haunsberg x ^c 12 AT48 +47.833 +14.433 899 Zoebelboden x ^c 13 BG53 +41.700 +24.733 1750 Rojen peak x ^c 14 CH02 +46.817 +6.950 510 Payerne x x x x x 15 CH03 +47.483 +8.900 540 Tänikon x x x x x 16 CH04 +47.051 -6.981 1130 Chaumont x ^c x x x x x 17 CH05 +47.069 +8.466 1030 Rigi x ^c x x x x x 18 CZ01 +49.733 +16.033 737 Svratouch x ^c x x x x 19 CZ03 +49.583 +15.083 534 Kosetice x ^c x x x x 20 DE01 +54.926 +8.310 12 Westerland x ^c x x x x 21 DE02 +52.800 +10.750 74 Langenbrgge x x x x x 22 DE03 +47.915 +7.909 1205 Schauinsland x ^c x x x 23 DE07 +53.167 +13.033 62 Neugenbox x 24 DE08 +50.650 +10.767 937 Schmücke x x 25 DE09 +54.433 +12.733 1 Zingst x ^c x x 26 DE26 +53.750 +14.067 1 Ueckerndinde x ^c x 27 DE35 +50.833 +14.767 490 Lückendorf x ^c 28 DK03 +56.517 +11.517 40 Anholt x x x 30 DK08 +56.717 +11.517 40 Anholt x x x 31 DK31 +56.283 +8.433 10 Ulborg x ^c x x x 32 ES07 +37.233 -3.533 1265 Viznar x ^c x ^c x x x 33 ES08 +43.442 -4.850 134 Niembro x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 34 ES09 +41.281 -3.143 1360 Campisábalos x ^c x ^c x x x 35 ES10 +42.319 +3.317 23 Cabo de Creus x ^c x ^c x x x 36 ES11 +38.476 -6.923 393 Barcarrota x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 37 ES12 +39.086 -1.102 885 Zarra x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 38 ES13 +41.283 -5.867 985 Penausende x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 39 ES14 +41.400 +0.717 470 Els Torms x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 31 ES16 +42.319 +3.317 75 Donon x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 31 ES16 +42.653 -7.705 506 O Saviñao x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 32 ES07 +43.033 -1.083 1300 Fraty x ^c x x x 34 ES16 +42.653 -7.705 506 O Saviñao x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 35 ES10 +42.319 +3.317 70 Donon x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 36 ES11 +38.476 -6.923 393 Barcarrota x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 37 ES12 +39.086 -1.104 236 Peyruse Vieille x ^c x ^c x x x 38 ES13 +41.283 -5.867 985 Penausende x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 39 ES14 +41.400 +0.717 470 Els Torms x ^c x ^c x ^c x x x 31 ES16 +42.653 -7.705 506 O Saviñao x ^c x ^c x ^c x x	8	AI3/	+4/.13/	+11.870	1970	Zillertaler Alpen	XC				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	AT38	+46.694	+13.915	1895	Gerlitzen	XC				
11 A141 +47.833 +14.433 899 Zochelboden x^c 13 BG53 +41.700 +24.733 1750 Rojen peak x^c 14 CH02 +46.817 +6.950 510 Payerne x	10	AI40	+47.348	+15.882	11/0	Masenberg	XC				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	AT41	+47.973	+13.016	730	Haunsberg	XC				
13 B(53 +41,700 +24,733 1750 Rojen peak x^c x	12	AT48	+47.833	+14.433	899	Zoebelboden	XC				
14 CH02 +46.817 +6.950 510 Payerne x x x x 15 CH03 +47.433 +8.900 Tänikon x x x 16 CH04 +47.051 +6.981 1130 Chaumont x^c x x x 17 CH05 +47.069 +8.466 1030 Rigi x ^c x x x 19 CZ01 +49.733 +16.033 737 Svratouch x^c x x 20 DE01 +54.926 +8.310 12 Westerland x <td< td=""><td>13</td><td>BG53</td><td>+41.700</td><td>+24.733</td><td>1750</td><td>Rojen peak</td><td>\mathbf{X}^{C}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	13	BG53	+41.700	+24.733	1750	Rojen peak	\mathbf{X}^{C}				
15 CH03 +47,483 +8,900 540 Tänikon x x x 16 CH04 +47,059 +8,466 1130 Chaumont x ^c x x x 17 CH05 +47,069 +8,466 1030 Rigi x ^c x x x 18 CZ01 +49,733 +16,033 737 Svratouch x ^c x x x 20 DE01 +54,926 +8,310 12 Westerland x	14	CH02	+46.817	+6.950	510	Payerne		х	х	х	Х
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15	CH03	+47.483	+8.900	540	Tänikon		х			Х
17 CH05 $+47.069$ $+8.466$ 1030 Rigi x^c x x x 18 CZ01 $+49.733$ $+16.033$ 737 Svratouch x^c x x 20 DE01 $+54.926$ $+8.310$ 12 Westerland x x x x 21 DE03 $+47.915$ $+7.909$ 1205 Schauinsland x^c x x x 23 DE07 $+53.167$ $+13.033$ 62 Neuglobsow x x 24 DE08 $+50.650$ $+10.767$ 937 Schmücke x x 25 DE09 $+54.433$ $+12.733$ 1 Zingst x^c x x 26 DE26 $+53.750$ $+14.067$ 1 Ueckermünde x^c x x x 29 DK03 $+56.351$ $+9.600$ 13 Tange x	16	CH04	+47.051	+6.981	1130	Chaumont	\mathbf{x}^{c}	х	х	х	Х
18 CZ01 $+49,733$ $+16.033$ 737 Svratouch x^c x x 19 CZ03 $+49,733$ $+15.083$ 534 Kosetice x^c x x 20 DE01 $+54,926$ $+8.310$ 12 Westerland x	17	CH05	+47.069	+8.466	1030	Rigi	\mathbf{x}^{c}	х	х		Х
19CZ03 ± 49.583 ± 15.083 534Kosetice x^c x x 20DE01 ± 54.926 ± 8.310 12Westerland x x x x 21DE02 ± 52.800 ± 10.750 74Langenbrgge x x x x x 22DE03 ± 47.915 ± 7.909 1205Schauinsland x^c x x x x 23DE07 ± 53.167 ± 13.033 62Neuglobsow x x x 24DE08 ± 50.650 ± 10.767 937Schmücke x x 25DE09 ± 54.433 ± 12.733 1Zingst x^c x x 26DE26 ± 53.750 ± 14.067 1Ueckermünde x^c x x 28DK05 ± 56.717 ± 11.517 40Anholt x x x 30DK08 ± 56.717 ± 11.517 40Anholt x x x 31DK31 ± 56.233 ± 4.333 10Ulborg x^c x x x 32ES07 ± 37.233 -3.533 1265Víznar x^c x^c x x 33ES08 ± 43.442 -4.850 134Niembro x^c x^c x x 34ES09 ± 41.281 -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c x x 35ES10<	18	CZ01	+49.733	+16.033	737	Svratouch	\mathbf{x}^{c}	х	х		
20DE01 $+54.926$ $+8.310$ 12Westerlandxx21DE02 $+52.800$ $+10.750$ 74Langenbrggexxxx22DE03 $+47.915$ $+7.909$ 1205Schauinslandx ^c xxx23DE07 $+53.167$ $+13.033$ 62Neuglobsowxxx24DE08 $+50.650$ $+10.767$ 937Schmückexxx25DE09 $+54.433$ $+12.733$ 1Zingstx ^c xx26DE26 $+53.750$ $+14.067$ 1Ueckermündex ^c xx27DE35 $+50.833$ $+14.767$ 490Lückendorfx ^c xx28DK03 $+56.350$ $+9.600$ 13Tangexxx30DK08 $+56.717$ $+11.517$ 40Anholtxxx31DK31 $+56.283$ $+8.433$ 10Ulborgx ^c xx32ES07 $+37.233$ -3.533 1265Víznarx ^c x ^c xx33ES08 $+43.442$ -4.850 134Niembrox ^c x ^c xx34ES09 $+41.281$ -3.143 1360Campisábalosx ^c x ^c xx35ES10 $+42.319$ $+3.317$ 23Cabo de Creusx ^c x ^c xx35ES10 <td< td=""><td>19</td><td>CZ03</td><td>+49.583</td><td>+15.083</td><td>534</td><td>Kosetice</td><td>\mathbf{X}^{C}</td><td>х</td><td>х</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	19	CZ03	+49.583	+15.083	534	Kosetice	\mathbf{X}^{C}	х	х		
21DE02+52.800+10.75074Langenbrggexxxxx22DE03+47.915+7.9091205Schauinslandx ^c xxx23DE07+53.167+13.03362Neuglobsowxx24DE08+50.650+10.767937Schmückexx25DE09+54.433+12.7331Zingstx ^c x26DE26+53.750+14.0671Ueckermündex ^c x27DE33+56.350+9.60013Tangexx29DK05+54.733+10.73310Keldsnorxx30DK08+56.717+11.51740Anholtxxx31DK31+56.283+8.43310Ulborgx ^c xx32ES07+37.233-3.5331265Víznarx ^c x ^c xx34ES09+41.281-3.1431360Campisábalosx ^c x ^c xx35ES10+42.319+3.31723Cabo de Creusx ^c xxx36ES11+38.476-6.923393Barcarrotax ^c x ^c xx36ES11+38.476-6.923393Barcarrotax ^c x ^c xx37ES14+41.281-5.867985Penausendex ^c xx </td <td>20</td> <td>DE01</td> <td>+54.926</td> <td>+8.310</td> <td>12</td> <td>Westerland</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Х</td>	20	DE01	+54.926	+8.310	12	Westerland					Х
22DE03 $+47.915$ $+7.909$ 1205Schauinsland x^c x x 23DE07 $+53.167$ $+13.033$ 62Neuglobsow x 24DE08 $+50.650$ $+10.767$ 937Schmücke x 25DE09 $+54.433$ $+12.733$ 1Zingst x^c x 26DE26 $+53.750$ $+14.067$ 1Ueckermünde x^c x 27DE35 $+50.833$ $+14.767$ 490Lückendorf x^c x 28DK03 $+56.350$ $+9.600$ 13Tange x x 30DK08 $+56.717$ $+11.517$ 40Anholt x x x 31DK31 $+56.283$ $+8.433$ 10Ulborg x^c x^c x x 32ES07 $+37.233$ -3.533 1265V/fizar x^c x^c x x 34ES09 $+41.281$ -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c x x 35ES10 $+42.319$ $+3.317$ 23Cabo de Creus x^c x^c x x 35ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Bacarrota x^c x^c x x 36ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Bacarrota x^c x^c x x 36ES13 $+41.283$ -5.867 985Penausende x^c x^c x x <tr<< td=""><td>21</td><td>DE02</td><td>+52.800</td><td>+10.750</td><td>74</td><td>Langenbrgge</td><td></td><td>х</td><td>х</td><td>х</td><td>Х</td></tr<<>	21	DE02	+52.800	+10.750	74	Langenbrgge		х	х	х	Х
23DE07 $+53.167$ $+13.033$ 62Neuglobsowx24DE08 $+50.650$ $+10.767$ 937Schmückex25DE09 $+54.433$ $+12.733$ 1Zingst x^c x26DE26 $+53.750$ $+14.067$ 1Ueckermünde x^c x27DE35 $+50.833$ $+14.767$ 490Lückendorf x^c x28DK03 $+56.350$ $+9.600$ 13Tangexx30DK08 $+56.717$ $+11.517$ 40Anholtxx31DK31 $+56.283$ $+8.433$ 10Ulborg x^c xx32ES07 $+37.233$ -3.533 1265Víznar x^c x^c xx33ES08 $+43.442$ -4.850 134Niembro x^c x^c xx34ES09 $+41.281$ -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c xx35ES10 $+42.191$ $+3.17$ 23Cabo de Creus x^c x^c xx35ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Barcarrota x^c x^c xx36ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Barcarrota x^c x^c xx37ES12 $+39.086$ -1.102 885Zarra x^c x^c xx38ES13 $+41.283$ -5.867 985 <t< td=""><td>22</td><td>DE03</td><td>+47.915</td><td>+7.909</td><td>1205</td><td>Schauinsland</td><td>\mathbf{x}^{c}</td><td></td><td></td><td>х</td><td>Х</td></t<>	22	DE03	+47.915	+7.909	1205	Schauinsland	\mathbf{x}^{c}			х	Х
24DE08 ± 50.650 ± 10.767 937Schmückex25DE09 ± 54.433 ± 12.733 1Zingst x^c x26DE26 ± 53.750 ± 14.067 1Ueckermünde x^c x27DE35 ± 50.833 ± 14.767 490Lückendorf x^c x28DK03 ± 56.350 ± 9.600 13Tangexx30DK08 ± 56.717 ± 11.517 40Anholtxx31DK31 ± 56.283 ± 8.433 10Ulborg x^c x32ES07 ± 37.233 -3.533 1265Víznar x^c xx33ES08 ± 43.442 -4.850 134Niembro x^c x^c xx34ES09 ± 41.281 -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c xx35ES11 ± 3.476 -6.923 393Barcarrota x^c x^c xx38ES13 ± 41.283 -5.867 985Penausende x^c x^c x x39ES14 ± 41.400 ± 0.717 470Els Torms x^c x^c x x41ES16 ± 2.653 -7.705 506O Saviñao x^c x^c x x42FR08 ± 48.500 ± 7.133 775Donon x^c x^c x x41ES16 ± 4	23	DE07	+53.167	+13.033	62	Neuglobsow					Х
25DE09 $+54.433$ $+12.733$ 1Zingst x^c x26DE26 $+53.750$ $+14.067$ 1Ueckermünde x^c x27DE35 $+50.833$ $+14.767$ 490Lückendorf x^c x28DK03 $+56.350$ $+9.600$ 13Tangexx29DK08 $+56.717$ $+11.517$ 40Anholtxxx31DK13 $+56.283$ $+8.433$ 10Ulborg x^c xx32ES07 $+37.233$ -3.533 1265Víznar x^c x^c xx33ES08 $+43.442$ -4.850 134Niembro x^c x^c xx34ES09 $+41.281$ -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c xx35ES10 $+42.319$ $+3.317$ 23Cabo de Creus x^c x^c xx36ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Barcarrota x^c x^c xx38ES12 $+39.086$ -1.102 885Zarra x^c x^c xx39ES14 $+41.400$ -0.717 470Els Torms x^c x^c xx40ES15 $+39.517$ -4.350 1241Risco Llano x^c x^c xx41ES16 $+42.653$ -7.705 506O Saviñao x^c x x<	24	DE08	+50.650	+10.767	937	Schmücke					х
26DE26 $+53.750$ $+14.067$ 1Ueckermünde x^c 27DE35 $+50.833$ $+14.767$ 490Lückendorf x^c 28DK03 $+56.350$ $+9.600$ 13Tangex29DK05 $+54.733$ $+10.733$ 10Keldsnorx30DK08 $+56.717$ $+11.517$ 40Anholtxx31DK31 $+56.283$ $+8.433$ 10Ulborg x^c 32ES07 $+37.233$ -3.533 1265Víznar x^c x^c x34ES09 $+41.281$ -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c xx35ES10 $+42.319$ $+3.317$ 23Cabo de Creus x^c x^c xx36ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Barcarrota x^c x^c xx37ES12 $+39.086$ -1.102 885Zarra x^c x^c xx38ES13 $+41.283$ -5.867 985Penausende x^c x^c x^c x39ES14 $+41.400$ $+0.717$ 470Els Torms x^c x^c xx40ES15 $+39.517$ -4.350 1241Risco Llano x^c x^c xx41ES16 $+42.653$ -7.705 506O Saviñao x^c x^c xx42FR08 $+48.500$ $+7.133$	25	DE09	+54.433	+12.733	1	Zingst	\mathbf{x}^{c}				х
27DE35 $+50.833$ $+14.767$ 490Lückendorf x^c 28DK03 $+56.350$ $+9.600$ 13Tange x 29DK05 $+54.733$ $+10.733$ 10Keldsnor x 30DK08 $+56.717$ $+11.517$ 40Anholt x x 31DK31 $+56.283$ $+8.433$ 10Ulborg x^c 32ES07 $+37.233$ -3.533 1265Víznar x^c x^c x 33ES08 $+43.442$ -4.850 134Niembro x^c x^c x x 34ES09 $+41.281$ -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c x x 35ES10 $+42.319$ $+3.317$ 23Cabo de Creus x^c x^c x x 35ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Barcarrota x^c x^c x x 38ES13 $+41.283$ -5.867 985Penausende x^c x^c x x 39ES14 $+41.400$ $+0.717$ 470Els Torms x^c x^c x x 40ES15 $+39.517$ -4.350 1241Risco Llano x^c x^c x x 41ES16 $+42.653$ -7.705 506O Saviñao x^c x^c x x 41ES16 $+42.653$ -7.705 506O Saviñao x^c x^c x <td< td=""><td>26</td><td>DE26</td><td>+53.750</td><td>+14.067</td><td>1</td><td>Ueckermünde</td><td>\mathbf{x}^{c}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	26	DE26	+53.750	+14.067	1	Ueckermünde	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
28DK03 $+56.350$ $+9.600$ 13Tangex29DK05 $+54.733$ $+10.733$ 10Keldsnorx30DK08 $+56.717$ $+11.517$ 40Anholtxx31DK31 $+56.283$ $+8.433$ 10Ulborg x^c 32ES07 $+37.233$ -3.533 1265Víznar x^c x^c x33ES08 $+43.442$ -4.850 134Niembro x^c x^c x^c x34ES09 $+41.281$ -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c x^c x35ES10 $+42.319$ $+3.317$ 23Cabo de Creus x^c x^c xx36ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Barcarrota x^c x^c xx37ES12 $+39.086$ -1.102 885Zarra x^c x^c xx39ES14 $+41.400$ $+0.717$ 470Els Torms x^c x^c xx40ES15 $+39.517$ -4.350 1241Risco Llano x^c x^c xx41ES16 $+42.653$ -7.05 506O Saviñao x^c x^c xx42FR08 $+48.500$ $+7.133$ 775Donon x^c x^c xx43FR09 $+49.900$ $+4.633$ 390Revin x^c xx44F	27	DE35	+50.833	+14.767	490	Lückendorf	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
29DK05 $+54.733$ $+10.733$ 10Kedsnorx30DK08 $+56.717$ $+11.517$ 40Anholtxx31DK31 $+56.283$ $+8.433$ 10Ulborg x^c 32ES07 $+37.233$ -3.533 1265Víznar x^c x^c x33ES08 $+43.442$ -4.850 134Niembro x^c x^c x^c xx34ES09 $+41.281$ -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c x^c xx35ES10 $+42.319$ $+3.317$ 23Cabo de Creus x^c x^c xx36ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Barcarrota x^c x^c xx38ES13 $+41.283$ -5.867 985Penausende x^c x^c xx39ES14 $+41.400$ $+0.717$ 470Els Torms x^c x^c xx40ES15 $+39.517$ -4.350 1241Risco Llano x^c x^c xx41ES16 $+42.653$ -7.705 506O Saviñao x^c x^c xx42FR08 $+48.500$ $+7.133$ 775Donon x^c x^c xx43FR09 $+49.900$ $+4.633$ 390Revin x^c xx44FR12 $+43.033$ -1.083 1300Iraty x^c	28	DK03	+56.350	+9.600	13	Tange			х		
30DK08 $+56.717$ $+11.517$ 40Anholtxxx31DK31 $+56.283$ $+8.433$ 10Ulborg x^c 32ES07 $+37.233$ -3.533 1265Víznar x^c x^c xx33ES08 $+43.442$ -4.850 134Niembro x^c x^c xx34ES09 $+41.281$ -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c xx35ES10 $+42.319$ $+3.317$ 23Cabo de Creus x^c x^c xx36ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Barcarrota x^c x^c xx37ES12 $+39.086$ -1.102 885Zarra x^c x^c xx38ES13 $+41.283$ -5.867 985Penausende x^c x^c xx39ES14 $+41.400$ $+0.717$ 470Els Torms x^c x^c xx40ES15 $+39.517$ -4.350 1241Risco Llano x^c x^c xx41ES16 $+42.653$ -7.705 506O Saviñao x^c x xx41ES16 $+42.653$ -7.705 506O Saviñao x^c x x42FR08 $+48.500$ $+7.133$ 775Donon x^c x x43FR09 $+49.900$ $+4.633$ 390 <td< td=""><td>29</td><td>DK05</td><td>+54.733</td><td>+10.733</td><td>10</td><td>Keldsnor</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>x</td></td<>	29	DK05	+54.733	+10.733	10	Keldsnor					x
31DK31+56.283+8.43310Ulborg x^c 32ES07+37.233-3.5331265Víznar x^c x^c x x 33ES08+43.442-4.850134Niembro x^c x^c x^c x x 34ES09+41.281-3.1431360Campisábalos x^c x^c x^c x x 35ES10+42.319+3.31723Cabo de Creus x^c x^c x x 36ES11+38.476-6.923393Barcarrota x^c x^c x^c x x 37ES12+39.086-1.102885Zarra x^c x^c x^c x x 38ES13+41.283-5.867985Penausende x^c x^c x^c x x 39ES14+41.400+0.717470Els Torms x^c x^c x x 40ES15+39.517-4.3501241Risco Llano x^c x^c x x 41ES16+42.653-7.705506O Saviñao x^c x^c x x 42FR08+48.500+7.133775Donon x^c x^c x 43FR09+49.900+4.633390Revin x^c x 44FR12+43.033-1.0831300Iraty x^c x 45FR13+43.	30	DK08	+56.717	+11.517	40	Anholt		x	x		
32ES07+37.233-3.5331265Víznar x^c x^c x^c x x 33ES08+43.442-4.850134Niembro x^c x^c x^c x x 34ES09+41.281-3.1431360Campisábalos x^c x^c x^c x x 35ES10+42.319+3.31723Cabo de Creus x^c x^c x x 36ES11+38.476-6.923393Barcarrota x^c x^c x x 38ES13+41.283-5.867985Penausende x^c x^c x^c x x 39ES14+41.400+0.717470Els Torms x^c x^c x x 40ES15+39.517-4.3501241Risco Llano x^c x^c x x 41ES16+42.653-7.705506O Saviñao x^c x^c x x 43FR09+49.900+4.633390Revin x^c x x 44FR12+43.033-1.0831300Iraty x^c x x 45FR13+43.375+0.104236Peyrusse Vieille x^c x x 46FR16+45.000+6.467746Le Casset x^c x^c 47GB13+50.596-3.713119Yarner Wood x^c x^c 48 <t< td=""><td>31</td><td>DK31</td><td>+56 283</td><td>+8 433</td><td>10</td><td>Ulhorg</td><td>\mathbf{x}^{c}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	31	DK31	+56 283	+8 433	10	Ulhorg	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
33ES08 $+43.442$ -4.850 134Niembro x^c x^c x^c x x 34ES09 $+41.281$ -3.143 1360Campisábalos x^c x^c x^c x x 35ES10 $+42.319$ $+3.317$ 23Cabo de Creus x^c x^c x x 36ES11 $+38.476$ -6.923 393Barcarrota x^c x^c x x 37ES12 $+39.086$ -1.102 885Zarra x^c x^c x^c x x 38ES13 $+41.283$ -5.867 985Penausende x^c x^c x^c x x 39ES14 $+41.400$ $+0.717$ 470 Els Torms x^c x^c x x 40ES15 $+39.517$ -4.350 1241Risco Llano x^c x^c x x 41ES16 $+42.653$ -7.705 506O Saviñao x^c x^c x x 41ES16 $+42.633$ -7.705 506O Saviñao x^c x x 42FR08 $+48.500$ $+7.133$ 775Donon x^c x x 43FR09 $+49.900$ $+4.633$ 390Revin x^c x 44FR12 $+43.033$ -1.083 1300Iraty x^c x 45FR13 $+43.375$ $+0.104$ 236Peyruse Vieille x^c <	32	ES07	+37 233	-3 533	1265	Víznar	x ^c	\mathbf{x}^{c}		x	x
34ES09+11.21-1.050131Interfactor x^{c}	33	ES08	+43 442	-4 850	134	Niembro	x ^c	x ^c	\mathbf{x}^{c}	x	x
35ES00+11.20151.1051000Campustation x^{-1} x	34	ES09	+41 281	-3 143	1360	Campisábalos	x ^c	x ^C	x ^c	x	x
36E31013.1125Calobac Creasxxxxxx36ES11+38.476-6.923393Barcarrota x^c x^c x^c x x37ES12+39.086-1.102885Zarra x^c x^c x^c x x38ES13+41.283-5.867985Penausende x^c x^c x^c x x39ES14+41.400+0.717470Els Torms x^c x^c x^c x x40ES15+39.517-4.3501241Risco Llano x^c x^c x^c x 41ES16+42.653-7.705506O Saviñao x^c x^c x x42FR08+48.500+7.133775Donon x^c x^c x x43FR09+49.900+4.633390Revin x^c x x44FR12+43.033-1.0831300Iraty x^c x 45FR13+43.375+0.104236Peyrusse Vieille x^c x 46FR16+45.000+6.467746Le Casset x^c 47GB13+50.596-3.713119Yarner Wood x^c 48GB14+54.334-0.808267High Muffles x^c 49GB15+57.734-4.774270Strath Vaich Dam x^c 51 <td< td=""><td>35</td><td>ES10</td><td>+42.319</td><td>+3 317</td><td>23</td><td>Cabo de Creus</td><td>v^C</td><td>x^C</td><td></td><td>v</td><td>v</td></td<>	35	ES10	+42.319	+3 317	23	Cabo de Creus	v ^C	x ^C		v	v
37ES11+30.470-40.22335.3Batcarlotaxxx	36	ES10	138 476	6 023	203	Barcarrota	л	л v ^C	v ^c	л v	A V
38ES12+35.080-1.102633Lataxxx <th< td=""><td>30</td><td>ES11 ES12</td><td>+30.470</td><td>-0.923</td><td>885</td><td>Zorro</td><td>v^C</td><td>л v^C</td><td>л v^C</td><td>л v</td><td>A V</td></th<>	30	ES11 ES12	+30.470	-0.923	885	Zorro	v ^C	л v ^C	л v ^C	л v	A V
36E313 $+41.263$ -5.607 96.3 Feindusender x	30	ES12	+37.000	-1.102	085	Dangusanda	л у С	л v ^C	л v ^C	л v	л v
35LS14 $+11.400$ $+0.117$ $+70$ LIS IOIIIS x^{-}	20	ES13 ES14	+41.283	-3.60/	20J 170	Fle Torme	X VC	л v ^C	A v ^C	л х	A V
40ES15 $+39.317$ -4.330 1241 Risco Liano x^c x^c x^c x x x 41ES16 $+42.653$ -7.705 506 O Saviñao x^c x^c x^c x x 42FR08 $+48.500$ $+7.133$ 775 Donon x^c x^c x x 43FR09 $+49.900$ $+4.633$ 390 Revin x^c x x 44FR12 $+43.033$ -1.083 1300 Iraty x^c x 45FR13 $+43.375$ $+0.104$ 236 Peyrusse Vieille x^c x 46FR16 $+45.000$ $+6.467$ 746 Le Casset x^c 47GB13 $+50.596$ -3.713 119Yarner Wood x^c 48GB14 $+54.334$ -0.808 267 High Muffles x^c 49GB15 $+57.734$ -4.774 270 Strath Vaich Dam x^c 50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370 Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847 Great Dun Fell x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420 Ladybower Res. x^c	39	ES14	+41.400	+0.717	470	EIS IOTIIS	X ~	X- C	X C	X	X
41E516 $+42.653$ -7.705 506O Savinao x^c x^c x^c x^c x x 42FR08 $+48.500$ $+7.133$ 775Donon x^c x^c x x 43FR09 $+49.900$ $+4.633$ 390Revin x^c x 44FR12 $+43.033$ -1.083 1300Iraty x^c x 45FR13 $+43.375$ $+0.104$ 236Peyrusse Vieille x^c x^c 46FR16 $+45.000$ $+6.467$ 746Le Casset x^c 47GB13 $+50.596$ -3.713 119Yarner Wood x^c 48GB14 $+54.334$ -0.808 267High Muffles x^c 49GB15 $+57.734$ -4.774 270Strath Vaich Dam x^c 50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847Great Dun Fell x^c 53GB36 $+51.573$ -1.317 137Harwell x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420Ladybower Res. x^c	40	ESIS	+39.517	-4.350	1241	Risco Liano	X ~	X- C	X C	X	X
42FR08 $+48.500$ $+7.133$ $7/5$ Donon x^c x^c x 43FR09 $+49.900$ $+4.633$ 390Revin x^c x 44FR12 $+43.033$ -1.083 1300Iraty x^c x 45FR13 $+43.375$ $+0.104$ 236Peyruse Vieille x^c x 46FR16 $+45.000$ $+6.467$ 746Le Casset x^c x^c 47GB13 $+50.596$ -3.713 119Yarner Wood x^c 48GB14 $+54.334$ -0.808 267High Muffles x^c 49GB15 $+57.734$ -4.774 270Strath Vaich Dam x^c 50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847Great Dun Fell x^c 53GB36 $+51.573$ -1.317 137Harwell x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420Ladybower Res. x^c	41	ESIO	+42.000	-7.705	506	O Savinao	X°	X°	X	х	Х
43FR09 $+49.900$ $+4.633$ 390Revin x^c x 44FR12 $+43.033$ -1.083 1300Iraty x^c x 45FR13 $+43.375$ $+0.104$ 236Peyrusse Vieille x^c x 46FR16 $+45.000$ $+6.467$ 746Le Casset x^c 47GB13 $+50.596$ -3.713 119Yarner Wood x^c 48GB14 $+54.334$ -0.808 267High Muffles x^c 49GB15 $+57.734$ -4.774 270Strath Vaich Dam x^c 50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847Great Dun Fell x^c 53GB36 $+51.573$ -1.317 137Harwell x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420Ladybower Res. x^c	42	FR08	+48.500	+7.133	115	Donon	XC	XC	х		
44FR12 $+43.033$ -1.083 1300Iraty x^c x 45FR13 $+43.375$ $+0.104$ 236Peyrusse Vieille x^c x^c 46FR16 $+45.000$ $+6.467$ 746Le Casset x^c 47GB13 $+50.596$ -3.713 119Yarner Wood x^c 48GB14 $+54.334$ -0.808 267High Muffles x^c 49GB15 $+57.734$ -4.774 270Strath Vaich Dam x^c 50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847Great Dun Fell x^c 53GB36 $+51.573$ -1.317 137Harwell x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420Ladybower Res. x^c	43	FR09	+49.900	+4.633	390	Revin	XC		х		
45FR13 $+43.375$ $+0.104$ 236Peyrusse Vieille x^c x^c x 46FR16 $+45.000$ $+6.467$ 746Le Casset x^c 47GB13 $+50.596$ -3.713 119Yarner Wood x^c 48GB14 $+54.334$ -0.808 267High Muffles x^c 49GB15 $+57.734$ -4.774 270Strath Vaich Dam x^c 50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847Great Dun Fell x^c 53GB36 $+51.573$ -1.317 137Harwell x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420Ladybower Res. x^c	44	FR12	+43.033	-1.083	1300	Iraty	XC		х		
46FR16 $+45.000$ $+6.467$ 746Le Casset x^c 47GB13 $+50.596$ -3.713 119Yarner Wood x^c 48GB14 $+54.334$ -0.808 267High Muffles x^c 49GB15 $+57.734$ -4.774 270Strath Vaich Dam x^c 50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847Great Dun Fell x^c 53GB36 $+51.573$ -1.317 137Harwell x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420Ladybower Res. x^c	45	FR13	+43.375	+0.104	236	Peyrusse Vieille	x ^c	XC	х		
47GB13 $+50.596$ -3.713 119Yarner Wood x^c 48GB14 $+54.334$ -0.808 267High Muffles x^c 49GB15 $+57.734$ -4.774 270Strath Vaich Dam x^c 50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847Great Dun Fell x^c 53GB36 $+51.573$ -1.317 137Harwell x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420Ladybower Res. x^c	46	FR16	+45.000	+6.467	746	Le Casset	x ^c				
48GB14 $+54.334$ -0.808 267High Muffles x^c 49GB15 $+57.734$ -4.774 270Strath Vaich Dam x^c 50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847Great Dun Fell x^c 53GB36 $+51.573$ -1.317 137Harwell x^c x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420Ladybower Res. x^c x^c	47	GB13	+50.596	-3.713	119	Yarner Wood	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
49GB15 $+57.734$ -4.774 270Strath Vaich Dam x^c 50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847Great Dun Fell x^c 53GB36 $+51.573$ -1.317 137Harwell x^c x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420Ladybower Res. x^c x^c	48	GB14	+54.334	-0.808	267	High Muffles	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
50GB31 $+52.504$ -3.033 370Aston Hill x^c 51GB33 $+55.859$ -3.205 180Bush x^c 52GB35 $+54.684$ -2.435 847Great Dun Fell x^c 53GB36 $+51.573$ -1.317 137Harwell x^c x^c 54GB37 $+53.399$ -1.753 420Ladybower Res. x^c x^c	49	GB15	+57.734	-4.774	270	Strath Vaich Dam	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
	50	GB31	+52.504	-3.033	370	Aston Hill	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
	51	GB33	+55.859	-3.205	180	Bush	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
53 GB36 +51.573 -1.317 137 Harwell x ^c x ^c 54 GB37 +53.399 -1.753 420 Ladybower Res. x ^c x ^c	52	GB35	+54.684	-2.435	847	Great Dun Fell	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
54 GB37 +53.399 -1.753 420 Ladybower Res. $x^{c} = x^{c}$	53	GB36	+51.573	-1.317	137	Harwell	\mathbf{x}^{c}	\mathbf{x}^{c}			
	54	GB37	+53.399	-1.753	420	Ladybower Res.	\mathbf{x}^{c}	\mathbf{X}^{C}			

Table 1: Location and characteristics of selected EMEP stations for 2004 on a daily basis.

	Station $code^a$	Latitude ^b	Longitude ^b	Altitude (m)	Station name	O ₃	NO_2	SO_2	Total PM10	Total PM2.5
55	GB38	+50.793	+0.179	120	Lullington Heath	x ^c	x ^c			
56	GB44	+51.231	-3.048	55	Somerton	\mathbf{X}^{C}				
57	HU02	+46.967	19.583	125	K-puszta		х			
58	IE01	+51.940	-10.244	11	Valentina Observatory	\mathbf{X}^{C}	х			
59	IE31	+53.167	-9.500	15	Mace Head	\mathbf{X}^{C}				
60	IT01	+42.100	+12.633	48	Montelibretti		х	х		х
61	IT04	+45.800	+8.633	209	Ispra		х		х	х
62	LT15	+55.350	+21.067	5	Preilla	\mathbf{x}^{c}	х	х		
63	LV10	+56.217	+21.217	5	Rucava	\mathbf{X}^{C}	х	х		
64	LV16	+57.133	+25.917	183	Zoseni		х	х		
65	NL09	+53.334	+6.277	1	Kullumerwaard		\mathbf{x}^{c}			
66	MT01	+36.100	+14.200	160	Giordan lighthouse	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
67	NO43	+59.000	+11.533	160	Prestebakke	\mathbf{X}^{C}				
68	NO52	+59.200	+5.200	15	Sandve	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
69	PL02	+51.817	+21.983	180	Jarczew	\mathbf{x}^{c}	х	х		
70	PL03	+50.733	+15.733	1603	Sniezka	\mathbf{x}^{c}	х	х		
71	PL04	+54.750	+17.533	2	Leba	\mathbf{x}^{c}	х	х		
72	PL05	+54.150	+22.067	157	Diabla Gora		х			
73	SE11	+56.017	+13.150	175	Vavihill	\mathbf{x}^{c}	х	х		
74	SE14	+57.400	+11.917	5	Ràö	\mathbf{x}^{c}	х			
75	SE32	+57.817	+15.567	261	Norra-Kvill	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
76	SI31	+46.429	+15.003	770	Zarodnje	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
77	SI32	+46.299	+14.539	1740	Krvavec	\mathbf{x}^{c}				
78	SK02	+48.933	+19.583	2008	Chopok		х			
79	SK05	+49.367	+19.683	892	Liesek		х			
80	SK06	+49.050	+22.267	345	Starina		х	х		
81	SK07	+47.960	+17.861	113	Topolniky		х			
82	TR01	+40.500	+33.000	1169	Cubuk II		х			

Table 2: Continued.

^a 2-letter country code plus 2-digit station code.
^b A positive value indicates northern latitudes or eastern longitudes. A negative value indicates southern latitudes or western longitudes.

^c Daily concentration calculated from hourly data.

239 2.4. Statistical Indicators

There are a number of metrics that can be used to examine performances of 240 air quality models (U.S. EPA, 1984, 1991; Cox and Tikvart, 1990; Weil et al., 241 1992; Chang and Hanna, 2004; Boylan and Russell, 2006). In particular, mean 242 normalized bias error (MNBE) and mean normalized gross error (MNGE) nor-243 malizing the bias and error for each model-observed pair by the observation are 244 useful parameters. Correlation coefficient (r), root mean square errors (RMSE) 245 and mean bias (MB) values are also commonly used by the modeling community. 246 For the evaluation of particulate matter concentrations, Boylan and Russell (2006) 247 indicated that MNBE and MNGE may not be appropriate and suggested the mean 248 fractional bias (MFB) and the mean fractional error (MFE) parameters instead. 249

The US-EPA suggested several performance criteria for simulated O_3 , such 250 as MNBE $\leq \pm$ 15% and MNGE \leq 35% (U.S. EPA, 1991, 2007) whereas the 251 EC proposes a maximum uncertainty between measured and modeled concentra-252 tions of 50% and 30% for $O_3/NO_2/SO_2$ daily mean and NO_2/SO_2 annual mean, 253 respectively (European Commission, 2008). For particulate matter, Boylan and 254 Russell (2006) proposed that the model performance goal be met when both the 255 MFE and MFB are less than or equal to 50% and \pm 30%, respectively, and the 256 model performance criterion be met when both MFE < 75% and MFB < 60%. 257 All these criteria and goals are selected to provide metrics for the CALIOPE-EU 258 model performances. 259

The model-to-data statistics MB, RMSE, MNBE, MNGE, MFB and MFE are selected for the present study, together with the measured and modeled mean and the correlation coefficient. Annual and seasonal mean statistics are computed, with seasons corresponding to winter (January, February and December), spring (March, April and May), summer (June, July and August) and fall (September, October and November).

It is important to note that, unless explicitly stated otherwise, the statistical norms are calculated without any minimum threshold when considering the measurement data. However, in the present work, statistics of annual means using thresholds are also computed. In that case we chose 80 μ g m⁻³ for O₃ (according to recommendations of the US-EPA, U.S. EPA, 1991; Russell and Dennis, 2000), 1.5 μ g m⁻³ for NO₂, 0.2 μ g m⁻³ for SO₂, 1.5 μ g m⁻³ for PM10 and 3.5 μ g m⁻³ for PM2.5, respectively.

273 3. Results and Discussion

As CALIOPE-EU is a fundamental model system the authors wish to stress that, apart from the discussion of the Fig. 6 and its related statistics (Table 4), neither correction factors nor any adjusting model parameterization were applied to the model output or the original model codes. First, in Sect. 3.1, a thorough model evaluation is performed through statistical and dynamical performances. Later, in Sect. 3.2, a general description of the annual mean distribution of each pollutant is provided to determine each pattern throughout Europe.

281 3.1. Model evaluation

Fig. 3 represents (left) the temporal series of the model (black lines) and daily 282 measured EMEP data (grey lines) as an average of all the stations for each pol-283 lutant over the complete year 2004, together with (right) the scatterplot of the 284 modeled-measured daily data. Table 3 shows annual and seasonal statistics cal-285 culated at the location of all EMEP stations. Statistics are calculated for daily 286 averages of O_3 , NO_2 , SO_2 , PM2.5 and PM10. In the case of O_3 , the daily peak of 287 hourly mean O₃ is also computed as it is one of the most important parameters to 288 be considered. 289

²⁹⁰ *3.1.1. Ozone*

A total of 60 EMEP stations constitute the O_3 measurement dataset to be com-291 pared to the simulation (see Table 1). In Fig. 3a, the time series of both simulated 292 and observed O_3 concentrations are presented. The annual trend is well captured 293 with an annual correlation of daily mean and daily peak concentrations of 0.66 294 and 0.69, respectively (see Table 3). Although the annual daily mean bias is null, 295 the inter-annual variability leads to an annual RMSE of up to 20.6 μ g m⁻³. An-296 nual and seasonal MNBE and MNGE values for daily mean and daily maximum 297 concentrations show relatively good performances which are in accordance with 298 the recommendations of the EC and the US-EPA (see Sect. 2.4). 299

Results show distinct inter-seasonal behaviors between colder and warmer 300 months. From January to March and from October to December, the model tends 301 to underestimate the mean concentrations (in winter, MB = -5.8 μ g m⁻³), while 302 it slightly overestimates concentrations in summer months (MB = 7.5 μ g m⁻³). 303 Correlation values are lowest for both daily mean and daily peak correlations in 304 the winter (r = 0.54 and 0.50, respectively). This inter-seasonal variability is at-305 tributed to the model sensitivity to boundary conditions near the surface in winter. 306 During decreases of photochemical reactions in fall and winter the concentrations 307

Table 3: Seasonal and annual statistics obtained with CALIOPE-EU over Europe for 2004 at the EMEP stations. Winter: January, February and December; Spring: March, April, May; Summer: June, July, August; Fall: September, October, November. The number of data points indicates the number of pair measurement-model used to compute the statistics. The calculated statistics are: measured mean for available data (μ g m⁻³), modeled mean for the whole year (μ g m⁻³), correlation (r), Mean Bias (MB, μ g m⁻³), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE, μ g m⁻³), Mean Normalized Bias Error (MNBE, %), Mean Normalized Gross Error (MNGE, %), Mean Fractional Bias (MFB, %) and Mean Fractional Error (MFE, %). For the annual mean calculated with threshold, we used 80 μ g m⁻³ for O₃, 1.5 μ g m⁻³ for NO₂, 0.2 μ g m⁻³ for SO₂, 1.5 μ g m⁻³ for PM2.5 and 3.5 μ g m⁻³ for PM10, respectively. Seasonal statistics are computed without threshold.

	Period	Data points	Measured mean	Modeled mean	r	MB	RMSE	MNBE	MNGE	MFB	MFE
Oa daily	Winter	5257	60.8	54.8	0.54	-5.8	21.4	-1	34	-11	32
(60 stations)	Spring	5466	84.5	34.8 82.6	0.54	-1.8	20.8	-1	21	-11 -4	22
(00 stations)	Summer	5443	79.5	86.9	0.55	7.5	10.8	15	21	11	20
	Fall	5197	60.7	60.1	0.58	-0.3	20.3	9	34	-1	30
	Annual (no threshold)	21363	71.6	71.2	0.50	0.0	20.5	6	28	-1	26
	Annual (threshold)	7299	96.9	91.1	0.44	-5.9	20.5	-6	17	-8	19
O ₃ daily peak	Winter	5257	73.7	67.3	0.50	-6.2	24.1	-5	28	-12	29
(60 stations)	Spring	5466	101.9	97.3	0.55	-4.5	21.5	-4	18	-6	19
	Summer	5443	101.1	100.5	0.65	-0.5	20.2	3	16	1	16
	Fall	5197	77.2	70.2	0.65	-6.6	21.2	-6	25	-11	26
	Annual (no threshold)	21363	88.7	83.9	0.69	-4.4	21.8	-3	22	-7	22
	Annual (threshold)	12891	104.2	97.5	0.54	-6.7	22.4	-6	18	-9	19
NO_2 daily	Winter	3600	12.1	8.0	0.67	-4.2	12.7	3	57	-21	55
(43 stations)	Spring	3787	9.4	4.8	0.66	-4.5	10.6	-27	53	-51	67
	Summer	3843	6.2	3.5	0.59	-2.7	5.7	-29	54	-53	69
	Fall	3725	9.4	6.0	0.66	-3.4	10.1	-15	48	-34	56
	Annual (no threshold)	15035	9.3	5.6	0.67	-3.7	10.1	-17	53	-40	62
	Annual (threshold)	14138	9.8	5.8	0.66	-4.0	10.4	-20	51	-42	61
SO_2 daily	Winter	2629	2.2	2.6	0.62	0.5	2.9	80	122	8	70
(31 stations)	Spring	2749	1.6	2.0	0.63	0.4	1.9	62	96	13	62
	Summer	2707	1.2	1.6	0.49	0.4	1.8	86	121	14	65
	Fall	2604	1.4	2.0	0.56	0.7	2.3	105	134	25	68
	Annual (no threshold)	10689	1.6	2.1	0.60	0.5	2.2	83	118	15	66
	Annual (threshold)	10384	1.7	2.2	0.60	0.5	2.3	72	108	13	65
PM2.5 daily	Winter	1171	13.7	4.8	0.62	-8.4	15.3	-47	59	-78	86
(16 stations)	Spring	1264	12.2	5.2	0.50	-6.7	10.6	-50	59	-79	84
	Summer	1396	12.2	6.8	0.49	-5.4	9.1	-45	56	-71	78
	Fall	1287	11.5	6.2	0.52	-5.0	9.3	-39	53	-62	72
	Annual (no threshold)	5118	12.3	5.7	0.47	-6.3	11.2	-45	56	-72	80
	Annual (threshold)	4756	13.0	6.3	0.45	-6.7	11.6	-46	57	-74	81
PM10 daily	Winter	1994	18.0	6.6	0.54	-11.2	18.2	-47	60	-78	86
(25 stations)	Spring	2087	17.7	7.1	0.54	-10.5	15.0	-54	59	-84	87
	Summer	2204	18.5	8.2	0.60	-10.5	16.1	-54	58	-83	86
	Fall	2104	17.0	8.1	0.62	-9.0	13.5	-44	55	-70	77
	Annual (no threshold)	8389	17.8	7.5	0.57	-10.3	15.8	-50	58	-79	84
	Annual (threshold)	7918	18.7	7.8	0.55	-10.9	16.2	-53	57	-82	85

defined at the boundaries proportionally acquire an increasing role in the control 308 of the concentration levels simulated within the domain. Also, the large concentra-309 tions of O_3 in the highest layers of the boundary profile (reaching the stratosphere) 310 was found to be responsible for episodic inaccurate stratosphere-troposphere ex-311 changes during colder months (not shown here; also see Eisele et al., 1999; Cristo-312 fanelli and Bonasoni, 2009). Such finding was highlighted very recently by Lam 313 and Fu (2009) who pointed the inaccurate treatment of the tropopause in CMAQ 314 as the issue causing such artifact. On the other hand, the mean biases for daily and 315 daily peak concentrations are positive during warmer months with lowest RMSE 316 values (19.8 and 20.2 μ g m⁻³ in summer, respectively). Model-observations cor-317 relations, MNBE and MNGE values also reach the best values during this period. 318 This performance demonstrates the greater ability of the model to accurately sim-319 ulate ozone during its intense photochemical formation in warmer months. Daily 320 variations are satisfactorily reproduced (see scatter plot in Fig. 3b with nearly 95% 321 of the data points falling within the 1:2 and 2:1 factor range). However, due to un-322 certainties in the modeled nocturnal NO_x cycle, the O_3 chemistry at night tends to 323 overpredict the observed concentrations. Such behaviour is partly reflected by the 324 difference between the annual mean biases calculated with or without the mini-325 mum threshold of 80 μ g m⁻³ on the measured data. By implementing this thresh-326 old a part of overestimated nocturnal measured values is not considered which 327 induces a negative value of -5.9 μ g m⁻³ compared to 0.0 using no threshold. For 328 extreme values (above 150 μ g m⁻³) the observed concentrations are systemati-329 cally underestimated by the model (see Fig. 3b). This behavior is most likely 330 caused by high local pollution transported to rural sites but not captured with the 331 current horizontal resolution of the model (see Ching et al., 2006). 332

Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 present the spatial distributions in winter (left) and summer 333 (right) of the correlation and mean bias, respectively, without threshold on mea-334 surements. In the case of O_3 two different spatial regimes can be distinguished: 335 seasonal correlations are highest in England, central and southern Europe, while 336 Ireland and the countries along the North and Baltic Seas present lower perfor-337 mances. We attribute these lower performances of the model at these locations 338 mostly to their relative proximity with the northern boundary of the domain. The 339 most remote sites with low levels of O_3 display the lowest seasonal correlations 340 (see Irish and northern stations; from -0.2 to 0.2 in winter, from 0 to 0.4 in sum-34 mer). The model skills improve notably from winter to summer as a result of the 342 increasing importance of the photochemical production of ozone. In summer most 343 of the seasonal correlations are comprised between 0.4 and 0.9. Also, statistics are 344 surprisingly satisfactory in complex regions such as the Alpine (stations CH02 to 345

CH05 and FR16) or the Pyrenean chains (FR12). As mentioned above in this section the model tends to underestimate the mean concentrations in winter and overestimate in summer (Fig. 5). It is noted that mean biases in southern Europe have an inter-seasonal variability less pronounced than in the rest of Europe with values rather positive. In summer, the lowest MB values are found in regions of low mean O₃ levels such as the Alpine chain, Ireland and some Spanish stations.

352 3.1.2. Nitrogen Dioxide

As shown in Table 1, 43 stations were used to provide NO_2 measurements 353 throughout Europe. The temporal and spatial variability of the simulated NO_2 354 in Europe is larger than for O_3 , reflecting its higher sensitivity to meteorology 355 and model resolution (Vautard et al., 2009). The model-observations comparison, 356 presented in Fig. 3c and Fig. 3d highlights a correct annual trend, but with a sys-357 tematic negative bias throughout the year. The dynamics is often well captured 358 but the amplitude of daily variations is underestimated. These low variations have 359 a direct impact on the daily variations of ozone in the PBL. 360

The annual average correlation is high (r=0.67, see Table 3), with better per-361 formances in winter than in summer. Chemical processes, less dominant com-362 pared to transport in winter, could explain such differences (Bessagnet et al., 363 2004). Annual and seasonal mean biases are relatively high, ranging from -4.5 364 to -2.7 μ g m⁻³, leading to mean normalized error values rather near the maxi-365 mum uncertainty proposed by the EC. High measured concentrations (above 70 366 $\mu g m^{-3}$) are particularly underestimated (see Fig. 3d). When comparing modeled 367 results versus measured data, 59.1% of the corresponding data pairs fall within a 368 factor of 2 of each other, and 92.9% within a factor of 5. 369

The statistics of the model are spatially displayed in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. NO_2 370 concentrations are mostly driven by local to regional emissions. Therefore, re-371 mote and clean boundary conditions are not significant contributors to the sim-372 ulated concentrations of NO_2 in Europe. Correlations are highest in winter for 373 the areas including UK, northern countries and some spanish stations. In these re-374 gions emissions of NO_x are generally either high or very low (also see Sect. 3.2.2). 375 Low correlations are mainly concentrated in central Europe (coefficients between 376 -0.2 and 0.4). Numerous stations located in low-NO₂ areas display satisfactory 377 seasonal mean biases (see northern and central Europe and Spain with seasonal 378 $MB = \pm 2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$), while stations substantially affected by transport from source 379 regions display the highest seasonal mean biases (Fig. 5). The aforementioned 380 large underestimations of high measured concentrations are mainly caused by the 381 three stations from Great Britain (GB36, GB37 and GB38, see Table 1). These 382

stations frequently undergo high pollution events caused by emissions from road 383 traffic and combustion processes. To a lesser extent, these highly polluted plumes 384 from the United Kingdom (UK) also affect the measuring station in The Nether-385 lands (NL09) under westerly winds and contribute to the increase in mean bias 386 values when the transport is not accurately simulated. At these locations, negative 387 mean biases reaching up to 22 μ g m⁻³ on annual average are noted with highest 388 biases in winter. Such differences are most likely caused by the underestimation 389 of emission sources in these areas. Altogether, the analysis of the spatial distri-390 bution of the model skills shows that the level NO_2 concentrations at very rural 391 stations is well captured but with low correlation coefficients, while mean biases 392 and correlation coefficients are greatest at polluted stations. 393

Apart from sources unaccounted for in the emission database, uncertainties may also arise in the spatial and temporal distribution of the sources (Stern et al., 2008). In the PBL NO_x concentrations are dominated by emissions near the surface, such as traffic and domestic heating, which are subject to strong spatial and temporal variations.

399 3.1.3. Sulfur Dioxide

For SO_2 , the model results were evaluated against 31 EMEP stations mea-400 suring daily mean concentrations of SO_2 at background sites. The stations are 401 located across the Iberian Peninsula, central and north-eastern Europe. It is worth 402 noting that the daily mean concentrations are low and provide information about 403 the background levels of SO_2 across Europe only. Fig. 3e shows the time series 404 of the daily mean concentrations of SO_2 at the EMEP stations together with the 405 model simulation at these stations. Results show that SO_2 concentrations are well 406 captured by the model, although some observed peaks are overestimated. During 407 the cold months (January, February, March, October, November) the model agrees 408 well with observations, and monthly variations of SO_2 are well captured. On the 409 other hand, during the warm period (April, May, June, July, August and Septem-410 ber) results present an overall positive bias of 1 μ g m⁻³. September and December 411 months are characterized by some episodes of large overestimations. Overall, the 412 dynamical evolution of the model is in good agreement with the observations. For 413 instance, January undergoes two major episodes of enhanced SO_2 that the model 414 reproduces well. Although there is a clear overestimation during some periods, 415 the model is able to reproduce the variations of the daily mean concentrations. 416

As regard to the scatter plot (Fig. 3f), 54.3% of the model results match with observations within a factor of 2, and 90.1% within a factor of 5. The model results match the main tendency of the daily observations with an annual correlation

420 factor r=0.60.

The annual mean MNGE and MNBE values reach up to 118% and 83% respectively (Table 3). Such rather high normalized errors are usual when evaluating background stations that measure very low values of SO₂. The annual RMSE is 2.2 μ g m⁻³, much lower than for the other pollutants analyzed in the present work. The seasonal statistics show better results for spring, with mean MNGE value of 96%. The MNBE values increase for summer and fall as the daily mean observations remain below 2 μ g m⁻³.

The spatial distribution of the correlation coefficient r shows a large variabil-428 ity per station. For instance, during winter while some northern stations have 429 high correlations (0.6 < r < 0.9), various low correlations are observed in central 430 and southern Europe. During summertime the correlation improves in stations lo-431 cated over central Europe. In Spain, the model performs relatively homogeneously 432 across the year, with a variation of the correlation between summer and winter less 433 pronounced than in central Europe. However, the correlation per station in Spain 434 is slightly lower than in the rest of Europe, especially during summer. 435

Considering the mean bias for winter and summer (Fig. 5), results show a low 436 bias across all stations. Only one station located in eastern Poland displays a high 437 positive bias (> 5 μ g m⁻³ in summer). This station may largely contribute to the 438 seasonal and annual average positive bias mentioned in Table 3. The uncertainties 439 of the emission inventory in eastern Europe may be associated to the higher bias 440 observed in some stations of Poland and the Czech Republic, especially in winter. 441 Also, the top-down disaggregation from 50 to 12 km is a source of uncertainties 442 to be considered. 443

444 3.1.4. Particulate matter

A total of 16 and 25 stations are used to evaluate the simulated PM2.5 and 445 PM10 concentrations, respectively. Although the model presents a clear sys-446 tematic negative bias, it has noticeable capabilities to reproduce the dynamics 447 of PM2.5 for the whole year (Fig. 3g). The modeling system simulates the most 448 important PM2.5 episodes across the whole year. The correlation coefficients 449 for winter and fall seasons are 0.62 and 0.52, respectively, and 0.50 and 0.49 for 450 spring and summer (Table 3). The MFE and MFB for PM2.5 do not fall within the 451 performance criteria or performance goal proposed by Boylan and Russell (2006). 452 In order to evaluate the annual variability of PM in comparison to measure-453 ment data, Fig. 6 displays the annual time series of PM2.5 and PM10 multiplied 454 by a correction factor of 2. Such correction is not meant to modify the statistics 455 but rather to evaluate the annual dynamics of the model and approximate the un-456

Table 4: Seasonal and annual statistics obtained with CALIOPE-EU over Europe for 2004 (see Table 3). For quantification purposes, the simulated concentrations of PM are multiplied by a correction factor of 2 at the EMEP stations.

	Period	Data points	Measured mean	Modeled mean	r	MB	RMSE	MNBE	MNGE	MFB	MFE
PM2.5 daily	Winter	1171	13.7	9.6	0.62	-3.3	12.4	6	60	-20	58
adapted $(\times 2)$	Spring	1264	12.2	10.4	0.50	-1.3	10.0	1	49	-19	47
(16 stations)	Summer	1396	12.2	13.6	0.49	1.3	13.0	10	52	-10	45
	Fall	1287	11.5	12.3	0.52	1.5	11.4	22	58	-1	47
	Annual (no threshold)	5118	12.3	11.5	0.47	-0.3	11.8	10	54	-12	49
	Annual (threshold)	4756	13.0	12.6	0.45	-0.4	12.2	7	53	-14	49
PM10 daily	Winter	1994	18.0	13.3	0.54	-4.3	14.7	6	61	-21	57
adapted $(\times 2)$	Spring	2087	17.7	14.2	0.54	-3.4	12.4	-7	46	-25	48
(25 stations)	Summer	2204	18.5	16.3	0.60	-2.4	14.8	-7	46	-23	49
	Fall	2104	17.0	16.1	0.62	-0.9	12.1	13	56	-10	49
	Annual (no threshold)	8389	17.8	15.0	0.57	-2.7	13.6	1	52	-20	51
	Annual (threshold)	7918	18.7	15.6	0.55	-3.1	13.9	-5	48	-23	50

derestimation of PM mass. By multiplying the model results by such a factor, the 457 results of the model system are in very good agreement with observations. The 458 model is able to reproduce the daily evolution of PM2.5 across the year. Neverthe-459 less, the model tends to underestimate the peaks during wintertime, while during 460 summertime the model overestimates some episodes. By calculating the annual 461 MFE and MFB with the adapted model output, the results now fall within the 462 performance goal recommended by Boylan and Russell (2006) with a MFE=49% 463 and MFB=-12% (Table 4). It is important to note that the statistics are biased 464 towards measurements obtained in Spain, since 10 out of 16 EMEP stations are 465 located there (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). Overall, the MFB and MFE are homogeneous at 466 most stations (not shown). 467

For PM10, annual correlations are higher than for PM2.5 (annual mean cor-468 relation r=0.57). The model is able to reproduce most of the particulate matter 469 events, although the model hardly reproduces the amplitude of the events and 470 presents a systematic underestimation. Concerning the variability of the results 471 (Fig. 3j), 69.4% of the data match with observations within a factor 2, and 96.6% 472 within a factor 5. As for PM2.5, PM10 results present a very good agreement with 473 the observations if a factor of 2 is applied to the results (Fig. 6b). The adapted re-474 sults for PM10 match consistently with the observations except for the Saharan 475 dust outbreak event on July 24-26th which affected southern, central and eastern 476

477 Spain but was not captured by BSC-DREAM8b.

The annual mean MFB and MFE of the adapted results amount to -20% and 478 51%, respectively (Table 4). These results are in accordance with the recom-479 mendations for particulate matter mentioned in Sect. 2.4 and fall within the per-480 formance criteria of Boylan and Russell (2006). The spatial distribution of the 481 correlation coefficient and the mean bias for winter and summer point out that 482 the model performs better in southern than in northern Europe, for PM10 (Fig. 4 483 and Fig. 5). Stations located between the Baltic and the North Sea (DE01, DE09, 484 DK05; see Table 1) display weak seasonal correlation coefficient (-0.1 < r < 0.3). 485 However, continental stations of central Europe (Germany, Switzerland and Aus-486 tria) mainly affected by anthropogenic emissions present good performances for 487 PM10. Correlations are in the range of 0.3-0.7 during winter and improves in 488 summer. From all coastal sites affected by SSA, the stations in Spain display the 489 highest correlations (Niembro and Cabo de Creus with 0.4 < r < 0.6). At the 490 south European stations affected by Saharan dust outbreaks, namely Spain and 491 Italy, correlations are high across the year (0.5 < r < 0.9, except ES13). The 492 inclusion of BSC-DREAM8b model results largely contributes to the improve-493 ment of the model performances at such southern stations as previously noted by 494 Jiménez-Guerrero et al. (2008). 495

Many studies have recognized the difficulty of models to simulate the mass 496 of particulate matter over Europe (van Loon et al., 2004; Matthias, 2008). The 497 underestimation of total particulate mass is, among others, the result from the lack 498 of fugitive dust emissions, resuspended matter (Vautard et al., 2005a), a possible 499 underestimation of primary carbonaceous particles (Schaap et al., 2004; Tsyro, 500 2005), the inaccuracy of SOA formation (Simpson et al., 2007), the difficulty of 501 representing primary PM emission from wood burning and other sources (Tsyro 502 et al., 2007) and a more general lack of process knowledge (Stern et al., 2008). 503 While multiplying the model results of CALIOPE-EU by a factor of 2, it was 504 shown that the dynamics of particulate matter (both PM2.5 and PM10) can be well 505 captured. Using such methodology the levels generally simulated by CALIOPE-506 EU were quantified to be approximately half of the observed values. 507

508 3.2. Pattern Description

In the following section, it is important to note that the description of the simulated chemical patterns does not take into account the model-observations discrepancies highlighted in Sect. 3.1.

512 3.2.1. Ozone

Modeled O_3 average concentrations over Europe (Fig. 7a) show an increasing 513 gradient from the northern and western boundaries to the more continental and 514 Mediterranean areas, resulting from large variations in climate patterns (Beck and 515 Grennfeld, 1993; Lelieveld et al., 2002; EEA, 2005; Jiménez et al., 2006). In the 516 troposphere O_3 has a residence time of several days to a week which permits its 517 transport on regional scales (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998). The highest concentra-518 tions are found in the Mediterranean basin and southern Europe (nearly 90-105 μ g 519 m^{-3}), as this region is particularly affected by intense photochemical production 520 of O_3 (EEA, 2005; Vautard et al., 2005b). Detailed descriptions of ozone for-521 mation and transport over the Mediterranean area can be found in Gerasopoulos 522 et al. (2005) or Cristofanelli and Bonasoni (2009). Other important factor for the 523 land-sea difference is the slow dry deposition of O_3 on water and also the low pho-524 tochemical formation due to the low precursors concentration (Wesely and Hicks, 525 2000). In central and eastern Europe, simulated annual O_3 concentrations range 526 from 70 to 85 μ g m⁻³, with a slight west-to-east gradual build-up caused by the 527 association of precursor emissions and predominant westerly winds. Northwest-528 ern areas show rather low concentrations of O_3 (60-67 $\mu g m^{-3}$) due to reduced 529 solar radiation and the influence of the clean marine air. Due to higher O_3 con-530 centrations in elevated terrains, the major mountainous regions such as the Alpine 531 and Pyrennean chains as well as the Carpathian mountains (mainly in Rumania) 532 display mean O_3 concentrations in the range of 85-95 $\mu g m^{-3}$. The minimum 533 values of O_3 (50-55 μ g m⁻³) are found in regions of chemically-driven high-NO_x 534 regime such as large polluted cities or within the shipping routes, Great Britain 535 and The Netherlands, and in northernmost Europe due to the association of low 536 precursor emissions and polar-like weather types. The O₃ distribution described 537 in this section is in accordance with the EMEP model results for the year 2005 538 presented by Tarrasón et al. (2007). However, the rather coarse resolution used 539 by the EMEP model (50 km \times 50 km) led to a less accurate simulation of the 540 chemical transition between urban and background areas. 541

542 3.2.2. Nitrogen dioxide

⁵⁴³ High concentrations of NO₂ within the PBL are directly related to anthro-⁵⁴⁴ pogenic emissions (EEA, 2007). The largest contributors to NO₂ atmospheric ⁵⁴⁵ concentrations are the emissions from road transport (40% of NO₂ total emission) ⁵⁴⁶ followed by power plants and other fuel converters (22% of NO₂ total emission, ⁵⁴⁷ Tarrasón et al., 2006). High modeled NO₂ concentrations (\sim 20-30 μ g m⁻³) ⁵⁴⁸ are reported in The Netherlands and Belgium, the industrial Po Valley (northern

Italy), central and eastern England, and the Ruhr region (western Germany). Var-549 ious important European cities even reach NO₂ levels up to 30-40 μ g m⁻³ on 550 annual average (e.g., Milan, London, Paris). Suburban areas surrounding the ma-551 jor cities often undergo advections of polluted air masses and display mean annual 552 values near 10-25 μ g m⁻³ while clean regions unaffected by emissions rather have 553 concentrations below 5 μ g m⁻³. Also note that the major shipping routes originat-554 ing from the North Sea, passing by the English Channel, through Portugal, Spain 555 and northern Africa toward the Suez Canal substantially affect the coastal NO_2 556 concentrations with a maximum of 18 μ g m⁻³ for the annual mean concentra-557 tions. Qualitative comparisons between the simulated pattern of annual NO_2 and 558 satellite-derived NO_2 tropospheric column densities from GOME (Beirle et al., 559 2004), SCIAMACHY and OMI (Boersma et al., 2007) revealed good agreement 560 (not shown). Such finding demonstrates the relative accuracy in the spatial de-561 scription of the source regions and various European hot-spots. 562

563 3.2.3. Sulfur dioxide

Simulated SO_2 annual average concentrations over Europe (Fig. 7c) show 564 highest levels over northwestern Spain, eastern Europe (Poland, Serbia, Rumania, 565 Bulgaria and Greece), and over UK, Belgium and the southwestern part of The 566 Netherlands. Combustion emissions from power plants and transformation indus-567 tries are the main responsible for such high concentrations of SO_2 over Europe. 568 64% of SO_2 total emissions are attributed to these sectors (Tarrasón et al., 2006). 569 The highest annual concentrations (\sim 70-90 µg m⁻³) are observed in northern 570 Spain due to the presence of two large power plant installations. However, back-571 ground regions in Spain remain below mean concentrations of 2 μ g m⁻³. On the 572 other hand, east European countries are affected by higher background concentra-573 tions of SO₂ (~8 to 20 μ g m⁻³) with various punctual emissions contributing to an 574 increase of the regional concentrations (\sim 30 to 50 μ g m⁻³). Over sea, the highest 575 concentrations are found along the main shipping routes, as emissions from ships 576 largely contribute to the SO_x concentrations due to combustion of fuels with high 577 sulfur content (Corbett and Fischbeck, 1997; Corbett and Koehler, 2003). 578

The distribution of mean annual SO_2 concentrations for 2004 shows the same pattern as that presented by Tarrasón et al. (2007) for 2005. However, note that the SO_2 levels have decreased according to the pattern shown in Schaap et al. (2004) for the year 1995. Indeed, from the mid-1990s to 2004, SO_2 concentrations in air have strongly decreased due to reductions in SO_x emissions. SO_x emissions have reduced up to 50% mainly in the sectors of power and heat generation through a combination of using fuels with lower sulfur content (such as switching from

coal and oil to natural gas) and implementing emission abatement strategies in the
 energy supply and industry sectors (EEA, 2007; International Maritime Organiza tion and Marine Environment Protection Committee, 2001).

589 3.2.4. Particulate matter

The simulated spatial distribution of annual mean PM2.5 (Fig. 7d) shows av-590 erage background levels around 3-10 μ g m⁻³ in northwestern, central and eastern 591 Europe. Very low concentrations correspond to remote marine air and the ma-592 jor European mountain chains (e.g., the Alps, Massif Central, the Pyrenees and 593 the Carpathians). The concentration levels are dominated by SIA, namely sulfate, 594 nitrate and ammonium (not shown here). SSA does not substantially contribute 595 to the PM2.5 fraction. The most polluted European region is the Po Valley with 596 annual mean values near 14-22 μ g m⁻³. To a lesser extent, in the Benelux re-597 gion (Belgium, The Netherlands, Luxembourg) high concentrations of PM2.5 are 598 found (~8-12 μ g m⁻³). Such concentrations are mainly associated with primary 599 anthropogenic emissions from road traffic and secondary aerosols. As mentioned 600 in Sect. 3.2.3 Bulgaria, Rumania and Poland are important contributors of SO_2 . 601 At the hot-spot locations, the large sulfate formation and primary PM emissions 602 lead to annual mean concentrations of up to 20-22 μ g m⁻³. Interestingly, the large 603 sources of SO₂ located in eastern UK and northwestern Spain do not contribute 604 efficiently to the PM2.5 formation. Such low sulfate formation is most likely 605 caused by high dispersion and strong removal by wet deposition in these regions. 606 The north African continent constitutes a very large potential source of PM for 607 the rest of the domain. During episodes of Saharan dust outbreaks, mineral dust 608 largely contributes to the levels of PM2.5 in southern Europe. 609

Fig. 8a and Fig. 8b present the annual mean and 1-hour maximum of PM10 concentrations in Europe, respectively. PM10 includes the PM2.5 fraction, the primary anthropogenic coarse fraction ($PM_{10-2.5}$), as well as the contribution of coarse SSA and Saharan dust. Among other uncertainties, wind-blown or resuspended dust emissions (coarse fraction) are not taken into account yet. Such sources contribute to the underestimation of the total concentrations of PM10, especially in dry regions or in urban areas (see Amato et al., 2009a,b).

⁶¹⁷ High mean and maximum values of annual PM10 concentrations found in the ⁶¹⁸ North Sea and the nearshore Atlantic result from SSA production. The mean con-⁶¹⁹ tribution of SSA in the Mediterranean Sea reaches around 10 μ g m⁻³. The annual ⁶²⁰ mean contribution of the anthropogenic coarse fraction remains low (~5 μ g m⁻³) ⁶²¹ and is located at or in the vicinity of important emission sources (not shown). Sa-⁶²² haran dust is responsible for the very high levels of PM in northern Africa and also regularly affects the Mediterranean basin and southern Europe. Spain, southern France, Italy, and Greece are particularly affected by such episodes. Fig. 8b reflects well the importance of including Saharan dust model data (on a nonclimatic basis) since dust outbreaks lead to annual maximum concentrations of PM10 greater than 300 μ g m⁻³ in most of the territories surrounding the Mediterranean Sea.

Qualitatively, the spatial distributions of PM2.5 and PM10 show similar patterns to distributions found in other European modeling studies including sea salt and Saharan dust emissions (see, e.g., POLYPHEMUS and the Unified EMEP model, Sartelet et al., 2007; Tarrasón et al., 2006). Substantial differences arise in concentrations over southern Europe when comparing spatial distributions with models not taking dust from the African continent into account (see Bessagnet et al., 2004).

4. Comparison with Other Evaluation Studies

There are several air pollution modeling systems on the European scale op-637 erated routinely in Europe. Evaluations of these regional air quality models with 638 ground-based measurements were carried out either individually or in compari-639 son to other models. The following discussion presents a comparative analysis 640 between various European model evaluations and CALIOPE-EU. This analysis 641 does not attempt to be an intercomparison study because the studies were per-642 formed under different conditions (simulated year, meteorological data, boundary 643 conditions, emissions, etc.). However, it provides a good basis for assessing the 644 reliability of the results obtained in the context of the European evaluation mod-645 els. Table 5 shows a chronological list of published evaluation studies, which are 646 presented along with CALIOPE-EU evaluation results. 647

The presented evaluation studies have several characteristics in common. First, they were carried out over Europe on a regional scale with horizontal resolutions in the range of 25-55 km \times km. Second, the simulations were run over a long period, mainly a year. The given models were evaluated against ground-based observations at rural locations from EMEP or AIRBASE databases. Also note that these evaluation studies were performed using statistical methods.

Most of the studies presented here, evaluated independently in previous publications, focused on both gas and particulate phases. These studies comprise: LOTOS-EUROS (Schaap et al., 2008), POLYPHEMUS (Sartelet et al., 2007), Unified EMEP (Tarrasón et al., 2006; Yttri et al., 2006), and CHIMERE (Bessagnet et al., 2004; Schmidt et al., 2001). In the case of the Unified EMEP model,

Table 5: List of published European model evaluation studies and their main characteristics to be compared with CALIOPE-EU evaluation results (this study). A study code for each model is specified to ease the discussion in this paper.

Reference	Modeled year	Model name	Horizontal resolution /layers	Study code
This study	2004	CALIOPE-EU	$12 \text{ km} \times 12 \text{ km}/15$	CALIOPE-EU04
Matthias (2008)	2001	CMAQ	54 km×54 km/20	CMAQ2
Schaap et al. (2008)	1999	LOTOS-EUROS	$25 \text{ km} \times 25 \text{ km}$	LOTOS-EUROS3
Sartelet et al. (2007)	2001	POLYPHEMUS	$0.5^\circ imes 0.5^\circ / 5$	POLYPHEMUS4
van Loon et al. (2007)	1999	Unified EMEP	50 km×50 km/20	EMEP5
van Loon et al. (2007)	1999	RCG	$0.5^\circ imes 0.5^\circ / 5$	RCG5
van Loon et al. (2007)	1999	LOTOS-EUROS	$0.5^\circ imes 0.5^\circ / 4$	LOTOS-EUROS5
van Loon et al. (2007)	1999	CHIMERE	$0.5^\circ imes 0.5^\circ / 8$	CHIMERE5
van Loon et al. (2007)	1999	MATCH	$0.4^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}/14$	MATCH5
Tarrasón et al. (2006)	2004	Unified EMEP	50 km×50 km/20	EMEP6
Yttri et al. (2005)	2004	Unified EMEP	50 km×50 km/20	EMEP7
Bessagnet et al. (2004)	1999	CHIMERE	$0.5^\circ imes 0.5^\circ / 8$	CHIMERE8
van Loon et al. (2004)	1999/2001	CHIMERE	$0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ / 8$	CHIMERE9
van Loon et al. (2004)	1999/2001	DEHM	50 km×50 km/20	DEHM9
van Loon et al. (2004)	1999/2001	Unified EMEP	50 km×50 km/20	EMEP9
van Loon et al. (2004)	1999/2001	MATCH	55 km×55 km/10	MATCH9
van Loon et al. (2004)	1999/2001	LOTOS	$0.25^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}/3$	LOTOS9
van Loon et al. (2004)	1999/2001	CMAQ	36 km×36 km/21	CMAQ9
van Loon et al. (2004)	1999/2001	REM-CALGRID	$0.25^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$	REM-CALGRID9
Schaap et al. (2004)	1995	LOTOS	25 km×25 km/3	LOTOS10
Hass et al. (2003)	1995	DEHM	50 km×50 km/10	DEHM11
Hass et al. (2003)	1995	EURAD	27 km×27 km/15	EURAD11
Hass et al. (2003)	1995	EUROS	$0.55^{\circ} \times 0.55^{\circ}/4$	EUROS11
Hass et al. (2003)	1995	LOTOS	$0.25^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}/3$	LOTOS11
Hass et al. (2003)	1995	MATCH	55 km×55 km/10	MATCH11
Hass et al. (2003)	1995	REM3	$0.25^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$	REM11
Schmidt et al. (2001)	1998	CHIMERE	$0.5^\circ imes 0.5^\circ / 5$	CHIMERE12

evaluation studies are being processed every year since 1980 (Tarrasón et al.,
2005). For the purpose of this paper, we only exploited their evaluation of the
year 2004, since it is the reference year modeled by CALIOPE-EU. The single
evaluations of both CMAQ (Matthias, 2008) and LOTOS (Schaap et al., 2004)
only focused on particulate matter results.

In addition to the models evaluated independently and listed above, three 664 model intercomparisons were also carried out and are presented in Table 5. In 665 the framework of EUROTRAC (Hass et al., 2003) the authors evaluated the abil-666 ity of six models to simulate inorganic aerosol compounds. In the review of the 667 Unified EMEP model (van Loon et al., 2004) the gas and particulate phases from 668 seven models were compared. More recently, an intercomparison was performed 669 in order to study the response of five models to different emission scenarios in 670 terms of O_3 levels (EURODELTA project, van Loon et al., 2007). 671

Table 6 and Table 7 present the statistics of each reviewed study available for 672 the gas $(O_3, NO_2 \text{ and } SO_2)$ and particulate (PM10 and PM2.5) phases, respec-673 tively. Three statistical parameters are considered, namely the annual daily means 674 of MNBE, r, and RMSE. These parameters were calculated without threshold on 675 the measurement data, except for the MNBE value of O₃ in POLYPHEMUS4 676 which is calculated using a threshold of 80 $\mu g m^{-3}$ as pointed out by Sartelet 677 et al. (2007). The displayed results represent the annual means at all considered 678 stations. Values in parentheses, when available, correspond to the minimum and 679 maximum performances at individual stations. 680

For the O₃ daily mean CALIOPE-EU presents satisfactory annual MNBE val-681 ues in comparison to the other studies (6 μ g m⁻³ versus 2-29 μ g m⁻³). The 682 annual daily mean correlation is rather low (0.66 versus 0.53 - 0.83). Nevertheless, 683 the RMSE obtained with CALIOPE-EU is in the range of other models (20.6 μ g 684 m^{-3} for CALIOPE-EU versus 18.4-28.1 µg m⁻³). Values for the annual daily 685 peak mean correlations for CALIOPE-EU are slightly below the range of the 686 other studies. Note that the CMAQ9 model obtained the same annual daily peak 687 mean correlation as CALIOPE-EU, namely 0.69 versus 0.71-0.84, which is lower 688 than in the other studies. However, for individual stations, CALIOPE-EU remains 689 within the same range of EMEP6 for the year 2004 (0.28-0.82 versus 0.10-0.84). 690 This large range of values reflects the high variability of the model performances 691 depending on the region of the domain (see Fig. 4 and discussion in Sect. 3.1.1). 692 **RMSE** and **MNBE** values for annual daily peak mean of O_3 lie within the range 693 of the other models. 694

Overall, the CALIOPE-EU performances for NO_2 are superior to other models. The annual daily mean correlation obtained in this study is the highest from

Study Number		O ₃ daily aver	age	O3	daily peak a	verage	Ν	O2 daily avera	age	SC	O ₂ daily avera	ige
	MNBE	r	RMSE	MNBE	r	RMSE	MNBE	r	RMSE	MNBE	r	RMSE
CALIOPE-EU04	6	0.66	20.6	-3	0.69	21.8	-17	0.67	10.1	83	0.60	2.2
CHEROPE BOOT	(-22, 43)	(0.06, 0.81)	(15.8, 29.2)	(-23, 23)	(0.28, 0.82)	(17.5, 30.7)	(-74, 77)	(0.02, 0.84)	(1.4, 36.3)	(-28, 370)	(0.13, 0.80)	(0.8, 6.4)
LOTOS-EUROS3	(,)	0.65	25.2	(==, ==)	0.75	20.4	(,)	0.40	11.4	(=0,000)	0.40	3.4
POLYPHEMUS4				-14*	0.72	21.4		0.33	10.0		0.47	5.0
EMEP5	10	0.72		1	0.75	2111		0100	1010		0117	010
RCG5	3	0.71		7	0.76							
LOTOS-EUROS5	2	0.7		7	0.76							
CHIMERE5	29	0.76		10	0.84							
MATCH5	6	0.8		2	0.81							
EMEP6	10	0.72		1	0.75						0.67	
					(0.10, 0.84)							
CHIMERE8							(-78, 349)	(-0.30, 0.70)	(1.0, 28.0)			
CHIMERE9		0.78/0.83	18.4/18.1		0.78/0.83	18.4/18.1		0.47/0.44	12.6/13.9		0.37/0.47	10.9/10.1
DEHM9		0.66/0.66	24.2/23.1		0.78/0.78	22.1/21.7		0.45/0.46	11.1/11.7		0.43/0.49	4.8/3.9
EMEP9		0.63/0.65	23.6/23.0		0.75/0.76	19.1/19.5		0.43/0.45	11.2/12.1		0.40/0.42	4.7/3.9
MATCH9		0.65/0.68	24.7/24.5		0.79/0.80	18.3/18.8		0.42/0.44	11.8/12.5		0.43/0.48	4.4/3.2
LOTOS9		0.53/0.54	27.7/28.1		0.74/0.73	21.7/22.0		0.25/0.30	12.9/13.6		0.24/0.45	5.9/4.6
CMAQ9		0.55/-	32.6/-		0.69/-	25.5/-		0.52/-	10.8/-		0.44/-	6.0/-
REM-CALGRID9		0.61/0.64	26.4/25.7		0.71/0.74	21.9/22.0		0.40/0.42	11.9/12.6		0.35/0.39	4.7/3.5
LOTOS10											0.48	4.1
DEHM11								0.23	8.7		0.43	2.8
EURAD11								0.16	8.9		0.39	5.6
EUROS11								0.07	9.4		0.39	3.9
LOTOS11								0.03	9.2		0.39	3.1
MATCH11								0.23	8.5		0.45	2.7
REM311								0.13	9.3		0.35	3.3
CHIMERE12					(0.51, 0.88)	(13.4, 44.6)		(-0.05, 0.77)	(1.0, 10.0)			

Table 6: Comparison of the statistics Mean Normalized Bias Error (MNBE, %), correlation (r), and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE, $\mu g m^{-3}$) between CALIOPE-EU and other European models^{*a*,*b*} for gas phase (O₃, NO₂ and SO₂ daily and O₃ daily peak). The statistics do not consider thresholds on measurement data except for the MNBE value (*) provided by the POLYPHEMUS study.

 a Value reported without parenthesis represents the annual average in the entire domain. The first and second values in parenthesis represent the minimum and maximum values respectively obtained among all stations in the entire domain. b Values reported before and after a slash correspond to the year 1999 and 2001, respectively.

4

Table 7: Comparison of the statistics MNBE (%), r and RMSE (μ g m⁻³) between CALIOPE-EU and other European models^{*a,b*} for particulate matter PM2.5 and PM10. The statistics do not consider thresholds on measurement data.

Study Number	PI	M2.5 daily aver	age	PM10 daily average					
	MNBE	r	RMSE	MNBE	r	RMSE			
CALIOPE-EU04	-45	0.47	11.2	-50	0.57	15.8			
	(-68, -13)	(0.46, 0.79)	(5.5, 25.3)	(-72, 12)	(0.10, 0.77)	(5.7, 31.4)			
CMAQ2					(0.35, 0.69)				
POLYPHEMUS4		0.54	8.6		0.54	12.6			
EMEP7		0.44	10.6		0.48	14.1			
		(0.28, 0.7)			(0.24, 0.66)				
CHIMERE8				(-80, 20)	(0.50, 0.70)	(0.8, 30.0)			
CHIMERE9					0.55/0.55	14.4/13.8			
DEHM9					0.50/0.49	16.0/14.5			
EMEP9					0.52/0.48	15.7/14.9			
MATCH9					0.44/0.49	14.9/12.9			
LOTOS9					0.45/0.38	16.6/15.2			
CMAQ9					0.54/-	15.0/-			
REM-CALGRID9					0.57/0.49	13.2/12.4			
LOTOS10					(0.35, 0.69)				

^{*a*} Value reported without parentheses represents the annual average in the entire domain. The first and second values in parenthesis represent the minimum and maximum values respectively obtained among all stations in the entire domain. ^{*b*} Values reported before and after a slash correspond to the year 1999 and 2001, respectively.

all considered models (0.67 versus 0.03-0.47). The annual daily mean RMSE 697 value is among the lowest (10.0 μ g m⁻³ versus 8.5-13.9 μ g m⁻³). MNBE val-698 ues for CALIOPE-EU are similar to CHIMERE8, the only study providing NO_2 699 annual daily mean values. Such a broad range of MNBE values is caused by 700 the sensitivity to low observed concentrations, inducing problems of inflation and 701 asymmetry (Yu et al., 2006). Therefore, we encourage future modeling studies to 702 use threshold-filtered MNBE for NO_2 or else use fractional errors instead. The 703 high performances of CALIOPE-EU with NO_2 is attributed mostly to the high 704 resolution of the model system which enables a well-defined spatial and temporal 705 description of NO_2 sources throughout Europe. 706

As with NO_2 , the CALIOPE-EU evaluation results for SO_2 show very satis-707 factory performances in comparison to the other studies. The calculated RMSE 708 is the lowest from all models (2.2 μ g m⁻³ against 2.7-10.9 μ g m⁻³). Addition-709 ally the annual daily mean correlation obtained for CALIOPE-EU is the second 710 highest value after the EMEP6 study with r=0.60 against 0.67, respectively. The 711 other studies calculated lower correlation coefficients between 0.24 and 0.49. No 712 annual daily mean MNBE values were provided by the other evaluations. Also, 713 the SO_2 model performances are mainly attributed to the high resolution of the 714 CALIOPE-EU system enhancing the simulation accuracy. As mean background 715 concentrations of observed SO₂ in Europe are low ($\sim 2 \mu g m^{-3}$, see Table 3), mean 716 normalized errors may not adequately represent the performances of a model at 717 rural sites. In that case, the use of thresholds on observational data or rather MFE 718 and MFB should be considered. 719

⁷²⁰ Considering PM2.5, the model performance on the annual mean correlation ⁷²¹ coefficient is comparable with the two other studies POLYPHEMUS4 and EMEP7 ⁷²² (0.49 versus 0.44 and 0.54). Such correlation is rather low and reflects the high ⁷²³ uncertainties in the sources of fine particles (see discussion in Sect. 3.1.4). The ⁷²⁴ annual daily mean RMSE obtained by CALIOPE-EU is slightly higher than the ⁷²⁵ values obtained by the two other studies (11.0 μ g m⁻³ versus 8.6 and 10.6 μ g m⁻³).

Statistics for PM10 are in the same range as for the other studies. As with 727 all other models, CALIOPE-EU tends to underestimate the PM10 concentrations, 728 with the calculation of PM2.5 concentrations being a substantial source of un-729 derestimation. Per individual stations, the MNBE range for CALIOPE-EU is 730 similar to that of CHIMERE8 (from -72% to 12% compared to -80% to 20% 731 for CHIMERE8). The calculated annual daily mean correlation coefficient of 732 this work is the highest value from all other studies, together with the REM-733 CALGRID9 study for the year 1999. The annual daily mean RMSE remains in 734

the range of other studies (15.7 μ g m⁻³ versus 12.4-16.6 μ g m⁻³)

Overall, the performances on the levels and variability of particulate matter are relatively poor, but this intercomparison shows that the underestimated mean concentrations and the lack of understanding on the formation processes is a general feature affecting most models.

The results of this intercomparison suggest that CALIOPE-EU performs relatively well for the simulation of O_3 concentrations while high scores were obtained for NO_2 and SO_2 . In general, performances on particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10) are satisfactory in comparison to the other studies. However, substantial efforts should be made in the chemical description of PM formation and the accuracy of PM sources.

From this model inter-comparison it was noticed that model systems based 746 on the CMAQ chemical model (CALIOPE-EU and CMAQ9) perform better for 747 daily mean NO_2 and SO_2 than for O_3 daily average and daily peak averages when 748 compared to the other systems. While most European models obtain O_3 annual 749 mean daily peak correlations between 0.7 and 0.8 for the year 2004, both CMAQ 750 models reach a maximum of 0.69. However, note that this correlation obtained 751 by CALIOPE-EU is higher than values reported by other studies using CMAQ 752 and representing the US domain (see, e.g., Zhang et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2006; 753 Eder and Yu, 2006). On the other hand, the correlations for NO_2 and SO_2 are 754 notably higher for CMAQ models than for the other chemical models. All models 755 are based on the same emissions from the EMEP database, but the disaggregation 756 techniques or additional integrated modules may differ. These results indicate 757 some potential limitations with the chemical mechanism used within this version 758 of CMAQ (CBM-IV) when applied to the EMEP emissions over Europe (also 759 see Emmerson and Evans, 2009). The Carbond Bond mechanism has recently 760 been updated (Yarwood et al., 2005) and evaluated (Luecken et al., 2008). It is 761 expected that the latest mechanism, namely CB05, could improve the behaviour 762 of the CMAQ model over rural European areas considering the efforts done to 763 improve the simulations under low NO_x conditions. 764

Another relevant issue that arises from the model comparison is the impact 765 of horizontal resolution. As stated before in the text, all models are forced with 766 EMEP emissions. These emissions have a spatial resolution of 50 km \times 50 km. 767 After different spatial disaggregation techniques most models perform similarly 768 regardless of the target horizontal resolution. This result is not surprising if one 769 considers that this evaluation focuses on rural environments limited by NOx. The 770 horizontal resolution may impact urban and industrial areas at a higher degree 771 than rural areas. In this sense, the higher horizontal resolution of CALIOPE-772

5 CONCLUSIONS

EU system may be responsible for the better scores obtained in NO₂ and SO₂.
It is reasonable to think that a detailed emission inventory at a finer horizontal resolution could further improve the air quality model performances.

Finally, the vertical resolution of the models presented in this evaluation ranges from 3 to 20 vertical layers. It is expected that models with higher vertical levels are able to simulate the vertical mixing better. However, the statistics do not show a direct relationship with the model vertical resolution. That implies that various systems are strongly driven by surface emissions, and vertical exchange is not directly resolved though strongly parameterized.

782 **5.** Conclusions

This paper presented the evaluation results of the model system CALIOPE-783 EU (namely WRF-ARW/HERMES-EMEP/CMAQ/BSC-DREAM8b) using a full 784 year simulation for 2004 over a European domain. The evaluation focused on 785 the capability of the model to reproduce the temporal and spatial distribution of 786 pollutants, estimating their uncertainty and comparing them with other European 787 evaluation studies. This article evaluated gas $(O_3, NO_2 \text{ and } SO_2)$ and particulate 788 phase (PM10 and PM2.5) simulations with EMEP ground-based measurements. It 789 is noteworthy mentioning that neither correction factors nor any adjusting model 790 parameterization were applied to the model output or the original model codes. 791 Only in the case of particulate matter, adjusted levels were discussed in order to 792 quantify the missing source apportionment. 793

CALIOPE-EU was able to reproduce the observed O_3 annual cycle. More-794 over, CALIOPE-EU simulated the general features of O_3 fields over Europe, es-795 pecially the differences between urban and background levels. In general, daily 796 maxima were better simulated than daily averages, and summertime concentra-797 tions were better simulated than wintertime concentrations. The conditions at the 798 lateral boundaries of the model domain were shown to strongly affect the evo-799 lution of O_3 throughout the year, especially at the stations near the boundaries 800 and during wintertime. These conditions should be handled with care, as they 801 occasionally lead to excessive O_3 concentrations near the surface. In CMAQ, the 802 construction of boundary profiles from global chemistry models, in that case the 803 LMDz-INCA2, should integrate the information of the tropopause in the down-804 scaling process to avoid strong downdrafts of O_3 -enriched air masses down to the 805 surface. 806

Concerning NO₂, the annual trend was moderately well simulated with a systematic negative bias. High correlations were obtained over either very clean or

5 CONCLUSIONS

highly polluted areas (stations around the Baltic Sea or UK). On average, the
model underestimated both background levels and peaks, especially during winter and over high polluted areas where transport dominates compared to chemical
processes. From the results of the annual pattern, CALIOPE-EU was able to simulate maximum concentrations over most important emission sources in Europe,
since concentrations sharply decrease from urban-suburban to rural areas.

The model system was able to reproduce the annual variability of daily mean 815 concentrations for background SO_2 throughout Europe. Monthly variations of 816 SO_2 were well captured, especially from January to March, but false peaks were 817 reported. Vertical mixing characteristics and the way emissions are distributed 818 within the grid are potential key issues which may explain the overestimation 819 detected in simulated SO_2 . The spatial distribution of statistics showed low mean 820 bias values with heterogeneous correlation coefficients. The spatial SO_2 pattern 821 successfully represented the main European sources (in the vicinity of energy and 822 transformation industries and shipping routes). 823

By comparing model results with measurements of PM2.5 and PM10 it was 824 found that CALIOPE-EU reproduces most of the pollution events. However, the 825 model underestimated the observed values of PM2.5 and PM10. In order to iden-826 tify the origin of such discrepancies and to determine the sources of uncertainty, 827 the aerosol chemical composition should be evaluated. Among other sources not 828 accounted for, particulate matter emissions from paved road re-suspension and 829 wind blown dust should be included in order to reduce the systematic biases. 830 When a multiplying factor of 2 was applied to both simulated PM2.5 and PM10, 831 MFE and MFB statistics lied within the performance goal defined by Boylan and 832 Russell (2006). Moreover, the contribution of seasonal natural particulate mat-833 ter, marine and Saharan mineral dust, was well characterized. Introducing dust 834 aerosol outbreaks on a non-climatic basis with BSC-DREAM8b was essential for 835 the simulation of hourly peaks during dust outbreaks, especially in southern Eu-836 rope. 837

When compared to other European models CALIOPE-EU performed reason-838 ably well for ozone annual daily mean and daily peak concentrations. O_3 statis-839 tics lie within the US-EPA guidelines although annual correlations are rather low 840 compared to other European models. On the other hand, statistics for NO_2 , SO_2 , 841 PM10 and PM2.5 present higher scores than most models. We noted a similar 842 behaviour with the other CMAQ-based modelling system; both systems present 843 lower annual correlations for O₃ while results of NO₂, SO₂, PM2.5 and PM10 are 844 higher than other systems. 845

846

The horizontal resolution of CALIOPE-EU provided high details in the spa-

tial distribution and temporal evolution of most relevant gas-phase and particulate matter pollutants. Sharp and concentrated plumes and other sub-grid scale processes were represented correctly. Although emission data are based on the disaggregation from the EMEP inventory (emissions at 50 km \times 50 km), the results are within the range of most European models.

This study warrants the use of the CALIOPE-EU system over Europe and results will be used as boundary conditions for the high-resolution air quality simulation over the Iberian peninsula at a $4 \text{ km} \times 4 \text{ km}$ resolution.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank EMEP for the provision of measurement stations 856 and CIEMAT, CSIC-IJA, CEAM centers for their collaboration in the project. 857 Also, thanks to C. Pérez, E. Lopez and L. González for their work related to 858 the CALIOPE system as well as S. Szopa and A. Cozic for the provision of 859 LMDz-INCA2 chemical data. This work is funded by the CALIOPE project of 860 the Spanish Ministry of the Environment (441/2006/3-12.1, A357/2007/2-12.1, 861 157/PC08/3-12.0). All simulations were performed on the MareNostrum super-862 computer hosted by the Barcelona Supercomputing Center. 863

References

Adams, L.I., Davis, J., Japar, S.M., Finley, D.R., 1990. Real-time, in situ mea surements of atmospheric optical absorption in the visible via photoacoustic
 spectroscopy iv. visibility degradation and aerosol optical properties in los an geles. Atmos. Environ. 24A, 605610.

Amato, F., Pandolfi, M., Escrig, A., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Pey, J., Perez, N.,
Hopke, P.K., 2009a. Quantifying road dust resuspension in urban environment
by multilinear engine: A comparison with pmf2. Atmos. Environ. 43, 2770–
2780.

Amato, F., Pandolfi, M., Escrig, A., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Pey, J., Perez, N.,
Hopke, P.K., 2009b. Quantifying road dust resuspension in urban environment
by multilinear engine: A comparison with pmf2. Atmos. Environ. 43, 2770–
2780, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.039.

Baldasano, J.M., Güereca, L.P., López, E., Gassó, S., Jimenez-Guerrero, P.,
2008a. Development of a high-resolution (1 km x 1 km, 1h) emission model

- for spain: The high-elective resolution modelling emission system (hermes).
 Atmos. Environ. 42, 7215–7233.
- Baldasano, J.M., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Jorba, O., Pérez, C., López, E., Güereca,
 P., Martín, F., Vivanco, M.G., Palomino, I., Querol, X., Pandolfi, M., Sanz,
 M.J., Diéguez, J.J., 2008b. Caliope: an operational air quality forecasting system for the iberian peninsula, balearic islands and canary islands first annual
 evaluation and ongoing developments. Adv. Sci. Res. 2, 89–98.
- Beck, J., Grennfeld, P., 1993. Distribution of ozone over europe, in: the Proceedings of the EUROTRAC Symposium, pp. 43–58.
- Beirle, S., Platt, U., Wenig, M., Wagner, T., 2004. Highly resolved global distribution of tropospheric NO₂ using gome narrow swath mode data. Atmos. Chem.
 Phys. 4, 1913–1924.
- Bessagnet, B., Hodzic, A., Vautard, R., Beekmann, M., Cheinet, S., Honoré, C.,
 Liousse, C., Rouïl, L., 2004. Aerosol modeling with chimere-preliminary evaluation at the continental scale. Atmos. Environ. 38, 2803–2817.
- Binkowski, F.S., Roselle, S.J., 2003. Models-3 community multiscale air quality
 (cmaq) model aerosol component. 1. model description. J. Geophys. Res. 108
 (D6), 4183, doi:10.1029/2001JD001409.
- Binkowski, F.S., 1999. Aerosols in models-3 cmaq, in: Byun, D.W., Ching, J.K.S.
 (Eds.), Science Algorithms of the EPA Models-3 Community Multiscale Air
 Quality (CMAQ) Modeling System, EPA. pp. 10–0 10–23.
- Boersma, K.F., Eskes, H.J., Veefkind, J.P., Brinksma, E.J., van der A, R.J., Sneep,
 M., van der Oord, G.H.J., Levelt, P.F., Stammes, P., Gleason, J.F., Bucsela, E.J.,
 2007. Near-real time retrieval of tropospheric NO₂ from omi. Atmos. Chem.
 Phys. 7, 2103–2118.
- Boylan, J., Russell, A., 2006. Pm and light extinction model performance metrics,
 goals, and criteria for three-dimensional air quality models. Atmos. Environ.
 40, 4946–4959.
- Byun, D.W., Ching, J.K.S., 1999. Science algorithms of the epa models-3 community multiscale air quality (cmaq) modeling system. Atmospheric modeling
 division, National Exposure Research Laboratory, US Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711.

- Byun, D., Schere, K.L., 2006. Review of the governing equations, computational algorithms, and other components of the models-3 community multiscale air quality (cmaq) modeling system. Appl. Mech. Rev. 59 (2), 51–77.
- ⁹¹⁴ Chang, J.C., Hanna, S.R., 2004. Air quality model performance evaluation. Me ⁹¹⁵ teorol. Atmos. Phys. 87, 167–196.
- ⁹¹⁶ Ching, J., Herwehe, J., Swall, J., 2006. On joint deterministic grid modeling
 ⁹¹⁷ and sub-grid variability conceptual framework for model evaluation. Atmos.
 ⁹¹⁸ Environ. 40, 4935–4945.
- ⁹¹⁹ Chylek, P., Wong, J., 1995. Effect of absorbing aerosols on global radiation bud get. Geophys. Res. Lett. 22 (8), 929–931, doi:10.1029/95GL00800.
- ⁹²¹ Corbett, J.J., Fischbeck, P., 1997. Emissions from ships. Science 278 (5339),
 ⁹²² 823–824, doi:10.1126/science.278.5339.823.
- ⁹²³ Corbett, J.J., Koehler, H.W., 2003. Updated emissions from ocean shipping. J.
 ⁹²⁴ Geophys. Res. 108 (D20), 4650, doi:10.1029/2003JD003751.
- COST, 2009. Towards a European Network on Chemical Weather Forecasting
 and Information Systems (ENCWF). Technical Report. COST Action ES0602,
 Monitoring Progress Report.
- ⁹²⁸ Cox, W.M., Tikvart, J.A., 1990. Statistical procedure for determining the best
 ⁹²⁹ performing air quality simulation model. Atmos. Environ. 24, 2387–2395.
- Cristofanelli, P., Bonasoni, P., 2009. Background ozone in the southern europe
 and mediterranean area: Influence of the transport processes. Env. Poll. 157,
 1399–1406.
- De Meij, A., Krol, M., Dentener, F., Vignati, E., Cuvelier, C., Thunis, P., 2006.
 The sensitivity of aerosol in europe to two different emission inventories and
 temporal distribution of emissions. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 6, 42874309.
- Dudhia, J., 1989. Numerical study of convection observed during the winter monsoon experiment using a mesoscale two-dimensional model. J. Atmos. Sci. 46
 (20), 3077–3107, doi:10.1175/1520–0469.
- Dyer, A.J., Hicks, B.B., 1970. Flux-gradient relationships in the constant flux
 layer. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 96 (410), 715721, doi:10.1002/qj.49709641012.

- Eder, B., Yu, S., 2006. A performance evaluation of the 2004 release of models-3 cmaq. Atmos. Environ. 40, 4811–4824, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.08.045.
- EEA, 2000. CORINE Land Cover, 2000. Technical Report. European Environmental Agency. http://dataservice.eea.eu.int/dataservice, February 2007.
- EEA, 2005. Air pollution by ozone in Europe in summer 2004. Technical Report.
 3/2005, Copenhagen, Denmark. http://reports.eea.eu.int.
- EEA, 2007. Air pollution in Europe 1999-2004. Technical Report. 2/2007, Luxembourg, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities. 79 pp.
- Eisele, H., Scheel, H.E., Sladkovic, R., Trickl, T., 1999. High-resolution lidar
 measurements of stratosphere–troposphere exchange. J. Atmos. Sci. 56, 319–
 330.
- EMEP, 2007. National emissions reported to the Convention on Long-range
 Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP Convention). Air emission annual data
 reporting (EMEP/MSC-W). Technical Report. European Environmental
 Agency, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.
- Emmerson, K.M., Evans, M.J., 2009. Comparison of tropospheric gas-phase
 chemistry schemes for use within global models. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9, 1831–
 1845.
- ESRI, 2003. Europe Highways Cartography 2003. Technical Report. GIS de ESRI
 (ESRI España Geosistemas S.A: http://www.esri-es.com.
- European Commission, 1996. Council Directive 96/62/EC of 27 September 1996
 on ambient air quality assessment and management laid the foundations for
 a common strategy to define and establish objectives for ambient air quality.
 Technical Report 1996/62/EC, L296. Off. J. Eur. Comm.
- European Commission, 1999. Council Directive 1999/30/EC of 22 April 1999 relating to limit values for sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide and oxides of nitrogen, particulate matter and lead in ambient air. Technical Report 1999/30/EC,
 L 163. Off. J. Eur. Comm.
- European Commission, 2001. Commission Decision of 17 October 2001 amend ing Annex V to Council Directive 1999/30/EC relating to limit values for sul phur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide and oxides of nitrogen, particulate matter and

- lead in ambient air (Text with EEA relevance) (notified under document num-972 ber C(2001) 3091). Technical Report 2001/744/EC, 278. Off. J. Eur. Comm. 973 European Commission, 2002. Directive 2002/3/EC of the European Parliament 974 and of the Council of 12 February 2002 relating to ozone in ambient air. Tech-975 nical Report 2002/3/EC, L67. Off. J. Eur. Comm. 976 European Commission, 2008. Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament 977 and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for 978 Europe. Technical Report 2008/50/EC, L152. Off. J. Eur. Comm. 979 Folberth, G., Hauglustaine, D.A., Lathière, J., Brocheton, J., 2006. Interactive 980 chemistry in the laboratoire de météorologie dynamique general circulation 981 model: model description and impact analysis of biogenic hydrocarbons on 982 tropospheric chemistry. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 6, 2273–2319. 983 Gerasopoulos, E., Kouvarakis, G., Vrekoussis, M., Kanakidou, M., Mihalopou-984 los, N., 2005. Ozone variability in the marine boundary layer of the eastern 985 mediterranean based on 7-year observations. J. Geophys. Res. 110, D15309. 986 Gery, M.W., Whitten, G.Z., Killus, J.P., Dodge, M.C., 1989. A photochemical ki-987 netics mechanism for urban and regional scale computer modeling. J. Geophys. 988 Res. 94 (D10), 12925-12956. 989 Gong, S.L., 2003. A parameterization of sea-salt aerosol source func-990 tion for sub- and super-micron particles. J. Geophys. Res. 17, 1097, 991 doi:10.1029/2003GB002079. 992 Guerova, G., Bey, I., Attié, J.L., Martin, R.V., Cui, J., Sprenger, M., 2006. Impact 993 of transatlantic transport episodes on summertime ozone in europe. Atmos. 994 Chem. Phys. 6, 2057–2072. 995 Hass, H., van Loon, M., Kessler, C., Stern, R., Matthijsen, J., Sauter, F., Zlatev, 996
- ⁹⁹⁶ Hass, H., Van Loon, M., Kessler, C., Stern, K., Mathiljsen, J., Sauter, F., Zlatev,
 ⁹⁹⁷ Z., Langner, J., Foltescu, V., Schaap, M., 2003. Aerosol Modeling: Results and
 ⁹⁹⁸ Intercomparison form European Regional scale Modeling Systems. Technical
 ⁹⁹⁹ Report. EUROTRAC 2 Report, EUREKA Environmental Project, GLOREAM.
- Hauglustaine, D.A., Hourdin, F., Jourdain, L., Filiberti, M.A., Walters, S., Lamar que, J.F., Holland, E.A., 2004. Interactive chemistry in the laboratoire de

- meteorologie dynamique general circulation model: Description and back ground tropospheric chemistry evaluation. J. Geophys. Res. D4 (D04314),
 doi:10.1029/2003JD003,957.
- Hewitt, C.D., Griggs, D.J., 2004. Ensembles-based Predictions of Climate
 Changes and their Impacts. Technical Report. 1, Eos, 85.
- Hong, S.Y., Dudhia, J., Chen, S.H., 2004. A revised approach to ice microphysical processes for the bulk parameterization of clouds and precipitation. Mon.
 Weather Rev. 132 (1), 103–120, doi:10.1175/1520–0493.
- International Maritime Organization and Marine Environment Protection Com mittee, 2001. Prevention of air pollution from ships-Sulfur monitoring 2000.
 Technical Report. London.
- Jacobson, M.Z., 2001. Global direct radiative forcing due to multicomponent anthropogenic and natural aerosols. J. Geophys. Res. 106 (D2), 1551–1568, doi:2000JD900514.
- Janjic, Z.I., 1977. Pressure gradient force and advection scheme used for forecasting with steep and small scale topography. Contr. Atmos. Phys. 50, 186–199.
- Janjic, Z.I., 1979. Forward-backward scheme modified to prevent twogrid-interval noise and its application in sigma coordinate models. Contr. Atmos. Phys. 52, 69–84.
- Janjic, Z.I., 1984. Non-linear advection schemes and energy cascade on semistaggered grids. Mon. Weather Rev. 112, 1234–1245.
- Janjic, Z.I., 1990. The step-mountain coordinate: Physical package. Mon. Weather Rev. 118, 1429–1443.
- Janjic, Z.I., 1994. The step-mountain eta coordinate model: Further developments of the convection, viscous sublayer and turbulence closure schemes. Mon. Weather Rev. 122, 927–945.
- Japar, S.M., Brachaczek, W.W., Gorse Jr., R.A., Norbeck, J.M., Pierson, W.R.,
 1986. The contribution of elemental carbon to the optical properties of rural
 atmospheric aerosols. Atmos. Environ. 20, 12811289.

- Jiang, W., Smyth, S., Giroux, E., Roth, H., Yin, D., 2006. Differences between cmaq fine mode particle and pm_{2.5} concentrations and their impact on model performance evaluation in the lower fraser valley. Atmos. Environ. 40, 4973– 4985.
- Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Jorba, O., Baldasano, J.M., Gassó, S., 2008. The use of a
 modelling system as a tool for air quality management: Annual high-resolution
 simulations and evaluation. Sci. Tot. Env. 390, 323–340.
- Jiménez, P., Baldasano, J.M., Dabdub, D., 2003. Comparison of photochemical mechanisms for air quality modelling. Atmos. Environ. 37 (30), 4179–4194, doi:10.1016/S1352–2310(03)00567–3.
- Jiménez, P., Lelieveld, J., Baldasano, J.M., 2006. Multi-scale modeling
 of air pollutants dynamics in the northwestern mediterranean basin during
 a typical summertime episode. J. Geophys. Res. 111, (D18306), 1–21,
 doi:10.1029/2005JD006516.
- Kain, J.S., Fritsch, J.M., 1990. A one-dimensional entraining/detraining plume
 model and its application in convective parameterization. J. Atmos. Sci. 47
 (23), 27842802, doi:10.1175/1520-0469.
- Kain, J.S., Fritsch, J.M., 1993. Convective parameterization for mesoscale models: The kain-fritcsh scheme, the representation of cumulus convection in numerical models, in: Emanuel, K.A., Eds., D.R. (Eds.), Amer. Meteor. Soc., pp. 165–170.
- Lam, Y.F., Fu, J.S., 2009. A novel downscaling technique for the linkage of global
 and regional air quality modeling. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9, 9169–9185.
- Larssen, S., Sluyter, R., Helmis, C., 1999. Criteria for EUROAIRNET, the EEA air quality monitoring and information network. Technical Report No. 12, http://reports.eea.eu.int/TEC12/en. European Environment Agency.
- Lave, L., Seskin, E.P., 1970. Air pollution and human health. Science 169 (3947), 723–733, doi:10.1126/science.169.3947.723.
- Lelieveld, J., Berresheim, H., Borrmann, S., Crutzen, P.J., Dentener, F.J., Fischer, H., Feichter, J., Flatau, P.J., Heland, J., Holzinger, R., Korrmann, R.,
 Lawrence, M.G., Levin, Z., Markowicz, K.M., Mihalopoulos, N., Minikin,
 A., Ramanathan, V., de Reus, M., Roelofs, G.J., Scheeren, H.A., Sciare, J.,

- Schlager, H., Schultz, M., Siegmund, P., Steil, B., Stephanou, E.G., Stier, P.,
 Traub, M., Warneke, C., Williams, J., Ziereis, H., 2002. Global air pollution
 crossroads over the mediterranean. Science 298, 794 799.
- Lipfert, F.W., 1994. Air Pollution and Community Health A Critical Review and
 Data Source Book. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, ISBN 0-442-01444-9,
 576pp., 310-342.

Li, Q., Jacob, D.J., Bey, I., Palmier, P., Duncan, B.N., Field, B.D., Martin, R.V., Fiore, A.M., Yantosca, R.M., Parrish, D.D., Simmonds, P.G., Oltmans, S.J., 2002. Transatlantic transport of pollution and its effects on surface ozone in europe and north america. J. Geophys. Res. 107 (D13), 4166, doi:10.1029/2001JD001422.

- Luecken, D.J., Phillips, S., Sarwar, G., Jang, C., 2008. Effects of using the
 cb05 vs. saprc99 vs. cb4 chemical mechanism on model predictions: Ozone
 and gas-phase photochemical precursor concentrations. Atmos. Environ. 42,
 5805–5820.
- Matthias, V., 2008. The aerosol distribution in europe derived with the community multiscale air quality (cmaq) model: comparison to near surface in situ and sunphotometer measurements. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 8, 5077–5097.
- Michalakes, J., Dudhia, J., Gill, D., Henderson, T., Klemp, J., Skamarock, W.,
 Wang, W., 2004. The weather research and forecast model: Software architecture and performance, in: Mozdzynski, E.G. (Ed.), To appear in proceeding of
 the Eleventh ECMWF Workshop on the Use of High Performance Computing
 in Meteorology, 2529 October 2004, Reading, U.K., p. 117124.
- Mlawer, E.J., Taubman, S.J., Brown, P.D., Iacono, M.J., Clough, S.A., 1997. Ra diative transfer for inhomogeneous atmosphere: Rrtm, a validated correlated-k
 model for the longwave. J. Geophys. Res. 102 (D14), 16663–16682.
- Nenes, A., Pilinis, C., Pandis, S.N., 1998. Isorropia: A new thermodynamic
 equilibrium model for multiphase multicomponent inorganic aerosols. Aquatic
 Geochemistry 4 (1), 123–152, doi:10.1023/A:1009604003981.
- Nickovic, S., Kallos, G., Papadopoulos, A., Kakaliagou, O., 2001. A model for
 prediction of desert dust cycle in the atmosphere. J. Geophys. Res. 106 (D16),
 18113–18129, doi:10.1029/2000JD900794.

- Noh, Y., Cheon, W.G., Hong, S.Y., Raasch, S., 2003. Improvement of the kprofile model for the planetary boundary layer based on large eddy simulation
 data. Bound. Lay. Met. 107 (2), 401–427, doi:10.1023/A:1022146015946.
- Paulson, C.A., 1970. The mathematical representation of wind speed and tem perature profiles in the unstable atmospheric surface layer. J. Appl. Met. 9 (6),
 857–861, doi:10.1175/1520–0450.
- Pénard-Morand, C., Charpi, D., Raherison, C., Kopferschmitt, C., Caillaud, D.,
 Lavaud, F., Annesi-Maesano, I., 2005. Long-term exposure to background air
 pollution related to respiratory and allergic health in schoolchildren. Clin. Exp.
 Allergy 35 (10), 1279–1287, doi:10.1111/j.1365–2222.2005.02336.
- Pérez, C., Nickovic, S., Baldasano, J.M., Sicard, M., Rocadenbosch, F., Cachorro,
 V.E., 2006a. A long saharan dust event over the western mediterranean: Lidar,
 sun photometer observations, and regional dust modeling. J. Geophys. Res. 111
 (D15214), 1–16, doi:10.1029/2005JD006579.
- Pérez, C., Nickovic, S., Pejanovic, G., Baldasano, J.M., Ozsoy, E., 2006b. Interactive dust-radiation modeling: A step to improve weather forecasts. J. Geophys.
 Res. 111 (D16206), doi:10.1029/2005JD006717, 1–17.
- Piot, M., Jorba, O., Jimenez, P., Baldasano, J.M., 2008. The role of lateral boundary conditions and boundary layer in air quality modelling system. Eos Trans.
 AGU 8, H212+, Abstract A41H–0212.
- Pope, C.A.I., Ezzati, M., Dockery, D.W., 2009. Fine-particulate air pollution and
 life expectancy in the united states. The New England Journ. Med. 360, 376–
 386.
- Pregger, T., Friedrich, R., 2009. Effective pollutant emission heights for atmospheric transport modelling based on real-world information. Environ. Poll.
 157 (2), 552–560.
- Pulles, T., Kuenen, J., Pesik, J., Cadman, J., Wagner, A., 2006. EPER The European Pollutant Emission Register. Technical Report. http://eper.eea.eu.int/eper.
- Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P.J., Kiehl, J.T., Rosenfeld, D., 2001. Aerosols, climate, and the hydrological cycle. Science 294 (5549), 2119–2124, doi:10.1126/science.1064034.

Reidmiller, D.R., Fiore, A.M., Jaffe, D.A., Bergmann, D., Cuvelier, C., Dentener,
F.J., N.Duncan, B., Folberth, G., Gauss, M., Gong, S., Hess, Jonson, J.E., Keating, T., Lupu, A., Marmer, E., Park, R., Schultz, M.G., Shindell, D.T., Szopa,
S., Vivanco, M.G., Wild, O., Zuber, A., 2009. The influence of foreign vs.
north american emissions on surface ozone in the us. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9,
5027–5042.

- Roy, B., Mathur, R., Gilliland, A.B., Howard, S.C., 2007. A comparison of cmaqbased aerosol properties with improve, modis, and aeronet data. J. Geophys.
 Res. 112 (D14301), doi:10.1029/2006JD008085.
- Russell, A., Dennis, R., 2000. Narsto critical review of photochemical models
 and modeling. Atmos. Environ. 34 (12-14), 2283–2324, doi:10.1016/S1352–
 2310(99)00468–9.
- Sartelet, K.N., Debry, E., Fahey, K., Roustan, Y., Tombette, M., Sportisse, B.,
 2007. Simulation of aerosols and gas-phase species over europe with the
 polyphemus system: Part i. model-to-data comparison for 2001. Atmos. Environ. 41, 6116–6131.
- Schaap, M., A.Timmermans, R.M., Roemer, M., Boersen, G.A.C., Builtjes, P.,
 Sauter, F., Velders, G., Beck, J., 2008. The lotos-euros model: description,
 validation and latest developments. Intern. J. Environ. and Pollut. 32, 270–290.
- Schaap, M., Gon, H.V.D., Dentener, F.J., Visschedijk, A.J.H., van Loon, M., ten
 Brink, H.M., Putaud, J.P., Guillaume, B., Liousse, C., Builtjes, P.J.H., 2004.
 Anthropogenic black carbon and fine aerosol distribution over europe. J. Geophys. Res. 109 (D18207), doi:10.1029/2003JD004330.
- Schell, B., Ackermann, I.J., Hass, H., Binkowski, F.S., Ebel, A., 2001. Modeling
 the formation of secondary organic aerosol within a comprehensive air quality
 model system. J. Geophys. Res. 106 (D22), 28275–28293, doi:2001JD000384.
- Schmidt, H., Derognat, C., Vautard, R., Beekmann, M., 2001. A comparison of
 simulated and observed ozone mixing ratios for the summer of 1998 in western
 europe. Atmos. Environ. 6, 6227–6297.
- Seinfeld, J.H., Pandis, S.N., 1998. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. John
 Wiley & Sons, New York, Chichester, Weinheim.

Simpson, D.W., Yttri, K., Klimont, Z., Caseiro, A., Gelencser, A., Pio, C.,
Puxbaum, H., Legrand, M.R., 2007. Modelling carbonaceous aerosol over europe: analysis of the carbasol and emep ec/oc campaigns. J. Geophys. Res. 112 (D23S19), doi: 10.1029/2006JD0008158.

Simpson, D., Fagerli, H., Jonson, J., Tsyro, S., Wind, P., Tuovinen, J., 2003.
Transboundary acidification and eutrophication and ground level ozone in Europe. Technical Report. EMEP Status Report 1/03, Part I: Unified EMEP model description. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.

Skamarock, W.C., Klemp, J.B., 2008. A time-split nonhydrostatic atmospheric model for weather research and forecasting applications. J. Comput. Phys. 227 (7), 3465–3485, doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2007.01.037.

Song, C.K., Byun, D.W., Pierce, R.B., Alsaadi, J.A., Schaack, T.K., Vukovich,
F., 2008. Downscale linkage of global model output for regional chemical
transport modeling: Method and general performance. J. Geophys. Res. 113,
D08308, doi:10.1029/JD008951.

Stern, R., Builtjes, P., Schaap, M., Timmermans, R., Vautard, R., Hodzic,
A., Memmesheimer, M., Feldmann, H., Renner, E., Wolke, R., Kerschbaumer, A., 2008. A model inter-comparison study focussing on
episodes with elevated pm₁₀ concentrations. Atmos. Environ. 42, 4567–4588,
doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.068.

Szopa, S., Foret, G., Menut, L., Cozic, A., 2009. Impact of large scale circulation
 on european summer surface ozone and consequences for modelling forecast.
 Atmos. Environ. 43, 1189–1195.

Tang, Y., Carmichael, G.R., Thongboonchoo, N., Chai, T., Horowitz, L.W.,
Pierce, R.B., Al-Saadi, J.A., Pfister, G., Vukovich, J.M., Avery, M.A., Sachse,
G.W., Ryerson, T.B., Holloway, J.S., Atlas, E.L., Flocke, F.M., Weber, R.J.,
Huey, L.G., Dibb, J.E., Streets, D.G., Brune, W.H., 2007. Influence of lateral
and top boundary conditions on regional air quality prediction: A multiscale
study coupling regional and global chemical transport models. J. Geophys.
Res. 112, D10S18, 2083–2097, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007515.

Tang, Y., Lee, P., Tsidulko, M., Huang, H.C., McQueen, J.T., DiMego, G.J., Emmons, L.K., Pierce, R.B., Lin, H.M., Kang, D., Tong, D., Yu, S.C., Mathur, R.,
Pleim, J.E., Otte, T.L., Pouliot, G., Young, J.O., Schere, K.L., Davidson, P.M.,

2008. The impact of chemical lateral boundary conditions on cmaq predictions
of tropospheric ozone over the continental united states. Environ. Fluid Mech.
9, (1), 43–58, doi:10.1007/s10652–008–9092–5.

Tarrasón, L., Benedictow, A., Fagerli, H., Jonson, J., Klein, H., van Loon, M.,
Simpson, D., Tsyro, S., Vestreng, V., Wind, P., 2005. Transboundary Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground Level Ozone in Europe in 2003. EMEP
Status Report 1/05. Technical Report. EMEP. The Norwegian Meteorological
Institute, Oslo, Norway.

- Tarrasón, L., Fagerli, H., Jonson, J.E., Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Klein, H.,
 Vestreng, V., 2007. Transboundary Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground
 Level Ozone in Europe in 2005. Technical Report. EMEP Status Report 1/07.
 The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.
- Tarrasón, L., Fagerli, H., Klein, H., Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Vestreng,
 V., Rigler, E., Emberson, L., Posch, M., Spranger, T., 2006. Transboundary
 Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground Level Ozone in Europe from 1990 to
 2004. Technical Report. EMEP Status Report 1/06: to support the review of the
 Gothenburg Protocol. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.
- Thibodeau, L.A., Reed, R.B., Bishop, Y.M.M., Kammerman, L.A., 1980. Air
 pollution and human health: A review and reanalysis. Environ. Health Perspec.
 34, 165–183.
- Torseth, K., Hov, O., 2003. The EMEP monitoring strategy 2004-2009. Technical Report 9/2003. EMEP/CCC.
- Tsyro, S.G., 2005. To what extent can aerosol water explain the discrepancy between model calculated and gravimetric PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 5, 515–532.
- Tsyro, S., Simpson, D., Tarrasón, L., Klimont, Z., Kupiainen, K., Pio, C., Yttri,
 K.E., 2007. Modeling of elemental carbon over europe. J. Geophys. Res. 112,
 D23S19.
- U.S. EPA, 1984. Interim procedures for evaluating air quality models (revised).
 Technical Report. EPA-450/4-91-013. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
 Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards: Research Triangle Park, NC.

U.S. EPA, 1991. Guideline for regulatory application of the urban airshed model.
 Technical Report. EPA-450/4-91-013. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
 Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards: Research Triangle Park, NC.

U.S. EPA, 2007. Guidance on the Use of Models and Other Analyses for Demonstrating Attaintment of Air Quality Goals for Ozone, PM2.5, and Regional
Haze. Technical Report. EPA-454/B-07-002. U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards: Research Triangle Park,
NC.

van Loon, M., Roemer, M.G.M., Builtjes, P.J.H., Bessagnet, B., Rouïll, L., Christensen, J., Brandt, J., Fagerli, H., Tarrasón, L., Rodgers, I., 2004. Model intercomparison In the framework of the review of the Unified EMEP model. TNO Report. Technical Report. R2004/282. 53 pp.

van Loon, M., Vautard, R., Schaap, M., Bergstrom, R., Bessagnet, B., Brandt,
J., Builtjes, P., Christensen, J., Cuvelier, C., Graff, A., Jonson, J.E., Krol, M.,
Langner, J., Roberts, P., Rouïl, L., Stern, R., Tarrasón, L., Thunis, P., Vignati,
E., White, L., Wind, P., 2007. Evaluation of long-term ozone simulations from
seven regional air quality models and their ensemble. Atmos. Environ. 41,
2083–2097, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.10.073.

Vautard, R., Bessagnet, B., Chin, M., Menut, L., 2005a. On the contribution of natural aeolian sources to particulate matter concentrations in europe: Testing hypotheses with a modelling approach. Atmos. Environ. 39 (18), 3291–3303, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.01.051.

Vautard, R., Honoré, C., Beekmann, M., Rouïl, L., 2005b. Simulation of ozone during the august 2003 heat wave and emission control scenarios. Atmos. Environ. 39 (16), 2957–2967, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.01.039.

Vautard, R., Schaap, M., Bergström, R., Bessagnet, B., Brandt, J., Builtjes, P.J.H.,
Christensen, J.H., Cuvelier, C., Foltescu, V., Graff, A., Kerschbaumer, A., Krol,
M., Roberts, P., Rouïl, L., Stern, R., Tarrason, L., Thunis, P., Vignati, E., Wind,
P., 2009. Skill and uncertainty of a regional air quality model ensemble. Atmos.
Environ. 43, 4822–4832, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.083.

Webb, E.K., 1970. Profile relationships: The log-linear range, and extension to strong stability. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 96 (407), 67–90, doi:10.1002/qj.49709640708.

- Weil, J.C., Sykes, R.I., Venkatram, A., 1992. Evaluating air-quality models: review and outlook. J. Appl. Met. 31, 1121–1145.
- Wesely, M.L., Hicks, B., 2000. A review of the current status of knowledge on
 dry deposition. Atmos. Environ. 34, 2261–2282.
- Wyat Appel, K., Bhave, P.V., Gilliland, A.B., Sarwar, G., Roselle, S.J., 2008.
 Evaluation of the community multiscale air quality (cmaq) model version 4.5:
 Sensitivities impacting model performance; part ii particulate matter. Atmos.
 Environ. 42 (24), 6057–6066, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.03.036.
- Wyat Appel, K., Gilliland, A., Sarwar, G., Gilliam, R.C., 2007. Evaluation of the
 community multiscale air quality (cmaq) model version 4.5: Uncertainties and
 sensitivities impacting model performance: Part i ozone. Atmos. Environ. 41
 (40), 9603–9613.
- Yarwood, G., Rao, S., Yocke, M., Whitten, G.Z., 2005. Updates to the Carbon
 Bond chemical mechanism: CB05. Technical Report RT-0400675, December,
 8. Final Report to the US EPA.
- Yttri, K.E., Aas, W., Forster, C., Torseth, K., Tsyro, S., Tarrasón, L., Simpson,
 D., Vestreng, V., Lazaridis, M., Kopanakis, I., Aleksandropoulou, V., Gehrig,
 R., Adams, M., Woodfield, M., Putaud, J., Schultz, M., 2006. Transboundary
 particulate matter in Europe. Technical Report. EMEP Status Report 4/06. The
 Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.
- Yttri, K.E., Hanssen, J.E., Tsyro, S., Lazaridis, M., Facchini, M.C., Jennings,
 S.G., 2005. Transboundary particulate matter in Europe. Technical Report.
 EMEP Status Report 4/05. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Nor way.
- Yu, S., Eder, B., Dennis, R., Chu, S., Schwartz, S., 2006. New unbiased symmetric metrics for evaluation of air quality models. Atmos. Sci. Lett. 7, 26–34.
- Zhang, K., Knipping, E., Wexler, A., Bhave, P., Tonnesen, G., 2005. Size distribution of sea-salt emissions as a function of relative humidity. Atmos. Environ. 39, 3373–3379.
- ¹²⁸³ Zhang, Y., Liu, P., Pun, B., Seigneur, C., 2006. A comprehensive performance ¹²⁸⁴ evaluation of mm5-cmaq for the summer 1999 southern oxidants study episode,

part iii: Diagnostic and mechanistic evaluations. Atmos. Environ. 40, 4856–
4873, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.12.046.



Figure 3: Modeled (black lines) and measured (grey lines) time series of daily mean concentrations (left) and scatter plots (right) for O_3 , NO_2 , SO_2 , PM2.5 and PM10, respectively, at the EMEP stations. The scatter plots include the 1:1, 1:2, 2:1, 1:5 and 5:1 reference lines.



Figure 4: Spatial distribution of the correlation coefficient at all stations for O_3 , NO_2 , SO_2 , PM2.5 and PM10. The two columns represent the winter and summer seasons for 2004, respectively.



Figure 5: Spatial distribution of mean bias at all stations for O_3 , NO_2 , SO_2 , PM2.5 and PM10 (in $\mu g m^{-3}$). The two columns represent the winter and summer seasons for 2004, respectively.



Figure 6: Modeled (black lines) and measured (grey lines) time series of daily mean concentrations for PM2.5 (top) and PM10 (bottom), multiplied by a correction factor of 2 at the EMEP stations.



Figure 7: Simulated annual average concentrations ($\mu g m^{-3}$) of (a) O_3 , (b) NO_2 , (c) SO_2 , (d) PM2.5 at ground level modeled with the CALIOPE-EU air quality modeling system for Europe with a 12 km × 12 km spatial resolution in 2004.



Figure 8: Simulated annual (a) average and (b) maximum concentrations for PM10 in μ g m⁻³ in 2004.