

Description of a novel viral tool to identify and quantify ovine fecal pollution in the environment.

Marta Rusiñol^a, Anna Carratalà^a, Ayalkibet Hundesa^a, Alex Bach^b, Anita Kern^c, Apostolos Vantarakis^d, Rosina Girones^{a*} and Sílvia Bofill-Mas^a

^a*Department of Microbiology, University of Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain*

^b*Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA) and Department of Ruminant Production, Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA), Caldes de Montbui, Catalonia, Spain*

^c*National Institute of Environmental Health, NIEH, Budapest, Hungary*

^d*Environmental Microbiology Unit, Department of Public Health, Medical School, University of Patras, Greece*

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology. University of Barcelona. Av. Diagonal 643. 08028 Barcelona. Spain. Phone: (+34) 93 402 1483. Fax: (+34) 93 403 9047. E-mail: rgirones@ub.edu.

Keywords: microbial source tracking, faecal contamination, ovine polyomavirus, water

ABSTRACT

Farmed animals such as sheep, cattle, swine and poultry play an important role in microbial contamination of water, crops and food, and introduce large quantities of pathogens into the environment. The ability to determine the origin of faecal pollution in water resources is essential when establishing a robust and efficient water management system. Animal-specific viruses have previously been suggested as microbial source tracking tools, but specific ovine viral markers have not been reported before now. Previous studies have shown that polyomaviruses are host-specific, highly prevalent and are commonly excreted in urine. Furthermore, they have been reported to infect several vertebrate species but not sheep. That situation encouraged the study of a new putative ovine polyomavirus (OPyV) and its use to determine whether faecal pollution originates from ovine faecal/urine contamination.

Putative OPyV DNA was amplified from ovine urine and faecal samples using a broad-spectrum nested PCR (nPCR). Specific nested PCR and quantitative PCR assays were developed and applied to faecal and environmental samples, including sheep slurries, slaughterhouse wastewater effluents, urban sewage and river water samples. Successful amplification by PCR was achieved in sheep urine samples, sheep slaughterhouse wastewater and downstream sewage effluents. The assay was specific and was negative in samples of human, bovine, goat, swine and chicken origin. Ovine faecal pollution was detected in river water samples by applying the designed methods. These results provide a quantitative tool for the analysis of OPyV as a suitable viral indicator of sheep faecal contamination that may be present in the environment.

1. Introduction

Most environmental waters, soil crops and foods are susceptible to faecal contamination of human or animal origin, representing significant impacts on human/animal health and environmental management. The ability to determine whether microbial indicators or pathogens present in the environment originate from human or animal sources would enable better management of water pollution problems. Human faeces are more likely to contain human-specific enteric pathogens, but animals can also serve as reservoirs of standard bacterial faecal indicators and human pathogens. Thus, faecal contamination source tracking is essential to ensure its elimination, to minimise its impact or to identify uncontrolled spills (Scott et al., 2002). Current environmental microbial quality assessment is based on combining rapid screening methods and detailed source tracking techniques (Roslev and Bukh, 2011). The most commonly used microbial source tracking (MST) tools are bacteria such as coliforms, coliphages such as F-RNA phages, *Bacteroides* spp, *Rhodococcus coprophilus* or bifidobacteria, phages such as *Bacteroides fragilis*, and more recently, human and animal viruses such as human adenoviruses (HAdV) and polyomaviruses (JCPyV), porcine adenovirus (PAdV), bovine polyomavirus (BPyV) and chicken/turkey parvovirus

(Ch/TuPV) (Carratalà et al., 2012; Hundesa et al., 2006; Fong et al., 2005; Maluquer de Motes et al., 2004; Bofill-Mas et al., 2000; Leclerc et al., 2000; Pina et al., 1998).

Viruses are excreted in high concentrations by infected human or animal individuals but also by healthy populations (Girones and Bofill-Mas, 2012). HAdV and JCPyV have been reported to be widely disseminated and persistent throughout the year (Bofill-Mas et al., 2000). Their high stability, host-specificity and high prevalence in different geographical areas support the use of HAdV and JCPyV for the identification and quantification of faecal contamination in the environment (Bofill-Mas et al., 2011; Girones et al., 2010; Albinana-Gimenez et al., 2009).

Livestock contribute large quantities of faecal pollution in the environment. There is a need to ensure proper management of livestock manure, and of all animal-based organic fertilisers in general, in order to avoid contamination of ground water or water streams that may later be used as drinking water sources or for crop irrigation (Regulation (EC) no 1774/2002). In fact, recent studies have related the presence of high levels of nitrates in groundwater with the presence of pig slurries in the surroundings of the sampled wells (Bofill-Mas et al., 2011). Despite the widespread perception that human faecal pollution presents greater risks than animal pollution, in Catalonia alone (7.5 million people), over half the land area is used for livestock farming, with approximately sixteen million swine, half a million cattle, about one hundred and sixty million fowl and nearly two million sheep (Health Protection Agency of the Catalanian government, 2010).

Among the potential sources of animal viral pathogens, cattle, swine, poultry and ovine slurries, slaughterhouses or faeces/urine deposited on grazing land may represent the main sources of animal faeces in the environment. *Campylobacter* spp. *Brucella melitensis*, *Listeria monocytogenes* are some of the suspected pathogens having zoonotic infection routes from sheep to humans (Gilpin et al., 2008; Ramos et al 2008, Czuprynski, 2005).

Depending on the soil structure, viral indicators can be rapidly transported below the surface and leach from the soil following rainfall (Aislabie et al., 2011). Viral indicators have been proposed and described in previous studies as tools to survey animal faecal contamination in

water and food. PAdV, BPyV and Ch/TuPV have been proposed as specific faecal markers of swine, bovine and poultry populations, respectively (Carratalà et al., 2012; Hundesa et al., 2010; Hundesa et al., 2009). However, no specific ovine viral faecal indicator has been described until now.

Several studies have reported potential ovine faecal indicators, including adenovirus (OAdV), noroviruses (NoV GIII), F+RNA bacteriophages or *Cryptosporidium* (Wolf et al., 2010; Chalmers et al., 2002), but the described assays have been reported to be prone to detecting bovine faecal pollution. Recent studies have suggested that each vertebrate species could host its own set of PyV (Orba et al., 2011; Wellehan et al., 2011), so we employed a nested broad-spectrum PCR (Johne et al., 2005) that uses degenerated primer pairs to screen sheep urine samples from five different domestic sheep breeds. Once we detected polyomavirus-related sequences, we designed a specific nested PCR (nPCR) and a quantitative PCR assay (qPCR) for the specific detection and quantification of a new putative ovine polyomavirus (OPyV) in the environment.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Faecal, urine and water samples analysed

A total of thirty-two ovine urine samples together with fifty straw-bed and eighteen sheep stool pooled samples were collected from farm soil in nine different ovine farming areas in three different countries over a two-year period (2010-2011). Sheep urine samples were collected directly from individuals, and wet straw-beds and wet stools (pooled samples) were collected from the soils of sheep farms in nine different locations: Catalonia (six farms in the north east of Spain), the Basque country (one farm in the north of Spain), Szolnok (one farm in central Hungary) and Patras (one farm in the south of Greece). The mean herd size was 40 animals, but herd sizes ranged from 10 to 200 animals. Samples (Table 1) were collected from breeding and fattening animals at different ages. Numbering over one billion animals, domestic sheep (*Ovis aries*) are the most numerous species of sheep in the world. For this

study, different breeds were selected, located in different geographical areas. *Ripollesa* and *Xisqueta* are autochthonous breeds located in the north of Catalonia (Spain). These breeds originated by crossing native sheep from the central Pyrenees with merino-type individuals. *Latxa* is a breed of domestic sheep native to the Basque Country of Spain. *Merino* is the main breed in Hungary and *Serraiika* and *Boutska* are the native breeds of Greece.

Raw and effluent wastewater samples and biosolids were collected during summer 2010 from a slaughterhouse and from a downstream sewage treatment plant (STP) which processes 100,000 inhabitant equivalents. During the same time period, raw sewage samples were collected from a hospital with more than 1,000 beds located in Catalonia, on the assumption that these samples would not contain any animal effluents.

River water samples were collected from two river basins: the Llobregat River in Catalonia and the Glafkos River in Greece. The Llobregat River crosses Catalonia from the Pyrenees to the Mediterranean Sea and receives several STP effluents along its course. In the case of the Glafkos River, large sheep herds live outdoors beside the river and may pollute the natural waterway.

Cow urine, pooled porcine faeces, pooled chicken faeces, raw wastewater from bovine, swine and avian slaughterhouses which do not sacrifice sheep and raw sewage from a STP with no ovine-related effluents were collected to perform the PCR specificity assays. A set of sixteen faecal samples from domestic goats (*Capra aegagrus*) was collected directly from individuals and six wet straw-beds from goat farms (pooled samples) were collected from four different locations in Catalonia and Greece (Table 2). All urine, stool, sewage, effluent and biosolids samples were kept on ice and processed within 24h.

2.2. Sample processing and DNA extraction

Viruses were concentrated from 14 ml of bovine, goat and sheep urine samples by ultracentrifugation (110,000 x g for 1 h at 4°C). The pellet was resuspended in 100 µl of PBS, and stored at -80°C. Forty grams of wet straw-bed samples was concentrated by elution in 20 ml of 0.25N glycine buffer (pH 9.5), kept on ice and shaken for 30 min before centrifugation

(9,200 x g for 15 min). Lastly, the supernatant was concentrated by ultracentrifugation (110,000 x g for 1 h at 4°C) and viral particles were resuspended in 100 µl of PBS and stored at -80°C.

Viral particles from faeces were concentrated as previously described (Maluquer de Motes et al., 2004). Briefly, one gram of each sheep, goat, swine or chicken sample was eluted in 3.5 ml of 0.25N glycine buffer (pH 9.5), kept on ice for 30 min and then centrifuged (9,200 x g for 15 min). Finally, the supernatants were concentrated by ultracentrifugation (110,000 x g for 1 h at 4°C) and viral particles were resuspended in 140 µl of PBS and stored at -80°C.

Sewage and effluent samples were concentrated as previously detailed (Pina et al., 1998). Briefly, 42 ml of wastewater was subjected to ultracentrifugation at 110,000 x g for 1 h at 4°C to pellet all the viral particles with other suspended solid materials. The pellet was eluted with 4 ml of glycine 0.25N at pH 9.5 and shaken for 20 min at 4°C. Suspended solids were separated by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 15 min. Finally, viruses were concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 110,000 x g for 1 h at 4°C and resuspended in 140 µl of phosphate-buffered saline and stored at -80°C until nucleic acid extraction was performed.

River water samples were concentrated as recently described (Calgua et al., 2013). Briefly, ten litre samples were carefully acidified to pH 3.5 using HCl 1N and conductivity was adjusted to 1.5 mS. Then, a pre-flocculated skimmed milk solution was prepared and added to each of the previously conditioned samples to obtain a final concentration of skimmed milk in the sample of 0.01%. Samples were stirred for 8 h at RT and flocks allowed to sediment by gravity for 8 h. Supernatants were carefully removed and the final volume, about 500 ml, containing the sediment, was centrifuged at 7,000 x g for 30 min at 12°C. Pellets were dissolved with 10 ml phosphate buffer at pH 7.5 and stored at -80°C until nucleic acid extraction was performed.

Viral DNA was extracted from all samples using the QIAmp Viral RNA kit (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA) with the QIAcube automated platform. Positive and negative controls were included in all the nucleic acid extraction procedures. Finally, NA eluates were stored at -20°C until used.

169

170 **2.3. Broad spectrum PCR**

171 In order to identify a new polyomavirus infecting ovine, we screened seventy-four sheep
172 samples using a broad-spectrum PCR assay targeted specifically to the VP1 gene (Johne et
173 al., 2005). DNA samples obtained from thirty-two urine and thirty-two wet straw-bed samples
174 and from ten faecal pools were analysed. Putative PyV-like sequences of approximately 240
175 bp in the VP1-encoding region were detected. PCR fragments were purified using a QIAquick
176 purification Kit (QIAGEN, Inc.) and the purified amplicons were directly sequenced using the
177 ABI PRISM Bigdye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems).

178

179 **2.4. Specific nested-PCR amplification**

180 A set of specific PCR primers was designed and tested in duplicate in urine and wet straw-bed
181 and stool samples (Table 3). Amplification reactions were established in a volume of 50 µl,
182 containing 1X Gold buffer at 50 mM, MgCl₂ 25 mM, 25 mM of each deoxynucleotide,
183 primers at 0,25 µM, 1U of the AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Inc.)
184 with 10 µl in the direct or 1 µl in the 1-fold dilution of the extracted DNA. The first
185 denaturation cycle was performed for 10 min at 95°C. The conditions for the 35-cycle
186 amplification were as follows: denaturing at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at the corresponding
187 annealing temperature (Table 3) for 1 min and extension at 72°C for 1 min. Amplifications
188 were followed by a final 10-min incubation at 72°C. PCR products were subjected to
189 electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide.

190

191 **2.5. Quantitative-PCR (qPCR) primer and probe set design**

192 Sequences obtained applying the nPCR described above were aligned using the ClustalX2
193 program (European Bioinformatics Institute, UK) in order to choose the most conservative
194 region and design a specific primer and probe set to perform a qPCR assay. Five urine, five
195 wet straw-bed and five stool samples which had previously tested positive in the specific
196 nPCR assay were selected to run the designed qPCR, which used two primers and a

197 fluorogenic TaqMan probe to amplify a 168 bp fragment of the VP1 gene of the putative
198 OPyV.

199 Standard curves were generated by transferring pGEM-T Easy plasmid (Promega, Madison,
200 WI, USA) containing the 168 bp fragment of the VP1 gene into *E. coli* DH5 α cells
201 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). PCR was used to check that the transformed colonies
202 contained the target sequence, and after purification with the QIAGEN Plasmid Midi kit
203 (QIAGEN, GmbH Inc., Hilden, Germany), elutes were linearised with restriction enzyme
204 EcoRI (Promega), and serial dilutions were performed with TE buffer to obtain standard
205 dilutions ranging from 10⁰ to 10⁵ plasmid DNA molecules per 10 μ l of sample in the qPCR
206 reaction.

207 The designed probe was tagged with FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein) as the reporter dye at the 5'
208 end and BHQ-1 (Black-Hole Quencher 1) as the quenching dye at the 3' end. The sequences
209 of the primer/probe set are given in Table 3. Annealing temperatures as well as primers and
210 probe concentrations were optimised by assaying primer concentrations ranging from 0.4 to
211 0.9 μ M and probe concentrations ranging from 0.225 to 0.9 μ M for each reaction.

212 PCR master mix reagents were prepared in a DNA-free working area, samples were loaded in
213 a pre-PCR working area and standards were finally added in a separate laboratory.
214 Amplifications were performed in a mixture containing 10 μ l of DNA and 15 μ l of TaqMan®
215 Universal PCR Master Mix, 0.4 μ M of each primer (qOv_F and qOv_R) and 0.225 μ M of
216 fluorogenic probe (qOv_P).

217 TaqMan® Universal PCR Master Mix is supplied in a 2X concentration and contains
218 AmpliTaq Gold® DNA polymerase, dNTPs with dUTP, passive reference, optimised buffer
219 components and AmpErase® uracil-N-glycosylase. Following activation of the uracil-N-
220 glycosylase (2 min, 50°C) and activation of the AmpliTaq Gold for 10 min at 95°C, 45 cycles
221 (15 s at 95°C, 20 s at 55°C and 20 s at 58°C) were performed with an MX3000P detector
222 system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA).

223 Direct and one ten-fold dilution (1:10) of the extracted DNA were run in duplicate (4
224 runs/sample) for analysing environmental samples, while ten-fold serial dilutions of the qPCR

standard were run in triplicate when quantifying viral genome copies (GC). In all the qPCRs carried out, the amount of DNA was defined as the mean of the data obtained. A non-template control was added to each assay. The faecal or urine samples tested by qPCR assays were quantified using duplicated undiluted and 10-fold dilution of the extracted DNA, producing more reliable results and minimising the effect of the potential inhibitors in the samples. Depending on the starting volumes of water or the amounts of faecal matter analysed, and taking into account the several methods utilised, the quantity of sample analysed in one qPCR assay corresponded to 0.1 g of stools, 1 ml of urine, 3 ml of sewage or effluent and 17.5 ml of river water.

2.6. Specificity and sensitivity analysis

The specificity of the designed nPCR and qPCR assays was verified with samples collected from areas where no faecal contamination from ovine origin was expected to occur: bovine urine samples (n=5) and bovine slaughterhouse wastewater (n=4), chicken or turkey faecal pooled samples (n=5), goat faeces (n=6) and goat urine (n=10) and wet straw-bed (n=6) samples, hospital sewage (n=10) and urban sewage (n=4), porcine faeces (n=5) and porcine slaughterhouse wastewater (n=4). All samples suspected to be potentially positive for other animal-specific polyomaviruses were tested by the previously designed qPCRs. More specifically, bovine-related samples were tested for the presence of BPyV and human-related samples were tested for the presence of human JCPyV, both of which are already used as MST tools (Hundesda et al., 2010; Albinana-Gimenez et al., 2009). Known amounts of standard DNA containing 10^3 , 10^2 , 10^1 , 10^0 and 10^{-1} OPyV GC/reaction were analysed ten times to determine the sensitivities of both specific nPCR and qPCR assays.

2.7. Sequence analysis

The amplicons obtained by nested PCR were purified using a QIAquick purification kit (QIAGEN, Inc.) following the manufacturer's instructions. After purification of the amplicons, both strains were sequenced using an ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator cycle

sequencing ready reaction kit with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase FS (Applied Biosystems), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The obtained sequences were compared with the nucleotide sequences available in the Genbank using the blastn algorithm from NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information), and were aligned with the ClustalX2 program. The sequences reported in this paper have been submitted in the GenBank database (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/index.html>).

3. Results

3.1. Identification of a novel OPyV in ovine samples by a broad-spectrum PCR

The broad-spectrum PCR developed by Johne et al, 2005 amplified the genome of a putative new polyomavirus in sheep urine, wet straw-bed and stool samples. After analysing several *Ovis aries* breeds of different origin (*Ripollesa* and *Xisqueta* from Catalonia, *Latxa* from the Basque country, *Merino* from Hungary and *Serraika* and *Boutsko* from Greece), polyomavirus-related sequences were detected by the broad-spectrum PCR in 31/64 (48.4%) of the urine and wet straw-bed samples and in 1/10 (10%) of the analysed stools.

3.2. Sensitivity and specificity of the nPCR and qPCR assays

Sensitivity and specificity were analysed in the nPCR and qPCR assays. One DNA copy was detected by nPCR in 7 of the 10 assays but only in 3 with the qPCR assay. Ten DNA genome copies were detected in 100% of the performed nPCR and qPCR reactions. Sensitivity did not vary even when high levels of exogenous but related viral DNA (samples with high levels of JCPyV) were added to the test tubes. The qPCR assay developed for the quantification of OPyV was shown to be specific both by the sequence analysis "in silico" of primers and probes considering nucleotide sequence databases (NCBI BLAST) and by experimental assays.

No positive samples were detected in any of the tested goat-related samples, urine, wet straw-bed or faeces (Table 2). All the hospital raw sewage samples also tested negative for the nPCR specific assay. No false positive results due to cross-reactivity with non-target DNA from the viruses infecting the various hosts analysed (bovine, chicken, goat, human and porcine) were detected with these assays.

3.3. Detection of the OPyV in sheep samples by the specific nPCR assay

An nPCR assay was developed in the polyomavirus VP1 region based on the sequences obtained by the broad-spectrum PCR. The developed nPCR assay proved to be specific for the new polyomavirus, which was detected in 9/13 (69.2%) of the urine and 21/42 (50%) of the wet straw-bed samples, and in 7/13 (53.8%) of the stool samples tested. The novel ovine polyomavirus was prevalent in all the geographical areas tested: 45% of the samples collected in Catalonia, 50% in the Basque country, 50% in Budapest and 62% in Greece tested positive.

3.4. Detection of OPyV in environmental samples by the specific nPCR assay.

The nPCR was positive in three out of four sheep slaughterhouse wastewater samples and in one out of two tested samples of the same slaughterhouse effluent after a standard inorganic flocculation. When analysing downstream urban sewage treatment plant influents, 3/5 (60%) of the raw sewage samples and 2/5 (40%) of the treated effluents tested positive (Table 1). A very low level of inhibitors was observed in the undiluted samples.

3.5. Quantification OPyV from ovine and environmental samples.

The qPCR was designed in the polyomavirus VP1 region based on the sequences obtained by the specific nPCR. The quantitative method was first used to quantify OPyV present in urine, wet straw-bed and stool samples which had previously tested positive in the nPCR. OPyV was also quantified in the slaughterhouse wastewater and effluents, as well as in urban sewage and secondary treatment effluents from the downstream STP. The qPCR assay amplified OPyV sequences in urine/faecal and slaughterhouse wastewater, STP sewage and

effluent water samples. All mean concentrations and positive percentages are presented in Table 1. The analysis of environmental samples resulted in one positive result from the eight Catalan river water samples and five positive results from the eight Greek river water samples tested.

3.6. Nucleotide sequences and accession numbers.

Eleven samples that tested positive in the Broad Spectrum nPCR assay were further studied by sequencing the obtained amplicons. No correlation was observed between either of the two sequences and the type, breed or geographical origin of the samples analysed. All the urine, wet straw-bed and stool sequences, obtained using the specific nPCR, from the Catalanian, Basque, Hungarian and Greek samples, as well as the sequences obtained from slaughterhouse wastewater (raw and effluent water), raw urban sewage with ovine effluents and the secondary effluent from the sewage treatment plant, resulted in a group of sequences with a shared identity of 99.5%. The positive river water samples were also sequenced and showed a 100% homology with the urine-related sequences.

A total of 23 samples were sequenced in this study, resulting in two groups of sequences differing by 4/215 nucleotides between them (98.1% similarity). The two sequences reported in this paper XisquetaC1 and Ripollesa B5 presented high identities with other PyV. Xisqueta showed an identity of 74% with Bat PyV and 71% with goose haemorrhagic PyV and RipollesaB5 81% identity with Bat PyV and 73% with the Chimpanzee PyV (*Pan troglodyte* *verus*). Both sequences have been deposited in the GenBank database under accession no. KC145150 for Xisqueta C1 and KC145151 for Ripollesa B5.

4. Discussion

A putative novel polyomavirus has been detected in sheep urine, wet straw-bed and stool samples by a broad-spectrum PCR assay. Samples tested in the study were collected from healthy animals, suggesting the asymptomatic presence and excretion of this virus in the

sheep breeds studied (Hundesä et al., 2010; Bofill-Mas et al 2000). The ICTV defines different polyomavirus species based on sequence identity; whole-genome nucleotide sequences with less than 81% identity can be classified as different species (Reimar et al., 2011). Therefore, further studies focused on genetic characterisation of the whole genome of the putative OPyV are being conducted at the moment to confirm that the detected virus constitutes a novel species within the *Polyomaviridae* family.

After developing specific and highly sensitive nPCR and qPCR, the putative novel OPyV was detected and quantified in sheep urine/faecal samples as well as in environmental samples. Both conventional and quantitative PCR assays were specific and able to distinguish ovine contamination but not other animal-originated contamination. Negative results were obtained when analysing slaughterhouse wastewater from bovine, porcine or chicken slurries, hospital sewage and urban sewage without any known ovine slaughterhouse effluent.

The DNA primer and probe sequences designed, in the polyomavirus VP1 gene region, appear to be highly specific and sensitive for OPyV. A high prevalence of OPyV was observed on the farms in all the geographical areas studied.

As expected, OPyV was detected in higher percentages in urine than in pooled stool samples, since the pattern of excretion identified in other polyomaviruses, such as the human JCPyV or the bovine BPyV, are persistently excreted in urine (Hundesä et al., 2010; Bofill-Mas et al 2000).

With the developed assay, it was possible to trace ovine contamination from slaughterhouse raw wastewater (mean values of 9.81×10^5 GC/l) in the urban sewage treatment plant located downstream (mean values of 6.51×10^4 GC/l in raw sewage and mean value of 6.06×10^3 GC/l in the secondary effluent). Quantification of OPyV in slaughterhouse wastewater samples showed values, which were similar to human JCPyV concentrations detected in urban sewage in the same area (Bofill-Mas et al., 2011). Similarly, the assay was able to detect ovine faecal contamination in the river water samples from an agricultural area in Greece receiving rainfall run-off and other discharges directly into the river basin (mean values of 1.14×10^4 GC/l). Depending on the recovery efficiency of the viral concentration method used for

concentrating river water (Calgua et al., 2012) and the sensitivity of the detection methods designed, an amount of viral particles, ranging from 50 to 500 GC/l, should be present in river water samples in order to obtain a positive assay. On the Llobregat River, slaughterhouses are mostly located at the river mouth, so effluents are treated at STP located near the coast and the final effluent is discharged via an outfall to the sea. Most of the Llobregat River water samples tested negative since was collected before receiving most of slaughterhouses discharges. Nevertheless, when sampling after Eid al-adha (feast of the sheep sacrifice) in which million sheep were slaughtered, OPyV were detected into the river water (1.05×10^2 GC/l).

5. Conclusions

The developed OPyV qPCR assay consistently detected the new viral marker in sheep urine samples, slaughterhouse wastewater and urban sewage, as well as in river water samples concentrated by applying a low cost and easy procedure (Calgua et al., 2012). The methods reported here have been proven to be specific and sensitive to detection of the specific ovine virus in environmental samples, being the first tools able to distinguish between ovine and bovine pollution. The sequences detected in different geographical areas were highly similar in their nucleotide sequence. Thus, the virus seems to be highly conserved. All these features indicate the potential use of the putative novel OPyV towards efficient microbial source tracking in countries where sheep is an important livestock.

The bovine polyomavirus (BPyV) is excreted in urine at mean concentrations of 2.21×10^4 GC/l and can be detected where intensive livestock and agricultural activities are present at concentration values of 3.06×10^2 GC/l (Hundesda et al. 2010). As the ovine marker is just as excreted in urine at mean concentrations of 1.56×10^5 , we can presume from the studied environmental samples it is a source tracking practical and effective marker for determining ovine faecal contamination.

This new MST tool, together with the previously described human and animal specific viral quantification tools, targeting human and porcine adenoviruses (HAdV and PAdV) and human and bovine polyomaviruses (JCPyV and BPyV) (Carratalà et al., 2012; Hundesa et al., 2010; Hundesa et al., 2009, Bofill-Mas et al., 2000), represent a suitable toolbox to quantify human and animal livestock (porcine, bovine, poultry and ovine) fecal contamination in the environment.

Acknowledgments

The study reported here was partially supported by two collaborative European projects: VIROCLIME, coordinated by David Kay and Peter Wyn-Jones from the University of Aberystwyth, United Kingdom (contract no. 243923) and PRIORITY, coordinated by Jesús Requena (CT2009-222887). During implementation of the study, Anna Carratalà received a grant from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Marta Rusiñol received a grant from the Government of Catalonia “AGAUR” (FI-DGR).

We are thankful to Ester Suñen, Anna Bofill, Aïda Homs, Lúdia Raubert, Alexandra Homs, Pau Figueres, Ferran Altés, Jordi Ollé, Xavier Fernández and Laura Guerrero for their assistance in the sampling of animal samples. We thank Elisabeth Arantegui, Núria Vidal, Eva Torrecillas and Fernando Cabello for their help in the sampling of hospital and urban sewage. Lastly, we would like to thank the Serveis Científic-Tècnics of the University of Barcelona for their efficient sequencing.

References

Aislabie J, McLeod M, Ryburn J, McGill A, Thornburrow D. 2011. Soil type influences the leaching of microbial indicators under natural rainfall following application of dairy shed effluent. *Soil Research*. 49(3): 270-279.

Albinana-Gimenez N, Miagostovich MP, Calgua B, Huguet JM, Matia L, Girones R. 2009. Analysis of adenoviruses and polyomaviruses quantified by qPCR as indicators of water quality in source and drinking water treatment plants. *Water Research*. 43(7): 2011-9.

Bofill-Mas S, Pina S, Girones R. 2000. Documenting the epidemiologic patterns of polyomaviruses in human populations by studying their presence in urban sewage. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66: 238-245.

Bofill-Mas S, Calgua B, Rodriguez-Manzano J, Hundesa A, Carratalà A, Rusiñol M, Guerrero L, Girones R. 2011. Cost-effective applications of human and animal viruses as microbial source tracking tools in surface waters and groundwater. *Royal society of chemistry*. p90-101. DOI:10.1039/ 9781849735421-00090. ISBN: 978-1-84973-542-1.

Bofill-Mas S, Hundesa A, Calgua B, Rusiñol M, Maluquer de Motes C, Girones R. 2011. Cost-effective method for microbial source tracking using specific human and animal viruses. *Journal of Visual Experiments*. (58), e2820, DOI: 10.3791/2820.

Calgua B, Fumian T, Rusiñol M, Rodriguez-Manzano J, Bofill-Mas S, Miagostovich M, Girones R. 2013. Detection and quantification of classical and emerging viruses in river water by applying a low cost one-step procedure. Accepted for publication in *Water Research* (WR22163).

Carratalà A, Rusiñol M, Hundesa A, Biarnes M, Rodriguez-Manzano J, Vantarakis A, Kern A, Suñen E, Girones R, Bofill-Mas S. 2012. A novel tool for specific detection and quantification of chicken/turkey parvoviruses to trace poultry fecal contamination in the environment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78(20): 7496-9.

446 **Chalmers RM, Elwin K, Reilly WJ, Irvine H, Thomas AL, Hunter PL.** 2002.
447 *Cryptosporidium* in farmed animals: the detection of a novel isolate in sheep. *Int. J. Parasitol.*
448 32(1): 21-6.
449
450 **Czuprynski, C.J.** (2005) *Listeria monocytogenes*: silage, sandwiches and science. *Animal*
451 *Health Research Reviews* 6, 211–217.
452
453 **Fong TT, Griffin DW, Lipp EK.** 2005. Molecular assays for targeting human and bovine
454 enteric viruses in coastal waters and their application for library independent source tracking.
455 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71(4): 2070-2078.
456
457 **Gilpin BJ, Scholes P, Robson B, Savill MG.** 2008. The transmission of Thermotolerant
458 *Campylobacter* spp. to People living or working on dairy farms in New Zealand. *Zoonoses*
459 *and Public Health.* 55: 352-360.
460
461 **Girones R, Ferrús MA, Alonso JL, Rodriguez-Manzano J, Calgua B, de Abreu Corrêa**
462 **A, Hundesa A, Carratala A, Bofill-Mas S.** 2010. Molecular detection of pathogens in water,
463 the pros and cons of molecular techniques. *Water Research.* 15: 4325-39.
464
465 **Girones R, Bofill-Mas S.** 2012. *Viruses in food and water: risks, surveillance and control.*
466 Woodhead publishing limited, Cambridge (UK). ISBN9780857094308.
467
468 **Hundesa A, Maluquer de Motes C, Bofill-Mas S, Albinana-Gimenez N, Girones R.** 2006.
469 Identification of human and animal adenoviruses and polyomaviruses for determination of
470 sources of faecal contamination in the environment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72(12): 7886–
471 7893.
472

Hundes A, Maluquer de Motes C, Albinana-Gimenez N, Rodriguez-Manzano J, Bofill-Mas S, Suñen E, Girones R. 2009. Development of a qPCR assay for the quantification of porcine adenoviruses and an MST tool for swine faecal contamination in the environment. J. Virol. Methods. 158(1-2): 130-5.

Hundes A, Bofill-Mas S, Maluquer de Motes C, Rodriguez-Manzano J, Bach A, Casas M, Girones R. 2010. Development of a quantitative PCR assay for the quantification of bovine polyomavirus as a microbial source-tracking tool. J. Virol. Methods. 163(2):385-9.

Health proteccion agency of the Catalanian government. 2010. Informes anuals sobre el sacrifici de bestiar i producció de carn als escorxadors de Catalunya.

Johne R, Enderlein D, Nieper H, Müller H. 2005. Novel polyomavirus detected in the feces of a chimpanzee by nested broad-spectrum PCR. Journal of Virology, 79(6): 3883-3887.

Leclerc H, Edberg S, Pierzo V, Delattre JM. 2000. Bacteriophages as indicators of enteric viruses and public health risk in groundwater. J. App. Microbiol. 88(1): 5-21.

Maluquer de Motes C, Clemente-Casares P, Hundesa A, Martin M, Girones R. 2004. Detection of bovine and porcine adenoviruses for tracing the source of faecal contamination. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70(3): 1448-54.

Orba Y, Kobayashi S, Nakamura I, Ishii A, Hang'ombe BM, Mweene AS, Thomas Y, Kimura T, Sawa H. 2011. Detection and characterization of a novel polyomavirus in wild rodents. J Gen Virol. 92:789-95

Pina S, Puig M, Lucena F, Jofre J, Girones R. 1998. Viral pollution in the environment and in shellfish: human adenovirus detection by PCR as an index of human viruses. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64:3376-3382.

Ramos, J.M., Bernal, E., Esguevillas, T., Lopez-Garcia, P., Gaztambide, M.S., Gutierrez, F. 2008 Non-imported Brucellosis outbreak from unpasteurized raw milk in Moroccan immigrants in Spain. *Epidemiology and Infection* 136, 1552–1555.

Regulation (EC) no 1774/2002 of the European Parliament and Council, from 3rd October 2002, laying down health rules concerning animal by-products not intended for human consumption.

Reimar J, Buck CB, Allander T, Atwood WJ, Garcea RL, Imperiale MJ, Major EO, Ramqvist T, Norkin LC. 2011. Taxonomical developments in the family Polyomaviridae. *Arch. Virol.* 156(9): 1627-34.

Roslev P, Bukh AS. 2011. State of the art molecular markers for fecal pollution source tracking in water. *App. Microbiol. Biotech.* 89, 1341-1355.

Scott TM, Rose JB, Jenkins TM, Farrah SR, Lukasik J. 2002. Microbial Source Tracking: current methodology and future directions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68(12): 5796.

Wellehan JF Jr, Rivera R, Archer LL, Benham C, Muller JK, Colegrove KM, Gulland FM, St Leger JA, Venn-Watson SK, Nollens HH. 2011. Characterization of California sea lion polyomavirus 1: expansion of the known host range of the Polyomaviridae to Carnivora. *Infect Genet Evol.* 11(5):987-96.

526 **Wolf S, Hewitt J, Greening GE.** 2010. Viral multiplex quantitative PCR assays for tracking
527 sources of fecal contamination. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76(5): 1388.

Table 1: Detection and quantification of ovine polyomavirus in urine/wet-straw-beds/stool and environmental samples by nPCR and qPCR at the VP1 region

Sample	Positive / tested samples (mean values in genome copies/l or g)	
	Ovine VP1 nPCR	Ovine VP1 qPCR
Sheep urine	9/13	5/5 (1.56x10 ²) ¹
Sheep wet straw-beds	21/42	5/5 (1.34x10 ²) ¹
Sheep stool	7/13	5/5 (7.60x10 ¹) ¹
Ovine slaughterhouse raw sewage	3/4	3/4 (9.81x10 ²)
Ovine slaughterhouse treated effluent	1/2	1/2 (4.85x10 ¹)
STP influent (downstream ovine slaughterhouses)	3/5	3/5 (6.51x10 ¹)
STP effluent (downstream ovine slaughterhouses)	2/5	2/5 (6.06x10 ⁰)
STP biosolids (downstream ovine slaughterhouses)	1/1	1/1 (2.36x10 ¹)
Llobregat river water (Catalonia)	NT	1/8 (1.05x10 ²)
Glafkos river water (Greece)	5/8	3/4 (1.14x10 ¹)

NT: not tested, ¹ All quantified samples were previously positive for the specific nPCR.

Table 2: Specificity of the ovine polyomavirus nPCR and qPCR assays. Positive samples vs tested samples.

Sample origin	Sample type	N	Ovine VP1 nPCR	Ovine VP1 qPCR	Other polyomaviruses (genome copies/ml)
Bovine	Individual urine samples	5	0/5	0/5	BPvV ¹ 5/5 (8,34x10 ¹)
	Slaughterhouse sewage	4	0/4	0/4	BPvV ¹ 5/5 (7,75x10 ²)
Chicken	Pooled feces representing less than 5 individues	5	0/5	0/5	
	Slaughterhouse sewage	2	0/2	0/2	
Goat	Pooled feces representing less than 5 individues	6	0/6	0/6	
	Individual urine samples	10	0/10	0/10	
	Wet straw-beds	6	0/6	0/6	
Human	Hospital raw sewage	10	0/10	0/10	JCPvV ² 10/10 (1,61x10 ²)
	Urban sewage without ovine slaughterhouse effluents	4	NT	0/4	JCPvV ² 4/4 (3,33x10 ³)
Porcine	Pooled feces representing less than 5 individues	5	0/5	0/5	
	Slaughterhouse sewage	4	0/4	0/4	

NT: not tested. ¹Bovine Polyomavirus, ²Human JC Polyomavirus.

Table 3: Oligonucleotide primers and probe used for the detection and quantification of ovine polyomavirus

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Annealing temperature (°C)	Product size (bp)
Ov_FI	AGATGGCCTCTTTCTCACA	52	227
Ov_RI	TTTATCTCCAGTCATGGCC		
Ov_nFI	AGACATTGTGGGCATGATTA	54	162
Ov_nRI	TTCCAATCTGGGCATAAGAT		
qOv_F	CAGCTGYAGACATTGTGG	60	168
qOv_R	TCCAATCTGGGCATAAGATT		
qOv_P	5'FAM-ATGATTACCAAGCCAGACAGTGGG-3'BHQ-1		

Supplementary table: Summary of the Broad Spectrum nPCR, OPyV VP1 specific nPCR and qPCR assays realized in the study.

Sample origin	Sample type	N° samples tested	Broad spectrum nPCR	VP1 specific nPCR	VP1 specific qPCR
Sheep	urine	32	32	13	5 ¹
	wet straw-beds	50	32	42	5 ¹
	feces	18	10	13	5 ¹
	raw slaughterhouse sewage	4	NT	4	4
	slaughterhouse treated effluent	2	NT	2	3
	STP influent (downstream ovine slaughterhouses)	5	NT	5	5
	STP effluent (downstream ovine slaughterhouses)	5	NT	5	5
	STP biosolids (downstream ovine slaughterhouses)	1	NT	1	1
Goat	Pooled feces representing less than 5 individues	6	NT	6	6
	Individual urine samples	10	NT	10	10
	Wet straw-beds	6	NT	6	6
Bovine	Individual urine samples	5	NT	5	5
	Slaughterhouse sewage	4	NT	4	4
Porcine	Pooled feces representing less than 5 individues	5	NT	5	5
	Slaughterhouse sewage	4	NT	4	4
Chicken	Pooled feces representing less than 5 individues	5	NT	5	5
	Slaughterhouse sewage	2	NT	2	2
	Hospital raw sewage	10	NT	10	10
Human	Urban sewage without ovine slaughterhouse effluents	4	NT	NT	4
Llobregat river water (Catalunya)		8	NT	NT	8
Glafkos river water (Greece)		8	NT	8	4

NT: not tested, ¹ All quantified samples were previously positive for the specific nPCR.