**Contemporary British Theatre: New Trends**

**2015/16**

**Task 2**

***Party Time* (1991) and *Far Away* (2000)**

Please remember you may write 350 words max. per question, in the box provided.

Please type your answers in Times New Roman 12 and use double spacing.

Plagiarism is unacceptable and will lead to automatic failure.
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**Question 1**

In his commentary on *Party Time* (*TLS*, 15 November 1991), Terry Eagleton claimed that “the two worlds [the guests at the cocktail party vs. the violence going on offstage] simply occupy different theatrical spaces”. Do you agree? What do you make of the use of space in the play?

|  |
| --- |
| Answer |

**Question 2**

Choose three reviews of the original production of *Party Time* at the Almeida Theatre, London, and examine the ways in which they praise, criticise or otherwise discuss the play. Do you see any common threads, any significant differences? What do you make of them and, more generally, of the ways the play is addressed and assessed?

|  |
| --- |
| Answer |

**Question 3**

Having read Judith Butler’s “Precarious Life, Vulnerability and the Ethics of Cohabitation” and David Harvey’s “Space as a Keyword”, how do you think they may inform a reading of *Party Time*? Please be as precise as possible in your answer.

|  |
| --- |
| Answer |

**Question 4**

Option A: do some online research, select two images from two different productions of *Party Time* (production poster, performance photo, etc.) and discuss the significance of the choices made by the creative team. Please include both images in your answer and identify them clearly by means of captions.

Option B: after watching the performance of Jimmy’s final speech at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wkL5q7enl4o, discuss the significance of the speech within the play and the choices made by the actor in this particular performance.

|  |
| --- |
| Answer |

**Question 5**

Do some research on the various productions of *Far Away* in Britain, the US and beyond. Choose two of them and discuss the significance of the choices made by the creative team. Please include images to support your arguments and identify them clearly by means of captions.

|  |
| --- |
| Answer |

**Question 6**

Having read Judith Butler’s “Precarious Life, Vulnerability and the Ethics of Cohabitation” and David Harvey’s “Space and a Keyword”, how do you think they may inform a reading of *Far Away*? Please make your answer as precise as possible.

|  |
| --- |
| Answer |

**Question 7**

Discuss the structure of *Far Away* in the light of Brian Richardson’s “Plot after Postmodernism”. In what ways does the play erode the traditional nature and function of plot? Why do you think Churchill chose this (experimental) structure?

|  |
| --- |
| Answer |

**Question 8**

Do you see any connections between the three parts that make up *Far Away* and, if so, how do they contribute to the meaning and experience of the play?

|  |
| --- |
| Answer |

|  |
| --- |
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